ED 084 317   UD 013 878

AUTHOR   Forsythe, Dennis
TITLE   The Sociology of Black Separatism.
PUB DATE   Aug 73
NOTE   26p.; Paper presented at the American Sociological
                Association annual meeting, New York, N.Y., August
                1973

EDRS PRICE   MF-$0.65 HC-$3.29
DESCRIPTORS   *Black Power; Majority Attitudes; *Nationalism; Negro
                Role; Political Attitudes; Political Power; Power
                Structure; Race Relations; Racial Integration;
                *Racial Segregation; Social Change; Social
                Integration; *Social Structure; *Sociology;
                Theories

ABSTRACT
                In contrast to those Sociologists who have in the
past identified with and accepted the ruling class notion of
Integration and have proceeded to develop elaborate models of race
relations cycles, pluralism or other assimilationist and
functionalist models to support this basic assumption, the author
argues that Sociologists should instead attempt to develop dynamic
models (e.g. based on the Dialectics) to show why some form of black
Separation is inevitable and the form that it can take as well as the
processes which are leading to this. In trying to locate blacks in
the American social and political structure politicians, scholars and
laymen alike have used the concept of "Integration" and "Separation"
to designate polar points on a continuum which relates blacks to the
American social structure. These two terms are elevated to "ideals"
in the United States because the best majority of blacks are
suspended on the margins of both possibilities. The predominant and
recurring fact is neither integration or separation, but a state of
limbo, of marginality. The Sociology of Black Separation should
therefore be concerned with basically three facts: the white denial
of integration, the condition of black marginality, and the ensuing
imperative of black separation. (Author/JM)

THE SOCIOLOGY OF BLACK SEPARATISM

Dennis Forsythe, Ph.D.
Department of Sociology,
Howard University.

Paper prepared for the 1973 Annual Meeting of the
American Sociological Association, New York.

THE SOCIOLOGY OF BLACK SEPARATISM

This analysis begins with the value-laden meta-sociological postulate that the purpose of Sociology should be (i) to enhance our understanding of society by analysing, elucidating, clarifying and explaining social inter-connections. This would then enable us to (ii) predict social occurrences and also (iii) facilitate social construction and social reorganization. Such should constitute the basic aims and justification of Sociology, or of any of the social sciences. Our discussion of Separatism should therefore constantly bear these specifications in mind. However, before we tackle the problem itself, we are ourselves tackled by the issue of the mode of analysis and the theoretical procedure or perspective which we, as Sociologists, must use in our attempt to understand and impart this understanding of social inter-connections to others.

Despite the manifold disagreements in Sociology, there is remarkable unanimity amongst Sociologists on one point. Sociologists ranging from Marx, Tocqueville, Durkheim, Weber down to Talcott Parsons have all concurred to the importance of "interest" in holding human groups together. Several in fact constructed models of social interaction based upon "interest." Admittedly, consensus theorists like Durkheim and Parsons wrongly confused particular group interest with general societal interest, thereby failing to recognize the diversity and even conflicting interests within the total whole. Never-

theless this concept remains useful,for once we accept that
(1) the basic division in American society has been and is based
on colour and "race," and that (2) there is a compulsive im-
perative inherent to group interests, we are on our way in un-
derstanding the relationship between blacks and whites over
time. Once we clearly understand the dichotomous interests of
whites and blacks on an historic plane, we have a reasonable
tool for understanding and prediction. This is based on the
equally reasonable assumption that whites have been and will be
impelled to think and act in ways that enhance their group in-
terest. Concurrently, it is logical and reasonable for blacks
to deviate from the status quo along separate and independent
lines in ways that will increase the welfare and power of the
black collectivity. Such a perspective as this, simple as it
sounds, can help us to locate and explain this location of blacks
within the American social structure over time, and at the
same time suggests a way of conceiving a solution to our pro-
blem.

In trying to locate blacks in the American social and
political structure politicians, scholars and laymen alike
have used the concept of "Integration" and"Separation" to
designate polar points on a continuum which relates blacks to
the American social structure. What else? While working class
movements have traditionally fought on a dimension of "Leftism"
and "Rightism", the black struggle has been defined in terms
of Integration and Separation, an orientation which suggests

a far more complex and multi-dimensional problem. While the working classes struggled chiefly for economic benefits, the black struggle has been cultural, economic, psychological - in a word, a much more total and all inclusive struggle; it is a "people's" or "national" struggle rather than simply a class struggle. Therefore "Leftist" and "Rightist" designations are far too narrow, and as such can be applied to integration-ists and separatists alike.

However, appropriate as the conceptual definition of the Afro-American position might have been, in terms of Inte-gration and Separation, the fact is that these two terms are elevated to "ideals" in the United States because the vast majority of blacks have neither been "integrated" or "separated", but rather in a state of limbo and inbetween-ness, suspended on the margins of both possibilities. The predominant and re-curring fact is neither integration nor separation, but a state of limbo, of marginality. Basic to the black experience are: (i) a rejection by white society; (ii) an inability and power-lessness to live their own independent lives. Blacks have been intentionally molded into a "marginal" people, because this condition of marginality is evidently consonant with the in-terests of whites much more than the other two possibilities.

Our stress and focus on marginality is deliberate and will hopefully be seen as justified as our analysis unfolds. In Sociology the concept itself takes on many other semantic

forms thereby diffusing and diminishing the general occurrence, and hence, importance of this phenomenon. It covers roughly the same phenomena as "relative deprivation", "status incon- sistency" and "reference group theory." For blacks "marginality" is more problematic than poverty per se, for there is abundant data which attest to the fact that the poor often work out en- during and ordered relationships, in the face of poverty. Poverty becomes more problematic in a context of affluence - when jux- taposed alongside affluence - and when the poor are exposed to the possibility of wealth but denied the means to attain this. Marginality is thus viewed here as the common denominator and monstrocity against which blacks struggle. It transcends national, economic and class frontiers. It is the basic sit- uation faced by all blacks whether in Africa or America, whether black bourgeoisie or black proletariat, or black lumpen.

While blacks often quibble amongst themselves and con- struct complicated systems of intra-group categories based on colour and class, as far as out-group relations are concerned, "a nigger, is a nigger, is a nigger." This clearly differen- tiates them from say the poor whites who are poor but not "mar- ginal" in this sense.

The Sociology of Black Separation should therefore be concerned with basically three facts:

    (i) The white denial of integration

    (ii) The condition of black marginality

(iii) The ensuing imperative of black separation

(i) Integration - Real and Token

True integration involves assimilation and a destruction of the racial frontiers of the subordinate group so that it merges indelibly into the larger society. Instead of being a "no-body" or a "black", the integrated individual becomes a "Black Anglo-Saxon" or a "Black Afro-Saxon" - "black on the outside, white on the inside." Such blacks might have full stomachs, but to them the question may still be posed: what does it profit a man to gain the whole world, but loose his group soul?

Even so, this ideal of true integration is never attained in American society. American society has always been a "herrenvolk democracy": These are

> regimes such as those of the United States
> or South Africa, that are democratic for
> the master race but tyrannical for the
> subordinate groups. The desire to pre-
> serve both the profitable forms of dis-
> crimination and exploitation and the
> democratic ideology made it necessary to
> deny humanity to the oppressed groups.[1]

At most, blacks have attained only token concessions and token integration. This was what Malcolm X meant when he

---

1. Pierre L. Van den Berghe, Race and Racism, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1967, p. 18.

derisively sneered that "Integration means that now black
people can sit next to white people and eat a hamburger or act
side by side and use the same toilet."

It is impossible for blacks to get absorbed into the
present system as a group because all capitalist societies,
by their very nature, need a subject group. The Civil Rights
Movement, to the extent that it attained any success, allowed
only for the absorption of a few token individuals into the
system, but even so they are absorbed at a great cost to them-
selves and to the black community. As Lerone Bennett so loudly
proclaimed in the August 1973 issue of Ebony: "For blacks in
America, there is only one thing worse than failing in America -
and that is succeeding in America." It destroys the potential
dynamism of the black community by this process of tokenism
and diffusion, as instanced in Frazier's description of black
intellectuals:

> ...for most Negro intellectuals the
> integration of the Negro means... the
> emptying of his life of meaningful content
> and ridding him of all Negro identifi-
> cation. For them, integration and eventual
> assimilation means, the annihilation of the
> Negro - physically, culturally and spirit-
> ually.[2]

---

2. E. Franklin Frazier, The Failure of the Negro Intellectual,"
in E. Franklin Frazier on Race Relations, University of
Chicago Press, 1968.

(2) Marginality and its Costs

White strategy has been to keep blacks in a state of
marginality and alienation by means of token integration, token
separation and aparthied separation. These choices have meant
that Afro-Americans can either be "white", or a "no-body" or a
sub-human. Either way, it reflects either a condition of
utter alienation, internal anguish and despair on the one hand,
or a virulent type of false-consciousness and dilusion on the
other.

At this point we may as well elaborate on the concept
of marginality. The marginality of Afro-Americans takes on
both cultural and social forms. Robert Park pioneered the
cultural usage of marginality. For him, the marginal man is
a cultural hybrid in that he lives in "two, not merely different
but antagonistic cultures."[3] The marginal man, argues Park,
is "an effect of imperialism, economic, political and cultural"..
.. He is "a cultural hybrid, a man living and sharing inti-
mately in the cultural life and traditions of two distinct
peoples; never quite willing to break, even if he were per-
mitted to do so, with its past and its traditions, and not
quite accepted because of racial prejudice, in the new society
in which he now sought to find a place."[4]

---

3. Robert E. Park, Race and Culture (Glencoe: The Free Press,
1950), p. 373.

4. Robert E. Park in "Introduction" to Everett V. Stonequist,
The Marginal Man, 1937, p. xviii; Robert E. Park, "Human Mi-
gration and the Marginal Man", American Journal of Sociology,
May, 1928, No. 6.

Stonequist followed Park in defining marginality in cultural terms. For him "culture conflict and differential are the basic factors in creating the marginal man."[5] Equally explicit, he noted that "it is the fact of cultural duality which is the determining influence in the life of the marginal man."[6] His definition of marginality often runs identical to that of Park, as when he describes the marginal man as "the individual who lives in or has ties of kinship with two or more interacting societies between which there exists sufficient incompatibility to render his own adjustment to them difficult, or impossible. He does not quite, "belong" or feel at home in either group."[7]

The second dimension of marginality useful in locating blacks in the American social structure is <u>social marginality</u> as defined in status terms. Everett Hughes sees marginality as a subjective dilemma arising from an inferior status assignation.[8] Others have come to stress this aspect of

---

5. Stonequist, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 215.

6. <u>Ibid.</u>, p. 217

7. Stonequist, The Marginal Character of the Jews", in I. Graeber and S. Britt, eds., <u>Jews in the Gentile World</u> (New York: Manmillan Company, 1942), p. 297.

8. E. Hughes, "Social Change and Status Politics: Essay on the Marginal Man," <u>Phylon</u>, X, 1, 1949.

marginality. Antonovsky, for instance, includes in the defini-
tion of the marginal situation, examples "where some of the
members of one group ... come under the influence of another
group ... and where cultural and/or racial barriers serve to
block full and legitimate membership within another group."[9].
Continuing this emphasis, Dorothy Nelkin, in her study, argued
that the relevant issue should not be the "cultural conflict"
experienced by mobile individuals, rather, "the emphasis must
be placed on the isolation of a group and the relatively im-
permeable barriers established by the dominant society. (For
example) The migrant community is marginal in that it is situa-
ted on the margins of the larger society."[10]. A recent advo-
cate of this view of marginality is Dickie-Clark. The margi-
nal situation" applies very widely, he said, to those "hierarch-
ichal situations in which there is any inconsistency in the
ranking of an individual or stratum in any of the matters
falling within the scope of the hierarchy..... This inconsis-
tency is taken as the essential core of sociological marginal-
ity." 11.

_____

9. Antonovsky, op. cit., p. 57

10. Dorothy Nelkin, "A Response to Marginality: The Case of
Migrant Farm Workers," British Journal of Sociology, XX, 4,
December, 1969.

11. Dickie-Clark, The Marginal Situation (London: Routh-
ledge and Kegan Paul, 1966), pp. 39, 48.

There are abundant evidence to support our claim re-
garding the general omnipotence of the state of social and
cultural marginality facing Afro-Americans and also evidence
to indicate the extent to which this impairs the advancement
of blacks. The problem of marginality is best tapped at the
subjective level of black awareness. Consequently an exami-
nation of Afro-American literature will reveal such psycho-
logical expressions of marginality in feelings of (i) "No-bo-
diness", (ii) "Blackness as a Burden", (iii) "Being walled
in", and (iv) "Homelessness". (i) Nobodiness: Afro-Americans
are afflicted by the crushing sense of "nobodiness." In their
literature this theme is variously expressed as that of "face-
lessness" or "invisibility."[12]. William James wrote: "No more
fiendish punishment could be devised, were such a thing physi-
cally possible than that one should be turned loose in society
and remain unnoticed by all the members there."[13]. Ralph Elli-
son declared, "I am an invisible man... simply because people
refuse to see me." As for James Baldwin, it was only in Paris
that he could find a workable degree of identity; as long as
he remained in North America, "there was not, no matter where

12. James Baldwin, Nobody Knows My Name, (New York: Dell
Publishing Co.,Inc., 1963); Ralph Ellison, Invisible Man
New York; Random House Inc., 1952).

13. Quoted in Charles Silberman, Crisis in Black and White,
op. cit., p. 53.

one turned, an acceptable image of oneself, no proof of one's

existence."[14]. When Bill Russell was kicked about by the police,

he wondered, "Why did they do it? What does it make me? Am

I nothing? Am I a nonperson? Malcolm X, as a result of his

childhood experiences, remarks that in the eyesight of welfare

workers, "We were not people... just things." The feelings of

young black children were recently published in book form.[15].

One fourteen-year old child asked: "For what purpose was I

born? I don't see. To speak words that no one will listen

to, no matter how loud I shout."[16]. For Afro-Americans, it

is the very consciousness of self which is suppressed, and this

basic self-doubt provides no solid anchorage for great aspira-

tions.

(ii) <u>Blackness as a Burden</u>: On being interviewed, one Afro-

American recalled: "I got this letter from a friend of mine.

He's gone to Paris and he says, 'come over here. You need a

rest from being a Negro."[17]. Essayist-novelist J. Saunders

Redding confessed that "one's heart is sickened at the reali-

---

14. Quoted in Charles Silberman, <u>Crisis in Black and White</u>,
op. cit., p. 71.

15. Stephen Joseph, <u>The Me Nobody Knows: Children Voices</u>
from the Ghetto.

16. <u>Ibid</u>., p. 39.

17. Bertram Karon, <u>The Negro Personality</u>, op. cit., p. 1.

zation of the primal energy that goes into the sheer business
of living as a Negro in the U.S.A." [18]. Another s / based
on the childhood experiences of nineteen prominent blacks[19]
registered the point that the black skin brought with it an
exposure to "deep hurt, terror and ugly emotions." A few
examples taken from these cases will serve to highlight the
point. When Daisy Bates found out that her mother was raped
and killed, she reacted by complete withdrawal, declaring that
"if Jesus is like white people, I don't want any part of him;
as a mob approached the home of Walter F. White, he realized
that "it made no difference how intelligent or talented my
millions of brothers and I were, or how virtuously we lived.
A curse like that of Judas was upon us, a mark of degradation
fashioned with heavenly authority"; Elizabeth Adams, in des-
peration, wondered "how God would like it if someone called
him a nigger"; Gordon Parks, after coming across racial
killings, confessed that he"wondered why God had made me
black"; by the time Claude Brown was nine years old, he "had
been hit by a bus, thrown in the Harlem River, hit by a car,
severly beaten with chain and had set the house afire."
(iii) Being Walled in: Richard Wright in Black Boy, says,

---

18. Quoted in Charles Silverman, Crisis in Black and White,
op. cit., p. 54.

19. Jay David, Growing Up Black (Toronto: Simin and Schuster
of Canada Ltd., 1968).

"I seemed forever condemned, ringed by walls... I feel trapped";
elsewhere he used the metaphor of a "steel prison" to describe
his feelings. Langston Hughes in a poem, "As I Grow Older",
indicated: "And then the wall rose.../Between me and my dream
.../Dimming/The light of my dream/Rose until it touched the
sky - the wall." Will Thomas spoke, too; of walls...like
morning mists." W.E.B. Du Bois referred constantly to "the
veil", or the "colour line" or "the racial mountain" or the
"caste line", which created what he called "double conscious-
ness." In Dusk of Dawn he took pains to detail the psycholo-
gical impact and impasse of marginality resulting from caste
segregation:

> It is though one, looking out from a
> dark cave in a side of an impending
> mountain, sees the world passing and
> speaks to it; speaks courteously and
> persuasively, showing them how these
> entombed souls are hindered in their
> natural movement, expression and
> development... It gradually permeates
> the minds of the prisoners that the
> people passing do not hear; that some
> thick sheet of invisible but horribly
> tangible plate glass is between them
> and the world... Some of the passing world
> stop in curiosity... they laugh and pass
> on; they still either do not hear at all
> or hear but dimly, and even what they
> hear they do not understand.[20]

(iv) Homelessness: Afro-Americans have been denied the ad-
vantages of a stable niche in the society, as a result of
which they lack that feeling of rootedness, sense of stability,

---

20. W.E.B. Du Bois, Dusk of Dawn.

and feeling of belonging. This homelessness may be seen in
their folk songs and spirituals which constantly express the
longing for a home beyond Jordon:

(1) I'm rolling through an unfriendly worl'....

(2) Swing low sweet chariot
     Come for to carry me home...

(3) Deep river, my home is over Jordan...

(4) I'm a poor pilgrim of sorrow...
     I'm tryin' to make heaven my home...

(5) Sometimes I feel like a motherless child,
     A long way from home...

(6) Sometimes I am tossed and driven
     Sometimes I don't know where to roam,
     I've heard of a city called heaven,
     I've started to make it my home...

All of the above are valuable ways of viewing the symp-
tons and consequences of marginality. Frantz Fanon's Black
Skin White Masks also provides a superb analysis of the psycho-
logical results of marginality, a fact which accounts for the
universal validity of Fanon's analysis. In line with our
foregoing analysis Fanon depicts the constant psychological
traits of blacks - ego-concerns and feelings of insignificance,
worthlessness, uneasiness, anxiety, insecurity, devaluation,
hypersensitivity and abandonment. All this stemmed essentially
from the structural location of marginality: "Without a Negro
post, without a Negro future, it was impossible for me to live
my negrohood. Not yet white, no longer wholly black, I was
damned."

The Hidden Advantage of Black Marginality:

Despite the pessimism which the above description would seem to justify, one can nevertheless truly talk of the dialectics of black liberation because of a certain dynamic quality inherent to the marginal location. Marginality entails insecurity, discontentment and conflict. It generates such escapisms like drugs, alcholism, suicides, migrations, flights of fantasy, in addition to the more constructive social movements. In fact, most of the powerful and dynamic black movements have been generated by such marginal blacks rather than by those "integrated."

Their marginal position has further provided them with a certain degree of detachment and exteriority which has enabled them to remain above the system. This is what Veblen, in another context, called the advantages of backwardness. By being excluded from the system, blacks have been saved from the drudgery of being incorporated, from the debilitation of the black bourgeoisie, and have emerged, theoretically, with a much clearer image of the pitfalls of white society and white civilization. They qualify more as the stuff of the Marcusean revolutionary man, "a man who rejects the performance of principles governing the established societies.. who has rejected the hypocritical puritan morality, (and who has).. a good conscience of joy and pleasure."[21].

. Herbert Marcuse, Liberation from the Affluent Society" in Cooper, The Dialectics of Liberation, Penguin, 1968, p. 184.

This possibility of transcending the system has occurred most markedly among a number of black intellectuals, who like prodigal sons, have returned back to their roots, and have moved towards working out a revolutionary ideology. Aime Cesaire in his monumental poem - "Statement On My Return to my Native Country" - gave vivid expression to this phenomenon of "wisdom-through-suffering" by jubilantly exalting the black antithesis:

> Hurray for those who never invented anything
>
> hurray for those who never explored anything
>
> hurray for those who never conquered anything
>
> but who, in awe, give themselves up to the essence of things
>
> hurray for joy
>
> hurray for love
>
> hurray for the pain of incarnate tears...
>
> Give me the wild belief of the magician
>
> Give my hands the power to create...
>
> Turn me into a fighter against all conceit
>
> And yet obedient to my people's spirit...
>
> Do not turn me into a man of hate whom I shall hate,
>
> for in order to emerge into this unique race,
>
> You know my worldwide love...
>
> Listen to the white world
>
> how it resents its great efforts...
>
> Listen how their defeats sound from their victories.
>
> Mercy! Mercy for our omnicient, naive conquers.

The "conclusion" of Fanon's The Wretched of the Earth similar-
ly dramatises this transcendental function:

Come, then comrades; it would be as well

to decide at once to change our ways ...

We must leave our dreams and abandon our

old beliefs and friendships... Leave this

Europe where they are never done talking of

Man, yet murder men elsewhere... Look at

them today swaying between atomic and

spiritual disintegration... So, my brothers,

how is it that we do not understand that we

have better things to do than to follow

that same Europe?.. European achievements,

European techniques, and the European style

ought no longer to tempt us and to throw us

off our balances... When I search for man

in the technique and the style of Europe, I

see only a succession of negations of man, and

an avalanche of murders... Two centuries ago,

a former European colony decided to catch up

with Europe. It succeeded so well that the

U.S.A. became a monster in which the taints,

the sickness, and inhumanity of Europe have

grown to appalling dimensions... So comrades,

let us not pay tribute to Europe by creating

states, institutions, and societies which

draw their inspirations from her......

(3) The Ensuing Separation

Both "push" and "pull" factors have worked towards the
acceptance of various doctrines of black "separatism", a term
which refers both to institutional and psychological separa-
tion. Historically, in order to guarantee maximum white con-
trol, whites ensured that black separation was at its minimum.
Any assertion of black independence, any movement towards black
autonomy, was seen as a dangerous manifestation of black re-
bellion and black uppity-ness. The more black separation there
was, the less the possibility of white exploitation.

However, an emancipated slave cannot remain in the
household of his former master and be anything but the slave.
To consume his freedom, to realize his manhood, he has to severe
ties and separate, just as a growing child must assert his
independence and go out into the world in order to establish
new and independent roots. Such feelings like "nobodiness",
"blackness as a burden", "being walled in" and "homelessness"
can be eliminated only by embracing some ideology with an
important separatist orientation, as is found in such move-
ments as Black Nationalism, Black Marxism, Pan-Africanism,
Back-to-Africa, or Black Studies. In fact in the history of
the United States these movements have been tremendously im-
portant in terms of their mobilizing and therapeutic effects.

They deliberately aimed at combating marginality and so it is
no wonder that all the positive attitudes associated today
with blackness were nurtured in the womb of sectarian separa-
tist movements rather than in movements of integration, atti-
tudes like black pride, black unity, black is beautiful, and
black power. Besides, the ideology of Separatism seems to
unleach a creative mental energy which is not found amongst
Integrationists. For instance, Du Bois and his followers who
worked around "Crisis" magazine were a more creative intellec-
tually than Booker T. Washington and his Tuskegee group. So
important is the ideology of Separatism that it seems plausi-
ble to inject some reservations towards Fanon's theory of
violence, for it seems demonstratable that the therapy and the
"cleansing of the mind" of symptoms of marginality occurs less
from the violence of movements and more from the elements of
separatism, security and discipline inherent to these move-
ments as is so well demonstrated in such overtly non-violent
separatist movements like Garveyism and the Black Muslims.

On the other hand, the Civil Rights Movement, which
is integrationists in essence, does not cater to these psy-
chological deprivations, but rather increases them because in-
tegration - both substantive and token - is one of the very
sources of marginality. Historically, integration for blacks
has meant broken dreams, exploitation, frustrations and im-
poverishment (psychological and economic); it is by defini-

tion a means by which false-consciousness and marginality are
institutionalized by failing to respect their cultural uniqueness.
Substantive Separation is, on the other hand, a return to black
ethnocentricism, and is the only means to reduce the costs of
marginality. For this reason, whites have always opposed
Separatism. For them black separation has meant insecurity,
uneasiness and powerlessness - in short, it generates or re-
allocates all the elements of Marginality from blacks to whites
themselves. At the Black Writer's Conference in Montreal on
August, 1968, the author witnessed whites breaking down the
door of a room where blacks were having a secluded "family
discussion." Blacks have long known "Watergate", though in
much more forceful and brutal forms!

Separatism, then, is a method, just one aspect of
an ideology of change, and not an end in itself. The Socio-
logist, Alvin Gouldner, stated:

> In so far as a system is composed of
> some parts which have a high degree of
> functional autonomy, it has the poten-
> tials for certain types of changes...
> which would not exist had there been
> no functional autonomy"[22].

All this means that in principle the ideology of Separa-
tism is plausible but certain practical and pragmatic considera-

---

22. Alvin Gouldner, "Reciprocity and Autonomy in Functional
Theory" in L. Gross, Symposium on Socological Theory. Harper
and Row, New York, 1959.

tions nevertheless comes quickly to mind: Is it possible in practice, and if so, should it be territorial or institutional, psychological, economic or political? What strategies should we adopt to become separate? Should we be separated within the system or outside the system? What should we do with our separatedness, once we have attained it?

To answer these questions objectively, it is first necessary to acknowledge the importance of context which will direct us to take into account the unique position faced by Afro-Americans. They constitute an internal colony composed of a qualitative and quantitative minority, having little economic and political power, suffering from a high degree of spatial and mental sprawl, and living on the margins of the most powerful and highly developed capitalist. system which has exhibited a remarkable degree of adaptability to change.

Therefore black separation in the United States can only occur in the form of the development of a black counter-culture or sub-system. A direct military onslaught on the system is out ruled. Blacks have no choice but to develop their own counter-culture and this process will prove much easier than trying to become integrated and incorporated into white society.

The development of a black sub-system adds up to black community development, which in essence, entails three aspects:

    (i) A re-examination of everything in the light
         of the long run interests and needs of blacks;

   (ii)   The progressive detachment from white institu-
          tions and white values generally, and

   (iii) The creation and development of black community
          institutions for blacks and controlled by
          blacks, in the spheres of politics,
          economics and culture.

Their community development will only come from a
multi-dimensional attack on the basic problem of community un-
derdevelopment, disorganization and powerlessness. They must
develop a counter-sub-system and relate to the American system
like a flea in the belly of the monster, an internal force that
can silently wear down the monster from within. Concretely,
black community development will automatically weaken the
American system since the latter's strength and development
is directly based on their underdevelopment. Implicit is the
premise that it is easier to change and develop a sub-system,
to become a part of one's own system, than it is to change
the larger whole. This is implicit in the ideology of Black
Power though its advocates glaringly failed to show the dyna-
mic connection between such changes in the system and the en-
suing change of the system.

       Separation is by far superior as a strategy to either
integration or marginality. It recognizes the group-nature
of American society, and attempts to mobilize blacks on the
basis of their unique experiences and their distinct interests.
Any black movement or ideology that fails to accept the dic-
tates of this group imperative of American life is doomed to
fail, for the fact of ethnic solidarity and ethnic power are

firmly rooted in American society. The idea of separation is
not simply or mainly spatial in connotation: it is based on
shared experiences, communicative effectiveness and interests,
which together comprise the substance of their uniqueness and
constitute their claim to being a "people". As Karl Deutsch
stated:

> Peoples are marked off from each
> other by communicative barriers, by
> "marked gaps" in the efficiency of
> communication... Membership in a
> people essentially consists in wide
> complementarity of social communication.
> It consists in the ability to communi-
> cate more effectively, and over a wider
> range of subjects, with members of one
> large group than with outsiders....
> Complementarity is greater if it permits
> individuals to communicate efficiently
> no matter how often they change their
> residence or their occupations....[23].

Separatism is purely a pragmatic ideology of black
preservation and black advancement. But black Separation will
eventually become a reality only when whites find it costlier
to have blacks on the margins of white society than the alter-
native of black separation. A baby matures in the womb of the
mother until the point when it is ejected, otherwise it destroys
the mother. Civil disobedience and the politics of black vio-
lence in the 1960's partially convinced whites of the greater

---

23. Karl Deutsch, Nationalism and Social Communication,
M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1966, p. 97.

costs of black marginality, hence their current drive towards
token separation and token integration.

However, while embracing the ideology of Separatism,
blacks should be alert to certain possible misconceptions and
dangers:

(i) Token Separation: This occurs in the case of neocolonial
regimes or closer still, in Black Studies Departments where
effective control remains in the hands of the white ruling
class despite all the outward appearances of independence and
separation.

(ii) Caste Separation: This sitting-at-the-back-of-the-bus
type of separation occurs for example in Aparthied systems
where the people are fixated at a lower level of development
and are thereby crippled and denied the normal patterns of growth
and development. Blacks have long known this type of separa-
tion - first into "slave quarters" on slave ships and on planta-
tions, and later in ghettoes;

(iii) Confusing isolation with Separation: The American
Colonization Society attempted to isolate freed blacks from
the slaves; likewise Maroons were shipped out of Jamaica to
Nova Scotia and later to Sierre Leone. This is not separa-
tion as such, but isolation, a strategy developed to enhance
white control.

While Sociologists have in the past identified with
and accepted the ruling class notion of Integration and have
proceeded to develop elaborate models of race relations cycles,

pluralism or other assimilationist and functionalist models
to support this basic assumption, I have intimated here that
Sociologists should instead attempt to develop dynamic models
(e.g. based on the Dialectics) to show why some form of black
Separation is inevitable and the form that it can take as well
as the processes which are leading to this.