DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 094 340 CS 001 233

TITLE Criteria of Excellence--Reading--K through Adult.
INSTITUTION California State Dept. of Education, Sacramento.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Right to

Read Program.

PUB DATE Jan 73 NOTE 13p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS *Evaluation Criteria; *Performance Criteria; *Program

Evaluation; Program Guides; Program Improvement; *Program Planning; Reading Achievement; Reading

Instruction; *Reading Programs; Staff Role

IDENTIFIERS *Right to Read

ABSTRACT

Prepared for the reading personnel of the California Right to Read program, this document defines general areas and specific items which should be considered in a well-planned, comprehensive reading program for kindergarten through adult learners. It may be used as a guide for planning a reading program, evaluating a current program, or identifying exemplary reading programs. The four major elements in the criteria of excellence which lead to improved reading are commitment by staff and leadership to develop a program themselves, comprehensive needs assessment by on-site faculty, a diagnostic-prescriptive reading approach beginning with the child's current level of achievement, and a program designed to meet the child's needs. Nine sections comprise the criteria of excellence checklist: (1) management and planning; (2) goals and objectives; (3) instruction; (4) staff; (5) leadership development; (6) materials and facilities; (7) community involvement; (8) environment and background; and (9) program evaluation, auditing, and reporting. (JM)





US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE

EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OF FICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EOUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

721 CAPITOL MALL, SACRAMENTO 95814

January 9, 1973

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

To:

California Right to Read Personnel

From:

M. Bruce Hagen

California Right to Read Unit

(916) 445-5361

Subject: Criteria of Excellence - Reading - K through Adult

The criteria of excellence depicts general areas and specific items of interest which should be considered in a vell-planned, comprehensive reading program. Reading personnel can use the criteria of excellence as a guide for: planning a reading program; evaluating a presently-functioning reading program; or identifying exemplary reading programs.

Right to Read feels that among the numerous areas contained in the criteria of excellence four major elements seem to lead to improved reading programs. These are:

- o Commitment on the part of staff and leadership by the principal to make a new program work are much more likely to emerge if they develop the program themselves rather than having it mandated by the "central office."
- o A comprehensive Needs Assessment encompassing student performance, reading program, teacher performance, resources, and decision-making is accomplished by the on-site faculty.
- o A diagnostic-prescriptive approach to the teaching of reading in which a teacher begins at the point of the child's current level of achievement is much more productive than beginning at a point where it is assumed the child ought to be.
- o Rather than fitting the child to the program, educators fit the program to the specific needs of each child.

Although each reading program may not contain every item presented in the Criteria of Excellence, this document can serve as a checklist of items that should be considered.

MBH: jeb



USING THE CRITERIA OF EXCELLENCE

The Criteria of Excellence depicts general areas and specific items of interest which should be considered in a well-planned, comprehensive reading program. Reading personnel can use the Criteria of Excellence as a guide for: planning a reading program; evaluating a presently-functioning reading program; or identifying exemplary reading programs.

I. Planning a Reading Program

The Criteria of Excellence can be used as a checklist of items to be considered by a school in planning, defining, and implementing a reading program where a program does not presently exist. The Criteria may also help in identifying and acquiring resources. If the staff wishes, they may use the "pre-date" boxes to mark their progress in the implementation of their planned reading program by placing an "x" in the box when the item is completed. Writing the date of accomplishment (1/7/74) beside the box may also assist in adhering to proposed time lines.

II. Evaluating a Presently-Functioning Reading Program

Schools which have an existing reading program may wish to use the Criteria of Excellence as an assessment form. To evaluate the reading program, staff members may wish to meet as a group to discuss the items in the Criteria, or the school staff may wish to elect an individual to do the assessment with his/her report being heard and discussed at a group meeting. The school could use the "pre-date" boxes for assessment early in the school year. If this is done, the assessment date could be written above "pre-date". For follow-up purposes (to view progress through the year) the school could use "post-date" boxes with the assessment date being written above "post-date". An "x" indicates "yes" for the corresponding item in the Criteria of Excellence; a box left blank would indicate "no", and "NA" would indicate Not Applicable.

III. Identifying Exemplary Reading Programs

The Criteria of Excellence can be used by personnel who are desirous of identifying reading programs which possess outstanding processes and programs. An exemplary reading program may not encompass all of the items listed in the Criteria of Excellence, though most of the general areas should be addressed. To use the Criteria for this purpose, the individual may write the visitation or assessment date above "pre-date" and place an "x" in each box where the item can be answered "yes".



Criteria of Excellence Right to Read/Reading Task Force

Predate Postdate

		I.	Mana	agement and Planning
			۸.	A comprehensive needs assessment has been carried out and evidenced by data on:
0				1. Learner's performance
ö				2. Staff competency level
	[]			3. Existing instructional approach
D				4. Learner's grouping
	Ö			5. Materials being used
D	<u>[]</u>			6. Support personnel
<u> </u>	D			7. Facilities
	<u> </u>			8. Learner's attitude toward reading
ū	. C			9. Staff attitude toward reading
ם	: ::			10. Existing evaluation schema
0 0	ם			1. Existing diagnostic persuriptive procedures
u	ŭ		•	2. Extent of community involvement
			В.	Systematic planning process has been used as evidenced by:
				1. Philosophy statements properly formulated to
m	rı			address overall reading aims and intentions.
	U			2. Goal statements properly formulated in terms
				of meeting or addressing the needs assessment
C	ם			findings
U	U			3. Objectives properly formulated to include the
O	ü			necessary elements
_				4. Priority established for the system of goals and
D	[i			statements of objectives
				5. An evaluation scheme based upon and documented
				by process or completion of stated objectives.
			c.	Planning for the reading program has been carried out by a local school site planning group composed of:
	ם			1. Classroom teachers (tutors)
ň	ă			2. Parents (affected adults)
ō	Ŋ			3. School district administrator (Director)
				4. Other appropriate people (specify)
			_	
			D.	A management plan has been established for the
	•			allocation of time, money, and personnel as
				evidenced by:

1. A time-task allocation chart

A project time-line
 Other device (specify)



0

redate	II.	Goals and Objectives
		A. The program is guided by a comprehensive set of goals selected by the planning group and states specific instructional objectives as evidenced by:
П	Π	 An adopted written list of goals and state objectives for the reading program
a D	<u> </u>	 The establishing of priority of goals Teachers and other staff can explain goals and objectives and the priorities assigned to them.
		B. Goals show concern both with cognitive, affective, and psychomotor areas as evidenced by:
D D	Ω □	 Goals and stated objectives dealing with knowledge Goals and stated objectives dealing with the "feeling" and appreciation aspects of reading
П		3. Goals and stated objectives dealing with skills.
		C. A variety of interested persons had opportunity for input into program goals evidenced by planning com- mittee membership for:
 []	о 0	 Teachers (tutors and/or tutor trainers) The principal or director
	0	3. Representative parents
0	<u> </u>	 The school or system reading specialists Learners
ā		6. Special resource people in the community
		who can contribute 7. Other (Specify).
	III.	Instruction
		A. The staff provides the several types of diagnostic assessment necessary for differentiated teaching as evidenced by:
O	0	 Measures of the appropriate level of develop- mental instruction for each individual (using techniques such as Individual Reading Inventory, the Spacke Diagnostic Scale, or the Gilmore Oral Reading Test).
۵	מ	 Estimations of each learner's optimal level of learning in reading and comparisons with his actual level to determine adequacy of growth (using observations, carefully interpreted
Ω	۵	aptitude tests, etc.) 3. Use of screening, or diagnostic survey instruments to locate each learner's major strengths and weaknesses in language and reading growth.



Predate	Postdate		
tı		4.	Use of analytical diagnostic instruments and systematic observations to pinpoint precisely any student's difficulties in the areas of language and reading growth: concept development, or al vocabulary, listening skill, speech fluency, work-attack skills, comprehension, rate adjustment, study skills, work habits, and literary appreciation.
ס		.5.	Program design to determine the best learning mode
٥	ם	6.	for individuals having difficulty. Detection of subtle learning disabilities that dictate special program adjustments for some individuals (using symptoms checklist)
n	ם	7.	Use of observations and/or inventories to determine each child's attitude toward reading.
O	ū	8.	Assessment of whether speech and reading difficulties are caused by certain patterns of linguistic interference from another language or dialect.
מ	۵	9.	Use of reading records to determine the quantity and
מ	۵	10.	quality of reading done Uses of individual checklists to record each child's language and reading skills progress.
	В.	nec	estaff provides the several phases of instruction sessary for a complete reading program as evidenced by: Systematic introduction to all learners of the several sets of developmental language and reading skills required for effective communication.
م ممم مم م م			a. Early perceptual, conceptual, vocabulary, and speech knowledge and skill b. Listening skills (continued) c. Oral communication skills (continued) d. Work-attack skills e. Literal comprehension tasks f. Interpretive comprehension tasks g. Evaluative critical comprehension tasks h. Rate adjustments i. Study skills in content materials j. Work habits k. Literacy appreciation skills and habits
מ	ם	2.	Reteaches those specific language and reading skills which some individuals have not attained or retained.
D	ם	3.	Involves all learners in many pleasurable reading and language activities which motivate them to read extensively beyond the developmental program.

C. The staff differentiates the levels and content of instruction in various phases of the program in accordance with the diagnostic assessments being made continuously as evidenced by:



Predate	Postdate			
	Ŋ		1.	Avoidance of whole-class instruction except occasionally for special purposes.
ם	0		2.	Provision for small group or individual instruc- tion in the developmental skills areas.
	ם		3.	Selection of individuals or temporary small groups for reteaching of skills, and rotating skills.
0	ם		4.	Grouping of learners for reading-language activity projects differently than for developmental instruction.
		D.	for	staff utilizes different methods and techniques teaching according to diagnostic findings as denced by:
נו	ם		1.	Use of a combination of analytic and synthetic approaches to word attack
0			2.	Use of inductive techniques when suitable
D.	ם		3.	Use of kinesthetic rechniques for certain subtle learning disability cases
נז			4.	Use of taped listen-and-look approach for certain subtle disability cases and learners who rely heavily on auditory perception.
		E.		staff shows genuine concern for each learner as individual person as evidenced by:
ם	ם		1.	Informal visits with individuals about their worries, their personal difficulties, and home problems, and their siblings, etc.
П	a		2.	
Ü	0		3.	
ם	מ		4.	
Ω	ם		5.	· · ·
() []			6. 7.	Records to inform each learner of his/her progress
		IV. Sta	ff	
		Α.	str	staffing patterns in the classroom or at an in- actional site are differentiated as evidenced by use of:
מ	ם		1.	Professional Classroom Personnel a. Professional Teacher



Predate	Postdate		•
П	E)		b. Senior Teacher
	Ø		c. Master Teacher
		2	. Classroom Support Personnel
	ο΄		a. Assistant teacher
۵			b. Paraprofessional aides
D	D	•	c. Parent aides
	מ		d. Student aides
0	נו		e. Peer aides
		:	Administrator/Supervisory Support Personnel
0	D		a. District Director or Chief Administrator
อ็	ā		b. Site administrator
Ü	Ö		c. Reading consultant
ñ	Ē		d. Language arts coordinator
D	[]		e. School/community coordinator
		ė.	4. Special Resource Personnel
מ	П		a. Diagnostician
E)	П		b. School psychologist
Ō	D		c. Educational planner
D	D		d. Subject area specialist
ם	נו		The staffing pattern and contact ratios are small enough for effective instruction to take place.
C			The Classroom teacher/student contact ratio at school sites is 1 - 25 or less.
			Instructional competencies of staff and support services personnel are evidenced by an understanding of:
D	ם		l. Application of the principles of learning
D	מ	:	2. The nature and structure of the American English
Ē.	D		language 3. Psycho socio-economic, linguistic and cultural
-			interference factors in the reading and language
_	_		learning process
C			4. Development of early language and perceptual skills
D	D		Continuous development of language skills in social situations
	C		6. Reading and language components in the curriculum
			as well as varied instructional techniques
	Ö		7. Continuous diagnostic assessment of student growth
C	D	,	8. Classroom or instructional program organization for differentiated teaching
O	n		9. Approaches to a complete program of word-attack
-	_	_	skills
ם	מ	1	O. An appreciation for literature, comprehension skills, work-type reading, and study skills



Predate	Postdate				
			11.	Special reading difficulties as subtle learning disabilities	
<u> </u>	<u>.</u>		12. 13.	The student with special linguistic backgrounds Principles of educational planning and achieving performance objectives.	
		Ε.	Sta	ff Development has been provided for as evidenced by:	
D	ם		1.	A continuing inservice education program broadly conceived and task oriented as well as needs oriented	
			2.	Total staff participation in planning and inservice education program	
О	ם		3.	Utilization of consultants and technical assistants from within the system and outside as needs dictate.	
		F.		e definitions and task assignments have been pub- ned, distributed, and training provided for:	
0	0			Certificated staff Classified staff	
ם	D		3.	Aides	
D	D		4.	Volunteers	
		V. Lea	adersl	nip Development	
		Α.	1ead	site administration's knowledge and skill in dership development for reading is being arged by:	
0 0 0	0 0 0		1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Site visits to known exemplary programs Special training seminars or workshops Personal study of reading programs College or university credits related to reading Attendance at state, regional or national reading conferences Visits work sessions within the school during reading instruction.	
		в.		reading specialist's knowledge and skill in leader- p development for reading is being enlarged by:	
0 0 0	0 0 U		1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Site visits to know exemplary programs Special training seminars or workshops Personal study or reading programs College or university credits related to reading Attendance at state, regional, or national reading conferences	
0	a		6.	Visits work sessions within the school during reading instruction	
מ	ច		7.	By preparing and/or demonstrating materials designed to improve effectiveness in staff develop-	



Predate Postdate

VI. Materials and Facilities

		Α.	The educational setting within which the learner is expected to develop skill in reading is conducive to optimum growth in the realization of stated objectives as evidenced by:
0	0		 Availability of space suitable for instructional and assessment activities by individual, small group, and large groups
a	ם		2. Provision for the use of audio-visual and other mechanical and electronic devices for suple-mentary reading activities in various settings for various size groups
	ם		3. Adequate and appropriate lighting in classroom and study areas
Ci	D		4. Safeguards for the control of auditory sensation at a level possible for maximum instructional operations
B	מ		5. Available facilities for the identification and/or remediation factors or conditions which may contribute to a diminution of the learner's general stamina and physical well-being (i.e., malnutrition, grandular disorders, visual and hearing handicaps, speech defects, etc.)
		В.	The materials of instruction are varied and appropriate to the instructional object ves of the reading program as well as to the developmental needs of the learner, as evidenced by:
			1. Materials available for:
	Ü		a. developmental reading instruction (basal series and multi-level series with accompanying manuals, workbooks, inventories, test charts, etc.)
a	t)		b. content-field reading instruction - (sequential subject-field series with accom-
IJ	מ		panying manuals, workbooks, etc.) c. reading games, devices, programmed aides - (for reinforcement, reteaching, and development)
מ			 Provision for a school library* and/or classroom library to function as an integral part of the total reading instruction program, and its services to include materials for individualized, develop- mental, and remedial reading.

^{*} Library is used here to also denote a multi-media center that provides all types of resource materials and serves as an instructional area for developing library skills and knowledge.



Predate	l'ostdate	
	D	3. The library's varied book collection offering the learner opportunities for reference reading,
נז		research, and wide reading at each level of skill. 4. Use of American Library Association guidelines for school library programs and the recommendations of the faculty and community pertaining to the
ם	٥	quantities and types of books needed. 5. Demonstration by the reading instructors of their ability to operate and use in creative ways the
ם	נו	 available materials and instructional devices. 6. Reading instructors involvement in assessing and selecting the reading materials and devices.
	vII.	Community Involvement
		A. Attempts have been made to acquaint the general community of the need and purpose of the reading program as evidenced by:
	0	1. Newsletters
n D	턴 0	 Meetings Announcements by mass media
ט ני	ם	4. Other (Specify)
		B. Understanding of the reading program by the general community is being promoted as evidenced by:
n	D	1. Staff meetings with interested groups
D D		 Special community meetings Parent (adult) classroom visitations
	D	4. Other (specify)
		C. Community involvement in setting the goals and/or purposes of the reading program was evidenced by:
		1. Representation on the planning group
		2. Participating in establishing goals for the progra
D	ם	3. Other (Specify)
		D. Active participation of the community in the implementation of the program as evidenced by:
<u>Ci</u>	E .	1. Tutors or volunteer aides assisting with reading
D.	(3)	 Volunteers having attended training sessions for aides who work in the reading program
D	מ	3. Community people serving as interpreters or
ם	D.	liasons to community groups 4. Other (Specify)



Predate Postdate

VIII. Environment and Background

		of environmental press on the learner as evidenced by personal contacts and valid information on:
0000000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1. Economic status 2. Attitude toward community (and visa-versa) 3. Attitude toward school 4. Physical conditions of home 5. Parent educational background 6. Parent national background 7. Type and degree of cultural identity 8. Linguistic nature of language used in home 9. Authority structure in home 10. Special events which might have been experienced possibly being the cause of remarkable behavior, i.e.
D D D	ti n o	 a. family member in prison b. death or critical illness in family c. a wartime hero in family d. outstanding sports hero e. acquisition of wealth by some family member
		B. The staff gives evidence of an understanding of human behavior and the culturally diverse experience back- ground of students, self, and colleagues by membership and/or participation in:
D	D	 Professional organizations or associations in the social and behavior sciences, civic or human care services
ŋ	ם	 Civic or human care services, organizations, or associations
D		3. School/community organizations or associations
п	D)	 Bilingual/bicultural or multi-lingual and multi- cultural experiences
Ū	מ	5. Active participant or leadership roles in professional education organization or association
E	O	6. Community organizations or associations
D	ñ	7. Travel or study in other lands or cultures
Ö	מ	8. Reading or special training in human behavior, racial and ethnic group relations, or international education
Û	מ	 Personal or professional counseling or guidance activities.

A. Records show that the staff has considered the effect

IX. Program Evaluation, Auditing, Reporting

A. Program evaluation is being carried out as an ongoing function in the reading program as evidenced by:



Predate	Postdate			
U	D			Use of documentation on objectives for replanning
	Ü		2.	Systematic monitoring and logging of pregram implementation
۵	0		3.	Other (Specify).
		В.	or var tec	formation used in evaluation of learner progress program effectiveness comes from not one but a lety of sources and from both formal and informal hniques as evidenced by continuous and systematic of:
ם	מ		1.	
נו	מ	\	2.	Learner, teacher, and parent questioning about learner interests and reading
D	0		3.	•
. a	Ü		4.	
<u>ה</u>	\mathfrak{v}	•	5.	Comments from other teachers .
Ö	Ď			Worksheet exercises
				Learner check lists
ם	Ω		8.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
[]				Teacher-made tests
	Ü		10.	tandardized reading tests Criterion referenced tests - when available and
IJ	n			when keyed to program objectives
E.	n		12.	
		c.	ext	ding evaluation includes an assessment of the ent to which learners use the skills they sess as evidenced by:
D	D		1.	Reports of number and types of books read, based on information from teacher and/or librarian
D .	D		2.	
a	מ		3.	Learner report on how much he reads
Ü	D		4.	Teacher observation and reporting of student success in reading in the content areas.
		D.	tio	cher status and change in competence in reading instruct n and in attitudes toward reading instruction are essed as evidenced by information from:
ט	D		1.	•
D	0		2.	
D	ם		3.	of reading Principal, director, or reading specialist ratings of teachers' attitudes and practices in reading instruction
D	Ð		4.	Other (specify).



redate	Postdate	E. An external audit process has the reading program that:	been established for
0	ם	 Provides for audit examina list of stated goals 	tion of objectives in
۵	۵	Checks for compliance with system	internal evaluation
	۵	3. Provides for periodic audi	t of progress and
	מ	 Provides a final or annual that creats both process a 	
		F. A plan has been formulated for and results of the reading pro	
	Ω	1. A written program report	
	ם	2. Releases to mass media	. C
Ŋ	B	 Different kinds of reports audiences (consumers of th 	
{}	נו	4. Other (specify).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

MBH: jeb 1-10-74