

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 089 254

CS 001 030

AUTHOR Smith, Blanche Hope  
TITLE What Research in Reading Education Has Made No  
Difference to the Classroom Teacher? Why?  
PUB DATE May 74  
NOTE 15p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the  
International Reading Association (19th, New Orleans,  
May 1-4, 1974)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE  
DESCRIPTORS Educational Research; \*Language Arts; Reading;  
Reading Development; \*Reading Improvement; \*Reading  
Instruction; \*Reading Programs; \*Reading Research;  
Reading Skills

ABSTRACT

Teachers may find out about research studies through professional conferences, conventions and meetings, inservice teacher education courses, professional literature, and conferences with faculty members of colleges and universities. Translators of research may present the results of studies in programs for the classroom teacher. Perhaps the greatest need today is for the translator to produce viable programs of instruction for the classroom teacher on such problems as the link between the structure of language and the structures of listening, speaking, reading, and writing a total language program. This is needed today if teachers are to implement the findings of significant research studies to effect change in instructional strategies. These reports and programs must be made available to the classroom teacher in a simple uncluttered manner, without an overemphasis on statistics. Only then will the classroom teacher be in a position to add or delete practices of instruction for maximum pupil growth in reading and all other areas of language. (Author)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-  
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM  
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-  
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS  
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT  
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

Blanche Hope Smith  
Richmond, Virginia, Public Schools  
315 East Clay Street  
Richmond, Virginia 23219

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY-  
RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Blanche Hope Smith

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING  
UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL IN-  
STITUTE OF EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRO-  
DUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM RE-  
QUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT  
OWNER.

What Research in Reading Education Has Made No Difference  
to the Classroom Teacher? Why?

Symposium 7 -- The Role of Research in Reading Education

Thursday, May 2, 1974, 2:00 - 4:45 p. m.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ED 089254

001030

In 1953 Early (18) stated the functions of research were to develop new hunches and new questions. Shuy (37) believed ineffective theories and tools have been used to research unimportant things. Blackman (6) related one of the limitations of research was implementation of change in educational practices, he referred to the dissemination lag or research-classroom gap that existed. Perhaps some hunches, questions and theories in educational practices in teaching reading may be reconsidered or reviewed in reference to the classroom teacher.

According to Hall and Ribovich (21) teachers used a variety of skills in individual and group activities; including round robin reading for the entire class. They contended the core of reading instruction should be to help learners reconstruct messages from print. Gutknecht (20) pointed out that teachers in grades one through five used a number of practices in reading and language arts instruction that were not based on any reading theory, such as; allegiance to one method, stress on rules of grammar, round robin reading for oral performance, nonacceptance of diverse dialects, and isolated drills on unknown words. Artley (3) reported specific teacher behaviors that had positive effects on reading achievement, they were: oral reading, creativity and enthusiasm; negative influences were drill, round robin oral reading, and book reports of independent reading.

Reading is being taught to diverse groups of children with a multiplicity of approaches in many classrooms of various organizational patterns. These organizational patterns included inter and intra levels of homogeneous or heterogeneous

groups, team teaching or cooperative teaching. Downing (15) suggested three dimensions that affect pupil learning and teacher selection of teaching strategies; child or curriculum centered education, formal or informal approaches and individualized or whole class methods. There is no set pattern for teaching reading in this country. Early (19) reminded us that reading was not included in Language Arts in some elementary school curriculums.

Before classroom practices are changed, teachers must have an opportunity to know about the results of pertinent studies. Singer, (38) translator of research studies into classroom practices found that in order for these findings to make a difference, the results have to be taken through a number of steps before they are usable in the classroom. Other barriers may prevent the classroom teacher from utilizing the results of research. \*Aaron (1) listed four problems a researcher may encounter in the reading area:

1. Many perception studies are performed in laboratory-type situations that differ considerably from the classroom setting
2. Broad generalizations may be drawn from a very limited study
3. The researcher may clothe an insignificant or poorly designed study in an elaborate statistical dress

\*Aaron, Ira E. Translating Research into Practice: Reading Readiness, Visual Perception, and Auditory Perception. In Mildred A. Dawson (compiler), Teaching Word Recognition Skills. Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1971, 50-51.

4. The interpreter of research may draw implications for practice when such implications are of doubtful value.

When children come to school they have learned the linguistic elements of the dialect of their own community. Teachers can expand and extend this knowledge children already possess, thus helping them acquire the needed facility and competence of the majority dialect. As Jenkinson (24) declared reading is freedom, toleration of diversity, and individualism in choice.

The classic study of Morphett and Washburne (33) was the epitome for research studies on early childhood children, they concluded the mental age for beginning reading was six and a half years. Much of the thinking in this country about beginning reading has been related to this study. Kirk (27) did a study in language, intelligence, and aptitudes with early childhood mentally retarded children. He found nursery school experience for retarded children was positive. Another important study was the Bloom (7) research on the development of intelligence in early childhood children. Results of this study indicated half of the intellectual ability of the child was developed by age four and at age eight, eighty percent had been developed. Durkin (16) did a similar study like Kirk's with normal children of working-class parents. She found early language programs depended on the child and the school environment. The findings of these studies refute the results of the Morphett and Washburne study.

Marckwardt (31) said language was one form of social behavior, Bruner (9) thought language was an internal process,

Harrington (22) considered language as a system of communication, while Weaver and Kingston (46) noted oral communication skills were necessary for mastery of developmental tasks. Lenneberg (30), Myklebust (34), and Smith (39) equated language development with motor development. According to Downing's (14) theory of linguistic development, the main task of the child is to find the relationship between spoken and written language in beginning reading.

One of the most widely accepted deficit model language programs is that of Bereiter and Engelmann (4), they believed disadvantaged preschool children should be taught the majority dialect before being taught to read. In this study, they reported significant gains. Strickland (42) reported a literature-language program was successful which expanded the language of kindergarten children to include the majority dialect without negating their own dialect. Rystrom (36) found dialect did not cause reading failures, pupils can be taught to read if teachers accept diverse dialects. Burke (10) concluded the key to reading was language growth and flexibility not abandonment of dialects. Wakefield and Silvaroli (45) reported results of no significant differences between sentence patterns of first grade children, economic background was a stronger influence on oral language than ethnic background, they suggested the disadvantaged has a paucity of language because the language at school is not included in their repertoire. Kirk (28) found in a review of studies on ethnic differences in psycholinguistic abilities as measured by the Illinois Test of Psycholinguistic Abilities; black children were superior in auditory memory while Indian

and Mexican-American children were superior in visual memory.

Arnold (2) found oral-aural instruction superior in reading for bilingual disadvantaged children. Carrow (11) reported monlingual disadvantaged children made higher scores on auditory comprehension than the bilingual disadvantaged group. Berger and Das (5) found bilingual children had difficulty learning to use language as a tool, they did not possess knowledge of the language patterns and structures. They concluded the children needed instruction in verbal successive learning early.

The controversy between oral and silent reading has been apparent for years. Teachers are using both techniques to assess skills of word knowledge. Children confronted with unknown words attempt to unlock them with phonetic analysis, contextual cues or guessing. The cloze techniques have been used effectively since 1953, as a readability measure, oral, silent and written comprehension assessment, and linguistic analysis. Blumenfeld and Miller (8) found that the cloze technique reflected students knowledge of linguistic abilities. Cazden (12) cited in a review of cloze techniques that Salzinger found a positive correlation between the relationship of the reader and the style of the author. Williams (48) reported children did not use configuration or shape as cues for word recognition skills, but they attempted to use contextual cues from the remainder of the sentence.

Reading, speaking and writing are interrelated skills which the child learns together, the teacher must use a variety of methodology and materials embracing phonetic analysis, the language-experience approach, and linguistics if each child is

to become an effective user of the majority dialect. When a child is engaged in meaningful activities he is coordinating the affective, cognitive and psychomotor domains, functioning as an entity.

All children are not as fortunate as others, every child does not have normal language developmental patterns, some children have language problems. McGrady (32) recognized this problem when he found language disorders were usually internal. Kirk and Kirk (29) indicated some normal children are retarded in reading progress which may be corrected by classroom instruction.

Standardized tests used for reading diagnosis should be normalized on all ethnic groups if the tests are to become effective measures of assessment used to categorize pupils. One such test that classroom teachers may use is the SRA Assessment Survey (41), one combined score is given for the three areas of language, mathematics and reading achievement. This score is referred to as the 3R core of the multilevel battery.

Thorndike (44) stated any test or observation of behavior is a small sample of the total behavior of the child and the obtained score is a rough estimate of the child's ability. Wedell (47) described diagnosis as a short term period of systematic observation for information that may be obtained over a longer period of informal observation.

Doehring (13) assessed the abilities of retarded readers with specific reading disabilities, the results indicated a positive correlation between reading disability, visual and verbal sequential processes. Killian (25) found bilingualism was not an important variable in language development and



visual motor performance. Robinson(35) supported the results of a review of the effectiveness of perceptual training to improve reading. She found no conclusive evidence to substantiate the practice.

Teachers are the motivators, keys to the emotional learning climate of the classroom. Talbert(43) concluded the best way to study teachers is to be one. King(26) proclaimed the climate of the classroom is dependent upon the personality of the teacher, when the relationship between the teacher and pupils is positive, children develop self-confidence, self-worth and independence. Hymes(23) believed the teacher needed linguistic knowledge and an accepting attitude to set the stage for learning. Spache(40) remarked differences in teacher and pupil relationships determine pupil achievement rather than methods or materials. Early(17) emphasized the significance of the teacher in diagnostic teaching which she considered the skill or art of an effective teacher.

Teachers may find out about research studies through professional conferences, conventions and meetings; in-service-teacher courses; professional literature, and conferences with faculty members of colleges and universities. Translators of research may present the results of studies in programs for the classroom teacher. Perhaps the greatest need today is for the translator to produce viable programs of instruction for the classroom teacher on answers to such problems as the link between the structure of language to the structures of listening, speaking, reading, and writing, a total language program. This is needed today if teachers are to implement the findings

of significant research studies to affect change in instructional strategies. These reports and programs must be made available to the classroom teacher in a simple uncluttered manner, without an overemphasis on statistics. Only then will the classroom teacher be in a position to add or delete practices of instruction for maximum pupil growth in reading and all other areas of language.

## References

1. Aaron, Ira E. Translating Research into Practice: Reading Readiness, Visual Perception, and Auditory Perception. In Mildred A. Dawson (compiler), Teaching Word Recognition Skills. Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1971, 49-55.
2. Arnold, Richard D. Retention in Reading of Disadvantaged Children. In J. Allen Figurel (Ed.), Reading and Realism. Proceedings of the International Reading Association, 1969, 13, (1), 748-754.
3. Artley, A. Sterl. Identifying Good Teachers of Reading. Successful Teachers of Reading--Who Are They? ERIC/RCS, May 1973, ED 074 454.
4. Bereiter, Carl & Engelmann, Siegfried. Teaching Disadvantaged Children in the Preschool. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1966.
5. Berger, Allen & Das, J. P. A Report on Indian Education: (A) In - Depth Study of Nine Indian Families; (B) Memory and Reasoning in Native Children: An Effort at Improvement Through the Teaching of Cognitive Strategies. Alberta, Canada: University of Alberta, 1972.
6. Blackman, Leonard S. Research and the Classroom: Mahomet and the Mountain Revisited. Exceptional Children, 39, ( November 1972 ), 181-191.
7. Bloom, Benjamin S. Stability and Change in Human Characteristics. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1964.
8. Blumenfeld, Jacob P. & Gerald R. Miller. Improving Reading Through Teaching Grammatical Constraints. Elementary

English, 43, ( November 1966 ), 752-755.

9. Bruner, Jerome. Toward a Theory of Instruction. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1966.
10. Burke, Carolyn. Dialect and the Reading Process. In James L. Laffey & Roger Shuy (Ed.), Language Differences: Do They Interfere? Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1973, 91-100.
11. Carrow, Elizabeth. Auditory Comprehension of English by Monolingual and Bilingual Preschool Children. Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 15, ( June 1972 ) 407-412.
12. Cazden, Courtney B. Child Language and Education. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1972.
13. Doehring, Donald G. Patterns of Impairment in Specific Reading Disability. Bloomington, Indiana: Indiana University Press, 1968.
14. Downing, John. Linguistic Environments, I. In John Downing (Ed.), Comparative Reading: Cross-National Studies of Behavior and Processes in Reading and Writing. New York: Macmillan, 1973, 181-216.
15. Downing, John. The Teacher Variable. In John Downing (Ed.), Comparative Reading: Cross-National Studies of Behavior and Processes in Reading and Writing. New York: Macmillan, 1973, 128-168.
16. Durkin, Dolores. A Language Arts Program for Pre-First-Grade Children: Two-Year Achievement Report. Reading Research Quarterly, 5, ( Summer 1970 ), 534-565.
17. Early, Margaret J. Components of a Language Program in the Primary Grades. In Robert C. Aukerman (Ed.) Some Persistent

- Questions on Beginning Reading. Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1972, 79-90.
18. Early, Margaret J. Introduction. In Walter D. Loban The Language of Elementary School Children. Champaign, Illinois: National Council of Teachers of English, 1963.
19. Early, Margaret J. NCTE's Challenge in Right-to-Read, NCTE Councilletter, Elementary English, 50, ( March 1973 ).
20. Gutknecht, Bruce A. How Do You Teach Reading? Elementary English, ( January 1973 ), 77-80.
21. Hall, MaryAnne & Jerilyn K. Ribovich. Teaching Reading in Reading Situations. Reading Teacher, 27, ( November 1973 ), 163-166.
22. Harrington, D. A. Language and Perception. The Volta Review, 67, ( March 1965 ), 191-196.
23. Hymes, Dell. Introduction. In Courtney B. Cazden, Vera P. John & Dell Hymes (Ed.), Functions of Language in the Classroom. New York: Teachers College Press, Columbia University, 1972.
24. Jenkinson, Marion D. Reading and Diversity. In Robert Karlin (Ed.), Reading For All. Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1973, 6-8.
25. Killian, L. E. Wisc, Illinois Test of Psycholinguistic Abilities, and Bender Visual-Motor Gestalt Test Performance of Spanish-American Kindergarten and First-Grade School Children. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 37, ( August 1971 ), 1971, 38-43.
26. King, Ethel M. The Influence of Teaching on Reading Achievement. In Robert Karlin (Ed.), Reading For All. Newark,

- Delaware: International Reading Association, 1973, 110-115.
27. Kirk, Samuel A. Early Education of the Mentally Retarded, Urbana, Illinois: University of Illinois Press, 1958.
  28. Kirk, Samuel A. Ethnic Differences in Psycholinguistic Abilities. Exceptional Children, 39, ( October 1972 ), 112-118.
  29. Kirk, Samuel A. & Winifred D. Kirk. Psycholinguistic Learning Disabilities: Diagnosis and Remediation. Urbana, Illinois: University of Illinois Press, 1971.
  30. Lenneberg, Eric H. The Natural History of Language. In Frank Smith & George Miller (Ed.), Genesis of Language. Cambridge, Massachusetts: M.I.T. Press, 1966, 219-252.
  31. Marckwardt, Albert H. The Concept of Standard English. The Discovery of English: NCTE 1971 Distinguished Lectures. Urbana, Illinois: National Council of Teachers of English, 1971, 13-35.
  32. McGrady, Harold J. Language Pathology and Learning Disabilities. In Helmer Myklebust (Ed.), Progress in Learning Disabilities, Volume 1. New York: Grune & Stratton, 1968, 199-233.
  33. Morphett, Mabel N. & Washburne, Carleton. When Should Children Begin to Read? Elementary School Journal, 31, ( March 1931 ), 496-503.
  34. Myklebust, Helmer R. Auditory Disorders in Children. New York: Grune & Stratton, 1954.
  35. Robinson, Helen. Perceptual Training--Does It Result in Reading Improvement? In Robert C. Aukerman (Ed.), Some Persistent Questions on Beginning Reading. Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1972, 135-150.
  36. Rystrom, Richard. Reading, Language, and Nonstandard Dialects:

- A Research Report. In James L. Laffey & Roger Shuy (Ed.), Language Differences: Do They Interfere? Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1973, 36-39.
37. Shuy, Roger W. Performance Contracts and Reading: The Great Oversimplification. Journal of Reading, 15, ( May 1972 ), 604-612.
38. Singer, Harry. Research That Should Have Made a Difference. Elementary English, 47, ( January 1970 ), 27-34.
39. Smith, Frank. Understanding Reading: A Psycholinguistic Analysis of Reading and Learning to Read. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1971.
40. Spache, George E. Psychological and Cultural Factors in Learning to Read. In Robert Karlin (Ed.), Reading For All. Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1973, 43-50.
41. SRA Assessment Survey Achievement Series, Multilevel Edition. Chicago, Illinois: Science Research Associates, Inc., 1972.
42. Strickland, Dorothy S. Expanding Language Power of Young Black Children: A Literature Approach. In J. Allen Figurel (Ed.), Better Reading in Urban Schools. Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1972, 9-17.
43. Talbert, Carol. Anthropological Research Models. Research in the Teaching of English, 7, Fall 1973, 190-211.
44. Thorndike, Robert L. Dilemmas in Diagnosis. In Walter H. McGinitie (Ed.), Assessment Problems in Reading. Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1973, 57-67.
45. Wakefield, Mary W. & Silveroli, N. J. A Study of Oral Language Patterns of Low Socioeconomic Groups. Reading Teacher,

22, ( April 1969 ), 622-624, 663.

46. Weaver, Wendell W. & Kingston, Albert J. Modeling the Effects of Oral Language. Reading Research Quarterly, 7, ( Summer 1972 ), 613-627.
47. Wedell, Klaus. Diagnosing Learning Difficulties: A Sequential Strategy. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 3, ( June 1970 ), 311-317.
48. Williams, Joanna P. Some Experiments on Visual and Aural Word Recognition. In Martin Kling (Ed.), Reading and School Achievement -- Cognitive and Affective Influences. Eighth Annual Spring Reading Conference, New Brunswick, New Jersey: Rutgers University, 1973, 136-142.