DOCUMENT RESUME

BD 094 341 CS 001 236

TITLE Michigan Criteria of Excellence in Reading.
INSTITUTION Michigan State Dept. of Education, Lansing.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Right to

Read Program.

PUB DATE 74 NOTE 28p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.85 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS Curriculum Development; Developmental Reading;

*Educational Accountability; *Effective Teaching;

*Program Effectiveness; Reading; *Reading Improvement; Reading Instruction; *Reading

Programs

IDENTIFIERS *Right to Read

ABSTRACT

Criteria representative of an exemplary reading program and defining the components and levels of school accountability for the Michigan Right to Read program are presented in this paper. Several components, including administration and organization, students/learners, needs assessment, delivery system/curriculum, delivery system/resources, delivery system/staff development, evaluation, and record keeping reporting, are listed as essential for determining an exemplary program. For each of the components, statements are listed that would be reflective of an excellent reading program. Statements are provided at the district level, building level, and classroom level. (WR)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONALINSTITUTE OF **EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY**

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

MICHIGALL CRITERIA OF EXCELLENCE IN READING

An exemplary reading program would assume that:

99% of the population under 15 years of age and 90% of those over 16 years of age can achieve functional reading literacy given the appropriate learning environments.

Rationale for Utilization of a Criteria of Excellence:

A Criteria of Excellence can establish the extent of program development so that the district's commitment to the teaching of reading to children is as public as possible, and (2) to provide a design and process for demonstrating school commitment, and staff accountability in reading program development and implementation.

The components listed below have been identified as essential for determining an exemplary program.

- 1. Administration and Organization
- II. Students/Learners
- 111. Needs Assessment
- IV. Delivery System/Curriculum
- V. Delivery System/Resources
- VI. Delivery System/Staff Development
- VII. Evaluation
- VIII. Record Keeping and Reporting

In order to guarantee as much local control as possible in fulfilling the Criteria of Excellence, (1) the local district is to select a number of essential categories in order to create a locally balanced program, and (2) the local district is to develop each category into those objectives which they consider will reflect an exemplary implementation of that category. Each selected category should be developed at the district, building. and classroom level.

An exemplary reading program is one that includes all of these essential components so as to encourage success, efficiency, and individualism.

A Criteria of Excellence in Reading is not a list of golden commandments to be strictly enforced, but a list of essential and supportive elements from which a local district chooses to develop and implement an exemplary reading program.

Purposes

The purposes for developing a Criteria of Excellence in Reading Statement are:

- 1. To define the components of an exemplary reading program and the degree of achievement of each component.
- 2. To help define the goals and objectives for an exemplary reading program.
- 3. To help define the status of any reading program, and to assist with the needs assessment process.
- 4. To help define the delivery system(s) (or an exemplary reading program.
- 5. To help validate the results of the delivery system(s) of local reading programs
- 6. To provide direction for the redesign and revision of reading goals, objectives, needs assessment, delivery systems, and validation procedures.

Assumptions

Several assumptions have been made. These include:

- 1. That an Accountability Wodel must be used by any local district that chooses to use the Criteria of Excellence in Reading Program Development.
- 2. That any Criteria of Excellence must represent in combination all of those reading components agreed upon by the State Department of Education Right to Read personnel, Specialists from the field of reading, and local district staff.
- A Criteria of Excellence must reflect commitment to quality in reading programs chosen and developed by the local district, more than arbitrary standards imposed by another agency.
- 4. That reading program designs are developmental in nature involving the total stark, board, and community in their selection.
- That an exemplary program will accommodate the needs and provide of personal growth of teachers, students, and administrators.
- 5. That by using the Criteria of Excellence in Reading strong components will be exposed for building an even stronger program.



These are the Criteria of Excellence defining the components and levels Page 3 of School Accountability for the Michigan Right to Read Program. These have been carefully developed by the participants in the Right to Read Training Program. The expectation is that each Michigan school district will examine these, specifying how they are meeting, and will meet each of these Criteria of Excellence. A school district that fully implements these criteria will have an exemplary reading program.



trict.

The Board of Education employs a superintendent and his staff who are committed to children's learning to Read as the top priority for the school dis-



	Building Level		Classroom Level
A5.	The Principal implements the Board of Education's goals and policies in the Reading Program.	AG.	Teachers support the Board of Education. Superintendent and Principal by implementing the goals and policies in the Reading Program.



CRITERIA Components:	LE\	VELS OF SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY District Level
A Management System that is identified and implemented for the total Reading Program.	B1.	The Superintendent and his staff develop and implement a management system that insures that the Reading Program accommodates each child at his level. The superintendent appoints a staff member to coordinate the development, implementations and evaluation of the
		Reading Curriculum.
	i	



Building Leval	Classroom Level
P3. The Principal implement the management system throughout the Reading Program	B4. The Teacher applies the management system to Reading Instruction.





- C5. The principal is responsi- C9.

 ble for the development

 and use for the students

 of reading resources

 (human and material)

 provided by the school
- C6. The principal leads the staff in implementing reading instruction which accommodates the students learning style that results in student learning.

system.

- C7. The principal and staff organize the building's resources (human & mater-ial) to maximize the importance of students.
- C3. The principal and staff match student learning styles with materials so as to promote the students success in learning to Read.

The teacher uses content information on each student's needs, interests, strengths and differences to plan for and personalize

C10. The teacher organizes
the classroom so as to
guarantee the studentis
success in learning to
read including both
skill development and
content areas.

Reading instruction.



D3.

flow charts, evaluation, etc.

The superintendent and his staff use the needs assessment for making decisions about the reading program.

questionnaire, and observations



learning styles of students

Classroom Level

In the reading crogram,
teachers use a variety of
assessment tools
(a) to determine learning
styles of students
(b) To diagnose learning
problems of students

(c) to select methods and

instruction.

materials for reading



D5.

persons

the school staff and community



reading in the content

Building Level

Classroom Level

The teacher is responsible for providing significant information about student/learner needs and interests that should be used in making curriculum decisions.

- E7. The teacher creates opportunities for children to
 provide suggestions regarding the Reading Curriculum.
- E8. Teachers endorse the total Reading Curriculum prior to presentation to the Board of Education for approval.

E9.

Teachers work cooperatively both across grade levels and withinggrade level, in the development of a com-Prehensive Reading Program.



E5.

areas



		·
	Building Level	Classroom Level
₹4.	The principal is responsible to provide opportunities for all teachers to understand and implement the reading curriculum.	FE. All teachers implement the approved Reading curriculum for each students continuous progress.
5.	The principal guarantees that the prescribed reading curriculum is available to all students.	Teachers use their skills to incorporate the Reading curriculum into all areas of each students instruction.
		·



CRITERIA	LEVELS OF SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY	
Components:	District Level	
	F3. The Superintendent and his staff initiate the implementation of the approved	
. All segments of the school and community are involved when the Reading curriculum is evaluated.	Reading curriculum. GI. The Board of Education guarantees that there is opportunity for persons from the school and the community to participate in the Reading curriculum evaluation process.	
	G2. The Superintendent and his staff coordinate the evaluation of the reading curriculum.	
	G3. The Superintendent and his staff apply evaluation criteria for the purpose of reading curricula improvement.	



	Building Level		Classroom Level
		F8.	The teacher provides feedback on the reading program design to the administration.
34.	The principal and teachers evaluate the Board principal approved Reading currictulum for usefulness in student learning and manageability in the learning environment.	G 5.	The teacher provides feedback about the student's learning within the reading design to the principal and administration.

σp



Components

District Level

The superintendent and hield. The principal guarantees that staff a locate the financial resources based on consistent with the approved the Reading priorities.

The principal guarantees that all requests for materials are consistent with the approved Reading curriculum.

The Board of Education, the superintendent and the staff guarantee a cross-section of school and community resources in the selection of materials.

16. The principal and his staff take part in field testing reading materials prior to purchasing for building or district-wide use.



Building Level		Classroom Lovel
ld. The Teacher evaluate recommends materia needed to create as vironment responsive students.	s en-	



Necessary material resources!!. The Board of Education generates selected and used.

are selected and used.

ates the financial resources to support the Reading program.

the Reading program.



The teacher develops the H9. The principal assigns the staff so as to match students the learning teaching style and learnenvironment. ing environment with the learning style of the HIO. The teachers use their skills to design a students. learning environment The principal and his based on Reading content and the processes of staff involve para-professionals and volunteers classroom management. in the Reading instruction HII. The teacher plans with program and for the total in-The principal assures the volvement of the paracoordination and superprofessionals and volunvision of, and communicateers in assisting with tion with the staff and learning. volunteers The principal and his staffiz The Teacher selects and expend the financial reuses materials that will

Classroom Level

create a learning environ-

ment that meets the needs

of students.

Building Level

sources to acquire mader-

ials that are necessary

curriculum.

to implement the Reading

H6.

147.

Н8.

14.

Components:

LEVELS OF SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY District Level

I. EVALUATION

K. Evaluation is used to measure (1) the achievement of the students and the results of the implemented Reading Program.

K2.

KI. The Board of Education requires an annual evaluation of student achievement and the Roading program.

The superintendent and staff

plan and have administered a regular testing and evaluation program.

K3. The superintendent and staff

use the results of the testing and evaulation when redesigning the Reading program.



The teachers gather data

ness of the learning

to measure the effective-

environment, and data for

redesigning this environ-

K7.

K8.

variety of evaluation instruments. The principal and staff

To measure pupil achieve-

subjective) the principal

and staff administer a

ment (normative, objective)

use the results of the evaluation to recommend changes in the Reading curriculum.

The principal and staff alter their own methods and materials for curricu-

lum implementation based on the evaluation data.

ment. The teachers use the evaluation data for decision-making regarding student promotion and placement.



K4.

K5.

Кε.

Components

District Level

DELIVERY SYSTEM/STAFF DEVELOPMENT

J. Staff development is provided to all staff that increases their companiencies in Reading contents and the management of

Reading instruction.

JI. The Board of Education generates financial resources to support the staff development activities.

J2.

for staff development by:

(a) identifying the training •
needs for staff.

(b) including the participants
in the planning of staff

The superintendent and his

staff allocate the resources

- development activities
 (c) providing competent resources for meeting the
- needs of staff
 (d) providing training for all staff (including administrators, teachers, substitutes, and volunteers) involved in implementing the Reading curriculum
- (e) insuring the management of the Reading training in the staff development program.



·			·
	Building Level		Classroom Level
J3.	The principal participates in administrative in- service in Reading.	J8.	Teachers are responsible for identifying and articulating their needs for staff development purposes.
J4.	The principal provides leadership and participates in the in-service training of staff.	J9.	The teachers are respon- sible for applying to their teaching, their learnings from the staff
J5.	The principal provides in- formation on staff train- ing needs to those who design and plan the staff development activities.		development activities.
J6.	The principal is responsible for observing and evaluating the increased teacher comptencies that result from the staff development activities.		
J7.	The principal and staff provide feedback necessary to evaluate and improve e quality of the staff velopment activities.		

11.

LEVELS OF SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY

RECORD KEEPING AND

REPORTING

Components:

are ke

LI.

L2.

Records are kept and reported to those school and community persons involved. _____

The Board of Education will require that the administration and staff document the Reading program and the students progress in the Reading program.

The superintendent and staff

will design and select the Reading record keeping system.

L3. The superintendent will report to the Board of Education and to the community on

(a) the achievement of the students in the Reading program

(b) the level of implementation of the Reading program

(b) the level of implementation of the Reading program
 (c) the status of the management of the Reading program.



	Building Level		Classroom Level
L4.	The principal and staff use the records for match- ing students with support- ive learning environments. The principal and staff maintain accurate records for assessing (a) the design, implemen- tation and evaluation of the Reading pro- gress (b) student progress	L6.	The teacher documents student achievement in the Reading program. The teacher reports the degree of student progress to the student, his parents, to the administration, and to other teachers.



In applying the Michigan Criteria of Excellence, a school district will want to develop criteria-referenced objectives that develop each of these statements. Evaluators, with the school staff, will judge the validity and comprehensiveness of the objectives. The evaluators will further judge the reliability of the documentation, and the total quality of the Reading Program.

In the final analysis, an exemplary Reading Program is one that significantly contributes to improving the quality of life for the students, school personnel, and the community.