#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 091 090

RC 007 844

AUTHOR

Thomas. Kathervn Ann

ጥፐጥፒድ

Unrealistic Development of Frames of Aspirational

Reference of Rural Negro and White Girls: A

Refutation of Popular Theory.

INSTITUTION

Texas A and M Univ., College Station. Texas

Agricultural Experiment Station.

SPONS AGENCY

Cooperative State Research Service (DOA), Washington,

D.C.

REPORT NO

TAES-H-2611: USDA (CSRS)-S-61

PUB DATE

NOTE

38p.: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Rural Sociological Society (Denver, Colorado, August

1971)

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.85 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS

Academic Aspiration: \*Anglo Americans: Change Agents: Comparative Analysis; Family (Sociological Unit); Family Status: \*Females: High School Students: Housewives: Integration Studies: Motivation: \*Negroes: Occupational Aspiration: \*Predictor

Variables; Pregnancy; \*Rural Youth; Social Status;

Working Women

IDENTIFIERS

East Texas

## ABSTRACT

The paper reported findings from a 2-year (1966-68) panel study of status projection development during late adolescence. The analysis, which focused on black and white girls from rural East Texas, is sequential to previous studies (RC 007 777 and RC 007 842). The paper specifically examined the integration of girls! occupational and educational aspirations and expectations to their projections regarding marriage and future familial status roles. Comparison of the aggregate distributions suggested the frames of aspirational and anticipated reference of a substantially large proportion of the girls, black and white, were not integrated either at the time of the initial survey contact, when the girls were high school sophomores, or at the time of the second contact 2 years later. Expectations appeared to be slightly more integrated than aspirations in both years for whites. Generally, the association between marital-family orientations and career or educational aspirations and expectations tended not to increase inversely. The associations which did change were: (1) for whites, the association of desired age of marriage to occupational aspirations and the association of expectation to work outside the home to occupational and educational expectations; and (2) for blacks, the association between fertility expectation and education expectation. (KM)

UNREALISTIC DEVELOPMENT OF FRAMES OF ASPIRATIONAL REFERENCE OF RURAL
NEGRO AND WHITE GIRLS: A REFUTATION OF POPULAR THEORY\*\*

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE DF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

by Katheryn Ann Thomas Texas A&M University

Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rural Sociological Society, Denver, August, 1971. The research reported in this paper was supported by the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station as a contribution to TAES research project H-2611 and USDA (CSRS) project S-61, "Human Resource Development and Mobility in the Rural South." The author wishes to thank Dr. William P. Kuvlesky, Texas A&M University, for his constructive critique and suggestions.

\*Contents not to be cited without permission of the author.

\*\* Techinical article number \_\_\_\_ of the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station.

Katheryn Ann Thomas is research associate in the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas.

#### Introduction

Sociologists prominent in the field of occupational aspiration research have stressed the lack of viable theory to explain and predict status attainment (Haller and Miller, 1963), particularly the inability to explain the developmental process, per se (Kuvlesky, 1970; Cosby and Legere). Compounding and contributing to the latter is the lack of effort to test that theory which does exist. While sociologists have debated for a decade or more the relative merits of theories which characterize the occupational choice process as a rational decision-making process (Ginzberg, 1951; Super, 1953, 1957) or as essentially adventitious (Katz and Martin, 1962), few have attempted empirical research which was designed to resolve the argument or which would clarify and supplement these theories.

This paper reports findings from a two-year panel study of status projection development during late adolescence -- a period which comprised, for probably most of the youth in the sample, the latter part of the prework stage of their development. The paper is sequential to a related analytical effort by the author and associate (Thomas and Jacob, 1970) regarding occupational and educational aspirations and expectations of rural boys. This previous analysis failed to support the notion that occupational and educational status projections become progressively more realistic during this stage of youth's development.

The present analysis deals with the status projections of rural girls.

Unlike the previous one, it focuses on a factor which has been neglected



in status projection research but which would be an essential element of the rational decision-making process as it pertains to occupational and other status-role choice: congruency or integration of status projections within a frame of aspirational or anticipated reference. This concept seems especially critical to understanding the occupational-choice process of females. The study focuses specifically on the integration of girls' occupational and educational aspirations and expectations to their projections regarding marriage and future familial status-roles.



# RELEVANT THEORY AND RESEARCH

"Frame of aspirational reference" is a logical derivative of the concept, "status-set" (See Merton, 1957). Since individuals hold a number of positions or statuses simultaneously, it is probable that youth will orient themselves towards not one but a number of future statuses. They would, therefore, hold a set of status goals, more or less integrated and differentially valued — in Merton's terminology, "a frame of aspirational reference."

This concept has especial relevance to the status attainment process, because in order to predict specific goal-oriented behavior, one should not only have knowledge of the definition of an individual's goal towards a specific status, but his goal definitions towards other statuses, how his pursuit of the other goals may conflict with his pursuit of the specific goal in question and vice versa, and the differential value he places on his various goals. The concept, frame of aspirational reference, has especial relevance to the developmental process of status projections, because the frequently postulated increasing realism of youth would entail greater integration of the goals in an aspirational set so that pursuit of one would not conflict with pursuit of another. Primacy would be granted the goals most valued, and other goals would be redefined as necessary to effect their accommodation to those more valued.

The status attainment process of females offers a striking example of how pursuit of some goals, such as marriage and children, would conflict with the pursuit of others, such as career and education. Normative



behavior according to societal prescriptions that a woman be a wife and mother and grant primacy to the wife-mother roles conflicts with the commitment requisite to preparing for, establishing, and maintaining a career -- at least if the female is to compete effectively in the labor market. It seems likely that as girls approach adulthood and the time of decision-making, they will become more cognizant of the dilemma that will be facing them and, if the process of occupational or other status-role choice is indeed a rational one, they will attempt to realistically integrate these goals.

Past research demonstrates rural teenage girls project rather high occupational and educational attainment. Such projections are often unrealistic in view of prescribed marital-family status-roles and, as previous research suggests, in light of the girls' own marital-family aspirations and expectations (Kuvlesky, Obordo and Preston, 1969; Thomas, 1971). For most of the girls, realistic integration of their goals would entail edefinition and accommodation of egoistic, achievement goals to aspired marital-family status-roles. While for some accommodation may work in the converse — that is, marital-family goals may be redefined in order to accommodate career or educational goals — it is likely that as most girls grow older, they become more cognizant of the normative "feminine" role, more influenced by pressures to conform to this role and, consequently, more familially than career oriented.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See annotated bibliographies by Kuvlesky and Pelham, 1969, and Kuvlesky and Jacob, 1968, respectively, for a brief description of many of these research efforts and their findings.

The principal objective of this study is to explore if the status projections of girls do become more realistic in that they become more integrated during late adolescence, as the youth approach adulthood and the time of decision-making. Specifically, it is hypothesized that as girls redefine their marital-family aspirations to mean a greater projected commitment to marriage and familial status-roles, the lower their occupational and educational goals will become. Indicators of such redefinition of marital-family goals to be used in this study are: decrease in desired age of marriage, increase in number of children desired, decrease in desire to work outside the home after marriage, increase in value of desire to marry and raise a family relative to other goals.

Following the example of a number of other sociologists, aspirations are distinguished from expectations (Kuvlesky and Bealer, 1966). Extending this distinction to the concept of status-projection set, one may speak of a "frame of anticipated reference" (i.e., sets of expectations) as well as a frame of aspirational reference (Pelham, 1969).

Theoretically, expectations should reflect perceived barriers to goal attainment more than aspirations, and increased cognizance of probable goal-blockage is, thus, more likely to be reflected in change in expectations than in change in aspirations. As youth become aware of the conflicting demands of disparate goal pursuit, one would expect more change towards realistic integration within frames of anticipational reference than within frames of aspirational reference. This proposition will be tested using the following indicators of marital-family expectations:

Number of children expected and expectation to work outside the home after marriage.



A number of sociologists have studied aspirations and/or expectations within several goal areas simultaneously. (See among others: Kuvlesky and Thomas, 1971; Pelham, 1968; Kuvlesky and Doham, 1967; Youmans, 1965; Drabick, 1963; Middleton and Grigg, 1959; Morland, 1960; Sperry and Kivett, 1964; Schwarzweller, 1960). However, almost all of the studies have been limited to occupational and educational projections, and few of the authors have analyzed their results in terms of the congruency or integration of these projections. (A notable exception is Pelham, 1968.) Viewed in this perspective, the findings of at least two studies suggest that anticipated frames of reference may tend to be more integrated than aspirational frames of reference (See Drabick, 1963; Schwarzweller, 1960; and Pelham's interpretation of their results, 1968). However, there is virtually no evidence extant regarding whether or not youth's aspirations and/or expectations become more integrated as the youth mature and none, to the author's knowledge, regarding integration of the specific status areas of concern in this paper, marital-family vs. occupational and educational projections.

Race is used as an analytical control variable in this analysis, because Negro and white youth have been found to hold different orientations towards occupational and educational achievement and marriage and their families of procreation. Negro girls, in the rural South at least, appear to desire and anticipate less commitment to future marital-family status-roles in that they are more prone towards working outside the home after children and accord marital-family goals less importance relative to other goals than white girls (Kuvlesky, Obordo, and Preston, 1969; Thomas, 1971). Generally, Negro girls have been found to have somewhat lower occupational



aspirations and somewhat higher educational aspirations and expectations than white girls (Kuvlesky, 1969:8).

### METHODS

# The Sample

The sample is composed of Negro and white girls from rural East Texas. The girls were questioned in 1966, when they were high school sophomores, and again in 1968, regardless of their school status at that time. Eightynine percent of the original sample was recontacted in 1968. Only the girls who were interviewed both in 1966 and 1968 are included in this study. Because ethnicity and marital status are likely to be factors influencing marital-family orientations, Mexican-American and married boys and girls have been excluded from the sample.

Evidence of a general similarity in the 1966 marital-family projections of the girls who were and who were <u>not</u> recontacted in 1968 (as indicated by the low association between orientations and recontact status, Appendix Table 1) suggests the failure to recontact a small portion of the girls did not significantly alter the quality of the sample. Although many of the youth <u>not</u> recontacted had dropped out of school, a factor which may significantly have affected their 1968 orientations, it is likely that these girls subsequently married and, thus, would not have been eligible for inclusion in this study anyway.

All of the youth resided in rural, 2 East Texas at the time of the first

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The counties in the sample area were classified 100 percent rural in 1960 U.S. Census. None were adjacent to metropolitan areas.



interview, and the large majority maintained similar residence in 1968. The sample area was also characterized by low median family incomes<sup>3</sup> and a large Negro population.

The family backgrounds of the Negro and white girls were markedly different in certain respects, reflecting differences found between Negro and white communities generally. The Negro girls came from lower socio-economic backgrounds than the whites, their families were much larger and more often characterized by parental absence and marital instability (Appendix Table 2).

# Instruments

In 1966 all of the youth were administered questionnaires in school in a group setting. Most of the youth were questioned in similar manner in 1968. The majority of youth who had to be contacted individually were given personal interviews; a few had to be mailed questionnaires.

Occupational aspirations and expectations were elicited by openended questions which requested the respondents to state the occupation that they would most like to attain during their lifetime "if they were completely free to choose" and the occupation that they really expected to attain. The responses have been categorized as follows: (1) Professional; (2) Clerical-sales and skilled; (3) Semi- and unskilled.

Similar questions were used to elicit educational aspirations and expectations. However, they were structured whereby the respondents chose one among several alternative responses ranging from "quit high school"

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Median family incomes in the sample counties ranged from \$1,737 to \$2,451 per year.



to "college plus additional study."

Desired age of marriage was obtained from an open-ended question which asked the respondent to state the age at which she "would <u>like</u> to get married." The responses have been grouped into three categories: (1) 19 or less -- representing the girls who desired to marry almost immediately after finishing high school; (2) 20-22 -- representing those who desired to wait a few years, perhaps allowing themselves time to pursue egoistic goals, such as vocational education or junior college or a clerical or similar level career; (3) 23 or over -- representing those who may have been allowing themselves time to finish college or become well established in a career.

To elicit fertility projections, the respondents were asked how many children they <u>wanted</u> and how many they <u>expected</u> to have. Structured alternative responses ranged from "none" to "8 or more".

In answer to questions regarding their desires and expectations to work outside the home after marriage, the girls were requested to choose one of the following alternatives:

- 1. Not work outside the home at all.
- 2. Work part-time until I have a child.
- 3. Work full-time until I have a child.
- 4. Work part-time even after I have children.
- 5. Work full-time even after I have children.

To elicit relative importance of marital-family goals, the girls were asked to rank their desire "to get married and raise a family" and six other goals -- i.e., occupational, educational, leisure-time, money, place of residence, and material possessions -- by order of importance to



themselves. The responses have been grouped into three categories: High (rank of 1 and 2); Moderate (rank of 3-5); Low (rank of 6 and 7).

# Design for Analysis

Both aggregate and individual levels of analysis are utilized. The first to describe general patterns in frames of aspirational and anticipated reference; the second for more precise statistical testing.

The analysis will consist of: (1) a brief description of the girls' status projections in 1966 and how they changed in 1968; (2) looking at the association between marital-family status projections and occupational and educational projections at the two different points in time; (3) looking at the relationship between individual change in marital-family projections and concomitant change, if any, in occupational and educational projections, focusing particularly on the girls who evinced high occupational and educational aspirations and expectations in 1966.

#### ANALYSIS

# Status Projections as High School Sophomores

The aggregate distributions of the status projections the girls evinced when they were initially contacted as high school sophomores reveal a general lack of integration between their occupational and educational aspirations and expectations and their aspirations and expectations regarding marriage and future familial statuses and roles. (See Summary Table 1 and the more detailed distributions in Appendix Tables 3 to 12.)

For the white girls, the lack of integration appeared slightly more pronounced in regard to aspirations. About half or more of the girls,



Table 1. Summary of Salient Differences and Similarities in Girls' Orientations in 1966 and 1968 by Race.

Occupational:         1966         1968         1966         1968           Occupational:         % Desiring Professional         59         59         51         55           % Expecting Professional         42         35         55         40           Educational:         % Desiring College         46         49         55         61           % Expecting College         34         33         56         39           Marital-Family:         Mean Age of Marriage Desired         21.6         21.2         22.6         22.2           % Desiring to Marry at Age 20 or less         77         74         47         61           % Desiring to Marry at Age 20 or less         43         44         25         24           Mean No. Children Desired         3.0         2.9         3.0         2.9           % Desiring 2 or more Children         97         97         95         95           % Desiring 4 or more Children         32         39         39         35           Mean No. Children Expected         3.1         3.1         3.1         3.0           % Expecting 2 or more Children         99         98         93         92           % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Childre		Wr	nite	Negr	0
S Desiring Professional   59   59   61   55     Expecting Professional   42   35   55   40     Educational:   50   55   40     Educational:   50   55   55   40     Educational:   50   55   55   40     Expecting College   46   49   55   61     Expecting College   34   33   56   39     Marital-Family:   Mean Age of Marriage Desired   21.6   21.2   22.6   22.2     Desiring to Marry at   Age 22 or less   77   74   47   61     Age 20 or less   43   44   25   24     Mean No. Children Desired   3.0   2.9   3.0   2.9     Desiring 2 or more   77   79   79   79   79     Desiring 4 or more   79   77   79   79   79     Desiring 4 or more   70   70   70   70   70     Desiring 4 or more   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting 2 or more   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting 2 or more   70   70   70   70   70     Desiring to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70     Desiring to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70     Desiring to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70   70   70     Desiring to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70   70   70   7	Orientations				
S Desiring Professional   59   59   61   55     Expecting Professional   42   35   55   40     Educational:   50   55   40     Educational:   50   55   55   40     Educational:   50   55   55   40     Expecting College   46   49   55   61     Expecting College   34   33   56   39     Marital-Family:   Mean Age of Marriage Desired   21.6   21.2   22.6   22.2     Desiring to Marry at   Age 22 or less   77   74   47   61     Age 20 or less   43   44   25   24     Mean No. Children Desired   3.0   2.9   3.0   2.9     Desiring 2 or more   77   79   79   79   79     Desiring 4 or more   79   77   79   79   79     Desiring 4 or more   70   70   70   70   70     Desiring 4 or more   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting 2 or more   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting 2 or more   70   70   70   70   70     Desiring to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70     Desiring to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70     Desiring to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70   70   70     Desiring to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70   70   70     Expecting to Work Outside   70   70   70   70   70   70   70   7	Occupational:				
# Expecting Professional 42 35 55 40  Educational:     Desiring College 46 49 55 61     Expecting College 34 33 56 39  Marital-Family:     Mean Age of Marriage Desired 21.6 21.2 22.6 22.2     Desiring to Marry at Age 20 or less 77 74 47 61  Desiring to Marry at Age 20 or less 43 44. 25 24  Mean No. Children Desired 3.0 2.9 3.0 2.9  Desiring 2 or more Children 97 97 95 95  Desiring 4 or more 32 39 39 35  Mean No. Children Expected 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.0  Expecting 2 or more Children 99 98 93 92  Expecting 4 or more Children 99 98 93 92  Expecting 4 or more 30 33 39 37  Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage 85 83 90 89  Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43  Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  Ranking Marital-Family		. 5 <b>9</b>	5 <b>9</b> ·	<u>చ</u>	5 <b>5</b>
Educational:    Desiring College				5 <b>5</b>	
Desiring College		•			
Marital-Family:         Mean Age of Marriage Desired         21.6         21.2         22.6         22.2           % Desiring to Marry at Age 22 or less         77         74         47         61           % Desiring to Marry at Age 20 or less         43         44.         25         24           Mean No. Children Desired         3.0         2.9         3.0         2.9           % Desiring 2 or more Children         97         97         95         95           % Desiring 4 or more Children         32         39         39         35           % Mean No. Children Expected         3.1         3.1         3.1         3.0           % Expecting 2 or more Children         99         98         93         92           % Expecting 4 or more Children         30         33         39         37           % Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage         85         83         90         89           % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children         17         10         52         43           % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage         86         89         83         78           % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children         28         28         53         38		46	49	<b>55</b>	
Mean Age of Marriage Desired 21.6         21.2         22.6         22.2           % Desiring to Marry at Age 20 or less Age 20 or lese 20 or less Age 20 o	% Expecting College	34	3 <b>3</b> .	<b>56</b>	. 39
## Desiring to Marry at Age 22 or less					
Age 22 or less 77 74 47 61  % Desiring to Marry at Age 20 or less 43 44 25 24  Mean No. Children Desired 3.0 2.9 3.0 2.9  % Desiring 2 or more Children 97 97 95 95  % Desiring 4 or more 32 39 39 35  Mean No. Children Expected 3.1 3.1 3.0  % Expecting 2 or more Children 99 98 93 92  % Expecting 4 or more Children 30 33 39 37  % Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage 85 83 90 89  % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43  % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78  % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  % Ranking Marital-Family		21.6	21 <b>.2</b>	22.6	22.2
## Desiring to Marry at Age 20 or less					
Age 20 or less       43       44.       25       24         Mean No. Children Desired       3.0       2.9       3.0       2.9         % Desiring 2 or more       Children       97       97       95       95         % Desiring 4 or more       32       39       39       35         Mean No. Children Expected       3.1       3.1       3.1       3.0         % Expecting 2 or more       Children       99       98       93       92         % Expecting 4 or more       Children       30       33       39       37         % Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage       85       83       90       89         % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children       17       10       52       43         % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage       86       89       83       78         % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children       28       28       53       38         Mean Rank of Family Goals       3.7       3.6       5.2       4.9         % Ranking Marital-Family       3.7       3.6       5.2       4.9		77	74	47	61
Mean No. Children Desired       3.0       2.9       3.0       2.9         % Desiring 2 or more Children       97       97       95       95         % Desiring 4 or more Children       32       39       39       35         Mean No. Children Expected       3.1       3.1       3.1       3.0         % Expecting 2 or more Children       99       98       93       92         % Expecting 4 or more Children       30       33       39       37         % Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage       85       83       90       89         % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children       17       10       52       43         % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage       86       89       83       78         % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children       28       28       53       38         Mean Rank of Family Goals       3.7       3.6       5.2       4.9         % Ranking Marital-Family       3.7       3.6       5.2       4.9					0.
## Desiring 2 or more Children					
Children 97 97 95 95 % Desiring 4 or more Children 32 39 39 39 35 Mean No. Children Expected 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.0 % Expecting 2 or more Children 99 98 93 92 % Expecting 4 or more Children 30 33 39 37 % Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage 85 83 90 89 % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43 % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78 % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38 Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9 % Ranking Marital-Family		3.0	2.9	. 3.0	2.9
## Desiring 4 or more Children		Ora	077	0.5	05
Children       32       39       39       35         Mean No. Children Expected       3.1       3.1       3.1       3.0         Expecting 2 or more       6       6       99       98       93       92         Expecting 4 or more       6       30       33       39       37         Expecting to Work Outside       85       83       90       89         Desiring to Work Outside       89       89       89         Expecting to Work Outside       17       10       52       43         Expecting to Work Outside       86       89       83       78         Mean Rank of Family Goals       3.7       3.6       5.2       4.9         Ranking Marital-Family       3.7       3.6       5.2       4.9	_	97	97	90	7) .
Mean No. Children Expected       3.1       3.1       3.0         % Expecting 2 or more       20       99       98       93       92         % Expecting 4 or more       30       33       39       37         % Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage       85       83       90       89         % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children       17       10       52       43         % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage       86       89       83       78         % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children       28       28       53       38         Mean Rank of Family Goals       3.7       3.6       5.2       4.9         % Ranking Marital-Family       3.7       3.6       5.2       4.9		39.	3 <b>a</b>	. 39	35
# Expecting 2 or more Children 99 98 93 92  # Expecting 4 or more Children 30 33 39 37  # Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage 85 83 90 89  # Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43  # Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78  # Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  # Ranking Marital-Family			- <del>-</del>		
Children 99 98 93 92  % Expecting 4 or more Children 30 33 39 37  % Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage 85 83 90 89  % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43  % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78  % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  % Ranking Marital-Family		<b>ノ•</b> ±	<b>742</b>	J•-	<b>J.</b>
% Expecting 4 or more Children 30 33 39 37  % Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage 85 83 90 89  % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43  % Expecting to Work Cutside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78  % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  % Ranking Marital-Family		99	98	93	92
Children 30 33 39 37  % Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage 85 83 90 89  % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43  % Expecting to Work Cutside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78  % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  % Ranking Marital-Family			,-		,
% Desiring to Work Outside Home after Marriage 85 83 90 89 % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43 % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78 % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38 Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9 % Ranking Marital-Family		30	3 <b>3</b>	39	<b>37</b>
Home after Marriage 85 83 90 89 % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43 % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78 % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38 Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9 % Ranking Marital-Family					
Home after Marriage 85 83 90 89 % Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43 % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78 % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38 Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9 % Ranking Marital-Family	% Desiring to Work Outside	•	•		•
% Desiring to Work Outside Home After Children 17 10 52 43  % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78  % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  % Ranking Marital-Family		85	83	90 ·	89
% Expecting to Work Outside Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78  % Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  % Ranking Marital-Family					
Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78  Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  Ranking Marital-Family	Home After Children	17	10	52	43
Home After Marriage 86 89 83 78  Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  Ranking Marital-Family	3				•
% Expecting to Work Outside Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9 % Ranking Marital-Family		,			
Home After Children 28 28 53 38  Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9  % Ranking Marital-Family		86,	89	83	78
Mean Rank of Family Goals 3.7 3.6 5.2 4.9 % Ranking Marital-Family					00
% Ranking Marital-Family	Home After Children	28	<b>28</b>	53	<i>3</i> 8
% Ranking Marital-Family		0 7	2 (	<i>5</i> 2	. Δ
		3.1	<b>3.</b> €	. 2.4	4.7
Coals high		21	νì	.19	٦٨ .
	Goals nign	<b>Σ</b> 1.	. 41		- <del></del>

regardless of race, aspired to professional occupations and a college education (Table 1). Yet the majority desired to marry in their early twenties; almost half of the white girls prior to age 21. Virtually all desired at least 2 children; as many as a third desired 4 or more. While it may be possible to combine career and familial roles to attain all of these goals, the overwhelming majority of the whites indicated they did not aspire to do so as long as children were in the home. Despite this desired devotion to the mother role, however, most of the white girls did not rank familial goals high relative to others.

Some of the white girls' expectations were different than their aspirations, producing what appears to be slightly more integration between expectations than aspirations. The white girls occupational and educational expectations were substantially lower than their aspirations, their fertility expectations about the same as their fertility aspirations, their expectation to work outside the home slightly greater than desire.

For the Negro girls, the general lack of integration of aspirations appeared slightly less than for whites. In the aggregate, their marital-family aspirations appeare to have been more aligned with their high occupational and educational aspirations. Whereas the latter were as high or higher than the white girls', in general the Negro girls desired to marry later and as many as one-half desired to work outside the home after having a child. Nevertheless, a significantly large proportion of Negro girls remains who expressed no desire to sacrifice the mother-role for a career. In contrast to this large proportion, however, almost none of the Negro girls ranked marriage and familial goals high relative to education and career.



The aspirations and expectations of the Negroes tended to be more similar than those of the whites. The greatest difference was that fewer expected than desired to work outside the home. Generally, the Negro girls' expectations appear no more integrated than their aspirations.

# Change in Projections After 2 Years

There was generally little change in the aggregate distributions of the status projections from 1966 to 1968 (See Summary Table 1 and Appendix Tables 3 to 12); suggesting little change generally in integration of frames of aspirational or anticipated reference.

The aggregate distributions revealed a slight decrease in 1968 in whites' and Negroes' desires to work outside the home after children, but the white girls showed no commitant lowering of occupational goals. If anything, this suggests slightly less, not greater, integration in frames of aspirational reference as the white girls matured. The decrease in Negro girls' desire to work outside the home was accompanied by a slight decrease in the proportion aspiring to professional careers. However, the proportion of Negroes desiring to marry at age 22 or less decreased substantially in 1968, while the proportion aspiring to graduate from college increased slightly.

Aggregate comparisons indicate no significant change in integration of expectations for either the white or Negro girls over the 2-year period. Although in 1968 fewer Negro girls expected high occupational and educational attainment, fewer also expected to work outside the home after marriage and after children.

If girls' occupational and educational aspirations and expectations



did become more integrated with their marital-family projections over time, one would expect the association between occupational or educational projections and marital-family orientation to have become more negative or inverse. That is, one would expect youth who evinced a "high" marital-family orientation (i.e., "high" meaning desire for early marriage, a large family, not to work outside home, and high ranking of marital-family goals) to have had significantly lower occupational and educational aspirations and expectations than those who showed a lower marital-family orientation, and that this inverse association would have increased with time. (In reference to the specific marital-family projections studied, one would expect an increase in the following correlations with occupational and educational aspirations and expectations:

desired age of marriage -- positive fertility projections -- negative projections for work outside the home -- positive rank of family goals -- negative)

Statistical analysis reveals few significant changes in this direction -- generally, marital-family orientation was not significantly associated with occupational or educational projection in 1966 or 1968 (See Summary Tables 2 and 3). The white girls who desired to postpone marriage to a later date than most of their counterparts did have higher occupational aspirations, and the whites who anticipated a greater commitment to work outside the home evinced higher occupational and educational expectations. However, there were two instances for the white girls where observed associations ran counter to the hypothesis. The expected association between desired age of marriage and educational aspiration and between fertility expectation and educational expectation appeared in 1966 but not in 1968.



Table 2. Statistical Analysis Measuring Association Between Occupational and Educational Status Projections

Relationship		. 1966			1968	
of Orientations	Significance at .05 level	Direction of Association	U	Significance at .05 level	Direction of Association	
Occupational Aspiration to:		-	٠.			
Desired Age of Marriage	NS			တ	Positive	777.
No. Children Dostred	NS			NS		
Desire to Work Outside Home Rank of Family Goals	NS NS			NS NS		
Occupational Expectation to: No. Children Expected Expectation to Work Outside Home	NS NS			NS S	Positive	67.
Educational Aspiration to:  Desired Age of Marriage No. Children Desired Desire to Work Outside Home Rank of Family Goals	S N NS NS	Positive	• 58	NS NS NS NS		
Educational Expectation to: No. Children Expected Expectation to Work Outside Home	S NS	Negative	.58	NS S	Positive	.61

Statistical Analysis Measuring Association Between Occupational and Educational Status Projections and Marital-Family Status Projections of Negro Girls in 1966 and 1968. Table 3.

Relationship		1966			1968	
Jo	Significance	Direction of	O	Significance	Direction of	ပ
Orientations	at .05	Association		at .05	Association	
	level			level		
Occupational Aspiration to:						
Desired Age of Marriage	NS			NS		
No. Children Desired	NS	-		NS		
Desire to Work Outside Home	NS			NS		
Rank of Family Goals	NS			NS		
Occupational Expectation to:						
No. Children Expected	NS			NS	:	
Expectation to Work Outside Home	. SN			NS		
Educational Aspirations to:						
Desired Age of Marriage	NS			NS		-
No. Children Desired	NS			NS		
Desire to Work Outside the Home	NS			NB		
Rank of Family Goals	NS			NB		
Educational Expectations to:						
No. Children Expected	NS		٠	<b>703</b>	Negative	•65
Expectation to Work Outside Home		•		NS		
		-				

For the Negro girls, a moderately-high inverse correlation was found between fertility expectation and educational expectation in 1968 which did not appear in 1966. This finding is tignificant for it suggests a substantial lowering of the Negroes educational expectations in 1968 might have been influenced in part by change in fertility expectations.

# Relationship of Change in Occupational and Educational Status Projections to Change in Marital-Family Projections

Despite the similarity of the aggregate distributions of responses in 1966 and 1968, substantial proportions of individuals did change their aspirations and expectations over the 2-year period (Appendix Table 13).

Table 4 summarizes results of statistics analyzing to what extent the change in marital-family projections was accompanied by change of the predicted direction in occupational and educational status projections. That is, to determine if the girls who increased emphasis on future marital-family status-roles, or increased marital-family orientation, (i.e., decreased desired age of marriage, increased fertility aspirations and expectations, decreased aspiration and expectation to work outside the home, and increased rank of marital-family goals) were more likely to decrease their occupational and educational projections than the girls who did not increase their marital-family orientation.

As shown, the association appeared significant only as it pertained to change in educational aspiration. White girls who raised their desired age of marriage and desire to work outside the home tended to also raise their educational aspiration. Negro girls who increased the rank importance of their marital-family goals accompanied this change with a lowering of



Summary of Statistical Analysis of Relationship of Change in Occupational and Educational Status Projections to Change in Marital-Family Orientation. Table 4.

Relationshin	M	White		Nepro	
Orientations	Significance at .05 level	Direction of C Association	Significance at .05 level	Direction of Association	0
Change in Occupational Aspiration to Change in:					
Desired Age of Marriage No. Children Desired Desire to Work Outside Home Rank of Family Goals	NS NS NS NB	•	NS NS NS		•
Change in Occupation Expectation to Change in:  No. Children Expected Expectation to Work Outside Home	NS NS		NS NS		
Change in Educational Aspiration to Change in:     Desired Age of Marriage No. Children Desired     Desire to Work Outside Home Rank of Family Goals	S S NS SN	Positive .38 Positive .44	NS NS S	Negative	.37
Change in Educational Expectation to Change in: No. Children Expected Expectation to Work Outside Home	NS NS		NS NS		· ·



educational aspirations.

Because a high marital-family orientation is more likely to interfere with professional-career and college attainment, the girls who aspired to such attainment in 1966 were isolated from the rest for analysis (Table 5). In many cases, substantially large proportions of the girls who increased their marital-family orientation from 1966 to 1968 gave up their professional-career and college aspirations and expectations. The data suggest that increase in relative valuation, or rank import, of marital-family goals was quite frequently accompanied by, and, thus, may have been especially influential in, the decrease of the occupational aspirations of these white girls and the educational aspirations of both these Negroes and whites. Decrease in expected involvement in work outside the home also was frequently accompanied by, and, thus, may have been especially influential in, the decrease of the occupational and educational expectations of these Negro girls. Indeed, the lowering of their expectations to work outside the home was almost always accompanied by a lowering of their educational expectations.

# Summary of Findings

Comparison of the aggregate distributions suggests the frames of aspirational and anticipated reference of a substantially large proportion of the girls, Negro and white, were not integrated either at the time of the initial survey contact, when the girls were high school sophomores, or at the time of the seond contact 2 years later. Expectations appeared to be slightly more integrated than aspirations in both years for the whites.

Generally, the association between marital-family orientations and



Percentage of 1966 Professional and College Aspirants and Anticipants Increasing Their Marital-Family Aspirations and Expectations Who Accompanied This Change with A Decrease in Occupational or Educational Aspiration and Expectations. Table 5.

Increase in		White				Negro		
Marital-Family	Professional	icnal	Col	College	Profe	Professional	Col	College
Orientations	Aspirants	Aspirants Anticipants	Aspirants	Aspirants Anticipants	Aspirants	Aspirants Anticipants	Aspirants	Aspirants Anticipants
Desired to Marry								
Earlier	77	1	07	1	33	!	. 27	· 1
Desired or Expected	3.1	30		άr	٤7	07	26	<i>ኒ</i> /
	٠	3			}	<del>1</del>	ì	}
Desired or Expected								•
Less Commitment to Work Outside Home	23	30	50	13	53	.55	27	. 68
Raised Rank of						*. -		
Family Goals	50	1	50		<u>للا</u>	1	55	1
			. •	. ;	:	č		

career or educational aspirations and expectations tended not to increase inversely, or negatively, as one would expect if frames of aspirational and anticipated reference do become more integrated over time. The associations between aspirations and expectations which did change as predicted were:

for the white girls, the association of desired age of marriage to occupational aspirations and the association of expectation to work outside the home to occupational and educational expectations;

for the Negroes, the association between fertility expectation and education expectation.

Despite aggregate similarities, many of the girls did change their status projections over the 2-year period, and there was a tendency for change in marital-family aspirations to be accompanied by change in educational, but not occupational, aspirations. Specifically, the data suggest that for the white girls an increase in or maintenance of educational aspirations may have influenced, or been influenced by, an increase in desired age of marriage and desire to work outside the home after marriage or children. For the Negroes, an increase in or maintenance of educational aspirations appears to have influenced, or been influenced by, a decrease in the ranking of marital-family goals. Change in marital-family expectations was not associated with the predicted change in either educational or occupational expectations.

Substantial proportions of the 1966 professional career and college aspirants and anticipants gave up these high occupational and educational projections if they raised their marital-family orientations during the 2-year period. The data suggest that for these Negro and white girls



change in rank import of marital-family goals may have especially influenced a decrease in their educational aspirations and, for the whites only, a decrease in their occupational aspirations. For the Negroes, decrease in expectations to work outside the home was also associated with a decrease of occupational and educational expectations.

#### CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

It is concluded that, generally, the aspirations of neither the white nor Negro girls in the sample became more integrated during this 2-year period of late adolescence. Statistical analysis of the association between change in the various status areas suggests that even in the isolated instance where the negative association between marital-family orientation and occupational aspiration did increase, it was not the result of individual effort to more realistically accommodate these goals. However, there does appear to have been an effort by a substantial number of the girls to realistically accommodate educational aspirations to marital-family orientations (or vice versa). The fact that the aggregate associations do not reflect this effort suggests this accommodation was counterbalanced by unrealistic change in the opposite direction of the aspirations of a sufficient number of the other girls in the sample.

As hypothesized, the girls' expectations appear to have become more integrated over time than their aspirations. This is indicated by the fact that the association between martial-family expectations and occupational and educational expectations increased negatively more than the associations between aspirations in these status areas. Again, however,



the associations between change in the various status areas does not indicate this result was due to individual effort to realistically accommodate the expectations. Indeed, such effort on the part of the sample in general appears to have been negligible.

On the other hand, substantial proportions of the Negro and white girls who, as high school sophomores, aspired to professional careers or college educations did appear to realistically accommodate, or integrate, their goals. And, of course, these are the girls who should probably be most concerned with accommodation, since pursuit of such lofty occupational and educational goals would be especially likely to conflict with marriage and family commitments.

Nevertheless, the aggregate distributions still indicate a lack of integration in frames of aspirational and anticipated reference of the sample generally throughout the period of adolescence studied -- especially for the white girls. Furthermore, the findings in general repute the hypothesis that status projections become more realistically integrated during this period. The theoretical implications of this latter conclusion are striking when viewed together with the conclusion of the Thomas and Jacob analysis (1970): that the boys of this sample generally did not define their occupational and educational aspirations and expectations more realistically in 1966 than in 1968. The findings bring into question the theory of rational occupational or other status-role choice and its correlate proposing realistic status-projection development.

The finding that the white girls had such imcompatible aspirations and expectations suggests they have internalized both "egoistic" and "feminine" values and goals (Douvan and Adelson, 1966). As late as the



senior year of high school, most appear not to have been able to reduce the confusion and ambivalence thus created. That is, the girls appear to have internalized the achievement orientation which so conspicuously characterizes American Society — an orientation not incompatible with the capabilities and skills they have developed as a result of America's universal educational system — without abandoning the normative prescription that females marry, have children, and devote themselves to the mother role.

That the Negro girls' expectations were slightly more compatible -a finding supported by previous research -- probably reflects some
cognizance of the conflict which would be involved in the pursuit of incompatible goals. Still, many of these girls appear not to have been aware
of the dilemma facing them. A likely consequence of confrontation with
this dilemma is - considering the aspirations and expectations of these
girls - frustration and perhaps anomie and aberrant behavior.

The process of development of Negro girls' frames of aspirational and anticipated reference appears to be different than the whites'. The Negroes experienced less realistic integration of status projections over time, but their projections were more integrated than the whites' to begin with. The Negroes' aspirations and expectations also appear to have been slightly more integrated than those of the white girls at the time of the final contact, in 1968, although the racial difference was not as marked at this later date.

The Negro girls appeared more willing to sacrifice familial commitment for occupational and educational attainment, a finding which corroborates



previous research results (See, among others: Antonovsky, 1967; Thomas, 1971; Kuvlesky and Obordo, 1969; Kuvlesky and Thomas, 1971). Perhaps this trend is the manifestation of a desire to dissociate themselves from their oppressive environment. Over time, however, the occupational and educational expectations of the Negro girls yielded somewhat to their marital-family status projections, resulting perhaps from a more realistic appraisal of their actual status-role attainment. The implications of their aspirations not following the same trend are frustration and, possibly, anomie.

It is hoped that this study has demonstrated the utility of the concepts, frames of aspirational and anticipated reference, for understanding occupational and other status-projection dynamics. These concepts seem especially applicable to the study of female status-role choice and attainment. As Psathas has pointed out, special theory is needed to explain the occupational-choice process of females, because there are unique considerations affecting women's occupational decisions (Psathas, 1958; also see Pavalko, 1971: 59-61). The interference of marital-family aspirations and expectations is perhaps the most salient of these considerations.

There is still the need for more research testing the theory that status projections become progressively more realistic as individuals mature. The findings of this and the Thomas and Jacob analysis suggest a possible revision or amplification of this theory as it applies to both boys and girls: for many youth, realistic development of status projections does not commence until after high school when individuals are more actively engaged in goal pursuit — perhaps not until the time when decision—making actually takes place in the labor market, in the family

of procreation, and so forth.



#### REFERENCES

- Antonovsky, Aaron
  - 1967 "Aspirations, class and racial-ethnic membership." The Journal of Nagro Education 36 (Fall): 385-393.
- Cosby, Arthur G. and C. L. John Legere "The occupational selection process: The argument for a cumulative model. "
- Drabick, Lawrence W.
  - 1963 The Vocational Agriculture Student and His Peers. Raleigh: North Carolina State University, Departments of Agricultural Education and Rural Sociology, Educational Research Series No. 1.
- Douvan, Elizabeth, and Joseph Adelson 1966 The Adolescent Experience. New York: John Wiley.
- Ginzberg, Eli
  - 1952 "Toward a theory of occupational choice." Personnel and Guidance Journal 30 (April): 491-494.
- Ginzberg, Eli and others 1951 Occupational Choice. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Haller, A. O. and I. W. Miller 1963 The Occupational Aspiration Scale: Theory, Structure, and Correlates. East Lansing: Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station, Technical Bulletin 288, 1963.
- Katz, Fred E. and Harry W. Martin 1962 "Career choice processes." Social Forces 41 (December): 149-154.
- Kuvlesky, William P.
  1969 "A synthetic overview of research on rural youth's projections for occupational and educational attainment: A progress Report." Paper presented to the Rural Sociological Society's Research Committee on Education and Occupational Behavior at its annual meetings in San Francisco.
- Kuvlesky, William P.
  - 1970 "Dynamics of occupational and educational status projections: A theoretical perspective." Paper presented to the Rural Sociological Society Research Committee on Educational and Occupational Behavior at the annual meetings of the Society.
- Kuvlesky, William P.
  - 1966 "Clarification of the concept 'Occupational Choice.'" Rural Sociology 31 (September): 265-276.



- Kuvlesky, William P. and Nelson L. Jacob
  - 1968 Educational Status Projections of Rural Youth: Structured Annotations of the Research Literature. Texas A&M University, Department of Agricultural Economics and Sociology, DTR 68-3.
- Kuvlesky, William P., Angelita S. Obordo, and James D. Preston 1969 "A racial comparison of teenage girls' projections for marriage and procreation." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Southern Sociological Society, New Orleans.
- Kuvlesky, William P. and John Pelham
  1969 Occupational Status Orientations of Rural Youth: Structured
  Annotations and Evaluations of the Research Literature. Texas
  A&M University, Department of Agricultural Economics and Sociology,
  DTR 66-3.
- Kuvlesky, William P. and Katheryn A. Thomas
  1971 "Social ambitions of negro boys and girls from a metropolitan ghetto." Journal of Vocational Behavior 1 (April): 177-187.
- Kuvlesky, William P. and W. Kennedy Upham
  1967 Social ambitions of teen-age boys living in an economically
  depressed area of the South: A racial comparison." Paper
  presented at the Southern Sociological Society Meetings, Atlanta,
  Georgia.
- Merton, R. K.
  1957 Social Theory and Social Structure. Glencoe: Free Press.
- Middleton, Russell and Charles M. Grigg
  1959 "Rural-urban differences in aspirations." Rural Sociology 24
  (December): 347-354.
- Morland, Kenneth J.

  1960 "Educational and occupational aspirations of mill and town school children in a southern community." Social Forces 39 (December): 169-175
- Pavalko, Ronald M.
  1971 Sociology of Occupations and Professions. Itasca, Illinois:
  F. E. Peacock.
- Psathas, George
  1958 "Toward a theory of occupational choice for women." Sociology
  and Social Research 52 (January): 254-268.
- Pelham, John T.

  1968 "An analysis of status consistency of the projected frames of reference: A racial comparison of males in selected low-income areas of the rural South." Texas A&M University, unpublished Master of Science thesis.

Schwarzweller, Harry K.

1960 Sociocultural Factors and the Career Aspirations and Plans of Rural Kentucky High School Seniors. Lexington: Kentucky Agricultural Experiment Station, Progress Report 94.

Sperry, Irwin V. and Vira R. Kivett

1964 Educational and Vocational Goals of Rural Youth in North Carolina. Greensboro: North Carolina Agricultural Experiment Station, Technical Bulletin No. 163.

Super, Donald E.

1953 "A theory of vocational development." American Psychologist VIII.

Super, Donald E.

1957 The Psychology of Careers. New York: Harper and Row.

Thomas, Katheryn Ann

1971 "A racial comparison of developmental change in marital-family status projections of teenage girls." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Texas Academy of Science, Nacogdoches.

Thomas, K. A. and N. L. Jacob

1970 "A longitudinal analysis of change in occupational and educational orientations of East Texas boys: A racial comparison." Paper presented at the annual meetings of the Rural Sociological Society, Washington, D. C.

Tiedeman, David V.

1961 "Decision and vocational development: A paradigm and its implications." Personnel and Guidance Journal 40 (September): 15-20.

Youmans, E. Grant, Shaw E. Grigsby, and Helen Carawan King.

1965 After High School What? Highlights of a Study of Career Plans
of Negro and White Youth in Three Florida Counties. Gainesville:
University of Florida, Cooperative Extension Service.

#### APPENDIX

Table 1. Lambda Coefficients Measuring Association Between 1968 Recontact Status and 1966 Occupational, Educational, and Marital-Family Orientations.

Orientation	
Marital-Family:	
Desired Age of Marriage	.010
No. of Children Desired	.000
No of Children Expected	.000
Desire to Work After Marriage	.009
Expectation to Work After Marriage	.034
Rank Importance of Marital-Family Goals	•000
Occupational:	
Aspiration	.000
Expectation	.000
Educational:	
Aspiration	.000
Expectation	.000



Table 2. Selected Background Characteristics of Negro and White Girls.

Characteristics of Family of Orientation	Negro	White
	perce	nt
Parental Absence	29	6
Divorce or Separation	17	2
Main Breadwinner's Job Unskilled or Semi-skilled	68	24
Mother Employed OUtside Home or Looking for Work	67	42
Five or more children	81	33
	·	
Mean No. of Children	6.8	3.9

Table 3.

Occupational	Wh	ite	Neg	ro
Aspiration	1966	1968	1966	1968
		per	cent	
Professional	<del>59</del>	59	61	55
Clerical, skilled	40	. 40	34	39
Semi-skilled, and unskilled	_1_	_1_	5	6
Total	ססב	100	100	100

Table 4 .

Occupational	Whi	te _	Ne	ero
Expectation	1966	1968	1966	1968
		perce	nt	
Professional	42	35	55	40
Clerical, skilled	32	42	36	48
Semi-skilled, unskilled	26	23	9	11_
Total	100	100	100	100



Table 5 .:

Educational	Whi	ite	Ne	gro
Aspiration	1966	1968	1966	1968
	Mile on, and any pill of	per	cent	
Quit high school	1	1	. 0	0
Complete high school	5	6	3	12
High school + voc. training	39	37 <sup>.</sup>	39	13
Junior college	10	7	4	14
College graduate	27	23	26	29
College + additional study	18	26	28	32
Total	100	100	100	100

5

Table 6.

Educational		nite	Neg	<b>*</b> 0
Expectation	1966	1968	1966	1968
	*	per	cent	
Quit high school	1	1	4	1
Complete high school	7	16	3	14
High school + voc. training	48	40	36	29
Junior college	10	10	1	. 17
College graduate	25	26	25	23
College + additional study	<u>9</u>	7	_31	16
Total	100 .	100	100	100



Table 7. Desired Age of Marriage of Negro and White Girls in 1966 and 1968.

Desired Age	<u>₩h:</u> 1966	ite 1968	<u>Negro</u> 1966 1968
			percent
19 or less	18	20	12 9
20-22	59	54	35 51
23 or more	23	26	5340
Total	100	100	100 100

Table 8. Number of Children Desired by Negro and White Girls in 1966 and 1968.

Number of Children	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>Whit</u> 1966	1968	· ·	<u>Negro</u> 1966	1968	
			F	ercent-			
0-1		, 3	3		5	5	
2		31	27		42	43	
3		34	31		14	17	•
4		27	30		33	30	•
5 or more	•	5_	9_		6		•
Total		100	100		100	100	

Table 9. Number of Children Expected by Negro and White Girls in 1966 and 1968.

Number of	Wh:	ite	Negro			
Children	1966	1968	1966:	1968		
	percent					
0-1	ı	2	7	8		
2	32	30	32	38		
3	37	35	22	17		
4	22	23	29	22		
5 or more	8	10	10	15_		
Total	מסב	100	100	100		

Table 10. Girls' Desire to Work Outside Home After Marriage in 1966 and 1968.

Conditions for	Whi	te	Neg	Negro			
Working	1966	1968	1966	1968			
		percent					
Not at all	15	17	10	11			
Part-time until child	21	14	17	. 20			
Full-time until child	47	59	21	26			
Part-time after child	7	4	28	28			
Full-time after child	10	6	24	15			
Total	100	100	100	100			
	•						

Table 11. Girls' Expectation to Work Outside the Home After Marriage.

Conditions for	Whi	Nes	Negro		
Working	1966 1968			1968	
		pe:	rcent		
Not at all	14.	11	17	22	
Part-time until child	29	19	15	24	
Full-time until child	29	42	15	16	
Part-time after child	23	19	37	25	
Full-time after child	5	9	<u>16</u>	13_	
Total	100	100	100	100	

Table 12. Rank of Importance Girls Accorded Marital-Family Goals.

Conditions for		Wh:	ite	Ne	Negro	
Working		1966	1968	1966	1968	
		<u> </u>	per	cent		
High (1-2)		31	41	8	14	
Moderate (3-5)		47	30	34	38	
Low (6-7	•	22	29_		48	
Total		100	100	100	1000	

Table 13. Percentage of Girls Who Changed Their Occupational, Educational, and Marital-Family Orientations From 1966 to 1968.

Orientation	White	Negro			
	percent				
Occupational  *Aspiration  *Expectation	35 40	37 45			
Educational  *Aspiration  *Expectation	47 47	61 67			
Marital-Family Desired Age of Marriage No. of Children Desired No. of Children Expected Desire to Work After Marriage Expectation to Work After Marriage *Rank Importance of Marital-Family Goals	79 39 53 73 64 55	69 48 54 60 64 52			

<sup>\*</sup>Figures indicate change in level, as defined on pages 8 to 10. .