## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 094 303

95

CG 009 111

AUTHOR

Hill, Thomas H. TITLE

An Analysis of the Relationship of Socio-Economic Status and Self-Concept to Success and Sex in Adult

Basic Education Programs. Final Report.

Missouri Univ., Columbia. Coll. of Education. INSTITUTION Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. SPONS AGENCY

PUB DATE Feb 74

GRANT OEG-7-72-0029 (509)

NOTE

MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE EDRS PRICE

DESCRIPTORS \*Academic Achievement: \*Adult Education: \*Adult

Students; Analysis of Variance; Research Projects;

\*Self Concept; Sex Differences; \*Socioeconomic

Background: Success Factors

**IDENTIFIERS** \*Tennessee Self Concept Scale

#### ABSTRACT

This is the final report of a project designed to determine contributions of specific socio-economic and self concept factors to success or failure of students, by sex, in an Adult Basic Education program. More specifically, an attempt was made to answer the following question: Is it possible to differentiate among groups of Adult Basic Education students classified by success and sex on the basis of objective measures of socio-economic and self concept. The selection of study individuals was conducted, first by random sampling of all adults who enrolled and sequentially participated in the General Educational Development Test in the Hickman High School Adult Basic Education program, Columbia, Missouri. This random sample was then stratified into four different groups according to success and sex. The study analyzes the relationship of socio-economic status and self concept to success and sex in Adult Basic Education. The two instruments used were the National Opinion Research Center Socio-economic Scale and the Tennessee Self Concept Scale. Multiple discriminant analysis, intercorrelation among the different variables, and one way analysis of variance were used to analyze the data so that those variables which indicate academic success may serve to help in the preparation of teachers whose task is to teach adults. (Author/CJ)



SCOPE OF INTEREST NOTICE

The ERIC Facility has assigned this document for processing

In our judgement, this document is also of interest to the clearing-houses noted to the right, Indexing should reflect their special points of view.

∠E

FINAL REPORT

Grant No. OEG-7-72-0029(509)

AN ANALYSIS OF THE RELATIONSHIP
OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND SELF CONCEPT TO SUCCESS
AND SEX IN ADULT BASIC EDUCATION PROGRAMS

Project Director:

Frank Heagerty

Principal Investigator:

Thomas H. Hill

College of Education University of Missouri-Columbia Columbia, Missouri 65201

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPROOUCEO EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EOUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

February, 1974

U. S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare
Office of Education



1 600 W

ERIC\*

# PROPOSAL FOR RESEARCH AND/OR RELATED ACTIVITIES SUBMITTED TO THE U.S. COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION FOR SUPPORT THROUGH AUTHORIZATION OF THE BUREAU OF RESEARCH

Title:

A Multivariate Analysis of the Relationship of Socio-economic Status and Self Concept to Success and Sex in Adult Basic Education Programs

Contracting Agency:

The Curators of the University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri

Initiator:

Thomas H. Hill

Doctoral Candidate

University of Missouri-Columbia

Telephone: 449-5135

Area Code: 314.

Project Director:

Dr. Frank Heagerty

Dr. Frank Heagerty
Professor, Department of Education University of Missouri-Columbia Telephone: 449-9292 Ext. 22

Area Code: 314

Transmitted By:

Nonda Dr. Bob G. Woods, Dean

College of Education

University of Missouri-Columbia

Telephone: 449-9342

Area Code: 314

Contracting Officer

R. H. Bezoni, Comptroller

111 Jesse Hall

University of Massouri-Columbia

Telephone: 449-8041

Area Code: 314

Duration of Activity:

June 1, 1972 - November 30, 1973

Federal Funds Requested: \$6,440.00

Date Transmitted: February 10, 1972



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	OF TABLES	Page ii
	RACT	1
Τ.	Statement of the Problem	3
T. I.	Significance of the Problem	3
III	Research Frocedures	4
ě	Sample	4
	Instrumentation	4
	Statistical Analysis	5
IV.	Related References	5
٧.	Distribution and Use Made of Findings	6
۷I.	Analysis of the Data	7
Concl	usions	13
Recon	mendations	13
Bibli	ography	14



# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Fage	٠-
1	Summary of Analysis of Variance for Differences Between Means for Socio-economic Status and Success	8	
1.1	Neans and Standard Deviations for Socio-economic Status and Success	8	
III	Summary of Analysis of Variance for Differences Between Weans for Self Criticism and Success	9	
YI	Means and Standard Deviations for Self Criticism and Success	10	
7.	Summary of Analysis of Variances for Differences	10	
ΛI	Mean and Standard Deviation for Positive Score and Success	11	
AII	Summary of Analysis of Variances for Differences Between Means for Social Self and Success	11	
VIII	Means and Standard Deviations for Soc- ial Self and Success	12	
IX	Chi Square Value for Independence of Sex and Outcomes of Success or Failure on G E.D. Test	12	٠.,



### ABSTRACT

Title of Project: A Multivariate Analysis of the

Relationship of Socio-Sconomic Status and Self Concept to Success

and Sex in Adult Basic Education

Principal Investigator: Thomas H. Hill

Contracting Agency: The Curators of the University of

Nissouri-Columbia

Federal Funds: \$6,440.00

Proposed Dates: Beginning: June 1, 1972

Ending:

Problem: The purpose of this study is to determine contributions of specific socio-economic and self concept factors to success or failure of students, by sex, in an Adult Basic Education program. More specifically, an attempt will be made to answer the following question: Is it possible to differentiate among groups of Adult Basic Education students classified by success and sex on the basis of objective measures of socio-economic and self concept.

democratic society goes without question. However, recent census figures reveal rather startling information. According to a 1969 Bureau of Census report, about six million adults or 5.6 percent of the total population had not completed five years of formal schooling and forty-six percent are not high school graduates. A 1960 census report revealed that over fifty percent of individuals receiving welfare are functionally illiterate. The dropout rate in Adult Basic Education programs is approximately forty percent.



In light of the above information, it is important to have an improved understanding of characteristics of adults who were successful in academic work as compared to those who were not, so that we would be better enabled to prepare student teachers for the task of teaching adults. Research procedures: The selection of individuals will be conducted, first by random sampling of all adults who enrolled and sequentially participated in the General Educational Development Test in Elckman Eigh School Adult Basic Education program. Columbia, Missouri. This random sample will then be stratified into four different groups according to success and sex. study will analyze the relationship of socio-economic status and self concept to success and sex in Adult Basic Education. The two instruments to be used are the National Opinion Research Center Socio-economic Scale and the Tennessee Self Concept Scale. Multiple discriminant analysis, intercorrelation among the different variables and one way analysis of variance will be used to analyze the data.

### I. THE PROBLEM

Statement of the Problem: The purpose of this study is to determine contributions of specific socio-economic and self concept factors to success or failure of students, by sex, in an Adult Basic Education program. The socio-economic factor employed in this study is socio-economic status; and the self concept factors are self criticism, self esteem and sense of adequacy and worth.

More specifically, the problem of this study may be considered as an attempt to answer the following questions:

- 1. Is it possible to differentiate among groups of Adult Basic Education students classified by success and sex on the basis of an objective measure of socio-economic status?
- 2. Is it possible to differentiate among groups of Adult Basic Education students classified by success and sex on the basis of objective measures of self concept?

#### II. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROBLEM

The value of continual education in a democratic society goes without question. The area of concern is how can all individuals realize their fullest potential. At a time when change is making a complex technological society, the importance of education is magnified.

The understanding of characteristics of adults who were successful in academic work as compared to those who were not, should enable us to prepare more adequately for the task of teaching adults. This is especially true of the subjects of this study, adults who have acquired most or a significant



portion of their education outside formal school settings. The students who are overly critical of themselves may tend to view themselves as inadequate in an academic setting. Those who approach life in unrealistic ways may have unsuccessful methods of study and work. Thus a knowledge of an individuals self concept is useful to a teacher in helping that individual improve his chances for success in academic pursuits. If, indeed, there is a significant relationship between a positive self concept and success, teachers of adults should devote a portion of their class time to improvement of the individual's own perceived image.

# TTI. RESEARCH PROCEDURES

Sample. The population will consist of all adults, approximately seventy-five, who envolled and sequentially participated in the General Educational Development Test in Hickman Adult Basic Education Program, Columbia, Missouri, since its inception in 1966. Adult Basic Education is an integral part of the overall Adult Education program at Hickman High School and has served the central Missouri area in a comprehensive manner. The sample for this study will first be obtained by random sampling the population and then will be stratified into four different groups according to success and sex. The sample will consist of forty students (Nunnally, p. 355).

Instrumentation. The two instruments to be used in the study are the National Opinion Research Center (NORC) Socio-economic scale and the Tennessee Self Concept Scale. To measure



secio-economic status, the NORC socio-economic scale, created by Otic Dudley Duncan in 1947 and consisting of ninety selected occupations, will be administered. This scale yields one score. The nature of this scale can be found in Reiss (1961). The Tennessee Self Concept Scale consists of 100 self descriptive statements which the subject uses to portray his own picture of himself. Three sub-tests of the scale were chosen as having the most significance for this study. (1) The Self Criticism Score --This scale is composed of ten items that are all mildly derrogatory statements. High scores indicate a normal capacity for self criticism while low scores indicate defensiveness. The Total Positive Score -- This is the most important single score and reflects the overall level of self esteem. (3) Social Self--This is "self as perceived in relation to others" and reflects the person's sense of adequacy and worth in his social interaction with other people.

Statistical Analysis. Multiple discriminant analysis will be used to analyze the data. (William P. Cooley, 1962). In addition to the multiple discriminant analysis, inter-correlation among the different variables and one way analysis of variance will be computed. The data will be collected during mid 1972.

## IV. RELATED REFERENCES

Many studies have been conducted in the area of persistence and motivational factors in adult education. Irwin R. Jahns (1970. p. 215), writing in Adult Basic Education: The State of the Art relates that "no efforts have been directed toward



exploring the social and economic benefits that accrue from participation in Adult Basic Education." In light of this statement, it appears that research is needed in this area.

Caplin (1969, pp. 13-16), in a study of the relationship between self concept and academic achievement found that a higher self concept may contribute to higher achievement and higher achievement in turn may contribute to a higher self concept.

Trowbridge (1970, pp. 304-306), conducted a study on the effects of socio-economic class on self concept of children. While investigating the effectiveness of a teacher training program designed to humanize the classroom some unexpected results were found concerning the self concepts held by children of different socio-economic groups. Two hypotheses suggested from this study are: (1) the teacher with a high self concept somehow transfers this self concept to his students and (2) this transfer generates a feeling of greater self worth in the students. Children of low socio-e onomic class, culturally disadvantaged consistently had a more positive self concept than did children of advantaged areas.

Brookover. Erickson and Joiner (1967, pp. 392-400), found a positive relationship between self concept and academic achievement.

# V. DISTRIBUTION AND USE TO BE MADE OF FINDINGS

The proper utilization of the findings from this study will be an added strong point of the entire project. As mentioned earlier in the project, no record was found of any formal study



socio-economic benefits accruing from participation in adult basic education. If the major questions of this study can be supported, the impact should be significant and should set the stage for numerous other facets of research study in this area.

Dissemination of the summary of the findings, conclusions and recommendations of this study will be:

- 1. Made available as an unpublished doctoral dissertation in the University of Missouri-Columbia General Library
- Wicrofilmed and accessible through this medium at the University of Missouri-Columbia General Library
- 3. Written and submitted for journal publication
- 4. Presented as a research paper at appropriate professional meetings

#### VI. ANALYZIS OF THE DATA

The original intent of this research project was to utilize multiple discriminant analysis in analyzing the data. However, the resultant lack of adequate number of subjects, minimum of ten per cell as required by multiple discriminant analysis, necessitated the use of the analysis of variance and the chi-square test.

The population consisted of the 52 adults who enrolled and participated in the General Educational Development Test in Hickman Adult Basic Education Program, Columbia, Missouri, since its inception in 1966. The sample consisted of the thirty-seven persons who willingly participated in this study.

The purpose of this study was an attempt to answer the questions referred to in Section I of the proposal.



# Problem I

Is it possible to differentiate among groups of Adult
Basic Education students classified by success and sex on the
basis of an objective measure of socio-economic status?

Table I
Summary of Analysis of Variance for Differences Between
Means for Socio-economic Status and Success

SOURCE OF VARIANCE	DEGREES OF FREEDOM	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN <u>SQUARE</u>	"F" VALUE	.05 LIMIT
Between	3	1008.435	366.145	5.66 <b>3</b> *	2.90
Within	<u>33</u>	1958.754	59.356		
	36	2967.189			

\*Significant at the .05 level of confidence

The F ratio resulting from the analysis of variance was 5 663 which was statistically significant at the .05 level of confidence. Analysis of variance among socio-economic status and success is shown in Table I. According to the data in Table I, it would appear that those who are involved in Adult Basic Education programs should be cognizant of the possibility that low socio-economic status individuals have a statistically significant tendency toward failure on the General Education Development Test.

Table II

Means and Standard Deviations for
Socio-Economic Status and Success

TYPE OF SUBJECT	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	<u>NUMBER</u>
Male Pass Male Fail Female Pass Female Fail	64.500 52.500 63.368 51.667	6.360 4.153 7.253 9.700	8 4 19 6
Grand	58,009	6.866	9.250



The data in Table II disclose that the male pass mean of 64.500 and the male fail mean of 52.500 was only slightly greater than the female pass mean of 63.368 and female fail mean of 51.667, respectively. The real significant difference appears to lie in the mean differences between success rather than sex.

## Problem II

Is it possible to differentiate among groups of Adult
Basic Education students classified by success and sex on the
basis of objective measures of self concept?

Table III

Summary of Analysis of Variance for Differences

Between Means for Self Criticism and Success

COURCE OF VARIANCE	DEGREES OF FREEDOM	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	"F" VALUE	.05 LIMIT
Between	3	429.573	143.191	7.347	2.90
Within	<u>39</u>	643.129	19.489		
Total	43	1072.702			

\*Significant at the .05 level of confidence

The F ratio of 7.347 was statistically significant. Analysis of variance among the self criticism portion of the total self concept and success is shown in Table III. Those individuals with a high self criticism score would appear to have a normal capacity for self criticism, and based on the data as revealed in Table III, are successful in the G.E.D. Test. Individuals with low scores have a tendency toward defensiveness and failure on the G.E.D. Test.



Table IV
Frame and Standard Deviations for

Self Criticism and Success

34.34B

tor in acrieving success on the U.R.D. Test.

Female Para

ly UT	`\						
	9 0	20 Table 2 Care 1 Table 1			Standa	rd	
<u>ub</u>	ec	<u>t</u>	<b>Koa</b>	<u>rı</u>	<u>eviati</u>	<u>on</u>	Number
	9 m.		i faran				
116		iss	1.1.12	5	3.951		8
ale	3 3:	iil	29.50	0	4.557		4

Female Fail 33.167 3.387 6

Grand 34.790 4.072 9.250

The significant difference between the means of the subjects who passed and those who failed is illustrated in Table IV.

Table 7
Sure runof Analysis of Variances for Differences

This indicates that a positive self criticism attitude is a fac-

	GOUPOB	) OF	B. KE	27 07	SUM OF S	MEAN	4.54	.05
	7ARIAN	<u> 118  </u>	 EERRE	<u></u>	SQUARES	SQUANES	VALUE	LIMIT
	y de significações de la compansa de		The age of the	e diament di ding			undate de 18	
	Betwee	n .		31	7074.827	2358.27	1.926	2.90
		排除的			4일 전시 환경했			
ė.	Within			<u> 33 4</u>	<u>0415.498</u>	1224.712		
				36	7490.325			

Data in Table V indicates that there is no significant difference between the individuals positive score and success on the G.2.2 Test. The positive score indicates a feeling of personal value worth which is reflected in positive behavior.

Table VI

Suan and Standard Deviation

Tor Socitive Score and Success

		STANDARD DEVIATION	NUMBER
Nate lass Nate Pail Perale rass Femule Pail	317.625 332.500 352.505 345.833	11.187 21.789 36.288 24.100	8 4 19 6
Orand	337.463	23.34	9.250

The analysis of the data in Table VI revealed a lack of significant evidence of a relationship between an individual's feeling of value and worth and success on the C.E.D. Test:

Table VII

Dummary of Analysis of Variances for Differences
Between Means for Social Delf and Duccess

ECURCE CE MIRTANCE	DOTALL OF	COUAPEG	MEAN SQUARTO	VATUE	.05 <u>Limit</u>
Be tween		208.716	69.572	1.158	2.90
Within	11 12 13 13 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1982.257	60.068		in (Albania Albania) Albania Albania Albania Albania Albania
	34	2190.973			

The lack of a significant difference in Table VII reflects the individual's feelings of adequacy as it relates to success.



Table VIII

		CIANDAR DEVIATI	<u> </u>
Male Pass Mole Fail	66.875 60.750	0.188 1.51.9 □ 184	8 ! \
Female Pass Female Fair	71 000	779	6 9.250
Craid	68,012	6.974	9.250

tent lack of a relationship between the social self and success on the G. E. D. Test.

Table IX

Chi Equare Value for Independence of Sex and Culcomes

Of Success or Pailure on G.E.D. Test

	P: 35	FAIL	TATOT
		1	12
	7.0	6	25
FERALE			
STAI	27	70	37-

Cri House volue of .013 is just might ficant at the .05

### Conclusions

Within the limitations of this study and in view of the above analysis of the data, the following conclusions were warranted:

- (1) The adults with high socio-economic status tend to realize a greater degree of success in the G.E.D. Test.
- (2) Of the three facets of self concept only self criticism showed a significant relationship to success indicating that healthy openness and capacity for self criticism were contributing elements.

#### Recommendations

During the research for this study, certain problems and questions were raised which appear to warrant further investigation: Among these problems, the following seem to have special significance:

- (1) A more detailed study of the various self concept factors in relationship to success in Adult Basic Education
- (2) A study designed to measure teachers' and administrators' awareness of the importance of socioeconomic status and self concept in Adult Basic Education students



#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Axelbred, Frederick J., "Effects of Growth Groups on Self Concept as Measured by the Tennessee Self Concept Scale," American Personnel and Guidance Association, 23:14, March, 1970.
- Brookover, Wilber B., Erickson, Edsel L. and Joiner, Lee M., "Educational Aspirations and Educational Plans in Relation to Academic Achievement and Socio-economic Status," School Review, 75:392-400, Winter, 1967.
- Caplin, Morris D., "The Relationship Between Self Concept and Academic Achievement," The Journal of Experimental Education, 37:13-16, Spring, 1969.
- Cooley, William W. and Lohnes, Paul R., Multivariate Procedures for the Behavior Sciences. (New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1962).
- Fitts, William H., <u>Tennessee Self Concept Scale</u>. (Nashville, Tennessee, Counselor Recordings and Tests, Box 6184-Acklen Station, 1965).
- Jahns, Irwin R., "Adult Basic Education Research," Adult Basic Education: The State of the Art, William S. Griffith and Ann P. Hayes (ed), (Dept. of Educ., The University of Chicago, March, 1970), p. 215.
- Nunnally, Jum C., <u>Psychometric Theory</u>. (New York, McGraw-Hill, 1967).
- Reiss, Albert J., Jr. and Others: Occupations and Social Status. (New York, Free Press, 1961).
- Trowbridge, Norma, "Effects of Socio-economic Class on Self Concept of Children," <u>Psychology in the Schools</u>, 12:304-306, 1970.
- Self Concept in Adult Participation. Conference reports and Bibliography. Syracuse University, November, 1969.
- National Opinion Research Center. Socio-economic Scale (University of Chicago, 6030 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois).
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Current Population Reports," Series p-20, No. 194

