DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 084 286 TM 003 294

AUTHOR Johnson, Lary

TITLE Secondary Schools Released Time Evaluation:

1971-72.

INSTITUTION Minneapolis Public Schools, Minn. Dept. of Research

and Evaluation.

REPORT NO C-71-67
PUB DATE Jun 72
NOTE 41p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS Objectives; *Program Evaluation; *Questionnaires;

*Released Time; School Policy; Secondary Grades; *Secondary School Teachers; Teacher Attitudes;

Technical Reports

IDENTIFIERS *Minneapolis Secondary Schools

ABSTRACT

During the 1971-72 school year, Minneapolis secondary students were released from their classes every Tuesday at 2:00 to allow secondary staff members to participate in staff development activities. The purpose of the released time program was to give secondary personnel an opportunity to work on common concerns and objectives. Based on questionnaire returns from more than 80% of the teachers, more time was spent on department meetings than on any other activity. Other activities on which many teachers spent released time were attending quadrant consultant meetings, attending faculty meetings to discuss school policies, developing new courses and materials, and identifying department objectives. Almost 90% of the responding personnel would like to see the Secondary Released Time Program continued. (Author/CK)

LM

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED TRACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM ATTION OR GANIZATION OR

Research States and Evaluation



Educational Services

Minneapolis Public Schools



BOARD OF EDUCATION

Richard F. Allen, Chairman

Frank E. Adams

Philip A. Olson

W. Harry Davis

David W. Preuss

Mrs. Marilyn A. Borea

Stuart W. Rider, Jr.

SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

John B. Davis, Jr.

MINNEAPOLIS PUBLIC SCHOOLS Special School District No. 1 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55413

An Equal Opportunity Employer



Minneapolis Public Schools

Secondary Schools Released Time Evaluation
1971-72

Lary Jonnson

Ideas expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the official position of the Minneapolis Public School Administration nor the Minneapolis School Board

June 1972 Report No. C-71-67 Research and Evaluation Department Educational Services Division



Minneapolis Public Schools

Secondary Schools Released Time Evaluation 1971-72

Summary

During the 1971-72 school year, Minneapolis secondary students were released from their classes every Tuesday at 2:00 to allow secondary staff members to participate in staff development activities. The purpose of the released time program, as stated by the Minneapolis Public Schools' Department of Secondary Education, was to give secondary personnel an opportunity to work on common concerns and problems and to plan together for both short-range objectives and long-range goals. Except for once-amonth subject-area meetings for each quadrant division of schools, the individual schools had responsibility for using the released time to meet their own needs.

See p. 1

Based on questionnaire returns from more than 80% of the teachers, it appears that more time was spent on department meetings than on any other activity. Department meetings also received the highest rating on value. Fifty-seven percent of the teachers said department meetings were Very Valuable and another 40% said they were Of Some Value. Other activities on which many teachers spent released time and which received high ratings were attending quadrant consultant meetings, attending faculty meetings to discuss school policies, developing new courses and materials, and identifying department objectives.

See pp. 4, 5

Almost 90% of the responding personnel would like to see the Secondary Released Time Program continued, although half of this group would like to see some changes. Based on the results of the questionnaire and the suggestions for changes, the following recommendations were made: clarify the objectives of the program at all levels, from the Department of Secondary Education to subgroups or individuals within schools; devote more time and personnel to planning the activities; provide sufficient time for department meetings; more carefully assess the purpose and structure of subject-area consultant meetings.

See p. 2

See pp. 9, 10

* * *

Research and Evaluation Department Educational Services Division 807 N. E. Broadway Minneapolis, Minnesota 55413

June 1972



Table of Contents

	·.	Page
Descripti	on	1
Involveme	nt in Planning	3
Released	Time Schedule	4
Released !	Time Activities	14
Response l	by School, Subject, and Position	6
Suggestion	ns for Changes	7
Summary a	nd Recommendations	9
Appendix	Staff Response to the Secondary	
	Released Time Program by Position and Subject Area	12
B	Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program by Senior High Schools	21
	Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program by Junior High Schools	26
C ·	The Questionnaire	31



List of Tables

Table	No.		Page
1		Reactions of Teachers, Administrators, and Support Personnel to Questions on the Value of Secondary Released Time	2
2		Staff Involvement in Planning Released Time Activities	3
3		Teachers' Released Time Activities and Teachers' Ratings of Their Value	5
4		Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program by Postion and Subject Area	13
5		Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program by Senior High Schools	22
6		Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program by Junior High Schools	27



Minneapolis Public Schools

Secondary Schools Released Time Evaluation 1971-72

During the 1971-72 school year Minneapolis secondary school students were released from their classes every Tuesday at 2:00 to allow secondary staff members to participate in in-service training. This was the second year of the released time program. As stated by the Minneapolis Public Schools' Department of Secondary Education, the purpose of the released time program was to give secondary personnel "an opportunity to work on common concerns and problems and to plan together for both short-range objectives and long-range goals."

At the request of the Department of Secondary Education, an evaluation was conducted by the Research and Evaluation Department in consultation with secondary staff members. In early May 1972 a questionnaire was distributed to all secondary certificated personnel. Responses to the questionnaire are summarized in this report. A copy of the questionnaire is in Appendix C.

Questionnaires were returned by 1,172 teachers, 162 support personnel (counselors, librarians, social workers, etc.), and 30 administrators (the instructions that went to the schools with the questionnaires did not clearly indicate whether or not administrators should complete the questionnaire). Based on the October 1971 "Sight Count of Personnel" compiled by the Information Services Center, about 84% of the teachers, 73% of the support personnel, and 45% of the administrators returned questionnaires.

Table 1 gives the participants' response to three general questions regarding the value of the released time program. More than one half (56%)



of the teachers felt that students, in the long run, would receive a better education as a result of released time; 16% of the teachers did not feel the students would receive a better education; and 28% were not sure. In response to a similar question asked in the previous year (1970-71), 61% of the teachers said students would receive a better education, 31% said they would not, and 8% said "Other Answer" ("Not Sure" was not a choice in 1970-71).

Reactions of Teachers, Administrators, and Support Personnel to Questions on the Value of Secondary Released Time (Percent)

Question	Response	Teachers N=1172	Adminis- trators N=30	Support Personnel N=162
Do you feel that your students, in the long	Yes	56%	69%	50%
run, will receive a	No	16	14	14
better education as a result of the Secondary Released Time program?	Not Sure	28	17	36
Estimate the percentage of your released time	80 - 100%	22	31	19
that was spent on acti-	50 - 79%	38	45	37
vities that you think will benefit the educa-	20 - 49%	5,14	17	33
tion of students	0 - 1%	16	7	. 11
The Secondary Released Time program should be:	Continued without change	प्रम	40	40
	Discontinued	12	10	11
	Continued with changes	կկ	50 ^{° 8}	49

What percent of their released time did teachers spend on activities that would benefit the education of students? Table 1 shows that 22% of the



teachers estimated 80-100% of their released time, 38% estimated 50-79%, and 40% estimated less than one-half of their released time.

Almost nine out of 10 teachers wanted the released time program continued, although half of these teachers wanted some changes in the program. About one in 10 wanted the program discontinued. A summary of suggested changes is presented on page 7.

Support personnel responded to these items in much the same fashion as teachers, while administrators gave somewhat more favorable ratings to the program's value.

Involvement in Planning

As in the previous year, many teachers indicated their involvement in planning released time meetings was not extensive (Table 2). Although % of the teachers had input into many meetings and 28% had input into some meetings, more than 60% had input into two or less meetings. Almost 60% of the teachers would like to have more input into planning released time meetings. Also other hand, two-thirds of the administrators said they would not like to have more input.

Table 2
Staff Involvement in Planning Released Time Meetings

Question	Response	Teachers N=1172	Adminis- trators N=30	Support Personnel N=162
To what extent were you involved in	I had input into planning:			
planning Secondary Released Time	Many meetings	9%	43%	9%
meetings?	Some meetings	28	40	29
	One or two meetings	23	7	3 8
	No meetings	39	10	24
Would you like to have more input into	Yes	58	34	55
planning released time meetings?	No	42	66	45



Released Time Schedule

When asked to indicate their preference between two choices for a released time schedule next year, 64% of the teachers indicated once-a-week from 2:00 - 3:45 and 36% of the teachers expressed a preference for once-a-month, half-days. A greater percentage of administrators (57%) and support personnel (48%) than teachers preferred the once-a-month, half-days schedule.

Write-in comments noted several reasons for changing to longer, less frequent meetings: a larger block of time to work on activities would be available, travel time to consultant meetings would not cut into the meeting time as much, and there would be less disruption of classes.

Some teachers suggested morning meetings when teachers are not tired.

Morning meetings would also avoid conflicts with extra-curricular activities, they said.

Released Time Activities

With the exception of subject-area meetings, which were coordinated by the central office consultants and scheduled once-a-month for each quadrant division of schools, the individual schools had responsibility for using the released time to meet their own needs. Department meetings were stressed as an appropriate use of released time in communications from the Department of Secondary Education. Teacher recommendations from the previous year had indicated the value of department meetings.

To determine the activities that actually occurred and the corresponding value of each activity, secondary personnel were asked to respond to a list of 20 activities by indicating (1) whether they spent A Lot, Some, A Little, or No Time on each activity, and (2) whether each activity on which they spent time was Very Valuable, Of Some Value, or Of No Value. Table 3 on page 5 gives the responses of the 1,172 teachers to these 20 activities.

It appears that more time was spent in department meetings than on



Table 3

Teachers' Released Time Activities and Teachers' Ratings of Their Value (N=1172)

			(M=II)	- /				
			fuch Releas			How Valua	ole Were Thes	
	Activity	A Lot	Some	Little	None	Very Valuable	Of Some Value	Of No Value
1.	Department meetings	50%	43%	6%	1%	57%	40%	2%
2.	Quadrant consultant meetings	10	64	23	3	17	67	16
3.	Faculty meetings to discuss school policies and procedures	15	59	23	2	29	65	6
4.	Developing new courses and materials with other members in my department	13	36	31	19	52	40	. 8
5.	Developing new courses and materials on my own	10	30	27	33	55	34	11
6.	Identifying and developing department objectives	11	43	37	10	34	59 ·	6
7.	Exchanging ideas with staff from other schools	5	36	41	18	38	52	10
8.	Planning interdisciplinary acti- vities with other departments	1	11	32	55	17	51	32
9.	Planning or discussing different methods and modes of instruction (nontraditional schedules, team teaching, etc.)	3	25	ұ Д	28	28	60	·
10.	Identifying and developing objectives for the school	ļ.	25	42	29	20	63	17
11.	Working with other staff members on crisis situations	ц	19	35	43	29	52	19
12.	Meetings to share professional information obtained from conferences, visits, literature, etc.	3	21	42	35	24	63	13
13.	Preparing for my daily classes	8	16	27	49	47	33	20
14.	Paperwork required by administration (grading and other reports)	4	13	41	37	20	54	26
15.	Becoming better acquainted with services provided by support personnel (social workers, counselors, etc)	3	19	49	29	22	63	15
16.	Conferences with parents about children	0	8	19	73	26	33	41
17.	Attending staff conferences on individual children	1	6	19	74	19	39	42
18.	Human relations training activities	2	16	39	42	17	55	28
19.	Visiting other educational agencies	1	15	27	57	22	51	27
20.	Visiting community agencies	0	14	11	85	14	31	54



any other activity. Quadrant consultant meetings and faculty meetings to discuss school policies and procedures were the next most frequent uses of released time. Although more than 80% of the teachers said quadrant consultant meetings were valuable and more than 90% said faculty meetings were valuable, department meetings received the highest ratings. Ninety-seven percent of the teachers said department meetings were Of Some Value or Very Valuable, with 57% indicating they were Very Valuable.

Other activities on which many teachers spent released time and which received high ratings were developing new courses and materials with other members of their department or on their own, identifying and developing department objectives, and exchanging ideas with staff from other schools. Few teachers spent time planning interdisciplinary activities.

Readers of Table 3 should interpret the value ratings cautiously for the activities on which a large percentage of teachers said they did not spend time. Although the respondents were given instructions to omit the value rating if they did not participate in the activity, some made value ratings of activities in which they were not involved. For example, 74% did not attend any staff conferences on individual students, but some of these nonparticipants may have marked Of No Value, or they may have marked it as a valuable activity in theory, or based on past experience.

Response by School, Subject, and Position

Responses to all items in the questionnaire are given in Appendices A and B according to positions other than teacher and administrator, subject area taught, and school. The other positions include social workers, librarians, counselors, and an "other position" group for staff members that did not fit into any of these categories. In order to preserve anonymity, schools were not identified by name. Instead, they were randomly assigned code letters.



Suggestions for Changes

The several hundred responses to the open-ended question requesting suggestions for improving the Secondary Released Time Program were separated into groups with similar content. Responses that gave information identical to the more objective questions were excluded from further analysis.

Suggestions to have more teacher involvement in planning and to discontinue the program fell into this exclusion category. The suggestions were grouped into eight areas. The number after each category, within an area, represents the number of staff members who made similar suggestions.

Goals and objectives: many staff members requested a clarification of the goals of the released time program with more planning and better organization to meet the goals.

Definition of	goals and objectives	•	 •	•	•	•	•	22
More planning	of activities		 •	•			•	36
Have meetings	for a reason, not just							
to schedule	e them	•	 •				•	19

Schedule of meetings: some staff members felt the schedule of meetings was not flexible enough to meet their needs.

Schedule too rigid			17
Need schedule to suit	andia ferbirthat	l neads	15

Type of meeting: many comments were made regarding the type of meetings that were scheduled.

More department meetings.	•												•		•		58
Fewer department meetings																	3
More faculty meetings	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	0	•	•	•	•		ij.
Fewer faculty meetings	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6	•		9
More or longer consultant	me	et	in	gs		•			•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	10
Fewer consultant meetings	•		•	•							•	•	•				41
More relevant consultant m	æe	ti	ne	ន				•							•		42
More city-wide or across-q	ุนอ	dz	ar	it	me	et	ir	ıge	١.	•							54
More planning and exchangi								_									
other schools	•					٠.					•				ě		39



Indi	ridual time: many teachers would like to use	more	of 1	the release	eđ
time	for individual activities.				-
	Individual time			34	
•	Time for paperwork, grading				
	Develop courses on my own,				
	Daily classroom preparation				
Curr:	culum and instruction: more time to work on	curri	iculi	ım and to h	ecom
	iar with different instruction methods was su		:		
	The Hadra Caracon and Caracon models with the	-65°	JCU.	of occurrent.	•
	Department meetings on curriculum				
	More time for curriculum development				
	Media and materials selection		•	8	
	Interdisciplinary and interdepartment				
	meetings				
	Grade level meetings			7	
	Plan and discuss different types of instructi				
	new techniques		•	24	٠.
	Demonstration lessons				
	Good speakers on instruction	• • •	•	11	
Comm	nity contact:				
	Visit other schools			27	
	Visit industry and business				
	Visit community agencies				
	More contact with parents				
Other	uses of released time:				
<u> </u>					
	More time for specific school problems			14	
	Staff conferences on individual students			22	
	More student participation			- 6	
	Human relations and integration plans				
•	More sharing and relating to other staff				
	members in the school		•	11	
Misce	llaneous:	et .		•	
	Indiatores on nauticination of all otage			E	
	Insistence on participation of all staff	• • •	•	7	
	Accountability for participation		•	18	



Summary and Recommendations

Almost 90% of the responding personnel would like to see the Secondary Released Time Program continued, although half of this group would like to have some changes made. Perhaps if some changes are initiated, secondary personnel will indicate that they are spending more of their released time on activities that will benefit the education of students.

Recommendation One: More time should be given to identifying the objectives of the released time program. A number of respondents indicated that the goals of the program were not clear. Perhaps the program would have a clearer sense of direction if objectives could be identified at the various levels of the secondary education structure; objectives from the Department of Secondary Education for all schools, objectives for the subject-area consultants, objectives for the individual schools, and objectives for subgroups or individuals within schools or across schools. The objectives from the Department of Secondary Education might be management objectives; such as, "All consultants, schools, and individuals will have stated objectives for released time."

Recommendation Two: More time and personnel should be devoted to planning. Results indicated that only a small percentage of teachers were extensively involved in planning released time activities, while more than one-half of the teachers would like to have greater involvement in planning.

Recommendation Three: Sufficient time should be provided in any city-wide or individual building schedule for meetings by department within schools. As in 1970-71, teachers spent more time in department meetings and found them more valuable than any other activity. Many respondents indicated that they wanted more department meetings, particularly to work on curriculum.



Recommendation Four: The purpose and structure of quadrant meetings should be assessed more carefully. Although the quadrant consultant meetings were seen as having some value by the majority of the teachers, a number of teachers suggested city-wide or cross-quadrant meetings. Some teachers commented that there should be fewer or at least more relevant, meaningful quadrant consultant meetings.

10

Appendix A

Table 4: Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program by Position and Subject Area



Table 4

Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program By Position and Subject Area

	setlen N=192 Special Education H3=N	78 68	25 33	25 22	t ₃ 38	61 60	39 ho	19 6 1	21 16	30 50	18 30	35 41	25 20	6 - 22	59 70	41 30	ty 64	8 #1	
	μ ς= Ν Social	25	33	21 - 3	39	45	- 55	9	<u>ੂ</u>	23	32		23	9	89	 کلا	52 1	15	
•	Physical Education 14-85 Science	38	35	8		3	- 25	94	25	53	ដ	었	38	17	55	145	£ 1	50	
	Music	10%	34	27	29	式	917	63	9	88	56	91	77	7	72	28	63	7	
,	Math Tel=N	15%	28	22	35	ιζ	64	82	ಬ	59	25	-T	83	ผ	22	30	143	2	
•	Laitteubnī ettA 38=N	25	27	31	36	63	37	જ	16	ຕ	17	94	19	18	28	24	94	ដ	
	Mome Reconomics News	2%	15	28	55	28	21	36	50	. #	93	35	- 58 	₹.	19	39	37	13	
	Foregrange Team	18%	38	91	28	57	₁ 43	72	N	56	37	35	23	- 5	2	8	174	0	
	English (Reading)	10%	88	22	01	29	33	4	7.	8	19	143	23	15	69	31	88		
	Business N≃55	180	25	15	82	69	31	37	ຕ	04	15	31	58	56	28	745	27	† I	
	. 3τΑ 42=N	28%	93	93	य	61	39	63	7	56	35	38	13	ω	79	33	52	2	
	Other Postions N=43	15%	77	59	42	88	35	39	15	917	17	36	31	91	38	62	45	13	
	N=63 Connselors	10%	35	147	77	Lη	53	143	8	37	15	36	34	15	55	45	29	91	
•	Sociel Sociel	8%	39	22	30	29	33	63	0	37	6	84	£43	0	∄	25	∄	•	•
,	Librarians N=33	13%	19	53	15	‡	25	29	ដ	80	35	31	28	9	72	58	52	7	
	Response		I ned input into planning some meetings	5. I mad input into planning one or two meetings	4. I did not have any input into planning the meetings	l. Yes	2. No	l. Yes	2. No	3. Not sure	1. 80 - 100% of released time	2. 50 - 79% of released time	3.20 - 49% of released time	4. 0 - 19% of released time	1. Once a week, 2:00 - 3:45	2. Once a month, half-days	1. Continued without change	2. Discontinued	3. Continued with changes: indicate your suggested changes on page 3
	Question	To what extent were you involved in planning	secondary Keleased lime meetings?			Would you like to have	more input into planning released time meetings?	Do you feel that your	students, in the long run, will receive a	better education as a result of the Secondary Released a program?	Estimate the percentage	of your released time	ties that you think will	benefit the education of students.	Which released time	next year?	The Secondary Released		



Social Studies Special Education	50%		17 61	3			66 59		59 34			0		25	146 50	2		1 2	9 20	33 45			01 6	148 73	
Science	8	,	57				89	് 	-	<u>-</u>				61 5	38			<u> </u>					6	59 4	
Physical Education	164	<u> </u>			. <u></u> -	- †Z		- 2	35		13	-		- 9		2		<u>-</u> -	8				임	51 5	
Music	398	. 9 1	15	<u>-</u>			- 29	2	3	1,1	21	•		- 19	39	0		-	- 56				ຄ	35	717
Math	128	72	17	Q		. 61	72	6	64		2			 28	<u>구</u>	0		2	5	35	<u>۔</u> ور			53	25
Istriauni atra	118	88	ر ا	1		2	72	83	9	51	8	~		<u> </u>	- 25	~ ~	-	ณ	19	釬	37		15	65	50
Home	764 <u>1</u> 1	. 7	13			80	2	22	83	— 63	n	0		 9	9	0		0	15	- 33	 8	· . _	- 13	<u>ي</u>	
Foretgn Language	15%	7.7	~	-		L+1	. 64	. †	, N	- - -	21	2		53	147	0		9		- 58 78	- 19		 82	91	56 .
English (Reading))SI	. 65	 &	-		7	67		- 8	- 28	m	٦		- 65	37	8		m	~		ૹ		56	38	
Business	84	. 92	17	က		9	73	23	-		. ≠	0		ま	36	0			7				8	£	37 .
JTA	15%	. 8	19	4		35	9	9	35	94	15	Q		53	36	า		0	15	В	53		91	53	32
Other Positions	25	. 99	- 91	13		7.	17	1 7	33	747	13	7		29	77	0		2	21	56	84		17	2	33
Counselors	16%	8	#	0	_	21	1	2	91	63	21	0		04	56	-		2	₹	1+5	91		88	28	†1 1.
Social Workers	342	23	0,	ري -		141	59	0	Ħ	37	37	15		25	69	9		2	38	143	‡		25	2	2
ansirardid	. 56%	. 88	9	0		92	†∂	0	7	29	5th	7	•	ß	9†	_ _		0	14	87	1 1		145	었	23
o.			.•			-																			
Response	Time Spent	2. some	3. a little	η none	Value	l. very valuab	2. of some value	3. of no value	Time Spent 1, a lot	2. some	3. a little	4. none	Value	l. very valuable	2. of some value	3. of no value	Time Spent	l. a lot	2. some	3. a little	4. none	Value	l. very valuable	2. of some value	3. of no value
Question		QUADRANT			CONSULTANT			METINGS		DEPARTMENT		12		MEETINGS	· · ·		- TANINA IG	The state of the s	INTERDISCIPLINARY	ACTIVITES	H.T.T.M	OTHER	DEPARTMENTS		



Table 4 (continued) Position and Subject Area

Education Education	85	었	27	23		23	₹	6	23	35	25	99	32	0		5	21	1,0	34		%	<u>3</u>	24
Social	13%	93	35	83		7,5	64	6	7	31	% &	747	36	17		3	97	45	37		16	26	29
Science	85	38	34	20		3	64	9,	5	33	8 8	53	82	6		2	17	36	45		Ħ	29	8
Five tion Five tion	12%	₄ 3	33	27		94	17	٣	ય	37	32	35	84	17		0	엄	617	39		6	જ	31
Music	18%	01	25	17		35	617	16	12	∄	1,4	57	38	5		3	25	30	£4		28	∄	82
Math	12%	30	32	92		ŧ	39	7	OI	19	88	- 62	29	8		3	9,	24	345		6	63	28
IsirtaubuI atrA	10%	64	31	11		28	38	†	16	37	26 21	63	33	#		#	30	31	36		13	25	22
Home Economics	8 6	3	35	16		55	36	6	п	37	25 82	29	56	13		0	11	34	45		18	53	63
Foreign Language	15%	33	¥	8		53	45	8	15	걸	1 5 02	- 65	141	0		2	87	25	52		77	છ	15
English (Reading)	20%	36	59	16		65	59	9	. 80	19	% £3	57	28	15		ю	15	39	143		13	. 23	88
Business	15%	143	21	21		Z	36	13	6	25	# 25 25	굯	31	15		0	13	747	01	_	15	ונ	#
та	12%	50	23	15	_	22	3	ω	6	147	25	57	33	#	7	#	19	04	38		31	49	22
Other Positions		31	88	36		25	K.	22	Ħ	27	88	 الا	56	777		٣	18	28	ß		Q	45	45
Counselors	86	25	35	33		34	53	13	2	97	23	17	57	27		0	27	917	27		56	63	27
Social	8	17	17	99		33	33	33	0	80	3 5		143	†		1.7	23	28	33		건	50	ω
Librarians	80	23	23	5 ₽		τ ₁	35	7g	0	9	17		38	검		0	17	31	52		Ħ	63	56
		-				-5					_		_										
Response	Time Spent	2 some	3. a little	h, none	Value	1. very valuable	2. of some value	3. of no value	Time Spent 1, a lot	2. some	3. a little 4. none	Value 1. very valuable	2. of some value		Time Spent	l. a lot	2. some	3. a little	h none	Value	1. very valuable	2. of some value	3. of no value
Question	DEVELOPING NEW COURSES	AND		MATERIALS WITH OTHER	MEMBERS IN	W	DEPARTMENT		DEVELOPING	NEW	COURSES AND	MATERIALS	ON	MY. OWIN		HUMAN	RELATIONS		THAINING	ACTIVITIES	٠		





Question ATTENDING	Response Time Spent 1. a lot	. Librardid g	Social Workers	Comzelor	Other Fosttons	Art &	Business &		Foreign g Language		Industria.	MtaM g		Figuration Education		Sociel Studies
STAFF	2. some		11	7	15	. 6	. 0	. 7	0	<u> </u>	91	2	ET ET	8		i m
CONFERENCES	3. a little	5	20	56	13	17	15	EI	2		12	18	141	27	23	50
NO	η none	8	33	26	19	72	ಹೆ	81	95	62	Ęo	78	141	65	72	75
INDIVIDUAL	Value						_				_			<u>* </u>		
STUDENTS	1. very valuable	7.	88	84	15	71	19	7₹	E	15	<u>-</u>	25	59	ผ	13	13
	2. of some value	7.	71	511	35	33	56	31	33	35	55	34	45	35	55	35
-	3. of no value	72	°	2	22	747	55	145	52	<u>R</u>	27	<u>-</u>	56	£ 1	32	51
	Time Spent											_				
Papernork	1, a lot	8	7	. 	80	ď	7	7	5	٣	2		13	7	7	m
REQUIRED BY	2. some	7₹	13	15	21	13	8	큐	16	25	50	17	56	8	18	77.
ADMINISTRATION	3. a little	50	<u></u>	33	56	<u>+</u>	37	<u>‡</u>	75	 82		91	37	33	35	₄₃
(GRADING AND	h, none	8 1	全	84	91		33		36	33	31	35	— †∂	39	- 0 1	<u></u>
OTHER							- •						_			
,	l. very valueble	[]	ડ્ટ	유	13	53	7		 58	31	- 53	- 12	გ გ	19	†₹	17
REPORTS)	2. of some value	20	75	7	₁ +5	94	88	55	91	2	<u>%</u>	53	17	29	24	67
•	3. of no value	39	0	19	715	31	5₫	31	56	អ	21	56	29	22	19	34
	Time Spent	_			i								_			
EVCH* NCTM2	l. a lot	<u>ម</u>	ន	검	25	=	_	г	13	2	7	_	15		8	2
OUT WOTHOU	2. some	29	2,4	84	39	23	63	25	26	36	38	37	<u>7</u>	37		27
TDEAS	3. a little	6	53	36	33	₹	28	17	52	33		0+	17	 ‡	61	61
WITH	h, none	19	2	3	50	6	†	23	6	56	91	91	7,	15	15	22
STAFF FROM	Value	_				***							_			
OTHER	1. very valuable	62	55	26	33	25	91	25	77	38	64	Į.	23	36	걸	22
STOURS	2. of some value	₹ _	145	017	53	9	7₹	19	22	52	 ‡	55	었	55	52	₫
								_				,				



Education Education	%	30	25	35	35	54	20	r	C [ή .	45	27		33	53	15		8	91	56	26		23	#	33
Social Studies	5 4	8	35	141	21	27	22	<u>ر</u>	ი გ	3 :	22	20		21	8	87		O	ω	12	7		23	31	45
Science	. 8	18	₹.	94	29	55	97	-	۱ () I	£47	33		20	2	9	 -	0	7	સ	72		32	34	류
Education	25	56	31	앜	29	55	19	t	ر د	7 :	<u></u>	33		ຄ	63	77		0	2	23	2		2 <u>1</u>	8	64
Music	11%	87	₹	37	35	35	32	-) T	52	15		56	55	87		m	52	22	ß		35	56	33
Math	38	80	11	74	28	52	19	C	א נ	۲.	04	#		<u></u>	ଥ	80		0	.	23	13		33	33	#.
Industrial stra	200	23	141	29	34	49	17		ע נ	33	ß —	15		— ∄	88	18		0	6	18	7.7		7.7	2 ‡	141
Economica Home	13	91	39	†	†z	62	14	ι	۱ ۲	T	55			รา	29	27		0	CJ	13	79		27	31	34
Foretgn Language	21%	ា	35	77	01	51	6	ι	ر ا	Ϋ́.	45	37		77 77	89	7		0	7	6	8		30	39	30
(Hesqing) English	84	18	29	61	31	94	23	,	٠ ;	₹.	ಜ	31		7 7	₫	12		0	2	ឧ	78		27	93	143
Business	80	18	9	04	50	65	15	·		2	<u>-</u> -	56		ເຊ	20	7		0	6	Ħ	8		33	19	22
Art	20	5t	31	64	33	- 13	16	,	, r	77	36	75		%	Ж	91		0	9	72	7.		17	34	84
Positions	₽°	, Si	₹.	37	31	91	23		o 9	<u> </u>	35	87		36	Ж	ω		æ	25	15	53		8	32	36
Connselors	% 17	25	74	25	38	22	97			24	33	ಬ		37	 82	2		2	17	8	<u>ور</u>		26	31	13
Morkers	වී	- F ₁	143	1 ₁ t		- ††	0	-	- -	20	90	20	_	<u>-</u>	- 26	0		2	30	8	35		85	15	0
Librarians	ර්	5 [†]	††	32	33	- 95			-	 S	8	₫.		2.1	9	E		0	5	6	8		59	-†1	57
Response	Time Spent	S- 80:	3. a little	4. none	Value valuable	2. of some value	3. cf no value	Time Spent		2. some	3. a little	4. none	Value	l. very valuable	2. of some value	3. of no value	Time Spent	l. a lot	2. some	3. a little .		Value	l. very valuable	2. of some value	3. of no value
Question	WORKING	HLIM	OTHER	MEMBERS	NO	CRISIS	SITUATIONS	BECOMING	BETTER ACQUAINTED	Savingo inti	WITH SERVICES	PROVIDED BY	SUPPORT	PERSONNEL (SOCIAL	WORKERS, COUNSELORS,.)			CONFERENCES	-	HIIM	PARENTS	ABOIT		THEIR	CHILDREN



Table 4 (continued) Position and Subject Area



Question	PLANNING OR	DISCUSSING		DIFFERENT METHODS	OF INSTRUCTION	(NONTRADITIONAL	SCHEDULES, TEAM	TEACHING, ETC.)			MEETINGS TO SHARE	PROFESSTONAT.		C INFORMATION OBTAINED	FROM CONFERENCES, VISITS,	LITERATURE, ETC.		
Response	Time Spent	T. B 100	2. some	3. a little	4. none	Value	l. very valuable	2. of some value	3. of no value	Time Spent	1. a lot	2. some	3. a little	4. none	Value	1. very valuable	2. of some value	3. of no value
Librarians	· ·	۲ ا	23	27	45		91	9#	80		7	₹	2	₹		22	84	0
Sociel	. }	5	25	25	20		25	63	13		0	37	었	ĸ		27	42	0
Connselors	3	\$	88	34	₹,		₹	53	13		ผ	33	36	31		33	23	5
Other Positions	3		56	39	88	-	13	65	22		3	23	<u>[</u>	33		17	62	έĵ
ĴТА	3	\$	ĸ	ķ	36		38	办	80		0	56	247	8	•	23	છ	‡ <u>7</u>
Business	. 1	<u>5</u>	27	71	53		50	2	я		0	22	35	#		15	92	6
English (Resding)	}	%	23	247	27		29	63	~		8	22	45	ĸ		56	65	6
Foreign Language	7	Ž.	27	94	25		58	8	9		6	25	38	27		4,1	太	. 1
Economics Home	1	ජී	7	£	143		8	88	o G		0	25	37	82	•	#	8	9.
Intratation Industrial at a strict at a st	7	25	23	54	27		30	22	쿠		٣	8	38	38		સ	88	2
MtAM	,	2	†2	45		_	8	19	7		7	21	39	 2 <u>3</u>		- ħZ	9	97
Music	,	 	82	 36	31		15	છ	₹ 7		2	33	35	58		15	73	য়
Education	-	20	₹	L+1	প্ত		30	22	84		н	17	74	35		2 ^t	છ	#
gcreuce	,	8	59	<u>ş</u>	25		 &	55	ω	-	2	ຄ	∄	ᄯ		 %	29	ខ្ព
Social		200	1 2	1,5	88		12	- 62	17		2	18	45	8		18	63	19
Egncetton Sbecter	,	K	56	94	23		츘	26	91	1	m	25	36	36		31	94	23



Appendix B

- Table 5: Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program by Senior High Schools
- Table 6: Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program by Junior High Schools



Table 5
Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program by Senior High Schools

Question	Response	A N=71	B N=6h	C N=66	D N=16	E N=27	F N=66	0 N=64	н N=36	I N≃41	J N≈58	K N=L
	левроиве	Ma/T	N=04	Mago	N=TO	Nac.	N=00	M=04	11230	12-41	11-200	14=4
To what extent were you involved in planning Secondary Released Time meetings?	1. I had input into plan- ning many meetings.	3%	13%	5%	6%	7%	11%	8%	9%	10%	11%	10%
Talle meevange.	2. I had input into plan- ning some meetings.	38	30	15	31	33	38	28	16	32	29	48
	3. I had input into plan- ning one or two meetings	25	17	23	31	26	50	23	26	19	14	13
, 	4. I did not have any input into planning the meetings.	35	40	5 6	31	34	31	41	49	39	45	29
Would you like to have more	1. Yes	63	63	56	80	52	52	57	42	80	54	49
input into planning released time meetings?	2. No	37	37	44	20	48	48	43	5 8	20	46	51
Do you feel that your students,	1. Yes	51	62	38	88	58	74	41	42	56	50	69
in the long run, will receive a better education as a result of	2. No	11	13	22	0	0	6	17	36	7	20	14
the Secondary Released Time program?	3. Not sure	38	25	40	12	42	20	42	22	37	30	16
Estimate the percentage of your	1. 80 - 100%	16	24	5	7 5	15	29	16	8	35	13	36
released time that was spent on ctivities that you think will	2.50 - 79%	36	40	23	19	44	78	33	39	28	55	38
I mefit the education of students.	3. 20 - 49%	26	27	45	6	33	17	25	28	27	12	19
	4.0 - 19%	23	10	27	0	7	6	25	25	10	20	6
Which released time schedule	1. Once a week, 2:00-3:45	61	67	41	88	84	67	67	33	71	66	63
would you prefer next year?	2. Once a month, half-days	39	33	59	12	16	33	33	67	29	34	37
The Secondary Released Time	1. Continued w/o change	46	49	20	69	38	48	34	15	41	44	54
program should be:	2. Discontinued	14	6	25	0	12	8	7	26	5	12	4
	3. Continued w/ changes	40	44	56	31	50	44	59	59	54	44	42
- .	Time Spent											
QUADRANT	1, a lot	6	7	10	0	11	0	11	6	12	2	0
	2. some	61	67	68	69	70	56	68	58	63	61	77
CONSULTANT	3. a little	30	23	21	25	15	38	21	28	20	34	23
	4. none	3	3	1	6	4	6	0	8	5	4	0
MEETINGS	Value				, ,		-					
	1. very valuable	9	11 68	13 54	43 50	26 56	16 67	11 79	3 71	10	15	13
	2. of some value 3. of no value	72	21	_33	7	19	17	10	26	25	1.9	73 13
	Time Spent			-33	- 1			- 0	-	-/	***	
	1. a lot	64	84	44	25	33	67	46	67	24	66	69
	2. some	33	11	41	63	59	33	49	28	73	30	29
DEPARTMENT	3. a little	3	5	14	12	7	0	5	6	5	4	2
	4. none	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ł	Value	1		- 1	1	1		1		1		
meetings	l. very valuable	62	60	56	73	85	71	57	43	61	55	66
	2. of some value	38	39	42	20	15	29	43	57	39	45	32
	3. of no value	0	2	2	7	0	0	0	_ 0 _	_0]	0	_2_

 $^{^{\}mathbf{a}}$ Only classroom teachers were asked to identify their school.



Question ·	Response	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	к
PLANNING	Time Spent						,					
INTERDISCIPLINARY	1. a lot	1%	2%	0%	20%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	09
ACTIVITIES	2. some	9	8	9	33	11	8	6	8	2	7	8
	3. a little	33	20	35	40	30	36	40	19	34	25	39
HTIW	4. none	57	70	56	7	59	55	54	72	63	68	53
OTHER	<u>Value</u>											
DEPARTMENTS	1. very valuable	14	18	5	31	7	18	22	8	20	9	28
	2. of some value	55	32	53	62	67	62	59	33	52	51	44
· 	3. of no value	31	50	42	7	27	20	19	59_	28	39_	28
DEVELOPING NEW COURSES	Time Spent											
AND MATERIALS	1. a lot	13	8	11	13	26	8	14	22	17	1 4	18
WITH OTHER MEMBERS	2. some	35	44	43	63	44	56	42	31	32	39	43
	3. a little	30	32	25	. 6	19	24	28	28	24	29	27
IN MY	4. none	22	15	21	19	11	12	16	19	27	18	12
DEPARTMENT	<u>Value</u>					!		}		} 	1	
	1. very valuable	47	49	48	77	60	53	56	38	70	38	70
	2. of some value	47	45	36	23	40	46	40	53	21	58	21
<u>.</u>	3. of no value	.7	6	16	0		2	4	10	9	6_	9
DEVELOPING	Time Spent							ļ			1	1
NEW	l. a lot	. 10	14	2	50	22	5	9	8	10	2	10
	2. some	37	25	30	38	30	22	23	28	37	34	37
COURSES	3. a little	25	31	29	6	30	30	27	19	39	29	33
AND .	4. none	28	31	40	6	18	43	41	44	15	36	20
MATERIALS .	Value								ļ	ľ		
ON W.	1. very valuable	48	54	48	93	70	50	57	31	69	49	68
OAN	2. of some value	48	36	31	7	26	40	29	46	26	37	30
- 	3. of no value	4_	10	21	0	4	<u></u> -, −	14	23	5	15_	3
HUMA N	Time Spent			_								
	1. a lot	1	3	2	20	7	2	0	0	2	0	0
RELATIONS	2. some	17 45	10 34	30	33 47	22	20	5 41	19	29 51	13 56	12
	3. a little 4. none	36	52 52	59	.0	33 37	78	54	50 31	1/	3i	43
TRA INING		30) 2)) 9		31	′		31	1	3,	43
	Value	,,,	1.5	١,,,	36			20	100	35	7	8
ACTIVITIES	1. very valuable	10	15	15 alı	36 64	10	9	20	10	35	7	64
	2. of some value	61	41	34	l	55	55	50	62	5 7 - ย	74	}
	3. of no value Time Spent	29		51	0_	35	36	30	28_	<u> </u>	19_	28
IDENTIFYING	l. a lot	· 12	7	6	13	7.	15	. 5	6	12	11	22
AND	2. some	53	38	47	50	70	54	51	39	44	39	33
DEVELOPING	3. a little	34	51	39	25	19	26	37	44	41	46	45
	4. none	1	5	8	12	4	5	8	11	2	147	.0
DEPARTMENT	Value 1. very valuable	37	25	32	46	46	144	31	15	48	25	43
OBJECTIVES	2. of some value	62	70	60	54	54	54	64	82	50	71	55
	3. of no value	1	5	8	0	0	2	5	3	3	4	2



Table 5 (continued) Senior High School

	1		 		- -			1				
Question	Response	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J.	к
IDENTIFYING	Time Spent		}									
AND	1. a lot	3%	3%	0%	13%	4%	0%	6%	0%	0%	1	4%
	2. some	30	10	30	31	56	19	27	19	25	25	18
DEVELOPING	3. a little	39	39	1+5	44	33	41	44	42	58	47	57
OBJECTIVES	4. none	28	47	25	13	7	40	52	39	18	25	20
FOR	<u>Value</u>			}					{			
THE	l. very valuable	22	9	11	36	42	1,1	16	0	29	12	13
SCHOOL	2. of some value	65	66	72	57	50	74	73	74	60	67	82
	3. of no value	13	25	17	7	8	16	12	26	11_	21	5_
PREPARING	Time Spent	100				14		8		_	_	6
,	1. a lot	10	6 16	6	56		3	16	14	24	5 16	18
FOR	2. some	1		19	13	11	12		-	}	ł	}
	3. a little	30	37	32	31	19	20	21	22	32	55	35
MY	4. none	46	40	43	0	67	65	55	61	39	5 6	41
	Value 1. very valuable	49	41	35	73	15	30	48	39	68	33	56
DAILY	2. of some value	29	45	37 45	27	5l4	32 43	23	26	19	30	35
CLASSES	3. of no value	22	14	20	0	31	25	28	35	13	37	9
WORKING	Time Spent		 -	-					3/			-
WITH	1. a lot	3	5	3	20	15	5	2	3	2	0	.0
	2. some	24	24	20	47	15	18	22	19	15	36	13
OTHER	3. a little	34	32	39	33	37	28	38	33	54	43	30
STAFF	4. none	39	39	38	0	33	49	39	45	29	21	57
MEMBERS ON	Value_								[
CRISIS	l. very valuable	13	27	22	50	48	32	25	22	25	40	21
SITUATIONS	2. of some value	75	62	53	50	38	57	5 8	44	69	53	43
	3. of no value	13	11_	25	0	14	11	17	34	6	7	36
	Time Spent											
BECOMING BETTER	1. a lot	1	0	5	13	11	3	3	0	2	2	0
ACQUAINTED WITH SERVICES	2. some	27	25	11	38	22	21	22	11	12	18	22
PROVIDED BY	3. a little	46	41	44	44	63	5 8	48	47	44	66	49
SUPPORT	4. none	26	34	40.	6	4	18	27	42	41	14	29
PERSONNEL (SOCIAL	Value											
WORKERS, COUNSELORS,)	l. very valuable	14	30	14	36	27	27	25	7	23	13	28
WORKERS, COUNSELORS,)	2. of some value	75	61	57	43	62	71	61	71	70	79	65
	3. of no value Time Spent	11	9	29	21	12	2	14	22	7	_ 7_	8
CONFERENCES	1. a lot		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ò	0	0
	2. some	9	27	14	7	4	5	3	0	2	7	Ö
WITH	3. a little	13	31	11	33	Ļ	14	9	.11	12	29	10
	4. none	78	42	75.	60	92	82	88	89	85	64	90
PARENTS ABOUT	Value			ند								
THEIR	1. very valuable	3	39	16	10	22	29	26	10	35	26	21
CHILDREN	2. of some value	47	48	32	40	0	33	19	15	29	31	25
	3. of no value	50	13	52_	50	_78	_38	55	_75	<u>35</u>]	42_	<u>54</u>



Question	Response	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	к
	Time Spent											
ATTENDING	1. a lot	1%	0%	0%	7%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
STAFF	2. some	3	2	3	43	0	6	3	0	.2	5	0
	3. a little	16	10	6	50	7	17	17	14	20	9	12
CONFERENCES	4. none	80	88	91.	0	93	76	78	86	78	86	38
ON	Value		{									}
INDIVIDUAL	1. very valuable	0	17	6	38	14	17	21	10	32	19	16
STUDENTS	2. of some value	47	28	20	62	29	54	31	15	32	15	28
-	3. of no value	53	55	74	0_	57	29	48	75	37	63	56
PAPERWORK REQUIRED	Time Spent 1. a lot	10	5	8	7	o	3	5	3	5	2	
ВУ	2. some	14	16	22	60	1 9	23	15	14	22	9	21
ADMINISTRATION	3. a little	44	36	58	27	26	39	42	36	44	41	52
	4. none	31	43	12	7	56	35	39	47	29	48	25
(GRADING AND	Value	}			1				}			
OTHER	1. very valuable	15	17	22	29	7	12	14	14	21	5	40
REPORTS)	2. of some value	64	60	65	43	71	60	57	56	64	50	45
	3. of no value	21	23	13	28	22	58	30	41	15	43	15
EXCHANGING	Time Spent	}							1	1	1	
IDEAS	1. a lot	6	3	2	0	14	0	5	0	2	7	4
WITH	2. some	42	30	35	53	59	42	41	33	41	32	43
STAFF	3. a little	41	54	49	33	33	34	42	47	37	45	47
,	4. none	12	13	14	13	4	24	13	19	20	16	6
FROM	Value			0.5	(0	1.5		-]		
OTHER	1. very valuable 2. of some value	73	31 60	25	62	41 44	33 60	32 65	21	51 40	30 65	56 42
schools	3. of no value	5	9	53 22	31 7	15	7	4	59 21	9	4	2
	Time Spent	1	-9	22	_ '	1)				9	 	-
FACULTY	1. a lot	18	5	16	19	56	5	30	11	20	زد	2
MEETINGS	2. some	61	62	67	75	37	62	67	58	· 5 8	59	69
TO	3. a little	21	31	17	6	7	32	3	28	20	32	29
DISCUSS	4. none	0	2	0	0	0.	2	0	3	3	0	0
	Value										}	
SCHOOL	1. very valuable	36	19	33	47	38	16	39	17	35	32	40
POLICIES AND	2. of some value	64	74	60	47	62	81	58	77	60	64	58
PROCEDURES	3. of no value	_ 0	7	7	6	0	3	3	6	5	4	2
VISITING	Time Spent											-
ATRITIMA	1. a lct	1	0	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
OTHER	2. some	17	20	5	25	11	23	13	9	7	21	16
	3. a little	30	44	26	38	30	29	36	40	34	25	22
EDUCATIONAL	4. none	51	36	69	31	59	48	48	51	59	54	61
	Value				1.1		-2					
INSTITUTIONS	1. very valuable	11	22	15	14 54	13	28	21	8	39	23	13
	2. of some value	64	61	3 6 49	56	63	54	59	56	39	49 29	59 28



Table 5 (continued) Senior High Schools

Question	Response	A	В	С	D.	E	F	G	Н.	I	J	К
	Time Spent											
	1. a lot	0%	0%	0%	0%	Orlo	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
VISITING	2. some	3	5	3	31	0	2	6	0	2	, s	2
COMMUNITY	3. a little	19	15	6	19	7	22	. 5	6	20	13	10
OOMONITIE	4. none	78	80	91	50	93	7 7	88	94	78	86	88
AGENCIES	<u>Value</u>				}			,				<u> </u>
	1. very valuable	7	21	9.	55	0	13	11	5	29	14	8
	2. of some value	41	41	11	56	29	52	39	10	43	5lt	3 8
	3. of no value	52	38	80	22	71	35	50	85	29	62	54
PIANNING OR DISCUSSING	Time Spent	}							}			
Different	l. a lot	. 3	5	2	6	0	3	5	0	5	0	5
•	2. some	25	18	26	44	26	35	27	11	50	27	37
METHODS AND	3. a little	52	f†J	45	50	5 9	41	41	42	51	46	47
MODES OF	4. none	19	36	27	0	15	2i	28	47	2h	27	14
INSTRUCTION (NONTRADITIONAL	<u>Value</u>			ľ			1					
SCHEDULES, TEAM	l. very valuable	14	27	24	40	25	36	39	7	38	21	39
TEACHING, ETC.)	2. of some value	77	61	49	60	71	5₹	57	61	53	70	59
	3. of no value	9	12	27	0	L _L	6	l;	38	9	پ پ	2
MEETINGS TO	Time Spent	-	}	ł			}					
•	l. a lot	4 ا	0	0	6	11	3	2	0	0	1.	2
SHARE	2. some	21	18	19	19	15	23	22	14	15	25	35
PROFESSIONAL INFORMATION	3. a little	43	51	40	50	56	56	52	44	41	4L	51
OBTAINED FROM	4. none	31	31	41	25	19	18	25	42	կկ	27	12
CONFERENCES,	. <u>Value</u>											
visits, .	l. very valuable	16	13	16	36	35	31	20	7	17	16	45
LITERATURE, ETC.	2. of some value	78	79	57	64 .	65	65	71	62	69	75	55
Barmerom, Dro.	3. of no value	6	8	27	0	0	14	8	31	14	9	0



Table 6
Staff Response to the Secondary Released Time Program by Junior High Schools

		L_	Dy U	unior	urgu	schoo	TR									
Question	Response	L N=45	N=33	N N≈41	0 %≃40	P N=55	N≖46	R N=57	s N=30	T N=25	บ ท≖33	v N=35	W N=47	X N=33	Y N=53	Z N=22
To what extent were you involved in planning Secondary Released time meet-	l. I had input into planning many meetings	4%	12%	10%	3%	13%	9%	11%	17%	4%	0%	9%	15%	7%	15%	14%
ings?	2. I had input into planning some meetings	20	45	29	18	. 22	30	18	23	24	26	23	26	30	23	32
	3. I had input into planning one or two meetings	22	15	17	46	25	33	34	33	12	26	29	5 [†]	17	27	24
	4. I did not have any imput into plan- ning the meetings	53	27	# #	33	40	28	38	27	60	48	40	35	46	35_	41
Would you like to have more input	l. Yes	45	61	59	53	74	41	54	38	73	50	46	71	83	61	64
into planning re- leased time meetings?	2. No	55	39	41	47	26	59	46	62	27	50	54	29	17	39	36
Do you feel that your students, in the long run, will	1. Yes	36	70	54	64	71	33	60	47	56	41	69	56	73	62	59
receive a better education as a re- sult of the Second~	2. No 3. Not sure	31	15	24	8 28	13 16	43 24	11 29	30	24 20	31 28	6 26	38	21	19 19	14 27
ary Released time program?								_						_		<u> </u>
Estimate the per- centage of your	1. 80 - 100%	11	24	20	18	17	9	17	41	20	9	31	20	48	28	33
released time that	2.50 - 79%	33	48	41	62	44	24	52	14	24	25	40	49	33	42	38
was spent on acti- vities that you	3. 20 - 49%	36	15	29	13	22	31	18	31	36	25	23	29	15	18	14
think will benefit the education of students.	4.0 - 19%	20	12	10	8	17	36	13	14	20	41	6	2	3	12	14
Which released time schedule would you prefer next year?	1. Once a week, 2:00-3:45	50	63	48	59	81	33	79	52	61	67	77	76	85	68	64
	2. Once a month, half- days	50	37	52	41	19	67	21	48	39	33	23	22	15	32	36
The Secondary Released time program should be:	1. Continued w/o change	27	60	31	62	49	14	63	48	36	36	68	51	79	52	40
program should be.	2. Discontinued 3. Continued w/ changes	22 51	33	10 59	5 33	6 45	23 · 63	31	31	23 41	27 36	29	47	21	15 33	5 55
•	Time Spent				i											
QUADRANT	1. a lot	9	19	18	13	13	4	4	3.	21	15	1	26	35	12	9
·	2. some	69	56	62	75	70	64	67	55	58	64	65	55	52	72	59
CONSULTANT	3. a little	20	22	21	13	15	27	29	41	21	15	18	17		16	23
	4. none	2	3	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	0	9
MEETINGS	<u>Value</u>	20	25	10	1 25	21:	3.0	31.		١.	00	:	20	li e	15	01:
	1. very valuable 2. of some value	20 66	25 59	18 68	15 75	14 75	18 64	14 79	17 . 73	ъ 79	l .	: 26 : 69	32 62	1	15 77	24 62
	3. of no value	14	16	. 60 15	10	12	1	79	1	. 17	25	6	6	1	8	14

^aOnly classroom teachers were asked to identify their school.



Table 6 (continued) Junior High Schools

Question	Response	L	м	N	0	P	િ	R	ន	т	ប	v	W	x	Y	Z
	Time Spent															
	1. a lot	40%	33%	44%	53%	19%	22%	55%	52%	36%	45%	40%	61%	28%	52%	68%
DEPARTMENT	2. some	51	64	41	43	64	62	41	48	56	48	51	33	63	42	18
	3. a little	9	3	15	0	17	16	4	0	4	6	6	4	9	6	14
	1. none	0	0	٥	5	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	2.	0	0	0
	Value		1]	1											
MEETINGS	l. very valuable	39	58	38	61	63	42	66	67	40	34	66	69	58	56	50 [.]
	2. of some value	55	42	57	39	33	56	30	33	56	53	31	31	39	40	45
	3. of no value	7	0_	5_	0	4	2	4	0	4	13	3	0	3	4	5_
DT A STRETTS O	Time Spent					_	_								1	
PLANNING	1. a lot	0	3	0	0	0	7	2	. 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INTERDISCIPLINARY	2. some	18	18	13	16	12	16	11	7	8	9	1	11	28	10	9
ACTIVITIES	3. a little	42	33	34	24	27	34	23	17	38	1.8	51	37	31	43	32
WITH	4. none	40	46	53	59	62	43	65	72	54	73	32	52	41	47	5 9
	<u>Value</u>	6	20] , ,	8	00		20			_				, ,	. 1.
OTHER	1. very valuable 2. of some value	70	30 44	11 59	58	22 52	13 51	29	23	18 41	5	13	18	20	24 .	14
DEPARTMENTS	3, of no value	24	26	30	34	26	36	35 36	23 54	41	37 58	63 23	45 36	65 15	52 24	57
	Time Spent		20	30	34	20	30	30	- 54	41	50_	<u> 23 </u>	30	17	_	29
DEVELOPING	1. a lot	19	21	11	5	2	7	13	14	12	3	20	22	22	6	19
NEW COURSES AND	2. some	30	27	26	47	32	27	24	24	28	41	•	24	31	29	33
MATERIALS	3. a little	37	30	39	37	42	38	42	41	48	22	11	46	25	41	24
,	4. none	14	21	24	11	25	29	22	21	12	34	14	9	22	24	24
WITH OTHER	<u>Value</u>	}														
MEMBERS IN MY	l. very valuable	57	64	42	51	53	50	52	46	36	43	53	58	60	50	55
DEPARTMENT	2, of some value	38	22	52	46	37	35	41	46	52	52	35	42	33	36	35
	3. of no value	5	14	6	3	_ 9	15	7	8	12_	<u> </u>	12_	_0	7	14	10
DEVELOPING	Time Spent	}					ļ					•				
	1. a lot	16	21	8	8	٥	2	7	17	8	15	11	13	13	13	1 8
NEW	2, some	24	30	44	44	22	9	31	43	36	30	46	22	42	23	53
COURSES AND	3. a little	33	12	31	31	22	20	22	50	36	24	31	22	19	25	41
MATERIALS	4. none	27	36	18	18	56	69	40	20	20	30	15	42	26	39	18
on my own	<u>Value</u>		-			1			- 1							
ON MI OWN	l. very valuable	50	83	48	58	41	62	41	66	48	61 /	56	52	52	55	5 5
	2. of some value	42	. 4	45	39	34	17	49	21	43	39 '	38	32	37	29	40
	3, of no value	8	13	_7_	3	25	21	10	13	9	0_	6	16	11_	16	5
(Time Spent	}	1		Ì		į		- 1	- 1						
HUMAN	1. a lot	0	6.	8	3	7	0	0	0	0	3	3	7	0	2	0
	2. some	50	3	28	5	37	7	16	13	0	25	5#	33	23	38	9
RELATIONS	3. a little	58	38	25	30	52	28	40	40	20	25	59	48	37	38	32
	4. none	22	53	40	62	4	65	44	47	80	47	15	11	40	32	59
TRAINING	Value						1	{		ļ) 			
	l. very valuable	10	22	29	5	31	3	8	- 5	17	22 '	6	13	39	31	6
ACTIVITIES	2. of some value	59	30	57	45	56	55	61	59	17	61	78	75	43	41	56
,	3. of no value	31	48	14	50	13	41	31	36	66	17	16 .	13	17_	28	39



Table 6 (continued) Junior High Schools

Question	Response	L	М	N	0	P	િ	R	s	T	ប	٧	W	х	Y	Z
IDENTIFYING	Time Spent															
	1. a lot	9%	12%	10%	13%	2%	0%	22%	10%	8%	12%	9%	15%	10%	6%	9%
AND	2. some	31	36	38	42	37	33	35	40	40	42	46	43	29	49	41
DEVELOPING	3. a little	49.	36	31	42	41	42	24	48	40	27	43	33	45	39	23
DEPARTMENT	4, none	11	16	21	3	20	24	19	7	12	18	3	9	1 6	6	27
OBJECTIVES	<u>Value</u>												}			
	1. very valuable	19	37	45	38	33	27	46	34	22	35	29	33	47	25	30
	2. of some value	62	50	43	59	60	52	46	59	78	62	71	65	43	67	65
	3. of no value	19	13	12	3	7	20	- 8	7	0_	4	0	2	10	8	5
IDENTIFYING	Time Spent	}	}			1							•			
AND	1. a lot	0	9	3	11	2	7	5	0	4	0	0	0	6	0	9
Desiret ADTRA	2. some	20	19	21	45	20	42	13	28	32	15	23	18	29	29	9
DEVELOPING	3. a little	51	47	41	34	39	44	43	314	28	49	: 40	55	35	35	36
OBJECTIVES	4. none	29	25	36	11	39	7	39	38	36	36	37	27	29	36	45
FOR THE	<u>Value</u>	}	Ì.			-										
SCHOOL	1. very valuable	11	42	10	42	11	23	17	40	17	13	7	13	50	23	22
20110011	2. of some value	55	38	68	44	74	67	66	44	61	65	74	74	46	54	56
	3. of no value	34	20	23	14	14	. 9	_17	16	22	22	19	13_	4	23	22
TODAL DELLA	Time Spent	_						.	_	, '			! .			
PREPARING	1. a lot	2	15	13	15	0	2	13	7	4	9	12	4	10	6	18
FOR	2. some	11	21	23	15	7	2	17 00	27	16	18	29	13	14	20	23
MY	3. a little	34	21	33	33	22	9	22	47	20	15	24	24	38	30	,2
DA ILY	4. none	53	42	32	38	70	87	48	20	60	58	35	59	38	լեր լ	27
	Value	1	}	ļ				j		<u> </u>]		1	ł		
CLASSES	l. very valuable	1 44	57	50	55	43	50	50	62	33	50	62	42	43	5 8	50
	2. of some value	25	30	35	34	25	13	41	31	28	44	27	35	3 9	17	44
	3. of no value	31	13	15	10_	32	38	9	8_	39	6	12	23	17	25	6_
	Time Spent						.									ı
WORKING	1. a lot	0	6	3	3	0	0	5	0	0	3	0	2	10	14	0
WITH	2. some	18	15	23 .	13	17	4	- 14	17	8	19	21	16	27	10	14
OTHER STAFF	3. a little	22	24	33	21	34	44	30	47	36	31	47	44	33	31	33
MEMBERS	4. none	60	55	43	63	49	51	51	37	56	47	32	38	30	5 6	53
•	<u>Value</u>		ļ	[· .									1			
ON CRISIS	l. very valuable	27	40	26	24	41	18	39	32	6	16	24	37	56	'21	. 6
SITUATIONS	2. of some value	37	30	48	43	41	53	48	44	63	63	59	46	32	53	69
	3. of no value	37	30	26	33_	18	29	13	24	31	21	17	17	12	26	25
BECOMING	Time Spent			1			,	_	_					ا ۔	•	_
BETTER	1. a lot	14	3	3	3 8	2 24	33 H	9	0	0	0 25	0 14	13	3 43	24	5 9
	2. some 3. a little	15 51	9 36	45	49	46	33 49	13 50	13 47	4	41	69	42	43	50	9 45
ACQUAINTED WITH	4. none	29	52	45	41	28	13	28	40	52	34	17	42	13	22	41
SERVICES	Value			1	_	_*		-		-			-			
PROVIDED BY	1. very valuable	13	29	12	27	18	33	20	30	19	0	19	12	43	38	12
SUPPORT PERSONNEL	2. of some value	53	43	62	58	64	58	62	52	56	79	68	65	50	53	76
POLLOWI LEWSONNET	3. of no value	34	29	27	15	18	9	18_	18	25	21	13_	24	7	10	_12_



Question	Response	L	М	N	0	P	Q	R	s	T	บ	V	W	х	Y	z
CONFERENCES	Time Spent		<u> </u>				_									
	1. a lot	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	U%.
WITH	2. some	7	9	5	11	6	2	19	7	0	£	15	2	20	2	9
PARENTS	3. a little	9	15	18	53	15	4	35	13	12	3	41	11	33	18	36
ABOUT	4. none	84	76	77	37	79	93	44	80	88	91	կկ	87	43	80	55
MITTER.	Value	1]	}	,										
THEIR	1. very valuable	14	29	23	29	17	19	49	29	0	0	31	16	44	31	31
CHILDREN	2. of some value	30	30	123	57	48	33	32	12	31	38	46	21	32	15	44
	3. of no value	65	41	55	14	35	48	20	59	69	62	23	63	24	54	25
	Time Spent												_			
ATTENDING	1. a lot	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	ا ه	0	. 0	0	0	4	0	0
STAFF	2. some	9	9	5	0	9	4	11	3	4	0	9	7	37	2	l 5
CONFERENCES	3. a little	22	21	18	5	11	55	30	33	52	12	74	24	26	6	50
	4. none	69	70	74	96	80	74	59	64	44	88	17	70	33	92	45
ON	Value	1	.													
INDIVIDUAL			00	00	8	00		20	00					-0		
STUDENTS	1. very valuable	7	29	29	1	23	19	30	28	21	11	18	17	38	Ĭ,	28
	2. of some value	44	24	54	31	41	56	. 50	28	58	33	67	52	48	29	50
	3. of no value	48	117	48	62	36_	26	20	44	21	_56	15	30	14	67	22
	Time Spent					١.	, ,			L _L		_				
PAPERWORK	1. a lot	0	3 22	8	3 16	14	4	14	0	16	0 15	3	0	7	2	5
REQUIRED BY	2. some 3. a little	50	16	55	32	11	9 24	52	10 53	40	36	17 54	7 22	21 48	42 48	29
ADMINISTRATION	4. none	1	ļ [—]	18	-	37 48	63	25		40	48	26	[24	, 40 8	19 48
	· ·	39	59	10	50	40	03	(2)	37	40	40	20	72	24	0	40
(GRADING AND	Value												j			
OTHER	1. very valuable	29	32	14	8	. 22	11	39	16	10	10	11	14	1 9	հե	18
REPORTS)	2. of some value	314	37	59	56	47	52	50	60	45	60	61	36	50	48	5 9
	3. of no value	37	31	27	36	31	37	11	24	45	30	29	50	31	7	24
	Time Spent		Į,]		j .
EXCHANGING	1. a lot	4	9	10	5	2	2	Ĺ	3	8	6	6	11	3	2	ş.
IDEAS	2. some	27	27	35	45	28	9	32	40	24	34	49	46	24	51	32
	3. a little	49	42	.38	37	36	67	34	47	40	34	37	22	38	37	41
WITH	4. none	20	21	1 8	13	34	22	30	10 .	28	25	9	22	34	10	18
STAFF	Value									!						· ·
FROM	1. very valuable	47	41	44	49	35	48	41	39	33	35	48	59	36	46	30
OTHER SCHOOLS	2. of some value	47	42	50	51	55	43	45	57	43	42	48	37	50	43	50
OTTEN SCHOOLS	3. of no value	5	17	6	0	10	10	14	4	24	23	4	_ 3	14	11	20
	Time Spent															
FACULTY	1. a lot 2. some	62	0 45	28 60	30 65	7 65	17	7 71	3 70	48	18 36	0 54	20 65	19	12 60	18 59
MEETINGS TO	3. a little	27	45 49 !	10	כס 5	28	39 30	22	27	36 16	30 42	40	13	52. 26	27	18
DISCUSS	4. none	0	6	3	0	20	13	0	0	10	3	6	13	3	2	5
SCHOOL	Value	Ĭ		د	~		رــ				ا د		_	'	_	_
	1. very valuable	26	19	13	45	13	33	35	17	13	13	18	16	52	43	32
POLICIES AND	2. of some value	63	72	79	55	83	60	64	·83	63	60	71	73	45	55	68 .
PROCEDURES	3. or no value	12	9	8	0	4	7	_2	0	25	27	12	11	3	2	0



Table 5 (continued) Junior High Schools

VISITING OTHER I. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none Value INSTITUTIONS 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. some 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PLANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT METHODS AND MODES OF INSTRUCTION MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. some 3. a little 4. none Modes of Value 1. very valuable 2. some 3. a little 4. none Modes of Value 1. very valuable 2. some 3. a little 4. none Modes of Instruction Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little	2% 9 16 73	0% 15 24 61	0%	0%							1	1			1
OTHER 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some COMMUNITY 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PIANNING OR DIFFERENT 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. some 3. a little 4. none MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value MEETINGS TO SHARE 2. some	9 16 73	15 24	16	0%					i	ſ					
EDUCATIONAL EDUCATIONAL 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some COMMUNITY 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PLANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT 3. a little 4. none MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. some 3. a little 4. none MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some NETINGS TO SHARE 2. some	9 16 73	15 24	16		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%
3. a little 4. none Value INSTITUTIONS 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none AGENCIES Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PLANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none PLANNING OR DISCUSSING 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value METHODS AND 4. none MODES OF INSTRUCTION 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some MEETINGS TO SHARE 2. some	73	ł	_	14	9	D.	16	13	24	9	20	26	13	16	10
INSTITUTIONS 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent VISITING 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none AGENCIES Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PLANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. of some 3. a little 4. none MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some	11	61	18	19	19	28	21	30	20	15	31	13	27	22	43
INSTITUTIONS 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some COMMUNITY 3. a little 4. none AGENCIES Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PLANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value METHODS AND Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some MEETINGS TO SHARE 2. some	1 .		66	68	72	67	63	57	56	76	49	61	53	62	48
2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none AGENCIES Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PIANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF INSTRUCTION MEETINGS TO SHARE Z. some Time Spent 1. very valuable 2. of some value Time Spent 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some	1 .								.						
Time Spent VISITING 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none AGENCIES Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PIANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. some 3. a little 4. none MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some NOTESTRUCTION MEETINGS TO SHARE 2. some),_	29	9	28	27	32	31	10	26	23	19	19	40	25	13
VISITING I. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none AGENCIES Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PLANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT ACTION MODES OF INSTRUCTION MISTRUCTION MEETINGS TO SHARE Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 1. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none 4. none MODES OF INSTRUCTION Time Spent 1. a lot SHARE 2. some	41	33	59	3 424	50	3 9	5 8	57	32	46	56	59	35	46	67
VISITING 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PLANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT DISCUSSING L. a lot 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some Tine Spent 1. a lot 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some MEETINGS TO SHARE 2. some	48	38	32	28	23	29	12	33	42	31	26	22	25	29	20
2. some 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2.of some value 3. of no value PIANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 2. of some value 3. of no value MEETINGS TO SHARE 2. some 2. some	}		}												
AGENCIES AGENCIES Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PLANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF INSTRUCTION METHODS TO SHARE Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 7. of some value 1. a lot 2. some 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AGENCIES Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PLANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT AB lot 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF INSTRUCTION Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 1. a lot 2. some 2. some 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some	5	6	3	0	ļţ	2	0	0	4	3	3	4	13	0	5
AGENCIES Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value PIANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF INSTRUCTION Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value MEETINGS TO SHARE 2. some	7	9	3	1 3	15	4	4	7	16	13	0	7	27	12	24
PIANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT METHODS AND MODES OF INSTRUCTION MEETINGS TO SHARE 1. very valuable 2. some 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little 4. none Value 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some	86	85	94	87	81	94	96	93	80	84	97	89	60	88	71
2.of some value 3. of no value PIANNING OR DISCUSSING DIFFERENT A lot 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF INSTRUCTION L. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value MEETINGS TO SHARE 2. some					}							}			ł
3. of no value PHANNING OR DISCUSSING 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF INSTRUCTION METHODS AND Left of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some 2. some Left of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot SHARE 2. some	17	6	0	13	26	23	17	٥	19	8	15	19	32	20	8
PIANNING OR DISCUSSING 1. a lot 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND MODES OF INSTRUCTION MEETINGS TO SHARE PROPERCY OF A lot 1. a lot 2. some 2. some 2. some 4. none 7. lime Spent 1. a lot 2. some	17	41	29	31	48	34	17	25	13	42	25	19	26	16	54
DISCUSSING DIFFERENT 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND 4. none MODES OF INSTRUCTION 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some	66_	53	71	56	26	40	56	75	69	50	60	63_	42	64	38
DISCUSSING 2. some 3. a little METHODS AND 4. none MODES OF INSTRUCTION 2. some 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot SHARE 2. some			l			ł	}		ł		l				
DIFFERENT 2. some 3. a little 4. none MODES OF INSTRUCTION 2. of some value 2. of some value 3. of no value MEETINGS TO SHARE 2. some 2. some 2. some 2. some 2. some	0	9	3	8	5	7	2	3	0	3	3	7	3	0	0
METHODS AND 4. none 4. none Walue 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot SHARE 2. some	33	21	15	28	20	14	18	27	24	9	20	33	33	18	18
MODES OF INSTRUCTION 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot SHARE 2. some	44	39	33	38	30	48	55	50	60	24	54	35	33	5 6	59
INSTRUCTION 1. very valuable 2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot SHARE 2. some	22	30	49	26	48	33	25	20	16	64	23	26	30	26	53
2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot SHARE 2. some				Į					j		ļ	j	{		
2. of some value 3. of no value Time Spent 1. a lot 2. some	18	40	21	41	32	28	22	19	13	7	31	46	46	22	24
MEETINGS TO Time Spent 1. a lot SHARE 2. some	63	48	52	55	59	57	71	67	74	64	59	43	38	71	67
MEETINGS TO 1. a lot SHARE 2. some	18	12	28	3	9	14	7	15	13_	29	9	11	17_	7	9
SHARE 2. some											Ì				
2. some	. 2	3	8	5	2	0	4	0	0	3	3	2	0	0	9
TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	27	18	21	21	13	9	24	23	28	0	20	17	27	25	14
- · · · ·	36	24	31	31	39	33	44	57	24	30	34	33	40	38	45
INFORMATION 4. none	36	55	41	42	46	58	28	20	48	67	43	48	33	37	32
OBTAINED FROM Value			}		}										
1444	20	15	21	27	22	29	23	23	22	14	28	26	46	28	22
2. of some value	66	50	61	54	72	42	72	65	56	57	48	55	38	56	67
VISITS, LITERATURE, ETC. 3. of no value	,	35	18	19	6	29	5	12	22	29	24	19	17	15	11



Appendix C

The Questionnaire

Minneapolis Public Schools Secondary Released Time Evaluation 1971-72

The responses to this questionnaire will be used to provide feedback to members of the secondary staff within the schools and at the central office. Your reactions will be used to suggest improvements in the Secondary Released Time Program. With this in mind, give the questions some thoughtful attention. You should finish within 15 minutes. The questionnaire was designed for teachers so some of the questions may not apply to supportive personnel. If a question does not apply, leave it blank. Do not sign your name.

(1)	To what extent were you involved in planning Secondary Released Time meetings.	(7)		your position in the Classroom teacher	school.	
	1. I had input into planning many meetings			Administrator		
	2. I had input into planning some meetings			Librarian		
	3. I had input into planning one or two meetings			School Social Worker	,	
	4. I did not have any input into planning the meetings			Counselor		
(2)	Would you like to have more input into planning the released time meetings?			Nurse Other		_
	1. Yes	(8 -		are a teacher, check you work. Do not che		
	2. No		if you	are not a teacher.		
(3)	Do you feel that your students, in the long run, will receive a better education as a result of the Secondary Released Time Program?		01	More than one school	15 1	Folwell
	1. Yes		02	Central	16 1	Franklin
			03	Edison	17 .	Jefferson
			04	Henry Jr-Sr	18 ,	Jordan .
	3. Not sure		05	Marshall-U Jr-Sr	19	Lincoln
(4)	Estimate the percentage of your released time that was spent on activities that you think will benefit the education of students.		06	North	20 1	Nokomis
	1. 80 - 100% of released time		07	Roosevelt		Northeast
	2. 50 - 7% of released time		08			Olson
	3. 20 - 49% of released time		09	Southwest Sr		Phillips
	4, 0 - 19% of released time	1	10	Vocational		Ramsey
(5)	Which released time schedule would you prefer		11	Washburn		Sanford
,	next year?		12	West	26	Sheridan Jr.
	1. Once a week, 2:00 - 3:45		13	Anthony	27	Southwest Jr
	2. Once a month, half-days		14	Bryant	28 1	WOC .
(6)	The Secondary Released Time Program should be:	(10 -		you are a teacher, cl a in which you do mos		
r:	l. Continued without change			Art		Math
	2. Discontinued		02	Business	08	Music
	3. Continued with changes: indicate your suggested changes on page 3 after you		03	English (Reading)	09	Physical Education
	complete pages 1 and 2.		O4	Foreign Language	10	Science
	• , , ,		·05	Home Economics	11	Social Studies
	Research and Evaluation Department		06	Industrial Arts	12	Special



On the left, check the extent to which you had released time activities in the following areas. Then, on the right, for each activity where you checked Yes, check the value of the activity. At the end of the list of activities, add any other activities that are not listed. (On the next page)

	keleased T Activity?	ime Did You Sp	end		How Valuable Were These Activities?						
(1) A Lot	(2) Some	(3) A Little	(4) None	Activity - Area	(1) Very Valuable	(2) Of Some Value	(3) Of No Value				
		-		Quadrant consultant meetings			(3				
		·		Department meetings			(3				
				Planning interdisciplinary acti- vities with other departments			(3				
				Developing new courses and materials with other members in my department			(3				
			——	Developing new courses and materials on my own			(3				
	···			Human relations training acti- vities			(3				
				Identifying and developing department objectives			(3				
· ·				Identifying and developing objectives for the school			(3				
 .				Preparing for my daily classes		.	(4				
······································	<u> </u>	———		Working with other staff members on crisis situations			(4				
	· ·			Becoming better acquainted with services provided by support personnel (social workers, counselors,)			(4				
				Conferences with parents about their children			(4				
	***			Attending staff conferences on individual students			(4				
:	<u>:</u>			Paperwork required by administration (grading and other reports)			{4				
				Exchanging ideas with staff from other schools	<u> </u>		(4				
	-			Faculty meetings to discuss school policies and procedures			(4				
		· 		Visiting other educational institutions			(4				
				Visiting community agencies		***************************************	(4				
	••••			Planning or discussing different methods and modes of instruction (nontraditional schedules, team teaching, etc.)			(5				
4				Meetings to share professional information obtained from conferences, visits, literature, etc.			(5				



יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	Of No Value								
	Of Some	,							
	Other activities: List any activities, special topics and/or speakers not	included on page 5.		wing the Secondary Released Time Program?					
ERI	How Much Released Time Did You Spend on This Activity?	A Lot Some A Little None		What changes do you suggest for improving the	34				

Minneapolis Public Schools

Educational Services Division Research and Evaluation

Harry N. Vakos, PhD., Assistant Superintendent for Educational Services

Richard W. Faunce, PhD., Assistant Director for Research and Evaluation

Lary R. Johnson, Research Associate



