#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 088 430 IR 000 258

TITLE New England Library Information Network Director's

Annual Report, 1972-1973.

INSTITUTION New England Board of Higher Education, Wellesley,

Mass. New England Library Information Network.

73 PUB DATE NOTE

13p.

MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 EDRS PRICE

\*Annual Reports; \*Information Networks; \*Library DESCRIPTORS

Networks; \*On Line Systems; \*Regional Cooperation

\*New England Library Information Network IDENTIFIERS

ABSTRACT

Major achievements of the New England Library Information Network (NELINET) during the fiscal year ending July 30, 1973 included the following: a formal agreement for cooperative library network development was signed between the Ohio College Library Center (OCLC) and the New England loard of Higher Education (NEBHE) effective July 1, 1972; individual agreements between NEBHE/NELINET and each NELINET on-line shared cataloging system were selected, and thirty-seven ordered; on-line and off-line NELINET member library staffs were trained by the NELINET Field Operations staff for participation in the system; fifteen terminals were installed and operational in thirteen member libraries at the year's end. NELINET task groups expanded and intensified their activities to develop cooperative programs beyond the NELINET membership. NELINET participation in regional library development was widened beyond New England's borders, and NELINET goals and budget for the coming year were defined and approved by the membership. (Author)

new england library information his work

DIRECTOR'S

# ANNUAL REPORT 1972-1973

S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SOCIATION & AFLEAME NATIONAL NITITUTE OF EDUCATION

new england board of higher at leasure



#### 

#### Hailbert (6) and Malakit Action (4) contribute Malakit (4)

German Avidenti, Teltania

Carly Ale as land to a

Alexandra orași

de mone delice

Tara in Reservati



NHINH

TO: The Membership Council of the New England Library Information Network

I have the honor to submit the second Annual Report in conformance with Section 4.1T of the New England Library Information Network (NELINET), Policies and Procedures, adopted July 1972

This report covers the period July 1, 1972 through June 30, 1973, the period of the official fiscal year.

This report is distributed to the directors of the Tibraries and agencies which form the NELINET Hembership Council, contractors, funding agencies and, through ERIC/CLIS, to other members of the library and information science community.

Ronald F. Miller Director, NELINET

Major achievements of the New England Library Information Network (NELINET) during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1973 include the following: a formal agreement for cooperative library network development was signed between the Ohio College Library Center (OCLC) and the New England Board of Righer Education (NEBHE) effective July 1, 1972; individual agreements between NEBHE/NELINET and each NELINET on-line member were concluded, terminals for use with the NELINET/OCLC on-line shared cataloging system were selected, and thirty-seven ordered; on-line and off-line NELINET member library staffs were trained by the NELINET Field Operations staff for participation in the system; fifteen terminals were installed and operational in thirteen member libraries at the year's end.

MELINET task groups expanded and intensified their activities to develop cooperative programs beyond the NELINET membership. NELINET participation in regional library development was widened beyond New England's borders, and NELINET goals and budget for the coming year were defined and approved by the membership.

These events could not have been accomplished without the support of the New England Board of Higher Education and the effort, dedication, and loyalty of NELINET staff, NELINET member library directors and their staffs, the NELINET Executive Committee and members of the staff of the Ohio Colalege Library Center. The Director offers his grateful appreciation to each group for its valuable contribution to NELINET's growth.

#### ACTIVITIES

## I. Agreements

A. After approximately one year of negotiation the formal three-year agreement between OCLC and NEBHE/NELINET was finally signed, effective July 1, 1972.

The agreement is in effect for three years and provides for offline catalog card production, on-line connection to the OCLC remote access union catalog and shared cataloging system, and provision for the supply of magnetic tape containing portions of the bibliographic data base. Although the specific details involved in full replication of the OCLC computer system are not fully defined, provisions for that eventuality are also included.

- B. Because of the large commitments involved, NEBHE stipulated that agreements must also be concluded between each NELINET member and NEBHE/NELINET. Such agreements were negotiated and signed after letters of intent were received from prospective members at NEBHE/NELINET headquarters.
- C. A set of terms and conditions was negotiated between NEBHE and Spiras Systems, Inc. of Cambridge, Massachusetts to fabricate and deliver thirty-seven terminals to twenty-six institutions which formed the on-line membership of NELINET.



# BEST COPY AVAILABLE.

#### II. Terminals and Terminal Service

During the first half of the year, staff members of OCLC and NELINET undertook to locate a manufacturer who could supply both networks with an alternative to the Irascope Model LTE manufactured by Spiras Systems, Inc. of Sambridge, Massachusetts. Beehive Medical Electronics, Inc. of Salt Lake City was selected as the manufacturer and a new unit was designed with the major contribution of OCLC engineering staff. Delivery of the units, named the OCLC Model 100 Display was scheduled for July 1973.

Concurrently, negotiations between NEBHE and Spiras for Mocel LTE's were concluded in December 1972, delivery commencing in March, 1973. The price of each of these units was \$3,700, a reduction of \$3,400 from the price first quoted to NEBHE by Spiras during the first phases of negotiation. The OCLC Model 100 Displaya was finally priced at \$3,205.

Spiras began delivery of units in April 1973, one unit having been installed previously at Dartmouth College in February, 1972 as reported in the previous Annual Report. As of June 30, fifteen units had been installed in thirteen member libraries.

During the first quarter of 1973, the MLLINET staff investigated several service organizations which submitted proposals to maintain the terminals after installation. A decision was made to retain Syntonic Technology, Inc., for this purpose and contracts were drafted and negotiated. Concurrently, OCLC changed its own service organization from Raytheon to Syntonics; therefore, MEBME decided to conclude a service agreement directly with OCLC so that one national service organization would maintain both Spiras and OCLC Model 100 Display terminal units. This decision caused a delay beyond the end of the fiscal year, and Spiras was retained to maintain the LTE's during the interim period on a day-by-day basis.

## III. Staff Training Program

After revising a manyal describing catalog cand format options available from the OCLC card production system, the NELINET Field Operations staff began to define catalog card formats and catalog profiles for each online and off-line member library.

This activity began in September 1972 and involved site visits to each library. Profiles, defining each library's requirements, were then developed, verified by each library, translated into coded format for computer input, and, finally sent to OCLC for loading into the system.

Thereafter, a series of sub-regional workshops were held to teach appropriate representatives from each on-line member how the MARC II Communications Format is structured, and how to tag appropriate data elements in a bibliographic record as displayed on the on-line work-form of the OCLC shared cataloging system.



Prior to the installation of terminals, the Field Operations staff prepared a Terminal use Manual. This manual was distributed to each on-line member, and was used by terminal operators without on-site assistance from the MELIMET staff for one or two weeks. At this point terminal training sessions were held to clear up remaining questions about system operations.

Additional orientations were held as integral parts of two full Membership Council meetings, which enabled terminal users to share experiences and to help solve common problems. Both of these meetings were videotaped. The tapes subsequently circulated to MELIMET libraries as well as to interested parties outside of New England. The first Membership Council meeting was convened at the College of the Holy Cross on February 22, 1973. Miss Judith Hopkins of the OCLC staff gave an informative presentation to the attendees. The second meeting was convened at Fairfield University on June 5, 1973 at which a panel discussion on implementation of the system was presented by representatives of MELIMET institutions.

#### ileadquarters Staff

Until June 1973, the headquarters staff consisted of two professionals, one permanent secretary and several short-term support personnel. At year's end, a third professional joined the staff to assume part of the growing burden of staff training and terminal maintenance. By the end of 1972-1973 the professional staff consisted of Ron Miller, Director; Ruth Tighe, Assistant Director for Field Operations, and Ann Hocevar, Field Operations Assistant.

Mrs. hocevar was formerly in charge of CATLINE operations at Countway Library of Medicine. Since CATLINE was a developing on-line shared cataloging system for medical libraries, Mrs. Mocevar is well-qualified for her task at MELINET.

Miss Carolyn Stuart, a bright familar voice to most HELANET member staff; completed the year as senior secretary after two years of devoted service.

## IV. Task Groups and Committees

MELTHET members participated in a wide range of activities through the various task groups and committees within NELTHET. Among these is the TASK GROUP ON GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS, which expanded during the year to include several document librarians from the New England region who shared this community of interest but were not NELTHET members. Nork by this group, begun last year, towards an expanded title index to government publications continued; feasibility tests were run at Northeastern University and the University of Connecticut. A proposal for the production of such an index was prepared.

Achievements of the TASK GROUP OH GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS to date include publication of A List of Classes of U.S. Government Publications Available for Selection by Depository Libraries With a Union List of Item Humbers, and co-sponsorship with ASIS and SLA of a regional program on government documents. NELIMET co-sponsored a delegate from the same task



group to a government publications workshop in Kansas City, and the group will use that experience as the basis for a workshop program on government publications scheduled for the spring of 1974.

The TASK GROUP ON SERIALS analyzed the feasibility of producing a single New England region union list of serials, conducting a series of surveys in the process in an attempt to ascertain the characteristics and formats of existing union lists in the region. The TASK GROUP ON SERIALS concluded that creation of a single union list of serials for New England could only be undertaken with more staff, time and funding than it had available to it, and the project was tabled. However, effort toward this end by the group did result in two ancillary activities: firstly, the definition of a MARC subset of data elements for union lists was developed and distributed to New England librarians and the Library of Congress; secondly, the compilation of an annotated list of union lists of serials in New England was undertaken, based upon a survey completed during the previous year.

The NELINET TASK GROUP ON AUTHORITY FILES, later changed to the TASK GROUP ON NETWORK STANDARDS participated in a survey, conducted under the auspices of the Council on Library Resources, to evaluate, on NELINET's behalf, the completeness of the OCLC Standards for Input Cataloging vis-a-vis member needs and the MARC format for monographs as described in the 5th edition of Books: A MARC Format. In light of the fact that OCLC had begun work on authority files, this aspect of the committee's activities was discontinued, and, upon completion of the survey the committee disbanded. The activities of this committee will be subsumed within the NELINET Cataloging Advisory Committee to be established in fiscal year 1973-1974.

## V. Regional Networking

- A. Inside of New England
  - 1. In its continuing effort to promote regionalism in New England, NELINET, through its Director, participated in the creation of the New England Library Board (NELB) through the work of the Regional Planning Committee of the New England Library Association. The NELINET Director now represents NEBHE on the Panel of Counsellors, a regional group of advisors which supports the work of the NELD.
- B. Outside of New England
  - 1. In a national context, the NELINET concern for regionalism was presented to the National Gommission on Libraries and Information Science (NCLIS) by the NELINET Director at a hearing in Washington, D.C. held on October 27, 1972.
  - 2. NELINET played a role in the creation of the Council of Regions, a group organized for the purpose of coordinating the growth and integration of electronic library network development, since several library groups have begun the development of OCLC-like systems.



#### VI. Goals

- A. As a result of a management retreat held for the Executive Committee on January 14 16, 1973, a set of goals to guide its activities for the coming year was formulated for membership approval. They are as follows:
  - To ensure the best possible performance of the existing / system for the NELINET membership.
  - 2. To expand the NELINET membership. Target for terminal installation in addition to the 36 already committed is 60.
  - 3. To move deliberately toward replicating the OCLC system for New England by:
    - a. Developing in-house technical staff capability to support replication.
    - b. Completing a financial, governance and system performance trade-off analysis between the alternatives of replicating the OCLC system in New England, and contracting with OCLC to run the NELINET system on-site in Columbus.
    - c. Seeking funding for non-recurring support of replication.
    - d. Determining long term costs under the above conditions as operating within NEBHE or within an alternative jurisdiction.
  - 4. To participate actively in the emerging community of library networks.
  - 5. To continue the effective development of communities of interest toward the solution of regional library problems.
  - 6. To develop and present to NEBHE and the Membership Council a long-range development plan for NELINET.
  - B. As a result of the adoption of these goals, staff augmentation began before the end of the fiscal year. A Field Operations Assistant was hired in May, and a search for an Assistant Director for Systems began.



In addition to serving ten percent of his time as Principal Investigator, the MECINET Director was appointed Acting Director of the Center from March through May 1973, until a permanent director could be employed. This program, funded by the National Science Foundation, is a separate program of NEBHE devoted to the development of a northeast system for providing services to the academic community from machine-readable data bases through specially trained staff in the user's home library. The salary for the time which the MELINET Director allocates to this program is paid by NEBHE.

#### THE FUTURE

During the next year HELINET's goals, as reproduced above, will serve as the general developmental map against which the staff will be measured by the HELINET Membership, and against which HELINET as a whole will be rated by the library community.

Most immediately, terminal installation and staff training will continue, and membership will be expanded as a result of a concerted network-wide recruiting program.

Deginning in late summer, an Assistant Director for Systems will be hired, and he will investigate those aspects of OCLC needed to underpin and define the replication program in precise terms.

The staff expects to develop more precise, knowledge of the impact of the OCLC system on the internal operations of member libraries. Coordinate studies by member institutions will also be encouraged.



THOUSEAUTH

July 1, 1972 through June 30, 1973

# NEW ENGLAND LIBRARY INFORMATION NETWORK

# MEMBERSHIP STATUS LIST: FY 72-73

# BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Hame of Institution	Librarian	Status
COMMECTICUT	•	
Connecticut College	Many A. McKenzie	On-line
University of Connecticut	John P. McDonald	On-line
Fairfield University	Rev. Francis A. Small	Un-line
Ferguson Public Library .	Marie V. Hurley	On-line
Stratford Library Association	Maurice G. Klein	On-line
tale University	Rutherford D. Rogers	On-line
μΑΙαί	**	•
powdoin College	Arthur Monke	Un-line
Colby College	^Kempeth P. Blake	Un-line
University of Maine at Portland/Gorham	Clifton F. Giles, Jr.	on-line
114 × 10, (a), 174	•	•
MASSACHUSETTS	A die die	
boston Theological Institute	Ronald E. Diener	On-line
coston driversity	John Lautus	On-line
Curry College	Marjorie W. MacLeod	On-line
riampshire College	Richard Muller	On-line
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	Natalie N. Micholson	Sustaining
Newton College	John D. J. Slinn	On-dine
Northeastern University	Roland H. Moody	Om-line
Tufts University	Joseph S. Komidar	On-line
wellesley College	Helen M. Brown	On-line
Worcester Area Cooperating Libraries	Raymond DeBuse	On-line
HEW HAMPSHIRE		
Lartmouth College	Edward C. Lathem	On-line
Hew Hampshire College and University Council	Horma Creaghe	Off-line
New Hampshire State Library	Emil W. Allen	Sustaining
University of New Hampshire	Donald E. Vincent	On-line
RHODE ISLAND		
bryant College	John Hannon	On-line
Rhode Island College	ard A. Olsen	On-line
Rhode Island Department of State Library Services	t .zweth G. Myer	Sustaining .
University of Rhode Island	George R. Parks	On-line
Roger Williams College	Sherrie B. Friedman	On-line
U. S. Naval War College .	Earl R. Schwass	Sustaining
VERNOUT		•
Middlebury College	John P. McKenna	On-line
University of Vermont	Paul B. Kebabian	On-line



## GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE FINANCIAL TRANSACTION

July 1, 1972 through June 30, 1973

BUDGET LINE ITE:	الماليان	PAYMERT	<u>akuni</u>	BALANCE or (DEFICIT)
balaries	5 57,253.30	354,375,77		\$ 3,174.23
Semefits	11,252.00	8,030.74		2,421.26
Consultant 2 nonoriara	1,950.00	1,127.19		<b>6</b> 622.01
Staff Travel	4,500.00	€,011.29		(1,511.29)
Consultant Travel	5,020.00	2,569.37	,	2,950.03
Supplies	3,900.00	2,542.74		1,357.20
Publication & Printing	1 <b>,</b> 600,00	1,542.03		57.97
Communications	7,000.00	5,346.17		. 1,653.83
books, Reference Materials and Memberships	230.00	25 <b>7.9</b> 5		(27.95)
Program Development	500.00	1,315.53	,	(81\$.53)
Rents	7,728.09	6,524.53	ξ.	1,203.17
	101,733.00	90,447.21		11,285.79
	40,494.00		\$40,494.00	-0-
	\$142,227.00	\$90,447.21	\$40,494.00	\$11,285.79





The New England Board of Higher Education (NEBHE) is the public agency innough which the six New England states together promote and develop activities to further expand educational apportunities for the property the region while more affectively utilizing all of the region's higher educational facilities.

The Board was authorized in 1955 by the New England Higher Education Compact & formal infermals agreement between the ux states retified by the United States Congress. The following functions are primary in the achievement of the Board's purposes:

- To provide a facility and staff capable of continuous assessment of and recearch relevant to higher
  education in New England:
- To assist in the initiation and development of plans and programs to meet the higher aducational needs of the region.
- To serve as a dearinghouse for the collection and dissemination of information about and pathlight.

  To the institutions and other agencies concerned with higher education in the region.
- Terserve as an administrative and tiscal agent to higher squadhenes contracts and consumers

   Dervesor the institutions and/or goes/finents to New England.
- To provide consultative services to the institutions agencies, and governmentate New Exglands
  higher educational areas of major regional stentification.
- To serve as a venicle for the regional implementation of society and attorior structures.

   Black to full the equation.

The state of the state of the Early And Control of the State of the St

