#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 087 297 HE 005 096

TITLE Affirmative Action Program.

INSTITUTION California Univ., San Francisco.

PUB DATE Dec 73 NOTE 182p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$6.58

DESCRIPTORS \*Employment Opportunities: \*Equal Opportunities

(Jobs); Equal Protection; \*Higher Education; \*Racial Discrimination; School Community Relationship: \*Sex

Discrimination

IDENTIFIERS Affirmative Action; San Francisco; \*University of

California

#### ABSTRACT

The San Francisco campus of the University of California (UCSF) has as its mission the education of health science professionals. Recruitment areas for campus programs have traditionally been local, state, and national for staff and students, and state, national, and international for faculty. Although efforts have been made over the years to attract more minorities and women into the campus organization, the results were clear that UCSF had had little success in attracting, promoting, or upgrading minorities and women. In 1968, as a direct result of Black Caucus and Black Student Union involvement, two significant changes in campus policy were made: (1) each school and program in health sciences committed itself to a minority student goal of 25% of its entering freshman class, and (2) all hiring activities were centralized in the Personnel Office and its responsibilities in this area were increased. The Campus formally initiated its Affirmative Action program by a policy statement accompanied by guidelines to assist campus departments in setting goals. Included in this document is the Affirmative Action program for UCSF, including sections covering responsibility for implementation, underutilization, goals, program progress, and definitions. Ethnic and sex summary tables are included. The appendices include grievance procedures for discrimination, quide to minority-owned businesses in the San Prancisco bay area, summary of ethnic and sex employment, and search process report. (Author/PG)



# AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAM University of California, San Francisco

Approved by President Charles J. Hitch: May 21, 1973

Prepared by: Affirmative Action Office University of California, San Francisco December 1973

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPHO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
ATTIMO IT POINTS OF SIGN OF POINTONS
STATED DO NOT RECEIVED FOR POINTONS
SENT OF FURNITY OF SIGN OF POINTS OF SIGN OF SIGN OF POINTONS
SENT OF FURNITY OF SIGN OF POINTS OF FURNITY REPRESENT OF FURNITY OF POINTS OF SIGN OF POINTS OF FORCES OF SIGN OF POINTS OF FURNITY OF FURNITY OF FURNITY OF FURNITY OF POINTS OF POI



# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

# San Francisco

# AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAM

	Table of Contents	Page
SECTION A:	AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN EMPLOYMENT	
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Policy	3
III.	Responsibility For Implementation	4
IV.	Dissemination of Policy	5
٧.	Academic Affirmative Action	7
VI.	Staff Affirmative Action	9
VII.	Underutilization, Goals and Timetables	. 14
VIII.	Program Progress Review	. 43
IX.	Sanctions and Penalties	. 44
х.	Definitions	. 45
SECTION B:	AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN PURCHASING AND CONSTRUCTION  Table of Contents	47
SECTION C:	ETHNIC AND SEX SUMMARY TABLES Table of Contents	. 73
SECTION D:	APPENDICES Table of Contents	. 96



# Section A AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN EMPLOYMENT



# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAM

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The San Francisco campus of the University of California, located in the Sunset District at the foot of Mt. Sutro, has as its mission the education of health science professionals. The San Francisco campus is organized into four schools - Dentistry, Medicine, Nursing, and Pharmacy. The schools supervise certificate programs in Dietetics, Exfoliative Cytology, Medical Illustration, Medical Technology, and Physical Therapy. In addition, there is a Dean of the Graduate Division who supervises the graduate academic programs as well as the post-doctoral research fellowships. The campus includes the University hospitals and the outpatient facilities which receive referrals from all of Northern California. In 1972, the hospitals and clinics had a total of 145,888 outpatient visits and 18,083 inpatient admissions. This operation is managed by the Director of Hospitals and Clinics who also supervises a graduate program in Hospital Administration.

Recruitment areas for campus programs have traditionally been local, state, and national for staff and students, and state, national, and international for faculty. As of November 1972, this campus had a population of 411 under-graduate students, 1,733 graduate students, 1,472 instructional and research academics and 5,294 administrative and staff personnel.

Although efforts have been made over the years to attract more minorities



and women into the campus organization, the results were clear that U.C.S.F. had had little success in attracting, promoting, or upgrading minorities and women. By the end of 1967, the largest number of minority employees, primarily black, were dead-ended in the lowest paid service jobs. Minority students and faculty were present in small numbers.

Immediately following the death of Dr. Martin Luther King in 1968, The Black Caucus was organized, and has served as the major impetus for change in human rights and dignity at the San Francisco campus. In 1968, as a direct result of Black Caucus and Black Student Union involvement, two significant changes in campus policy were made: 1) each school and program in health sciences committed itself to a minority student goal of 25% of its entering freshman class, and 2) all hiring activities were centralized in the Personnel Office and its responsibilities in this area were increased. These two changes modified the character of the campus and provided new sources of cultural and intellectual enrichment. In June 1970, the campus formally initiated its Affirmative Action program by a policy statement accompanied by guidelines to assist campus departments in setting goals.



#### II. POLICY

On January 5, 1973, President Hitch issued the following policy statement:

"It is the policy of the University to initiate comprehensive written affirmative action personnel programs in order to provide applicants and employees the right to equal employment opportunities. The University will not engage in discriminatory practices against any person employed or seeking employment because of race, color, religion, marital status, national origin, sex, or, within the limits imposed by law or University regulations, because of age or citizenship.

Positive efforts to further written affirmative action personnel programs must be vigorously pursued, must conform to all current legal requirements, must be consistent with University standards of quality and excellence, and must be specific in identifying areas of under-utilization and in prescribing corrective measures. The intent of the University's Affirmative Action Personnel Program is to reflect fully the spirit of the law.

There shall be a written affirmative action personnel program for each campus and laboratory of the University and for the Office of the President. Such programs shall be reviewed by the Office of the President and approved by the President before they are officially promulgated. The programs shall also be approved as to legal form by the General Counsel prior to promulgation."



# III. RESPONSIBILITY FOR IMPLEMENTATION

Responsibility for implementation of the San Francisco Affirmative

Action program rests with each line manager and supervisor. Through the

Affirmative Action Office, the Chancellor will establish procedures for

reviewing the effectiveness of the program and for monitoring conformity

to it. The campus service units - Staff Personnel Office, Student Affairs

Office, Academic Affairs Office, Purchasing Office, and the Architects and

Engineers Office, serve as support to the line managers in the implementation

of the Affirmative Action program. Appropriate documentation of efforts and

performance through maintenance of records and preparation of reports will

be made in order to provide periodic reports to the Office of the President as required. (See VIII, Program Progress Review.)



#### IV. DISSEMINATION OF POLICY

The Affirmative Action policies and procedures will be widely distributed and discussed both internally and externally within the San Francisco community. Department heads, managers, and supervisors have been informed of the University's commitment to affirmative action and of their responsibility for making supervisory and managerial judgments consistent with this policy.

The detailed manner by which the campus policy will be disseminated follows:

- 1. The Affirmative Action Program for the San Francisco campus will be included in the Policy and Procedures Manual of the San Francisco campus.
- 2. The approved program will be published in the campus newspaper, SYNAPSE, and excerpts will be included in the faculty handbook for the San Francisco campus and in a special form which will be available to all members of the campus.
- 3. Special meetings will be held with deans, department heads, administrators and supervisors to explain the intent of the program and their individual responsibilities for implementation.
- 4. Special meetings will be scheduled for all employees of the campus to discuss the Affirmative Action Program and to explain individual employee responsibilities.
- 5. The Affirmative Action Policy and applicable portions of the program will be included in new employee orientation, and management and supervisory training programs.
- 6. The policy will be disseminated to the San Francisco community-at-large as follows:



- a. All recruiting sources will be informed in writing that this is an affirmative action employer.
- b. A paragraph incorporating the Equal Opportunity clause and Executive Order 11246 as amended will be prepared for inclusion in all purchase orders, leases, contracts, etc.
- c. Contact will be made with minority and womens corganizations, community agencies and leaders, secondary schools and colleges to inform them of this campus' Affirmative Action policy and program.
- d. Prospective employees will be notified of the equal opportunity policy and the Affirmative Action Program and extracts of the program pertinent to prospective employees will be made available to them.
- e. Publications with pictures will include pictures of minorities as well as non-minority men and women.
- f. Contractors, sub-contractors, vendors and suppliers will be notified of the University's affirmative action policy and program.



#### V. ACADEMIC AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

- A. In keeping with the University's policy statement, the Vice Chancellor of Academic Affairs, in consultation with the deans, members of the Academic Senate, and appropriate committees, has the responsibility to:
  - Develop, following an analysis of the academic work force, goals and timetables to improve the utilization of minorities and women when shown to be deficient.
  - 2. Review all academic appointments and promotions to determine whether they are consistent with the University's Affirmative Action policy.
  - 3. Design and implement internal audit and reporting systems to measure the effectiveness of the Academic Affirmative Action program.
  - 4. Publicize the University policy related to academic hiring.
  - 5. Maintain continuing reviews of classifications of academic positions and salary levels of the appointees therein.

# B. Implementation

To implement the Academic Affirmative Action program, the deans directors, and departmental chairpersons shall:

- Establish procedures for academic positions that will provide a diverse pool of available candidates for the position.
- There must be a review outside of the Search Committee to assure that the search and selection processes have been performed properly. (See Appendix 2.)
- 3. Appointments and promotions to tenure ladder ranks shall be by merit criteria embodied in the standard of the best qualified person for the position. In selecting from among candidates equally qualified for a particular position, the appointing authority shall be mindful of affirmative action goals.



- 4. There should be sufficient financial flexibility within the academic system to provide special opportunities for hiring outstanding individuals who do not fit existing openings.
- Graduates from this campus, including minorities and women, will be considered a source for future faculty positions.
- 6. Appointments and promotion practices will be reviewed to ensure that the simultaneous employment of members in the same family are within University policy and regulations.
- 7. Special efforts will be made to inform academic employees (non-Senate) of opportunities to gain new professional skills.
- 8. A review will be made of non-Senate leave policies.
- Special efforts will be made to assure that academic employees, including minorities and women are provided full benefit of University policies on separation and lay-off.



#### VI. STAFF AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

In keeping with the President's policy statement on affirmative action, the personnel Manager, under the Vice Chancellor for Personnel and Student Services has the responsibility to:

- Develop recruitment policies which will result in a diverse applicant pool.
- Assure that requirements and criteria for employment and promotion are limited to those required to perform the job. These requirements should be reasonably explicit and accessible to all employees and applicants.
- 3. Ensure that appointments and promotions are made by merit criteria ambodied in the standard of the best qualified person for the position. In selecting from among candidates equally qualified for a particular position, the appointing authority shall be mindful of affirmative action goals.

Ensure that selection procedures follow campus policies and are consistent with affirmative action objectives.

- 4. Employ personnel staff who have sensitivity and commitment to affirmative action purposes.
- 5. Develop training programs which will provide for upgrading present skills and the development of new skills.
- 6. Develop an affirmative action file which will identify employees, including ethnic minorities and women, who have the competency to be promoted. This file will be a resource for recruitment to promotional positions.
- 7. Separations and lay-off will be in accordance with University policy.
- 8. Insure that benefits, including leave policies, are administered fairly.



Implementation of the staff affirmative action program will include the following elements:

- A review of existing positions to ensure that they are properly classified and are consistent for the same position from one location to another.
- 2. Approved job orders and position requirements shall be made available to all persons involved in the recruiting, screening, selection and promotion process. The requirements shall be limited to those required for the performance of the job.
- 3. Employee tests, where used, will be properly validated.
- 4. Job specificiations shall not be increased for the purpose of excluding or discouraging minority and female applicants.
- 5. All personnel involved in the recruiting, screening, selection, promotion, disciplinary and related processes should be carefully selected and trained to insure elimination of bias in all personnel actions.
- 6. Techniques to improve recruitment and increase the flow of minority and female applications will be applied. (See Appendix 6.)
- 7. To insure that all employees, including minorities and women, are given equal opportunity for promotion, the following steps will be taken:
  - a. post and otherwise circulate and announce promotional opportunities.
  - b. make an inventory of current employees, including minorities and women, to determine academic skill and experience level of individual employees.
  - c. monitor performance appraisal program.



- d. when apparently qualified employees, including minorities and women, are passed over for promotion, require supervisory staff to submit written justification.
- e. establish formal career counseling programs to include attitude development, education aid, job rotation, buddy system and similar programs.



The following is a list of University Staff Personnel Policies which directly relate to our Affirmative Action program. Local campus supplements have been developed for each of these policies.

Copies of the Staff Personnel Policies and local San Francisco campus supplements to them are available to staff employees in every departmental or divisional office, the Personnel Office and the Library.

Policy Number	<u>Title</u>
200	Affirmative Action and Nondiscrimination
210	Recruitment, Transfer and Promotion
250	Probationary Period
255	Performance Evaluation
260	Employee Development
270	Corrective Action
280	Appeals
300	Classification of Positions
305	Pay
410	Sick Leave
430	Leave Without Pay
500	Reduced Fee Enrollment
740	Dismissal
760	Indefinite Layoff



Staff Personnel Policy 200: A FIRMATIVE ACTION AND NONDISCRIMINATION

# \*200.1 Policy

It is the policy of the University to initiate comprehensive written affirmative action personnel programs in order to provide applicants and employees the right to equal employment opportunities. The University will not engage in discriminatory practices against any person employed or seeking employment because of race, color, religion, marital status, national origin, sex, or, within the limits imposed by law or University regulations, because of age or citizenship.

Positive efforts to further written affirmative action personnel programs must be vigorously pursued, must conform to all current legal requirements, must be consistent with University standards of quality and excellence, and must be specific in identifying areas of underutilization and in prescribing corrective measures. The intent of the University's Affirmative Action Personnel Program is to reflect fully the spirit of the law.

There shall be a written affirmative action personnel program for each campus and Laboratory of the University and for the Office of the President. Such programs shall be reviewed by the Office of the President and approved by the President before they are officially promulgated. The programs shall also be approved as to legal form by the General Counsel prior to promulgation.



# UNDERUTILIZATION, GOALS AND TIMETABLES



# A. Staff

The San Francisco campus, as of January 31, 1973, had a total staff employee population of 5,297; 4,611 career employees and 683 casual employees. Of the total number of identified employees (5,165), 41% were minorities and 69% were women. The San Francisco campus reviewed this utilization of minorities and women against the availability data on adults (ages 16 to 64) provided by the Office of the Vice President - Administration (see Tables 1 and 2). Data used for this analysis were extracted from the Public Use Sample of the 1970 United States Census provided by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and includes availability information on minorities and women for the State of California and the San Francisco/Oakland Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area.

#### 1. Workforce Data

The information on workforce data is taken from the Sex and Ethnic Summary Reports of January 31, 1973, provided by the Office of the Vice President - Administration. Information for these reports is taken from the Master Payroll File of the San Francisco campus. The Sex and Ethnic Summary Reports contain information on the ethnic and sex composition of the campus workforce and are used (a) to set employment goals, (b) to monitor affirmative action progress, and (c) to support compliance efforts. Specific reports utilized for this analysis were PER 1027 and PER 1003. The reports summarize staff employees by job classification and Federal Occupation Code, and provide statistics by ethnic group, for men, women, or both men and women for one or more of the following categories: head count, percentage of the group who are men, women, or both, weighted average salary, and FTE. For this analysis, information on workforce data has been calculated for career employees only.

# 2. Occupational Categories

The occupational categories used are those established by the U.S. Department of Labor and to which all University staff titles have been



assigned by the Office of the Vice President - Administration. Analysis has been completed on eight Federal Occupation Codes and does not include any sub-categories within these eight codes. The University has not yet established which University titles can be appropriately assigned to the sub-categories by similar job content, wage struction, and employment opportunities for which data on the availability of qualified minorities and women can be obtained.

#### 3. Affirmative Action Units

For the purpose of this initial review, the San Francisco campus has been treated as a single unit. This campus, however, has been divided into eight affirmative action units (see Appendix 9). A review of the utilization of minorities and women will be done for each of the eight units using April 30, 1973 information.

# 4. Availability Data

The data used for this initial review is from the Public Use Sample provided by the Office of the Vice President - Administration. The statistics included availability data for both the State of California and for the San Francisco/Oakland Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. The data did not include availability information on a national level, and, therefore, the analysis of availability to utilization for Federal Occupation Codes A and B (which are recruited nationally as well as statewide) have only been compared on a statewide basis due to the lack of national availability statistics.

# 5. Utilization Analysis - Procedures

(a) The total number of persons employed by UCSF in a particular occupational category is multiplied by the percentage of minority group members or women available in that category. The product is the number of minority group members or women who would be employed if our workforce precisely reflected availability.



(b) The square root of that product is then taken to determine the statistically expected numerical range for the category, within which we could reasonably expect to actually employ minority group members or women. Underutilization is recognized in any category where campus employment of minorities or women is zero or falls below the bottom of this range. When the range is zero to one and campus utilization of minorities or women is less than one, a goal of one has been set when the midpoint of the range is .500 or more.

# EXAMPLE

In occupational category A, UCSF has 150 career employees. Availability data indicates that 11.2% or those in the availability pool for category A are minorities. We multiply:  $150 \times .112 = 17$ . The product of 17 is the number of minorities which in the campus workforce would precisely reflect availability.

We then determine the square root of that product:  $\sqrt{17}$  = 4. The square root is 4, from which the range is derived (plus or minus) of minority employees which the campus would reasonably expect to actually employ in category A. Hence, the expectation is to have 13 to 21 minorities employed. If the campus actually employs a number below that range, underutilization exists (Table 1).

(c) Whether or not there is an underutilization problem recognized in any campuswide occupational category, a separate determination has been made as to whether there is a disparity in the utilization of any particular minority group, or the men or women of any particular minority group, for each occupational category (Table 2). This has been accomplished as follows:



Applying the same method used to determine underutilization, a range of reasonably expected employment for each minority group, and for the men and women of each minority group, is determined for each occupational category. If the campus actually employs members of a particular minority group in a number which falls 25% or more short of the midpoint of the range, a disparity in utilization is recognized.

# 6. Goals and Timetables

Having established the underutilization problems of minorities and women, there has been consultation with the responsible supervisory personnel to establish goals and timetables to correct this underutilization.

It should be emphasized that while goals are required, quotas are not required nor permitted. Goals are an indicator of probable compliance and achievement, not a rigid or exclusive measure of performance. Good faith and genuine effort are the true measures of compliance with this program.

(a) Goals are expressed as an increase in head count (the actual increase in the number of persons we expect to employ) and as an increase in the percentage of the campus workforce of minorities and women in the particular occupational category.

#### **EXAMPLE**

In occupational category E, UCSF has a current utilization of 12 minorities. The expected range for category E is 16 to 25 minorities. Since we employ a number below that range, we recognize an underutilization problem.

Where underutilization occurs, goals are established to the midpoint of the range. Hence, our goal would be to increase our utilization of



minorities by 9 to bring us to 21, the midpoint of the range for category E(12 + 9 = 21).

The percent increase is calculated as the increase from 12 to 21 employees in occupational category E:  $21 \div 94 = 22.3\%$ 

$$12 + 94 = 12.8\%$$

22.3% - 12.8% = 9.5%. The percent increase is therefore 9.5%.

(b) Where disparity occurs in the utilization of a particular minority group, or of the men or women of a particular minority group in an occupational category, goals have also been established. Goals are expressed as an increase in head count (the actual increase in the number of persons we expect to employ) and as an increase in the percentage of the campus workforce of minorities and women in the particular occupational category where disparity has been recognized.

# EXAMPLE

In occupational category A, UCSF has a current utilization of 3 Mexican/Spanish Americans. The expected range for Mexican/Spanish Americans in category A is 7 to 13. Since we employ a number that falls 25% or more below the midpoint of the range, we recognize disparity.

Where disparity occurs, goals are established to the midpoint of the range. Hence, our goal would be to increase our utilization of Mexican/Spanish Americans by 7 to bring us to 10, the midpoint of the range (3 + 7 = 10).

The percent increase is calculated as the increase from 3 to 10 Mexican/ Spanish American employees in occupational category A:  $10 \div 150 = 6.7\%$  $3 \div 150 = 2.0\%$ 

6.7% - 2.0% = 4.7%. The percent increase is therefore 4.7%.



- (c) Timetables within which these goals are to be met were determined from the number of projected appointments per year. This number includes the total of projected turnover and new positions expected. Goals and timetables will be periodically reviewed and progress reports with appropriately adjusted goals will be made semi-annually.
- (d) As a general guide, if the average number of projected appointments for an FOC is at least five times the underutilization or disparity, the goal should be attained within one or two years. If the average number of projected appointments for an FOC is less than five times the underutilization or disparity, the attainment of the goal may require a longer period of time.

The estimated annual turnover in each FOC to be filled is:

	Federal Occupation Code	Average No. of Projected Appointments
A.	Officials and Managers	32
В.	Professionals	523
c.	Technicians	112
D.	Office and Clerical	685
E.	Crafts	*
F.	Operatives	2
G.	Laborers	8
н.	Service Workers	182

<sup>\*</sup>No estimate is available until the nature of future agreements

with unions is known. Based on past experience, two to four vacancies

year can be expected.

# MODIFIED FEDERAL OCCUPATION CODES FOR STAFF EMPLOYEES (BY TITLE CODE)

	Federal Occupation Code		Occupational Categories
Α.	Officials and Managers	43 A2	(Management Program) High Administrators (Classified Series)
		A1 A0	Middle Administrators (Classified Series) Low Administrators (Classified
		,,,	Series)
В.	Professionals	B2 B1 B0	<b>5</b> \ 1
С.	Technicians	C2 C1 C0	Middle Technycians
D.	Office and Clerical	D2 D1 D0	High Office and Clerical Middle Office and Clerical Low Office and Clerical
Ε.	Crafts	E2 E1 E0	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
F.	Operatives	F2 F1 F0	<b>~</b> /
G.	Laborers	G2 G1 G0	High Laborers Middle Laborers Low Laborers
н.	Service Workers	H2 H1 H0	High Service Workers Middle Service Workers Low Service Workers



ummary
Sex S
Ethnic & Utilizatı
CAMPUS: ility to
<pre>1 SAN FRANCISCO CAMPUS: Ethnic &amp; Sex Summary Staff Availability to Utilization</pre>
Sta
ERIC Provided by ERIC

Source: PER 1027 1/31/73

	FEDERAL OCCUPATION CATEGORY	Head	Fraction	[[tilization	Expected	(% Increase)	Timotable
ı					26	(2522 1211 × )	
⋖	Officials and Managers Minorities* Women	150 150	112 160	35 53	13-21 19-29		
<b>60</b>	Professionals Minorities Women	1,465 1,465	. 137	231	187-215 426-468		
ပ	Technicians Minorities Women	508 508	. 398	23 <b>4</b> 289	114-137 188-216		
0	Office and Clerical Minorities Women	1,514	.208	501 1,290	297-333 1,130-1,198		
ш	Crafts Minorities Women	94 94	.221	12	16-25 2-6	9 (9.5%) 4 (4.3%)	1984 1978
L.	Operatives Minorities Women	2 <b>4</b> 2 <b>4</b>	. 255	13	4-9 0-1	1 (4.2%)	1976
G	Laborers Minorities Women	<b>20</b> 20	. 435	27 24	17-26 0-3		
I	Service Workers Minorities Women	710 017	. 426	53 <i>7</i> 366	247-280 285-320		

\*Minorities include: Blacks, Asians, American Indians, and Mexican/Spanish Americans

1975 1974 1974

72 (4.9%) 57 (3.9%) 15 (1.0%)

79-97 55-71 20-30

16\* 10\*

.060

1,465 1,465 1,465

Mex/Span American - total Men Women

Summary Disparity	
US: Ethnic & Sex	
FRANCISCO CAMPUS: Staff Availability of	
2	

ERIC Full feat Provided by ERIC

Source: PER 1027 1/31/73

1								
1	FEDERAL OCCUPATION CATEGORY	Head Count	Fraction Available	Utilization	Expected Range	Goal (% Increase)	Timetable	11
<	Offici Blac	150	.024	23	2-5			
	Men Momen	02 C	.016 .008	გ	1-4 0-2			
	Asian - total	150	910.	6	1-5			
	Momen	<u>8</u> 6	.005	ρM	0-1			
	American Indian - total Men Women	150 150 150	.002 .001	:::	0-1 0			
	Mex/Span American - total Men Women	150 150 150	.0 <b>6</b> 7 .057 .010	* * * m m *	7-13 6-11 0-3	7 (4.7%) 6 (4.0%) 2 (1.3%)	1974 1974 1974	
	Other Non-white - total Men Women	150 150 150	.004	4 W C	-0 -0-0 0			
<b>80</b>	Professionals Black - total Men Women	1,465 1,465 1,465	. 036 . 018 . 018	74 26 48	45-60 21-32 21-32			
	Asian - total Men Women	1,465 1,465 1,465	. 039	140 37 103	50-65 37-51 10-17			
	American Indian - total Men Women	1,465 1,465 1,465	.005 .001 .001	<u>*</u> *-	0-3 0-3	2 ( .1%)	197 <b>4</b> 1974	

\*Disparity exists where utilization falls 25% or more below midioint of range

Source: PER 1027 1/31/73

"	FEDERAL OCCUPATION CATEGORY	Head Count	Fraction Available	Utilization	Expected Range	Goal (% Increase)	Timetable
<b>~</b>	Other Non-white - total Men Women	1,465 1,465 1,465	.00. .006 .006	68 18 50	12-20 6-12 6-12		
ပ	Technicians Black - total Men Women	508 508 508	.097 .032 .065	183 60 123	42-56 12-20 27-39		
	Asian - total Men Women	508 508 508	.065 .043 .022	27 14* 13	27-39 17-27 8-15	8 (1.5%)	1974
	American Indian - total Men Women	508 508 508	.004	**	1-3	1 ( .2%) 2 ( .4%)	197 <b>4</b> 197 <b>4</b>
	Mex/Span American - total Men Women	508 508 508	.082 .054 .029	23 <b>*</b> 12 11	35-48 22-33 11-19	19 (3.8%) 15 (2.9%) 4 ( .8%)	1974 1974 1974
	Other Non-white - total Men Women	508 508 508	.029 .014	40 28 12	11-19 4-10 4-10		
۵	Office and Clerical Black - total Men Women	1,514 1,514 1,514	.076 .024 .052	301 41 260	104-126 30-42 70-88		
	Asian - total Men Women	1,514 1,514 1,514	.051 .013 .038	107 21 86	68-86 15-2 <b>4</b> 50-65		
	American Indian - total Men Women	1,514 1,514 1,514	.003	7 3 4	2-7 0-3 1-5		
<b>*</b>		010 -11-3 -	70				

 $^{\star}$  Disparity exists where utilization falls 25% or more below midpoint of range.

λ,	ţ
Summar	Disparity
Ethnic & Sex Summa	Utilization :
	ty to U1
FRANCISCO CAMPUS	Availabili
_	

Source: PER 1027

	FEDERAL OCCUPATION CATEGORY	Head Count	Fraction Available	Utilization	Expected Range	Goal (% Increase)	Timetable
٥	Mex/Span American - total Men Women	1,514 1,514 1,514	.078 .024 .055	86* 26* 60*	107-129 30-42 74-92	32 (2.1%) 10 (.7%) 23 (1.5%)	1974 1974 1974
	Other Non-white - total Men Women	1,514 1,514 1,514	.027 .009 .018	54 20 34	34-47 10-17 22-32		
ш	Crafts Black - total Men Women	94 94 94	. 068 . 066 . 002	<b>0</b> 0 1 1	4-9 0-1		
	Asian - total Men Women	94 94 94	.022	* * :	1-4	2 (2.1%) 2 (2.1%)	1976 1976
	American Indian - total Men Women	94 94 94	.005	:::	0-0		
	Mex/Span American - total Men Women	94 94 94	.127 .125 .001	* * ° ;	8-15 8-15	9 (9.6%) 9 (9.6%)	1984 1984
	Other Non-white - total Men Women	94 94 94	.012	!	0-2		
<b>LL</b> ,	Operatives Black -total Men Women	24 24 24	060.	133	]-4 		
	Asian - totał Men Women	24 24 24	.035 .032 .002	* *	0-5	1 (4.2%) 1 (4.2%)	1976 1976



\* Disparity exists where utilization falls 25% or more below midpoint of range

Source: PER 1027 1/31/73

FEDERAL OCCUPATION CATEGORY	Head	Fraction Available	Utilization	Expected Range	Goal (% Increase)	Timetable
F American Indian - total Men Women	24 24 24	.007	1::	0-1		
Mex/Span American - total Men Women	24 24 24	.123	* * :	1-5	3 (12.5%) 3 (12.5%)	1981 1981
Other Non-white - total Men Women	24 24 24	.007	!			
G Laborers Black - total Men Women	20 20 20	.279 .279	æ. ** 0.	10-18 10-18	6 (12.0%)	1977
Asian - total Men Women	20 20 20	.027	~~!	0-3		
American Indian - total Men Women	20 20	: : :	! ! !	!!!		
Mex/Span American - total Men Women	2000	.129 .129 	7 * E	4-9	2 (4.0%)	1975
Other Non-white - total Men Women	200	.014	W 62 57	0-5		
H Service Workers Black - total Men Women	017 017 017	. 179 . 097 . 081	466 198 268	116-138 61-77 50-65		

25.

Summary	Disparity
×	o Utilization:
S	Starf Availability to

Source: PER 1027 1/31/73

FEDERAL OCCUPATION CATEGORY	Head Count	Fraction Available	Utilization	expected Range	boal (% Increase)	Timetable
Asian - total Men	017	.080	17*	49-64	40 (5.6%) 30 (4.2%)	1975 1974
Women	710	.025	<b>*</b>	14-22		1974
American Indian - total Men Women	710 710 710	.00.	ο <i>∨4</i>	0-2		
Mex/Span American - total Men Women	710 710 710	.112 .067 .045	48* 2 <b>4</b> *	71-88 41-54 26-38	32 (4.5%) 24 (3.4%) 8 (1.1%)	1975 1975 197 <b>4</b>
Other Non-white - total Men Women	017 017 017	.035 .017 .019	91 64 27	20-30 9-16 10-17		

\*Disparity exists where utilization falls 25% or more below midpoint of range



#### B. Academic

The San Francisco campus, as of January 31, 1973, had a total academic population of 1,533. Of this total, 10.6% were minorities and 24.6% were women.

# 1. Workforce Data

The information on workforce data is taken from the Sex and Ethnic Summary Reports of January 31, 1973, provided by the Office of the Vice President - Administration. Information for these reports is taken from the Master Payroll File of the San Francisco campus. The Sex and Ethnic Summary Reports contain information on the ethnic and sex composition of the campus workforce and are used (a) to set employment goals, (b) to monitor affirmative action progress, and (c) to support compliance efforts. Specific reports utilized were PER 1010 and PER 1012. The reports summarize academic employees by academic group and by title and provide statistics, by ethnic group, for men, women, or both men and women for one or more of the following categories: head count, percentage of the group who are men, women, or both, weighted average salary, and FTE. For this analysis, information on workforce data has been calculated for all academic titles, excluding, however, instructional assistants, who are usually students.

# 2. Availability Data

Availability data for academic personnel was taken from a variety of sources. Availability data for clinical departments in the School of Medicine are taken as the number of residents in various specialties on duty on September 1, 1970, in hospitals associated with U.S. medical schools. (Medical Education in the United States, 1970-71, Journal of the American Medical Association, Vol. 218, No. 8, November 22, 1971.) The study yields data only for residents who are black U.S. citizens and residents who are women. A separate tabulation for faculty members in medicine generally is available in the Carnegie/ACE Faculty Survey, 1969, according to which black faculty members comprise 1.51%, other minorities 1.85%, and women



5.17%. Thus, the number of blacks and the number of other minorities are found to be approximately equal. This suggests that the availability based on blacks should only be multiplied by two if all minorities are to be considered.

Separate tabulations for minorities by discipline were not available for the basic sciences in the School of Medicine. For the purpose of establishing availability for minorities in these departments, the Carnegie/ ACE Faculty Survey, 1969, was used under the classification of All Doctorates in Health Fields, and the same percentages are used for each of these departments. For women in the basic sciences in the School of Medicine, availability figures by discipline are taken from the number of "Doctorates Awarded from 1920 to 1971 by Subfield of Doctorate, Sex and Decade", published by the National Research Council, Office of Scientific Personnel, Doctorate Records File. The availability figures used here represent the percent of doctorates awarded to women in FY 1970-1971. These figures indicate the number of Ph.D. degrees granted, while the Carnegie/ACE tables indicate the composition of faculties in departments.

For recruitment of faculty members in the School of Dentistry, the pool has been assumed to be represented by the graduates from all U.S. Schools of Dentistry for the years 1971 and 1972, the latter being based on racial and sex breakdown in the fourth year. These data are collected by the Division of Educational Measurements, Council on Cental Education, American Dental Association.

For purposes of this analysis, the numbers of academic appointees in the School of Nursing has been broken down into the main body of nursing faculty and the group represented by the Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences. Availability for the first, consisting of professional nurses with advanced degrees and/or specialized training, is taken from the Carnegie/ACE listing



for Nursing, All Faculty, regardless of degree. The appointees in the Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences includes a core faculty of (non-nurse) sociologists and psychologists with doctoral degrees. For minorities, the Cargegie/ACE list for doctoral sociologists and psychologists are deemed to represent the appropriate pool for recruitment in this department. For women, we have used availability figures from the National Research Council's "Doctorates Awarded from 1920 to 1971 by Subfield of Doctorate, Sex and Decade". The figures used here represent the number of women granted doctorates in Sociology and Psychology in FY 1970-1971.

We have been informed by Dean Jere E. Goyan that the American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy is just now initiating a study of availability data of new graduates and advanced degrees conferred in Pharmacy which will not be completed before 1974. Meanwhile, because of our belief that the recruitment pool includes personnel with doctoral training in chemistry or pharmaceutical chemistry, we approximate the availability for minorities by the use of the Carnegie/ACE study under the classification of Chemistry. For women, we have used availability figures from the National Research Council's "Doctorates Awarded from 1920 to 1971 by Subfield of Doctorate, Sex and Decade". The figures used here represent the number of women granted doctorates in Pharmacy in FY 1970-1971.

We have approximated availability for minorities and women in miscellaneous departments or institutes by using availability figures extracted from the <u>Public Use Sample</u> of the 1970 U.S. Census, provided by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. We have used figures for Federal Occupational Code B, Professionals, in the State of California, since this is the only data we have been able to obtain, although professionals are recruited nationally as well as on a statewide basis.



# 3. Utilization Analysis

Utilization figures are taken on the basis of the head count by department of all academic employees except positions which are normally filled by students. Spot check of several departments of the total ethnic/ sex breakdown in the regular ranks faculty appointees (Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Professor) shows lower proportion of minorities and women than that of all academic appointments. It would not be significant at this time to break out regular ranks faculty appointees as separate utilization tables because of the different practices and significance of the various titles from one department to another. However, such an analysis will be done pending implementation by the Office of the Vice President - Administration of a modified sort selection code comparing current academic groups and ranks by tenure and non-tenured ranks. Additionally, an analysis of substantial disparity has not been included. Such an analysis will be done, however, as we continue to update and develop availability data which includes more comprehensive information on Blacks, Asians, American Indians, and Mexican/Spanish Americans by disciplines.

- (a) The total number of academic personnel (excluding instructional assistants) in a particular department is multiplied by the percentage of minority group members or women available in the discipline. The product is the number of minority group members or women who would be employed if our workforce precisely reflected availability.
- (b) The square root of that product is then taken to determine the statistically expected numerical range for the department, within which we could reasonably expect to actually employ minority group members or women. Underutilization is recognized in any department where the employment of minorities or women is zero or falls below the bottom of this range. When the range is zero to one and utilization of minorities



or women is less than one, a goal of one has been set when the midpoint of the range is .500 or more.

# EXAMPLE

In the Department of Dermatology we employ a total of 17 academic employees. Availability data indicates that 34.2% of those in the availability pool for Dermatology are minorities. We multiply 17 x .0342 = 0.581. The product of .581 is the number of minorities which, in the Department of Dermatology would precisely reflect availability. We then determine the square root of that product:  $\sqrt{0.581} \approx 0.762$ . The square root is .762, from which the range is derived (plus or minus) of minority employees which the Department of Dermatology would reasonably expect to actually employ. Hence, the range is 0 to 1. When the range is zero to one and utilization of minorities or women is less than one, a goal of one has been set when the midpoint of the range is .500 or more.

#### 4. Goals and Timetables

Where underutilization exists, goals and timetables have been established to correct this underutilization.

It should be emphasized that while goals are required, quotas are not required nor permitted. Goals are an indicator of probable compliance and achievement, not a rigid or exclusive measure of performance. Good faith and genuine effort are the true measures of compliance with this program.

(a) Goals are expressed as an increase in head count (the actual increase in the number of persons we expect to employ) and as an increase in the percentage of the department's workforce of minorities or women.

#### EXAMPLE

In the Department of Anesthesia, we currently employ 2 women. The expected range for the department is 3 to 7 women. Since we employ a number below that range, we recognize an underutilization problem.



Where underutilization occurs, goals are established to the midpoint of the range. Hence, our goal would be to increase our utilization of women by 3 to bring us to 5, the midpoint of the range for women in Anesthesia (2 + 3 = 5).

The percent increase is calculated as the increase from 2 to 5 employees in Anesthesia: 5 + 26 = 19.2%

$$2 + 26 = 7.7$$
%

19.2% - 7.7% = 11.5%. The percent increase is therefore 11.5%.

- (b) In those departments where the utilization of minorities or women is less than one and the range indicating availability is zero to one, a goals of one has been set when the midpoint of the range is .500 or more. However, in those departments where the utilization of minorities or women falls below the expected range and the total head count in the department is less than five, no goals have been set. When vacancies occur in these departments, search and selection procedures will be carefully monitored to ensure conformity with affirmative action objectives.
- (c) Timetables within which these goals are to be met were determined from the number of projected appointments per year. This number includes the total of projected turnover and new positions expected. Goals and timetables will be periodically reviewed and will be adjusted as the availability of minorities and women increase and as their employment in the university workforce changes.



# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA ACADEMIC GROUPS\*

	GROUPS	CODES
1.	Academic Administrative Officers 1. Deans & Provosts 2. Directors 3. Coordinator-Adminstrator 4. Academic-Administrators 5. Other Administrators (e.g., Head of Program Director-Scripps, etc.)	110 120 130 140
2.	1. Professor & Equivalent-Regular	2104
	<ol> <li>Professor &amp; Equivalent-Temporary</li> <li>Acting, Visiting, Recalled to Active Duty (Emerit</li> <li>Clinical</li> <li>In Residence</li> <li>Adjunct</li> </ol>	cus) 221 222 223 224
	<ol> <li>Other Faculty</li> <li>Lecturer and Senior Lecturer with Security</li> </ol>	
	of Employment	231
	<ol> <li>Lecturer and Senior Lecturer without Security     of Employment</li> <li>Associate</li> </ol>	232 233
3.	Instructional Assistants	
	1. Students 2. Other	310 320
	3. Interns and Residents	330
6.	Research	
	<ol> <li>Professional Research</li> <li>Professor Equivalent - Regular (e.g., Astronomers and Agronomists)</li> </ol>	6114
	2. Professor Equivalent - Temporary (e.g., Astronomo	ers 612
	and Agronomists) 3. Other Professional Research (e.g., Field Program	613
	Supervisor, Miller Institute Professor, etc.) 4. Postgraduate Research	614
	2. Specialist	620
	3. Résearch Assistant (students)	630
9.	Other Academic Staff	010
	<ul><li>l. Librarians</li><li>2. Agricultural Extension</li></ul>	910 920
	3. University Extension	
	1. Continuing	931 932
	<ul><li>2. Temporary</li><li>9. Miscellaneous/Supplemental</li></ul>	932
	1. Miscellaneous	990
	2. Supplemental	999

<sup>\*</sup>The category not designated by Academic Group Code (Titles Unidentified-Uncoded) covers those academic employees not counted due to the data collection and retrieval errors.

ERICIPOTESSOR 10 and 20 or Full Professor & Equivalent Rank and Associate of essor and Equivalent Rank hold tenure.

Summary	
Ethnic and Sex	to Utilization
FRANCISCO CAMPUS:	cademic Availability

Sources. FER 1010 & 1012 1/31/73

UNIT	School/Department School of Medicine Clinical Departments	Head Count	Fraction Available	Utilization	Expected Range	Goal (% Increase)	Timetable
020	Anaesthesia Minorities Women	26 2 <b>6</b>	.0173 <sup>2</sup> .1893 <sup>2</sup>	0 0	0-1 3-7	3 (11.5%)	9//
030	Pathology Minorities Women	35 55	.0154 <sup>2</sup> .1950 <sup>2</sup>	0 8	0-1 1-5		
080	Clin. Path & Lab Med. Minorities <sup>1</sup> Women	9 91	.0154 <sup>2</sup> ,3	0 0	0-1 2-6		
040	Amb. & Comm. Med. Minorities <sup>1</sup> Women	के के ह	.01912,4 .0776 <sup>2</sup> ,4	1	0-1		
045	Medicine, General Minorities <sup>1</sup> Women	<b>9</b> 6	.0191 <sup>2</sup> .07762	~=	0-3 5-10		
8	International Health Minorities <sup>l</sup> Women	22	.01912.4	04	0-1 0-3		
050	Dermatology Minorities Women	71	.0342 <sup>2</sup> .0838 <sup>2</sup>	9 0	0-1 0-3	1 (5.9%)	רר/ר
	Australite and utilization data for minowition and	tion data	Sam minowition	براس عاعداق سمة مدد		thomas on tod. Fine	in acc otherwise noted: finites for other minorities

Availability and utilization data for minorities are for Blacks only, unless otherwise noted; figures for other minorities (Asians, American Indians and Mexican/Spanish Americans) in the disciplines indicated are not available.
Source for availability data: "Medical Education in the United States, 1970-1971", Journal of the American Medical Association, Volume 218, No. 8, November 22, 1971. Figures indicate residencies occupied by Blacks and women in hospitals affiliated with medical schools for the year 1970
Availability data for Pathology used here.
Availability data for General Medicine used here.

FRANCISCO CAMPUS: Ethnic & Sex Summary Academic Availability to Utilization

Sources: PER 1010 & 1972 1/31/73

Timetable			71/1	9//6			
Goal (% Increase)			1 (6.3%)	1 (1.8%)			
Expected Range	0-1 0 2	0-1 0-3	0-1	0-2 12-20	0-2 4-10	0-1 2-5	0-1
Utilization	04	5 0	-0	0 15	L 4	27.25	4 2
Fraction Available	.01182	$0244^{2}$ . $1050^{2}$	$0179^{2}$	.0170 <sup>2</sup> .2947 <sup>2</sup>	.0198 <sup>2</sup> .1350 <sup>2</sup>	.01052 .0740 <sup>2</sup>	.0157 <sup>2</sup>
Head Count	18 18	13	91	55 55	53	<b>4.4</b> 8.8	38 38
School/Department School of Medicine: Clinical Departments	Neurology Minoritiesl Women	OBS/GYN Minorities <sup>l</sup> Women	Ophthalmology Minorities <sup>l</sup> Women	Pediatrics Minorities <sup>1</sup> Women	Psychiatry Minorities <sup>]</sup> Women	Radiology Minorities <sup>l</sup> Women	Surgery Minorities <sup>]</sup> Women
UNIT	090	902	070	085	105	011	115

Availability and utilization data for minorities are for Blacks only, unless otherwise noted; figures for other minorities (Asians, American Indians and Mexican/Spanish Americans) in the disciplines indicated are not available.
Source for availability data: "Medical Education in the United States, 1970-1971", Journal of the American Medical Association, Volume 218, No. 8, November 22, 1971. Figures indicate residencies occupied by Blacks and women in hospitals affiliated with medical schools for the year 1970. 6

FRANCISCO CAMPUS: Ethnic and Sex Summary
Academic Availability to Utilization

Sources: PER 1010 & T012 1/31/73

0					
Timetable	91/1			91/1	
Goal (% Increase)	1 (6.3%)			1 (5.6%)	
Expected Range	0-1	0-2	00	0-1 0-2	0-1
Utilization	00	<b>–</b> &	00	00	0 50
Fraction Available	.02302	.0046 <sup>2</sup> .0575 <sup>2</sup>	.00196	.0162 <sup>2</sup> .0390 <sup>2</sup>	.0228 <sup>2</sup> .1987 <sup>2</sup>
Head Count	9L 9L	2 12	44	<u>85</u>	99
School/Department School of Medicine: Clinical Departments	Neurosurgery Minorities <sup>l</sup> Women	Otolaryngology Minorities <sup>l</sup> Women	Urology Minorities <sup>l</sup> Women	Orthopaedic Surgery Minorities Women	Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Minorities <sup>l</sup> Women
UNIT	120	125	130	075	145

Availability and utilization data for minorities are for Blacks only, unless otherwise noted; figures for other minorities (Asians, American Indians and Mexican/Spanish Americans) in the disciplines indicated are not available. Source for availability data: "Medical Education in the United States, 1970-1971", Journal of the American Medical Association, Volume 218, No. 8, November 22, 1971. Figures indicate residencies occupied by Blacks and women in hospitals affiliated with medical schools for the year 1970.

Summary	
Ethnic and Sex Summary	to Utilization
FRANCISCO CAMPUS:	cademic Availability
·	٩c

PER 1010 1012 1/31/73

Sources:

Timetable			3//7		
Goal (% Increase)			1 (5.6%)		
Expected Range	0-2 3-8	1-3 6-12	0-1 1-5	0-2 1-3	0-2 2-5
Utilization	36	76 12	90	99 2	2 <sub>6</sub>
Fraction Available	.0366 <sup>5</sup> .19007	.0366 <sup>5</sup> .1760 <sup>8</sup>	.0366 <sup>5</sup> .1840 <sup>9</sup>	.0366 <sup>5</sup> .1180 <sup>10</sup>	.0366 <sup>5</sup> .1420 <sup>11</sup>
Head Count	29	S	8 8 8	20 20	25 25
School/Department School of Medicine: Basic Science Depts.	Anatony Minorities Women	Biochemistry Minorities Women	Microbiology Minorities Women	Pharmacology Minorities Women	Phy <b>siology</b> Minorities Women
CODE	910	025	055	060	960

Carnegie/ACE Faculty Survey Data, 1969. Availability figure is for Blacks and other minorities in Health Fields (all doctorates, quality I to VI) Source for availability data:

Utilization figure is for Blacks, Asians, American Indians, Mexican/Spanish Americans and other non-whites. Source for availability data: "Doctorates Awarded from 1920 to 1971 by Subfield of Doctorate, Sex and Decade", National Research Council. Office of Scientific Personnel, Doctorate Records File, page 6. Availability figure is for women who

received doctorates in Anatomy in fiscal year 1970-71.

Availability figure is for women who received doctorates in Biochemistry in fiscal year 1970-71. Availability figure is for women who received doctorates in Microbiology in fiscal year 1970-71. Ibid.

Source for availability data: "Doctorates Awarded from 1920 to 1971 by Subfield of Doctorate, Sex and Decade", National Research Council, Office of Scientific Personnel, Doctorate Records File, page 8. Availability figure is for women who Availability figure is for women who received doctorates in Physiology in fiscal year 1970-71 received doctorates in Pharmacology in fiscal year 1970-71. Ibid., page 6.

Ethnic and Sex Summary FRANCISCO CAMPUS: Ethnic and Se Academic Availability to Utilization ERIC

PER 1010 1 1012 1/31/73

Sources:

UNIT	School/Department	Head Count	Fraction Available	Utilization	<b>Expe</b> cted Range	Goal (% Increase)	Timetable
900	School of Dentistry Minorities Women	174	.048112	19 <sup>6</sup> 14	<b>5-</b> 11 2-5		
150	School of Nursing Minorities Women	107 107	.0292 <sup>]4</sup> .9600 <sup>]5</sup>	13 <sup>6</sup> 311	1-5 93-113		
	Social & Behav Sri. Minorities Women	20 20	.0214 <sup>16</sup> .2308 <sup>17</sup>	26 15	0-1 2-7		
155	School of Pharmacy Minorities Women	70	.0362 <sup>18</sup> .052019	176 6	1-4 2-6		

Division of Educ. Measurements, Council on Dental Education, American Dental Association, pages 7 and 9. Availability figure is for Blacks, Asians, American Indians, Mexican/Spanish Americans and other non-whites. Source for availability data: "Annual Report on Dental Education", American Dental Association, 1971. Source for availability data: Carnegie/ACE Faculty Survey Data, 1969. Availability figure is for Blacks and other Utilization figure is for Blacks, Asians, American Indians, Mexican/Spanish Americans and other non-whites. Source for availability data: "Minority Student Enrollment and Opportunities in U. S. Dental Schools, 1971-72",

minorities (all faculty, quality I to VI). Ibid. Availability figure is for women (all faculty, quality I to VI).

Ibid. Availability figure is for Blacks and other minorities (all doctorates, quality I to VI) in Social Sciences and Psychology.

Source for availability data: "Doctorates Awarded from 1920 to 1971 by Sutfield of Doctorate, Sex and Decade", National Research Council, Office of Scientific Personnel, Doctorate Records File, pages 8 and 9. Availability figure

Source for availability data: Carnegie/ACE Faculty Survey Data, 1969. Availability figure is for Blacks and other is for women who received doctorates in Sociology and Psychology in fiscal year 1970-71. <u>8</u>

minorities in Chemistry (all doctorates, quality I to VI). Source for availability data: "Doctorates Awarded from 1920 to 1971 by Subfield of Doctorate, Sex and Decade", National Research Council, Office of Scientific Personnel, Doctorate Records File, page 8. Availability figure is for women who received doctorates in Pharmacy in fiscal year 1970-71.

m;

Timetable							
Goal (% Increase)							
Expected Range	-0 -0-0	0-1 0-2	1-4	0-1 0-2	0-3 2-6	L-0 1-0	0-1 0-1
Utilization	. –	- 2	<b>ဖ</b> ်က		<b>-</b> E		
Fraction Available	.13720	$^{137^{20}}_{305^{20}}$	.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	.13720	.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	.13720	.13720
Head Count or	~~	44	16 16	ოო	21		88
School/Departments Miscellaneous Depts o Institutes:	Services to LPNI <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women	Lab of Radiobiology Minorities Women	Hormone Research Lab Minorities Women	Hist of Health Sci <sup>2]</sup> Minorities Women	Hooper Foundation Minorities Women	Med Information Sys <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women	Clinical Labs, SFGH <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women
CODE	222	640	<b>6</b> 20	035	515	510	520

In those departments where the utilization of minorities or women falls below the expected range and the total head count is less than 5, no goals have been set. When vacancies occur in these departments, search and selection procedures will be carefully monitored to ensure conformity with affirmative action objectives. Public Use Sample, State of California, 1970 U.S. Census. Availability figures are for minorities and women in Federal Occupation Category B, Professionals. Source for availability data: 21.

0-5 0-2

 $\frac{137^{20}}{305^{20}}$ 

ကက

Dialysis, SFGH<sup>21</sup> Minorities Women

525

Sources: PER 1010 1012 1/31/73

UN IT CODE	School/Departments Miscellaneous Depts or Institutes:	Head Count	Fraction Available	Utilization	<b>Expected</b> Range	Goal (% Increase)	Timetable
535	Metabolic Steroid Lab Minorities Women	~ ~	.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	- 1	0-2 1-4	2 (28.6%)	11/1
540	Cardiovascular Res Inst Minorities 4 Women 4	st 46 46	$^{13720}_{30520}$	8 4	4-9 10-18		
555	Physiol Res Unit <sup>21</sup> Minorities Wamen		.13720 .305 <sup>20</sup>	: :	-0 0		
909	Gen Clin Res Center Minorities Women	വ വ	.13720 .305 <sup>20</sup>	<b></b>	0-2 С-3		
<b>6</b> 10	Clin Study Cent, SFGH <sup>2</sup> l Minorities Women	[2 [	.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	: 1	0-1 0-1		
635	Cancer Res Institute Minorities Women	<u> </u>	. 13720 . 305 <sup>20</sup>	<b>⊢</b> Ω	1-4		
725	Regional Med Programs Minorities Women	თი	.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	<b>⊘</b> 4	0-2 1-4		
740	Student Health <sup>2l</sup> Minorities Women		.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	: :	0-1 0-1		
20. 21.	Source for availability data: Public Use Sample, State of California, 1970 U.S. Census. Availability fugures are for minorities and women in Federal Occupation Category B, Professionals. In those departments where the utilization of minorities or women falls below the expected range and the total head count	data: Pub Federal Oce ere the uti	Public Use Sample, Occupation Categor utilization of mino	State of California, y B, Professionals. writies or women falls	ornia, 1970 U.S. nals. n falls below the	. Census. Availabili he expected range and	Availability fugures are for range and the total head count

40.

is less than 5, no goals have been set. When vacancies occur 'n these departments, search and selection procedures will be carefully monitored to ensure conformity with affirmative action objectives.

Summary
Ethnic and Sex to Utilization
FRANCISCO CAMPUS: Ethnic and Sex Summary Academic Availability to Utilization
E ERIC

Sources: PER 1010 \$ 1012 1/31/73

						***************************************	
UNIT	School/Department Miscellaneous Depts or Institutes:	Head Count	Fraction Available	Utilization	Expected Range	Goal (% Increase)	Timetable
010	Dean's Office Minorities Women	<b>ω ω</b>	. 137 <sup>20</sup> . 305 <sup>20</sup>	~ ~	0-2 1-4		
160	Pharmaceutical Tech <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women	20	. 137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	-;	-0-		
170	Graduate Division <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women		.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	::	-0 -0		
220	Clin Laboratories <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women	44	.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	; ;	0-1		
280	Outpatient Clinics <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women		.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	; ;	-0 -1		
310	Hosp Administration <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women		.13720 .30520	; –	-0 -0		
135	CORT Minorities Women	99	.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	¦ 4	0-2 0-3	1 (16.7%)	9//1
20.	Source for availability data: Public Use Sample, minorities and women in Federal Occupation Catego. In those departments where the utilization of mir is less than 5, no goals have been set. When vac be carefully monitored to ensure conformity with	ty data: Publi in Federal Occu where the utili als have been s	Public Use Sample, State Occupation Category B, utilization of minoritieen set. When vacancies e conformity with affirm	ole, State of California, 1970 U. tegory B. Professionals. minorities or women falls below vacancies occur in these departmenth affirmative action objectives.	of California, 1970 U. S. Census. Professionals. or women falls below the expected occur in these departments, search tive action objectives.	S. Census. Available expected range ents, search and search	ince for availability data: Public Use Sample, State of California, 1970 U. S. Census. Availability figures are for corities and women in Federal Occupation Category B, Professionals. Those departments where the utilization of minorities or women falls below the expected range and the total head count less than 5, no goals have been set. When vacancies occur in these departments, search and selection procedures will carefully monitored to ensure conformity with affirmative action objectives.

Summary	
Ethnic and Sex Summary	to Utilization
	Academic Availability

Sources: PER 1010 & 101 1/31/73

CODE	School/Department Miscellaneous Depts or Institutes:	Head Count	Fraction Available	Utilization	Expected Range	Goal (% Increase)	Timetable
175	Information Systems <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women	4 4	$^{13720}_{30520}$	::	0-1		
265	Vivarium <sup>2</sup> l Minorities Women	44	.13720 .305 <sup>20</sup>	-:	0-1		
630	Proctor Foundation Minorities Women	<b>ത</b> ത	.13720 .305	ოო	0-5		
705	Library Minorities Women	71	.13720 .30520	<b>-</b> ≠	3-7		
017	Continuing Education <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women	_ m m	.137 <sup>20</sup> .305 <sup>20</sup>	: :	0-1		
720	Chancellor's Office <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women	~ -	.13720	: :	0-1		
760	Chancellor's Office- Staff Services <sup>21</sup> Minorities Women		.13720 .305 <sup>20</sup>	;;	0 		
20.	Source for availability data: Public Use Samp minorities and women in Federal Occupation Cat In those departments where the utilization of count is less than 5, no goals have been set. procedures will be carefully monitored to ensu	data: Pub Federal Oce ere the utilon goals have	Public Use Sample, Occupation Categor utilization of minc have been set. Whe	Sample, State of California, 1970 L Category B, Professionals. of minorities or women falls below et. When vacancies occur in these ensure conformity with affirmative	ornia, 1970 U.S. nals. n falls below the cur in these depa affirmative acti	Census. expected irtments,	Availability figures are for range and the total head search and selection ives.

#### VIII. PROGRAM PROGRESS REVIEW

The responsibility for review of departmental progress rests with the department head. The Departmental Affirmative Action Committee is a resource which should be utilized in this review. Review of progress should be completed at least twice annually. The campus is required to submit progress reports to the Office of the President for the periods ending January 31 and June 30. Departmental reviews should be timed so that this requirement can be met.

The review by the department should include a review of:

- Appointments during the previous six month period to determine whether departmental affirmative action objectives are being met wherever possible.
- Separations and/or lay-offs during previous six month period to assure compliance with campus policy and regulations.
- 3. Progress of staff development to assure that all employees are given an opportunity to maintain current level of skill and develop additional skills through the use of existing University policies.

The Affirmative Action Office is available to provide assistance to departments in conducting their review. (See appendices for Affirmative Action Office responsibilities.)



# IX. SANCTIONS AND PENALTIES

Compliance with this plan and its policies will be enforced in the following ways:

- Failure to comply will affect the evaluation of the administrative effectiveness of the responsible chairman or other supervisor.
- 2. Delegated hiring or appointment approval authority may be removed.



#### XIV. DEFINITIONS

Affirmative Action: Additional efforts to recruit, employ, and promote qualified members of groups formerly excluded in order to ensure more than employment neutrality with regard to race, color, religion, sex, age, and national origin, and to overcome the effects of systemic institutional forms of exclusion and discrimination.

<u>Affirmative Action Program</u>: A set of specific and result oriented procedures to ensure equal employment opportunity.

<u>Affirmative Action Recruitment</u>: Active and systemic efforts to attract minority group and women applications to the institution.

<u>Clustering:</u> The statistical demonstration of employment patterns which have resulted in the concentration of women and minorities in specific job titles.

<u>Discrimination</u>: The difference in treatment or favor on a basis other than individual merit.

Deliberate and intentional discrimination is the overt expression of those subtle forces which cause one person to be treated differently by virtue of identification with a particular group.

Unconscious or unintentional discrimination is the outward manifestation of bias which is not recognized by the perpetrator of the biased action.

Ethnic Codes: A - Black

Employees who are recognizably of Negro ancestry (Blacks and Afro-Americans).

B - Oriental

Employees who are recognizably of Chinese, Korean or other Asian or Oriental ancestry.

C - American Indian Employees who are recognizably of American Indian or Native American ancestry.

D - Other Non-white

Employees who are recognizably non-white, but who do not have Negro, Oriental, American Indian,

E - Mexican American/ Spanish Mexican American or Spanish ancestry. Employees who are recognizably of Mexican or

Central or South American ancestry or other Spanish ancestry, except those who have Negro, Oriental or American Indian physical characteristics (includes Chicano, Latinos, Puerto Ricans, Cubans).

F - Other White

Employees who are recognizably of Caucasian ancestry or who are not recognizably Negro, Oriental, American Indian, Mexican American, Spanish or other non-white ancestry.



Ethnic Reporting System: The combined manual and computer activities which provide a means of affirmative action reporting, developed to provide substantial data for the University's affirmative action program. The report contains information on the ethnic and sex composition of the University work force and is used to analyze where needs for affirmative action are required; and to set employment goals, monitor progress toward achieving them, and support compliance effort.

<u>Goals</u>: Projected levels of achievement resulting from an analysis of deficiencies and what can reasonably be done to remedy them. Significant, measurable, specific targets, reasonably attainable, not rigid quotas.

<u>Minorities</u>: Black/Negro; Mexican/Spanish American; Asian/Oriental; Native American (American Indian)

<u>Nondiscrimination</u>: The elimination of all conditions, whether purposeful or inadvertent which operate to the detriment of any person, on grounds of race, color, religion, sex, age, or national origin.

<u>Timetables</u>: Estimate of time needed to attain goals, taking into account anticipated expansion, contraction, and turnover each year in occupational categories.

<u>Test</u>: Any paper and pencil or performance measure used as a basis for any employment decision, and all other formal, scored, quantified, or standardized techniques of assessing job suitability.

<u>Underutilization</u>: When the number of minorities or women employed is significantly fewer than would reasonably be expected based on the availability of qualified persons for employment.



# Section B

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN PURCHASING AND CONSTRUCTION



# Table of Contents Section B

# AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN PURCHASING AND CONSTRUCTION

I.	Purchasing	48
II.	Construction	64



# I. PURCHASING

The San Francisco campus is committed to locating, encouraging, and developing minority business enterprises. Business and Finance Bulletins 43 and 51 which follow establish the framework for development and utilization of minority businesses.

The Materiels Manager, under the Vice Chancellor for Administration, is responsible for developing affirmative action procedures consistent with University policy to ensure maximum participation of minority vendors as suppliers to the San Francisco campus.



BUS-43 (Revised)

3/1/73

MATERIEL MANAGEMENT

UNIVERSITY-WINE PILE PLEFFENTES

University Materiel Manager

BAMPUS FILE REFERENCES

1717 35

# I. PUR

The purpose of this Bulletin is to implement the basic University Materiel management policy.

#### II. DEFINITIONS

The following terms are defined and used throughout this and related Bulletins as follows:

<u>Laboratory</u> - Lawrence Eerkeley Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, <u>Los Alamos</u> Scientific Laboratory.

Laboratory Director - Director of the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.

<u>Materiel Manager</u> - Materiel manager for a campus, and principal supply officers for the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, and the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.

University Materiel Manager - The manager of the University materiel management program in the Office of the President.

Purchase Contract - A written agreement executed by the University and another party containing the essential terms and conditions under which items of personal property or services are to be furnished to the University.

Purchase Order - An order by the University for the purchase of items of personal property or services written on the University's standard purchase order form and which, when unqualifiedly accepted by the vendor within the specified time limit, becomes a contract. Any alteration by the vendor in the University's standard purchase order will constitute a counteroffer by the vendor and will not become a contract until the counteroffer is accepted by the University.

<u>Subcontract</u> - A purchase contract under a prime contract between the University and another organization, generally incorporating special terms and conditions which are not incorporated in the University's standard purchase order form; it will usually be used to acquire items of personal property or services (especially research and/or development services) under government contracts, and is subject to specific approval as to form by the General Counsel.

<u>Competitive Quotations</u> - Responsive price quotations from a minimum of two qualified vendors submitted in accordance with a solicitation from the materiel manager.



FILE REF. NO: 100-P

DATE: 3/1/73

PAGE: 2 0F 10

50.

# III. SCOPE.

The policies and procedures set forth in this bulletin apply to all campus activities including contracts and grants administration.

These policies and procedures apply to the Laboratories except for the Campus Limitations and Special Requirements set forth under Section VI. Because of the nature of the contract between the University and the Atomic Energy Commission, the Laboratories occasionally may be required to observe special or additional requirements. In such cases mutually acceptable policy decisions are negotiated with the AEC by the Vice President - Administration.

#### IV. BASIC PURCHASING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The basic purpose of University materiel management is to purchase and provide the goods and services required by the University in the most economical and timely manner consistent with the objectives and policies of the University. To implement this policy, each Chancellor or Director shall establish procedures which will accomplish this basic purpose and which will meet the following requirements:

#### A. Purchase Actions

- 1. Utilize pool purchases and supply agreements wherever possible in order to maximize the advantages and economics in quantity buying.
- 2. Develop simplified methods of securing low-cost items.
- 3. Establish definite limitations on the use of petty cash funds.
- 4. Review lists of excess materials available from within the University or from federal or state agencies. If satisfactory material is available from such sources, it should be utilized rather than meeting a need through purchase from commercial sources.
- 5. Determine that the purchase price is reasonable and that requirements for competition have been met. Detailed procedures for evaluating quotations are contained in Business and Finance Bulletin BUS-42, "Reasonable Price Determination."

#### B. Competition Requirements

1. Competitive quotations must be secured for any transaction in excess of \$2,500, unless it has been determined that competition is impractical due to the unique nature of the item to be purchased. Competition shall be secured for any purchase, regardless of value, whenever this will result in an advantage to the University. The decision to secure competition on



51.

purchases of less than \$2,500 should be determined by evaluating such factors as:

- a. the extent of existing University knowledge of products and sources upon which decisions might be based;
- b. the time and effort reasonably expendable to further product and source investigation in view of item cost and complexity;
- c. practices directed toward ensuring that all qualified suppliers, who have expressed a desire to do so, are afforded the opportunity to compete for the type of business involved; and
- d. specific requirements which involve consideration of minority suppliers as required by Business & Finance Bulletin, BUS-51.
- 2. Additionally, competition should be secured:
  - a. at reasonable intervals on repetitively purchased items over \$1,000, but less than \$2,500, and
  - b. on commodities on which prices tend to fluctuate, such as lumber, electronic supplies, perishable foods and printing.
- 3. Materiel manager is responsible for insuring that documentation for all purchases include:
  - a. names of sources solicited and quotations received;
  - a 'statement regarding the reasons for the award when other than the low quoter, or when awarded on a sole source or no substitute basis;
  - c. information to demonstrate the price is reasonable, and offers the lowest cost consistent with the best interest of the University; and
  - d. adequate data to enable the University to demonstrate the propriety of the award on transactions less than \$2,500.

#### C. Solicitation of Quotations

the first the state of the contract of the state of the s

- 1. Determine that specifications are complete and do not favor one "brand" or manufacturer or contractor over others.
- 2. Ascertain that items designated "sole source" or "no substitute" by the requisitioner are so in fact.
- 3. Provide equally to all suppliers all information necessary to prepare and submit quotations.
- 4. Secure in Writing all quotations in excess of \$2,500. All quotations to be considered must be due at the same time.

Detailed procedures for soliciting quotations are contained in Business and Finance Bulletin BUS-10, "Planned Purchasing Program: Acceptable Quotations."



FILE REF. NO: 100- P

DATE: 3/1/73
PAGE: 4 0F 10

52.

# D. Opening, Rejection, and Adjustment of Quotations

- 1. Provide for opening of quotations for construction work in excess of \$2,500 or for orders of very large magnitude when notice of intent is given at the time solicitation is made.
- 2. Reserve the right to reject any and all quotations, to accept other than the low quotations, to effect any combination of quotations, and to waive any informality in a quotation.
- 3. Negotiate with, or allow the correction by the firm involved, of exceptions taken in quotations, or irregularities or errors therein, provided that, in the judgment of the materiel manager, this action will not negate fair competition and will permit proper comparative evaluation of quotations submitted. Quotations to be opened publicly must be received prior to the established opening time; quotations not to be opened publicly may be accepted if the postmark indicates mailing on or before the opening date.

#### B. Negotiation and Award of Purchases

- 1. Award the purchase order to the supplier whose quotation is a reasonable price and offers the lowest cost consistent with the best interests of the University.
  - a. Reasonableness of price is based on a number of considerfations, including the following:
    - (1) price compares favorably with recent prices paid for like or similar items;
      - (2) other background information which has been developed tends to support reasonableness of price; and
      - (3) reasonableness of price is supported by cost-price analysis.
- Negotiate a reasonable price if only one quotation is received, or if all quotations are deemed excessive.
- 3. Should identical prices of \$1,000 or more per line item be received from two or more quoters, award the order in such manner as to discourage the likelihood of future identical quotations and report such identical quotations to the University Materiel Manager on the official form provided by the appropriate United States government agency.
- 4. Issue a written purchase order for any action involving a sum of \$500 or more. Written purchase orders may be used for lesser amounts when warranted.



BULLETIN NO: BUS-43 Rev.

FILE REF. NO: 100-P
DATE: 3/1/73
PAGE: 5 0F10

53.

5. Utilize the standard terms and conditions of the purchase order as approved by General Counsel. The standard terms and conditions of purchase shall be attached to any purchase action involving a sum in excess of \$2,500. No changes, deletions, exceptions, or additions to the standard terms and conditions shall be included in any purchase document unless Written approval has been secured from General Counsel. Such approval is to be requested through the University Materiel Manager.

#### F. Receipt and Acceptance of Purchases

- 1. Insure that purchase orders are filled by vendors as required and that delivery or performance schedules are met.
- 2. Negotiate required changes in quantities or specifications after issuance of purchase order, provided that the principle of competition is not violated.
- 3. Resolve problems concerning adjustments, errors, or differences with suppliers.
- 4. Inspect purchased material as appropriate.
- 5. Cooperate with the accounting office to resolve discrepancies between purchase orders and invoices, assure timely payment of invoices in order to take advantage of cash discounts offered, and insure that invoices are not paid in advance of date due. Any penalty for late payment of an invoice is not acceptable unless provision for such penalty was considered and accepted as one of the commercial terms at the time of the award of the order.

#### V. GENERAL LIMITATIONS AND SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

This section describes limitations and special requirements that are applicable to both campus and Laboratory purchasing programs.

A. High Value Purchases - Purchases in excess of \$100,000 for the campuses and \$500,000 for the Laboratories must be approved by the Vice President - Administration, according to the procedures outlined in Business and Finance Bulletin BUS-18, "High Value Purchase Orders" and BUS-42, "Reasonable Price Determination." Exempted from this requirement are blanket orders with the United States Postal Service for postage charges and orders placed under University-wide supply agreements or pool purchases.



BULLETIN NO: BUS-43 Rev. FILE REF. NO: 100-P

FILE REF. NO: 100-P

DATE: 3/1/73

PAGE: 6 OF 10

54.

- B. Contracts Requiring Approval of the Board of Regents Under Section 101.1(aa) of the Standing Orders of The Regents, prior approval of the Board of Regents is required for a number of different types of contracts, including the following:
  - 1. contracts with foreign governments or institutions;

Experience of the second second

- 2. affiliation agreements with other institutions or hospitals;
- 3. agreements for provision of student health services and employee group insurance benefits;
- 4. construction contracts not awarded on the basis of the lowest responsible bid received or not within appropriated funds; and
- 5. agreements by which the University assumes liability for conduct of persons other than University personnel.
- C. Foreign Purchases In the expenditure of State, Regents', and other non-Federal funds, preference shall be given to California- and American- manufactured products. Exceptions may be permitted only where price or quality differential justifies buying elsewhere. In the expenditure of Federal government funds, the preference shall be limited to American-manufactured products.
- D. Alcohol, Drugs, Hazardous, and Radioactive Materials Federal and State laws govern the purchase, control, and use of narcotics, dangerous drugs, ethyl alcohol, and radioactive and other hazardous materials. In order to insure that such materials are ordered, shipped, and subsequently stored and used in accordance with government laws and regulations and prudent practices in the industry, policies and procedures shall be established by each Chancellor or Laboratory Director in accordance with these regulations and practices, as set forth in Business and Finance Bulletin BUS-50.
- E. Letters of Intent At times it may be necessary for the materiel manager to issue a letter of intent or request for scheduling in advance of the purchase order. Even though the University may not be legally bound by the letter of intent, the letter is subject to the same restrictions and policy requirements as other purchase actions including but not limited to the requirements for competition, determination of reasonableness of price, and appropriate administrative approval.
- F. On-Site Construction All purchase orders for construction work in excess of \$25,000 must be approved by the Vice President Planning.
- G. Supplier Lists Names of University suppliers are not to be made available to any group for purposes of soliciting advertising or contributions.



FILE REF. NO: 100-P

DATE: 3/1/73

PAGE: 7 UF 10

55.

# VI. CAMPUS LIMITATIONS AND SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

Record to the second of the

The following limitations and special requirements are applicable only to the campus purchasing programs:

- A. Computers and Related Equipment The following types of requests for acquisition of computing equipment are to be sent to the Vice President Administration, for review and approval:
  - 1. purchases of \$100,000 or more;
  - 2. initial leases of \$2,500 per month (\$30,000 per year) or more;
  - 3. renewal of leases for \$100,000 or more per year; or
  - 4. purchases, leases, or other acquisitions that would directly or indirectly have a major impact upon University policy or finances.
- B. Other Major or Special Equipment The Chancellor or his designee shall review and approve a statement of need furnished by the requisitioner for acquisition of any item in the category of Major or Special Equipment. Consideration shall be given to the requirements for space, personnel, maintenance, utilities, and availability of other similar equipment which might be shared. Review and recommendation shall be secured from the campus budget officer.

Major or Special Equipment is defined as any instrument, machine, apparatus, or set of articles which retains its original shape with use, is non-expendable (the item is usually repaired rather than replaced if damaged or when some of the parts are worn out), is not permanently attached to a building or structure, has an expected normal useful life of one year or more, and meets one or more of the following additional conditions:

- 1. has an acquisition or replacement value of \$10,000 or more when
   procured from regular sources;
- 2. requires the establishment of an operating budget or a budget augmentation for space, personnel, maintenance, or utilities; or
- 3. is of a special type or category as specified from time to time by the Chancellor.
- C. Building Alterations No orders shall be placed for requests which involve alterations to buildings or installations which affect the nature of a building without approval by the campus Architects and Engineers or Physical Plant Department.
- D. Purchases Against Contract or Grant Funds Funds derived from contracts and grants are University funds to be expended in accordance with contract or grant terms and conditions as well as University policy. Materiel managers shall ensure that all requirements of contracting or granting



BULLETIN NO: BUS-43 Rev.

FILE REF. NO: 100-P DATE: 3/1/73

PAGE: 8 CF 10

56.

agencies, as embodied by reference or spelled-out terms and conditions, in contracts and grants awarded The Regents, pertaining to purchase contracts or standard purchase orders for goods or services are observed when contract or grant funds are involved. Where University and funding agency policy on expenditures differ, the more restrictive of the two policies shall prevail. Expenditures which are not clearly permitted by the terms of a contract or grant shall not be made without consultation with cognizant fiscal and administrative officials and clearance with the funding agency if doubt still exists.

#### VII. RESPONSIBILITIES

- Campus and Laboratory Each Chancellor and Laboratory Director is responsible for maintenance of a comprehensive material management program which shall include:
  - 1. purchase of all goods and services;
  - 2. receipt of incoming shipments for delivery to using departments:
  - 3. stores in which items may be stocked for overall economy or required service;
  - 4. control and utilization of inventorial equipment; and
  - 5. disposal of excess property and salvage.

To carry out his material management responsibilities, each Chancellor and Laboratory Director shall implement and maintain programs in all areas of materiel management, such as standardization, simplification, value and cost analysis, equipment inventory, stores, traffic manage-, ment, and salvage, which are consistent with University policies; , issue written statements to implement these policies; submit required regular and special reports; and maintain records of actions committing the University which will substantiate compliance with this Bulletin.

Additionally, the materiel manager for each campus serves as commodity manager for commodities assigned under the Planned Purchasing Program and has been assigned responsibility for negotiation and contracting for these commodities for all campuses.

- B. Office of the President The Vice President Administration, within the Office of the President, has the following responsibilities:
  - 1. development of University material management policies and procedures;
  - 2. review and evaluation of campus operations and performance and assistance in improving operations;



57.

- 3, maintenance of liaison with Federal and State agencies relating to material management activities;
- 4. approval of high value purchases of more than \$100,000 for the campuses and \$500,000 for the Laboratories;
- 5. approval of delegation of purchasing authority to other than the material manager and to the Librarian for purchase of books and periodicals;
- 6. approval of purchases where funds to cover costs are not immediately available; and
- 7. adjudicating differences with vendors that cannot be resolved at the campus or Laboratory level.

The Vice President - Administration, has assigned responsibility for the material management program through the Director of Business Services, to the University Material Manager.

C. Ethical and Professional Conduct - Each materiel manager and every member of his stail is expected to conduct himself in a courteous, ethical, and professional manner; to maintain good relations with faculty and staff; to accord a prompt and courteous reception to all who call on legitimate business matters; to grant all competitive quoters equal consideration; to regard each transaction on its own merits; and to foster and promote fair, ethical, and legal trade practices. To further this end, each materiel manager and every member of his staff is expected to abide by the Principles and Standards of Purchasing Practices of the National Association of Purchasing Management, a copy of which is attached to this Bulletin.

### VIII. REFERENCES

- A. Section 101.1(aa) of the Standing Orders of The Regents setting forth the President's authority with regard to purchase contracts.
- B. The President's policy statement dated July 2, 1970, providing for the implementation of the University's Materiel Management Policy as set forth in this Bulletin.
- C. The President's delegations of authority to the Chancellors, Laboratory Directors, and the Vice President - Business and Finance,\* set forth in his memoranda of May 28, 1970.
- D. Letter from Vice President Business and Finance, to Chancellors, dated March 5, 1969, "Policy for Acquisition of Computing Equipment."

<sup>\*</sup> Now Vice President - Administration



FILE REF. NO: 10C-P
DATE: 3/1/73
PAGE: 10 OF 10

58.

2. Other Business and Finance Bulletins covering special subjects or procedures in the field of materiel management.

#### IX. CANCELLATION OF PREVIOUS POLICY STATEMENTS

Commence of the Commence of th

This Bulletin supersedes and cancels the following existing policy statements in the field of materiel management:

Business and Finance Bulletin BUS-43, May 3, 1972



FILE REF. NO: 100-P

DATE: 3/1/73

PAGE: Attachment

#### NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PURCHASING MANAGEMENT

#### PRINCIPLES AND STANDARDS OF PURCHASING PRACTICE

Loyalty To His Company Justice To Those With Whom He Deals Faith In His Profession

From these principles are derived the National Association of Purchasing Management standards of purchasing practice.

- 1. To consider, first, the interests of his company in all transactions and to carry out and believe in its established policies.
- 2. To be receptive to competent counsel from his colleagues and to be guided by such counsel without impairing the dignity and responsibility of his office.
- 3. To buy without prejudice, seeking to obtain the maximum ultimate value for each dollar of expenditure.
- 4. To strive consistently for knowledge of the materials and processes of manufacture, and to establish practical methods for the conduct of his office.
- 5. To subscribe to and work for honesty and truth in buying and selling, and to denounce all forms and manifestations of commercial bribery.
- 6. To accord a prompt and courteous reception, so far as conditions will permit, to all who call on a legitimate business mission.
- 7. To respect his obligations and to require that obligations to him and his concern be respected, consistent with good business practice.
- 8. To avoid sharp practice.
- 9. To counsel and assist fellow purchasing agents in the performance of their duties, whenever occasion permits.
- 10. To co-operate with all organizations and individuals engaged in activities designed to enhance the development and standing of purchasing.



BUS-51

MATERIEL MANAGEMENT
DEVELOPMENT AND UTILIZATION OF MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISES

8/18/72

75-7-4P X100-P

University Material Manager

## I. INTRODUCTION

The commitment to affirmative action extends to all aspects of University affairs, including the purchase of materials and services necessary to carry out its primary functions of teaching, research and public service. As a vital part of this commitment emphasis must be placed on locating, encouraging and developing minority business enterprises as suppliers for the University's material and service needs on a continuing and mutually satisfactory basis. As one of California's largest consumers of goods and services, the University is in a unique position to contribute to the economic strength of the disadvantaged community through the expansion of opportunities for minority business enterprises.

#### II. DEFINITIONS

- A. Minority Business Enterprise: A business at least 50 percent of which is owned by minority persons or, in case of publicly owned businesses, at least 51 percent of the stock of which is caned by minority persons. For the purposes of this definition, minority persons are Mexican Americans. Negroes, Orientals, Spanish-surnamed Americans, American Indians and other non-white persons, women, and such other minorities as hereafter designated by the Office of the President.
- B. <u>Laboratory</u>: ~ Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.
- C. <u>Laboratory Director</u>: Director of the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Director of the Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, and Director of the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.
- D. <u>Materiel Manager</u>: Materiel manager for a campus and principal purchasing officers for the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory and the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.
- E. <u>University Materiel Manager</u>: The manager of the University Materiel Management Program in the Office of the President.
- F. <u>Liaison Officer</u>: The person designated by the Chancellor or Laboratory Director to administer the University's Minority Business Enterprises Program.

#### III. SCOPE

The policies and procedures enumerated in this bulletin are applicable to the laboratories as well as the campuses. All additional requirements of



BULLETIN NO: BUS-51

FILE REF. NO: . 75-7-4P X100-P

F. NO: \ 75-7-4P X DATE: 8/18/72 PAGE: 2 OF 3

61.

governmental agencies such as the Atomic Energy Commission, Department of Agriculture, Department of Defense, or Department of Health, Education and Welfare pertaining to minority business enterprises shall be observed for purchases made with federal contract, grant or other public funds.

#### IV. IMPLEMENTATION

The Chancellor or Laboratory Director shall develop procedures that ensure maximum practicable opportunity for the minority business enterprises to participate as suppliers of materials and services to the University. The objectives are

- A. To develop a written program designed to comprehensively expose minority suppliers to business opportunities available from the University.
- B. To ascertain the availability and inventory capabilities of minority suppliers. Status of a supplier as a minority business enterprise (as defined in Section II A above) may be established either by investigation or written representation from the supplier.
- C. To evaluate campus and laboratory materials and service requirements to determine to what extent minority business enterprises can participate and, where possible, adjust campus and laboratory specifications to accommodate minority business enterprises.
- D. To orient minority suppliers to the University, through trial orders and by other innovative means, such as arranging orientation visits to facilitate communications and understanding, and consulting on the competitive processes with minority suppliers to enhance available opportunities.
- E. To increase the competitive capabilities of minority business enterprise suppliers, render technical assistance, such as the following: adequate time for the preparation of quotations; appropriate quantities and specifications that are achievable within existing capabilities; and delivery schedules that facilitate participation.
- F. To disseminate information about minority business enterprises throughout the University material organization, and where appropriate, to industry and other governmental agencies.
- G. To maintain continuing development of potential new sources of minority suppliers.
- H. To significantly increase the proportion of the total dollar amount of University purchase expenditures awarded to minority business enterprises.
- I. To maintain records showing: (a) procedures that have been adopted to meet these objectives, including the establishment of a directory of minority business enterprises; (b) specific efforts to identify and award contracts to minority business enterprises; and (c) contracts awarded to minority business enterprises (including dollar amounts).



BULLETIN NO: BUS-51

FILE REF. NO: 75-7-4P X100-P

P. NO: 73-7-4P XIC DATE: 8/18/72 PAGE: 3 OF 3

#### v. <u>methods</u>

63.

A. The materiel manager shall place trial orders with minority suppliers on a test and evaluation basis within the limits of Business & Finance Bulletin BUS-43.

- B. The materiel manager shall seek out minority suppliers for each commodity under his assignment for the solicitation and negotiation of a University supply agreement. In negotiating supply agreements, the materiel manager shall consider a minority supplier's ability to meet the requirements of price, delivery and quality of a single campus or region, as well as the University—wide requirements of the campuses and laboratories. Efforts shall be made to develop minority business enterprises as agreement suppliers. When it is not feasible to award a primary agreement to a minority supplier, the possibility of a secondary or supplementary agreement shall be thoroughly investigated.
- C. The University Materiel Manager has authority to grant exceptions to the mandatory requirements of University supply agreements when the granting of such an exception facilitates the development of a minority supplier. Materiel managers shall notify the University Materiel Manager of instances where the granting of such an exception is considered advisable.
- D. The materiel manager shall solicit minority business enterprises as suppliers for local blanket orders for materials and services that are not covered under University supply agreement with minority suppliers. The materiel manager shall develop procedures that ensure the consideration of minority business enterprises in the selection of suppliers for campus departmental programs under the Miscellaneous Blanket Authorization. Information about minority business enterprises as sources of supply and services should be disseminated widely on a regular and timely basis to the appropriate departmental personnel.

#### VI. REFERENCES

A. Letter dated 12 Aug 1970, Vice President Robert L. Johnson to Chancellors and Vice Presidents, "Responsibility of Each Campus for Development and Implementation of a Written Affirmative Action Program." (Paragraph 2 makes it clear that this policy applies to choice of contractors and suppliers of goods and services.)



#### II. CONSTRUCTION

The commitment of affirmative action extends to all aspects of University affairs, including construction projects.

It is the responsibility of the Campus Architect and the Superintendent of the Physical Plant, under the Vice Chancellor for Administration, to develop procedures consistent with the Affirmative Action Program for University Construction Projects.



June 15, 1972

#### **GENERAL STATEMENT**

OF THE

# AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAM FOR UNIVERSITY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

The University shall not permit discrimination, explicit or implicit, whether or not based on local custom or habit, against any person employed or seeking employment on a University construction project, because of race, color, religion, sex, age, ancestry, or national origin. This policy not to discriminate against minority group persons in employment includes, but is not limited to, the following: recruitment including recruitment advertising; hiring; testing; placement; trans er; upgrading or promotion; demotion; rate of pay including any form of compensation; overtime; shift assignment; selection for training including apprenticeship; termination including layoff.

A. <u>Implementation</u>: To effectively implement the University's equal employment policy, each prime contractor and each of his listed subcontractors<sup>2</sup> (hereinafter collectively referred to as contractors) shall submit in writing: (1) assurance that the employment of persons and conditions affecting persons after employment are determined and administered on a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>"Listed subcontractor" means any corporation, firm, or individual who will perform work or labor or render service in an amount in excess of one-half of one percent of the prime contractor's total bid in or about the construction site pursuant to contract with the prime contractor, or any subcontractor licensed by the State of California who specifically fabricates and installs a portion of the work or improvement according to detailed plans contained in the drawings and specifications, in an amount in excess of one-half of one percent of the prime contractor's total bid.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The term "minority group persons" means Mexican Americans, Negroes, Orientals, American Indians, Spanish-surnamed Americans, women, and such other minorities as hereafter designated by the Office of the President.

non-discriminatory basis; (2) assurance that existing procedures prevent discrimination; and (3) an affirmative action program signed by an executive official representing each of the contractors which shall be reviewed for acceptability by a University official.

- 1. A necessary prerequisite to the development of a satisfactory affirmative action program is the identification and analysis of problem areas inherent in minority employment and an evaluation of opportunities for minority manpower utilization. The contractors' programs shall provide in detail for specific steps to guarantee equal employment opportunity keyed to the problems of particular minority groups, including, when there are deficiences, the development of specific goals and timetables for the prompt achievement of equal opportunities for minority persons to become qualified both for employment and for advancement within the contractors' organization after hiring.
- Each prime contractor shall be responsible for his own employment practices and for those of his listed subcontractors in regard to implementing the requirements of the contract.
- 3. For construction projects of \$500,000 or more, the prime contractor shall designate, submit the name, and outline the authority of his affirmative action compliance officer for each project to the University. This officer must be qualified by training or experience to effectively promote the contractor's affirmative action program and must be placed within the contractor's organizational structure so as to emphasize the priority and importance of the affirmative action program.
- B. <u>Procedure</u>: After the advertising of the invitation to bid and prior to the contractors' bid submissions, the University shall at its



discretion hold a pre-bid conference with all prospective bidders for the purpose of informing the prospective bidders of the University's commitment to equal employment opportunity and contractors' responsibilities to develop or maintain an acceptable affirmative action program. The University shall send to prospective contractors notice of the time and place of such a meeting.

- 1. Condition: University acceptance of contractors' affirmative action programs shall be a condition precedent to the award of the contract, and the affirmative action programs shall be a part of such contract.
- 2. Pre-Award Conference: Within ten (10) days after notification by the University that the bidder is the apparent low responsive bidder and is being considered for award of the contract, the apparent low bidder and his listed subcontractors will attend a pre-award conference relating to acceptance by the University of affirmative action programs submitted by contractors and their proposed subcontractors. The University reserves the right to disapprove such later selections if it finds that such proposed listed subonctractors do not or will not comply with the provisions of this program.
- 3. The apparent low bidder and his listed subcontractors shall invite representatives from any unions which may be affected by the contract to attend the pre-award conference. At the pre-award conference, the low bidder and his listed subcontractors shall provide assurances that implementation of their affirmative action programs has the full support of any unions which may be involved and that implementation can be achieved under any existing collective bargaining agreements, training and related programs, as augmented by affirmative action programs.
- 4. In the event that the apparent low bidder refuses or fails to participate in such a pre-award conference or refuses or fails to present an



acceptable affirmative action program, the University may reject his bid or all bids (depending upon budget limitations) or award the contract to the next apparent low responsive bidder in the order of bids and continuing until a bidder has presented an acceptable affirmative action program. The University shall hold all subsequent pre-award conferences within five (5) days after the rejection of the prior bid.

C. <u>Standard for Approval</u>: The acceptability of the Program will be determined on the basis of the adequacy of: (1) the minority manpower utilization goals submitted, and (2) the commitment to recruit, employ, and upgrade minority group persons.

Factors that will be considered in evaluating the adequacy of the contractor's and listed subcontractors' minority manpower utilization goals include: (1) the number of minority group persons to be employed in each trade relative to the availability of qualified minority group persons in the labor market area; (2) the size of the contractor's or the listed subcontractors' firm, the number of its employees, and its past and present equal opportunity practices; (3) the impact of the goals upon the existing labor force; and (4) the need for minority employment in the labor market area. The purpose of the contractor's or listed subcontractors' commitment to specific goals is to meet the affirmative action obligations and is not intended and shall not be used to discriminate against any qualified applicant or employee.

- 1. Goals: the contractor and each of his listed subcontractors shall provide the following data:
  - a. The number of laborers, journeymen, and apprentices employed in each trade by job and craft, separately indicating the number of minority group persons in each category for each current contract entered into by the contractor in the State of California.



- b. Based on a man-hour calculation, the number of laborers, journeymen, and apprentices who will be employed in each trade by job and craft on the project, indicating how many of these are projected to be minority group persons. The projection of minority group persons is an estimate of the minority participation which should naturally result from an effective program in the circumstances of the labor market area. It is not a quota or fixed numerical standard but a range or goal toward a flexible planning objective.
- c. If additional employees will be hired for work on the project, the contractor and each listed subcontractor shall indicate the number and man-hours anticipated in each trade by job and craft and the target dates for such hiring.
- 2. Commitments to Recruit, Employ, and Upgrade:

The contractor shall agree and shall require each listed subcontractor to agree to:

- a. Utilize sources known to be able to provide minority applicants and identify those sources.
- b. Encourage present employees to refer minority group applicants, and describe the methods to be used.
- c. Recruit and screen applicants and set up interviews in areas of dense minority populations, identify the areas, and, upon request by the owner, give a schedule of interviews.
- d. Seek applicants for job training, summer employment, and apprenticeship training from schools having substantial minority group enrollment, identify such schools, and, upon request by the owner, give schedule of interviews.
- e. Support programs designed to recruit and train minority group persons and briefly describe the scope of each program.



- f. Maintain programs for training and upgrading present employees and provide on-the-job training programs open to all employees on an equal basis, briefly describe the scope of such programs, and give the criteria used to determine when an employee is qualified for promotion.
- g. Encourage minority group persons to bid and seek such persons for work on supplementary portions of the project, including:
   (1) the installation of temporary electrical power, (2) trucking or hauling, (3) suppliers, (4) erection and painting of street barricades, (5) removal of job debris, (6) custodial services, and (7) security patrol.
- h. Identify himself as an equal opportunity employer in all recruitment advertising.
- i. Post English and Spanish equal employment posters in conspicuous places.
- j. Maintain systematic contacts, oral and written, with minority group organizations which can provide minority subcontractors and referrals of minority group applicants.
- k. Make known to all recruitment sources in writing that qualified minority group persons are being actively sought for executive, supervisory office and technical jobs whenever openings occur.
- 1. Use employment advertising, newspaper, radio, television, and other media that serve substantial numbers of minority group persons in the recruiting area.
- m. Not maintain or provide segregated facilities for employees at any establishment.
- n. Notify all eligible employees of promotions or vacancies available to insure equal opportunity to compete for all openings, including



- higher skilled journeyman and foreman positions.
- o. Notify any union which may have signed valid collective bargaining agreements that the contractor or listed subcontractor is bound by the program, and if any such union's policies or practices interfere with the execution of the program, the contractor is required to notify the University.
- p. Participate in joint apprenticeship committees; seek and sponsor minority group persons for apprenticeship training, and use as many apprentices at the job site as the State Standards permit.
- q. If any union, recruitment or referral agency is to be used, prior to such use, secure and submit to the University a written statement signed by an official of such union or agency declaring that such union or agency: (1) does not discriminate against members of minority groups in any phase of its organization or in any aspect of its referral procedure; (2) is, in fact, able to refer to the contractor qualified minority group persons and, if not, is presently establishing or operating a program designed to make such persons available.
- r. If a position is open during the performance of this contract the contractor shall seek to fill the positon by first upgrading qualified employees and by seeking qualified minority referrals, before requesting referrals from the union hiring halls.
- s. Establish in-house procedures to assure that all staff members who are authorized to hire, supervise, promote, and discharge employees or to recommend or substantially participate in such actions, are informed of and will adhere to the requirements of the program and control procedures necessary to assure that such staff members are complying with the program. These procedures shall be described in the affirmative action program submitted by the contractor.



#### D. Program Review:

- 1. Job-site meetings: At regular meetings at the job site, the contractors shall discuss with the University the contractors' fulfillment of their affirmative action programs and the proposed steps to be taken for the coming period to meet affirmative action program projections and goals including an analysis of the factors that have advanced, impeded, or restricted the goals set forth in the contractors' affirmative action program.
- 2. The contractors agree to permit access by the University to such books, records, and accounts as are necessary to determine compliance with the contractors' affirmative action program.
- E. <u>Notice of Deficiencies</u>: The University shall notify the contractor in writing, two calendar weeks prior to any job site meeting of any alleged deficiencies in compliance with the requirements of the program. Such notice shall set forth the basis for the conclusion that an alleged deficiency exists. The contractor shall be given an opportunity at the job site hearing to present evidence that no deficiency exists or that it is excusable.
- F. <u>Sanctions</u>: If the University finds that the contractor or his subcontractor has failed to comply with the affirmative action requirements of the contract, the University may treat such failure as: (1) a violation of a material provision of the contract and as a ground for termination in accordance with Article 20; or (2) a basis for withholding progress payments until deficiencies are corrected; or (3) a basis for assessing the penalties prescribed in Article 50 (a) (5), in which event such amounts shall be deducted from the progress payment next due. If the second alternative is elected and if deficiencies are not corrected in the manner and by the date specified by the University in its written notice to the contractor, the University may terminate the contract.



### Section C

ETHNIC AND SEX SUMMARY



# Table of Contents Section C

	ETHNIC AND SEX SUMMARY	Page
Table 4.	Academic and Staff Employees	. 74
Table 5.	Availability to Utilization (Staff)	. 75
Table 6.	Utilization by Modified Federal Occupation	
	Categories (Staff)	. 77
Table 7.	Salary Comparison by FOC	. 80
Table 8.	Summary by Major Academic Groups	. 81
Table 9.	Summary by Academic Group and Titles	. 82
Table 10.	Academic FTE by School/Department	. 87
Table 11.	Tenure Titles by School/Department	. 93



University of California, San Francisco: ETHNIC AND SEX SUMMARY ACADEMIC AND STAFF TABLE 4.

Y W W W	ETHNIC AND SEX SUMMARY ACADEMIC AND STAFF	SUMMARY AFF			0,	Source: PER 1014 1/31/73	)1 <b>4</b> /3	
	Sex	Total Employees	Caucasian	Black	Asian	American Indian	Mex/Span American	Other Minority
Academic	Both Men Women	1553 1711 382	86.3 65.8 20.5	1.7	6.7 4.5 2.2	111	8. 7.	4.1 2.1 1.
Staff	Both Men Women	4521 1329 3192	58.5 14.2 44.3	24.0 8.2 15.9	6.7 2.0 4.7	e2.	1.7 2.4	2.0 8.0 8
Staff (Casual)	Both Men Women	644 275 369	56.5 20.2 36.3	15.7 8.7 7.0	13.4 5.9 7.5		7.3 4.2 3.1	5.7 3.0 2.8



Sources: PER 1001 and 1027 1/31/73	
Sources:	
University of California, San Francisco: ETHNIC AND SEX SUMMARY AVAILABILITY TO UTILIZATION	
TABLE 5.	

Federal Occupation Code	Total Employees	Minorities (Includes Minority Women) % Available % Utilization	   (Excludes  % Available	Nomen Minority Women) Sutilization
A - Officials & Managers A3 - Management Program A2 - High Administrator A1 - Middle Administrator A0 - Low Administrator	150 32 38 38	11.7 <sup>1</sup> · 26.0 19.4 32.7 18.7 18.7	13.8	26.6 6.5 37.5 36.8
B - Professionals B2 - High Professionals B1 - Middle Professionals B0 - Low Professionals	1465 152 1131 182	14.8 <sup>1</sup> · 20.4 18.4 19.0 30.6	25.5	64.8 33.5 72.1 46.2
C - Technicians C2 - High Technicians C1 - Middle Technicians C0 - Low Technicians	508 79 417 11	27.6 <sup>2</sup> . 53.9 24.1 60.0 36.4	26.5	25.2 26.6 24.7 36.4
D - Office & Clerical D2 - High Office & Cler D1 - Middle Office & Cler D0 - Low Office & Cler	1514 321 1175 18	23.5 <sup>2</sup> . 36.6 25.2 39.3 66.7	<b>60.4</b>	55.7 64.8 54.0 5.6
E - Crafts E2 - High Crafts E1 - Middle Crafts E Unclassified E0 - Low Crafts	23 1 1	23.4 <sup>2</sup> . 13.8 10.0 21.7 100.0	3.5	1111
F - Operatives F2 - High Operatives F1 - Middle Operatives F0 - Low Operatives	24 18 15	26.2 <sup>2</sup> . 58.3 61.1 40.0 100.0	9.6	

Continued TABLE 5.

Federal Occupation Code	Total Employees	Minor (Includes Mi % Available	Minorities (Includes Minority Women) % Available % Utilization	Women (Excludes Minority Women) % Available % Utilization	n ority Women) % Utilization
G - Laborers G - (Unclassified)		44.92.	64.0	3.4	14.0
GZ - High Laborers Gl - Middle Laborers GO - Low Laborers	- <del>4</del> 4 - 1		100.0 64.6 		14.6
<ul><li>H - Service Workers</li><li>H2 - High Svc Workers</li><li>H1 - Mid Svc Workers</li><li>H0 - Low Svc Workers</li></ul>	710 32 254 424	40.6 <sup>2</sup> .	88.5 43.8 90.9 90.3	25.6	4.6.6.7. 8.1.0.4.
Uncoded	v				
Campus Total	4521				

FOC's A and B are recruited on a national, state and local basis. State and local are used in this table since national figures are unavailable. Local availability figures used here.



Sity of California, San Francisco:
EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORIES, ETHNICITY AND SEX FOR January 1973

Minches   Minc			Total	al							American	an	Mex/Span	pan	Ot	0ther
Management Program         Number g         Number g <th>Title</th> <th>e Code and Sex</th> <th>EMP</th> <th>/ees</th> <th>Cauc</th> <th>asian</th> <th></th> <th>- 1</th> <th>As</th> <th>ian</th> <th>India</th> <th>5</th> <th>Ameri</th> <th>can</th> <th>Min</th> <th>ŕ</th>	Title	e Code and Sex	EMP	/ees	Cauc	asian		- 1	As	ian	India	5	Ameri	can	Min	ŕ
Management Program         29         33.5         23         74.2         3         9.7         1         3.2			Number	36	Numbe	30	Number		Number		Number	i i	Number		Numbe	r %
Menn         29         93.5         23         74.2         3         9.7         1         3.2          1         3.2		Management Program														
Nomen         2         6.5         2         6.5		Men		93.5	23	74.2	ന	9.7	_	3.5	·	:	_	3.2	_	3.2
Both Midle Administrator         31 100.0         25 80.6         3 9.7         1 3.2		Momen		6.5	7	6.5	1	;	•	!	-	:	į	ł	:	!
High Administrator  High Administrator  High Administrator  High Administrator  High Administrator  High Administrator  High Admin  High Admin  High Admin  High Professionals  High High High High High High High High		Both		0.001	52	9.08	က	9.7	_	3.5		:	_	3.2	_	3.2
Wen         31         63.3         20         40.8         8         16.3         3         6.1		High Administrator														
Women         18         36,7         12         24.5         4         8.2 <th></th> <th>Men</th> <th>3</th> <th>63.3</th> <th>8</th> <th>40.8</th> <th>∞</th> <th></th> <th>က</th> <th>6.1</th> <th>;</th> <th>ļ</th> <th>;</th> <th>ł</th> <th>i</th> <th>;</th>		Men	3	63.3	8	40.8	∞		က	6.1	;	ļ	;	ł	i	;
Both         49         100.0         32         65.3         12         24.5         3         6.1 <th></th> <th>Women</th> <th>8</th> <th>36.7</th> <th>12</th> <th>24.5</th> <th>4</th> <th>8.2</th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th>-</th> <th>:</th> <th>;</th> <th>;</th> <th>_</th> <th>2.0</th>		Women	8	36.7	12	24.5	4	8.2		1	-	:	;	;	_	2.0
Widdle Admin         18         56.3         14         43.8         2         6.3         1         3.1		Both	49	100.0	35	65.3	15	24.5	ന	6.1	•	į	!	;	_	2.0
Wen         18         56.3         14         43.8         2         6.3         1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1           1         3.1           1         3.1           1         3.1           1         3.1           1         3.1           1         3.1           1         3.1           1         3.1           1         3.1           1         2.6         2         5         3         1         3.1         4         1.0.5         4         1.0.5           1         2.6         2         5         3         3.2         9         3         3.2         9         3         3.2         9		Middle Admin														
Momen         14         43.8         12         37.5         2         6.3		Men	<u>«</u>	56.3	14	43.8	^		_	7 ]	_	:	-		!	!
Both         32         100.0         26         81.3         4         12.5         1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         3.1          1         2.6          1         2.6          1         2.6          1         2.6         2         5           High Professionals         38         100.0         37         71.1         4         10.5          1         2.6          1         2.6          1         2.6          1         2.6          1         2.6         2         5           High Professionals         38         100.0         124         81.6         7         4.6         13         8.6          1         2.6         2         5           Both         152         10.0         124         81.6         1         4.3         97         8.6		Nomen	4	43.8	15	37.5	~		. !	; ;		:	. !	; ;	:	į
New Admin   19   50.0   13   34.2   2   5.3   1   2.6     1   2.6   2   5		Both	35	100.0	<b>5</b> 9	81.3	4		_	3.1		!	_	3.1	!	i
Wen         19         50.0         13         34.2         2         5.3         1         2.6          1         2.6         2         5           Women         19         50.0         14         36.8         2         5.3         3         7.9          1         2.6         2         5           High Professionals         4         10.6         39.5         73         48.0         3         2.0         13         8.6          1         2.6         2         5           Month         60         39.5         73         48.0         3         2.0         13         8.6          1         7         2         1           Hiddle Prof         147         13.0         96         8.5         13         1.1         18         1.6          1         7         2         1           Men         130         96         8.5         13         1.1         18         1.6          17         2         1           Month         59         32.4         4.9         4.3         9         4.6         1.2         1.2         1.1		tow Admin														
Women         19         50.0         14         36.8         2         5.3         3         7.9		Men	19	50.0	3	34.2	2		_	5.6	;	!	_	5.6	2	5.3
High Professionals  High High High High High High High High		Momen	6	50.0	7	36.8	2	5.3	· ~	6.7	-	:	. !	<u>}</u>	1	<b>:</b>
Wen both         92         60.5         73         48.0         3         2.0         13         8.6          1         .7         2         1           Women both         60         39.5         51         33.6         4         2.6         5         3.3           1         .7         2         1         .7         2         1         .7         2         1         .7         2         1         .7         2         1         .7         2         1         .7         .2         1         .7         .2         1         .7         .2         1         .7         .2         1         .7         .2         1         .7         .2         1         .7         .2         1         .7         .2         1         .7         .2         .1         .7         .2         .4         .3         .3         .2         .0 <td< th=""><th></th><th>Both</th><th>88</th><th>100.0</th><th>37</th><th>1.17</th><th>4</th><th>10.5</th><th>4</th><th>10.5</th><th></th><th>!</th><th>_</th><th>5.6</th><th>2</th><th>5.3</th></td<>		Both	88	100.0	37	1.17	4	10.5	4	10.5		!	_	5.6	2	5.3
Wen         92         60.5         73         48.0         3         2.0         13         8.6		High Professionals														
Momen   60   39.5   51   33.6   4   2.6   5   3.3		Men		60.5	73	48.0	က	5.0	13	9.6	;	:	_	.7	7	1.3
Middle Prof 147 13.0 96 8.5 13 1.1 18 1.6 5 .4 14 1 18 1.6 both 1131 100.0 911 80.5 49 4.3 97 8.6 12 1.1 57 5 5 5 5 5 6 3.3 12 1.1 57 5 5 5 5 6 3.4 46.2 8 4.4 19 10.4 1 .5 3 1.6 7 3 1.6 7 3 1.6 5 6 1.4 68.1 18 9.9 25 13.7 1 .5 3 1.6 9 4 6 5 6 1.4 103 24.7 118 28.3 10 2.4 11 2.2 28 6 8 1.4 10.0 60 75.9 10 12.7 6 7.6 7.6 8.4 6.2 8 4.4 19 10.4 1 .5 3 1.6 9 4 6 5 7 6 8 4 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6		Women Roth		39.5	12 <b>4</b>	33.6 81.6	4 ~	2. <sub>6</sub>	လ ထိ	ا د د د ه		; ;	-		! ~	
Wen         147         13.0         96         8.5         13         1.1         18         1.6          5         .4         14         13           Women         984         87.0         815         72.1         36         3.2         79         7.0          7         .6         43         3           Both         1131         100.0         911         80.5         49         4.3         97         8.6          7         .6         43         3           Homen         59         32.4         40         22.0         10         5.5         6         3.3           12         1.1         57         5           Momen         123         67.6         84         46.2         8         4.4         19         10.4         1         57         3         1.6         7         3           Homen         182         100.0         124         68.1         7         8.9         3         3.8           2.1         2.5         3         3.8           2.1         3.8           2.1		Middle Drof			]	) •	•	•	<b>)</b>	)			•	:	•	) :
Women         984         87.0         815         72.1         36         3.2         79         7.0		Men	147	13.0	96	8	]3		2	9		1	Ľ		7.7	2
Both High Fechnicians 52 65.8 39 49.4 7 8.9 3.8 1 2 1.1 57 5 6 8.4 40.2 2.0 10 5.5 6 3.3 1 2 1.1 57 5 6 8.4 46.2 8 4.4 19 10.4 1 .5 3 1.6 7 3 8 6.4 80.0 124 68.1 18 9.9 25 13.7 1 .5 3 1.6 7 3 1.6 7 8.9 8.9 8 3 3.8 3 3.8 1 8.0	•	Momen	486	87.0	8]5	72.3	<u> </u>		2 2				) L	ب •	4.4	
Low Prof		Both	1131	100.0	116	80.5	49	4.3	97	8.6		!	12	: -	57	2.0
Men         59         32.4         40         22.0         10         5.5         6         3.3		Low Prof														
Women     123     67.6     84     46.2     8     4.4     19     10.4     1     .5     3     1.6     7       Both     182     100.0     124     68.1     18     9.9     25     13.7     1     .5     3     1.6     9       High Technicians     52     65.8     39     49.4     7     8.9     3     3.8      3     3.8        Men     27     34.2     21     26.6     3     3.8            Both     79     100.0     60     75.9     10     12.7     6     7.6       3     3.8        Middle Tech     161     38.6     61     14.6     52     12.5     9     2.2     1     .2     9     2.2     28       Wen     256     61.4     103     24.7     118     28.3     10     2.4        3     3.8       Wen     417     100.0     164     39.3     170     40.8     19     4.6     1     .2     20     4.8     40		Men	29	32.4	<b>우</b>	22.0	۵,	5.5	9	•	!	! "		! ;	<b>~</b> 1	_;
High Technicians 52 65.8 39 49.4 7 8.9 3 3.8 -		Women Doth		ه. کو <u>د</u>	æ 5	46.2	တစ္	4.0	<u>-</u> 2	•		vٔ م	יז ניז	9.4	<b>\</b> 5	χ. Σ. σ.
High Technicians 52 65.8 39 49.4 7 8.9 3 3.8 3 3.8 57 34.2 21 26.6 3 3.8 3.8 3 3.8 57 34.2 21 26.6 3 3.8 5.8 3 3.8 3 3.8 3 3.8 3 3.8 3 3.8 3 3.8 3 3.8 3 3.8 5.0 100.0 60 75.9 10 12.7 6 7.6 3 3.8 3 3.8 100.0 164 39.3 170 40.8 19 4.6 1 .2 20 4.8 40		1200		2.	<b>+</b> 21	00	<u>•</u>		63	•	-		•	-	n	•
Men     52     65.8     39     49.4     78.9     3     3.8      3     3.8       3     3.8  3     3.8        3     3.8        3     3.8        3     3.8        3     3.8        3     3.8        3     3.8         3     3.2     2     8     8 <th< th=""><th></th><th>High Technicians</th><th></th><th></th><th>•</th><th>;</th><th>•</th><th>(</th><th>•</th><th>(</th><th></th><th></th><th>ć</th><th>(</th><th></th><th></th></th<>		High Technicians			•	;	•	(	•	(			ć	(		
Women       2/ 34.2       2/ 34.2       2/ 34.2       2/ 34.2       2/ 34.2       2/ 34.2       2/ 34.2       2/ 3.8       3       3.8		Men		65.8 8.0	£ 5	49.4	_ `	χ Ο (	m c	ლ ლ		į.	m	χ. χ.	:	:
Middle Tech Middle Tech Men Middle Tech Men Momen Mome		Momen Both		34.2 0.001	- 09	20.0 75.9	າເ	3.8 7.7	יט פי	2.7 5.0		<u> </u>	ŧ	1 6	! !	; ;
Men 161 38.6 61 14.6 52 12.5 9 2.2 1 .2 9 2.2 28 Women 256 61.4 103 24.7 118 28.3 10 2.4 11 2.6 12 Both 417 100.0 164 39.3 170 40.8 19 4.6 1 .2 20 4.8 40	5	Middle Tech		2	3		2		•				•	)		
1 256 61.4 103 24.7 118 28.3 10 2.4 11 2.6 12 4.6 1 .2 20 4.8 40	5	Men	161	38.6	19	14.6		12.5	σ	2.2	_	2	9	2.2	28	6.7
417 100.0 164 39.3 170 40.8 19 4.6 1 .2 20 4.8 40		Women	256	61.4	103	24.7		28.3	2	2.4			=	5.6	15	2.9
		Both		100.0	164	39.3		40.8	5		,_	~	20	α.	40	9

	an Other	% S		;			2.5 3 .9	.7 5 1		.4 16 1	6.0 47 4.0	-	o <b>r</b>	2 1	2.9 1 1.4	!	2.9 1.4	7	!	4.2					:				
	Mex/Span	Number	ł		:		∞ <				207	•		4	5		7	-	- !	_				;			}	;	-
	American	Number %	! :	•	:		E	· · —			4. no				:		:		•	:							;	:	:
	Acian	Number %	1 9.1		1 9.1			25 7.8		<u> </u>	66 5.6 80 6.8	;	1.11 2	2	:	:	:		•	!				;	'	!	;	:	:
	Rlack	Number %	ŀ	2 18.2			7 2.2 3 0 7	<b>`</b> =			226 19.2 259 22.0			4 22.2	4 5.7		4 5.7	4 16 7		4 16.7			:	10 55.6		10 55.6	2 40.0		2 40.0
	Caucasian	Number %	3 27.3	4 36.4	63.			240 74.8		٠ :	534 54.0 710 60.4		5.75 1.56	6 33.3	63 90.0	į	63 90.0	19 79.2		19 9.2			:	7 38.9		7 38.9	3 60.0		3 60.0
	Total Employees	Number %	5 45.5	6 54.5			56 17.4 265 82.6			158 13.4	1175 100.0		0 22.0 8 44.6	18 100.0	70 100.0		70 100.0	24 100.0		24 100.0			:	18 100.0		18 100.0	5 100.0		5 100.0
RĬ	Title Code and Sex	'	- Low Tech Men	Momen	Both	- High Office & Cler	Men	Both	le Off & Cler		Women Both	- Low Off & Cler	Homen	Both	- High Crafts Men	Women	Both	- Middle Crafts Men	Women	Both	Low Crafts	Men Momen	Both	- High Operatives Men	Momen	Both	- Middle Operatives Men	Women	Both

6.

Title Code and Sex	lotal Fmolove	3.1 (PPS	Cauca	nejsu	Black	بد	As	Asian	Indian	Indian	American	בים בים בים בים	E	Minority
	Number 3	34	Number %	88	Number	89	Number	3-6	Number	50	Number	5-6	Number	50
FO - Low Operatives	•	(			•									
Men	-	o.		: ;	-	0.00	:			!				
<b>Both</b>	<u> </u>	100.00			-	100.0								
G2 - High Laborers	•	6			•									
Men	_	0.00	!	:	_	0.001	:	:	!	:	:	!	:	!
<b>Wo</b> men Both	:-	100.0			-	100.0	! !		1 1					
Gl - Middle Laborers														
Men	24	20.0	∞	16.7	7	14.6	2	4.2	:	!	4	8.3	7	4.2
Women	24	20.0	7		2	20.8	:	;	:	:	က	6.3	က	6.3
Both	48	100.0	15	31.3	17	35.4	7	4.2	:	:	7	14.6	വ	10.4
GO - Low Laborers													٠	
Men	!	!	!	:		!	:	:	!	:	:	:	!	
Women	:	:	!	:	;	:	:	:	i	i	:	!	:	!
Both	:	1	:	:	!	:	:	!	!	!	:	:	-	!
H2 - High Svc Workers	;	•			•	;					•		•	
Men	<u>س</u>	6.96	17	53.1	2	31.3	-	:	i	!	4	α 	2	4.2
Momen	_	3.1	_	3.1	!	!	:	:	!	:	:	6.3	m	6.3
Both	32	100.0	18	56.3	10	31.3	:	-	:	:	4	14.6	ഹ	10.4
H1 - Middle Svc Workers		6	;		,	•	(	•			;		ć	7
Men	66 7	0.82	<u>4</u> 5	ດີເ	36	53.3	m	7.1	:	!	= °	4. J. 0	გ. [	•
Momen	ဥ င	22.0	2;	ى ئ	25	7.5	! (		:	:	٦ :	• [	- 7	•
Both	<b>5</b> 22	0.00	<b>5</b> 7	4.	6	20.3	٠,	7.	i	i !	<u> </u>	-	0	<u>8</u> .0
HO - Low Svc Workers	זונ	0 36	ŭ		2	י כר	¥	-	c	Ľ	đ		20	ď
	2.5	60.3 L 67	- 6	, 4	25 25 25	7 2	οα		<b>J</b> =	;	, ,	•	) (	, v
Monen 0 - + t	316	- 0	C		200	0.00	۶	- c	<b>.</b>		3 6		A -	ה
B0C1	/75	0.00	ĵ	t. N	<b>/07</b>	7: /0	<u>+</u>	٠	>	•	7		?	?





Francisco:	ARY COMPARISON BY FOC	
San		
rnıa,	50 2	
10	8	
2	ISON	
y ot	MPAR	
رم	3	
Vers	ARY	
Ĭ	<u> </u>	

Sources: PER 1001 and 1027 1/31/73

	Total		<b> </b>	AVERAG	E SALA	R Y	
F <b>ederal</b> Occupatio <b>n</b> Code	Emp loyees	<u> </u>	Caucasian M A	Minority   F	Caucasian F F M	Minority A   E	
066523	Ou F					ץ ער	
	200		•	51,379	\$1,277	\$1,199	
As - Management Program	<u> </u>	996,	2,051	1,758	1,642	:	
AZ - High Administrator	49	1,498	•	1,420	1,486	1,477	
•	32	1,280	1,329	1,241	1,255		
AO - Low Administrator	38	1,038	1,062	1,015	1,053	965	
R - Professionals	1 465	1 404	701 1	7	c	7.10	
82.	152	1,404	12161		386	טטא ר מסק ר	
8] - Mid Professionals	1,131	23.4°-	, 300 500	7 5	1,325	1,283	
ŧ	182	759	754	737	770	962 753	
C - Technicians	50.9	742	0	.,	r	Ç	
בי כי י	200	743	849		748	2/9	
	و/ د	50°-	9/0,-	1,051	961	999	
• (	7 -	020	9 / •	10/	710	199	
5	<b>-</b>	000	44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	79/	255	491	
fice & Cleri	1,514	639	632	648	629	601	
- High Of	321	801	817	834	805	765	
- Mid Off &	1,175	594	578	578	909	578	
DO - Low Off & Cler	18	459	447	462	429	464	
J.	94	1,326	1,335	/	;	ì	
E2 - High Crafts	70	1,360	1,370	1,273	•	;	
El - Mid Crafts	23	1,224	1,210	27	:	!	
EO - LOW Crafts	<del></del>	1,215					
ra L	24	905	892	914	:	!	
•	38	941	891	973	-	:	
D X	ഗ	853	893	962	•	:	
FO - Low Operatives	_	498	•	498	:	;	
ŏ	20	209	881	579	504	515	
•	_	1,115	•	1,115	:	:	
•	48	583	852	540	504	515	
GO - Low Laborers	:	:	1	1	!	!	
H - Service Workers	710	629	563	551	536	544	
1	32	1,019	1,034	1,013	849		
- Mid Svc	254	695	683	707	672	657	
HU - LOW SVC WORKERS	424	809	581	591	613	919	

TABLE 8. University of California, San Francisco: ETHNIC AND SEX SUMMARY BY MAJOR ACADEMIC GROUPS\*

Source: PER 1023 1/31/73

1	Academic Group	Sex	Total Employees	% Caucasion	8 Black	% Asian	% American Indian		% Mex/ % Other Span/Amer Non-White
<b>-</b> :	Academic Administrative Officers	Both Men Women	32 <b>24</b> <b>8</b>	87.5 68.8 18.8	  	3.1			
5.	2. Teaching Faculty	Both Men Women	847 671 176	90.7 72.1 18.5	2.1 8.8	4. w & o. o.		8.7.5	9.9.
9	6. Research	Both Men Women	338 222 11 <b>6</b>	69.8 43.8 26.0	د. و.	13.6 8.6 5.0	111	1.2 9. 5.	4.6. 8.6.
٠.	Other Academic	Both Men Women	22 7 15	95.5 31.8 63.6	111	4.5 		:::	

\*Percentages do not add due to invalid sex, ethnic and citizenship codes.



PER 1025 1/31/73 Source: 9. California, San Francisco: C AND SEX SUMMARY
BY ACADEMIC GROUPING\*

								277.27	
		Sex	Total	Caucasian	Black	Asian	American	Mex/Span American	Other
10	Deans and Provosts	Both Men Women	13	84.6 69.2 15.4	7.7				7.7
120	Director	Both Men Women	4 w C	100.0 75.0 25.0	! ! !		!!!		
140	Academic Administrator	Both Men Women	15 11 4	86.7 66.7 20.0	6.7	6.7	:::	:::	!!!
210	Professors & EquivRegular l. Maximum Rank	Both Men Women	162 157 5	93.8 90.7 3.1		4 4 - 0 9 - 1	!!!	1.2	!!!
	2. Associate	Both Men Women	82 70 12	91.5 76.8 14.6	1.2	9.4		2.4	!!!
	3. Assistant	Both Men Women	75 52 23	90.7 62.7 28.0	£ 1 E.	2.7	111	2.7	2.7
	4. Instructor	Both Men Women	<b>►4</b> €	85.7 42.9 42.9					111
122	Professor & EquivTemp. 1. Maximum Rank	Both Men Women	ហហរ	80.0				111	
	2. Associate	Both Men Women	881	100.0					

<sup>\*</sup> Percentages do not add due to invalid sex, ethnic and citizenship codes.

Q Q Provided b									
Code	Academic Group	Sex	Total Employees	Caucasian	Black	Asian	American Indian	Mex/Span American	Other Non-White
	3. Assistant	Both Men Women	29 15 14	86.2 48.3 37.9	8 . E 4 . 4	6.9		!!!	8.E. 4.4.
	4. Instructor	Both Men Women	001	100.0		; ; ;	!!!	!!!	111
222	Prof. & EquivClinical l. Maximum Rank	Both Men Women	25 3 3	96.0 84.0 12.0	!!!	4.4. 0.0:		!!!	
	2. Associate	Both Men Women	44 36 8	88.6 70.5 18.2	4 4   R.R.	4.4.1 2.5.1			2.3
	3. Assistant	Both Men Women	83 23 23	88.9 64.2 24.7	2.5	8.4.8 9.4.0	!!!		
	4. Instructor	Both Men Women	32 26 6	84.4 71.9 12.5	 	 			!!!
223	Prof. & EquivIn Res l. Maximum Rank	Both Men Women	17 15 2	94.1 82.4 11.8	:::	. 5. 5. 9. 6. 1			
	2. Associate	Both Men Women	26 25 1	88.5		7.7 3.8 3.8		!!!	3.8
-	3. Assistant	Both Men Women	68 9.40	96.8 82.5 14.3	9. [	:::		!!!	
	4. Instructor	Both Men Women	თთ <sub>.</sub>	100.0	111	!!!			111

9. Thin

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

•	opan Other Can Non-White		!!!		111	111	111	!!!	5.2		4.4. 8.8.
•	n Mex/Span American		!!!			!!!!	9   9		1.3		
	American Indian	111		!!!	!!!	!!!					
	Asian	!!!	50.0	!!!	!!!	111	۲. 4. ۳. 8. 6.	!!!	22.1 14.3 7.8	23.1 15.4 7.7	4.4. 8.8.
	Black			!!!			5.5 3.0		1.3		
•	Caucasian	100.0	50.0	100.0 75.0 25.0	100.0	100.0	86.7 53.3 33.3	100.0 50.0 50.0	38.1 29.9 18.2	76.9 69.2 7.7	90.5 42.9 47.6
	Total Employees	881	00'	∞ vo ∨s	781	നന 1	165 102 63	400	77 51 26	2 2	21 11 01
	Sex	Both Men Women	Both Men Women	Both Men Women	Both Men Women	Both Men Women	Both Men Women	Both Men Women	Both Men Women	Both Men Women	Both Men Women
9 Continued	Academic Group	Prof. & EquivAdjunct l. Maximum Rank	2. Associate	3. Assistant	4. Instructor	Lecturer w/Security	Lecturer W/o Security	Associate	Research-Other Prof. O - Rank not Applicable	] – Maximum Rank	2 - Associate
ER Paul Past Proofe	STOY ERIC S	224			;	231	232	233	613		

[(	(dided by								
Code	Academic Group	Sex	Total Employees	Caucasian	Black	Asian	American Indian	Mex/Span American	Other Non-white
	3 - Assistant	Both Men Women	211 9 12	66.1 53.6 12.5		11.6 7.1 4.5		8	7.1
<b>62</b> 0	Research - Specialist l - Maximum Rank	Both Men Women	9 4 3	73.7 47.4 26.3	10.5	15.8	!!!	!!!	
	2 - Associate	Both Men Women	41 22 22	82.9 39.0 43.9	4.5.9 9.4.4	<b>0.4.4</b> & 0.0.	: : :		4:12
	3 - Assistant	Both Men Women	25 7 81	92.0 28.0 64.0	!!!	<b>4</b>   <b>4</b>	#   4     <del> </del> 	4   4 0   0	
	4 - Instructor	Both Men Women	തനശ	100.0 33.0 66.7	!!!	!!!	!!!		
630	Research Assistant	Both Men Women	21 15 6	76.2 57.1 28.6	!!!	19.0 9.5 8.5		!!!	
910	Librarian 1 - Maximum Rank	Both Men Women	8 <b>- 2</b>	100.0 33.3 66.7	!!!	!!!		111	
	2 - Associate	Both Men Women	8-1	87.5 12.5 75.0		12.5	; ; ;	: : :	
	3 - Assistant	Both Men Women	<b>6</b> 1 7	100.0 14.3 85.7					
931	University Extension	Both Men Wamen	7 2 1	100.0			111	111	! ! !



ERIC									
Code	Academic Group	Sex	Total Employees	otal Joyees Caucasian	Black	Asian	American Indian	Mex/Span American	Mex/Span Other American Non-white
066	990 Miscellaneous	Both	2	100.0	:	;	:	;	;
		Men	7	100.0	;	;	;	;	;
		Momen	•	;	;	;	;	;	!

6 FR

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Total   Tota	SI ty	10. California, San Francisco:	a, Sar	ו Fran	cisco:						Sources		and 1012
Total   Tota	<u>الله</u>	BY SCHOOL,	/DEPAI	TWENT					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			li	
FE 26         23         2         2           FE 15         14         13         1         2         1 <t< td=""><td>00</td><td>/Departmen</td><td></td><td>[otal</td><td></td><td>Total Minority</td><td>Total Women</td><td>Total Min. Women</td><td>Black</td><td>Asian</td><td>American Indian</td><td>Mex/Span American</td><td>Other Non-white</td></t<>	00	/Departmen		[otal		Total Minority	Total Women	Total Min. Women	Black	Asian	American Indian	Mex/Span American	Other Non-white
HE 15       14       1       2  <	•	nestheisa	HC <sup>2</sup> FTE		23	7	~ ~	! !	; ;	-:			
HE 34       31       3       7       1 <td><u>a</u></td> <td>athology</td> <td>동문</td> <td>15</td> <td><b>7</b> €</td> <td></td> <td>٦٢</td> <td>; ;</td> <td>• •</td> <td></td> <td>: :</td> <td>; ;</td> <td>::</td>	<u>a</u>	athology	동문	15	<b>7</b> €		٦٢	; ;	• •		: :	; ;	::
HC       96       83       10       11       2       1       7         HC       17       12       4       9       1       1       3       1       1       3       1 <th< td=""><td>~ ~</td><td>Varb/Comm. Medicine</td><td>동문</td><td>* 22</td><td>20 20</td><td>ლ გ</td><td><b>~</b>*</td><td></td><td>- ;</td><td></td><td>: :</td><td></td><td>: :</td></th<>	~ ~	Varb/Comm. Medicine	동문	* 22	20 20	ლ გ	<b>~</b> *		- ;		: :		: :
HC 18 14 3 4 2 1 2 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 .	_	led., Gen.	동문	82	83 62	<b>6</b> 4	۲°	2 -		<b>~</b> E	: :	-:	- ;
HC         18         14         3         4         1          3  <	_	Dermatology		13	12 8	<b>₩</b> M	7-			75	: :	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	88
HC 13 12 2 1	-	Neurology	동문	8 4	<b>4</b> 01	ოო	<b>→</b> M		: :	ოო	: :	::	::
HC         16         14         2	_	08 / GYN	유민	23	12 [[	: :	~ ~	11	; ;	: :	: :	::	! !
HC 19 14 4 2	_	Ophthal- mology	동문	90	<b>≠</b> ∞	2 -	::	; ;	-:		; ;	::	; ;
trics HC 55 53 15		Clin. Path. & Lab. Med.		19 16	14	4 2	75	<b>! !</b>	; ;	: :	! !	! !	40
to HC 2 2 1		Pediatrics	FE	55 44	<b>5</b> 3	: :	15			: :	! !		
to HC 2 2 1 FTE 1 1 1		Psychiatry	공단	30	<b>4</b> 9 27		14 7	2	- ;	: :	::	-	-
		Svcs to LPNI	윤	7	7 -			!!		1 1	! !	! !	

\* Includes State and extramurally funded FTE's. 1. Tetals may not add due to exclusion of non-resident aliens and/or unfilled FTE's. 2. Head Count.

UNIT	Scho	School/Department		rotal <sup>l.</sup>	Total Caucasian	Total Minority	Total Women	Total Min. Women	Black	Asian	American Indian	Mex/Span American	Other Non-white
110	S/M	Radiology	HC <sup>2</sup> . FTE	8 & 8	41	رد م	ကက		22	22		- :	
640		Lab. of Radiobio?	FTE	4 4	ოო		22		! !		; ;	: :	; ;
115		Surgery	FTE	328	31 25	e 2	40		2 -		1 !	: :	;;
120		Neuro. Surg.	HE TE	16	<del>د</del> و	1 2	: :		! !		! ;	: :	-
125		Otolaryn- gology	FIE	21 15	20 15	-!	ထ က	: :	-		; ;	: :	; ;
130		Urology	3.E	4 %	40		: :	<b>!</b> !	1 1	: :	: :	! !	; ;
027 075 615		Brace Shop Ortho Surg. Biomech Lab		3 8 5	13		::	! !		: :			11
145		Phy. Med & Rehab.	FTE	9 5	യഗ	: :	ന ഹ		; ;	1 1	: :	; ;	
015		Anatomy	ETE	23	56 19	ოო	s –			2 2	; ;	: :	; ;
025		Biochem.	HE	51 37	40	5	12 9	75	11	<b>0</b> 4	: :		! !
620		Hormone Res. Lab.	HE FTE	16 13	7	യ	<b>6</b> 2			<b>4</b> W	; ;	1	22
035		Hist. of Health Sci.	FTE	e –	e –	! !	! ~	; ;	; ;	: :	: :	; ;	

Includes State and extramurally funded FTE's.

 Totals may not add due to exclusion of non-resident aliens and/or unfilled FTE's.
 Head Count.

ERIO	10. Intinued											
CODE	School/Department		otal <sup>l</sup> .	Total Caucasian	Total Minority	Total Women	Total Min. Women	Black	Asian	American Indian	Mex/Span American	Other Non-white
055	S/M Microbiology	HC <sup>2</sup> . FTE	18 16	18 16		7			::			
060	Pharmacology	왕	20	14	വയ	ru 4	e -		<b>4</b> W	; ;	! ;	~~
960	Physiology	윤	25 23	91 81	2 2	44	<b></b>			; ;	! !	: :
100	Intern'l Health	오픈	22 18	18 14	4 4	<b>4</b> w	2 2	: :	4 4	; ;	: :	! :
515	Hooper Found	3 F	12	11	- ;	ლ ი	!!	! !	- !	: :	: :	! :
510	Med. Inform. Systems	운쁜			: :	: :	!!	!!	: :	; ;	; ;	! !
250	Clin. Labs., S.F.G.H.	오쁜	~ ;	<b>7</b> :	! !	::	!!	: :	11	1 1	: :	: :
525	Dialysis, S.F.G.H.	FE FE	е <b>–</b>	e-	;		! !		1 1	3 6 1 1 1 1	! !	! !
535	Metabolic Steroid Lab.	FE	5	<b>⊕</b> 4		1 1	! ;	1 1		1 1	: :	::
540	C.V.R.I.	왕	46 35	33	8 /	14			ນ ນ		- !	
555	Physiol. Res. Unit	윤		<b></b>	: :	: :	!!!		: :		: :	<b>:</b> !
909	Gen. Clin. Res. Center	3E	വവ	44			!!		1 1	1 1		!!

Totals may not add due to exclusion of non-resident aliens and/or unfilled FTE's.
 Head Count.

ER Full East Provide	E 10. Continued											•
P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	School/Department		rotal <sup>1</sup> .	Total Caucasian	lotal Minority	Total	Total Min. Women	Black	Asian	American	Mex/Span	Other
610	S/M Clin. Study Center, SFGH	HC2 FTE			: :	: :						
635	Cancer Res. Institute,	동분	2 8	14		ഹ ന				: :	<b>;</b> ;	
725	Regional Med. Program	3. ∃E	<b>6</b> 0	7 4	88	44				; ;	; ;	
740	Student Health	HC FTE	- :	- :	f ( 1   1	! !	!!	; ;		: :	1 4 4 0 1 0	: :
010	Dean's Off.	₹ FTE	ထ ဟ	დ ო	~ ~	ю <b>–</b>			; ;	; ;		
	School of Med.		81 <b>4</b> 611	692 511	88 64	157	23 16	51	51		- ∞ <b>⊲</b> +	<b>4</b> 1
900	School of Dent.	동 FT	174 90	151 78	19 7	4 9	~ !	-		: :	# 1 1 1	m
150	School of Nurs.	HE FTE	_	81 81	. E. G	102 91	<u> </u>	<b>7</b>	. <b>4</b> ພ			v
	Soc. & Behav. Sci.	, HC FTE	20 17	18 51	~~	15	~ ~	; ; ; ;	~ ~	: ;	<b>-</b> -	
155	School of Pharm.	HC	28 28	51 42	17	<b>64</b>	2 -		13	! !		~ ~
160	Phar. Tech.	HC FTE	2 2			: :	: :			; ;	·	' ¦ ¦
-	Total Case and die to the		1000	30 20								

Totals may not add due to exclusion of non-resident aliens and/or unfilled FTE's. Head Count.

ERIC	E 10. pntinued											
Unit	School/Department	<b>1</b> 0	tail.	Total Total <sup>]</sup> Caucasian	Total Minority	Total	Total Min. Women	Black	Asian	American Indian	Mex/Span American	Other Non-white
170	Graduate Division	HC <sup>2</sup> . FTE						1 1	; ;	1:		
220	Clin. Lab.	유민	4-	<b>4</b> ←			; ;	! ;		! !	; ;	; ;
280	Outpatient Clin.	유민			! !			1 1	! !			
310	Hospital Admin.	HE FE			; ;		11	: :	! !	: :	; ;	(
135	CORT	HE FTE	9 \$	o vo	: :	<b>→</b> -			; ;		1 1	! !
175	Information Sys.	3 <u>F</u>	44	ਧ ਚ			!!	: :	; ;	11	! !	
565	Vivarium	3.E	44	ოო				! !		! !		!!!
630	Proctor Found	E H	6 /	<b>0</b> <del>4</del>	ოო	ოო		1 1	ოო		11	! !
705	Library	윤	17	16 15	- ;	13	-:	; ;	-!			! !
710	Cont. Educ.	3F	ო –	m		11	! !		1 1	: :		!!
720	Chancellor's Office	공단	- !	-:	: :		1 1	! !	11	11	11	::

). Totals may not add due to exclusion of non-resident aliens and/or unfilled FTE  $\varepsilon$ . 2. Head Count.

1	) i			1
•	Other Non-white		20 14	
	Mex/Span American		11,	
	American Indian			
	Asian		88 93	
	Black	! !	25	
	Total Min. Women		41 29	
	il Total ty Women	! !	316	
	Total Minority		145 102	
-	l Total Total Caucasian		1,053 765	
	Total	2. 1 FTE 1	Total HC 1,239 FTE 913	
TAT 10. Intinued	Unit Code School/Department	Chancellor's Office-Staff Services	Total F	
ERI Fruit Took Provided	Code	092		

1. Totals may not add due to exclusion of non-resident aliens and/or unfilled FTE's.

2. Head Count.

Sity California, San Francisco:

Source: PER 1010 1/31/73

Unit Code	School/Department	ırtment	Total	Total Caucasian	Total Minority	Total Women	Total Min. Women	Black	Asían	American Indian	Mex/Span American	Other Non-white
020	S/M Anestheisa Professo Assoc Pr	estheisa FTE Professor FTE Assoc Prof FTE	5 2 2	043	!	111	1 1 2				!	
030	Pathology Profess Assoc P	thology FTE Professor FTE Assoc Prof FTE	<b>ထ</b> ശ സ	<b>∠</b> 4€			1 1 1	1 ! !	!		; ; ;	: : :
040	Amb. & Comm Medicine Professor Assoc Prof	b. & Comm dicine FTE Professor FTE Assoc Prof FTE	202	7:02		1 2 1			! ! !		111	
045	Medici Prof Asso	Medicine,Gen FTE Professor FTE Assoc Prof FTE	25 18 7	23 18 5	2   2	!		-!-	-   -	; ; ;		111
020	Dermatology Professor Assoc Pro	rmatology FTE Professor FTE Assoc Prof FTE	2	2	; ; ;		1 1 1			; ; ;	!!!	111
090	Neurology Profess Assoc P	urology FTE Professor FTE Assoc Prof FTE	400	753	-   -	1 1 1	! ! !		<u>-</u>   -	!!!	! ! !	
990	0B - GYN Profes Assoc	- GYN FTE Professor FTE Assoc Prof FTE	10 m 21	ខេត្តប	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		1 1 8 3 4 1 5 8 3				!!!!	111
070	Opthal Prof	Opthalmology FTE Professor FTE	m m	22		1 1	: :	1 1 1 1 1 2		! }	! !	i i
080	Clin. Path Lab. Med. Professo Assoc Pr	in. Path & FTE b. Med. FTE Professor FTE Assoc Prof FTE	4 0 0	400	111	!!!		1 1 1		;;;	! ! !	111
085	Pediatrics Professo Assoc Pr	diatrics FTE Professor FTE Assoc Prof FTE	14 7	14 7 7		m   m	1 1 1					

Minority Women Min. Women black Asian Indian American No. 1	TERICO A CALL PROVIDED BY ERIC	11. Continued			10+01	To43]	1045	T-4-01			S CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND	) negy/xw	0+0
Psychiatry FTE 10 10 10		School/Department	Tot		Caucasian	t	Women	Min. Women	ываск	Asian	Indian	American	Non-white
Radiology FTE   13   12   12   13   15   15   15   15   15   15   15		Ps	FTE FTE FTE	2 8 2	10 8 2			1 1 1	111				
Surgery         FIE 12         11         1		Radiology Professor Assoc Prof	HE HE	202	12 10 2				111	- ; -			<b>!                                    </b>
Neuro, Surg. FTE   3   3   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5		Surgery Professor Assoc Prof		12 5 7	ال 5 6	- ! -		!!!		- ; -			
Otolaayngol- Pyte 3 3		Neuro. Surg. Professor	FTE	ოო	ო ო	i i	: !	11	1 1	8 L 8 I 8 E	: :	l 1 1 1 1 1	! !
Orthopedic         Surgery         FTE         5 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Otolaryngol- ogy Professor Assoc <b>P</b>rof</td><td></td><td>82</td><td><b>6</b> ⊢ 0</td><td>     </td><td>; ; ;</td><td>111</td><td>; ; ;</td><td>     </td><td>     </td><td>     </td><td>!!!</td></t<>		Otolaryngol- ogy Professor Assoc <b>P</b> rof		82	<b>6</b> ⊢ 0		; ; ;	111	; ; ;				!!!
Anatomy FTE 11 10 1 1		Orthopedic Surgery Professor Assoc Prof		241	2 7 -			!!!	f 1 f f 1 f 1 1 f				111
Biochemistry FTE 11       8       3        2         2		Anatomy Professor Assoc Prof		L 6 2	10 8 2	!	!	111		!	; ; ;		
History of Health Sci FTE 1 1 1 1		Biochemistry Professor Assoc Prof	FTE FTE FTE	. 8 3	898	m 27 L			111	1 22	: : :	-   -	
Microbiology FTE       9        1		History of Health Sci Professor	FT E	<b></b>		1 1		1 1	; ;	! ! ! ! ! !	. 1 1		11
Urology FTE 2 2		Microbiology Professor Assoc Prof		624	Q 10 4		-   -		! ! !				!!!
		Urology Professor	FTE	22	0.61	! !	! ! ! ! ! j	1 1	! !	}	! !	! !	! !

Total Total Total Total School/Department Total Caucasian Minority Wo	y FTE 8 7 1 FTE 7 6 1 F FTE 1 1	r FTE 6 6 nal FTE 3 3 r FTE 2 2 of FTE 1 1	Hooper Foun- dation Professor FTE 1 1 C.V.R.I. FTE 2 2 Professor FTE 2 2	School of Medicine FTE 182 169 13  Professor FTE 125 118 7  Assoc Prof FTE 57 51 6  School of Dentistry FTE 18 18  Professor FTE 11 11	FTE 11 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Proctor Foundation FTE 1 1 Assoc Prof FTE 1 1
Total Total Women Min. Women	::			844 - 1		16 5
Black Asian				10 10		12 12 8
American n Indian						
Mex/Span American				2		5 - 3
Other Non-white						

ENIC Ontinued

Section D
APPENDICES



# Table of Contents Section D

### **APPENDICES**

Appendix		Page
1.	Campus Resources	97
2.	Policy on Affirmative Action in Academic	
	Recruitment	100
3.	Search Process Report	101
4.	Academic Recruitment Sources	103
5.	Grievance Procedures for Discrimination - Faculty.	104
6.	U.C.S.F. Personnel Office - Sources for	
	Recruiting	110
7.	Grievance Procedures for Discrimination - Staff	115
8.	Affirmative Action Office Responsibilities	116
9.	Affirmative Action Unit Structure	118
10.	Guidelines For Departmental Affirmative Action	
	Committees	122
11.	Guide to Minority-Owned Businesses in the	
	San Francisco Bay Area	125
12.	Record of Minority Vendor Development Activity	134
13.	Summary of Ethnic and Sex Employment Report	135
14.	Departmental Guidelines For Developing An	
	Affirmative Action Program	137



#### CAMPUS RESOURCES

#### ACADEMIC PERSONNEL:

Office of Academic Affairs, San Francisco Campus 892-HSW, ext. 1881 Leslie L. Bennett, Vice Chancellor, Academic Affairs

This office serves as the primary source of information with regard to academic personnel policies.

San Francisco Division of the Academic Senate 119-S, Ext. 1307 M. D. Jones, Chairman

The San Francisco Divison of the Academic Senate handles matters which concern faculties situated within the jurisdiction of only this Division; curricula offered within the jurisdiction of the S.F. Division of the Academic Senate; requirements for admission to undergraduate status and minimum requirements for admission to graduate status; general regulations such as those concerning registration, residence, minimum unit requirements for degrees and certificates, general regulations concerning the grade point system, credit for courses and examinations, University Extension policy, general regulations concerning disqualification and reinstatement of delinquent students; changes in academic government. For additional areas of responsibility see the By-laws of the San Francisco Division of the Academic Senate.

#### STAFF PERSONNEL:

Personnel Office 60-U, Ext. 2621 Lee Q. Charette, Personnel Manager

This office serves as the primary source of information with regard to staff personnel policies. The office has five divisions: Personnel Analysis; Salary Administration; Labor Relations; Employee Development and Employment. For specific functions see Policy and Procedure Manual, Section 055-40.

Organizational Development Unit 1379 3rd Avenue, Ext. 4032 John Rawls, Director

This office provides assistance to the campus community in creating planned changes which lead to increased satisfaction of individual and organizational needs. This is done through the use of behavioral science principles and modern management technology. For specific services available see Policy and Procedure Manual, Section 055-35



#### APPENDIX 1.

Staff Employee Council John Otto, Acting Chairperson 294-M, Ext. 1461

This is the officially recognized staff organization for the San Francisco campus. Elections to the Council are held annually and terms are for two years. There are currently 65 seats and 3-at-large representatives. This group serves as the forum for review of policies affecting staff employees and a source of recommendations for general campus improvement.

#### STUDENTS:

Associated Students of the University of California (A.S.U.C.) 249-MU, Ext. 2010 Guy Yturralde, Executive Director

Dean of Students Office Mod. Bldg. 1, Ext. 4181 Charles Storey, Associate Dean

Financial Aid Office Mod. Bldg. 1, Ext. 4181 Peter Lindberg, Financial Aid Officer

Housing Office Residence Hall, Millberry Union, Ext. 2231 Ken Johnson, Housing Officer

#### SPECIAL COMMITTEES:

Chancellor's Advisory Committee on the Status of Women Pat Diridoni and Laurel Glass, Co-Chairpersons 998-M, Ext. 1710

This Committee has the special charge of advising the Chancellor on matters affecting women on the San Francisco campus. The San Francisco Committee is part of a state-wide coalition of Committees on the Status of Women in the University of California system.

Quality of Management Herb Suelzle, Chairperson 1355-3rd Avenue, Ext. 2911

This committee has the responsibility for periodic review of all management functions on the San Francisco campus on a cyclic basis. For additional information see Policy and Procedure Manual, Section 162.1.

#### CAMPUS ORGANIZATIONS:

These organizations are coordinated around the needs of specific groups, i.e., ethnic minorities, students or women. The chairpersons of the groups change periodically, but can usually be contacted through a member of the group. These groups tend to be informally organized, but are available for consultation to members of the campus with respect to grievances, discrimination and other matters of concern.



#### APPENDIX 1.

The Black Caucus Jim Haugabook, Chairperson c/o Physical Plant Ext. 2021

Black Students Health Alliance Joe Patrick, Chairperson 250-Millberry Union, ext. 4405

Chicanos in Health Education, (C.H.E.)
Rosemary Leon and Abram DeLao, Co-Chairpersons
250-Millberry Union, ext. 4404

Concerned Employees of U.C.S.F. Bruce McArthur, Chairperson 108-M, ext. 2252

Filipino Association Andres P. Belarmino, Chairperson 1356-3rd Avenue, ext. 4134

Latin American Campus Union (L.A.C.U.) Al Lopez, Chairperson 27-M, ext. 2452

League of Women Ellen Dirksen, Chairperson 1269-S, ext. 1043

A.F.S.C.M.E., Local 1650 Irene Edwards, Chairperson 55 Laguna St., ext. 1501



#### POLICY ON AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN ACADEMIC RECRUITMENT

- 1. Every search committee shall be appointed bearing in mind the traditional standards for faculty appointments and any goals established to correct underuitilization, as well as the long range objective of the University to increase the number of women and ethnic minorities within the faculty. This shall apply to search committees for recruitment of faculty, department chairpersons, directors of organized research units and other academic administrative personnel. The above statement is to be interpreted to mean that the membership of the search committee shall include faculty members who are women or are from ethnic minorities, or the committee shall obtain advice from consultants qualified to represent these areas.
- 2. The Dean will notify the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs of every faculty position open for recruitment.
- 3. The appointing officer will notify the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs of the membership of every Search Committee.
- 4. The Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs will regularly inform the Chancellor's Advisory Committee on the Status of Women and other campus groups of open positions of faculty, department chairpersons, directors, and other academic positions, in order to enable these organizations to submit nominations for these posts.
- 5. Every proposal for new academic appointments shall include the names of all applicants considered, including men/women and ethnic minorities, and an explanation of the basis on which the candidate was recommended. (See Appendix 3.)



## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, San Francisco, California 94122

## PROCESS PEROPT

									REPORT _		
DEP	ARTMENT										
Fac	ulty Ran	ık,		-							
P	osition	& Rank_									
Sal	ary Rang	ge									
	e Positi										
	ecame Av									<del></del>	
		Process									
W	as Initi e Appoin	lated	·								
Dat	e Appoin	ntment									
В	ecomes E	Effective									
<del>1</del> .	Total n	umben of	candidates s				•			·	<del></del>
Τ.	IOtal II	miner OI	candidates s	ubmitti	ng curri	cura	ı vitae:	_			
		i I	Total	, 1	Spani	-h	37-4.			J 1943	1
		TOTAL	Minorities	Black			Nati		A	Ethnicit	
	Male	TOTAL	MINOLICIES	DIACK	Ameri	can	Ameri	can	Asian	Unknown	Citizen
	Female						ļ			ļ	<del></del>
	TOTAL										
=			<del></del>		<del></del>	+==					
2.	Candida	tes invit	ted to campus	.					-		
		<del></del>	<b></b>	Sex	Ethnic	1		ļ		Serious	
	Names		•	M F	Group*	Apı	olicant	Can	didate	Candidate	Interviewed
	a.			<b>—</b>							
	b.				ļ	-	<u> </u>				<del></del>
	c.					├		<del> </del>			
	d.			+		<del> </del>	<del></del>	-			<del>                                     </del>
	e.			<del>                                     </del>		+-	<del></del>				<del> </del>
	<u>f.</u>				<u></u>			-			
	<u>+ •                                     </u>			<del>                                     </del>		┧──					<del> </del> -
				+		+		_			
3.		ies and i				ļ					
		ndidates				1					
		r the pos	sition:			1					
	<u>a.</u>	- <del></del>				<u> </u>	<del>-</del> -				ļ
	b.					┡					<u> </u>
	<u>C.</u>			<del>-</del>		<del> </del>					
	d			-				<del>                                     </del>		<del></del>	
	e. f.			+		<b>├</b>		<u> </u>			<del> </del>
	<u>r.</u>			<del></del>				<del> </del>			
				<del></del>	<u></u>	<u> </u>					<u> </u>

5. Candidate recommended for appointment:

\*ETHNIC GROUPS: A - Black; B - Asian; C - American Indian; D - Other Non-White;

E - Mexican American/Spanish; F - Other White

111973 (AA-100)



6. Indicate major criteria used to arrive at selection of proposed recipient. (Degree, experience, other qualifications.)

7. Please indicate search procedures employed to locate qualified candidates.

8. Outline search activities undertaken to locate a minority person or woman for the available position.

Search Committee Chairman	Date
Department Chairman/Director	Date
Dean	Date
Vice Chancellor, Academic Affairs	Date



# APPENDIX 4.

# ACADEMIC RECRUITMENT SOURCES

We are in the process of compiling the standard academic recruiting sources as well as a list of new recruiting sources for women and minorities.



APPENDIX 5.

#### SAN FRANCISCO MEDICAL CENTER

#### APPEAL PROCEDURE

### Scope

This procedure shall be applicable to any academic appointee other than a member of the Academic Senate and one who has independent responsibility for conducting regular University courses.

## Coverage

This procedure shall provide for the consideration of an appeal of any such appointee other than an appeal for policy changes and an appeal pertaining to title or salary increase action.

#### Informal Consideration

Every effort should be made to resolve the appointee's problem on an informal basis through discussion between the appointee and his immediate supervisor. Individual appointees and departmental personnel are urged to consult with the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs for assistance in possible resolution of the problem.

# Formal Appeal

If the appointee's problem is not resolved to his satisfaction, he shall have the right to appeal on a formal basis by means of the following steps:

Step I -- The appointee shall submit his appeal in writing to the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs on a form supplied by his office. The Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs shall forward the appeal immediately to the appropriate department chairman, director, or comparable authroity who, after appropriate review, shall render his decision on the appeal and submit it in writing to the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs who shall forward the decision immediately to the appointee.<sup>2</sup>

Although an appointee does not have the <u>right</u> to be represented by another at the informal consideration stage as he does during formal appeal, the supervisor may permit and even encourage that another person participate with the appointee during discussion in an effort to resolve the problem on an informal basis.

At this step and succeeding steps, the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs functions in a liaison capacity and not in a line capacity. For example, the decisional authority at each Step addresses his written decision to the appointee, but he submits it to the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs for forwarding to the appointee, so that administrative responsibility for adherence to time limits throughout the leal is assigned to one officer.

- Step II -- If the appointee wishes to appeal further, he shall have the right to direct that the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs forward his appeal to the appropriate Dean or comparable authority. 3 The Vice Chancellor shall forward such an appeal immediately to the appropriate Dean or comparable authority who, after appropriate review, shall render his decision on the appeal and submit it in writing to the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs who shall forward the decision immediately to the appointee.
- Step III -- If the appointee wishes to appeal further, he shall have the right to direct that the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs forward his appeal for a hearing.

The Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs shall arrange for a hearing by a Hearing Officer or Hearing Committee appointed by the Chancellor and shall forward the appeal to that Officer or Committee.

The Hearing Officer or Hearing Committee shall hold a hearing on the appeal and shall submit to the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs a report of the hearing and a recommended decision which shall be forwarded immediately to the Chancellor.

The Chancellor shall render the final decision on the appeal and shall transmit that decision in writing to the appointee. (If the appeal involves action taken by the Chancellor, the President shall appoint a Hearing Officer or Hearing Committee and shall render the final decision on the appeal.)

# General Provisions

An appointee who appeals has the following rights and obligations in addition to those set forth above:

- -- he shall have the right to represent himself or to be represented by another during the formal appeal, and if he chooses to be represented by another, he shall provide the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs at the time he presents his appeal with the name of the person who will represent him;
- -- he shall be obliged to appear in person at the hearing even though he chooses a representative to speak for him;



In Step II and Step III the initial appeal will be forwarded, although the appointee may submit to the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs additional material to be appended to the initial appeal.

- -- he shall have the right to have a copy of any written material which will be considered by the Hearing Officer or Hearing Committee:
- -- he shall have the right at the hearing to hear evidence against him and to present evidence, including the calling of a reasonable number of witnesses;
- -- he may withdraw his appeal at any time during the appeal proceedings; and
- -- his failure to meet prescribed time limits shall constitute automatic withdrawal of his appeal unless an extension of the time limits was approved by the Chancellor.

University management shall have the following rights and obligations in addition to those set forth above:

- -- the administrative officer whose action is being appealed shall have the right to represent himself or to be represented by another University administrative officer concerned with the appeal except that in case of an appeal in which the appointee is represented by legal counsel, the University shall be represented by the Office of the General Counsel of The Regents;
- -- the administrative officer shall be obliged to appear in person at the hearing even though he is represented by another officer;
- -- the administrative officer shall have the right to have a copy of any written material which will be considered by the Hearing Officer or Hearing Committee;
- -- the administrative officer shall have the right at the hearing to hear evidence presented by or on behalf of the appointee and to present evidence, including the calling of a reasonable number of witnesses;
- -- failure on the part of management to meet prescribed time limits shall provide automatically that the appointee's appeal shall be considered at the next step in the appeal proceedings;
- -- the Office of the Vice Chancellor--Academic Affairs shall serve as the liaison officer for any appeal proceedings, such role to include providing information on pertinent policies and regulations, assuring that procedural details are developed and followed (e.g., providing forms for use during appeal proceedings, controlling time limits, arranging for the hearing), attending the hearing to provide technical information; and
- -- a Hearing Officer or Hearing Committee chosen from University Personnel shall be appointed by the Chancellor or by the President if the appeal involves action taken by the Chancellor.



Extension of the time limits set forth in the procedure may be made by the Chancellor. Extension of the time limit automatically extends for a like period of time limits within which all succeeding actions must be taken.

Supervisors shall permit that University personnel involved in an appeal use a reasonable amount of University time if necessary for consultation and hearing relating to the appeal.

Authority to act on an appeal is delegated only to the officer named in each Step of the above procedure, i.e., the department chairman, director, or comparable authority; the Dean or comparable authority; the Chancellor or if the case involves action taken by the Chancellor, the President. This authroity shall not be re-delegated.

Decisions on appeals shall be in accord with University policies and campus regulations and procedures.

## Use of Appeal Steps

Appeals of most appointees will be considered at each of the three Steps outlined above. However, when the decisional authority specified in Step I and/or Step II is not present within the organizational levels of management concerned with a particular appeal, Step I or Step II and, less frequently, both Step I and Step II will be omitted. Also, if the appointee appeals an action which was officially communicated to him in writing as an action approved by the decisional authroity specified in Step I and/or Step II, he has the right to appeal to the Step which would  $\epsilon$  liminate another decision by the same authority.

## Time Limits for Appeals

A continuing appointee must submit his initial appeal within 30 calendar days after the date on which he acquired or reasonably should have acquired know-ledge of the event or action which gave rise to the problem, and a terminated appointee must submit his initial appeal within 15 calendar days after the date of his termination of employment.



Time limits for appeals to the various Steps are shown on the chart below:

				<del></del>
STEPS	FOR APPEALS USING STEPS I, II, & III	FOR APPEALS USING STEPS II & III	FOR APPEALS USING STEPS I & III	FOR APPEALS USING STEP
Step 1 Decision by chair- man, director, or	Within 10 days of ini-		Within 10 days of ini-	* * *
comparable author- ity.	tial appeal		tial appeal	
Step II Appointee's re-	Within 20			
quest for review	days of ini- tial appeal			
Decision by Dean	Within 30	Within 10		
or comparable	days of ini-	days of ini-		
authority.	tial appeal	tial appeal		
Step III	<del></del>			
Appointee's re-	Within 40	Within 20	Within 20	
quest for review	days of ini-	days of ini-	days of ini-	
•	tial appeal	tial appeal	tial appeal	
Hearing	Within 55	Within 35	Within 35	Within 15
	days of ini-	days of ini-	days of ini-	days of ini
	tial appeal	tial appeal	tial appeal	tial appeal
Report of Hearing	Within 70	Within 50	Within 50	Within 30
Officer or Hear-	days of ini-	days of ini-	days of ini-	days of ini
ing Committee	tial appeal	tial appeal	tial appeal	tial appeal
Final decision by	Within 85	Within 65	Within 65	Within 45
Chancellor or	days of ini-	days of ini-	days of ini-	days of ini
President	tial appeal	tial appeal	tial appeal	tial appeal



# ACADEMIC PERSONNEL APPEALS FORM\*

Name	(Please Print):	Title:
Depar	tment:	
Descr (Addi	ribe briefly and specifica tional sheets may be used	ly issues on which this grievance is based. if necessary.)
	ribe action requested. (Fignation, Reduction of Susp	er example: Reinstatement, Change from Dismissal to ension Period, etc.)
Chance fifte tinui after the	cellorAcademic Affairs. een (15) calendar days from ng appointee must submit the date on which he acq	submitted in five copies to the Office of the Vice A terminated employee must submit these forms within the date of his termination of employment. A consistinitial appeal within thirty (30) calendar days wired or reasonably should have acquired knowledge of rise to the problem. Failure to meet these time be set aside as untimely.
to re		esent himself or may elect an individual or organization ace. If you have elected representation, indicate the represent you.
Date:		imployee's Signature

To be used by any academic appointee other than a member of the Academic Senate and one who has independent responsibility for conducting regular University courses.



## UCSF PERSONNEL OFFICE: SOURCES FOR RECRUITING

Chinese for Affirmative Action - S.F.

Advocates for Women - S.F.

Sandigan, Filipino, Newcomers Service Center - S.F.

Department of Public Health - S.F.

Personnel Offices, all U.C. campuses

College of Engineering, Dean's Office, UCB

Careers for Retired Military

Community Mental Health Services - S.F.

Department of Youth Authority, Preston School of Industry - Ione, CA.

Horizons Unlimited - S.F.

Vocational Rehabilitation Service - S.F.

Japanese Community Services - S.F.

E.O.C. - S.F.

Bayview E.O.C. - S.F.

New Vocations, SFSC Associated Students - S.F.

West Side Mental Health Center - S.F.

University of San Francisco - S.F.

Arriba Juntos - S.F.

Various Bay Area college and university Placement Offices

Bay Area Urban League - S.F.

S. F. City College Placement Office

South Berkeley Community Church - Berkeley

Adult Opportunity Center - S.F.

Bay Area Urban League - Education Action Center - S.F.

California Department of Rehabilitation - S.F.

Bay Area Raza Coalition for Health - Oakland

El Buen Pastor Church - S.F.



California State Employment Serivce (H.R.D.) - S.F.

California State Employees' Association - S.F.

Career Guidance Center - S.F.

The U. S. Office of Civil Rights - S.F.

Department of Mental Hygiene - S.F.

Daly City Information and Referral Center

The Economic Development Agency for China-North Beach Area of S. F.

Experience Unlimited - San Mateo

Galileo Adult School - S.F.

Human Rights Commission - S.F.

Hunters Point E.O.C. Area Office - S.F.

Institute of Industrial Relations, UCLA

C.S.E.S. - Minority Specialists - S.F.

Mission English Language Center - S.F.

Mission E.O.C. Area Office - S.F.

Mission Rebels - S.F.

East Bay Skills Center

Next Step - S.F.

Opportunities Industrialization Center - Oakland

Placement & Career Planning Center - L.A.

Placement Center, Sacramento State College

Project Transition - Treasure Island

Project Transition - U.S. Naval Hospital - Oakland

Richmond State Service Center

San Francisco Service Center - S.F.

Self Advancement Through Education - S.F.

Department of Social Services - S.F.



Stanford University - Student Placement Office - Palo Alto

Loma Linda University - Placement Service - Loma Linda, CA.

Western Addition E.O.C. Area Office - S.F.

Youth Opportunity Center- S.F.

Black Personnel Management Association - S.F.

S. F. Nurses Association - S.F.

S. F. Nurses Official Registry - S.F.

S. F. Medical Society - S.F.

California Society of Radiological Technologists - S.F.

Physical Therapy Association Placement Office - S.F.

Black Social Workers Association

Bureau of Indian Affairs - S.F. and East Bay

7-Step Foundation - S.F.

Latin American Campus Union - UCSF

John Adams Adult School - S.F.

Mission Hiring Hall - S.F.

N.A.A.C.P. - S.F.

Hospital Council of Northern California - S.F.

Breakthrough - S.F.

American Chemical Society - S.F.

C.E.P. - S.F.

American Friends Society - S.F. and Walnut Creek

El Mundo

Black Caucus - UCSF

California Society of Certified Public Accountants

Stationary Engineers Union

Society of Research Administrators



Mission Coalition - S.F.

Catholic Council for the Spanish Speaking - S.F.

Mission Red Cross- S.F.

# RADIO STATIONS

KOFY

**KDIA** 

KABL

## **NEWSPAPERS**

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE

OAKLAND TRIBUNE

L. A. SENTINEL

SAN FRANCISCO SUN REPORTER

WALL STREET JOURNAL

SAN JOSE MERCURY NEWS

SACRAMENTO BEE

SAN FRANCISCO PROGRESS

CHINESE TIMES

NICHI BEI TIMES

HOKUBEI MAINICHI

L. A. TIMES

**NEW YORK TIMES** 

L. A. OPINION

PALO ALTO TIMES

OAKLAND POST



EL MUNDO

UNION CITY LEADER

HAYWARD DAILY REVIEW

EAST - WEST (Chinese bi-lingual)

PACIFIC CITIZEN

(Nat'l. of the Japanese-American Citizens League)

PHILLIPINE NEWS

AND A WIDE VARIETY OF PROFESSIONAL JOURNALS



# GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES FOR DISCRIMINATION - Staff

This policy is currently being revised by the President's Office.



#### AFFIRMATIVE ACTION OFFICE RESPONSIBILITIES

The Affirmative Action Office was established on July 1, 1972 to coordinate the implementation of the San Francisco campus Affirmative Action Program for academic and staff personnel, construction contracts, vendors and other suppliers of goods and services and to review and evaluate the results of this program.

Specific responsibilities are to:

- 1. Develop the campus affirmative action program and internal and external communication techniques.
- 2. Assist line management in the identification of problem areas.
- 3. Assist line management in arriving at solutions to problems, through direct technical assistance or by utilization of other resources.
- 4. Design and implement campus audit and reporting systems that will:
  - (a) Measure the effectiveness of the campus program.
  - (b) Indicate the need for remedial action.
  - (c) Indicate the degree to which the campus goals and objectives have been attained.
- 5. Serve as non-legal liaison with the various compliance agencies which affect the University. This liaison includes, but is not limited to, other University offices, other campuses and State and Federal agencies.
- 6. Serve as liaison between the campus and minority organizations, women's organizations, and community action groups concerned with employment opportunities of minorities and women.



- 7. Keep the Chancellor and line management informed of latest developments in the area of equal opportunity.
- 8. Provide assistance and direction in the establishment of campus and unit goals, and department objectives and timetables.
- 9. Periodically audit training programs and hiring and promotion patterns to remove impediments to the attainment of campus goals and objectives.
- 10. Provide for regular discussions with line managers, supervisors, and employees to assure campus policies and procedures are being followed.
- 11. Periodically audit qualifications of all employees to insure that minorities and women are given full opportunities for transfer and promotion.
- 12. Advocate the development of policies that will ensure that supervisors, faculty, and staff are evaluated on the basis of their equal employment opportunity efforts and results as well as other criteria.



AFFIRMATIVE ACTION COORDINATOR JOANNE A. LEWIS DEAN SCHOOL OF PHARMACY JERE E. GOYAN VICE CHANCELLOR -CDMINISTRATION FICK K. ERICKSON VICE CHARCELLOR ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION
AND PLANNING
HERBERT W: SUELZLE DEAN SCHOOL OF NURSING MARJORIE S. DUNLAP LIBRARIAN JEANETTE YEAZELL UNIVERSITY VICE CHÂNCELLOR -PUBLIC PROGRAMS AND CONT. ED. SEYMOUR FARBER UCSF ADMINISTRATION JRGANIZATION CHANCELLOR FRANCIS A. SOOY, M.D. September 15, 1973 DIRECTOR HOSPITALS AND CLINICS ROBERT DERZON DEAN SCHOOL OF MEDICINE JULIUS R. KREVANS VICE CHANCELLOR -PERSONNEL AND STUDENT SERVICES CHARLES F. HARLINS DEAN CHOOL OF DENTISTRY BEN W. PAVONE DEAN GRADUATE DIVISION HAROLD A. HARPER SCH00L VICE CHANCELLOR -ACADEMIC AFFAIRS LESLIE L. BENNETT



# Affirmative Action Units December 1, 1973

Unit Code	Department	Coordinator	Campus Address	Ext.
1	Chancellor's Group	Virginia Barnes	126-S	2401
1008 1135 1170 1400 1560 1565 1557 1630 1705 1710 1720 1759 1760 1761 1767 1768 1785 1800 1805 1835 1835	School of Human Biology CORT Dean, Graduate Divison Affirmative Action Office Research & Development Lab. Vivarium Langley Porter Proctor Foundation Library Continuing Education Chancellor's Office Campus Community News Staff Services (Planning & Analysis) Vice ChancellorAcademic Affairs Vice ChancellorPublic Programs, etc. University Relations, Health Services Contracts & Grants Registrar & Admissions Academic Senate Gifts & Endowments Public Information	Virginia Barnes Dr. I. Merrill Joyce Louw Joanne Lewis Emi! Barish John McCabe Maggie Scott Lucille Valentine Linda Angold Juanita Barnes Virginia Barnes Donna Chaban Empi Gutierrez Dr. L. Bennett Juanita Barnes Donna Chaban Donna Chaban Jean Ball Erica Wood Donna Chaban Donna Chaban	126-S 24 Kirkham 245-S 411-U 10-U 388-S 202-F 315-S 257-S 570-U 126-S 1483-4th 1355-3rd 892-HSW 570-U 1483-4th 1483-4th SS Bldg. 119-S 1483-4th 1483-4th	2401 1256 2111 4752 1593 2204 7141 1441 2334 1692 2401 2557 2911 1881 1692 2557 2557 1742 1307 2557 2557
1853 2.	Publications  Vice ChancellorPersonnel & Student	Donna Chaban	1483-4th	2557
<del></del>	Service Group	Donnia Bronson	249-MU	2010
2730 2735 2763 2769 2790 2825 2865	Dean of Students Work Study Program Organizational Development Unit Vice Chancellor Personnel, etc. Personnel Office Clerical Pool Millberry Union Vice ChancellorAdministration	David Godoy David Godoy Maria Missamore Donnia Bronson Maria Edwards Maria Edwards Susan Graham Erick Erickson	SS Bldg. SS Bldg. 1379-3rd 249 MU 60-U 60-U 243 MU	4181 4181 4032 2010 4051 4051 2019
31 75 3745 3750 3762 3764 3765 3770 3775 3780 3810 3815 3820	Information Systems (Computer Center) Physical Plant Police Department Vice ChancellorAdministration Campus Community Planning Accounting Office Architects & Engineers Business Manger's Office Materiel Management (Storehouse & Purch Central Reproduction Mailing Divison Telephone	Esther Reynolds Nat Garibaldi Barbara Browne Erick Erickson R. J. LaPointe Lu Bacsafra Alex Cano Jim Wood	76-U Phys. Plant H-level-MU 101-S 1310-3rd 1550-HSE 145 Irving 1322-3rd Richmond 44-C 89-M H-level-MU	4526



Unit Code	Department	Coordinator	Campus A <b>ddre</b> ss	Ext.
3830	Environmental Health & Safety	Ed Mayberry	1344-3rd	4304
3855	Housing Office (Residence Halls)	Ken Johnson	112-MU	2231
3860	Parking Parking	Jim Wood	H-level-MU	2566
3862	Pool Car Operations	Jim Wood	H-level-MU	2566
	·			
4.	School of Nursing	Maura Carroll	631H-N	1817
4150	School of Nursing	Maura Carroll	631H-N	1817
5	School of Pharmacy	Barbara Wilson	926-S	1225
5155	School of Pharmacy	Barbara Wilson	926-S	1225
5160	Pharmeceutical Technology	Barbara Wilson	926-S	1225
	•			
6.	School of Dentistry	Jim Zepeda	636B-S	4059
6005	School of Dentistry	Jim Zepeda	636B-S	4059
7.	School of Medicine	Dr. W. Reinhardt	224-S	2342
7010	Dean's Office	Richard Littlejohn	224-S	2877
7015	Anatomy	Priscilla Ya <b>te</b> s	1334-S	1861
7020	Anestheisa	Trudy McFeely	436-S	2131
7025	Biochemistry	Margaret Williams	960-S	1480
027	Brace Shop	Pat Parks	896-M	2495
/030	Pathology	Mary Ellen Fox	595-HSW	1701
7035	History of Health Sciences	Mable Emmons	1680-HSW	2766
7040	Ambulatory and Community Medicine	Jean LePez	403-U	1482
7045	Medicine, General	Tony Vance	996-M	2138
7050	Dermatology	Ida Servillio	1095-HSE	2545
7055	Microbiology	Kay Stewart	412-S	1211
7060	Neurology	Lois Riordan	794-M	1487
7065	Obstetrics & Gynecology	Marie McCabe	1484-M	1821
7070	Opthamology	Rebecca Law	490-U	1921
7075	Orthopedic Surgery	Pat Parks	896-M	2495
7080	Clinical Pathology & Lab. Medicine	Dr. Hans Loken	506-M	2136
7085	Pediatrics	Eleanor Taylor	696-M	2101
7090	Pharmacology	Ruth Kirby	1210-S	1951
7095	Physiology	Lena LoSchiavo	762-S	1751
7100	International Health	Peter Horen	1699-HSW	1375
7105	Psychiatry	Mary Asahina	LPNI	7225
7110	Radiology	Dr. Granville Coggs	382-M	1723
7115	Surgery, General	Joyce Swenson	593-M	1236
7120	Surgery, Neurological	Jacqueline Mangan	786-M	1087
7125	Otolaryngology	Lucille Burnap	495-U	1993
7130	Urology	Jean Cornely	478-M	1611
7140	Medical Technology Curriculum	Dr. Irene Gilbert	555-S	1998
7510	Medical Information Systems	Valerie Walters	1663-3rd	2787
7515	Hooper Foundation	Peter Horen	1699-HSW	1375
7520	Clinical Labs., SFGH	Serge Coutant Bldg.		405
525	Dialysis Center, SFGH	J. Bredimus	SFGH	4617
330	Polypeptide Hormone Lab.	Dr. Peter Forsham	1143-HSW	1364
7535	Metabolic Steroid Lab.	Dr. Peter Forsham	1143-HSW	1364
7540	Cardiovascular Research Institute	Dr. Ellen Brown	1321-M	1803
EDIC	<del></del>			

APPENDIX 9.

Unit			Campus	
Code	Department	Coordinator	Address	Ext.
0000	Depar cinero	Coordinator	Add C55	EX U.
7550	Tropical Disease Lab.	Peter Horen	1699-HSW	1376
7555	Physiological Research Unit		Bldg. Rm. 328	826-4591
7605	General Clinical Research Center	Lois Rocha	1203-M	1241
<b>7</b> 610	Clincal Studies Center, SFGH		. 100 Rm. 321	318
7615	Biomechanics Lab.	Pat Parks	896-M	2495
7620	Hormone Research Lab.	Anita Clayton	1088-HSW	2624
7635	Cancer Research Institute	Cecile Gilfeather	1282-M	2201
7640	Radiobiology Lab.	Dr. J. Harris	106-LR	1636
7725	Regional Medical Program	Florence Webster	745 Parn.	4221
7740	Student Health	Mainoyce Degrate	380-U	1281
8.	Hospital and Clinics	Donald Bartlow	113-M	1401
			<u> </u>	
8145	Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation	Juanita Erickson	80-M	2357
8205	Nursing Service	Kay Urbanski	112-M	2252
8210	Nursing, Floor Managers	Nolan McCann	112-M	2252
8215	Operating Rooms	Kay Urbanski	112-M	2252
8220	Clinical Labs.	Karen Robertson	506-M	2136
8225	Anatomic Pathology Lab.	Evelyn Hoey	576-M	1526
8230	Radiology, Hospital	Joyce Morais	357-M	2151
8235	Pharmacy, Hospital	Fred Malmin	130-C	1181
8240	Anesthesia, Hospital	Trudy McFeely	436-S	2131
8245	Inhalation Therapy	Kathy Nicolai	27-M	2360
8250	EKG	Lynne Haramaki	571-M	1931
8255	EEG	Laurie Hicks	243-C	1986
8260	Medical Records	Clinton Wakefield	A-Med.Rec.	4685
8265	Instrument Shop	Andy Campbell	90-M	2181
8270	Central Supply	Prince Hargrove	25A-M	2437
8275	Social Work	Priscilla Johnstone	150-C	1655
8280	Outpatient Clinics	Lee Woo	139D-C	2410
8285	Education & Training, Hosp.	Donald Bartlow	113-M	1401
8287	Environment & Infection Control	Mary Schreiber	230 <b>-</b> U	2068
8288	Professional Fee Billing	Bo <b>bb</b> y Busby	1343-3rd	2153
8290	Emergency	Lois Wiggin <b>s</b>	131-M	1037
8295	Dietary Services	Henrietta Henderson	294-M	1461
8302	Laundry-Recurring Recoveries	Jackie Bowie	410-LS	1301
8303	Laundry-Linen	Jackie Bowie	410-LS	1301
8304	Laundry Wear Apparel	Jackie Bowie	410-LS	1301
8305	General Services: Housekeeping	William Thomas	71-M	1183
8307	Laundry	Jackie Bowie	410-LS	1301
8310	Hospital Administration	Donald Bartlow	113-M	1401
8315	Budget & Fiscal Operations	David Batcho	1367-3rd	4644
8318	Accounts Receivable	Dorothy Calhoun	140-M	2661
8325	Cashiers	Cal Dill	180-M	2311
8340	Admissions	Rose Branch	139-M	1588
8345	Information Service	Cathy Robinson	100-U	4409
8350	Data Systems, Hospital	Mas Sugiyama	140-M	4502
8355	Gift Shop	Andree Rich	M-lobby	1845
8518	Exper. Radiation Therapy Lab	Joyce Morais	357-M	2151
8545	X-Ray & Isotope Service Lab.	Joyce Morais	357-M	2151
8870	Private Practice Physician's Officies	Donald Bartlow	113-M	1401



#### GUIDELINES FOR DEPARTMENTAL AFFIRMATIVE ACTION COMMITTEES

It is the responsibility of line management to implement the Affirmative Action Program within each campus department or unit. However, since the success of any Affirmative Action Program requires imaginative and sustained efforts, Departmental Affirmative Action Committees can provide advice and assistance to the department head to facilitate the successful performance of Affirmative Action goals and objectives within each unit.

## I. Composition

A. The Departmental Affirmative Action Committee should be broadly representative of the ethnic and sexual composition of the department's staff and should include broad representation of job categories (staff employees and, where applicable, faculty and students).

## II. Functions and Responsibilities

- A. The Departmental Affirmative Action Committee shall be <u>advisory</u> to the department head. (Basic responsibility for implementing the Affirmative Action Program within each department lies with the department head.) While the Affirmative Action Committee shall review the progress of the department's Affirmative Action Program as it affects staff, students, and faculty within the department, implementation of the Committee's recommendations rests with the department head.
  - The Committee shall serve as a <u>source of information and</u> technical assistance on Affirmative Action for the department head.
  - 2. The Committee shall review, comment, and submit recommendations for action on departmental Affirmative Action goals and objectives to the department head, utilizing quarterly ethnic summary reports (See Appendix 12 for description of reports).



- 3. The Committee shall maintain communication with the department head on matters relative to Affirmative Action.
- B. The Departmental Affirmative Action Committee shall review their department's progress in meeting the goals and objectives of the Affirmative Action Program (see Section VIII. Program Progress Review).
  - The Committee shall assist in the development of and shall become thoroughly familiar with the department's written Affirmative Action Program.
  - 2. The Committee shall become familiar with areas that directly relate to Affirmative Action, including:
    - (a) University and departmental policies and procedures for staff and faculty appointments, promotion, and transfers.
    - (b) departmental policies for recruitment, admission, and academic support of students.
    - (c) development of career ladders.
    - (d) training programs and training opportunities.
- C. The Departmental Affirmative Action Committee shall become thoroughly familiar with Affirmative Action guidelines (Federal and University), Staff Personnel Policies, and where applicable, Faculty Personnel Policies.
  - The Committee shall maintain an up-to-date knowledge of revisions to Federal and University Affirmative Action guidelines.
  - 2. The Committee shall review and comment on proposed changes to Affirmative Action guidelines, Staff Personnel Policies, and where applicable, Faculty Personnel Policies.
- D. The Departmental Affirmative Action Committee shall be responsible for maintaining knowledge of, and liaison with, various campus organizations and activities which relate to Affirmative Action goals and objectives (see Appendix 1 for campus resources).



- 1. The Committee shall maintain close liaison with the Affirmative Action Office.
- The Committee shall become familiar with the policies, procedures, and resources available in the campus Personnel Office.
- 3. The Committee shall become familiar with the policies and resources available through various campus employee organizations.
- E. The Departmental Affirmative Action Committee shall ensure that information on Affirmative Action guidelines, Staff Personnel Policies, Faculty Personnel Policies, procedures for recruitment, admission, and academic support of students, and campus activities relative to Affirmative Action is disseminated to department personnel.
  - The Committee shall serve as information source for department employees who may wish information on Affirmative Action guidelines, Staff Personnel Policies, and campus activities relative to Affirmative Action.
  - 2. The Committee shall assist department employees in using existing department and campus channels for solving their problems.
  - 3. The Committee shall ensure that pertinent bulletins, flyers, announcements, etc., are circulated, posted, and discussed within their department.

# III. Meetings

A. The Departmental Affirmative Action Committee shall meet regularly in order to carry out their function and responsibilities.



PURCHASERS' GUIDE
TO
SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
MINORITY-OWNED BUSINESSES

Management Council For Bay Area Employment Opportunity

San Francisco Federal Executive Board



## INDEX TO THE BUSINESSES IN THIS GUIDE

## AIR CARGO SERVICES

Air Cargo Expediters Arabesco Air Inc.

## AIR CONDITIONING & HEATING SYSTEMS SERVICES

Air Control Heating & Air Condtioning

#### AIRPLANE SERVICES

Aerospace Services, Inc.

## ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES

Advocate Design Associates, Inc.
Clement Chen & Associates
Del Campo Associates, Architects/Planners
Gerson Overstreet, Architects
Okamoto/Liskamm, Inc.
Yuasa and Minner, Inc., Architects and Planners

#### AUTOMOBILE REPAIR & SERVICE

Atlantic Garage
Avenue Body Shop
Bourgeois Clutch & Brake Co.
Brown's Auto Parts
Dew's Body Shop
Dilworth Auto Service
Greene's Auto Repair
Kinnon Auto Repair
Bob Motter Auto Body Repair Shop
Nate's Fender Shop
Pippin Garage
Scott's Garage
Thomas Auto Body Shop
Vallejo Chrysler Plymouth, Inc.

#### BURGLAR ALARM SYSTEMS

Sonic Electronics, Inc.

## BUS CHARTER SERVICE

Guiton Bus Company



## <u>CLEANING SERVICES</u> (Also see JANITORIAL SERVICES)

Jackson's Auto Laundry
Jim's Furnace Cleaning and Repair
Lee's Auto
Mastercraft Diversified Corporation
Pacific Rug Shampoo
Pee Wee Detailing Service
Premier Mobile Service

## CLOSED CIRCUIT TELEVISION SYSTEMS

Sonic Electronics, Inc.

## COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES

The Switchboard

## CONCRETE

American Mini-Mixer Corporation

## CONTRACTORS, BUILDING, GENERAL

Ames Billingsley, Inc. General & Specialty Contractors Association, Inc. Trans-Bay Engineers & Builders, Inc. See listing of minority construction contractors

#### DATA PROCESSING

California Computer Systems EFE Keypunch Service Ghettos' Enterprises, Inc.

#### EDUCATIONAL RESEARCHERS

Rivera Bros. Custom Consultants

## ELECTRICAL WORK

McLeod's Electrical Appliances, Air Conditioning, Sales and Service

#### ELECTRONICS

Quality Service and Price Quantum Associates, Inc.



#### EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES

ABLE, Inc.
Bartlow's Employment Agency
Canton Employment Agency
Cathay Employment Agency
China Employment Agency
Chinese Center Employment
Talent Personnel Agency

## ENGINEERING DESIGN

A & A Consulting Engineers, Inc.
Renan G. Dominguez, Structural Engineer
Howard P. Grant, Civil Engineer
IKM Printing & Engineering Drafting Service
Jordan/Mathis & Associates
Fook Z. Lee, Civil & Structural Engineer
Milton G. Leong Corp.
Trans-Bay Engineers & Builders, Inc.

#### **ENGRAVING SERVICES**

Quality Engraving Company

#### FABRIC PRODUCTS

Ghetto's Enterprises, Inc.

#### FILM PRODUCTION

ABLE, Inc.
Ted Kurihara - Commercial Photography

#### FIRE ALARM SYSTEMS

Sonic Electronics, Inc.

FREIGHT SERVICES (See TRUCKING SERVICES)

#### FURNITURE REPAIRING & REFINISHING

Bostick Sweet's Upholstery

## GRAPHIC SERVICES

Aizawa Associates, Inc. Art Associates West John & Dorothy Beckom, Custom Photography



Berkeley Mimeographic Service Breakthrough Magazine Lenlo Associates Martin Graphics Advertising The Post Newspaper Group Prestige Graphics TKY Advertising Co., Inc.

#### INTERIOR DECORATING SERVICES

Gordon's Interiors P and J Interiors

## JANITORIAL SERVICES

Bell's Janitorial Service Cordova's Building Maintenance Service Dependable Janitorial Services Edwards Janitorial Service Elev Janitorial Service Walter Jones Investment Corp. Midas Maintenance Service Quality Janitorial and Building Maintenance Service The Real McCov Maintenance Service Reliable Building Maintenance Ruiz Janitorial Service Superior Janitorial Service United Building Maintenance University Janitorial Service W & F Building Maintenance Co. Farl Warren & Associates

#### LABORATORIES

Elmhurst Clinical Laboratory
Medical Arts Clinical Laboratory

## MANAGEMENT CONSULTING

California Computer Systems
General and Specialty Contractors Association, Inc.
Interstate Research Associates
Pacific Training & Technical Assistance Corporation
Payne-Maxie Consultants
Rivera Bros. Custom Consultants
Social Dynamics, Inc.
U.S. Human Resources Corporation



## MARKETING SERVICES

ABLE, Inc.
Aizawa Associates, Inc.
Berkeley Mimeographing Service
Black and Brown Trading Stamp Corporation
Breakthrough Magazine
Martin Graphics Advertising
The Post Newspaper Group
TKY Advertising Co., Inc.

# MECHANICAL SERVICES (Also see AIRPLANE SERVICES and AUTOMOBILE REPAIR & SERVICE)

Aerospace Services, Inc. Index Forklift Co., Inc.

### METAL FINISHING

Foster's Plating and Metal Polishing Quality Metals Finishes, Inc. Swift Metal Finishing, Inc.

#### METAL SUPPLIERS

Acta-Targets Corporation

#### METALWORKING

Aceco Tool & Machine Corp.
Acta-Targets Corporation
Aerospace Services, Inc.
Air Control Heating & Air Conditioning
American Mini-Mixer Corporation
Ames Billingsley, Inc.
Superior Machine Shop

#### OFFICE PRODUCTS

Label Specialties, Inc.

#### OFFICE SERVICES

EFE Keypunch Service Eison's Office Equipment Co. Gene's Business Machines, Inc. Irvington Office Equipment



## PAPERBOARD PRODUCTS

L. D. Bolling & Sons The Box Factory

## PERSONNEL CONSULTING

ABLE, Inc.
Contra Costa Maintenance Supply Company
Rivera Bros. Custom Consultants

## PESTICIDE CONTROL SERVICES

Chem Mark Products
Gibson Pest Control Co.
Kelsey's Termite & Pest Control Co.
Pest-Go Exterminating
Herman B. Wiley Pest Control

## **PHOTOGRAPHERS**

Edward N. Barnett, Photographer
Harrell Studio
Tatsuo Ishimoto, Commercial Photography
Kem Lee Studio
Ted Kurihara, Commercial Photography
Rubin Studio, Photography
Don Tong, Photography

#### PLASTICS

Butler Frecision Belting Co.

#### PRINTING

AA Day & Night Stenographic Service
Al's Litho
Breakthrough Magazine
Compu-Letter Sales, Inc.
Granat Printers and Lithographers
IKM Printing & Engineering Drafting Service
Lewis Lithograph Company
The Post Newspaper Group
Prestige Graphics
The Printing Centre
Tilgham Press
Universal Printing Company
The Voice Publishing Company, Inc.
Youth Printing Company



## PRODUCT ASSEMBLY

Quality Service and Price Quantum Associates

## PRODUCT FINISHING

Ghettos' Enterprises, Inc.

## SANDBLASTING

Melcar Sandblasting, Inc.

## SECRETARIAL SERVICES

AA Day and Night Stenographic Service Midtown Secretarial Service

## SECURITY SERVICES

Lee's Patrol Service Richardson's Escort and Patrol Service

## SOUND SYSTEMS, EQUIPMENT & SERVICING

JAYMAC Associates DBA Creative Sound Systems

#### SUPPLIERS

Contra Costa Maintenance Supply Co.

## TRAVELERS' SERVICES

Afram World Travel Service, Inc. Charm's Travel Service

## TRUCKING SERVICES

AA Andy Moving and Storage
Bains Moving and Storage Service
Brown's Moving Service
C&T Trucking
Clinton Drayage - The Alameda Express
Ernie Conners Moving & Storage, Inc.
Davis Moving Service
Dorman Trucking Co.
Hackett's Trucking
Lee's Express
Lopez Movers Express
McGaskey's Van and Storage
Precision Transport Company, Inc.



Rapid Radial Transport, Inc. James Slaton Trucking Trux Transport, Inc. W&M Trucking Winston's Moving & Storage Co.

## UPHOLSTERING SERVICES

Bay Area Interiors Bostick Upholstering Co. Crew's Upholstery Ross Upholstery Sweet's Upholstery

## URBAN CONSULTANTS

Montgomery & Company

# VACUUM SERVICE, DESIGN & FABRICATION

Vacuum Service Engineering

## VENDING MACHINES

OC Distributing Company, Inc.

## **WOODWORK**

Adolph's Cabinets
Esteemed Product, Inc.
Ghetto's Enterprises. Inc.
Kristen Systems, Inc.
Kush Manufacturing Company, Inc.
T.J. Pallet Company
West Wood Products



# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO

# RECORD OF MINORITY VENDOR DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY

REPORTING DATE	ORDER NUMBER	TOTAL \$	
NAME OF SUCCESSFUL FIRM			
OFFERED TO MINORITIES (Ind Black Oriental PLACED WITH MINORITY VENDO If yes, indicate ethnic gro	Spanish Americ	Other	_
REASON FOR NOT PLACING WITH	H MINORITY VENDOR		
COMMODITY OR SERVICE BEING			
COMMENTS ON FOLLOW-UP INQUINOUS WHO WERE NOT LOW BID	IRIES WITH MINORITY VENDOR	('S') WHO DID NOT QUOTE OR	
			·



#### APPENDIX 13.

The Summary Report 40 Overview 10 Page 01

# 40.00 The SUMMARY OF ETHNIC AND SEX EMPLOYMENT Report

## 40.10 Overview of the Report

The "Summary of Ethnic and Sex Employment" report describes where minorities and women are employed in the University and their salary levels. The report is used by the Office for Equal Employment Opportunity to analyze where needs for affirmative action are greatest and by the University to set employment goals, monitor progress toward achieving them, and to support compliance effort.

The reports summarzine groups of employees and provide statistics, broken down by ethnic group, for men, women, or both men and women for one or more of the following categories: head count, percentage of the group who are men, women or both, weighted average salary, and FTE. The method used for grouping employees is to select those employees who have like information in the levels which have been specified.

For example: If the levels of summarization are campus, unit and modified federal occupation, then employees with the same campus, same unit and same modified federal occupation will be grouped and summarized together.

The reports are produced each academic quarter. (Refer to Section 20.30 for a discussion of the statistical base.) Each report is uniquely identified by its report number which indicates the categories and the level of summarization as described below. For a detailed description of each report see Section 40.40.

PER1001 - By Campus and Occupation

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 and a consolidated summary of all campuses including Gen-12 for staff employees by campus, University-wide accounts, federal occupation and sub group, and casual/career selection.

PER1002 - By Unit and Occupation

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 staff employees by campus, unit, federal occupation and sub group and casual/career selection.

PER1003 - By Unit, Occupation and Title

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 staff employees by campus, unit, federal occupation and sub group, and position (pay) title.



PER1004 - By Title (Staff)

Summary for each campus and  $Ge_n-12$  for staff employees by campus, University-wide accounts, position (pay) title, and casual/career selection.

PER1010 - By Unit, Academic Group and Title

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 for academic employees by campus, unit, academic group, and position (pay) title.

PER1011 - By Academic Group and Rank

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 and a consolidated summary of all campuses including Gen-12 for academic employees by campus, University-wide accounts, academic group, and rank.

PER1012 - Unit Totals

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 for academic and staff employees by campus, academic or staff, and casual/career selection.

PER1014 - Academic and Staff Totals

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 and a consolidated summary of all campuses including Gen-12 for academic and staff employees by campus, University-wide accounts, academic or staff, and casual/career selection.

PER 1015 - Campus Totals and Office of the President

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 for academic and staff employees by campus and University-wide accounts.

PER1020 - By Academic Group, Rank, and Title

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 for academic employees by campus, academic group, and position (pay) title.

PER1021 - By Title (Academic)

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 for academic employees by campus and position (pay) title.

PER1022 - All Campus Total

Summary of each campus and Gen-12 and a consolidated summary of all campuses including Gen-12 for academic and staff employees.

PER1023 - By Major Academic Group

Summary for each campus and Gen-12 and a consolidated summary of all campuses and Gen-12 for academic employees by campus, University-wide accounts, and academic major level group.



#### APPENDIX 14.

# Departmental Guidelines for Developing an Affirmative Action Program

Departments must develop specific objectives which are consistent with University and campus guidelines and policies to enable the department to meet campus and unit affirmative action goals and timetables. These objectives form the departmental affirmative action program and should relate to the specific needs of the department.

In establishing objectives and preparing records in support of the affirmative action program the following are questions you should answer:

- How does your current white and non-white, male-female employment compare with campus needs or statistics mentioned in the tables? By position levels?
- Are there any positions/position levels where you have no non-white or female employees?
- Are there departmental concentrations of employees, white or non-white male or female, which might be construed as resulting from de-facto segregation?
- Is there evidence of different pay scales for different sexes or white and non-white employees?
- Are there position level barriers apparent for certain groups, such as between blue-collar and supervisory positions?
- Are there minority group workers, whites, females or males assigned to positions below their capabilities? How many of each, as a percentage of the total employees?



- Are there positions/position levels for which both sexes can qualify, but where only one sex is represented? Why?
- How does the racial distribution of persons promoted during the past year compare with total employment distributions by race?
- How does the male-female distribution of persons promoted during the past year compare with total employment distributions by sex?
- Is there evidence of particular patterns of promotion by race, creed, color, origin, sex or age in any department?
- Are there differences in the pattern of promotions 12 months ago and now?
- What promotions are likely to be available in the next 12 months for which you can begin training selected employees?
- Do you regularly review the qualifications of all employees, including those from minority and female groups, to insure that they are considered for promotion?
- Do you insure that minority and female group employees receive adequate counselling on their advancement opportunities, and adequate training toward them?
- Are demotions adequately documented, showing specific reasons for them? Did the employee receive a fair trial period?
- Have you considered establishing new training programs and classes where outside programs for minorities are inadequate or unavailable? Literacy training? Skills training?
- Has the statement "An Equal Opportunity Employer" been included in all recruitment advertising? Do you advertise in black or other minority newspapers?
- Are you recruiting applicants from predominantly non-white and female high schools and colleges?



- Do you actively seek qualified referrals from minority and female employees for positions at all levels?
- Where applicable, do you use agencies or other sources identified with assisting minority and female group workers to find postions?
- Where applicable, have you notified all agencies in writing that they are to refer qualified applicants without regard to race, creed, color, national origin, sex or age? Have they guaranteed their compliance to you in writing?
- Have all tests used in sleection been validated at your location to be sure they are fair to all applicants for each position for which you use them? Do you have records?
- Do you have adequate written position descriptions and specifications as guides toward hiring persons with adequate skills and knowledge?
- Are your educational requirements fair to all otherwise qualified applicants?

The list can go on. These sample questions suggest the kinds of activities that should be reviewed.

Specific areas which must be considered for setting objectives are:

- 1. Hiring
  - Specific areas of underutilization and disparity have been identified and are shown on the tables in Section VII.
- 2. Upgrading, transfers and promotions Establish objectives to assure that all employees are given an opportunity to maintain current levels of skill and develop additional skills through the use of existing University policies.

The Affirmative Action Office is available to assist you in developing your departmental affirmative action program (see Affirmative Action Office Respon-ibilities).