DOCUMENT RESUME

RD 089 631

HE 005 383

AUTHOR

El-Khawas, Elaine H.; Kinzer, Joan L.

TITLE

The Impact of Office of Education Student Assistance

Programs Fall, 1973. Higher Education Panel Reports

Number 18, April 1974.

INSTITUTION

American Council on Education, Washington, D.C.

Higher Education Panel.

PUB DATE

Apr 74 53p.

NOTE AVAILABLE PROM

Higher Education Panel, American Council on

Education, One Dupont Circle, Washington, D.C.

20036

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.75 HC-\$3.15 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS

Colleges; *Educational Finance; *Federal Aid: Federal

Programs; Financial Policy; *Financial Support:

*Higher Education; *Student Costs; Universities: Work

Study Programs

IDENTIFIERS

*Basic Opportunity Grants; Supplemental Educational

Opportunity Grants

ABSTRACT

In December 1973 the Higher Education Panel corducted a survey of its 646 member institutions regarding their experiences in the fall of 1973 with the Office of Education student assistance programs. The data from responding institutions (515) were weighted statistically to develop population estimates of the number and type of students nationwide who were assisted by each of the Office of Education's programs of student assistance. A summary of the survey results includes: (1) An estimated 167,700 freshmen at 2,584 colleges and universities received Basic Opportunity Grants during the fall of 1973. The average grant amounted to \$349. (2) Twice as many students received Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, and approximately 565,100 students received assistance under the College Work-Study program. (3) Most of the contract assisted received a "package" of several types of financial and. Findings concerning reactions to the program include: (1) The two primary factors felt to be increasing administrative workload were the uncertainty over funding and procedures associated with the needs analysis. (2) Ninety percent of institutional officers felt that the needs analysis resulted in an unrealistic amount for the contribution that a student's family was expected to pay. Appendices include the survey questionnaire, estimation formulas, and population estimates. (Author/PG)



The Impact of Office of Education Student Assistance Programs Fall, 1973

Elaine H. El-Khawas Joan L. Kinzer









AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

Roger W. Heyns, President

The American Council on Education, founded in 1918, is a council of educational organizations and institutions. Its purpose is to advance education and educational methods through comprehensive voluntary and cooperative action on the part of American educational associations, organizations, and institutions.

The Higher Education Panel is a survey research program established by the Council for the purpose of securing policy-related information quickly from representative samples of colleges and universities. *Higher Education Panel Reports* are designed to expedite communication of the panel's survey findings to policy-makers in government, in the associations, and in educational institutions across the nation.

STAFF OF THE HIGHER EDUCATION PANEL

Elaine H. El-Khawas, Panel Director

Joan L. Kinzer, Research Analyst

Paula R. Knepper, Programmer

Donna M. Lance, Secretary

HEP ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Lyle H. Lanier, Director, Office of Administrative Affairs and Educational Statistics, ACE, Chairman

John A. Creager, Director, Division of Educational Statistics, ACE

W. Todd Furniss, Director, Commission on Academic Affairs, ACE

John F. Hughes, Director, Policy Analysis Service, ACE

Charles V. Kidd, Executive Secretary, Association of American Universities

J. Boyd Page, President, Council of Graduate Schools in the United States



Additional copies of this report are available from the Higher Education Panel, American Council on Education, One Dupont Circle, Washington, D.C. 20036.

The Impact of Office of Education

Student Assistance Programs, Fall 1973

Elaine H. El-Khawas and Joan L. Kinzer

Higher Education Panel Reports Number 18, April, 1974

American Council on Education Washington, D.C. 20036



HIGHLIGHTS

In December, 1973, the Higher Education Panel conducted a survey of its 646 member institutions regarding their experiences in the fall of 1973 with Office of Education student assistance programs. The data from responding institutions (n=515) were weighted statistically in order to develop population estimates of the number and type of students nationwide who were assisted by each of the Office of Education's programs of student assistance. Readers are cautioned that proprietary institutions were not included in the survey; results are representative of those institutions of higher education listed in Education Directory. Responses were based on the best information available to institutions. The survey results summarized below are approximations but, in a context of pressing need for baseline data on the issue, they should be useful to policy-makers concerned with student aid programs.

Number of Students Assisted

- An estimated 167,700 freshmen at 2,584 colleges and universities received Basic Opportunity Grants during the fall of 1973. The average grant amounted to \$349. It should be noted that proprietary institutions were not included in the survey.
- Twice as many students (356,700) received Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, and approximately 565,100 students received assistance under the College Work-Study program. The amount awarded under these two campus based programs averaged \$646 for SEOG and \$582 for the Work-Study program. For both programs, the number receiving aid in 1973 was lower than the total number reported for 1972.
- Estimates for loan recipients were that 752,900 students received NDSL loans and 686,700 received GSL loans. The average NDSL loan was \$653 whereas the average guaranteed loan was \$1,045. With these programs, also, the total number of students was lower for 1973 than for 1972.
- Most of the students assisted received a "package" of several types of financial aid. Seventy percent or more of aid recipients in each individual program (except GSL) also received assistance under at least one other O.E. program.
- The estimated number of college students assisted by all O.E. programs in fall 1973 (an unduplicated count) was 1,393,500. Nine out of ten assisted students were from families with adjusted annual incomes of less than \$15,000.
- Low-income students (those with adjusted family incomes of less than \$7,500) received four-fifths of all Basic Opportunity Grants and Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, about two-thirds of Work-Study awards, over half of Direct Student Loans and about one-third of Guaranteed Loans.

Reactions to the Programs

- Thirty-nine percent of institutional representatives characterized the administrative workload for BOGs as light, 38 percent as moderate, and 23 percent as heavy. Fifty-nine percent of representatives felt the workload for GSL applications had been heavy.
- The two primary factors felt to be increasing administrative workload were the uncertainty over funding and procedures associated with the needs analysis.
- Ninety percent of institutional officers felt that the needs analysis resulted in an unrealistic amount for the contribution that a student's family was expected to pay.
- At a third of institutions, fall enrollments had been lower than expected. Of these institutions, 73 percent felt that the lack of federal aid was a factor in the enrollment decline.
- Only 18 percent of institutions reported greater than expected enrollments. Of these institutions, 45 percent thought that the availability of federal aid was a factor influencing their increases.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Page
Introduction	1
Design of the Study	3
Results	5
Number of Students Assisted	6
Type of Student Assisted	9
Institutional Opinions on Administrative Difficulties	11
Opinions on the Impact of Aid on Institutional Policies	13
Conclusion	14
References	17
<u>APPENDICES</u>	
Appendix A: Stratification Design for the Higher Education Panel	33
Appendix B: Survey Questionnaire	37
Appendix C: Estimation Formulas Utilized for Data Analysis	43
Appendix D: Population Estimates with Confidence Limits above two percent of Estimate	45



The Impact of Office of Education Student Assistance Programs, Fall 1973

Elaine H. El-Khawas and Joan L. Kinzer

As part of the recent and extensive debate over new approaches to the financing of higher education, much attention has been paid to various models for providing student assistance. A particular focus has been that of the appropriate role for federal programs of financial aid to students. The Higher Education Amendments of 1972 included several changes that provided evidence of new directions being taken by the federal government in its programs of student aid. The introduction of the Basic Opportunity Grants programs and the inclusion of a "needs test" under the Guaranteed Student Loan program were two major changes made by Congress in response to the recent debate over the federal role in student financial aid.

These new legislative changes had major impact on students and postsecondary institutions across the nation as they prepared for the fall term of 1973. The new programs encountered problems, however, in getting under way. Implementation was hampered by late decisions regarding operating procedures and funding levels.

The survey was conducted under grant support to the Higher Education Panel provided jointly by the National Science Foundation, the National Institutes of Health, and the U.S. Office of Education (NSF Grant GR-99). We wish to acknowledge that John A. Creager and Paula R. Knepper were responsible for the development of weights to be applied to the data.



Despite the efforts of O.E. program officials and of institutional financial aid officers, it appears that, in particular, the basic grants program will not reach the number of students that might have been assisted during its first year.

This report is based on a survey intended to provide baseline information on the operation of the financial assistance programs of the Office of Education during the fall of 1973. Conducted at the request of the U.S. Office of Education, the survey was designed to provide information on (1) the number and type of students receiving aid through O.E.'s student assistance programs and on (2) the opinions of institutional officers regarding difficulties and problems they encountered in. administering the programs. The financial assistance programs covered include the Basic Educational Opportunity Grants (BOG), the Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (SEOG), College Work-Study (CWS), National Direct Student Loans (NDSL), and Guaranteed Student Loans (GSL). For these programs, we sought to assess the number of students assisted and the distribution of aid by income level of students. The amount of administrative workload encountered by institutions and several measures of program impact on institutions were also investigated.

Under the five programs administered by the Office of Education, it should be noted that different degrees of institutional involvement are required. As one result, there are differences between programs in the amount of information readily available to the institution. Under the so-called "college-based" programs (College Work-Study, Direct Student Loans and Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants), institutional financial aid officers made awards directly to students who applied for aid. Each participating institution received an annual apportionment of the State's allotment and with these funds made awards to students. In order to effectively carry out their responsibilities under



these programs, institutions maintained detailed records. The Basic Educational Opportunity Grant program, on the other hand, called for a somewhat different institutional role. Any student meeting predetermined qualifications was eligible for a grant. The financial aid officer simply informed the student of his or her entitlement and, subsequently, served as a paying agent for the government.

The Guaranteed Student Loan program required yet another level of institutional involvement. This past year, colleges were required to assist in making a determination of the student's need for financial assistance by certifying the cost of education at that institution, other financial aid awarded that student, the expected family contribution and a resultant recommended loan amount. For all practical purposes, this concluded the institutional role regarding the loan application. Some institutions attempted to follow-up on the student's success in obtaining a loan, but this often presented too heavy a workload. Because of this loan process, many institutions did not have complete records by which to furnish the data required in this survey.

Design of the Study

The data for this report were collected as part of the continuing survey program of ACE's Higher Education Panel. Since 1971, the panel has been conducting short surveys on topics of general policy interest to higher education, based on information supplied by campus representatives at its member institutions. In December 1973, the Higher Education Panel conducted this survey on its members' experiences with O.E. student assistance programs, with special emphasis on the newly initiated basic grants program and changes in the guaranteed loan programs.

The original sample for the survey consisted of the 646 members of the Higher Education Panel. These institutions comprise a disproportionate stratified



random sample of all U.S. institutions of higher education (n=2,584) as listed in the Education Directory of the U.S. Office of Education. All members of the panel, and all population institutions as well, are categorized in terms of the variables constituting the panel's stratification design (based primarily on type and control) for differentiating the population of American colleges and universities (see Appendix A).

The survey questionnaire (shown in Appendix B) was sent to the entire panel of 646 institutions. Eighty percent (n=515) responded by the end of January, the deadline for return of questionnaires. Table 1 shows the number of respondent institutions and panel institutions according to type (two-year, four-year, university and control (public, private). The rate of response at two-year colleges (75 percent) was somewhat lower than at other institutions. Because some institutions could not provide information on all data requested, differential response rates are presented for each item of information on the tables; the response rates indicate the proportion of panel institutions who responded to each particular item. On estimates of the number of students with BOG grants, for example, 77 percent were able to supply data.

The information given by panel institutions was statistically adjusted to represent the population of colleges and universities. In order to develop population estimates, data from responding institutions were weighted, within each stratification cell, by the ratio of the number of institutions in the population to the number of panel institutions in that cell who responded to the survey. Weights were computed separately for each data item to allow for differing item response. The sets of cell-and-item weights thus derived were applied to the data of each institution in that cell and the weighted data were then aggregated into broad institutional categories appropriate to the survey analysis. Estimates



of sampling error were computed for all weighted data; they were based on withinstratum variance estimates and a correction factor for sampling from a finite
population (see Appendix C). Error estimates were expressed in terms of the ninetyfive percent confidence levels appropriate to each data element. In this report,
exact figures have been given only for confidence intervals exceeding the population
estimate by two percent or more (Appendix D). Exact figures on other items have
been computed, however, and are available.

As a result of these procedures, the data reported in the accompanying tables are statistical estimates of the number of students assisted and problems encountered at the entire population of 2,584 institutions of higher education. Thus, while the estimates are based on data supplied by the 515 institutions responding to the panel survey, the weighting procedures make it possible to develop statistical estimates that apply to the broad universe of colleges and universities. It must be kept in mind, however, that the appropriate universe for these results includes those listed in <u>Education Directory</u>; it does not include proprietary and other postsecondary institutions not so listed, and therefore does not provide any information on the number of students assisted or problems encountered at those institutions outside the scope of this survey.

Readers are also reminded that all data represent independently computed population estimates. Because each data item was separately weighted according to its number of responding institutions, subcategories may approximate but generally do not add to their corresponding total categories.

Results

Tables presented in this report show the weighted results of the survey for all institutions and for two broad institutional groupings based on type



(two-year college, four-year college, university) and control (public, private).

By way of perspective, it can be noted that fall 1973 enrollment figures released

by the National Center for Educational Statistics (O.E.) show that about threequarters of all college and university students were enrolled at public institutions.

Further, 30 percent of all students were enrolled at two-year colleges, 37 percent

at four-year colleges, and 33 percent at universities (Chronicle of Higher Education,

January 14, 1974).

Number of Students Assisted

Tables 2 through 8 present population estimates for the total number of students receiving assistance under Office of Education aid programs during the academic year 1972-73 and during the fall of 1973. These figures, based as they are on statistically weighted data, are good approximations but are not to be construed as exact counts of the students assisted under O.E. programs. Similarly, all other figures cited in this report should be interpreted as "best estimates" rather than as precise counts.

Basic Opportunity Grants were available only to first-time full-time freshmen during this first year of the program's operation. As shown in Table 2, an estimated 167,700 freshmen received basic grants during fall term, 1973. The average grant amounted to \$349. For the majority of basic grant recipients, this aid was supplemented by assistance from at least one other O.E. program.

Twice as many students (356,700) received Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (Table 3). College Work-Study, another of O.E.'s older and more established programs, provided assistance to approximately 565,100 students during fall 1973 (Table 4). The amounts awarded under these two campus-based programs were about the same, averaging \$646 for SEOG and \$582 for Work-Study.



The great majority of students with SEOGs had received this grant money as part of a package with some other O.E. assistance; similarly, more than three-quarters of Work-Study students had received a combination of types of assistance.

Compared across types of institutions (Table 8), it can be seen that the awards made under these three programs — BOG, SEOG, and Work-Study — were distributed between public and private institutions roughly in agreement with the proportion of students enrolled at each type of institution. Thus, while about three-quarters of all college and university students were enrolled at public institutions, 74 percent of BOG recipients, 68 percent of SEOG recipients, and 67 percent of Work-Study recipients were enrolled at public institutions.

In terms of the instructional level of institutions, however, the distribution of awards showed greater variation from the overall distribution of students. According to enrollment figures, 30 percent of students were enrolled at two-year colleges. A greater proportion of BOG recipients (35 percent) were at two-year colleges, although proportionately fewer SEOG recipients (25 percent) or Work-Study recipients (21 percent) were two-year college students (Table 8).

The pattern was different at four-year colleges. These institutions had accounted for 37 percent of student enrollment during fall 1973; yet, a somewhat greater proportion of assisted students — including 45 percent of BOG recipients, 54 percent of SEOG recipients, and 58 percent of Work-Study recipients — were enrolled at four-year colleges (Table 8). In contrast, although universities had enrolled 33 percent of all students, university students made up much smaller proportions of those receiving awards (20 percent of BOGs, 21 percent of SEOGs, and 21 percent for Work-Study).



These variations in institutional distribution are partly attributable to the effect of restrictions in eligibility. Basic grants, for example, were available only to full-time freshmen. Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants were available only to undergraduate students.

Of the two loan programs, 752,900 students received NDSL loans (Table 5) and an estimated 686,700 received GSL loans during fall 1973 (Table 6). The loan programs thus were reaching more students in fall 1973 than were the other O.E. assistance programs. The amount of assistance was also greater, averaging \$653 for NDSLs and as much as \$1,045 for Guaranteed Loans.

From a comparison of typical college expenses with the average amount of these grants and loans, it is evident that students must rely on a combination of sources of assistance in order to pay for a year's study. At private institutions, basic charges (room and board, tuition) currently total more than \$3,000 (Table 9). At public institutions, basic charges for an academic year for resident students averaged about \$1,400. In this context it can be noted that, although only 23 percent of all students were at private institutions, as many as 39 percent of NDSL and GSL recipients were at private institutions (Tables 5 and 6).

Table 6 shows that fewer GSLs were awarded during fall 1973 than in the previous year. Because of difficulties in getting accurate data from institutions on the GSL program, however, the estimates for GSL recipients should be understood to be very rough approximations.



Basic charges here include only tuition and room and board and do not include commuting and other costs of attendance (see Student Expenses at Postsecondary Institutions, 1974-75). Notably, although tuition is lower at public institutions, room and board costs are roughly comparable across type of institution.

As can be seen by Table 7, the estimated number of college students assisted by all 0.E. programs was 1,393,500 for fall, 1973. This unduplicated count emphasizes the fact that a good proportion of students received a "package" of assistance taken from several 0.E. programs. In general, three-quarters or more of aid recipients in the individual programs (Tables 2 through 6) had also received aid under another 0.E. program. The main exception was the Guaranteed Loan program, where an estimated 37 percent had received combined forms of assistance. Again, however, such comparisons of GSL and other programs may be misleading, particularly because institutional representatives often could not provide complete information on GSL recipients. Similarly, fall 1973 totals are not strictly comparable with the full year's experience reflected in 1972-73 totals.

Type c Student Assisted

Data on the family income level of students receiving O.E. assistance this fall are of considerable interest currently. Debate continues among educators, O.E. administrators, and legislators alike over the desirable purposes of O.E.'s assistance programs and the appropriate models by which students from varying income levels may be helped to meet their college expenses.

Estimated totals for students receiving O.E. assistance, organized according to three broad categories of family income, are shown in Tables 2 through 7. The majority of institutions were able to provide this information but it should be kept in mind that some could only give estimates. Income categories are organized in terms of a family's adjusted annual income, i.e. gross income minus a standard ten percent deduction and exemptions for a family of four. The low-income category of less than \$7,500 in adjusted family income would be roughly



equivalent to a gross income of about \$11,700. Similarly, the middle-income category of \$7,500 to \$14,999 in adjusted income would thus be equated with a gross income range of between \$11,700 and about \$20,000.

Of students given assistance under all O.E. programs (unduplicated count), ninety percent of all recipients were from families with adjusted incomes of less than \$15,000 (Table 7). Within particular programs, it can be noted that institutions generally did not vary in the proportion of awards given to students at each income level.

From Tables 2 through 6 it can be seen that low-income students (with adjusted family incomes of less than \$7,500) received four-fifths of all Basic Opportunity Grants and Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, about two-thirds of Work-Study awards, about half of Direct Student Loans and about one-third of Guaranteed Loans. The main exceptions to the general trend occurred at two-year colleges and only insofar as somewhat larger proportions of low-income students had Work-Study awards or loans.

At the other end of the spectrum, students with adjusted family incomes of \$15,000 or more received O.E. assistance primarily through the guaranteed loan program and rarely through other programs. For all institutions, only 19 percent or about 130,000 of the students receiving Guaranteed Loans were from families with adjusted annual incomes of \$15,000 or more (Table 6).

These figures clearly underscore the present concentration of O.E. programs on providing assistance to low-income students. They might be compared with a general income profile of the college student population. Census tabulations for 1972-73 show that 23 percent of undergraduate students were from families with annual incomes of less than \$7,500. Conversely, 35 percent of all



undergraduates were from families with incomes in excess of \$15,000 (National Commission on the Financing of Postsecondary Education, 1974, p.136).

Institutional Opinions on Administrative Difficulties

Because of the delay and confusion in getting O.E's new programs under way this fall, institutional financial aid officers generally faced major problems and much frustration in attempting to provide students with the financial assistance they needed in order to meet their college expenses. For example, basic grant application forms and guideline materials were not available until late summer, a time when many students had already made other financial arrangements and when institutional officers had to readjust aid packages they had already prepared (Chronicle of Higher Education, March 4, 1974).

In order to provide an assessment of the extent of difficulty encountered nationwide and at varying types of institutions, a portion of the survey questionnaire requested that institutional representatives offer their opinions on the relative administrative workload they experienced for each of the O.E. assistance programs and on the primary factor affecting increased workload.

In response to the question on comparative administrative workload (Table 10), most respondents characterized the workload for BOGs as light or moderate, possibly due to the overall low volume of grant applications received. Respondents generally felt their administrative workload was greater for processing the college-based programs, particularly Work-Study and NDSL's. Notably, more than half of institutional officers felt the administrative workload for Guaranteed Loan applications had been heavy. Administrators at varying types of institutions generally offered the same assessments. Respondents at two-year colleges found the workload for GSLs



to be lighter than reported by other institutions but this variation is probably accounted for by the lower reliance of two-year college students on GSLs.

The two primary factors felt to be increasing the administrative workload were the uncertainty over funding and procedures associated with the needs analysis (Table 11). Several institutional representatives indicated on their questionnaires or in accompanying letters that the uncertainty over funding applied particularly to the basic grant program. Complaints about the needs analysis were directed toward both the Basic Grant and Guaranteed Loan programs.

In a related question, the respondents were asked to evaluate how often they felt that the needs analysis resulted in a family contribution that the student's family could actually pay (Table 12). Only 10 percent of the institutional officers felt that the needs analysis almost always resulted in a realistic contribution from the family.

Table 13 illustrates the evaluations given by institutional representatives on the comparative difficulty various types of students may have had in obtaining a guaranteed loan this fall. In their views, a student's ability to obtain a loan seems to have been influenced by his or her level of instruction and by level of family income. Freshmen and sophomores were thought to have experienced greater difficulty than did juniors, seniors, or graduate students. Students from low-income families generally were thought to have had the least difficulty getting loans, those from incomes of \$7,500 to 14,999 had more difficulty, and those with incomes above \$15,000 were thought to have had the most difficulty. Relatedly, students recommended for subsidized loans were thought to have had much less difficulty than those not eligible for interest subsidies. Notably,



financially independent students were thought to have had slightly more difficulty than others in obtaining guaranteed loans.

Opinions on the Impact of Aid on Institutional Policies

Recent changes in federal legislation on student assistance may eventually have much significant impact on colleges and universities across the country.

As one attempt to provide baseline information on how institutional representatives perceive their circumstances presently, questions were asked in the survey about any material impact that O.E. assistance programs may have already had in a number of areas of institutional policy. As can be seen (Table 14), institutional respondents generally felt that, as yet, federal aid programs had little impact on admissions and tuition policies, but a greater impact on their recruiting policies, overall financial condition, student counseling, and other sources of student support. Notably, in several areas private institutions are changing their policies to a greater extent than public institutions.

In a more detailed question, institutional representatives were asked if their fall 1973 enrollment had met their expectations or were within two percent of projections. If enrollment was more or less than projected, they were to indicate whether or not they felt that the availability of federal grants and loans was a factor.

In general, enrollment at half of the institutions had met projections
(Table 15); at a third of institutions, enrollments had suffered an unexpected decline whereas, for 18 percent, enrollments were higher than expected.

Experience with enrollments varied among types of institutions. At public

Students were defined as financially independent if he/she is not claimed as an exemption by any person except a spouse for the year in which aid is received and the year prior to the academic year for which aid is requested, does not receive financial assistance of more than \$600 from parents, and has not lived more than two consecutive weeks in the home of a parent at any time during the last two years.



institutions, enrollment generally had met or exceeded expectations; only
24 percent reported an unexpected drop in enrollment. In contrast, as many as
41 percent of private institutions reported an unexpected enrollment decline.
Thirty-nine percent of four-year institutions reported a decline, as did 28
percent of two-year colleges.

For institutions reporting an unexpected increase in student enrollment, only a small proportion of representatives felt that the availability of federal student aid was a major factor accounting for the increase. At the majority of public institutions and two-year colleges, availability of federal aid was not considered a factor at all.

In contrast, many of the institutions reporting an unexpected drop in enrollment felt that the climate of availability for federal aid had influenced enrollment in a major way. Forty-five percent of the representatives at private institutions with enrollment declines gave this opinion, as did about half of those at four-year colleges and universities.

Conclusion

The results of this Higher Education Panel survey should be of much use to educators and public officials concerned with establishing improved programs of student financial assistance. Despite certain limitations, the survey results provide national estimates of the total number of college students assisted under Office of Education programs and offer a profile of the types of students assisted. Certain patterns of variation in the distribution of financial assistance were also noted according to institutional type and control. Moreover, it was possible to gain some understanding of the experiences and reactions of institutional officers to the federal assistance programs and, in particular, to recent changes in these programs.



Such data should be a valuable contribution to present debate over ways to modify or redirect federal programs of assistance. Certain problems appear to be clearly identified; in other areas, the policy implications of the data might be subject to varying interpretation. Nevertheless, the availability of such baseline information should be generally useful to everyone concerned with present patterns of student financial assistance.



References

- Bowen, Howard R. "Financing Higher Education: The Current State of the Debate", unpublished manuscript. St. Louis: National Council of Independent Colleges and Universities, January 15, 1974.
- The Carnegie Commission on Higher Education. Higher Education: Who Pays?

 Who Benefits? Who Should Pay? New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company,
 June, 1973.
- Federal Programs in Postsecondary Education: An Agenda for 1975. Washington: American Council on Education, 1974.
- Factbook: Summary of Program Information through Fiscal Year 1972. Washington: Bureau of Higher Education, 1973.
- Hansen, W. Lee, and Weisbord, Burton A. "A New Approach to Higher Education Finance" in M.D. Orwig (ed.) Financing Higher Education: Alternatives for the Federal Government. Iowa City: American College Testing Program, 1971, pp. 117-142.
- "Higher Education Groups Ask Changes in Family Contribution Schedule", <u>Higher Education and National Affairs</u>, Vol. XXII, No. 44. Washington: American Council on Education, December 7, 1973, p. 4.
- Holmstrom, Engin I. "Preliminary Data on the Use of Scholarships, Grants, Federal or College Loans, and Social Security Benefits by First-time Full-time 1973 Freshmen", unpublished manuscript. Washington: Policy Analysis Service, American Council on Education, 1974.
- Khan, Abdul. "Federal Funds for Postsecondary Education, A Summary View", draft working paper. Washington: National Commission on the Financing of Postsecondary Education, April, 1973.
- The Management and Financing of Colleges. New York: Committee for Economic Development, October, 1973.
- The National Commission on the Financing of Postsecondary Education. Financing Postsecondary Education in the United States. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, January, 1974.
- "Opening Fall Enrollment, 1972 and 1973", The Chronicle of Higher Education, January 14, 1974, p. 10.
- Special Task Force to the Secretary of HEW. The Second Newman Report: National Policy and Higher Education. Cambridge: The MIT Press, 1973.
- Student Expenses at Postsecondary Institutions, 1974-75. Princeton: College Entrance Examination Board, 1974.
- Toward Equal Opportunity for Higher Education. New York: College Entrance Examination Board, 1973.



References (cont.)

- "Tuition Help Hard to Find", New York Times, September 4, 1973, p. 1.
- U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Education. <u>Higher Education Finances</u>, Selected Trends and Summary Data. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1968.
- Van Alstyne, Carol. "An Economist Looks at Low Tuition", working draft prepared for discussion at the Seminar on Low Tuition. Washington: American Council on Education, February, 1974.
- Winkler, Karen J. "Distribution of Student Aid Funds to the Poor Sparks Controversy", The Chronicle of Higher Education, November 5, 1973, pp. 1-3.
- Winkler, Karen J. "Family-Need Schedules are Subject of 'Intensive' Congressional Parley", The Chronicle of Higher Education, December 17; 1973, p.4.
- Winkler, Karen J. "Half of Funds for Basic Grants Go Begging; Late Start Blamed", The Chronicle of Higher Education, March 4, 1974, pp. 1-4.



TABLES



Table 1
Weighted and Unweighted Yotala for HEP Survey on The Impact of O.E. Student Assistance Programs

Weighted Totals:	Unweight	ed Totals	Survey
(Number in Population)	Number in Panel	Number of Respondents	Response Rate
2,584	646	515	80%
1,310	256	199	78%
1,262	382	311	81%
1,043	103	77	75%
1,338	373	304	82%
191	162	129	80%
	(Number in Fopulation) 2,584 1,310 1,262 1,043 1,338	(Number in Population) 2,584 646 1,310 256 1,262 382 1,043 1,338 373	(Number in Population) Number in Panel Number of Respondents 2,584 646 515 1,310 256 199 1,262 382 311 1,043 103 77 1,338 373 304

a Independent medical schools (population n *12; panel n = 8; respondent n = 5) are included in the figures for all institutions but are not included in other categories.

Readers are cautioned that proprietary institutions were not included in the survey; results are representative of those institutions of higher education listed in Education Directory.

Table 2

Estimated Number of Participants in Basic Opportunity Grant Programs⁴

	All ^b Institutions	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universities
Estimated Total Number of Participants, 1973-74	167,700 ^c	124,400	43,100	58,400	75,500	33,600
Response rate	(77%)	(76X)	(78%)	(72%)	(80%)	(74%)
Estimated Number Receiving Assistance under Another Federal Program 1973-74	139,500	94,400	44,900 ^d	41,900	66,700	30,€00
Response rate	(70%)	(70%)	(71%)	(62%)	(74%)	(67%)
Participants by Adjusted Family Income, 1973-74: \$0 - 7,499 \$7,500 - 14,999 \$15,000 and over	79 20	81 18 1	75 24 1	81 19 -	78 21 1	82 17 1*
Total percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response rate	(66%)	(65%)	(67%)	(65%)	(70%)	(59%)
Average Amount of Grant 1973-74	\$349	\$284	\$418	\$287	\$404	\$308
Response rate	(73%)	(73%)	(73%)	(64%)	(77%)	(71%)

Estimated numbers of participants are rounded to the nearest one hundred.

eLcss than .5%.



b Independent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

^cConfidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk(*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two percent are presented in Appendix D.

dA discrepancy occurs because estimates are independently weighted according to the number of responding institutions. The estimate with the higher response rate is more reliable.

Table 3

Estimated Numbe	Estimated Number of Participants in Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant Programs							
	All Institutions	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universities		
Estimated Total Number of Participants, 1972-73	364,300 ^c	241,600	122,200	76,500	207,100	80,100		
Response rate	(75 %)	(75%)	(75%)	(68%)	(78%)	(75%)		
Estimated Total of Participants, 1973-74	356,700	242,000	114,100	89,800	189,700	76,500		
Response rate	(75%)	(75%)	(77%)	(68%)	(79%)	(74%)		
Estimated Number Receiving Assistance under Another Federal Program, 1973-74	326,200	220,200	105,400	80,900	171,900	72,800		
Response rate	(69%)	(69%)	(70%)	(61%)	(73%)	(68%)		
Participants by Level of Instruction, 1973-74:								
Freshman/Sophomore Junior/Senior	65 35	71 29	54 46	100	55 45	52 48		
Total percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Response rate	(67%)	(64%)	(71%)	(64%)	(71%)	(63%)		
Participants by Adjusted Family Income, 1973-74:					*			
\$0-7,499 \$7,500-14,999 \$15,000 and over	85 15 _d	86 14*	82 18	86 14	84 16 -	85 15		
Total percentage .	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Response rate	(66%)	(65%)	(67%)	(65%)	(69%)	(61%)		
Average Amount of Grant, 1973-74 Response rate	\$ 646 (72%)	\$ 469 (73%)	\$ 827 (72%)	\$ 448 (64 %)	\$ 783 (75%)	\$ 748 (71 %)		

a Estimated numbers of participants are rounded to the nearest one hundred.



b Independent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

Confidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above -wo percent are presented in Appendix D.

dLess than .5%.

Table 4
Estimated Number of Participants in College Work Study Programs^a

	All Institutions	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universities
Estimated Total Number of Participants, 1972-73	602,700 ^e	398,200	203,300	118,700	361,200	121,600
Response rate	(74%)	(77%)	(74%)	(68%)	(76%)	(76%)
Estimated Total Number of Participants, 1973-74	565,100	376,300	187,500	117,100	325,100	` •
Response rate	(75%)	(76X)	(75%)	(67%)	(77%)	121,700 (76%)
Estimated Number Receiving Assistance under Amother Federal Program 1973-74 Reaponse rate		274,600 (70%)	159,200 (68%)	86,000 (61%)	252,300 (71%)	95,500 (70%)
Participants by Level of Instruction, 1973-74:	ŀ					,
Preshman/Sophomore Junior/Senior Post-Baccalaureate	56 38 6	60 34 6	51 44 5*	100 0 0	47 48	42 47
Total percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	<u>5</u> 100%	11
Response rate	(66%)	(64%)	(69%)	(64%)	(69%)	(63%)
Participants by Adjusted Family Income, 1973-74:	ļ		ļ		·	
\$0-7,499 \$7,500-14,999 \$15,000 and over	66 30 4	70 27 3	58 36 6	74 24* 2*	65 31 4	63 33 4
Total percentage	1002	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response rate	(65%)	(66 %)	(65%)	(63%)	(67%)	(62%)
Average Amount of Grant, 1973-74	\$ 582	\$ 560	\$ 601	\$ 531	\$ 601	\$ 708
Response rate	(70%)	(72X)	(70%)	(62%)	(73%)	(72%)

^{*}Estimated numbers of participants are rounded to the nearest one hundred.



b Independent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

Confidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two percent are presented in Appendix D.

Table 5
Estimated Number of Participants in National Direct Student Loan Programs⁴

	All Institutions ^b	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Tvo-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universities
Estimated Total Number of Participants, 1972-73	768,300°	454,600	312,800	88,300	440,300	238,800
Response rate	(74%)	(72%)	(772)	(57%)	(79%)	(77%)
Estimated Total Number of Participants, 1973-74	752,900	457,700	294,200	96,100	415,500	240,300
Response rate	(75%)	(71%)	(78%)	(56%)	(80%)	(76%)
Estimated Number Receiving Assistance under Another Federal Program, 1973-74	524,200	307,200	216,500	75,900	295,300	152,500
Response rate	(68%)	(66%)	(70%)	(50 %)	(73%)	(70%)
Participants by Level of Instruction, 1973-74:	:					
Freshman/Sophomore Junior/Senior Post-Baccalaureste	52 39 9	56 36 <u>8</u>	47 43 10	100 0 0	47 45 8	43 42 <u>15</u>
Total percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response rate	(66%)	(60%)	(71%)	(53%)	(72%)	(62%)
Participants by Adjusted Family Income, 1973-74:						
\$0-7,499 \$7,500-14,999 \$15,000 and over	56 37 7	63 33 4	46 43 <u>11</u>	70 29 1*	53 39 8_	55 37 8_
Total percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response rate	(65%)	(62%)	(67%)	(52%)	(70%)	(62%)
Average Amount of Loan, 1973-74 Response rate	\$ 653 (71%)	\$ 517 (70%)	\$ 793 (72%)	\$ 515 (52%)	\$ 738 (75%)	\$ 805 (73%)

Estimated numbers of participants are rounded to the nearest one hundred.



b Independent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

Confidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two percent are present in appendix 3.

Table 6 Estimated Number of Participants in Guaranteed Student Loan Programs

	All Institutions ^b	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universities
Estimated Total Number of Participants, 1972-73	777,700 ^c	499,900	274,500	90,400	423,500	260,500
Reaponse rate	(70 %)	(73%)	(69 x)	(60%)	(72%)	(72%)
Estimated Total Number of Participants, 1973-7.	686,700	421,200	262,300	65,900	380,700	236,900
Response rate	(72%)	(73%)	(72%)	(62%)	(76%)	(72%)
Satimated Number Receiving Lasistanca under Another Federal Program, 1973-74	254,600	142,400	109,100	32,500	149,100	70,000
Response rate	(632)	(642)	(62%)	(54%)	(67%)	(59%)
articipanta by Level of nstruction, 1973-74:						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Freshman/Sophomore Junior/Senior Post-Baccalaureate	42 39 19	44 40 16	40 36 24	100 % 0	39 42 19	31 43
Total percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	26 100%
Reaponse rate	(61%)	(60%)	(63%)	(59%)	(66X)	(54%)
articipants by Adjusted amily Income, 1973-74:						
\$0-7,499 \$7,500-14,999 \$15,000 and over	32 49 19	35 50 15	26 48 26	46 47 	30 48 22	29 52 19
Total percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response rate	(59X)	(592)	(58X)	(56%)	(63%)	(51%)
verage Amount of Loan, 1973-74 973-74	\$1,045	\$ 909	\$1,178	\$ 894	\$1,135	\$1,184
Response rate	(67%)	(70%)	(66%)	(53%)	(71%)	(68%)

Estimated numbers of participants are rounded to the nearest one hundred.

Endependent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

Confidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two percent are presented in Appendix D.

Table 7
Estimated Number of Students Receiving Assistance Under All Programs by Adjusted Family Income Categories (Unduplicated Count)

	All Institutions	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Pour-Year Colleges	Universities
Fall 1972:						
\$0-7,499	738,400 ^c	513,600	223,000	133,200	409,200	104 200
Response rate	(69%)	(67%)	(71%)	(67%)	(73%)	194,300 (63 x)
\$7,500-14,999	480,800	271,200	208,300	50,700	283,500	145,300
Response rate	(69%)	(67%)	(70 x)	(66%)	(72%)	(62%)
\$15,000 and above	118,500	49,300	68,600	10,800	68,400	38,700
Response rate	(66%)	(65%)	(673)	(60 x)	(70%)	(61%)
'all 1973:						,,
\$0-7,499	783,100	556,400	225,200	162,100	413,400	206,100
Response rate	(68%)	(662)	(69%)	(67%)	(72%)	(59%)
\$7,500-14,999	494,900	285,800	208,000	56,800	288,500	148,500
Response rate	(67%)	(662)	(68%)	(66 x)	(71%)	(59%)
\$15,000 and above	115,500	47,800 ్	67,300	9,500	68,500	37,100
Response rate	(66%)	(65%)	(66%)	(62%)	(70%)	(59%)

a Estimated numbers of participants are rounded to the nearest one hundred.



b Independent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

Confidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two percent are presented in Appendix D.

Table 8

Percentage of Students Assisted by O.E. Assistance

Programs in Fall 1973, by Type of Institution

	BOC Recipients	SEOG Recipients	CW-S Recipients	NDSL Recipients	GSL Recipients	Total Assisted under al Program
Level of educational instruction						
Two-year colleges	35%	25%	217	13%	10%	16%
Four-year colleges	45	54	58	55	55	56
Universities	20	21	21	32	35	28
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(N)	167,500 ^a	356,000	563,900	751,900	683,500 1	390,500
Form of control						
Public	74%	68%	67%	61%	62%	64%
Private	26	32	33	39	38	36
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(N)	167,500	356,100	563,800	751,900	683,500 1	390,500

^aEstimated number of participants are rounded to the nearest hundred.

Table 9

Average Tuition, Room and Board Costs

	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universities
1972-1973:					
Tuition	\$360 ^a	\$1,828	\$508	\$1,506	\$1,222
Response rate	(77%)	(80%)	(74%)	(80%)	(78%)
Room and board	\$954	\$1,096	\$923	\$1,077	\$1,198
Response rate	(70%)	(79%)	(50%)	(78%)	(78%)
1973-1974:					
Tuition	\$377	\$1,921	\$53	\$1,583	\$1,282
Response rate	(77%)	(80%)	(74%)	(\$08)	(78%)
Room and board	\$1,006	\$1,135	\$974	\$1,116	\$1,254
Response rate	(70%)	(79%)	(59%)	(78%)	(78%)

aConfidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two recent are presented in Appendix D.

Table 10 Comparative Administrative Workload for Federal Student Aid Programs

Assessment of Workload	All a Institutions	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universities		
Basic Opportunity Grants:				1	_			
Light Moderate Heavy	39 ^b 38 <u>23</u>	40 37 23	38 39 23	43 38 19	37 38 25	31 34 <u>35</u>		
Total Percentage Response Rate	100% (78%)	100% (77%)	100% (79%)	100% (73%)	100% (80%)	100% (77%)		
Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants:		(, , , , ,	(,,,,	(,,,,,	(00%)	(,,,,		
Light Moderate Heavy	26 57 17	18 56 26	34 57 9	20 55 <u>25</u>	30 58 12	25 60 15		
Total Percentage Response Rate	100% (76%)	100% (76%)	100% (76%)	100% (67%)	100% (79%)	100% (76%)		
College Work Study:								
Light Moderate Heavy	4 45 <u>51</u>	3 42 <u>55</u>	5 47 48	4 46 <u>50</u>	43 53	2* 39 59		
Total Percentage Response Rate	100% (76%)	100% (77%)	100% (75%)	100% (69%)	100% (77%)	100% (78%)		
National Direct Student Loans:		•	• •	1	•			
Light Moderate Heavy	12 50 <u>38</u>	14 48 38	10 52 38	17 46 37	53 _21	89 48 43		
Total Percentage Response Rate	100% (76%)	100% (73%)	100% (78%)	100% (58%)	170% (80%)	100% (79%)		
Guaranteed Student Loans:								
Light Moderate Heavy	17 24 <u>59</u>	24 24 52	10 25 65	36 25 39	6 25 69	1* 10 89		
Total Percentage Response Rate	100% (77%)	100% (77%)	100% (79%)	100% (68%)	100% (80%)	100% (79%)		

a Independent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

Table 11
Primary Factor Increasing Administrative Workload

Factors	All Institutions ⁸	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universities
Introduction of BOGs	10 ^b	10	11	10	10	11
Procedures associated with needs analysis	33	33	32	32	33	38
Packaging Student Aid	12	12	11	19	7	4*
Uncertainty over funding	34	35	33	28	38	41
Combination of above	11	10	_13	_11	_12	<u>_6</u> *
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rate	(78%)	(77%)	(79%)	(75%)	(80%)	(78%)

a Independent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

b Confidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two percent are presented in Appendix D.



b Confidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence Limits ranging above two percent are presented in Appendix D.

Table 12
Accuracy of Needs Analysis Test

Factors	All Institutions ^a	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universities
Extent to which needs analysis reflects true ability to pay:						
Almost always	10 ^b	11	8	10	9	15
Frequently or occasionally	50	49	51	46	52	57
Rarely	40	40	41	44	39	28
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rate	(78%)	(76%)	(80%)	(71%)	(812)	(78%)

a Independent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

Table 13

Extent of Difficulty in Obtaining Guaranteed Loans for Different Types of Students

Type of Student and	All	Public	Private	Two-Year	Four-Year	Universities
Level of Difficulty	Institutions ^a	Institutions	Institutions	Colleges	Colleges	
Married Students:						
Little or none	36 ^b	37	35	36	37	29
Some	49	50	48	49	48	58
Great	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>17</u>	15	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rate		(74%)	(74%)	(60%)	(77%)	(76%)
Single Students:		·		•	• • •	(1027)
Little or none	35	33	37	33	37	34
Some	47	42	53	39	53	53
Great	<u>18</u>	25	10	28	10	<u>13</u>
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rain		(74%)	(74%)	(65%)	(76%)	(75%)
Financially Independent:]		,			
Little or none	27	29	25	26	27	33
Some	45	44	46	38	51	45
Great	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>36</u>	22	22
Total Percentage Response Rate	100%	100% (75%)	100% (74%)	100% (62%)	100%	100%
Financially Dependent:	` i					
Little or none	36	29	44	33	40	25
Some	43	43	43	35	47	63
Great	21	<u>28</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>
Total Percentage Response Rate	100% (73%)	100% (74%)	100% (74%)	100% (65%)	100% (76%)	100%
Freshmen, Sophomores:					•	
Little or none	31	29	32	33	30	23
Some	43	39	48	37	49	41
Great	26	<u>32</u>	20	30	21	<u>36</u>
Total Percentage Response Rate	100% (72%)	100% (72%)	100% (73%)	100% (63%)	100%	100% (70%)

bConfidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two percent are presented in Appendix D.

Table 13 - Extent of Difficulty in Obtaining Guaranteed Loans for Different Types of Students (Cont'd.)

Type of Student and Level of Difficulty	All Institutions	Public Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universitie
Juniors/Seniors:				<u>_</u>		
Little or none	35	24	47	c	50	39
Some	54	59	49	-	45	56
Great	11	17	_4	-	. 5	5
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	-	100#	100%
Response Rate	(63%)	(58%)	(67%)	•	(76%)	(72%)
ost-Baccalaureates:						
Little or none	43	38	50	-	55	39
Some Great	24	15	36	-	36	50
	_33	47	14		9	_11
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%
Response Rate	(43%)	(53%)	(37%)	-	(42%)	(73%)
tudents Recommended for Subsidized Louns:						
Little or none	60	54	66	60	61	48
Some Great	30 10	32 14		27 13	32	43 9
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	13 100 x	100%	100%
Response Rate	(72%)	(71%)	(73%)			•
Students Recommended for	(724)	(71%)	(73%)	(58%)	(76%)	(74%)
ionsubsidized Loans:	••					
Little or none Some	17 23	19 14	16 31	21 17	15 27	11 23
Great	_60	67	_53	62	57	66
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rate	(70%)	(71%)	(71%)	(53%)	(74%)	(74 %)
Students with Adjusted Family Incomes of:						
\$0 - 7,499						
Little or none	54	52	55	57	52	44
Some	31	30	33	23	37	41
Great	_15	18	12	20	_11	_15
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rate	(73%)	(73%)	(74%)	(66%)	(76%)	(73%)
\$7,500 - 14,999				1		
Little or none	29	16	43	25	33	28
Some	5? .	56	47	46	55	55
Great	19	28	10	29	<u> 12</u>	
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	17 100%
Response Rate	(73%)	(73%)	(74%)	(67%)	(76%)	
-	(134)	(/3*/	(1447	(01%)	(104)	(73%)
\$15,000 and above	••		• •		- -	
Little or none	15	15	16	14	17	13
Som€	29	21	37	22	33	33
Great	_56	_64	47	_64	_50	_54
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rate	(72%)	(72%)	(73%)	(64%)	(75%)	(72%)

Andependent medical achools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

bConfidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two percent are presented in Appendix D.





Table 14

Impact of Federal Assistance Support on Institutions

Policy Area and	A11	Public	Private	Two-Year	Four-Year	
Extent of Impact	Institutions a	Institutions	Institutions	Colleges	Colleges	Universitie
Admissions Policies:						
No impact	41 ^b	54	26	54	31	31
Minor Major	36 _23	34	39	28	42	42
Total Percentage	100%	12 100%	_ <u>35</u> 100%	18 1002	27	27
Response Rate	(76%)	(75%)	(77%)	(73%)	100% (78%)	100%
·	(70%)	(13%)	(77%)	(13%)	(/0%)	(75%)
Recruiting Policies:						
No impact Minor	18 40	21 38	15 41	21 34	17 43	10
Major	42	41	44	45	40	42 48
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rate	(76%)	(75%)	(77%)	(73%)	(78%)	(75%)
Tuition Policies:	f					
No impact	55	70	40	65	48	50
Minor	35	27	43	31	38	39
Major	_10	_3	17	_4	14	_11
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rate	(76%)	(75%)	(77%)	(73%)	(77%)	(75%)
Overall Financial Condition:						
No impact	13	16	9	18	9	10
Minor	45	48	41	46	44	43
Major	42	<u>36</u>	50	<u> 36</u>	47	47
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rate	(75%)	(75%)	(76%)	(73%)	(77%)	(75%)
Student Counseling:						
No impact	9	5	13	y	8	8
Minor	45	45	44	43	48	37
Major	_46	50	43	_48	44	_55
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response Rate	(76%)	(77%)	(76%)	(73%)	(77%)	(75%)
Other Sources of Student Support:						
No impact	13	11	15	12	15	6
Minor	54	54	54	48	58	62
Major	_33	_35_	_31_	40	27	_32
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100≴	100%
Response Rate	(75%)	(75%)	(75%)	(71%)	(76%)	(75%)

^aIndependent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.

bConfidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two percent are presented in Appendix n.

Table 15

The Effect of Availability of Federal Grants/Loans on Predicted Fall 1973 Enrollments

	Institutions	Institutions	Private Institutions	Two-Year Colleges	Four-Year Colleges	Universities
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
As planned or within 2% of projections	49 ³ 7	60	38	55	42	67
Exceeded projections by greater than 2%	18	16	21	17	19	15
Less than projections by greater than 2%	33	24	41	28	39	18
Total Percentage	100%	1002	100%	1002	100%	100%
Total N	2,580	1,308	1,260	1,042	1,337	189
Reaponse Rate	(76%)	(73%)	(78%)	(72%)	(78%)	(73 %)
of those with greater than expected enrollments, the evailability of Federal grants/loans was:			·			(132)
Major factor	29	25	31	30	29	14
Minor factor	16	8	22	2*	23	36
Not a factor	50	60	4.3	63	43	39
No answer	5	7	4	5	5	11
Total Percentage	100%	100%	1001	100%	100%	100%
of those with less than expected enrollments, the vailability of Federal rants/loans was:						
Major factor	40	32	45	22	45	51
Minor factor	33	40	28	36	32	26
Not a factor	21	19	22	28	18	9*
No answer	6	9_	5	88_	5	14
Total Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

a Independent medical schools are included in the column for all institutions, but are not included in other columns.



Confidence limits have been computed for all figures. Except where specified with an asterisk (*), 95 percent confidence limits ranged no more than + or - two percent of the figures. Confidence limits ranging above two percent are presented in Appendix D.

APPENDIX A:

Stratification Design for the Higher Education Panel

¥

-35-/36 APPENDIX A

Stratification Design for the Higher Education Panel

<u> </u>	Circulation Design for the Higher			
Ce11 Number	Stratification Design	Population		
Тэошия	for Sampling	N=2584	N=646	
	Public undersanded as			
	Public universities Selectivity:			
1	Less than 550	71	E.C	
2	550-599		56	
2 3	600 or more	31 16	27 16	
•	Private universities	10	10	
	Selectivity:			
4	Less than 550	21	15	
5	550-599	15	15	
6	600 or more	36	33	
	Four-year public colleges			•
	Selectivity:			
7	Less than 450	96	21	
8	450-499	66	12	
9	500 or more	74	28	
10	Unknown	100	21	
	Four-year private non-			
	sectarian colleges			
44 45	Selectivity:			
11,15	Less than 500; Unknown	230	28	
12	500-574	36	9	
13	575-649	49	31	
14	650 or more	51	33	
	Four-year Catholic colleges Selectivity:			
16	Less than 500	58	20	
17	500-574	72	21	
18	575 or more	37	16	•
19	Unknown ·	47	8	
	Four-year other sectarian	7,	Ū	
	colleges			
	Selectivity:			
20	Less than 450	56	11	
21	450-499	54	14	
22	500-574	73	29	
23	575 or more	54	37	
24	Unknown	100	11	
	Two-year public colleges			
45.04.45	Enrollment:			
25,26,27	Less than 500	386	19	
28	500-999	220	19	
29	1000 or more	196	22	
	Two-year private colleges Enrollment:			
30,31	Less than 250	4 2 4	16	
32	250-499	157 42	14	
33	500 or more	25	6	
	Predominantly black colleges			
34	Public four-year	36	8 .	
35	Private four-year	49	15	
36	Two-year	17	7	
37	Independent medical schools	12	8	

For definitions and a more detailed description, see John A. Creager et. al., National Norms for Entering College Freshmen, Fall 1968 ACE Research Reports, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1968.



APPENDIX B:
Survey Questionnaire



37/38

AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

Higher Education Panel Survey Number 18

The Impact of Office of Education Student Assistance Programs

FED	ERAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS NOTE: De	finitions ar	e found on	other side	e of page.	ı
ι.	Please approximate the number of particular indicated below: (a) Total no. participants, 1972-73 (b) Total no. participants, 1973-74 (c) Participants, 1973-74, by: 1. Level of instruction:	BOG XXXXXXXX		11y assiste		GSL
	Freshman, sophomore Junior, senior Postbaccalaureate 2. Adjusted family income: \$0 - \$7,499 (see definitions) \$7,500 - \$14,999	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX			
	\$15,000 and above (d) Estimated number receiving assistance under at least one other program, 1973-74					
	(e) Average amount of grant/loan (1973-74)	\$. Cr
2.	About what percentage of students recollessified as "independent" students?		nitions)			grams are
3.	are receiving assistance under the Fed 1973 as compared to Fail 1972?		nt aid prog	rams ident	ified abov	e in Fall
	Adjusted family income of: \$0 - \$7,499 \$7,500 - \$14,999 \$15,000 and above		Fall	1972	<u>F811</u>	1973
STU	DENT ENROLLMENT AND CHARGES					
4.	What are the typical student charges for 1973-74 as compared to 1972-73?	for full-tim	-	duates at :	-	ltution 3-1974
	Tuition, fees (in-state) Room and Board					
5.	How did actual opening fall 1973 enror projected by your institution in deve As planned, or within Exceeded projections Less than projection in your judgment, we Yes, a major fac	loping the l n 2% of proj by greater s by greater as availabil	1973-74 aca jections than 2% r than 2% lity of Ped	demic-year	budget?	s a factor
6.	Please attempt to characterize your to level: (i.e., approximate percentage					
	Adjusted family income of: \$0 - \$7,499 \$7,500 - \$14,999 \$15,000 and above					002

er 3, 1973

EXPERIENCES WITH ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

	In your opinion, which of the following factor workload the most st your institution: (Check		ne overall adi	ministrative
	 a. Introduction of BOGs b. Procedures associated with needs anal c. Packaging of a student's aid d. Uncertainty over funding 	•		
9.	For each type of student below, please indicat in obtaining a guaranteed loan this fall:	e the extent of		each has had Great
	Married students	no Difficult	y Difficulty	Difficulty
	Single students		**********	•
	Financially independent students (see definiti Financially dependent students	on)		
	Freshmen, sophomores			
	Juniors, seniors			
	Postbaccalaureate students Students recommended for subsidized loans			· · · · · · ·
	Students recommended for nonsubsidized loans			
	Students with family incomes of \$0 - \$7,499*	A/2		
•	Students with family incomes of \$7,500 - \$14,9 Students with family incomes of \$15,000 and ab	99*	****	
		Ove		
_	*Adjusted family income levels.			
.0.	In your judgment, how often does the needs and contribution that each family can in fact pay?		in an expecte	d family
	Almost Always	_ Frequently		Rarely
1.	To what extent have the Federal student assist of Federal student assistance support, had a m the past several years with respect to:	aterial impac	t on your ins	titution over
	the past several years with lespect to.	No	Minor	Major
	a. Admissions policies	Impact	Impact	Impact
	b. Recruiting policies			
	c. Tuition policies			
	d. Overall financial condition e. Student counseling		•	
	f. Other sources of student support		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
				

APPENDIX C:

Estimation Formulas Utilized for Data Analysis

Appendix C

Estimation Formulas Utilized for Data Analysis

In order to develop population estimates from the sample data, institutional weights were calculated--within each stratification cell and for each individual item of data--according to the following:

$$x_{hik}' = \sum_{h=1}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{hk}'} w_{hk} x_{hik}'$$

where: Whk is a stratum weight for the ith item, the ratio of the number of institutions in the population to that in the responding sample for the hth stratum.

Xhik is a datum reported by the ith sample institution in the hth stratum.

L is the number of strata defining a reporting category.

nhk is the number of sample institutions responding to the ith item in the hth stratum.

Estimates of sampling error were prepared for weighted population estimates according to the following:

$$\sigma_{X_{hik}}^{2} = \sum_{h=1}^{L} \frac{N_{h}^{2}}{n_{hk}^{2}} \left(1 - f_{hk}\right) \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{hk}^{i}} \left(X_{hik}^{i} - \bar{X}_{hk}\right)^{2}}{n_{hk}^{i} - 1}\right)$$

where: fhk is the ratio of the number of institutions responding to the ith item to that in the population for the hth stratum.

 N_h is the number of population institutions in the hth stratum.

These formulas have been adapted from M. H. Hansen, W. N. Hurwitz, and W. G. Madow, Sample Survey Methods and Theory, Vol. I (New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1953).

APPENDIX D:

Population Estimates with Confidence Limits above two percent of Estimate

-47-148

Appendix D

Population Estimates with Confidence Limits above two percent of Estimate

Table Number and Item		Population Estimate	Confidence Interval ^a
Estimate	s of Aggregate Counts		•
Table 2: number of BOG participants, family income of \$15,000 and			
	two-year colleges	177	9
	universities	179	6
Table 3: number of SEOG participants, family income of \$15,000 and			
•	public institutions	227	6
	two-year colleges	90	4
	universities	164	16
Table 4: number of CW-S participants level of instruction at:	at postbaccalaureate		
	private institutions	10,086	227
Table 4: number of CW-S participants, family income of \$15,000 and			
•	two-year colleges	2,328	61
Table 5: number of NDSL participants, family income of \$15,000 and		·	
	two-year colleges	1,071	23
<u>Esti</u>	mates of Percentages		
Table 10: administrative workload for	CW-S was light at:	2.4%	.08%
		21770	100%
Table 10: administrative workload for	GSL was light at: universities	0.7%	.05%
Table 11: primary factor increasing a was packaging of student ai			
	universities	4.7%	.17%
Table 11: primary factor increasing a was a combination of factor			
	universities	5.9%	.17%
Table 15: of those with greater than availability of federal grafactor at:			
lactor at;	two-year colleges	2.4%	.14%
Table 15: of those with less than exp availability of federa gra			
factor at:	universities	9.3%	.24%

aNinety-five percent confidence intervals (interpreted as + or -).



Other Reports of the Higher Education Panel American Council on Education

- Blandford, B. and Dutton, D. Survey of First-Year Graduate and Postdoctoral Enrollment in Science and Engineering. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 1, August, 1971.
- Blandford, B. and Dutton, D. Research Support for Science Faculty. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 2, November, 1971.
- Astin, A., Blandford, B., and Mahn, T. Freshman Class Vacancles in Fall 1971 and Recent Trends in Enrollment of Minority Freshmen. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 3, February, 1972.
- Changes In Graduate Programs in Science and Engineering 1970-72 and 1972-1974. Science Resources Studies Highlights. Washington: National Science Foundation, July, 1972.
- Blandford, B. and Sell, C. Enrollment of Junior-Year Students (1970 and 1971). Higher Education Panel Report, No. 5, April, 1972.
- Trexler, J. and Blandford, B. What College Presidents Are Reading. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 6, March, 1972.
- Trexler, J. and Kent, L. Commercial Theme-Writing Services. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 7, June, 1972.
- Furniss, W. T. Faculty Tenure and Contract Systems: Current Practice. ACE Special Report, July, 1972.
- Bayer, A. E. and Astin, A. W. War Protest on U.S. Campuses During April, 1972. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 9, May, 1972.
- Blandford, B. A. and Trexler, J. C. Expected First-Year Graduate Enrollment in Science and Engineering, Fall 1972. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 10, August, 1972.
- Blandford, B. A. Student Participation on Institutional Governing Boards. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 11, October, 1972.
- Dutton, J. E. and Blandford, B. A. Enrollment of Junior-Year Students (1971 and 1972). Higher Education Panel Report, No. 12, April, 1973.
- Dutton, J. E. Courses and Enrollment in Ethnic/Racial Studies. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 14, August, 1973.
- Dutton, J. E. and Jenkins, M. D. The Urban Involvement of Colleges and Universities. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 15, August, 1973.
- Dutton, J. E. and El-Khawas, E. H. Production of Doctorates in Selected Fields, 1972-1975. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 16, April, 1974.
- Dutton, J. E. First-Year Enrollment for Masters or Higher Degrees, Fall 1973. Higher Education Panel Report, No. 17, April, 1974.