DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 080 839 CE 000 052

TITLE Health Manpower in Metropolitan Detroit; A Study of

Needs and Problems.

INSTITUTION United Community Services of Metropolitan Detroit,

Mich.

PUB DATE Nov 70 NOTE 85p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS *Health Needs; *Health Occupations Education; *Health

Personnel; Health Services; Manpower Needs; *Medical Research; *Research Projects; Statewide Planning;

Vocational Education

IDENTIFIERS *Metropolitan Detroit

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research study was to determine whether there was any evidence of a shortage of health care personnel in the southeastern Michigan area; and if there was, to make appropriate recommendations. A questionnaire was sent to 107 health organizations, 50 educational institutions, and 30 professional associations. Responses to the questionnaire, available statistical data, findings from other studies, and data supplied by consultants suggest that although the number of professional and ancillary health personnel have increased, they have not kept pace with the increasing population growth. Increasing specialization among physicians, high attrition and turnover rates among nurses, and excessive mobility stemming from discrepancies in job compensation are given as some reasons for the shortage. Recommendations are made that planning efforts to increase the capacity and offerings for health careers at educational institutions must be fostered; that measures to encourage more people to enter health professions must be stimulated; and that steps to retain persons presently employed, to encourage the return of inactive professionals to practice, and to effectively utilize existing personnel must be taken. (KP)



HEALTH MANPOWER

IN METROPOLITAN DETROIT

RECEIVED NOV 2 7 1970

A study of needs and problems



HEALTH MANPOWER

IN

METROPOLITAN DETROIT

A Study of Needs and Problems

Patricia O'Malley Planning Assistant

Norbert Reinstein Planning Associate Perry Paine Research Assistant

November 1970

UNITED COMMUNITY SERVICES OF METROPOLITAN DETROIT





UNITED COMMUNITY SERVICES OF METROPOLITAN DETROIT

51 W. WARREN AVENUE . TELEPHONE: 833-0622 . DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48201

Executive Vice-President RICHARD F. HUEGLI

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Chairman of the Board

JAMES W. BARTROP

President JOHN C. DEAN

VICE-Presidents
FRANK COUZENS, JR.
WILBUR E. ELSTON
MRS. HERBERT FISHER
REV. HUBERT G. LOCKE
FRED MOSDALE
MICHAEL J. NOVAK
DEXTER H. WARE

Treasurer FICHARD H. AUSTIN

Secretary
WILLIAM HARVEY, III

Assistant Secretary-Treasurer F. N. WOODBURY

ANDREW W BARR VIRGINIA BECKER PAUL BORMAN PETER B. CLARK GERALD G. DIEHL JOHN V. DOYLE NORMAN DRACHLER, Ph.D. H. TED EWALD WILLIAM H. FRANK DAVID L. GAMBLE RICHARD B. GUSHEE JOHN E. HEARST LOUIS E. HEIDEMAN, M.D. SIDNEY J. HIRSCHFIELD MRS. JOHN C. HOPP ROBERT H. KANZLER ARTHUR KING MRS. PAUL E. KRAUSE PETER LYSHAK OLGA M. MADAR REV. ROBERT V. MONTICELLO JANUARIUS A. MULLEN CHESTER F. OGDEN MRS. ROBERT F. SULLIVAN CLEVELAND THURBER, JR. MRS. JOSEPH A. VANCE, JR. R. CONRAD VAUGHN ROBERT VLASIC MRS. JEAN WASHINGTON MRS, DELFORD WILLIAMS LINCOLN E. WURZER MRS. JOHN YORI MRS. BENJAMIN E. YOUNG

October 9, 1970

Mr. Erwin S. Simon, Chairman UCS General Planning Committee Detroit, Michigan 48226

Dear Mr. Simon:

The committee appointed by United Community Services to evaluate the health manpower problem in the greater Detroit Metropolitan area has completed its assignment. We are pleased to submit the attached report on our findings, conclusions and recommendations for consideration by the General Planning Committee.

In its study, the committee was hampered by the lack of accurate data and the constantly changing nature of the problem. But it is evident that the shortage of professional, para-professional and supportive health manpower is acute and growing. The committee is convinced that the challenge can be met only by a continuous and cooperative effort among health manpower users and trainers to stimulate the entry of more people into health fields, to improve more effective utilization of personnel in the health manpower pool.

Central to the committee's recommendations is the belief that UCS should exercise leadership in bringing together those forces in the greater Detroit Metropolitan area which would create and maintain a coordinated health manpower program within the framework of policy and plans developed by the Comprehensive Health Planning Commission for Southeastern Michigan. All other recommendations are considered by the committee as interim actions which would ease but not solve the total problem.

The committee also recognized it has defined problems more than it has proposed solutions, but it has done so in keeping with the belief that the complexity of the challenge permits no simple solution. Again, the coordinated effort implicit in Recommendation I is seen as the only appropriate response to health manpower needs.

The committee is grateful for the generous assistance of its consultants and Technical Advisory Committee, and the dedicated efforts of UCS professional staff in aiding the committee in its work.

Sincerely yours,

Owen W. Bombard, Chairman

COMMUNITY INFORMATION SERVICE
TELEPHONE: 833-3430

Wen W. Bombard, Chairman Health Manpower Committee

UCS HEALTH MANPOWER COMMITTEE

(Affiliations as of 1969)

Owen W. Bomard (CHAIRMAN) Field & Divisional Relations Manager Public Relations Staff Ford Motor Company

C. P. Anderson, M.D.
Medical Director
Wayne County Department of
Social Services

Joseph Cabot, D.D.S. Detroit District Dental Society

Andrew Dempster National Sanitation Foundation

Mrs. Charlotte Failing Member UCS General Planning Committee President, Hutzel Hospital

Francies P. Finnegan, Administrator Marian Manor Nursing Home

Robert R. Fortney Employer's Association

Ernest Gardner, M.D. Dean, WSU School of Medicine Department of Anatomy

Jules E. Guillaumin Wage & Salary Administrator Detroit Edison Company

Max Horton, Deputy Director Michigan Employment Security Commission Gilbert Maddox, Director Area Training & Technical Assistance Center

William McNary, Executive Director Greater Detroit Area Hospital Council

Miss Nan Milliken, R.N. Director of Nursing Wyandotte General Hospital

Charles Mcrgan
Health Committee
Mayor's Committee for Human
Resources Development

Mrs. Elizabeth Pingree Michigan Association for Community Health Services, Inc.

Ernest W. Retzlaff, Ph.D. Professor of Physiology Michigan College of Osteopathic Medicine

Dr. Lyle Robertson
Dean of South Campus
Macomb County Community College

Mrs. Lillian Kelmenson Allied Health & Community Services Group Oakland County Community College

Dr. Arthur Templeton, Director Federal Vocational Programs Detroit Public Schools

CONSULTANTS TO THE COMMITTEE

Mrs. Eugenia Carpenter, Staff Consultant Citizens Committee for Health Care

Marvin Schumann Greater Detroit Area Hospital Council

UCS Staff:

Patricia O'Malley Planning Assistant Perry Paine Research Assistant Norbert Reinstein Planning Associate



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | · . | | Page |
|------|--------------|---|----------------|
| τ. | INTRODUCTION | | 1 |
| .II. | FINDINGS | | 2 |
| III. | CONCLUSIONS. | | 8 |
| IV. | RECOMMENDATI | ONS | 11 |
| V. | SPECIFIC DAT | A FROM QUESTIONNAIRES | 17 |
| | (Glossary) | | |
| VI. | APPENDICES | | 35 - 68 |
| | Appendix A: | UGS Health Manpower Technical Advisory Committee | 35 |
| | Appendix B: | Health Manpower Subcommittee | 37-38 |
| | Appendix U: | UCS Health Manpower Questionnaire | |
| | Appendix D: | Professional Resources in Southeastern Michigan: | |
| | | No. of Practicing Physicians | 39 |
| | | Specialty Listing of All Physicians | <u> 4</u> 0 |
| | s | No. of Registered Nurses by County | 41 |
| | | No. of Dentists by County | 41 |
| | | Hospital Employees | կ2 |
| | | Public Health Personnel | 43 |
| | Appendix E: | Statistical Tables from Health Manpower Questionnaire | 45-68 |
| | | Tables R 1 - 8 Recruitment | 45-49 |
| | | Tables C 1 - 6 Counseling | 50-51 |
| | | Tables P 1 - 12 Placement & Compensation | 52-58 |
| | ; | Tables U 13 - 19 Utilization | 5962 |
| | | Tables E 1 - 7 Education | 63 - 66 |
| | | Tables F 1 - 1a Future Plans | 67 – 68 |



I. INTRODUCTION

This study springs from the obvious need to meet the challenge of serious and increasing manpower shortages in health and allied fields.

It is clear that current efforts to expand the pool of talent available to health manpower users are failing to keep pace with rising demand. And the increase in demand will continue to rise at a rate substantially ahead of population growth as scientific and medical advances introduce new and complex delivery systems, as medical care is extended to increasing numbers of our population formerly excluded from treatment by poverty and as success in treating infectious and deficiency diseases increase longevity and the consequent need to provide treatment for the chronic debilitating illnesses of later life.

In face of the rising demand, the complexity of medical care made possible by scientific advances has lengthened the time span and increased the cost of health and medical education.

Obviously, this is not a local problem. The recruitment, training and retention of qualified personnel in sufficient numbers to provide adequate health services pose major problems in virtually every community in the country. As the gap between demand and available manpower widens, the continuation of vital health services is in jeopardy. The National Commission on Community Health Services recently stated that "the chronic shortage of health personnel in all categories is becoming a chronic health problem."

In recognition of this present and growing challenge, UCS in its role as a social planning force, appointed the Health Manpower Committee of the General Planning Committee to study the health manpower question in the metropolitan area, and to suggest ways in which the challenge could be met.

The Health Manpower Committee was organized and held its first meeting in December 1968. At that time, members of the committee recognized the gravity of the problem, but had no concept of its intensity or scope.

The first meetings were devoted to a review of all data available from prior studies and state and national statistics. It was evident that factual information on the specific needs of the Detroit metropolitan area was insufficient for the committee's purpose. Efforts to gather data were broadened to include a questionnaire for circulation to identified health manpower users and trainers in the metropolitan area.

To assist in developing appropriate questions, and to give lay members of the committee guidance on technical subjects, a Technical Advisory Committee was established in April 1969. (See Appendix A for a list of members.) At the same time, the committee organized four subcommittees to work on specific facets of the total problem—Education and Training; Recruitment and Counseling; Placement and Compensation; and Utilization. (See Appendix B for a list of subcommittee members.)



The questionnaire (Appendix C) was mailed at the end of August 1969 to 107 health organizations, 50 educational institutions and 30 professional associations in southeast Michigan--including metropolitan Detroit. Responses to the questionnaires, 1/2 available statistical data, the findings of other studies and data supplied by specialists and consultants were evaluated by the subcommittee for each of the four major areas of responsibility.

The findings, conclusions and recommendations of the subcommittees form the basis for this report.

II. FINDINGS

General Comments

The committee realizes that the entire nation has an acute shortage of skilled manpower. While there are exceptions, most fields utilizing highly trained personnel face problems not unlike the health professions. The need for a national program to provide increased educational opportunities, to upgrade the skills of trained personnel and to train the untrained is urgent, but clearly outside the scope of this committee. This fact is cited only to emphasize the competing pressures the health professions must face in recruiting and retaining personnel, and to place in perspective the health manpower problems in the Detroit metropolitan area.

The scope and urgency of manpower needs are further defined by a quick look at the problem on national and state levels.

National Manpower Needs

The American Medical Association estimates that without the foreign educated physicians now in practice, there would be a national shortage of 30-40,000 doctors. Nearly one-fifth of our practicing physicians are graduates of foreign medical schools. In Michigan alone, between 40 and 50 percent of physicians currently in hospital residency programs graduated from medical schools outside the U.S.

Even with the substantial rumber of foreign physicians in practice, the distribution of physicians is uneven with severe local shortages where the need is most acute--particularly in inner city and rural areas.

Generally, there was a high percentage of response--public and private health agencies - 77%; professional associations - 90%; and educational institutions - 86%. Responses from health agencies include a sampling of 29 of the larger hospitals (obtained through personal interviews) as well as voluntary and public health organizations, mental hygiene and other specialized clinics, and some 11 industrial medical departments. Educational institutions include major universities, specialized health professional schools, and community colleges. No specific data was gathered from secondary or elementary schools.



The nursing profession, which employs nearly fifty percent of all health workers, had 700,000 registered nurses in 1969 with the need rising to an estimated 1,000,000 in 1975.

There are reported vacancies in 93,400 professional and technical positions budgeted by hospitals in the United States, with another 38,500 persons listed as needed but not provided for in approved budgets. The total deficit of 129,200 professional and technical personnel composes nearly five percent of the 2,858,500 employed by hospitals in the country.

Governmental, public and private health facilities and industry also report difficulties in securing adequate personnel.

Environmental health services, perhaps the fastest growing segment of the health professions, has increased its numbers from 54,500 in 1967 to 218,000 in 1970, with growth anticipated at even more rapid rate in the years ahead.

In total, there will be an estimated national deficit of 343,000 medical, dental, environmental and allied health personnel by 1975.

Attempts to improve utilization of professionals by the delegation of non-professional tasks have led to the development of para-professional and supportive positions in all disciplines. In addition, technical advances in medicine have added to the need for specialized and supportive skills.

The proliferation of occupations flowing from improved utilization and technical advances have further increased the need for manpower. Today, there are more than 125 identified health occupations, with some 250 secondary or specialty designations.

Michigan's Health Manpower Needs

On the state level, it is difficult to determine precise needs, but the Michigan League for Nursing estimates that in 1969 there was a shortage of 4,000 nurses and 2,000 LPNs. Graduations from schools of nursing and board registrations total less than half of the number needed each year. The Michigan Health Council and its doctor placement service estimates a shortage of more than 2,000 physicians in Michigan. Other needs have not been statistically determined, but the national pattern of personnel shortages is clearly repeated.

At this writing, the Task Force of the State Health Manpower Commission is attempting to obtain and up date detailed information on health personnel resources in Michigan.

The chart which follows lists the professionals licensed to practice in the state, but there is no comparable list of numbers actually in practice. It is estimated that 80 percent of the MDs, 60 percent of the RNs, and 74 percent of LPNs are active, but an unknown percentage of these are on part-time schedules.



Statistics from Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulation 1970

| ı | | | | - | | | | | | | | | - | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| ob.th-oded2 fsd o t | 12709* | 2709 | 5531 | 1330 | 73 | 1357 | 20381* | 1,9567* | 6150 | 301 | 621, | 1262 | 932 | 573 | 131 | 100.73 |
| 7-County Total | मृहमू | 1250 | 2622 | 836 | 12 | 515 | 8409 | 205116 | 2962 | 162 | 370 | 341 | 1,25 | 277 | 100 | न्टरम्न |
| eav£ W | 2919 | 636 | . 1411 | 362 | 1 | 318 | 5215 | 10601 | 1355 | 102 | 150 | 133 | 228 | 66 | 1,6 | 23587 |
| Маг <i>ъ</i> солам | 916 | 19 | 263 | 91 | 1 | 59 | 423 | 20311 | 181 | - | 72 | 21, | 9 [†] | 89 | 11 | 1188 |
| st. Clair | 85 | 15 | 50 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 518 | 77 | 61 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 77 | 0 | 1013 |
| Oakland | 1235 | 377 | 593 | 269 | 5 | 129 | र्गातु | 1,603 | 993 | 32 | 128 | 117 | 109 | 73 | 70 | 9ħIC- |
| Monree | 49 | 15 | 25 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 157 | 286 | 38 | 3 | | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 610 |
| МасотЪ | 262 | 175 | 256 | 98 | 7 | 4 | 815 | 2271 | 287 | . 20 | 13 | 35 | 51 | 25 | 2 | 4303 |
| Livingston | 28 | 13 | 24 | 2 | 1 | ٦. | 129 | 230 | 28 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1,80 |
| | M.D. Active | D.O. | Dentists | Dental Hygienists | Treined Nursing Attendants | Licensed Psy. Attendant Nurses | Licensed Practical Nurses Active | Registered Nurses Active | Pharmacists | Podiatrists | Psychologists | Veterinarians | Optometrists | Physical Therapists | Marriage Counselors | Totals |



and developing on-the-job competence. There is a higher separation rate for nonprofessional personnel, but less difficulty reported in replacing them (fewer outstanding vacancies).

Most agencies use traditional resources for obtaining personnel, without any cooperative planning, much exchange of information, or interagency referral of candidates who are not employed. The hospitals and clinics surveyed are less likely to reach outside the metropolitan area to obtain most of their staff, while the public and community health agencies are more apt to obtain a large share of their staff (primarily professional and executive) from outside the area and outside of Michigan.

Compensation data obtained by the committee is not very useful. It is already outdated, and was stated in ranges (minimum to maximum) and not as the current salary offered for each classification. Except for the hospitals which obtain comparative data collected for them by the Greater Detroit Area Hospital Council, no cooperative information system is presently available.

Only sporadic attention is given upward or lateral movement through career ladders or lattices. There is some lateral movement within classifications, but no lanned advancement into higher professional categories. To provide motivation for advancement, jobs should be analyzed at every level, and special programs of education and equivalency testing developed to meet the requirements for advancement set by professional licensing bodies.

Utilization

In spite of high separation and vacancy rates shown in its survey, the committee uncovered little evidence of imaginative utilization of existing personnel. Job descriptions do not appear to be based on effective task analysis, and while a number of utilization studies have been undertaken-primarily by hospitals—these are limited to an examination of specific disciplines such as nursing, physical therapy, clerical and orderlies. There is also a need for communication among agencies on the findings of such utilization studies.

There are very few examples of cooperative projects between health agencies in the utilization of para-professional help, and the relationship of such persons to professionals is not adequately defined. In some instances, the nonprofessional worker is delegated certain tasks without being regarded as an essential member of the health team.

There is a need in most health agencies for innovative task analysis which would permit an evaluation of the effectiveness of nonprofessionals and volunteers in performing supportive functions. Often there are restrictive and outdated policies which pose barriers to such utilization. It is encouraging, however, that more than half of the agencies surveyed attributed improved use of personnel to recent changes in policy. While most agencies agree that interagency sharing of scarce personnel with special skills would increase utilization, the majority consider this impractical and feasible only for the small agencies.



Education and Training

Centralized information on all phases of education for health careers is badly needed. Funds also must be provided for publicity on educational courses and training programs already available. (The committee identified a minimum of 35 basic health occupations for which educational preparation is provided by universities, community colleges and hospitals in the Detroit metropolitan area.) Remedial programs are still needed to make up for deficits in elementary and secondary education, and the licensure regulations need review and change to make them more equitable and relevant to performance and position.

Educational programs structured in relationship to needs of hospitals, universities and health agencies will allow different levels of ability to relate to new roles in health care.

Eight out of every ten health agencies surveyed have an ongoing inservice training program, but only three out of ten have taken advantage of continuing education opportunities provided by outside resources.

Nearly 90% of the agencies believe that inservice and continuing education programs must be strengthened and increased, especially in the areas of middle management and supervision.

There is a need for cooperative planning among educational institutions, health agencies, and professional associations at state and local levels on educational preparation for health careers. There also is a need to define responsibility for inservice and continuing education for individuals already in the health field.

Education must be sufficiently desentralized so that academic credits can be accumulated in work-study programs and through inservice training, thus creating new methods of entry into professions and establishing additional criteria for upgrading and implementation of established career lattices. Uniformity of educational content must be developed to promote the establishment of core curricula and facilitate the transfer of technological credits from community colleges to universities.

The financing of education must be simplified and made more equitable. The proliferation of influencing groups and funding sources in the development of health career curricula creates a delay in the establishment of such programs.

III. CONCLUSIONS

The study revealed that numerous organizations are concerned about the health manpower shortage, and many of them are involved in some efforts to alleviate the problem. However, most of these activities are directed toward staffing their own organizations. Few contribute to the total health manpower pool from which private, public, and voluntary agencies may draw.

It is apparent, on the basis of questionnaire responses as well as personal interviews, that present efforts to recruit and train professional and allied



health manpower are not sufficient to keep up with the ever increasing demand for delivery of health services to all segments of our population. While the number of professionals and ancillary personnel in our metropolitan area has increased in the last ten years, this increase has not kept pace with the growth of population. Consequently, the ratio of physicians, dentists and others to our urban population has actually decreased. Shortages are even more pronounced in the inner city and in other areas inhabited by the poor.

Added to these handicaps must be such factors as increasing specialization among physicians, high attrition and turnover rates among nurses, and excessive mobility stemming from discrepancies in compensation.

Current efforts to extend health services to the poor through "Model Cities" programs, 0.E.O. clinics, comprehensive neighborhood health centers and community mental health resources are sharply increasing the demand for personnel. Many of these groups are now competing with each other and with already existing public and voluntary health agencies for available manpower.

Planning efforts have been initiated, on the state level, to increase the capacity and offerings for health careers at educational institutions. However, it appears unlikely that sufficient manpower can be developed fast enough in the foreseeable future to meet present demands, particularly in the densely populated areas. Consequently, it is imperative that major health planning bodies and other planning organizations concentrate their efforts and develop a cooperative plan to solve the current problems.

Such a plan must give attention not only to recruitment and education. It must move for effective utilization of existing personnel. It must lead to redistribution of tasks among professional and ancillary health workers. It must encourage changes in the health care system which can result in serving more people better with a given number of workers. It must stimulate cooperative efforts toward the establishment of community pricities on how the available manpower pool can be best utilized.

The State Board of Education is considering a plan for the development of health career curricula and calling for cooperation among the public institutions of higher education. Success in the implementation of such a plan will greatly depend upon cooperative efforts on a regional basis, with some agency or group of agencies taking the initiative in the Detroit metropolitan area.

The intensity of the health manpower problem and its enormous importance to the public dictate that specific steps be taken to develop area-wide cooperation in dealing with health manpower problems.

These factors are fundamental immediately and in the near future to our evaluation of the role of UCS and its member agencies. During the last 15 months, the committee has studied the health manpower needs in the Detroit area and has examined the various agencies concerned with meeting such needs as well as their relationship to each other. It is our conclusion that these groups can and must be brought together in a common plan for action, that the UCS can and should be a focal point or catalyst for developing such a plan and stimulating the mechanism for its implementation. The specific recommendations contained in this report are designed to achieve these ends.

In framing its recommendations, the committee recognized that there are several ways to increase the health manpower pool.

- 1. Stimulate measures that will encourage more people to enter the health field in one of many available careers.
- 2. Take steps to retain persons presently employed in the health occupations and encourage inactive professionals to return to practice.
- 3. Promote more effective utilization of existing personnel and encourage professionals to extend their skills to more people.

The committee is also aware that several factors are emerging which will have profound effect on our manpower needs and development of resources. These are:

- 1. The development of a comprehensive health planning agency in the southeastern region of the state.
- 2. The application of newer delivery systems of health care.
- 3. Growing acceptance of the concept of national health insurance which if implemented without prior preparation could produce a health manpower crisis.

It is with these factors in mind that the Health Manpower Committee submits the following recommendations.



A. ENCOURAGE RECRUITMENT BY:

ORGANIZING WITH THE MICHIGAN HEALTH COUNCIL A METROPOLITAN AREA HEALTH CAREERS OFFICE TO COORDINATE RECRUITMENT 4172 COUNSELING ACTIVITIES AND PROVIDE A FOCUS FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION ON HEALTH CAREERS.

The Health Careers Office should specifically:

- a. coordinate recruitment efforts including mass media publicity;
- b. provide centralized information on health career opportuni ties, educational programs, gran's, stipends, and scholarships;
- c. establish an inter-professional speakers bureau;
- d. develop opportunities for tours, observation, voluntary placement, part-time and summer employment in health agencies;
- e. stimulate the development of work-study programs with local school districts and health agencies;
- f. help establish health careers clubs at junior and high school levels;
- g. develop and distribute informative materials on health careers;
- h. establish a comprehensive health careers counseling service staffed with specialized counselors.
- 2. PENDING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SUCH AN OFFICE, UCS SHOULD:
 - a. involve and collaborate with other agencies to achieve some of the above;
 - b. if necessary, make a one-year financial contribution, not to exceed \$3,000, to the Detroit Public Schools Counseling Program for Nurses for the school year 1970-71.

B. PROMOTE BETTER UTILIZATION BY:

1. PRESSING FOR THE REDEFINITION OF ROLES OF PROFESSIONALS IN HEALTH



AND MENTAL HEALTH FIELDS AND SERVING AS A FOCAL POINT FOR SUCH EFFORTS PRESENTLY UNDER WAY AMONG A NUMBER OF MENTAL HEALTH AGENCIES.

SPECIFICALLY, UCS SHOULD:

- a. assist in the implementation of a "new careers model" for health disciplines in voluntary and public agencies where these do not already exist;
- b. stimulate and give support, financial and otherwise, to those programs which will facilitate the flow of minority groups into professional levels, and those which provide a coordinated approach to the training and employment of nonprofessionals in the health field;
- c. seek funds through such organizations as RMP for selected pilot programs to demonstrate:
 - utilization of personnel (specifically roles of professionals) in newer delivery systems of health care (such as group practice, neighborhood health centers, etc.) and with full application of technological developments;
 - uses of shared professionals and part-time personnel and nonprofessionals or volunteer workers;
 - application of computer technology to personnel utilization.
 - which factors favorably affect job expectations and satisfaction of health workers.
- 2. MOBILIZING PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS, INTERESTED HEALTH AGENCIES
 AND OTHER GROUPS CONCERNED IN SOUTHEASTERN MICHIGAN TO REVIEW
 EXISTING LICENSURE REGULATIONS AND PRESS FOR THE REMOVAL OF
 UNREASONABLE RESTRICTIVE PROVISIONS WHICH IMPOSE BARRIERS TO
 INCREASING THE HEALTH MANPOWER POOL.



- 3. ESTABLISHING WITH SEMCOG OR OTHER APPROPRIATE AGENCIES AN INFOR-MATION SYSTEM ON INNOVATIVE USES OF HEALTH PERSONNEL.
- 4. INCREASING UCS CAPACITY TO OFFER CONSULTATION AIMED AT IDENTIFICATION OF HEALTH MANPOWER PROBLEMS AND ASSISTING AGENCIES TO
 OBTAIN CONSULTATION SERVICE FROM COMPETENT SPECIALISTS OR
 ORGANIZATIONS.
- C. IMPROVE PLACEMENT AND COMPENSATION BY:
 - 1. SUPPORTING EFFORTS TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN HEALTH PERSONNEL.

 Specifically, UCS should encourage:
 - a. equitable compensation and personnel policies for all disciplines by development of comparative data on compensation and benefits available in the geographic area;
 - b. opportunities for career advancement.
 - 2. PARTICIPATING WITH MESC OR OTHER APPROPRIATE AGENCY IN THE

 DEVELOPMENT OF A JOB PLACEMENT VACANCY REGISTRY EXCHANGE SYSTEM

 FOR SOUTHEASTERN MICHIGAN.

The system should:

- a. establish a clearinghouse for employment opportunities in the health field;
- clarify job descriptions, specifications, and eligibility for intra-agency use;
- c. promote the development of interchangeable job descriptions between public and private agencies.
- 3. STIMULATING THE RETURN OF INACTIVE PROFESSIONALS AND OTHER TRAINED PERSONNEL TO PRACTICE.

Specifically, UCS should:

a. lead in planning for meeting child care needs of health workers;



- b. urgo removal of restrictive policies relating to age, citizenship, marital status, etc.;
- c. promote the increased use of part-time and volunteer personnel by health agencies.
- D. ENCOURAGE IMPROVED AND INCREASED EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES BY:
 - 1. SPONSORING, IN COLLABORATION WITH RMP, UF, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE GROUPS, A REGIONAL CONFERENCE TO FOCUS ON HEALTH MANPOWER PROBLEMS WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON PRE-SERVICE, IN-SERVICE, AND CONTINUING EDUCATION NEEDS AND RESOURCES. This conference would also serve to publicize the findings of the UCS Health Manpower Study.
 - 2. BRINGING TOGETHER THE PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS, EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS AND HEALTH AGENCIES INTO A CONTINUING ALLIANCE FOR BETTER COMMUNICATION, JOINT PLANNING, AND UNIFIED APPROACHES TO STATE-WIDE
 PLANNING AGENCIES.

This should provide a regional mechanism to deal with:

- a. the development of new roles for professional personnel;
- the development of health careers curricula and simplification
 of financing and accreditation procedures for these;
- c. increasing the number of qualified teachers for the health careers field;
- d. multiple methods of entry into the professions such as the development of proficiency examinations for para-professional personnel;
- e. the development of increased slots for necessary clinical training or field experience;
- f. provisions for continuous remedial education as well as accreditation of in-service and work-study programs;



g. a coordinated approach to continuing education offerings
within and outside of educational institutions, specifically as related to application of recent research findings
and developments.



V. SPECIFIC DATA FROM HEALTH MANPOWER QUESTIONNAIRE

RECRUITMENT AND COUNSELING

The objectives of the Recruitment and Counseling Subcommittee were to:

- 1. Gather information about existing programs.
- 2. Determine to what extent these programs were operative and accessible.
- 3. Identify methods commonly used to recruit.
- 4. Determine to which segments of society the majority of programs were directed.

The committee's concern was with general recruitment of individuals into various professions or disciplines in the health field. The data indicates that out of 186 responding organizations, 103 have recruitment programs (Table R-1) and educational institutions have the highest percentage (70%) of these. But, it should be noted that recruitment to most of the educational institutions means more than drawing persons into the health field. It means providing a sufficient complement of students to fill established courses or programs of study. Of the professional associations, 61% have recruitment activities. The extent of these is determined by the professionals assigned to career guidance for that year. Since this is a voluntary responsibility, programs usually vary in intensity and scope from year to year.

Included with professional associations are planning organizations such as the Michigan League for Nursing which promotes the development of community resources for nursing service and education. This agency provides continuous recruitment and counseling opportunities through a paid professional staff. Michigan Health Council is the only full-time organization devoted to health career recruitment, but its responsibility extends across the entire state. Recruitment activities are reported by 46% of health agencies including hospitals. Hospitals are involved in general recruitment programs through participation in "Career Day" efforts of local school districts, but many of their programs are directed toward staffing.

Work-experience programs are the most effective form of all recruitment methods for they offer the individual a working experience in the health field. Such programs also meet the school's need for vocational training and the hospital's need for additional staffing.

Recruitment Mechanisms. Of the responding organizations, 86% have specific individuals assigned to carry out recruitment programs. Educational institutions and professional associations have the highest percentage of assigned personnel (Table R-6).



Methods of recruitment most frequently used are listed below.

Table R-5
Methods Used in Recruitment Programs

| Recruitment methods | Type of organization | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Recruitment methods | Education | Health | Professional | | | | | |
| Career days | 30 31 36 10 11 25 30 7 | 23 27 26 8 26 25 26 11 | 16 17 23 10 5 11 13 | | | | | |
| Agencies reporting 101 | 35 | 47 | 19 | | | | | |

Note: The figures may exceed the number of agencies reporting because three method categories were combined to form the "media" category.

Mass media recruitment includes radio, TV, and newspaper advertisements. It must be noted here that recruitment in the context for the health agencies may mean obtaining staff persons for employment. Opinions expressed by those individuals responding to the questionnaire indicate that there is insufficient use of mass media for recruitment purposes. Upon investigation, it was determined that primarily national organizations such as the Nursing Associations, Blue Cross-Blue Shield, and the US Department of Health Education and Welfare are responsible for the majority of spot announcements on the radio and in TV media. Although recent months have seen increased use of more radio and TV stations for recruitment purposes, mass media recruitment should be further expanded.

Another growing technique is the work-experience program in local hospitals. These programs meet the needs for part-time staffing of the hospitals and introduce young people to the hospital atmosphere and the many disciplines which operate within this agency. Some health agencies are also reporting success with summer work-experience programs.

Most frequently mentioned was the "Careers Unlimited" program which is jointly sponsored by the Detroit Public Schools and the Institute for Economic Education. This program allows 11th grade students to visit agencies and observe careers of their choice in action. However, the program has been terminated since the study began and it is expected that the Hospital Personnel Directors Association will assume a continuous recruitment program in its place.



The majority of recruitment programs among health agencies and professional associations are directed toward a specific age group and educational level, which can be identified as the high school graduate 18 years of age and above.

Educational institutions make the greatest efforts in drawing experienced persons back into the health field; and while most (95%) of the professional associations are primarily concerned with the return of the inactive professional, 59% of these associations direct some effort toward recruiting persons new to the health field (Table R-3).

It appears that a majority of federal funding is going into the education of older and less experienced individuals through continuing education programs, MDTA, WIN, etc., while local moneys are directed primarily toward the young and educationally prepared. The major source or contacts used to recruit individuals for working purposes are listed below.

Table R-8 Sources or Contacts Used for Recruitment

| | Type of organization | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sources of contacts | Hea: | lth | Professional | | | | | |
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | | | | |
| Community or junior colleges Universities Secondary schools Volunteer groups Youth-serving agencies Conventions, professional conferences or meetings Other sources or contacts | 14 22 30 10 4 15 | 29.8 46.8 63.8 21.3 8.5 | 9 6 16 3 2 8 | 47.4 31.6 84.2 15.8 10.5 42.1 | | | | |

Plans for expanding recruitment programs in the immediate future find educational institutions leading with 63% which are planning to increase their activity, while 38% of health agencies and 44% of professional associations are planning on increased activity in this area (Table R-7).

Counseling. The committee was concerned with the comprehensiveness of existing counseling programs, the background of individuals who are counseling, and the accessibility of such services to the general public.

Our data (Table C-1) indicates that the major responsibility for counseling is being assumed by educational institutions (66%) which usually have a staff of professional counselors. But these counselors are not highly specialized in the health field. A major portion of time is spent setting up class schedules and arranging prescribed courses. Faculty department heads and staff provide more specialized counseling within the educational setting (Table C-3). Counseling service is publicized by 72% of these institutions primarily through college bulletins and on-campus communications.



Usually on a request basis, 40% of professional associations provide some counseling. But planning agencies, such as the Michigan League for Nursing, provide the most consistent effort both in recruitment and counseling programs. Seven out of a possible twelve professional associations plan to increase counseling activity between 1970 and 1972.

Health agencies do counsel their employees, but on an individual basis. In hospitals such advising is usually done either by department heads or members of the personnel department (Table C-2).

Of 114 agencies which do not now have counseling programs, only 8 are planning to develop this service, while 61% of those agencies which do have counseling programs are planning on increasing their activities, and the remaining (37%) will be continuing at least on the same level (Table C-6).

Such data seems to indicate that organizations actively involved in counseling are confronted with the tremendous demand for this kind of service and are attempting to respond to the need.

Usually, educational counseling is more accessible than vocational or career counseling. A major portion is provided by the secondary schools and at the college and university level.

Health career counseling activities are carried out by guidance counselors in high schools and faculty counselors at the college level who in general have limited background and inadequate experience in the health field. There are currently over 100 specialties in the health field and traditional sources of vocational counseling such as school systems and employment agencies, etc. are not equipped to cover all facets of this growing field.

A distinction must be drawn here between educational counseling and career counseling. Ideally, they should be contingent. However, educational counseling directs its attention primarily to courses or credits needed to attain a specific degree or certification, while career counseling is more heavily weighted in the skill requirements and benefits of the position to be filled. Existing counseling programs do not closely relate educational counseling with vocational counseling since persors can achieve a degree, but may find themselves unprepared to assume the responsibilities of a specific position. Most programs provide information on careers but do not provide any guidance or assistance in the decision-making process of the client. A remedy for this condition is more extensive dialogue between educational institutions and employing agencies at the curricula planning level.

Opinions solicited through the questionnaires indicated that the health field suffers from:

- 1. Poor public image of overworked and low-paid personnel and a low social and economic status for the para-professional.
- 2. Poor communication about what is available in the area of jobs, preparation, scholarships, etc.
- 3. Inadequate counseling and recruitment programs. (Most start too late and arc directed at high school graduates only.)



Remedies which were suggested were:

- 1. A mass media compaign to stress attractive features of health careers.
- 2. Cooperative efforts between health agencies to communicate, coordinate, and compromise.
- 3. Earlier recruitment at elementary level.
- 4. Sufficient in-depth counseling opportunities.

PLACEMENT AND COMPENSATION

The objectives of the Placement and Compensation Subcommittee were to:

- 1. Gather data on placement services, job descriptions for non-certified personnel.
- 2. Investigate compensation ranges and fringe benefits available.
- 3. Compare compensation with like positions in industry and in other metropolitan areas.

The committee also wished to determine whether there was a need for the establishment of a central clearing house for employment opportunities and/or the development of a system to maintain up-to-date information on vacancies, salaries, and job descriptions for health personnel.

Turnover. Hospitals and clinics encounter greater difficulties in obtaining and holding staff than industrial clinics or other health agencies. Several factors play a part in this trend of mobility. Professional personnel currently are in demand. It is understandable that the professional will look for ideal working conditions and salary coupled with opportunity for advancement. Salaries unfortunately do not always relate to the amount of skill required for the position or the educational level or experience attained. Rather, salaries offered to professionals and para-professionals alike are related more toward competing with other institutions in the locality and are dependent upon the general economy of the geographic area. Other factors which play a part are nearness to living quarters and accessible public transportation.

Our data indicated that rates of turnover among professional staff were higher in hospitals and clinics than in industry and other health agencies (50% of the hospitals and clinics responding indicated professional turnover rates between 30 and 59%). The same was true for para-professional staff (42% of hospitals report from 30 to 59% turnover). (Tables P-1 to $P-l_1$)

Less difficulty is encountered in replacing para-professionals than professionals which seems to indicate that current training programs are producing sufficient numbers of supportive personnel and that the acute shortage is at the highly technical or professional level.



Factors Influencing Turnover. Shortages of personnel which are severe enough to curtail services (e.g., temporary closing of a hospital ward for lack of nurses) seem to occur primarily in inner-city hospitals. These same facilities have a noticeably lower salary range for professional and para-professional positions, and shortages of personnel occur in shifts where personal security in coming and going to work play a decided role.

Salary Ranges. Data collected on salary ranges was limited. However, information was compiled on minimum and maximum ranges with no indication of current salary being offered. A review of this information reveals that no two facilities have the same minimum or maximum figure for a given position. Variations range from a few cents to a dollar or more per hour. Starting salaries for similar positions may vary as much as 50 cents per hour from one facility to another.

There is an average of four classifications within each discipline with a period of four years to move from a minimum entry salary to the maximum. Top level executive and professional salaries were noticeably higher in industry when compared with public health or other health agencies. However, professional staff salaries between industry and health agencies were in a comparable range. Facilities run by the city and certain metropolitan counties have higher salary ranges generally than state facilities or voluntary health agencies.

The majority of hospitals receive comparative data on salaries circulated by the American Hospital Association and base their current offerings on the going rate for that locale. The Greater Detroit Area Hospital Council also collects salary data but does not disclose this information locally. This facility has apparent advantages for hospitals. Although the cost of medical care was not a subject for this study, it should be noted that approximately 73% of hospital budgets go into salaries for personnel.

Fringe Benefits. An assessment of fringe benefits offered to employees is shown below.

Table P-5
Fringe Benefits Offered Employees

| Benefits | Total all agencies with benefits | | . ~ | itals nd nics | Indus cli | trial nics | Other health agencies | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Hospitalization Group life Retirement plan Other insurance Vacation Sick leave Other benefits | 96 95 44 103 101 | 93.3 91.4 90.5 41.9 98.1 96.2 45.7 | 1,0 39 38 23 42 41 26 | 93.0 90.7 88.4 53.5 97.7 95.3 | 10 11 11 9 11 11 | 90.9 100.0 100.0 81.8 100.0 100.0 45.5 | 48 46 46 12 50 49 17 | 92.3 88.5 88.5 23.1 96.2 94.2 32.7 |
| Agencies reporting | 106 | | 43 | | 11 | | 52 | |



Variations in salary ranges may be partly dependent upon the kinds and costs of fringe benefits offered to employees by individual agencies.

Unions. Collective bargaining units or unions are comparatively few among health organizations - 40% of hospital and clinics, 18% or industrial clinics, and 21% of other health agencies have unions. Few of these unions include professional personnel. Those hospitals which do have unions do so to compete with local industry on a salary basis for maintenance personnel (Table P-6).

Methods of Promotion. Increased salary was considered the primary method of upgrading staff members. Second was a new classification or promotion; third, additional training on the job; and fourth, scholarships to pursue further education. Other methods of upgrading included increased benefits.

Table P-8 Methods of Upgrading Staff Members

| Upgrading methods | Tot | tal | ā | oitals and nics | | lus. ics | Other health agencies | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | |
| New classification or promotion Increased benefits Scholarship to pursue additional training Increased salary Additional training on job | 83 41 45 91 78 20 | 79.8 39.4 43.3 87.5 75.0 19.2 | 40 25 39 34 9 | 93.0 58.1 46.5 90.7 79.1 20.9 | 5 3 4 9 7 1 | 50.0 30.0 40.0 90.0 70.0 10.0 | 38 13 21 43 37 10 | 74.5 25.5 41.2 84.3 72.5 19.6 | |
| Agencies responding | 104 | | 43 | | 10 | | 51 | | |

Careers Ladders or Lattices. Of the organizations surveyed, 41% said that they had some form of career ladder to enable personnel to progress within the organization (Table P-9). Many health agencies and hospitals interpreted this question to mean classifications within a given position.

Through interview it was determined that upgrading from entry level positions does progress to the level where certification is required. Lateral movement occurs at lower levels between departments.

Industrial clinics report no mechanisms of this kind and only 34% of other health agencies provide some method of mobility. Although most organizations admit to advancing individuals through the ranks by completion of inservice training or outside studies, there is no formal program established to facilitate this process to any considerable degree.



Sources of Personnel. Hospitals and industrial clinics draw their staff primarily from individuals living in the metropolitan area. Some innercity hospitals employ a large percentage of foreign personnel (primarily from Canada) and also have medical staffs containing a high proportion of foreign MD's in residency from a variety of countries.

Health agencies draw a large complement of persons from outside the state, particularly at the executive or administrative levels. The resources used to obtain staff are listed below.

| Resources | Tota | al | ar | itals nd · nics | Other health agencies | | |
|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | |
| College or university contracts Professional association placement bureaus Employment agencies Newspaper advertising Professional conventions or meetings Professional journal advertisements Other resources1 | 62 52 48 53 49 56 (114) | 65.3 54.7 50.5 55.8 51.6 58.9 | 24 26 25 28 18 30 (53) | 55.8 60.5 58.1 65.1 41.9 | 38 26 23 25 31 26 (61) | 73.1 50.0 44.2 48.1 59.6 50.0 | |

¹ Other resources included inter-agency referrals, intra-agency referrals, civil service circulars, personal contacts, and hospitals with their own schools for registered nurses.

Professional association placement bureaus are used more frequently by professionals than commercial employment agencies or other placement services.

Business Practices. Methods of communication used give some indication of the business practices adhered to by health agencies. Regular staff meetings, mimeo releases which are circulated through departments or posted on bulletin boards, and the printed personnel manual are commonly used by all organizations surveyed. It was surprising to note, however, that printed personnel manuals are not available in all organizations.



Table P-7
Methods of Communicating with Staff Members

| Methods of communication | | Total | | oitals and nics | • | ndus. .nics | Other health agencies | |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | No. | % | No. | × | No. | % | No. | % |
| Regular staff meetings Staff committees Periodic printed or mimeo releases Printed personnel manual. Other methods | 85 57 69 68 34 | 81.7 54.8 66.3 65.4 32.7 | цо 36 33 36 17 | 93.0 83.7 76.7 83.7 39.5 | 5 1 3 4 6 | 45.5 9.1 27.3 36.4 54.5 | 40 20 32 28 11 | 80.0 40.0 64.0 56.0 22.0 |
| Agencies responding | 104 | , | 43 | | 11 | | 50 | |

Some health agencies surveyed were too small to have little more than word-of-mouth communication. Regular staff meetings of department heads, and intra-departmental meetings provided the most frequently used methods of communication.

The extremely low percentage of personnel manuals used by industry was subject to question. But it was evident that most industrial clinics have a highly developed procedure manual which supplants the personnel manual.

UTILIZATION

Effect of Technology on Manpower Needs. The Utilization Subcommittee was concerned with the extent to which application of technology influences the need for personnel (under consideration was disposable equipment such as syringes and mechanized laboratory testing such as the auto analyzer). Practically 80% of those surveyed said that application of technology increased their need for additional personnel; 16% said that technology did not affect their personnel needs; and only 4% stated that it decreased their needs (Table U-14). But 70% admitted that technology helped them to more efficient use of personnel (Table U-15).

Through interview it was learned that auto analyzers, for example, enable a facility to handle a greater volume of tests over a given period of time, but persons of minor technical skills are still needed to oversee equipment. The installation of computers necessitates additional clerical staff and sometimes a whole new department to handle increased activity. The greatest use of computers is in the area of billing and payroll in most hospitals and clinics.

Uses of Computers in Personnel Utilization. The data indicates that approximately 16% of agencies questioned used computer services in analysis and control of personnel utilization; 10% of other health agencies and 25% of the hospitals and clinics indicated this application of computerization (Table U-17). Affirmative responses to this question include



those who were considering application, as well as those who had already started to apply this technique. Between 29% and 35% of the agencies questioned did not consider such application to personnel utilization either feasible or desirable (Table U-18).

One of the illustrations used in interview was the computerized scheduling of employees. Very few organizations felt this would be of much assistance because of the numerous changes that occur in scheduling as a result of illnesses, absences, etc.

Policy Changes and Utilization. What is remarkable is that 63% of those surveyed attribute improved utilization of personnel to recent changes in policy. For example, it has been legal for some time for LPN's to dispense medication to patients. However, it was mentioned that this practice occurs only in hospitals or facilities where there is an acute shortage of RN's (Table U-16).

Effectiveness of Utilization. Effective utilization of personnel has been widely accepted as a method of reducing the manpower shortage. The committee wished to know to what extent local agencies were examining job descriptions and evaluating the responsibilities of professional, technical, and supportive positions.

Of 107 agencies asked if the had conducted studies on staff utilization, 62 responded affirmatively. A breakdown reveals that 69% of these had been conducted in hospitals and clinics, 73% in industrial clinics, and 50% in other health agencies (Table U-13).

A follow-up questionnaire to those agencies having done studies evoked responses from only eight. These organizations stated that studies conducted were on specific disciplines or in selected departments and were not based on a systems analysis approach or sufficiently comprehensive to include the entire staff.

Some of the disciplines subjected to study were those of orderlies, nurses, nurses aides, medical-clerical staff, and physical therapists. There was no evidence of continuous or concerted efforts to make an analysis of tasks by professional persons, or to fill positions with part-time workers or volunteers.

Personal comments indicate that part-time workers felt the cost of main-taining the position was out of proportion to the remuneration offered. Some administrators felt that part-time employees would be given more incentive if they received some consideration for additional expenses incurred in going back to work, such as a special income tax deduction.

Although some innovative utilization studies have been conducted, the results of these are not widely publicized or even implemented in the metropolitan area. Attention is just now being given to the development of positions which relieve the professionals of routine or administrative tasks, such as unit managers in hospitals, psychiatric assistants, pediatric assistants, etc. There is a need to press for the development of medical assistant positions with state and local medical societies.



More recent efforts to alleviate the manpower shortage have resulted in a fractionization of professional positions through the development of assistant or supportive positions. Often the scope of these duties are too narrow to maintain the interest of the para-professional, and coupled with the inability to move up in responsibility due to lack of certification result in a high rate of attrition by these workers from the health field.

To the professional, licensure regulations are a protection for the recipient of health care services guaranteeing the patient the right to be attended to by highly skilled personnel. Licensure also provides a mechanism to maintain high standards of health care for the community. Viewed by the para-professional, licensure regulations represent a barrier to career advancement unless he is able to stop working and acquire a formal education to provide sufficient credits for certification. Too often supportive personnel have financial responsibilities which would prevent them from giving up full-time positions and returning to school. There are only a few local agencies which allow a part-time work-study arrangement to facilitate this move up the career ladder.

The Sharing of Professional Skills. Another method of increasing utilization of professional persons might be through sharing arrangements of individuals with special skills among health agencies.

This method, 87% of the hospitals agreed, would be effective for certain disciplines such as pathologists or radiologists, but indicate that this device could be implemented only by small-facilities. Larger hospitals would need such skills on a full-time basis. Use of dietitians and pharmacists on a part-time basis is common among nursing home facilities and other small units.

Communication on innovative use of personnel is greatly needed. However, it is doubtful that effective utilization of personnel under current health care delivery systems will effect any great changes in the manpower supply. Some consideration must be given to the implementation of new systems of health care delivery which would broaden the use of personnel promoting interchangeable utilization and sharing of professional skills.

EDUCATION AND TRAINING

The objectives of the Education and Training Subcommittee were to:

- 1. Gather data on the number and kinds of educational programs available in the metropolitan area, the educational floors of acceptance in the professional and para-professional health fields, the grants in aid available for educational purposes.
- 2. Determine which groups or agencies were presently engaged in health manpower training efforts.
- 3. Determine which agencies were providing or were responsible for inservice and continuing education programs.



The study identifies a minimum of 35 basic health occupations for which educational preparation is provided by universities and community colleges in the area. Universities and four-year colleges educate primarily for the professional level, while community colleges carry the major load of technical courses and two-year associate degree programs. Hospital based schools of nursing are rapidly giving way to two-year associate degree programs in community colleges. Supportive personnel have additional courses available through M.D.T.A. programs and commercial schools as well as community colleges.

Relationship Between Schools and Agencies. The committee wished to determine the degree and nature of relationships between the educational institutions and the agencies for whom they prepare trained personnel. These relationships appear to fall into two classifications: (1) those between schools and agencies regarling clinical training of students enrolled in college health career courses (Table E-6), and (2) agreements regarding initial curriculum development for certification or licensure (Table E-7). All agreements between the educational institutions and agencies result from individual contacts initiated either by the school or agencies with respect to the development of specific programs, and there appears to be no central organization assigned to develop opportunities in the community for clinical training of health personnel generally.

Approximately 70% of the educational institutions report agreements with public health agencies and/or professional associations regarding curricula preparation. Paradoxically, 77% of professional associations claim such contacts. The 30% of educational institutions which do not have such arrangements should be investigated further. It is possible that lack of relationships here can result in inadequate or unrealistic educational preparation for positions to be filled in the health field even though the school meets accreditation standards.

Relationships between health agencies and educational institutions appear even weaker with 66% of the agencies reporting some dialogue concerning training programs. Hospitals and clinics (85%) have the largest number of training program agreements with educational institutions. Training affiliations are reported by 56% of other health agencies and only 10% of industrial clinics. It is difficult to determine how much of the purported dialogue concerns the development of continuing education courses or inservice training programs.

Continuing Education. Continuing education program offerings of local educational resources are much in demand. Of all the agencies questioned, 85% reported that continuing education needed to be increased and strengthened (Table E-5). The greatest needs being expressed by hospitals and clinics (92%) and other health agencies (86%). The need for strengthening continuing education in the metropolitan area was expressed by 50% of industrial clinics.

While requests for continuing education are numerous, our data shows that only 29% of all agencies utilize existing continuing education programs; μ_{1} % of hospitals and clinics indicate that their staff enroll in such programs, while 27% of health agencies report staff involvement. Industrial clinics report no use of continuing education programs, although through interview it was determined that staff RN's are given time and compensation for attending such programs cooperatively sponsored by nursing associations, etc.



The greatest demand for continuing education programs centered around the needs of the para-professional. Those questioned felt that local community colleges should carry the major load of continuing education for the para-professionals providing specific short courses at convenient locations. Most frequently requested were courses in supervision and middle management and most of these came from hospitals where the administrators concurred that there was an insufficient number of persons trained in this area.

Specifically needed were programs for dietary supervision. The next most frequently requested courses were in the mental health field and ranged from Ph.D. programs for psychologists to mental health courses for social workers, community aides, and general hospital staff.

Additional courses were also requested in the technical field for LPN's, RN's, lab and X-ray technicians, physical and inhalation therapists. The rehabilitation field was singled out for special attention with requests for courses in teaching, orientation, research, and placement of selected disability groups.

A review of local continuing education offerings revealed several short courses available in management, but further investigation showed that these courses are poorly attended by hospital personnel. This phenomenon is generally manifest unless the health agency sets up such courses cooperatively with the educational institution and directs the course content toward the health field.

There is general disagreement between certain factions in the health field as to whether or not business management principles can effectively be applied in the health care institution. But if current offerings in management were titled "Hospital Management" they would undoubtedly draw a larger complement of persons from the health field.

Inservice Education. Of all surveyed, 87% saw a need for strengthening inservice training (Table E-3) for both professionals and para-professionals with many stating that inservice education should be made obligatory. A need for increased inservice education was expressed by 83% of hospitals and clinics and 88% of other health agencies. But, one-half of the health agencies did not respond to this question. Professional associations (79%) also felt that inservice education should be strengthened (Table E-3). The need for programs to train supervisors, administrators, and middle managers was stressed again.

A look at the data reveals 95% of hospitals and clinics and 72% of other health agencies already have inservice training programs, while only 40% of industrial clinics have such programs making an average of 78% of all organizations with inservice training (Table E-2).

Inservice Education Resources. Of the responding agencies, 25 used extension programs of local and state universities for inservice education. University of Michigan programs were mentioned more frequently than others. Special management programs developed by universities outside the state were also used. Health agencies develop their own programs of inservice education or rely heavily upon their national association for this kind of material.

Hospitals most frequently mentioned the American Hospital Association and M.D.T.A. Social Development and Upgrading program guidelines as sources.

Department heads or supervisors of training programs appear to have complete authority for selecting inservice training programs for hospital staff and use either the American Hospital Association guidelines or those



developed by their own professional associations. Few hospital administrators knew what programs actually had been selected.

While federal monies have subsidized hospital training programs, especially for the supportive disciplines, hospitals still feel the need to develop cooperative training programs among themselves to cut down on their share of expenses.

All health agencies questioned (100%) wished to collaborate with other agencies in the development of training programs to make existing staff more efficient and to train additional new staff (Table E-la). This agreement has undoubtedly occurred as a result of pressure on hospitals to relieve the patient of the costs of training health personnel.

Remedial Education. Those agencies involved in the training of hard-core or economically and culturally deprived persons pointed to the need for remedial education prior to enrollment in established technical courses or training programs noting that basic communications skills are often lacking and that some young people need training in comportment, behavior, grooming, etc.

Instructors in New Careers programs concur, stating that remedial education is needed continually at all levels including the college level to compensate for the inadequacies of elementary and secondary education.

Proficiency Examinations. Health agencies were asked if they thought that proficiency type examinations could be substituted for a prescribed course of study as entry into that profession. Only 25% of the health agencies responded "yes." They cited the existence of licensure or certification regulations as barriers to such a progression.

Fourteen health agencies commented that they would consider an examination as <u>entry into internship or training programs only</u>. Areas where examinations could be used are: hospital maintenance, technical areas such as EKG technician, X-ray and lab technicians, inhalation therapist and orderly. Examinations were considered ideal as an entry screening device for high school technical trainees.

As entry into jobs, 22 health agencies would use examinations (at the paraprofessional level). Listed were nurses aide, community health worker, teachers aide, TVN testing, social worker, vocational counselor, attendant, clerical, medical records, medical corpsmen, and central surgical room unit members.

Five health agencies would use examinations as a method of upgrading into new professions. Examples given were LPN's upgrading to RN registration, bactericlogist or chemistry graduates into laboratory technicians, industrial engineers into environmental engineers and housekeeping personnel into food service work.

Proposed Health Career Programs. There are 55 new technical courses proposed for establishment between 1970-73 by eight community colleges in the seven-county region surrounding Detroit. These same institutions have approximately 25 active programs now in existence.



Procedure for Development of Health Career Educational Program. A community college must research its community and determine whether such courses will be well attended before submitting a plan to establish such a course to the internal mechanism of the college itself.

The following list in priority order of important does <u>not</u> include the power structure resting within the educational institution. Readers must be cognizant, however, that this power structure must be considered when a school carries an idea for a program from planning to operation.

- 1. State legislature
- 2. Senate and House committees on education
- 3. Joint subcommittees on state aid for community colleges (Presently handled by George Montgomery, Jr., Detroit, Democrat)
- 4. Bureau of the Budget (General)
- 5. State Board of Education
- 6. State Community College Advisory Board
- 7. Division of Vocational Education and/or Bureau of Higher Education, Department of Education
- 8. Michigan Municipal Finance Commission
- 9. Planning Division, Bureau of Higher Education
- 10. National association or society
- 11. State Licensing or Registry Board when applicable
- 12. Local association or society
- 13. Senior Institution from which community college wishes cooperation
- 14. Individuals within a profession (Doctor or Dentist)
- 15. Federal Government agency, that is appropriate

The above structure is essentially the result of multiple funding and proliferating professional associations. Such a process considerably slows the establishment of new health career educational programs needed to provide the community with sufficient trained manpower. There should be a simplification of the entire procedure.

Until recently, each community college made application to the State Board of Education individually without consulting with other community colleges. Recent months have seen the development of collaborative efforts among this group to prevent requests which would represent duplication of educational offerings. Health agencies are requesting one-year courses to provide only basic technical skills and speed the flow of manpower into the health field. Community colleges are considering a second year of study which would provide the underlying principle or theory necessary for broader understanding and accreditation.

Publicity on Available Programs. While there are many health career educational opportunities available, college bulletins appear to be the main method of publicizing these educational programs and careers. Funds should be accessible for mass media publicity on educational offerings available in the metropolitan area. There is no central information center in existence on health careers generally known to the public.

Teachers of Professionals. The greatest shortage incurred by the health field is that of qualified teachers of professionals. While an employee of a health facility such as an X-ray technician may supervise the clinical training of students registered in a community college program, the same technician may be unqualified to become a faculty member of a college or



university since he may lack the post-graduate degree required by that institution for status as an educator.

The need grows daily for educationally prepared teachers of professionals. As new technical programs are created, the shortage of qualified teachers becomes more acute. The teacher shortage is further aggravated by the insistence of some professional associations that only persons of specific professional background be permitted to teach such courses as anatomy, physiology, etc. This argument has constituted the major opposition to the establishment of core curricula which could alleviate the shortage of teachers in the allied health field and reduce the cost of the courses for the educational institution and the student.

Educational institutions are defeated in their efforts to make efficient use of existing faculty and equipment because of restrictions and requirements of state and federal programs. For example, full-time professional coordinators are required for some allied health programs even though the enrollment may be minimal (12-14 students). MDTA equipment may be used only by those students even though the college could make valuable use of it with the remaining student body at other times.

Mental Health Field. Our data indicates that an orderly development of new careers is necessary especially in the field of mental health. The use of psychiatric aides, technicians, mental health community aides requires the study and development of these new disciplines into established careers with universal job specifications, identity, adequate compensation, and an educational career progression with accreditation.

LICENSURE REGULATIONS

There are 16 health occupations in Michigan with licensure regulations. Twelve of these occupations are involved with dispensing health services directly to human patients. All are compulsory (requiring a license to practice) except the following: psychologists, sanitarians, licensed practical nurses and physical therapists. Licensure for the above mentioned disciplines means that those persons holding a license are authorized to use these titles or designations. Unlicensed persons may work in the field, but may not use the protected title.

Methods of Entry into Professions. A further study of these four disciplines indicates that all require a written examination as a method of entry. The psychologist, in addition, has two more methods of professional entry open to him. Educational preparation for these disciplines varies and does not necessarily relate to the voluntary type of accreditation.

The most recent disciplines to be licensed are: nursing home administrators - 1969, physical therapists - 1965, and sanitarian - 1963. None of the above offer multiple methods of entry to the profession now being recommended by national and state educational authorities, although citizenship restrictions have not been placed on these newer disciplines.

Citizenship Restriction. Citizenship restrictions are not uniform for all professions, thus seem unfair to certain professions where citizenship is required and act as a deterrent in achieving better health care through additional manpower.



Licensure Loards. Most licensure boards are composed entirely of professionals. There are variations in the number of the members on the boards and the lengths of terms of office. For example, dentists have seven board members with seven-year terms which indicates that one member leaves and one new member is appointed each year achieving a complete turnover of membership within a seven-year period. But, chiropractors have only three members serving four-year terms each, which might account for an unsatisfactory shift in membership. Lack of consistency is noted here which could lead to undue control of licensure boards by a few individuals. There also appears to be no restriction to reinstatement of members for a second term of office. Licensure regulations themselves need review and updating. Some regulations have not been reexamined since adoption.

Reciprocity. It is noticeable that the dental profession is the only discipline without reciprocity endorsement with all other states. After clarification from our consultant on the dental profession, I find that Michigan dentists would like reciprocal agreements with all states, but certain states such as Morida and Pexas prohibit such action as a deterrent to professionals retiring in their states and then setting up practices.



GLOSSARY

CAMPS - Cooperative Area Manpower System

CHP - Comprehensive Health Planning Agency

GDAHC - Greater Detroit Area Hospital Council

MCIRD - Mayor's Committee for Human Resources Development

MDTA - Manpower Development Training Act

MESC - Michigan Employment Security Commission

NAB - National Alliance of Businessmen

OEO - Office of Economic Opportunity

RMP - Regional Medical Program for Heart, Cancer, Stroke

and Related Diseases

SFMCOG - Southeast Michigan Council of Governments

UCS - United Community Services of Metropolitan Petroit

UF - United Foundation

.WIN - Work Incentive Program



APPENDIX A

UCS HEALTH MANPOWER TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE



APPENDIX A

UCS HEALTH MANPOWER TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Owen W. Bombard (CHAIRMAN)
Field & Divisional Relations Manager
Public Relations Staff
Ford Motor Company

Abraham Brickner Executive Director Michigan Heart Association

Carl T. Crabb
Executive Director
National Multiple Sclerosis Society
(Michigan Chapter)

John A. Doherty Executive Director Michigan Health Council

Karl Girshman Detroit-Wayne County Community Mental Health Services Board

Orville G. Griswold Personnel Director Michigan Cancer Foundation

William F. Harsen Executive Director Detroit Dental Aid

John Hyde Michigan Health Council

Frank H. Jakes, Ph.D. Michigan Cancer Foundation

J. Ralph Jenkins American Red Cross Southeastern Division

Frances Levine Executive Director Planned Parenthood League

Barbara Lofquist Michigan Diabetes Association

Martuerite Murray Executive Director Michigan Leagur for Nursing

Gordon Robers
Executive Director
Detroit District Dental Society

Mrs. Margaret Schilling Executive Director United Cerebral Palsy Association

Frank Smith Assistant Personnel Officer Detroit Health Department

William Welke, Ph.D. WSU Regional Medical Program

Mrs. Elsa Williams Medical Service Consultant Dept. of Vocational Rehabilitation

Floyd Wylie Detroit-Wayne County Community Mental Health Services Board



APPENDIX B

HEALTH MANPOWER SUBCOMMITTEES



APPENDIX B

PEALTH MANPOWER SUBCOMMETTERS

Recruitment and Counseling

Mrs. Elizabeth Pingree (CHAIRMAN) Michigan Association for Community Health Services, Inc.

Carl T. Crabb
Executive Director
National Multiple Sclerosis Society
(Michigan Chapter)

Orville G. Griswold Personnel Director Michigan Cancer Foundation John Hyde Michigan Health Council

Gilbert A. Maddox, Director Area Training & Technical Assistance Center

William McNary Executive Director Greater Detroit Area Hospital Council

Education and Training

Dr. Lyle Robertson (CHATRMAN)
Dean, South Campus
Macomb County Community College

John A. Doherty Executive Director Michigan Health Council

Mrs. Charlotte Failing
Member UCS General Planning Committee
President, Hutzel Hospital

Ernest-Gardner, M.D. Dean, WSU School of Medicine Frank Jakes, Ph.D. Michigan Cancer Foundation

Lillian Kelmenson
Oakland County Community College

Ernest W. Retzlaff, Ph.D. Professor of Physiology Michigan College of Osteopathic Medicine

Mrs. Margaret Schilling Executive Director United Cerebral Palsy Assin

Frank Smith Assistant Personnel Officer Detroit Health Department



Placement and Compensation

Dr. Arthur Templeton (CHAIRMAN)
Director, Federal Vocational Programs
Detroit Public Schools

William Bresler, Director Information & Recruitment Michigan Health Council

Francis P. Finnegan, Administrator Marian Manor Nursing Home

Jules E. Guillaumin Wage & Salary Administrator Detroit Edison Company William E. Harsen Executive Director Detroit Dental Aid

Max Horton, Deputy Director Michigan Employment Security Commission

Miss Nan Milliken, R.N. Director of Nursing Wyandotte General Hospital

Utilization

C. P. Anderson, M.D. (CHAIRMAN)
Medical Director
Wayne County Department of
Social Services

Andrew Dempster National Sanitation Foundation

Robert Fortney
Employer's Association

J. Ralph Jenkins American Hed Cross (Southeastern Division) Charles E. Morgan

Gordon Robers, D.D.S. Executive Director Detroit District Dental Society

Mrs. Elsa Williams Medical Services Consultant Detroit Vocational Rehabilitation

Joseph Cabot, D.D.S. Detroit District Dental Society



APPENDIX C

UNITED COMMUNITY SERVICES HEALTH MANPOWER QUESTIONNAIRE

UNITED COMMUNITY SERVICES HEALTH MANPOWER QUESTIONNAIRE P

| 1. | Does your association or agency have a specific program of recruitment to augment the health manpower of the metropolitan region*? YesNo |
|----|--|
| 2. | If your answer to #1 is no, do you plan to develop such a program in the near future Yes No |
| 3. | If your answer to #1 or #2 is yes, please answer the following: |
| | a) Is your program directed towards: |
| | 1. a specific age group? Yes No (If yes, specify 2. individuals of a given experience level? Yes No 3. individuals of a given educational attainment? Yes No 4. recruiting individuals NEW to the health field? Yes No |
| | 5. drawing experienced individuals back into the health field? Yes No b) Please estimate the number of individuals that were exposed to your recruitment program in 1968. |
| | 5. drawing experienced individuals back into the health field? Yes No b) Please estimate the number of individuals that were exposed to your recruitment |
| | 5. drawing experienced individuals back into the health field? Yes No b) Please estimate the number of individuals that were exposed to your recruitment program in 1968. |

B. Counseling

Community or junior colleges

Universities

Secondary schools

Volunteer groups

| | career opportunities? Yes No . |
|----|---|
| 2. | If your answer to #1 is no, do you plan to develop such a program? Yes No |
| 3. | If your answer to #1 is yes, please answer the following questions: |
| | a) Do you publicize your counseling service? Yes No If yes, how? |

Does your association provide a specific program of counseling individuals as to health

Youth serving agencies

or meetings

Other

Conventions, professional conferences,

b) Who in your association is assigned for counseling? (Give name, title or position)

| | d) What are your program plans for counseling in 1970 | - 72 ? |
|------------|--|--|
| | Increase activity Continue at present level | Reduce activity |
| Edu | acation and Training | |
| 1. | De you think there are careers in health services whe by examinations as a substitute for completing a pres | cribed course of study? |
| 2 . | Should programs of in-service training* be strengthen careers? Yes No If yes, list | |
| 3. | Should programs of continuing education** for career increased? Yes No If yes, list | advancement be strengthened and |
| 4. | Do you have a contact with a college or university real If yes, list | garding training? YesNo |
| Fut | | |
| | ture Planning | |
| | What would you like to see done to draw more people i | |
| 1. | What would you like to see done to draw more people i | |
| | What would you like to see done to draw more people i | ping and executing joint healt |
| 1. | What would you like to see done to draw more people i Is your association willing to participate in developments. | ping and executing joint healt es No If yes, please contaction |
| 2. | What would you like to see done to draw more people in the see of the second of the se | ping and executing joint healthes No If yes, please chation |
| 2. | What would you like to see done to draw more people in the see of the second of the se | ping and executing joint healthes No If yes, please contation ng noreasing the health manpower |
| 2. | What would you like to see done to draw more people in the see of the second of the se | ping and executing joint healthes No If yes, please chation |
| 2. | What would you like to see done to draw more people in the see of the second of the se | ping and executing joint healthes No If yes, please chation |
| 2. | What would you like to see done to draw more people in the see of the second of the se | ping and executing joint healthes No If yes, please chation |
| 2. | What would you like to see done to draw more people in the see of the second of the se | ping and executing joint healthes No If yes, please chation |
| 2. | What would you like to see done to draw more people in the see of the second of the se | ping and executing joint healthes No If yes, please chation |
| 2. | What would you like to see done to draw more people in the see of the second of the se | ping and executing joint healthes No If yes, please chation |

ERIC nuing education - more formalized long or short-term courses provided in conjunction with an educational institution

UNITED COMMUNITY SERVICES

| • | HEALIT MANFOWER QU | A CARRY | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| . Ut: | tilization of Manpower | | | | | |
| - | How many budgeted health positions do you | have? (Enter number) | | | | |
| • | a) Professional b) Para-professional* | c) Office d) Maintenance | | | | |
| 2. | How many vacancies did you have in the fol | lowing categories in 1968? | | | | |
| | a) Professional b) Para-professional | c) Office d) Maintenance | | | | |
| 3. | What was the annual number of separations | in the following categories for the year 1968? | | | | |
| | a) Professional b) Para-professional | c) Office d) Maintenance | | | | |
| Ц. | Please attach a list of job classification positions with an indication of salary ran | | | | | |
| 5. | What kinds of fringe benefits are offered | your employees? (Please check all that apply) | | | | |
| | a) Hospitalization b) Group Life c) Retirement Plan d) Other Insurance | e) Vacation f) Sick Leave g) Other, specify | | | | |
| 6. | Do you have a collective bargaining unit o | r union? YesNo | | | | |
| 7. | Check the methods of communication which y | ou use with your staff: | | | | |
| | a) Regular staff meetings b) Staff committees c) Other, specify | d) Periodic printed or mimeo Meleasese) Printed personnel mannual | | | | |
| 8. | Do you use any of the following methods to | up-grade individuals in your organization? | | | | |
| | - | d) Increased salary e) Additional training on job f) Other | | | | |
| 9. | Does your organization have a career ladde one health career to another? Yes No | r that enables a staff member to progress from If yes, amplify | | | | |
| 10. | What percentage of your present profession | al staff has come to you from: | | | | |
| | a) Cutside the Metropolitan region**_ % | | | | | |
| 11. | What resources do you generally use to obt | | | | | |
| , | a) College or university contacts b) Intra-agency referral c) Inter-agency referral | f) Employment Agencies g) Newspaper Advertising h) Professional Conventions - Meetings | | | | |

ERIC professional - requiring special preparation or training, but no college degree Livingston, Macomb, Monroe, Oakland, St. Clair, Washtenaw and Wayne Counties

i) Professional Journal Ads

e) Other

c) Inter-agency referral
d) Professional Assoc. Placement Bureaus

| Ma | es No Para-professional: Yes No Office: Yes No office: Yes No |
|--|---|
| | are you conducted one studies on analysis of staff utilization? Yes No |
| na | ave you conducted any studies or analysis of staff utilization? YesNo |
| | as technological advancement in the health field increased or decreased your eed for personnel? |
| | as application of technological advancements in the health field helped to utilize vailable personnel more efficiently? Yes No |
| Ha | ave any recent changes in policy improved utilization of personnel? Yes No |
| | you use computer services in the analysis and control of personnel utilization? |
| | your answer to #17 is no, do you consider this feasible? Yes Noesirable? Yes No |
| | you think that the sharing of individuals with special skills among health agencie ould increase utilization of health manpower? Yes No |
| rui | <u>tment</u> |
| | es your agency or organization have a specific program of recruitment to draw |
| i | adividuals into the health field? Yes No |
| Ιí | |
| Ii Ye | dividuals into the health field? Yes No No |
| Ii Ye | ndividuals into the health field? Yes No |
| Ii Ye | ndividuals into the health field? Yes No |
| If Ye If a) | Tyour answer to #l is no, do you plan to develop such a program in 1970-72? So No Your answer to #l is yes, please answer the following: Is your recruitment program directed towards: 1. a specific age group? Yes No (If yes, specify 2. individuals of a given experience level? Yes No 3. individuals of a given education attainment? Yes No 4. drawing experienced individuals back into the health field? Yes No 5. recruiting individuals NEW to the health field? Yes No |
| Iff Year | Addividuals into the health field? Yes No Your answer to #l is no, do you plan to develop such a program in 1970-72? So No Your answer to #l is yes, please answer the following: Is your recruitment program directed towards: 1. a specific age group? Yes No (If yes, specify 2. individuals of a given experience level? Yes No 3. individuals of a given education attainment? Yes No 4. drawing experienced individuals back into the health field? Yes No 5. recruiting individuals NEW to the health field? Yes No Do you use any of the following methods in your recruitment program? (Please check all that apply) Career Days Conducted Tours |
| Iff Year | your answer to #1 is no, do you plan to develop such a program in 1970-72? So No Your answer to #1 is yes, please answer the following: Is your recruitment program directed towards: 1. a specific age group? Yes No (If yes, specify 2. individuals of a given experience level? Yes No 3. individuals of a given education attainment? Yes No 4. drawing experienced individuals back into the health field? Yes No 5. recruiting individuals NEW to the health field? Yes No Do you use any of the following methods in your recruitment program? (Please checall that apply) |
| Iff Year | your answer to #l is no, do you plan to develop such a program in 1970-72? So No Your answer to #l is yes, please answer the following: Is your recruitment program directed towards: 1. a specific age group? Yes No (If yes, specify 2. individuals of a given experience level? Yes No 3. individuals of a given education attainment? Yes No 4. drawing experienced individuals back into the health field? Yes No 5. recruiting individuals NEW to the health field? Yes No Do you use any of the following methods in your recruitment program? (Please chec all that apply) Career Days Conducted Tours Speakers News Releases Literature Radio Audio Visual-Films Television Work Experience Programs |
| If Ye If a distribution in the control of the contr | Your answer to #l is no, do you plan to develop such a program in 1970-72? Your answer to #l is yes, please answer the following: Your answer to #l is yes, please answer the following: Is your recruitment program directed towards: 1. a specific age group? Yes No (If yes, specify 2. individuals of a given experience level? Yes No 3. individuals of a given education attainment? Yes No 4. drawing experienced individuals back into the health field? Yes No 5. recruiting individuals NEW to the health field? Yes No 5. recruiting individuals NEW to the health field? Yes No 5. Possible of the following methods in your recruitment program? (Please check all that apply) Career Days Conducted Tours Speakers News Releases Literature |
| If Ye If a ? | Tyour answer to #l is no, do you plan to develop such a program in 1970-72? So No No Your answer to #l is yes, please answer the following: Is your recruitment program directed towards: 1. a specific age group? Yes No (If yes, specify 2. individuals of a given experience level? Yes No 3. individuals of a given education attainment? Yes No 4. drawing experienced individuals back into the health field? Yes No 5. recruiting individuals NEW to the health field? Yes No Do you use any of the following methods in your recruitment program? (Please checall that apply) Career Days Conducted Tours News Releases Literature Radio Audio Visual-Films Television Work Experience Programs Other, describe Please estimate the number of individuals exposed to your recruitment program in |

| | f) What sources or contacts do you use fo | r recruitment? |
|----------|--|---|
| | Community or jr. colleges Universities Secondary schools Volunteer groups | Youth serving agencies Conventions, professional conferences, or meetings Other |
| Cou | unseling | |
| 1. | Does your agency or organization provide as to health career opportunities? Yes | a specific program of counseling individuals No |
| 2. | If your answer to #1 is no, do you plan t | o develop such a program? Yes No |
| 3. | If your answer to #1 is yes, please answe | r the following questions: |
| | a) Do you publicize your counseling servi | ce? Yes No If yes, how? |
| | b) If someone in your agency or organizat give name, title or position: | cion is specifically assigned for counseling, |
| | c) How many individuals do you estimate y last fiscal year regarding entering th | our agency or organization counseled in your e health service field? |
| | d) What are your plans for counseling in | |
| | Increase activity Continue at pres | ent level Reduce activity |
| Edu | Increase activity Continue at pres | ent level Reduce activity |
| | acation and Training Are there careers in health services when | e entry could be obtained by examination as a curse of study? Yes No If yes, list: |
| | acation and Training Are there careers in health services when | re entry could be obtained by examination as a curse of study? Yes No If yes, list: |
| 1. | Are there careers in health services where substitute for completing a prescribed completion of the program of | re entry could be obtained by examination as a curse of study? Yes No If yes, list: |
| 1. | Are there careers in health services where substitute for completing a prescribed completion with the programs and programs are such as Series? Yes No If yes, list Should programs of continuing education with the programs of continuing education with the programs are such as Series? | re entry could be obtained by examination as a burse of study? Yes No If yes, list: ram? Yes No the National Health Council Continuing Education |
| 2. | Are there careers in health services where substitute for completing a prescribed completing a prescri | re entry could be obtained by examination as a curse of study? Yes No If yes, list: |
| 2. 3. | Are there careers in health services wher substitute for completing a prescribed completing a prescrib | e entry could be obtained by examination as a curse of study? YesNo If yes, list: eam? YesNo the National Health Council Continuing Education in career advancement be strengthened and strengthened and increased for certain careers |
| 2. 3. | Are there careers in health services wher substitute for completing a prescribed completing a prescrib | e entry could be obtained by examination as a curse of study? Yes No If yes, list: eam? Yes No the National Health Council Continuing Education in career advancement be strengthened and estrengthened and increased for certain careers ling training with: |
| 2. 3. | Are there careers in health services where substitute for completing a prescribed completitude of the program o | e entry could be obtained by examination as a curse of study? YesNo If yes, list: eam? YesNo the National Health Council Continuing Education in career advancement be strengthened and strengthened and increased for certain careers |
| 2. 3. | Are there careers in health services where substitute for completing a prescribed completitude of the program o | e entry could be obtained by examination as a curse of study? Yes No If yes, list: am? Yes No the National Health Council Continuing Education in career advancement be strengthened and strengthened and increased for certain careers ling training with: c) Jr. or community college d) Other |

ERIC inuing education - more formalized long or short-term courses provided in conjunction with an educational institution

| E. | Future | Planning |
|----|--------|----------|
|----|--------|----------|

| 1. | | ing to participate in developing and executing joint health manpower health organizations? Yes No If yes, in which of the |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Recruitment Placement Other, describe | Utilization Training |
| 2. | What would you like | to see done to draw more people into the health service field? |
| 3 . ' | | r reactions to major problems in increasing the health manpower epts on what could be done to improve the situation: |

UNITED COMMUNITY SERVICES HEALTH MANPOWER QUESTIONNAIRE E

| ⊥• | What educational opportunities does your institution offer for preparation in various health careers? (Please attach list of occupations for which you have curricula.) |
|-----|--|
| 2. | What plans do you have to include new curricula in the health field? |
| 3. | How many students in the health field did you admit in the year 1968-69?(List by occupation) |
| 4. | How many graduated in 1968-69? (List by occupation) |
| 5. | What percentage of those trained in health careers in your institution do you estimate remain in practice in the Detroit Metropolitan region? |
| 6. | Do you have any agreements or cooperative arrangements with public health agencies and/o professional associations regarding curricula or preparation? YesNo If yes, indicate organization |
| Cou | nseling |
| 1. | Does your institution provide a specific program of counseling individuals as to health career and job opportunities? Yes No |
| | If your answer to #1 is no, do you plan to develop such a program? Yes No a) What deters development of such a program? |
| 3• | If your answer to #l is yes, please answer the following questions: a) Do you publicize your counseling service? Yes No If yes, how? |
| | b) Who in your institution is assigned for health career counseling? (Give name, title and position) |
| | c) How many individuals do you estimate your institution counseled during 1968-69 regarding entering the health service field? |
| | d) What are your plans for counseling in 1970-72? Increase activity Continue at present level Reduce activity |

₿.

| 0. | <u>ue</u> | 31.U1 | toment of health Manbower |
|------------|-----------|------------|---|
| | 1. | | pes your institution undertake a specific program of recruitment to attract students or academic preparation in the health field? Yes No |
| | 2. | | your answer to #1 is no, do you plan to develop such a program in 1970-72? |
| | • | a) | What deters development of such a program? |
| | 3. | Ιf | your answer to #1 is yes, please answer the following: |
| | _ | | Is your program directed towards: |
| | | , | 1. recruiting individuals NEW to the health field? Yes No 2. drawing experienced individuals into the health field? Yes No |
| | | b) | How many individuals do you estimate were exposed to your recruitment program in 1968-69? |
| | | c) | Do you use any of the following methods in your recruitment program? (Please check all that apply.) |
| - | | | Career Days Speakers Literature Audio Visual-Films Work Experience Programs Other, describe |
| | | d) | Are individuals assigned to carry out your program of recruitment? Yes No |
| | | | |
| | | e) | What are your recruitment plans for 1970-71? Increase activity Continue at present level Reduce activity |
| D . | Fut | ure | Planning |
| | | | |
| | 1. | Wh a | at would you like to see done to draw more people into the health service field? |
| | 2. | mai | your institution willing to participate in developing and executing joint health apower projects with other health organizations and/or institutions? Yes No yes, please check: |
| | | P | ecruitment Utilization |
| | 3. | Ple sup | ease indicate your reactions to major problems in increasing the health manpower oply or give concepts on what could be done to improve the situation: |

APPENDIX D

PROFESSIONAL RESOURCES IN SOUTH EAST MICHIGAN

| Number of Practicing Physicians | Page | 39 |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------------|
| Speciality Listing all Physicians | Page | 40 |
| Number of RN's by County | Page | 41 |
| Number of Dentists by County | Page | 41 |
| Hospital Employees | Page | 42 |
| Public Health Personnel | Page | <u>1</u> 43 |



Health Manpower Resources 1

Number of Practicing Physicians 2

| 1966 Est. Population | County | M. D. | D. O. | Total | No. Physician per 1,000 Pop. |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------|------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
| 45,000 | Livingston | 20 | 8 | 28 | . 622 |
| 550,000 | Macomb | 237 | 157 | 394 | .716 |
| 119,000 | Monroe | 7171 | 18 | 62 | .521 |
| 830,000 | 0akland | 759 | 213 | 972 | 1.171 |
| 111,000 | St. Clair ³ | 88 | 8 | 97 | .851 |
| 211,000 | Washtena w | 499 | 15 | 514 | 2.436 |
| 2,768,000 | Wayne | 3082 | <u>591</u> | <u> 3673</u> | 1.327 |
| 4,637,000 | Total | 4729 | 1011 | 5740 | 1.238 |
| 1,640,000 | (Detroit) | 2235 | 308 | 2543 | 1.238 |
| 1,128,000 | (Bal. of Wayne) | 847 | 283 | 1130 | 1.002 |

¹ Source: Greater Detroit Area Hospital Council 1966 Patient Discharge Survey



² Excludes those in Research and Administration

³ Source: Michigan State Medical Society

SPECIALITY LISTING ALL PHYSICIANS¹ (M.D.'s & D.O.'s)

| SPECIALITY | | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|
| ALLERGY | | 13 | • 2 |
| ANESTHESIOLOGY | | 179 | 3.2 |
| DERMATOLOGY | | 82 | 1.5 |
| GENERAL PRACTICE | | 1600 | 28. L 2 |
| INTERNAL MEDICINE | • | 847 | 15.1 |
| NEUROLOGICAL SURGERY | | 35 | •6 |
| OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY | | 474 | 8.4 |
| OPHTHALMOLOGY | | 147 | 2.6 |
| ORTHOPEDIC SURGERY | | 111 | 2.0 |
| OTOLARYNGOLOGY | | 98 | 1.7 |
| PATHOLOGY | | 139 | 2.5 |
| PEDIATRICS | | 318 | 5 •7 |
| PHYSICAL MEDICINE AND REHABILITATION | | 25 | •4 |
| PLASTIC SURGERY | | 28 | •5 |
| PREVENTIVE MEDICINE | | 23 | •4 |
| PROCTOLOGY | | 23 | •4 |
| PSYCHIATRY AND NEUROLOGY | | 357 | 6.3 |
| RADIOLOGY | | 228 | 4.1 |
| SURGERY | | 670 | 11.9 |
| THORACIC SURGERY | | 39 | •7 |
| UNKNOWN | | 93 | 1.7 |
| UROLOGY | | 95 | 1.7 |
| | TOTAL | 5624 | 100.0 |

Source: Greater Detroit Area Hospital Council



Six Counties only plus 12 physicians outside the six-county region
M.D.'s have 961 or 20.8% of membership in General Practice
D.O.'s have 639 or 53.8% of their membership in General Practice

Number of R. N.'s by County*

| 1969 Est. (1) No. of Population | County | No. of R. N.'s | No. R. N.'s per 1,000 Population |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 52,000 | Livingston | 222 | 4.3 |
| 630,000 | Macomb | 2390 | 3.8 |
| 127,000 | Monroe | 310 | 2.4 |
| 920,000 | Oakland | 4745 | 5.2 |
| 121,000 | St. Clair | 546 | 4.5 |
| 246,000 | Washtenaw | 2373 | 9.6 |
| 2,697,000 | Wayne | 11688 | <u>4.3</u> |
| 4,793,000 | Total | 22274 | 4.6 |

*Source: Michigan State Board of Nursing. There figures were accumulated between 1964 and 1969 (Number licensed and renewed during that period). These figures may over estimate the actual supply of nurses since licenses that are not renewed are not deleted from the record.

(1) Source of Population Estimates: Detroit Regional Transportation and Land Use Study (TALUS), a special project of the Planning Division of the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments.

Number of Dentists by County*

| County | No. of Dentists | Dentists per 1,000 Population |
|------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Livingston | 2կ | •h61 |
| Macomb | 191 | •303 |
| Monroe | 26 | •204 |
| Oakland | | •476 |
| St. Clair | 47 | •388 |
| Washtenaw | 229 | •930 |
| Wayne | 1493 | <u>•553</u> |
| Total | 2448 | .510 |

* Source: Michigan Dental Association



Hospital Employees*

| Profession | No. full time Equiv. in SEM | Vacancies in SEM | Vacancy Rate per 1,000 in SEM | Vacancy Rate per 1,000 in State |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Registered Nurse | 4,910 | 923 | 118 | 128 |
| Employed Physician | 894 | 65 | 73 | 64 |
| Clinical Lab Technic | ian 876.5 | 131 | 149 | 122 |
| Physical Therapists | 98 | 32 | 327 | - 328 |
| Practical Vocational Nurses | 3,142 | 380 | 121 | 100 |
| Inhalation Therapist Aides | 311.5 | 2). | 77 | 75 |
| Dietitians | 178.5 | 27 | 151 | 118 |

*Source: Michigan Hospital Association 1969 Health Manpower Study. For purposes of this study Southeastern Michigan represents 73 hospitals reporting from the counties of Wayne, Washtenaw, Oakland, Macomb, and Livingston.

Analysis of this data yields interesting conclusions. First, the vacancy rates in SEM exceed the state average in almost every category. Secondly, the back-up data reflects a severe shortage of manpower in Wayne and Oakland Counties, while minimal shortages appear in the remaining counties. For instance, of the 923 vacancies in registered nursing, 496 and 422 result from Wayne and Oakland respectively. Of a shortage of 185 ward clerks, 184 result from Wayne and Oakland combined.



Public Health Personnel

The Michigan Department of Public Health reports the following number of registered public health sanitarians working in the Southeastern region.

Public Health Personnel

Number of Environmental Health Personnel

| County | Number | County | Number |
|----------------------|---------|----------------------|----------|
| Livingston Macomb | 2 17 | Oakland St. Clair | 27 14 |
| Monroe | 6 | Washtenaw Wayne | 9 21 |

Public Health Nursing Personnel

| County | Number | ٠ | County | Number |
|---|--------------|-----|--|-----------------|
| Washtenaw County Registered Wurse Licensed Practical Nurse Other | 37 5 8 | | Monroe County Registered Nurse Licensed Practical Nurse Other | 15 1 2 |
| St. Clair County Registered Nurse Licensed Practical Nurse Other | 17 1 5 | | Macomb County Registered Nurse Licensed Practical Nurse Other | 50 ء ل ع |
| Livingston County Registered Nurse Licensed Practical Nurse Other | 7 2 1 | | Oakland County Registered Nurse Licensed Practical Nurse Other | 130 23 4 |
| | | tu√ | Wayne County Registered Nurse Licensed Practical Nurse Other | 326 53 79 |



APPENDIXE

TABLES FROM THE HEZLTH MANPOWER QUESTIONNAIRS

| Ta | bles | | | | | |
|----|-------|----------------------------|----------------|----|----|-----|
| R | 1-8 | Recruitment | Pages | 45 | to | 49 |
| С | 1-6 | Counseling | P a ges | 50 | to | 51. |
| P | 1-12 | Placement and Compensation | Pages | 52 | to | 58 |
| U | 13-19 | Utilization | Pages | 59 | to | 62 |
| E | 1-7 | Education | Pages | 63 | to | 66 |
| F | l-la | Future Planning | P a ges | 67 | to | 68 |



Table R-1
Organizations Having a Specific Program
of Recruitment in Health Field

| | | | | Тур | e of Or | ganizati | on | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| | Total | | Educ | ation | Hea | lth | Professional | |
| Recruitment Program | No. | . 9% | No. | % | No • | % ` | No. | 86 |
| Total organizations With recruitment program Without recruitment program Not reported | 188 103 85 | 100.0 54.8 45.2 | 50 35 15 | 100.0 70.0 30.0 | 107 49 58 | 100.0 45.8 54.2 | 31 19 12 | 100.0 61.3 38.7 |



Table R-2
Plans for Developing Specific Program of
Recruitment in the Health Field

| | | | | Туре | of Or | ganizati | on | |
|---|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------|
| | Tot | al | Educa | tion | Hea | lth | Profes | sional |
| Programs Plans | No. | % | No • | 86 | No. | 8% | No • | Z |
| Total organizations Planning to develop program Not planning to develop | 85 12 60 | 100.0 16.7 83.3 | 1 <u>5</u> 2 8 | 100.0 20.0 80.0 | 58 9 41 | 100.0 18.0 82.0 | 12 1 | 100.0 8.3 91.7 |
| program | 2 | ر•ر⊍ | 2 3 | 50 . 0 | 8 | 02.0 | • • • | 71.61 |



Table R-3
Direction of Recruitment Program

| | | | Type | of Org | anization | 1 | |
|--|-------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| | Man. | Educ | ation | Неа | l th | Profess | sional |
| Program direction | | No. | % | No. | g. | No. | of /b |
| Specific age group Yes No Not reported | mr. s | ••• | ••• | <u>49</u> 29 19 1 | 100.0 60.4 39.6 | 19 12 7 | 7.00.0 63.2 36.8 |
| Given experience level Yes No Not reported | | ••• | • • • | <u>49</u> 19 26 4 | 100.0 42.2 57.8 | 19 6 9 4 | 100.0 10.0 60.0 |
| Given educational level Yes No Not reported | | ••• | ••• | 49 43 6 | 100.0 87.8 12.2 | 19 14 2 3 | 100.0 87.5 12.5 |
| Drawing experienced persons back into the health field Yes No Not reported | | 3 <u>5</u> 33 | 100.0 | 49 18 27 4 | 100.0 40.0 60.0 | 19 18 1 | 100.0 94.7 5.3 |
| Recruiting persons new to health field | | 35 20 3 12 | 100.0 87.0 13.0 | 49 43 2 4 | 100.0 95.6 4.4 | 19 10 7 2 | 100.0 58.8 41.2 |



Table R-6
Assignment of Specific Individuals to Carry Out
Recruitment Program

| | | | | Type | of Organ | ization | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | Tota | ıl | Educa | tion | Health | Р | rofessi | onal |
| Assignment | No. | 8/0 | No. | % | No. | % | No. | - % |
| Total organizations Individuals are assigned Individuals are not assigned Not reported | 103 84 14 5 | 100.0 85.7 14.3 | 35 32 2 1 | 100.0 94.1 5.9 | 49 38 10 1 | 100.0 79.2 20.8 | 19 14 2 3 | 100.0 87.5 12.5 |

Table R-5
Methods Used in Recruitment Program

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Tyr | oe of Organiza | ation |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Recruitment Methods | Total | Education | Health | Professional |
| Career days | 66 72 85 27 41 59 69 | 30 31 36 10 11 25 30 7 | 23 27 26 8 26 25 26 11 | 16 17 23 10 5 11 13 |
| Agencies reporting | 101 | 35 | . 47 | 19 |

Note: The figures may exceed the number of agencies reporting because three methods categories were combined to form the "media" category.



Table R-7
Recruitment Plans for 1970

| | | | Type of Organization | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | Tot | al | Educ | ation | Hea | lth | Profess | ional |
| Recruitment plans | No• | No. % | | K | No. | % | No. | % |
| Total organizations Increase activity Continue at present level Reduce activity Not reported | 103 46 48 3 6 | 100.0 47.4 49.5 3.1 | 35 20 11 1 3 | 100.0 62.5 34.4 3.1 | 49 18 27 2 2 | 100.0 38.3 57.4 4.3 | 19 8 10 | 100.0 44.4 55.6 |

Table R-8
Sources or Contacts Used for Recruitment

| | $	ext{Ty}_{	ext{I}}$ | oe of Or | ganizati | _on |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | Healt | h | Profess | sional |
| Sources of Contacts | No. | % | No. | % |
| Community or junior colleges Universities Secondary schools Volunteer groups Youth serving agencies Conventions, professional conferences or meetings Other sources or contacts | 14 22 30 10 4 15 | 29.8 46.8 63.8 21.3 8.5 | 9 6 16 3 2 8 1 | 47.4 31.6 84.2 15.8 10.5 42.1 5.3 |



Table C-1
Provision of Specific Program of Counseling Individuals on Health Career Opportunities

| | | | Type of Organization | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| | T | Total | | Education | | Health | | ssional | | | |
| Provision of Counseling | No. | K | No. | % | No. | % | No• | Ø. | | | |
| Total organizations Provides counseling program Does not provide counseling | 188 57 114 | 100.0 33.3 66.7 | 50 33 17 | 100.0 66.0 34.0 | 107 12 79 | 100.0 13.2 86.8 | 31 12 18 | 100.0 40.0 | | | |
| program | 17 | 00.7 | * • • |)4°O | 16 | 00.0 | 10 | 00.0 | | | |

Table C-2
Plans for Developing Specific Programs of Counseling Individuals
on Health Career Opportunities

| | | | Type of Organization | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | 7 | Total | | Education | | Health | | ssional | | | |
| Programs plans | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | | | |
| Total organizations Planning to develop program Not planning to develop program Not reported | 114 8 82 24 | 100.0 8.9 91.1 | 17 15 2 | 100.0 | 79 6 53 20 | 100.0 10.2 89.8 | 18 2 14 2 | 100.0 12.5 87.5 | | | |



Table C-3
Publicizing Counseling Service Programs

| | | | Type of Organization | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| · | Tota | al | Educat | tion | Heal | th P | Professional | | | | | |
| Program Publicizing | No. | % | No. | et 10 | No. | Z . | No• | el p | | | | |
| Total organizations Publicizes counseling service Does not publicze counseling service | 57 35 16 6 | 100.0 68.6 31.4 | 33 23 9 1 | 100.0 71.9 28.1 | 12 3 5 4 | 100.0 37.5 62.5 | 12 9 2 1 | 100.0 81.8 .18.2 | | | | |

Note: Based on data from 57 organizations that do provide a specific program of counseling individuals on health career opportunities.

Table C-6
Plans for Counseling for 1970-1972

| | | | Type of Organization | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | Tota | ıl | Educat | tion | Healt | th | Profess | ional | | |
| Plans for Counseling | No. | % | No• | % | No • | % | No. | % | | |
| Total organizations | 57 30 18 1. 8 | 100.0 61.2 36.7 2.1 | 33 20 11 1 | 100.0 62.5 34.4 3.1 | 12 3 4 | 100.0 42.9 57.1 | 12 7 3 | 100.0 70.0 30.0 | | |

Note: Based on date from 57 organizations that do provide a specific program of counseling individuals on health career opportunities.



Table P-1-4
Rate of Turnover Among Professional Staff

| | and | Hospitals and Clinics Clinics Clinics | | | | er lth ncies |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Percent Turnover | No. % | | No. | et ee | No. | × |
| Total None Under 30 percent 30 to 59 percent 50 percent and over | 30 2 11 15 2 | 100.0 6.7 36.6 50.0 6.7 | 11 6 5 | 100.0 54.5 45.5 | 18 17 5 | 100.0 45.0 42.5 12.5 |

Rate of Turnover Among Para-Professional Staff

| | and | itals d nics | Industrial Clinics | | Не | her alth ncies |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Percent Turnover | No• | % | No. | Z | No• | % |
| Total None Under 30 percent 30 to 59 percent 50 percent and over | 26 6 5 11 4 | 100 0 23.1 19.2 42.3 15.4 | 7 5 ••• | 100.0 | 23 6 12 4 1 | 100.0 26.1 52.2 17.4 4.3 |



Table P-5
Benefits Offered Employees

| ! | Total all agencies with benefits | | a | oitals and nics | | ndus. inics | hea | her alth ncies |
|---|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Benefits | No. | % | No. | % | No. | 8% | No. | Z |
| Hospitalization Group life Retirement plan Other insurance Vacation Sick leave Other benefits | 98 96 95 44 103 101 48 | 93.3 91.4 90.5 41.9 58.1 96.2 45.7 | 40 39 38 23 42 41 26 | 93.0 90.7 88.4 53.5 97.7 95.3 60.5 | 10 11 11 9 11 11 | 90.9 100.0 100.0 8 ¹ .8 100.0 100.0 | 48 46 46 12 50 49 | 92.3 88.5 88.5 23.1 96.2 94.2 32.7 |
| No. Agencies Responding | 106 | | 43 | | 11. | | 52 | |



Table P-6
Collective Bargaining Units or Unions

| | Total | | Hospitals and clinics | | Indus. clinics | | Other health agencies | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Collective bargaining unit | No. | % | No. | × | No. | × | No. | Z |
| Total organizations With unit Without unit | 107 30 76 1 | 100.0 28.3 71.7 | <u>ЦЦ</u> 17 26 1 | 100.0 39.5 60.5 | 11 2 9 | 100.0 18.2 81.8 | 52 11 41 | 100.0 21.2 78.8 |

Table P-7.
Methods of Communicating with Staff Members

| - | Tot | cal | Hospi and clir | 1 | Ind clir | lus. nics | Oth hea agen | lth |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Methods of communication | No. | Я | No• | × | No• | X | No. | × |
| Regular staff meetings Staff committees Periodic printed or mimeo releaces Printed personnel manual Other methods | 85 57 69 68 34 | 81.7 54.8 66.3 65.4 32.7 | 40 36 33 36 17 | 93.0 83.7 76.7 83.7 39.5 | 51 346 | 45.5 9.1 27.3 36.4 54.5 | 40 20 32 28 11 | 80.0 40.0 64.0 56.0 22.0 |
| No. Agencies Responding | 10կ | | 43 | | 11 | · | 50 | |



Table F-8 Methods of Upgrading Staff Members

| | T | 'otal | ar | pitals nd nics | : | ndus. Inics | he | ther alth ncies |
|--|----------------------|--|---------------------------|--|---------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Upgrading methods | No. | . % | No. | Z | No. | K | No. | Z |
| New classification or promotion Increased benefits | 41 45 91 78 | 79.8 39.4 43.3 87.5 75.0 19.2 | 40 25 20 34 9 | 93.0 58.1 46.5 90.7 79.1 20.9 | 53 4971 | 50.0 30.0 40.0 90.0 70.0 | 38 13 21 43 37 10 | 74.5 25.5 41.2 84.3 72.5 19.6 |
| No. Agencies Responding | 104 | | 43 | | 10 | | 51 | |

Table P-9
Career Ladder to Enable Staff Member
to Progress from One Health Career to Another

| , | Т | o ta l | al Hospitals and clinics | | Indus. clinics | | Other health agencies | |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Career Ladder | No. | × | No. | % | No. | Z | No • | Z |
| Total organizations | 107 40 58 9 | 100.0 40.8 59.2 | 23 | 100.0 60.5 39.5 | 11 10 1 | 100.0 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 34.0 66.0 |



Table P-10 Professional Staff From Outside Metropolitan Region And Outside Michigan

| Percent of Staff | Hospitals & Clinics Outside Outside Metro. Michigan Region | & Clinics Outside Michigan | Industrial Clinics Outside Outside Metro. Michigan | Clinics Outside Michigan | Other Health Agencies Outside Outside Metro. Michigan Region | Agencies Outside Michigan |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Total Organizations None Under 50 percent Over 50 percent | 110 - 25 | ابل 7 17 1 19 | 1. 1. | 11 12 12 | 52 15 17 9 | 172 172 173 173 173 |

Table P-11
Resources Generally Used to Obtain Professional Staff

| | Tot | al | Hospitals and Clinics | | Other Health Agencies | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Resources | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| College or university contacts Professional association | 62 | 65.3 | 5/1 | 55.8 | 38 | 73.1 |
| placement bureaus | 52 48 53 | 54.7 50.5 55.8 | .26 25 28 | 60.5 58.1 65.1 | 26 23 25 | 50.0 44.2 48.1 |
| | 49 | 51.6 | 18 | 41.9 | 31 | 59.6 |
| Professional journal advertisements | . 56 (114) | 58 . 9 | 30 (53) | 69 . 8 | 26 (61) | 50.0 - |

Other resources included inter-agency referrals, intra-agency referrals, civil service circulars, personal contacts, and hospitals with their own schools for registered nurses.



Table P-12
Percent of Organizations
Encounting Difficuties in Obtaining Staff

| | ····· | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| : | Hospitals and Clinics | Industrial Clinics | Other Health Agencies | |
| Position | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
| Professional Para-professional Office Maintenance | 68.3 50.0 59.5 48.3 | 36.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 62.0 42.9 29.5 17.9 | |

Table U-13
Studies or Analysis Conducted
on Staff Utilization

| | Tot | al | aı | oitals nd inics | , | ndus. inics | Other health agencies | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Studies | · No. | % | No. | % | No. | × | No. | % | |
| Total organizations | 107 62 41 4 | 100.0 60.2 39.8 | 14 29 13 2 | 100.0 69.0 31.0 | 11 8 3 | 100.0 72.7 27.3 | 52 25 25 2 | 100.0 50.0 50.0 | |

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Tabl} \varepsilon \cdot {\rm U-1l_4} \\ {\rm Technological\ Advancement\ Influencing\ Need\ for\ Personnel} \end{array}$

| | Tot | al | ai | pitals nd inics | 1 | ndus. inics | Other health agencies | | |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Need | No. | K | No• | % | No. | 8% | No• | % | |
| Total organizations Increased need Unchanged need Decreased need Not reported | 107 72 14 4 17 | 100.0 80.0 15.6 4.4 | 到30000 | 100.0 89.8 5.1 5.1 | 11 6 | 100.0 60.0 40.0 | 52 31 8 2 11 | 100.0 75.6 19.5 4.9 | |



Table U-15 More Efficient Utilization of Available Personnel Through Application of Technological Advancements

| | Tot | tal | Hospitals and clinics | | | dus. nics | Other health agencies | | |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Utilization of Personnel | No. | b 2 | No. | % | No. | , % | No. | % | |
| Total organizations Helped Did not help Both helped and did not help Not reported | 107 64 22 6 15 | 100.0 69.6 23.9 6.5 | <u>144</u> 29 8 4 3 | 100.0 70.7 19.5 9.8 | 11 8 2 | 100.0 80.0 20.0 | 52 27 12 2 11 | 100.0 65.8 29.3 4.9 | |

Table U-16
Improvement of Utilization of Personnel
Through Recent Changes in Policy

| | Tot | al | aı | oitals nd inics | 1 | ndus. inics | Other health agencies | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Utilization of Personnel | No. | % | No. | % | No. | 82 | No. | 8.0 | |
| Total organizations Improvement in utilization No improvement in utilization Not reported | 107 62 37 10 | 100.0 62.6 37.4 | <u> </u> | 100.0 68.3 31.7 | 11 5 5 | 100.0 | 52 27 19 6 | 100.0 58.7 41.3 | |



Table U-17
Use of Computer Services in the Analysis and Control of Personnel Utilization

| | Tot | tal | Hospitals and clinics | | Ind clin | lus. ics | Other health agencies | | |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Computer Use | No. | × | No. | R | No. | K | No • | % | |
| Total organizations Use computer services Do not use computer services Not reported | 107 16 89 2 | 100.0 15.2 84.8 | <u>44</u> 11 33 | 100.0 25.0 75.0 | <u>11</u> 11 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 10.0 90.0 | |

Table U-18 Feasibility and Desirability of Computer Use $^{\mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$

| Feasibility and Desirability | Total | Hospitals and clinics | Indus. clinics | Other health agencies |
|--|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total organizations Feasible Not feasible Not reported | 89 | 33 | 11 | 45 |
| | 29 | 12 | 3 | 14 |
| | 39 | 8 | 6 | 25 |
| | 21 | 13 | 2 | 6 |
| Total organizations | 89 | 33 | 11 | 45 |
| | 29 | 11 | 3 | 15 |
| | 35 | 12 | 6 | 17 |
| | 25 | 10 | 2 | 13 |

Based on data from 89 health agencies reporting they do not use computer services in the analysis and control of personnel utilization.



Table U-19
Increasing Utilization of Health Manpower
Through Sharing of Individuals with Special Skills Among Health Agencies

| | Tot | al | Hospi and clir | ì | Indu clini | | Othe heal agenc | th |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Utilization of manpower | No. | 6/ /2 | No. | 8,0 | No. | 8, | No. | 95 |
| Total organizations | 107 79 15 13 | 100.0 84.0 16.0 | <u>141։</u> 32 5 7 | 100.0 86.5 13.5 | 11 6 4 1 | 100.0 60.0 40.0 | 5 <u>1</u> 46 15 | 100.0 87.2 12.8 |

E-6
Agency Relationship with Educational Institutions
Regarding Training

| | i . | | | | Heal | th age | ncies | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | Tota agenc | | То ⁻ | Total | | tals d ics | 1 | Indus. age nci es | | ner Lth ncies | Pro: agenc | |
| Realtionships | No. | K | No. | 7. | No. | . K | No. | K | No . | 7,5 | No. | Я |
| Total agencies With relationships Without relationships Not reported | 140 83 42 15 | 100.0 66.4 33.6 | 112 63 36 13 | 100.0 63.6 36.4 | 144 35 6 3 | 100.0 85.4 14.6 | 11 1 9 1 | 100.0 10.0 90.0 | 57 27 21 9 | 100.0 56.3 43.7 | | 100.0 76.9 23.1 |

E-1
Use of Examination as a Means of Entry Into or Advancement Within a Profession

| | | | | | Hea | lth ag | enci | es | · | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Total agencies | | Total. | | tals d ics | Indus. | | Other health agencies | | P r o. a gen o | |
| | Nο. | 64 /0 · | No. | % | No. | F/s | No. | ef io | No. | 8% | No. | Ø, . |
| Total agencies Yes No Not reported | 140 27 83 30 | 100.0 24.5 75.5 | 112 23 62 27 | 100.0 27.1 72.9 | 13 | 100.0 37.1 62.9 | 000 | 100.0 | 57 10 30 17 | 100.0 25.0 75.0 | 14 | 100.0 16.0 84.0 |



E-2
In-Service Training Programs

| | | | | Health | ager. | cies | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Tot | ai. | Rospitals and clinics | | Indus. | | he | ner a⊥th ncies |
| Subject | No. | % | No. | R | No. | % | No. | Z |
| Total agencies With program Without program Not reported | 112 82 23 7 | 100.0 78.1 21.9 | प्रेम प्रि 2 2 2 | 100.0 95.2 4.8 | 11 4 6 1 | 100.0 40.0 60.0 | 57 38 15 1 ₄ | 100.0 71.7 28.3 |

Need for Strengthening In-Service Training

| · | 1 | Total agencies | | | | Hospit | | d | Indus. | | Other health agencies | | Pro | |
|--------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----|--|
| Strengthen Program | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No• | % | No. | 8 | No. | % | | |
| Total agencies | 83 | 100.0 80.6 19.4 | 64 | 100.0 81.0 19.0 | 33 | 100.0 82.5 17.5 | 11 3 4 4 | 100.0 42.9 57.1 | 57 28 4 25 | 100.0 87.5 12.5 | 28 19 5-4 | 100.0 79.2 20.8 | | |



E-5
Need for Strengthening Macation
for Career Programs - Advancements

| | | | | | Hea] | th age | ncie | 3 | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|------|----------------------|------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | Tot agenc | | Tota | Total. | | Total | | j | | dus. ncies | Other health agencies | | Pro a ger | of. cies |
| Strengthen Program | No• | 8/0 | No• | Ø Ø | No. | 8% | No. | કેલ | No. | Z | No. | % | | |
| Total agencies nould be strenthened nould not be strengthened. >t reported | 140 89 13 38 | 100.0 87.3 12.7 | | 100.0 86.1 13.9 | 35 | 100.0 92.1 7.9 | 3 | 100.0 50.0 50.0 | | 100.0 85.7 14.3 | 28 21 2 2 5 | 100.0 91.3 8.7 | | |

| | Health agencies | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | Total | | Hospi and clini | | 1 | dus. ncies | Other health agencies | | | |
| Utilization | No. | % | No. | · % | No• | Я | No. | % | | |
| Total agencies Programs utilized Programs not utilized Not reported | 112 28 69 15 | 100.0 28.9 71.1 | <u>44</u> 17 19 8 | 100.0 47.2 52.8 | | 100.0 | 57 11 40 6 | 100.0 21.6 78.4 | | |



Table E-7

Do You Have Any Agreements or Cooperative Arrangements with Public Health Agencies and/or Professional Assoc. Regarding Curricular Preparation

| Subject | Educational Agencies | Percent |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Total Yes No Not reported | <u>53</u> 36 15 2 | 100.0 70.6 29.1; |



E-5
Need for Strengblening A weation
for Career Programs - Advancements

| | | Health agencies | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----|---------------|-----|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Tot agenc | | Tota | | Hospi and clin | l | 1 | lus. Acies | hea | hor lth ncies | Pro ag e r | of. ucies |
| Strengthen Program | No. | ę p | No. | 5°, | No. | 34 | No. | 64 /U | No. | Z | No. | × |
| Total agencies nould be strenthened nould not be strengthened. t reported | 140 89 13 38 | 100.0 87.3 12.7 | | 100.0 86.1 13.9 | 35 | 100.0 92.1 7.9 | 3 | | 30 | 100.0 85.7 14.3 | 80년 215 | 100.0 91.3 8.7 |

E-4
Use Established Continuing Education Programs

| | Health agencies | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Total | | Hospi and clini | | | dus. ncies | Other nealth agencies | | |
| Utilization | No. | Z | No. | % | No. | Ø, | No. | Z | |
| Total agencies Programs utilized Programs not utilized Not reported | 112 28 69 15 | 100.0 28.9 71.1 | <u>44</u> 17 19 8 | 100.0 47.2 52.8 | 11 10 1 | 100.0 | 57 11 40 6 | 100.0 21.6 78.4 | |



Table E-7
Do You Have Any Agreements or Cooperative Arrangements with Public Health Agencies and/or Professional Assoc. Regarding Curricular Preparation

| Subject | Educational Agencies | Percent |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| TotalYes | 53 36 15 | 100.0 70.6 29.4 |
| Not reported | 2 | ••• |



Table F-1
Participation in Developing and Executing Joint Health Manpower
Projects with Other Health Organizations

| | | | Type of Organisation | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Total | | Mucation | | Health | | Professiona | | |
| Partici p at i on | No. | हें इंड | No. | K | No. | , , | No. | ig jo | |
| Total organizations Willing to participate Not willing to participate Not reported | 188 143 20 25 | 100.0 87.7 12.3 | <u>50</u> 40 3 7 | 100.0 93.0 7.0 | 107 76 16 15 | 100.0 82.8 17.2 | 31 27 1 3 | 100.0 96.4 3.6 | |

Table 7-1a
Areas of Participation in Developing and Fnacting Joint
Health Manpower Projects with Other Health Organizations

| | | | | Typ | oe of O | rganizat | ion | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| | Total | | _ Edu | Education | | Health | | sional |
| Areas of Participation | No. | % | No. | % | No. | Z | • сИ | R |
| Recruitment Placement Utilization Praining Other areas | 92 57 54 89 5 | 82.1 50.9 48.2 79.5 4.5 | 33 20 12 23 | 89.2 54.1 32.4 62.2 10.8 | 39 27 31 50 | 78.0 54.0 62.0 100.0 2.0 | 20 10 11 16 | 80.0 40.0 44.0 64.0 |

