

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 090 235

SP 007 981

AUTHOR Gordon, Bruce Graham  
TITLE University Student Involvement in Public School Education.  
PUB DATE 72  
NOTE 8p.  
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE  
DESCRIPTORS \*Educational Innovation; \*Field Experience Programs; Instructional Aids; \*Laboratory Training; \*Parent Participation; \*Preservice Education

ABSTRACT

This paper describes a cooperative program among the public schools, local Parent Teacher Associations, and the Auburn University Education Department in Montgomery, Alabama. In the program, Auburn University students were enrolled in block courses in the areas of language arts, social studies, mathematics, and science and worked from four to six hours each week in one of the public schools of Montgomery, prior to their student teacher experience. These students introduced learning innovations by presenting demonstrations of new teaching aids in the areas of language arts, science, social studies, and mathematics to parents and teachers. A question-and-answer period followed the demonstrations. The purpose of the cooperative program was also briefly explained, with stress on university participation with the involvement of the elementary student. The author states that the parents' reactions to the program were favorable. (PD)

UNIVERSITY STUDENT INVOLVEMENT

IN PUBLIC SCHOOL EDUCATION

by Bruce Graham Gordon  
Assistant Professor of Education  
Auburn University at Montgomery

Through a cooperative program between the education department of Auburn University at Montgomery and the public schools, local PTA's in Montgomery, Alabama, have become increasingly aware of the most recent materials and methods of teaching in the elementary school. Each quarter, seniors, prior to their student teaching experience, take block courses in the areas of language arts and social studies, and in mathematics and science. As part of the course requirements, the students work from four to six hours each week in one of the public schools of Montgomery.

The Parent Teacher Associations' in the Montgomery school system are eager to do as much as possible to bring to their schools what is new and innovative. Yearly fund-raising

ED 090235

186 10 07 981

projects in the past have gone toward improving the learning environment for students and teachers. Parents and teachers alike saw a need for and displayed an interest in learning about innovations that could help to improve the instructional program.

Auburn University at Montgomery is continually working to keep abreast of and supplied with the latest teaching aids for the benefit of their students. The University sees its role as not only producing qualified graduates in the particular disciplines but also serving the community through its faculty and facilities.

Each of these resources were involved in a pilot program in the winter of 1972. AUM students were placed in Danelly Elementary School as part of their normal course responsibility. In addition to their classroom responsibilities, they brought with them to the schools some of the latest materials being used in the elementary field and incorporated them into their training program with the elementary school children. The cooperation

and encouragement from the participating elementary school faculty gave rise to the idea that if other teachers in the school as well as parents could see these materials in use, the school might be able to incorporate these or similar curriculum aids into the instructional program.

The involvement of the University students and the elementary students was seen as being a prime factor in the overall program. The program as it was finally formalized consisted of the following:

1. Parents and teachers arrived at school prior to 7:00 P.M. and were given a program indicating new teaching aids which were being demonstrated and in what rooms. (Kits in language arts, science, social studies, and mathematics were presented.)
2. Parents and teachers were able to observe each demonstration for 15 minutes. In that time, the AUM student was able to briefly introduce the purpose of the demonstration and

materials used, have the elementary students actually work with the materials, and respond to questions from the parents regarding what they had observed.

3. During the first hour of the program parents and teachers had an opportunity to see any three of the four demonstrations being presented.
4. At 8:00 P.M. parents and faculty convened in the school auditorium where the overall purpose of the program was briefly explained. A key point made in this large group meeting and in the individual classroom demonstrations was that no one was trying to sell any company's product, but that we only wanted an aware PTA to know what was available.
5. The final phase of the program again stressed University participation with the involvement of the elementary students. A brief presentation of new activities in physical education

was presented with first through sixth grade students performing dances, stunts, and games. (Physical education teachers are not yet available in the elementary schools of Montgomery, and classroom teachers are eager to see what is new and if it can be incorporated into their particular program needs.)

The program outlined here is only that, an outline. The process of programming will vary, but the basic purpose needs to be kept clearly in mind. The example cited stressed the University involvement with the program. It is possible and reasonable to expect the school administration and faculty to present such a program concept to the parents. In-service programs, summer workshops, and graduate courses provide the faculty and administration with the opportunity and responsibility to keep abreast with the latest in educational advances.

During the current academic year three more programs of a similar nature were carried out for elementary schools of Montgomery. Each was

different because the very nature of the program kept it from becoming static. The University student personnel changed each quarter as did the students and teachers in the participating schools. What was presented also differed because the University could not stand pat with what it had originally offered without exploring the most current educational materials. There was a student-faculty understanding that the University must be willing to experiment and re-evaluate as part of its normal operational plan.

To help us evaluate the program with the group, the parents were asked for their comments. Brief interviews were held with parents following the programs to gather their reactions to a number of questions that evolved from the program.

Parents interviewed were highly positive in their judgments. One mother felt the new teaching materials would help children look forward to school more. Some parents were amazed at what their children could accomplish. One mother in responding to what she saw when observing the Cuisenaire Rods stated, "I like them but I

couldn't understand them."

Both parents and teachers noted positively the contributions of the University students to the total effort. One father of few words may have summed up the general reaction when he commented the University involvement was "very much appreciated."

In relating his judgment of the total program effort and its impact, a father stated, "This is more sensible learning than we ever had. Learning isn't as complicated as we had it, and the children get more out of it."

A number of objectives are being met by such university and public school cooperation. A significant result of a program of this nature is that it offers parents a clearer picture of either what does or what can go on in the classroom when the latest in teaching materials are used in conjunction with sound educational practices. Here parents, University students, college faculty members, as well as public school teachers must make value judgments based on what they have observed. As with all elements



of the school program, an informed parent population can do much in making future educational advances possible.