DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 088 755 SO 007 104

AUTHOR Zeleny, Leslie D.

TITLE How to Use Simulations. How to Do It Series, Number

26.

INSTITUTION National Council for the Social Studies, Washington,

D.C.

PUB DATE 74
NOTE 8p.

AVAILABLE FROM National Council for the Social Studies, 1201

Sixteenth Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. 20036

(\$.50, Quantity Discounts)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC Not Available from EDRS.

DESCRIPTORS Bibliographies; *Classroom Techniques; Effective

Teaching; Elementary Education; *Games; Group

Dynamics; Interaction; Literature Reviews; Rescurce

Materials; *Role Playing; Secondary Education;

*Simulation; *Social Studies; Sociodrama; Teaching

Guides; Teaching Methods

IDENTIFIERS *Focused Conversation

ABSTRACT

One of a series of pamphlets providing practical and useful sources of classroom techniques for social studies teachers, the concern of this issue is the use of simulations, specifically focused conversations, role-playing, sociodrama, and gaming. A review of the concept of simulation is followed by a discussion of the circumstances which maximize interaction in classrooms. Teacher role, student participation, and small group dynamics are summarized into seven steps for maximum classroom interaction. Applications for both. elementary and high school grades are discussed. Simulations appropriate for the needs of elementary education are observed to be those with activities emphasizing free communication, social responsibility and democratic decision-making. In the high school, it is thought that the focused conversation technique creates student interest and develops self-confidence and leadership abilities. Several examples of games used successfully in high school situations are described and the role and nature of evaluation in simulation use are reviewed. References are followed by a general bibliography and a list of publishers of games. A related document is ED 083 057. (KSM)



How To Do It Series

Co-Editors

Lynn Cherryholmes Cornell Elementary School Okemos, Michigan, Public Schools

H. Michael Hartoonian Social Studies Specialist Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction

How To Use **Simulations**

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
TO MENT HAS HEEN REPRO
TO SELECTION OF EVEN FROM
TO SELECTION OF THE TO SHOW
THE THE TO SELECTION OF THE TO SE

Leslie D. Zeleny Agreements of Transfer

Sim Antonia

Simulations As Models of Realities

tar ellik sünct was spread a map of a tyrotostica few states of a supplied on and those darks on though of condenresulted topologically of a Alettre partent and agency were forms ing the real probabilities of the control of the property as a product of the control of the approximation of the control of and the second of the second o the applications to the property as well as the country

gradients of extrate a many disease that The state of the state of the state of of the group of a company of the company ees and the state of the state of each seath dealers from the state of the state of

in the left of equality who are independently be a where the eye is the compared that exist

A total part of an electrical exists the project of the second control and the The second of th 4. 1

Control of the second second and the second of the second o

Copprogram 1973 by the National Committee Social Stimes



2 HOW TO USE SIMULATIONS

pants *interprets* the meaning of the other in terms of his or her probable. Survival in the situation. Thus, the social acts become, reciprocally, positive or negative reinforcers and persons associated with those acts run the risk of being accepted or rejected (5). Responses may be mutually positive, mutually negative, or a combination thereof.

The assumption of this pamphlet is that the interaction process modifies human behavior and, also, helps the participants "become conscious of (their) own actions from society's standpoint" (6). This assumption appears to be supported by a host of research studies. Recently, Collins and Guetzkow reviewed many of these findings and concluded that they showed that "face to face groups have a profound impact on the motivation, knowledge and personalities of participants" (7). There is good reason to believe, therefore, that models of real social interactions which involve students give promise of producing valuable educational results.

A word must be said about roles. In any American family there are expected behaviors of husband toward wife, mother toward daughter father toward son, and also they are reciprocal. We like to call this type of action role interaction. Role interaction is an on-going process. It may begin with the participants ideas of expected behavior, but as the relationships continue new practices and "rules" may be made. These adjustments are influenced by the past, to be sure, are dictated to a greater extent by present situations. The relationships are partially customary, partially unique. They are not an automatic record-like replay of routine expectations. (See Blumer, op cit. reference 5.) Life is dynamic rather than static.

When we assume that social interaction modifies human behavior it follows that the greater the volume of interaction the greater are the chances of behavior modification. It is important, therefore for persons using simulations to consider factors which promise to encourage the maximum of interaction in their simulated groups.

Circumstances Which Maximize Interaction in Classrooms

The reacher who would use simulations should consider some of the practical lessons learned over the past few years (8). The first lesson is that students should feel a challenge. An important task of the teacher is to help students perceive a goal which promises to meet the challenge and to encourage them to find the way by their own efforts. This idea is so basic that it was first given shape by Confucius, much more recently. Plaget has written that as far as possible, students should be freed from the mystical world of the adult (9).

The second task is for the class to build a carefully structured yet-flexible plan for the development of a simulated event. Telling the students to "buzz" the subject is poor planning. The following elements should be considered.

Since information is important, facts, attitudes and values must be obtained from all sources possible, lectures, readings, films, observations, interviews. In the case of a prepared game, instructions must be studied as well. This work involves individual responsibility, and is necessary except, perhaps, in the lower grades.

Prepared students may compare their findings with others in small groups. This experience provides the opportunity for the reinforcement process to modify behavior (10). And of course, the division of the class into many small groups makes possible, in itself, a marked increase in the volume of interaction.

The optimum size of a group has been estimated at from four to five members. For ance reported that six members is the optimum size for five-year-olds (11). Inbar found that interaction lessened among high school age groups when they exceeded nine in number (12). The writer's experience indicates that four or five members is a good working number for college students. Large groups tend to split into small groups.

Perhaps more important than numbers is the compatibility of a small group. McKenney and Dill, for example, reported that students performed better when they were given the opportunity to "decide for themselves how team assignments should be made and how they should be organized. (13). It follows that "free association" must be given a chance to operate. This can be done in an informal atmosphere. More formally students may indicate their choices on a Group Preference Questionnaire (14). The choices can then be tabulated in a matrix which then can be used to implement them. The choices, of course, are for a small group working on a particular problem. In not for group membership in general. Group membership will change from time to time as topics change.

For simulations we believe that homogeneous groups, so commonly used, are not as effective as heterogeneous groups which are more like the groups in everyday life. Will liams, for example, showed that motivation was higher in heterogeneous groups in the elementary school than in homogeneous groups (15).

Small groups have been found to interact well when the leadership is 'natural' rather than unnatural. By this we mean that an funnatural leader is one arbitrarily appointed by the feacher without consideration of the wishes of the members of the group. The best leader is the one who is accepted by the group because he or she is recognized as the one with the most "know how and, perhaps the most respect for members, feelings too (16). A striking illustration of the importance of this idea was observed by the writer. A large scout troop under a dedicated scoutmaster was doing poorly. The meetings were disorganized and an administration of the Group Preference Record showed that all patrol leaders were rejected by the members. The patrol leaders had been appointed by the scoutmaster because the parents had prominent names in fown. When those who received the most choices from the scouts themselves became lead. ers, the troop immediately became one of the finest troops in town. The leadership was willingly followed (17):

A relatively compatible group of four students might have eight acceptances and four rejections. The "natural" leader might receive three acceptances and give three acceptances to those in his group. One of the members might receive two rejections and give one. This member has trouble relating to others, due perhaps to lark of social experience, which may be obtained in guided role interaction. In this way many valuable aspects of encounter groups can be obtained while the interaction is related to academic content (18–19).

In connection with the problems students have in relating to others in a dynamic situation, we may add that it is possible for the inembers of a small group to be trained to analyze its own interaction patterns, hopefully resulting in an increase of interaction. First, the group members must realize that their actions and interactions are not perfect representations of reality, only their test estimates, and that therefore, their work can be improved with careful study. The group may also contribute to an increase of interpersonal acceptances by availyzing their own interaction patterns, and accompanying role strains. An estimate of their

leadership effectivene is may be made, also (Procedures for this type of study are outlined in Small Group Processes, produced by Sociological Resources for Social Studies and published by Ailyn and Bacon, Inc. Boston, 1971.)

Our digression to consider methods of obtaining a maximum of interaction in small groups should be considered of great importance for, in our opinion, small group interaction is the heart of social life and hence must be the heart of simulations as well

When a small group is properly organized, as we have suggested, useful comparisons of the findings of individual study may be made, and the idelitification of roles to be played later may be begun

Informed groups are now ready to take their next step They may consider four possibilities focused conversations. role playing, role playing with sociodrama or gaining. Some combinations thereof are possible. Also, all four might operate in a series, beginning with focused conversations

The term focused conversation is the writer's adaptation of the term focused interaction contributed to the literature by Goffman (20). Conversations are "natural" forms of communication between talker and listener. The conversational material may be made the desired content of a unit in a course such as that used in the comparisons previously mentioned. Conversations can begin in groups of two and readily extend to four-member groups. These conversations can be considered a 'warm-up" for general class discussion 1211

The focused conversation does not involve students in role playing, sociodrama or gaming and economizes, there fore, on time. It is also a good preparation for role-playing if the class wishes to enter it

In small-group role playing, predetermined roles in ay be represented or played by each of the members, roles may be interchanged so that each student may obtain the "feel" of various approaches to the problem under consideration. Alternate "solutions" to a given problem may be considered as well, and practice may be had in solving problems wisely (22) Role-playing in small groups can involve all the students in the class and give the teacher the opportunity to as sist individuals as well as groups

The sociodrama is an easy transition from role playing "Volunteers" from the class may role-play a problem in social relations before the class in the form of a "drama" a sociodrama. In this way the whole class, having developed a keen interest in the particular role-interaction in the small group role playing, will quickly suggest new interpretations of the roles as played, suggest replaying, and playing different possible solutions to the problem

The game, sometimes called a simulated game, may be a simulation of a practical or competitive social situation in modern life. These situations often include practice in strate. gies for winning - individually or in cooperation with others Games may be built by a class in cooperation with the teacher, but, since games have become the most popular form of simulation, many companies (see list on page 8) have prepared complete games, including descriptions of roles involved, readings, a set of rules, needed equipment and scoring sheets. Prepared simulations in the form of games are a great convenience for the busy teacher. The game is for pragmatists rather than philosophers, yet there is little doubt that it provides practice in everyday strategies related to life's turmoils (23) | Chapin (24) has a different interpretation of simulation which is not used here. The reader is referred to the article.]

of is important that all simulations used in class by com-

pared with reality. Smoker puts the ideas this way, Imodel and reality intertwine, evolve and adapt in a continuous process of image creation" (25). In doing this it is possible for new orientations toward the present and the future to be perceived

The maximum of interaction may probably be best produced in the utilization of the following seven steps

- teacher student selection of a problem or goal or both
- the search for information related to the problem and 2 the attainment of the goal
- the organization of compatible groups in which interaction can be maximized
- comparison of student findings in the small groups
- the identification of roles and role playing in small groups
- the sociodrama before and with the class as a whole and
- interpretation which involves analysis, identification of alternate solutions, replaying alternate solutions for 'workableness," and deniding which solutions are most suitable

It is not necessary, of course, for a simulation to include all of the steps. As we have seen, the focused conversation switches from sten 4 to general class discussion, so, also, role-playing may switch from step 5 to general class discussion. It is up to the teacher and the class to use the simulation idea in ways most suitable to the occasion

Classroom Applications

The Elementary School

Leaders in elementary education are conscious of the need for more activities emphasizing free communication. social responsibility and democratic decision making (26) One solution casy be found in the use of simulations.

Aware of the theory and practice of simulations, the elementary school teacher may achieve some of the modern goals in a relatively simple manner. A few examples will clarify this

The Lippitts (27) developed a plan to promote what we will call a focused conversation among fourth-grade children and between children and adults. Pupils were encouraged to nominate those of their peers whom they regarded as expert in specific fields of knowledge. These became the classroom resource pupils - acknowledged consultants for other students. The resultant consultations might be considered talker-listener roles. Also adults were given special training leading focused conversations" among younger children

Role-playing was also introduced by the Lippitts. The problem presented was that of the teacher pupil gap. The question was. 'Why do pupils fear asking a teacher for help?" Pupil roles were. (1) teacher, (2) student afraid of disapproval by peers. (3) student afraid of the teacher if he admits he cannot do the work alone. After role playing the problem before the class (sociodrama), small pupil teams considered how teacher and children "might behave differently to relate better and to learn more" (28). These were focused conversations. Pupil "inventions" were role-played for analysis in the small teams

The Lippitts reported that the simulations contributed to the development of new norms "about child initiative in seeking and utilizing resource helpers" (29). In this way a fourth-grade class practiced decision-making in an atmosphere devoid of authoritarianism

4 HOW TO USE SIMULATIONS

One of the first to show how the sociodrama could be introduced into the elementary school classroom was Jennings (30). Jennings asked a class of lifth grade popils to name some social relations which bothered them. The most frequently mentioned was that of 'conflict' between mother and child over errands. Many fifth-grade children complained about being required to make two trips to the store when one trip would do. They did not know what to do about the problem. They were ready to learn.

In this instance the search for information was in their own experience which they were to analyze. With the teacher's help, the children identified the following roles, the thoughtless mother, the annoyed daughter and the irritated father. The children outlined the action feelings appropriate to each role in preparation of a small sociodiama. (Jennings did not report the use of small groups as a preliminary to sociodrama.)

Pupils volunteered to re-create the problem home situation before the class. Part of what was said was *

Mother	I want you to go to the store for a loaf of bread and a bottle of milk
Marilyn	Can't you wait for a little while? I'm studying
Mother	I want you to go right now
Manlyn	Oh, airight! (Leaves the room)
Father	Foan't stand the way she talks
Mother	Well just have to break her of the habit. (Mari-
	lyn returns (
Mother	Put them in the kitchen (Marilyn puts them in
	the kitchen and returns to studying)
Mother	On, I forgot I want some Sunshine Cleaner

After the sociodrama, part of which has been presented here it was easy for the class to see that no one was pleased. It was also clear that mothers needed to have their memories trained. One child said. Sometimes they feel like bothering you.

qust ?

(Marilyn sighs and goes out with a look of dis

This modest sociodrama helped pupils see that lack of consideration for others caused unhappiness in a home. Finally, a reenactment showed how the family could agree upon a list of items before the daughter went to the sore. Thus, by means of a simple simulation, an ethical standard came to be understood and behavior could be changes.

Brown (31) arranged a simulation of a field trip to help fourth grade children study the relative merits of playing different roles to promote learning and to develop respect for others. A sociodrama before the class included the representation of the following roles: the idean initiator, the approval seeker, the follower, the disrupter the agreer and the heck ler. There were six roles, and, in addition to the roles in the sociodramal each member of the class was assigned a "stand in" role so that each role was "covered" by several observers. Thus, after the sociodrama, the role playing could be replicated in a number of small groups of six each (32).

The analysis compared the relative merits of different roles in getting a learning job done and revealed the importance of the consideration of personal feelings in the process, some offended pupils withdrew from the group work and hence reduced group productivity (33)

For the upper grades Baker (34) developed an American history game designed to teach an understanding of the relationships among four sections of the United States just

* The following conversation is from the Jennings' article as cited in reference 30. Permission to use granted.

before the Civil War. Stirdents represented the North, the South, the East and the Border States. Each student was as signed a position as a responsible official of a given section, or nation" as Baker called them, and was instructed to as some the responsibility of maintaining the security, prosper ity and prestige of his or her section in conference with representatives of the other sections.

Provision was made for individual study, reports and informal discussions to clarify the issues involved, but decisions with respect to policy and procedures were made in conference in the "World Council". Upon the completion of the world conference in which the final decisions were voted upon the results were compared with actual happenings in historical times.

In a controlled experiment. Baker found that the simulation classes" "acquired a more favorable attitude to centralized and efficient policy-making procedures" as well as more knowledge than control groups. (This is the exception with respect to acquiring cognitive knowledge.) Baker's game was carefully structured and represents an improvement over some of the older studies.

We conclude this section with a mention of some cautions with respect to the use of simulations. They are time consuming. They are interesting but not always educational Games may place too much emphasis upon winning. These are points made by Beals (35). We may say that the focused conversation may be used to save time when necessary, that careful structuring may improve cognitive learning and that games should emphasize meaning (as Baker's did) more than winning.

It should be mentioned that the modern classic work on role playing and the sociodrama is *Role Playing for Social Values* by Fannie and George Shaftel (Prentice Hall, 1967)

The High School

Simulations may be used in the high school in accord with the requirements of the curriculum and the interests of students in the social studies.

As we have pointed out, the focused conversation is a universal means for the exchange of information and experience. It can be simulated in a high school class. Suppose a high school class desires to study the effects of rapid social change upon life in American cities (36). To interest and inform the students, the teacher could trace the major changes which have taken place in America during the last one hundred years, especially the tremendous growth of the cities. Following this students could start their search for more detailed information, including material on the ecology of the city, the nature of stratification, and the degree of mobility among the social classes. Individual strident findings may, then, be compared in focused conversations in small class groups. To repeat a point made, one student in a small group may act as informed spokesman while the other or others act as informed listeners and critics. In this way we have the talker listener role-interaction situation. The informed listener responds knowingly, appreciatively and helpfully as well as critically. Again, as pointed out, the "warm, up" in the small groups can be excellent preparation for stimulating general class discussion. We can point out againthat the procedures outlined give the teacher a good opportunity to identify and to deal with individual differences as well as to act as a resource person and consultant

There is almost no end to the number of topics and problems which can be handled in focused conversations and also in the more specialized role playing sociodramas and



games. For example, issues related to such topics as the following can be adapted for simulations, how Athens lost her Empire, dissensions among the early Greek cities, the fall of Carthage, the rift between Moslem and Hindu, the Great Wall and the burning of the books, the breach between Eastern and Western Christendom, Dante's study of an ideal state, the division between the King and the people at the time of James I, the new industrial civilization after Napoleon and the rise of materialist philosophies. Japan and America, the contest for North America, the rise of the United States, North vs. South in the United States, "Morganism" vs. the middle class, segregation in education, sectional differences in the United States, ethnic relationships. factors influencing economic growth pollution, crime, the energy crisis, federal vs. state power, government spending for welfare, justice in America, the quality of life vs. the gross national product, riational priorities—the list is practically endless. (The foregoing suggestions are, in part, from W. N. Weech, ed., History of the World; William Miller, A New History of the United States, and topics considered by the National Council for the Social Studies at the 1973 Annual Meeting in San Francisco)

Studies of the use of the focused conversation have shown it to create student interest and develop self-confidence and leadership abilities but that it does not improve cognitive learning to any substantial degree (37)

Role-playing and the sociodrama may be considered ex-"tensions" of "the focused conversation." Goodself demonstrated how all three procedures could be combined in one operation and at the same time be integrated with a traditional curriculum (38). The unit assigned to Goodsell for seven weeks was the arms race since 1939. The first six weeks were spent in the traditional study and classroom discussion of facts and issues. The students thus had time to develop some understanding of the subject. At the beginning of the seventh week, five small teams were set up to represent five countries views on the arms race. The People's Republic of China, the USSR, the USA, India and Pakistan. Students were assigned to a particular team. because of their probable or known interest

Following the team assignments, the class was told that Pakistan had invaded Kashmir. Each team was asked to prepare a statement of its position with respect to this problem Each statement was then read to the class for comment Following class commeats, the teams drew up revised state ments which were read to the class by team representatives The India representative suggested that Kashmir not be given up without a struggle. But the moderator mentioned that Pakistani troops were slaughtering thousands of Hindus trying to flee to india. The Indian delegate had no comment Then the USA delegate suggested the military aid be given to India, but he turned silent after he received a secret note from another delegate implying that internal enemies of the United States might poison the drinking water in U.S. cities if this were done. Moves and counter-moves continued accompanied by vigorous discussion at nearly every point. Finally, it was decided that a U.N. peacekeeping force should occupy the border

Goodself reported that student interest was tremen lous and students became fully aware that international relations were very complicated

The Greenhaven Press has recently announced an Opposiing Viewpoints Series dealing with many controversial is sues. One booklet in the series is entitled Constructing a Life Philosophy Alternative views are presented in short articles tinguished representatives of differing viewpoints. One example in the exercise is an article on "The Philosophy of the Dollar' by Robert L. Heilbroner, another is "The Hindu View of Life" by Sir Sarvepalli Radharishnan. These booklets lend themselves well to use in simulations. Views can be compared in focused conversations, then can be role-played and ultimately expanded into sociodramas

Because the Middle East crisis is, has been, and is likely to remain an explosive issue, we take the liberty to suggest a plan for its simulation. We shall emphasize the Israeli-Egyptian aspect of the crisis (39). This matter should be an extended unit, beginning with a study of the Middle East setting Historical information is of considerable importance here, involving the following sample topics the conflicting claims of Jacob and Ishmael to the title to the land of Canaan, the Diaspora, the rise of Zionism, the Balfour Declaration of 1917, the Arab League, the Israeli-Egyptian war of 1948, the establishment of the State of Israel, the Suez war of 1956, the Six Day War of 1967, guerilla warfare including the Munich attack, the Lybian airliner affair and the diplomatic murders at Khartoum, the perpetual state of tension and the influences exerted by the USSR. China and the United States in the Middle East, and the 1973 conflict

Findings can be compared in focused conversations in small groups. Then, in preparation for role-playing, the positions of the Israeli, the Egyptians, the Russians, the oil producers. NATO and others may be identified (40). Some of the current issues are internationalization of the Suez Canal, fimitations of the flow of weapons, recognition of Israel as a state, return of all Palestinian refugees, and the possibilities of permanent peace without a declared victor. New issues will continue to develop. Actors, representing the different positions with respect to a given issue, can role-play in small groups Finally 'volunteers' may stage a sociodrama on an issue for the criticism of the class. Alternate "solutions" to the problem presented might come up with an "invention" in the diplomatic area, especially if a talented student acts as a negotiator

Again we must note that capable students will become leaders and group pressures will push some students toward improvement, while others may be overwhelmed, becoming withdrawn or aggressive and avoid responsibilities

The game is a form of simulation which tends to emphasize, indeed encourage, competition, using scoring devices to measure the success of individuals or groups. Many excellent games are now available from publishers listed at the end of this booklet. We describe a few here, briefly, to indicate their general nature

A good example of a well-structured game is the "Internation Similation" (41). It comes in a kit which contains marenals, general instructions, and data pertaining to a few nations including their wealth, their form of government, population defense position etc. The game suggests that two to five students be assigned to represent each of the nations included in the game. Then a problem in international relations is presented. Each national group must develop a plan to utilize its nation's resources to meet the problem sit. uation. At the end of the exercise - allocations and decisions are calculated for each nation. There are no individual or group losers, rather, the degree to which each nation has prospered under the circumstances is indicated. These indications provide an excellent opportunity for the identification of wise and unwise decisions and the replaying for the correction of mistakes

In the area of political science, an excellent game, called Napoli' (42) outlines the views of liberal and conservative congressmen with respect to current issues. Participants,

playing the roles of congressmen, must represent their own parties and their constituents and then vote on important bills before the Congress. In the process each player is given a party score and a state score as well as a score representing his or her probability of re-election. The emphasis is upon winning, yet students obtain a realistic introduction to political processes and social issues.

In the area of sociology "The Ghetto Game" (43) is well thought cut It endeavors to give middle- and upper-class high school students an opportunity to understand life in the ghetto areas of a city. Each player is assigned a role in a problem situation, for example, a 24-year-old wife with three young children married to an unskilled laborer with a tenth-grade education has the problem of feeding her family Again, numerous life problems and decisions are to be made by a 20-year-old single male with a ninth-grade education At present he makes a living by hustling and working sporadically. What choices may be have to make? Decisions of players will have to be made within the framework of job opportunities, welfare and food stamps, and chance factors. A complete kit accompanies the game, including profile folder. reading suggestions, names of appropriate films, and questions for discussion

Because of the skill with which this game was constructed. Kidder (44) decided to test claims made for it. He hypothesized that the value conflicts experienced by the players in the game would create feelings of cognitive dissonance in the participants and, consequently, change attitudes. To test this hypothesis, Kidder measured the attitudes of three groups of college students who played the game in its entirety. After analyzing his voluminous data. Kidder concluded that this game would indeed change attitudes and emotions and that emotions aroused in individuals, would generalize to one or more subjects in the same game.

Many games are now available. Carlson (45) has fisted a number of them and we have included a long list of publishers of games with the names of some of the games they have published (see Bibliography).

Evaluation

Simulations have become popular, yet, the nature of their educational results is not firmly established. As mentioned, critics wonder if the extra time expenditure increases learning to any great extent. Available evidence to be reviewed shortly, suggests that the critics are right to a degree. But Stoll and Inbar (46) decline to accept the evidence. They declare that "criticisms of early work no longer apply" because the most recent simulations are more carefully structured. But for now we shall have to decline the acceptance of the views of Stoll and Inbar until more experimental results are available. We hasten to state, however, that it is clear that in simulations students do not learn any less than in traditional procedures. And there may be some important "fringe benefits." So, let us explore some of the available findings.

All who have used simulations report strong student in terest as compared to traditional methods for classroom procedure. Cherryholmes (47), for example, states that the only finding on which all six studies agreed was on the matter of interest. And Goc dself (48), previously cited, reported tremendous interest. These findings are not diimportant, for interest contributes strongly to motivation, especially in a time when many students have lost interest in traditional class room procedures. Simulations could have a significant influence in retaining students in social studies courses.

Studies of attitude change yield mixed findings. Cherry

holmes believed his six studies reflected more negative attitude change than positive, but other research does not bear this out. De Kock (49) reported that his *Black-White* game increased racial tolerance significantly. The *Career Game* improved the understanding of the difficulties in making career decisions. The *Legislative Game* developed a realistic understanding of the legislative process (50). The studies of Zeleny (51) indicated that focused conversations strengthen self-confidence and leadership qualities in participants, and to add another finding from Kidder (52), attitudes with respect to the honesty of ghetto people were changed by the *Ghetto Game*.

In the area of cognitive learning, simulations seem to have no significant advantages. In the six important studies reviewed by Cherryholmes (53), students' cognition was not increased. The only available study that showed a significant difference in favor of simulation was the experiment of Baker (54). In studies in 8th, 10th and 11th-grade classes, Livingstone (55) found that a simulation group dealing with trade and development did not improve abilities to carry out related but separate tasks any better than did a control group.

A reasonable conclusion is that any method administered by a competent teacher will obtain satisfactory results. Evidence to support this assertion comes from a very systematic study made by Hug (56). He reported that high school biology students, were able to meet cognitive objectives equally well through independent study, small group discussion and large group presentations provided that the instructional packages are pre-tested, rewritten for comparable populations.

Simulations, we believe, can be of real service to youth when they extend traditional individual learning to include social learning, all methods being part of one all-inclusive process. It appears, also, that critical thinking can be taught by either traditional or simulation methods, for Cherry holmes' (57) differences as reported were not significant.

Even though current experimental research provides only limited support for simulations, there are other reasons why they should be considered for regular use in conjunction with other classroom procedures. They are in accord with the democratic ideal, they apply many of the accepted "principles" of learning, and, they emphasize behavior in contrast to mere memorization. It is easy to see that a simulation deemphasizes, authoritarian procedures by providing generously for focused but free conversations, intense dialog, and the consideration of the social consequences of alternate policies. Without further elaboration of some "principles" of learning used in simulations it is easy to see the provisions for motive, activities, freedom from dominance and anxieties created thereby, sharing of knowledge, positive and negative reinforcement, and social sensitivity (58)

Of special significance is the emphas of simulations upon behavior rather than the memorization of miscella neous pieces of information, valuable as they might be The traditional approach to learning tends to consider facts as ends in themselves while the simulation point of view considers them as guides to useful behavior. De Kock (59) for example, noted that his Black White simulation provided for writing, reading, hooting and cheering, organizing and speaking to influence others. In simulations, one practices talking and listening leadership, analysis, sensitivity to others and to norms (60).

Behaviors such as the above may be observed by the teacher but, perhaps, more important than the notations of behavioral successes and failures of the teacher are the



group's own judgments of its leadership, its productivity, the degree of compatibility, etc. An afert small group, in analyzing itself, may come to the same conclusions as Collins and Guetzkow (61) and realize that an acceptable contribution to group discussions is supported by evidence, fogic and expensence. Conversely, irresponsible contributions, not to mention disclaiming responsibility, is not acceptable. The group member who does his homework is the one who is fikely to be influential in the group, all other factors being equal. The phrases in this paragraph are, in part, adaptations from Collins and Guetzkow.)

In closing, we might suggest that increasingly, social studies classrooms may become sociological laboratories in which models of reality - whether historical, contemporary or projected - are created, analyzed, interpreted and rebuilt in practice. In this way social wisdom may be acquired (62)

REFERENCES

- Michael Inbar The Differential Impact of a Game Simulating Community Disaster. American Behavioral Scientist. October 1906, pp. 18–27
- 2 Kurt Lewin "Group Decision and Social Change," in T. M. Newcomb. Eugene Hartley and others. Readings in Social Psychology. New York. Henry Holt and Compa. 1947, pp. 326-344.
- 3 Michael Inbar "Participating in a Simulation Game," Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, April 1970, pp. 239-244. The complete revised game is now available under the new title. Community Disaster. New York, Western Publishing-Company.
- 4 Alvin Toffier Future Shock, New York Bantam, 1971, p. 34
- 5 Herbert Blurner Symbolic Interactionism. Englewood Cliffs. New Jersey Prentice Hall Inc. 1969, pp. 1–21 and B.F. Skinner, Beyond Freedom and Dignity. New York Alfred A. Knopf, 1971, pp. 106–115.
- 6 Darwin L Thomas, David D Franks and James M Calonico. Role Taking and Power in Social Psychology." American Socialogical Review. Cotober 1972, pp. 605-614
- 7 Barry E Collins and Harold Guetzkow, A Social Psychology of Group Process for Decision Making, New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1964, p. 53
- 8 John D. Baldwin. Influences Detrimental to Simulation Gaming. American Behavioral Scientist. July August 1969. p. 17
- 9 Jean Praget, as cited by Dz vid Elkins in "Grant of the Nursery," New York Times Megazine, New York, May 26, 1968, pp. 25ff.
- 10 John D. Baldwin, op. cit., pp. 17-18
- 11 E. P. Torrence. "Structure Can Improve the Group Behavior of Five-Year Old Children." *Elementary School Journal*, November 1971, pp. 102–106.
- 12 Michael Inbar, op cit in reference 1, and E. P. Torrence, Group Size and Question Performance of Pre-primary Children. Journal of Psychology, January 1970, pp. 71–75.
- 13 James L McKinney and William R Dell. Influences on Learning in Simulation Games. American Behavioral Scientist. Octobe. 1966, p. 32
- 14 Lesile D. Zeleny ** Using Sociodrama and Sociometry,** in Richard E. Gross and Leslie D. Zeleny ** Educating Citizens for Democracy. New York Oxford University Press, 1958. Chapter 10
- 15 Mary Heard Williams. Does Grouping Affect Motivation? Elementary School Journal, December 1972, pp. 130-137
- James L McKinney and William R Doll, op. cit., p. 32 and Robert F Bales, Interaction Process Analysis, Reading, Mass Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1950, pp. 8-10
- 17 John D. Baldwin, op. cit., p. 20, adapted
- Solomon Asch. Social Psychology. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. Prentice Hall, Inc., 1952. pp. 451–453. Asch demonstrated the power of the group over the individual.
 - nn D. Baldwin, op. cit., p. 14. adapted

- 20 Ewing Goffman, Encounters, Indianapolis Bobbs Merrill Company, 1961. Chapter 1.
- 21 Leslie D. Zeleny. Role Interaction in Small Groups. California Social Science Review, January 1965, pp. 12-18. See also, Leslie D. Zeleny and Richard E. Gross. "Dyadic Role-Playing of Controversial Issues." Social Education, December 1960, pp. 354-358-364.
- 22 Leslie D Zeleny. "Sociodrama as a Means of Studying Controversial Issues." Southern California Social Science Review. October 18, 1948. See also E. O. Schild, "Interaction in Games, in Sarene S. Boocock and E. O. Schild, Simulation Games in Learning, Beverly Hills, California Sag: Publications, 1968, pp. 93–104. See also, J. L. Moreno and Teslie D. Zeleny. "Role Theory and Sociodrama," in Readings in Contemporary Sociology, Paterson, New Jersey. Littlefield, Adams and Co., 1961, pp. 625–642.
- 23 Manilyn Clayton and Richard Rosenbloom, "Goals and Designs Games in a New Social Studies Course," in Boocock and Schild, op. cit., pp. 85–92.
- 24 June R Chapin. "Simulation Games," *Social Education*, December 1968, pp. 798–799
- 25 Paul Smoker, "Social Research for Social Anticipation," American Behavioral Scientist, July-August, 1969, p. 7
- 26 Bernard J Guerney and Mary-Linda Merriam, "Toward a Democratic Classroom," *Elementary School Journal*, April 1972, pp. 372–383
- 27 Peggy Lippitt and Ronald Lippitt, "The Peer Culture as a Learning Environment," Childhood Education, December 1970, pp. 135–138
- 28. Ibid., pp. 136..137.....
- 29 Ibid. p. 138
- 30 Helen Jennings. "Sociodrama as an Education Process," in Fostering Mental Health in Our Schools, Yearbook of the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, 1950. Permission to quote from this article was granted for the first edition of this pamphiet by Helen Jennings and the Association. This permission is gratefully acknowledged.
- 31 Edna Stewart Brown. "How We Act in Groups." Childhood Education. December 1950, pp. 156-159. Some of the phrases used here are similar to those written by Brown and are acknowledged.
- 32 Ibid. p 157
- 33 /bid.p 158
- 34 Eugene H. Baker. "A Pre-Civil War Simulation for Teaching American History." in Boocock and Schild. op. cit., pp. 135-142. The Teachers Guide, Participants Manual, etc. are copyrighted and may be obtained from Baker.
- 35 Paul Beals, "Games and Simulations," Grade Teacher, March 1971, pp. 94ff
- 36 Eeslie D. Zeleny. "Role Interaction in Small Groups." op. cit., pp. 12-18.
- 37 Lestie D. Zeleny. 'Teaching Sociology by a Discussion Group Method.' Sociology and Social Research. November-December 1927, pp. 162-171. See also by the same author, "An Experimental Appraisal of a Group Learning Plan," Journal of Educational Research. September 1940, pp. 37–42.
- 38 David R. Goodsell. Simulation Games for Social Studies. The Independent School Bulletin. February 1972, pp. 44ff
- 39 Leslie D. Zeleny. Sociodrama as a Means of Studying Controversial Issues. op. cit.
- 40 Department of State Publication 8417, Near and Middle East Series (revised December 1968). See also Robert J. Donovan, et al., Israel's Fight for Survival. New York. New American Library, 1967.
- 41 R Roger Majak. "Social Science Teaching with Internation Simulation: A Review." Social Studies, March 1968, pp. 116-119. The complete kit for this simulation is available at Science Research Associates, Chicago. The authors of the simulation are Harold Guetzkow and Cleo H. Cherryholmes.
- 42 Napoli. La Jolla, California. Western Behavioral Sciences Institute. 1965 and 1969. The writer wishes to acknowledge the kind permission of EPIC. Boulder, Colorado, for the opportunity to examine this game in their offices.

- 43 Tolf Dove, Ghetto. Western Publishing Company. Inc., 1969 Thanks to EPIC Boulder. Colorado
- 44 Stephen J Kidder Emotional Arousal and Attitude Change During Simulation Games, Baltimore Johns Hopkins University Press 1971
- 45 Elliot Carlson, "Games in the Classroom. Saturday Review. April 15, 1967, pp. 62-64, 82-83.
- 46 Clarence S. Stoll and Michael Inbar. "Editorial Introduction." American Behavioral Scientist. Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 4-7.
- 47 Cleo H Cherryholmes. "Some Current Research on Effectiveness of Educational Simulations Implications for Alternate Strategies." American Behavioral Scientist, Vol. 10. No. 2
- 48 David P Goodsell op cit. p 48
- 49 Paul De Kock, "Simulations and Change in Racial Attitudes. Social Education, February 1969, pp. 181–183
- 50 Sarene S. Boocock, "An Experimental Study of the Learn-Effects of Two Games with Simulated Environments," in Sarene S. Boocock and E. O. Schild, Simulation Games in Learning, op. cit. pp. 107-133.
- 51 Leslie D. Zeleny. 'An Experimental Appraisal of a Group Learning Plan.' cited in reference 37
- 52 Stephen J Kidder, op cit
- 53 Cleo H Cherryholmes. op cit
- 54 Eugene H Baker, op cit
- 55 Samuel A. Livingstone, "Will a Simulated Game Improve Student Learning of Related Factual Material?" Educational Technology, December 1971, pp. 19–20.
- 56 W. E. Hug. "Comparison of Cognitive and Affective Gain Between-Individual Study: Small-Group Discussion: and Earge Group Presentation in High School Biology." Science Education. April 1971, pp. 241–247.
- 57 Cleo H Cherryholmes. op cit. p 6
- 58 E. Hilgard. "Learning Theory and Its Application" in New Teaching Aids for the American Classroom. Stanford. Institute for Communications Research, 1960, pp. 19–27.
- 59 Paul De Kock. op cit. p 183
- 60 Robert F. Bales, Interaction Process Analysis, Cambridge Addison-Wesley Press, Inc., 1950 and Fannie R. Shaftel and George Shaftel, Role Playing for Social Values, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. Prentice Hall. Inc., 1967, Chapter 3.
- 61 Barry E Collins and Harold Guetzkow. op. cit. See also Sociological Resources for the Social Studies. Small Group Processes. op. cit. Clarence S. Stoll. Player Characteristics and Interaction in a Parent Child Simulation Game." Sociometry, September 1969, pp. 259-272 and Dexter C. Durphy, "Planned Environments for Learning in the Social Sciences." The American Sociologist. November 1967, pp. 201-206.
- 62 Clark C. Abt. Serious Games. New York. Viking Press. 1970. Chapter IX. Ideas in this chapter are acknowledged and adapted with appreciation.

GENERAL BIBLIOGRAPHY

Abt. Clark, Serious Gaines, New York Viking Press, 1970
Bender, David and Gary E. McCuen, Opposing Viewpoints Catalog,
Anoka Minnesota Greenhaven Press, 1972. Announces excellent reading material as a background for many simulations.

Berne, Eric. Garnes People Play. New York: Grove Press, 1964.
Boocock, Sarene S. and E. O. Schild. Simulation Games in Learning, Beverly Hills. California. Sage Publications, 1968.

Callois, Roger, Man, Play and Games, New York, Free Press, 1961 Coleman, James, The Adolescent Society, New York, Free Press, 1961

Coleman, James S and Keith J Edwards, Simulation and Games, An International Journal of Theory, Design and Research, Beverly Hills, California, Sage Publications, Inc. This is a projected journal in the field

Gameson, William A. SIMSOC, Simulated Society. Riverside, New York, Free Press, 1972

Chetzkow, Harold, ed., Simulation in Social Science. Readings, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1972.

- Inbar, Michael and Clarence S. Stoll. Simulation and Games in Social Science, New York. Free Press, 1972.
- Mead George H. "Play, the Game, and the Generalized Other." in his *Mind. Self and Sacrety*, University of Chicago Press. 1934. This chapter has been the theoretical foundation for recent developments. The classic statement.
- Mills. Theodore M., Sociology of Small Groups, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice Hall, Inc., 1967
- McGrath, Joseph E. and Irwin Altman, Small Group Research, New York, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1966
- Moreno, Jacob L. Who Shall Survive? New York Beacon House. Inc., 1953. This was first published in 1934 and has had major influence as a theoretical background for the development of the sociodrama.
- Nesbitt, William, Simulation Games in the Social Science Classroom, New York, Thomas Crowell, 1971
- Praget, Jean, The Moral Judgment of the Child. New York. Free Press, 1948. This is a major classic.
- Shaftel, Fannie R, and George Shaftel. Role-Playing for Social Values, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey Prentice-Hall, 1967. This is essential for elementary school teachers.
- Shirts R Garry, 'Games Students Play,' 'Saturday Review of Literature, May 16, 1970, pp. 81-82
- Werner Roland T and Joan T Werner. Bibliography of Simulations Social Systems and Education. La Jolla California West ern Behavio al Sciences Institute, 1969.
- Zuckerman, David W. and Robert E. Horn, *The Guide to Simulation Games for Education and Training*, Cambridge, Mass. Information Resources, Inc. 1970.

PUBLISHERS OF GAMES"

Abt Associates, Cambridge, Mass, Elementary School Games Bushmen Hunting, Seal Hunting, Market, Sierra Leone, Economy High School Games, Adventuring, Empire, Manchester, Steam, Galapagos, Grand Strategy, Simpolis

Academic Games Associated, Baltimure, Maryland, Democracy, Life Career, Community Response: Parent Child, Consumer, Economic Systems, Information, Drug Debate

Benefic Press, Westchester, Illinois, Market

Educational Development Center, Inc. Columbus, Ohio, Empire Free Press, Riverside, New Jersey, Community Land Use Game Greenhaven Press, Anoka, Minnesota, excellent selected readings on both sides or all sides of controversial subjects

Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, *Presidential Election* Instructional Simulations, Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota, *Campaign, Impact, Insight*

Macmillan Company, New York, Strike

Psychology Games Today Del Mai, California, The Cities Game, Blacks and Whites

Science Research Associates, Inc., Chicago, American History Games Colony, Frontier, Reconstruction, Promotion, Intervention, Development, The Community, Internation Simulation Simile II. La Jolla, California, Conflict and many other simulations System Gaming Associates, Ithaca, New York

Urbandyne, Chicago, Illinois, Urban Dynamics, Youth Culture Game

Western Behavioral Sciences Institute, La Jolla, California, Crisis, Napoli, Plans, Sitte, Starpower

Western Publishing Company, Inc. New York, Consumer, Democracy, Generation Gap, Economic System, Ghetto, Life Career Game

ANALYSIS OF GAMES AND SIMULATIONS

Charles, Cheryl and Ronald Stadsklev, eds., Learning with Games:

An Analysis of Social Studies Educational Games and Simulations, Boulder, Colorado, Social Science Education Consortium, Inc., 1973.

* This list of publishers was compiled from many sources, but two major sources are acknowledged. "Partial Bibliography of Simulations and Games for the Social Sciences." an unpublished mimeographed manuscript prepared by Cathy S. Greenbelt of Rutgers University, and Boocock and Schild. op. cit., pp. 276–279.