DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 080 517 SP 006 811

AUTHOR Bayer, Alan E.

TITLE Teaching Faculty in Academe: 1972-73.

INSTITUTION American Council on Education, Washington, D.C.

Office of Research.

PUB DATE Aug 73

NOTE 65p.; ACE Research Reports; volume 8 number 2 August

1973

AVAILABLE FROM Publications Division, American Council on Education,

One Dupont Circle, Washington, D.C. 20036 (\$3.00)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS *College Faculty; Questionnaires; Statistical

Analysis; Surveys; *Teacher Background; *Teacher

Characteristics; *Teacher Education; Teacher

Employment; *Teacher Experience

ABSTRACT

This document is a report on a survey of college faculty for 1972-73 compiled from results of a faculty questionnaire. The document is composed of descriptions of the design of the study, the survey form, the national norms, and an overview of the findings. Included as appendixes are the names of institutions represented by faculty respondents, a sample of the questionnaire, and tables of weighted national normative distributions. The overview of the findings indicates the following about the average college faculty member in this country: over 40, tenured, white, male, masters degree recipient, religious, politically conservative, and born of a father with an eighth grade education and a mother who completed high school: also, his previous work experience was not college teaching but research or administrative work or precollege teaching. The report indicates that advances in the employment of blacks and women over a 5-year period have been slight. The tables in the appendix also indicate faculty opinions about current educational issues. (JA)

SP 006 811

n Academe: 1972-73... n Education, Washington, D.C.

Reports; volume 8 number 2 August

ion, American Council on Education, Washington, D.C. 20036 (\$3.00)

Questionnaires; Statistical *Teacher Background; *Teacher Teacher Education; Teacher er Experience

report on a survey of college

results of a faculty questionnaire. ptions of the design of the study, s, and an overview of the findings. es of institutions represented by he questionnaire, and tables of butions. The overview of the bout the average college faculty enured, white, male, masters degree conservative, and born of a father a mother who completed high perience was not college teaching k or precollege teaching. The the employment of blacks and women ght. The tables in the appendix ut current educational issues.



FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

Roger W. Heyns, President

The American Council on Education, founded in 1918, is a council of educational organizations and institutions. Its purpose is to advance education and educational methods through comprehensive voluntary and cooperative action on the part of American educational associations, organizations, and institutions

The Council's Office of Research was established in 1965 to assume responsibility for conducting research on questions of general concern to higher education. ACE Research Reports are designed to expedite communication of the Office's research findings to a limited number of educational researchers and other interested persons.

OFFICE OF RESEARCH STAFF

Alexander W. Astin, Director

Alan E Bayer. Associate Director

Ann S Bisconti, Adjunct Research Associate

Kathleen B Brosi, Programmer

Marsha D Brown Research Analyst

Judy M Cangialosi, Secretary

John A Creager. Research Associate

Jeffrey E. Dutton. Project Director Higher Education Panel

Eiaine H El-Khawas. Adjunct Research Associate

Charles S Fletcher, Programmer

Mary C Henderson, Secretary

Engin I Flolmstrom, Research Associate

Laura P Kent. Editor

Margo R King Staff Assistant

Linda D Molm, Research Analyst

Jeannie T. Royer, Research Assistant

Charles L Sell Chief, Data Processing

Barbara A Toner, Programmer

Joan C Trexler, Research Assistant

Carol F Van Alstyne, Economist

ACE RESEARCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Jessie S. Bernard

Research Scholar Honoris Causa Pennsylvania State University

John G. Darley

Chairman, Department of Psychology

University of Minnesota

James A. Davis

Director

National Opinion Research Center

Edgar G. Epps

Professor of Urban Education The University of Chicago

Nathaniel L. Gage Committee Chairman

Professor of Education and Psychology

Stanford University

Richard C Gilman

President

Occidental College

Edmond P. Gordon

Professor and Chairman, Department of Guidance

Teachers College Columbia University

W. Lee Hansen

Chairman, Department of Economics

University of Wisconsin

Alice S. Rossi

Professor, Department of Sociology

Goucher College

William H. Sewell

Professor, Department of Sociology

University of Wisconsin

Michael Useem

Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology

Harvard University

Dael Wolfle

Graduate School of Public Affairs

University of Washington



Teaching Faculty in Academe: 1972-73

Alan E. Bayer

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS OCCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
OUCEO EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EOUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

ACE RESEARCH REPORTS

Vol. 8, No. 2

August, 1973

Office of Research American Council on Education

SP 006 81

HIGHLIGHTS

- As a result of the tighter job market for potential new college faculty, the present (1972-73) teaching faculty in American colleges and universities is, on average, older than the 1968-69 faculty had been. Currently, almost three-fifths are over age 40.
- Consistent with the "aging" of the faculty, more faculty members today hold senior ranks and are tenured. Fully half hold the rank of either associate or full professor, and two-thirds have tenure.
- In spite of the presumed implementation of affirmative action programs in higher education, the proportion of blacks among teaching faculty has increased only slightly: from 2.2 percent in 1968-69 to 2.9 percent in 1972-73. For women on the faculty, there has been not so much an increase as a redistribution, with the proportions decreasing at two-year and four-year colleges and increasing slightly at universities.
- Close to half of the current teaching faculty hold master's degrees, onethird have either a Ph.D. or an Ed.D., and the rest have professional degrees, baccalaureates only, or did not report their highest degree held.
- Fully three-fifths of current college and university faculty regard themselves as religious, and almost half say they are politically conservative.
- One-fourth of all faculty had interrupted their professional careers for at least one year because of military or family reasons, with more men than women having done so.
- Immediately prior to employment at their current institutions, only one-fourth of the current teaching faculty were engaged in college-level teaching. Most of the others taught in precollege settings, were students themselves, or had jobs in administration, research, and other nonteaching areas.
- Overall, two-thirds of the faculty teach three or more classes a term, the teaching load being heaviest in the two-year colleges and lightest in the universities. Three-fifths of all faculty also spend nine or more hours per week in preparing for class; and half spend five or more hours per week in counseling and advising students.
- The majority of faculty engage also in other scholarly activities. Twothirds spend time each week in research and scholarly writing; almost three-fifths have published in journals; and two-fifths have also published at least one book, manual, or monograph. Additionally, some faculty members spend considerable time in committee work, clinical practice, administrative activities, community service, consulting, and service to their profession.
- A substantial proportion of faculty endorse many of the reforms taking place in academe today: e.g., basing promotions on teaching effectiveness rather than on publication; using formal student evaluations as a partial basis for faculty promotions; giving students representation on institutional governing boards; and adopting collective bargaining.
- Most current teaching faculty seem generally satisfied with their jobs, although 14 percent say that, if they had it to do over again, they would not choose an academic setting. More than three-fifths feel that they are more successful than others in their field who had comparable training; but one-third feel they have fallen seriously behind because knowledge in their field has been expanding so rapidly.



Acknowledgements

The survey endeavor described in this report required the advice, assistance, and cooperation of a large number of persons at the American Council on Education, in colleges and universities, in private organizations, and in Federal agencies. To each who played a role in this survey, appreciation is extended. Thanks are due, first, however, to the more than 100,000 college and university faculty and staff who were surveyed, and especially to those who took time from already busy schedules to fill out and return the survey form. Many also took time to write notes and letters: some constructively critical, some supportive, and some expressing strong endorsement of the project. Virtually every letter gave some insight into aspects of academe and its members that had not been adequately tapped by the survey questionnaire; hence, they provided us with additional knowledge of the American system of higher education.

This study was supported by the National Science Foundation through its program of Research Applied to National Needs (Grant GI-34394). Application is expressed to the RANN staff for their endorsement, and to my ACE collaborators on the proposal preparation stage: Jack Rossmann, now at Macalester College, and Alexander Astin, now at UCLA, who contributed greatly to the development of the initial rationale and conceptualization for the survey.

Several scores of individuals assisted in the instrument design and item development. They included every research associate in ACE's Office of Research, ACE Commission directors and executive heads, all members of the ACE Research Advisory Committee, many staff members in the National Science Foundation as well as in other Federal agencies, and many other professional researchers in private organizations and academic institutions throughout the country. I am particularly indebted to Richard Peterson of the Educational Testing Service and Gerald Platt of the University of Massachusetts for their assistance in developing the item on teaching and institutional goals. Items of particular relevance to women in academe were contributed by Alice Rossi of Goucher College, Helen Astin of the University Research Corporation, and Engin Holmstrom and Linda Molm of ACE. James Cowhig of the National Science Foundation, project monitor for the grant, provided special assistance in instrument design by gaining the assistance of many persons in Federal agencies and by coordinating their suggestions for the survey questionnaire content. Each contributor to the survey instrument also receives my apologies that many useful suggestions for survey items had to be excluded because of space constraints.



Dennis Dillon, Gerald Koch, Robert Meeder, and Gerold Westberg, all of the INTRAN Corporation in Minneapolis, evidenced their usual high-quality performance and rapid service in all phases of field work from questionnaire design and preparation through mail processing and optical scanning. Curtis Dewees of the American University Press Services made arrangements to obtain a complete and current listing of names and addresses for the survey. Clifford Fair of the ACE Publications Division provided a prepublication copy of the ACE quadrennial institutional survey information necessary for weighting development; and John Creager played a coordinating role in developing the data for computer processing. Dorothy Guilford and associates at the U.S. Office of Education kindly let us have access to unpublished information from the HEGIS-V survey of institutional staff, data which was also essential to weight development. Laura Kent provided invaluable editorial services in preparing this report.

Finally, I owe most gratitude to my associates, Richard Webb and Jeannie Royer. Without their comprehensive and competent help throughout the course of the survey, the research project would have faltered and been delayed.

Mr. Webb handled all computer-related services, including file development and the editing of the name-and-address files, implementation of all statistical weighting routines, and programming of all statistical summaries in this report.

Ms. Royer performed the administrative role of survey research coordinator.

It was she who acted as liaison with all individuals and organizations associated with the project, within and outside of the American Council on Education, kept all associated activities on schedule, carried out much of the day-to-day correspondence with survey participants, and carried through all phases of the report preparation and production.

Without the help of each of these persons, completion of this report would have been far more difficult if not impossible. Any errors or faults that remain are in spite of their best efforts and are solely my responsibility.

AEB



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I	Introduction	1
II	Design of the Study	. 2
	A. The Sample	. 3
	B. Data Collection	. 4
	C. Weighting Procedures	. 5
	1. Between-college weight	. 7
	2. Within-college weight	, 9
	3. Subject weight	. 10
III	The Survey Form	. 10
IV	National Norms	. 11
V	Overview of Findings	. 13
	A. Demographic Background	. 13
	B. Educational Background	. 15
	C. Work History	. 16
	D. Current Position	. 16
	E. Faculty Opinions and Attitudes	. 18
VI	Conclusion	. 19
VII	Weighted National Normative Distributions, by Sex and Type of Institutional Setting, for College and University Teaching Faculty: 1972-73 Academic Year	. 21
/III	Appendices	. 33
IX	References	. 65



APPENDICES

	Page
I	Appendix A: Institutions Represented by Faculty Respondents
II	Appendix B: Cover Letters Accompanying Three Waves of Questionnaire Mailings
III	Appendix C: 1972-73 Faculty and Staff Questionnaire
IV	Appendix D: Coding for U.S. Geographic Region and Foreign Countries
V	Appendix E: Coding for "Most Outstanding Professional Accomplishment or Achievement" (Ques-30) in the 1972-73 ACE Faculty and Staff Survey 57
VI	Appendix F: Precision of the Data and Their Comparisons
	LIST OF TABLES
I	Table 1: Faculty Counts and Respondent Weights, by Institutional Stratification Cell Assignment: 1972-73 Survey of Teaching Faculty 8
II	Table F-1: Standard Errors of Categorical Response Percentages for Groups of Various Size 63



Teaching Faculty in Academe: 1972-73

Alan E. Bayer

In the 1972-73 academic year, the American Council on Education (ACE) undertook to replicate in part an earlier survey, the largest national general-purpose survey of college and university faculty ever conducted. This earlier survey, carried out in the 1968-69 academic year as a cooperative research project of the ACE and the Carnegie Commission on Higher Education, was designed to meet the need for a variety of information which could be used for research purposes and which, in addition, could provide national normative data based on a substantial and representative sample of the nation's college and university faculty.

The massive data base from the 1968-69 survey has been, and continues to be, used for many diverse kinds of studies of academe. In addition to the preliminary descriptive/normative report (Bayer, 1970), analytical research based on the survey is represented by studies of faculty involvement in collective bargaining (Carr and VayEyck, 1973), tenure policies and practices (AAUP, 1973), determinants of differentials in rank and salary (Astin and Bayer, 1972), correlates of faculty roles in campus unrest (Bayer, 1971, 1972; Astin, Astin, Bayer, and Bisconti, 1973), employment and career backgrounds of faculty (Astin, 1972), faculty political opinions and commitments (Ladd and Lipset, 1972), and faculty influences on students' perceptions of their educational experiences (Bayer, 1970).

Many of these studies have only recently been published, and other studies based on the 1968-69 data are just under way. The intervening years, however, have been marked by many significant changes in American higher education which have direct bearing on the faculty: tighter job market conditions, the growth in collective bargaining, alterations in tenure

policies, the decline in Federal support of university research, the introduction of affirmative action programs, the reorganization of institutional structures, the decline of campus unrest, the introduction of new educational methods, the growing use of student evaluation procedures to measure teaching performance, the decline in the growth rate of undergraduate enrollments, and the precarious financial situation of many higher education institutions. In light of these changing conditions, a reassessment of college and university faculty was called for, and this reassessment was undertaken during the 1972-73 academic year. This more recent survey will allow replication of some of the earlier studies just cited, will provide information about changes and trends among college and university faculty, and will permit exploration of other research areas not covered in the earlier survey. The descriptive information in this report, the first analysis based on the 1972-73 survey, presents the primary tabular data from the survey participants and can be generalized to all current two-year college, four-year college, and university teaching faculty.

Design of the Study

The 1972-73 survey design was developed to closely parallel the earlier 1968-69 survey design. The definition of the population of eligible institutions was the same as that employed earlier, sampling methods were comparable, and the fundamental features of the former weighting procedures used to compensate statistically for sampling and response bias were incorporated. Navertheless, while the two surveys are similar, they are not identical. Some of the items from the earlier survey were not repeated and others were modified; some new items were introduced. Additionally, in the 1972-73 survey, the procedures for obtaining name-and-address rosters were more efficient, and the definition of teaching faculty was more precise.



These procedures are detailed below, and the similarities and differences between the two surveys are noted.

The Sample

The initial sampling took place at the institutional level. The total population of 2,433 higher education institutions, defined in the 1969 ACE Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP), was arrayed into 35 stratification cells, based on institutional type, control, level of selectivity, and institutional affluence (Creager, Astin, Boruch, Bayer, and Drew, 1969). Those 301 institutions that had been selected for the 1968-69 survey, and disproportionately sampled from each strata, were again selected for the reassessment. Included in the sample were 78 universities, 181 four-year colleges, and 42 junior or community colleges; their faculty size ranged from fewer than 20 to approximately 4,500 in the 1972-73 academic year.

Names and institutional addresses for faculty and staff at the 301 sample institutions were collected. The primary source was the mailing list maintained and continually updated by the American University Press Services (AUPS), compilers of The National Faculty Directory (1972), which derives its information primarily from college catalogues and class schedules. For



It was decided, in the interests of continuity and comparability, to maintain a definition of the eligible institutional population that precisely duplicated that used in the earlier survey. Excluded from eligibility, therefore, are the approximately 100 institutions that have come into being since 1969; most of these have only small numbers of faculty in any event. For further details on the methodology, sampling and design of the 1968-69 survey, see Bayer (1970).

A total of 303 institutions had been included in the 1968-69 survey. But one of them, San Luis Rey of California, had discontinued operations by the time of the 1972-73 survey; and another, St. Lawrence Seminary of Wisconsin, had merged with another sample institution, Marian College of Fond du Lac (Wisconsin). The remaining 301 institutions which were sampled ale listed in Appendix A.

each institution amon⁷ the 301 sampled for which AUPS had compiled rosters, counts of faculty and staff were compared with independent counts from quadrennial ACE surveys (Gleazer and Cooke, 1971; Furniss, Carlin, and Means, 1973) or AAUP compilations (1971). The AUPS counts for 296 institutions were deemed relatively complete, typically above 75 percent of the number reported in the independent sources. Of the 286 AUPS institutional rosters used in the survey, 29 percent were derived from 1972-73 sources, 54 percent from 1971-72 sources, 14 percent from 1970-71 sources, and 3 percent from 1969-70 sources. The AUPS rosters resulted in a list of 100,955 valid names and addresses for the survey.

For the remaining 15 sample institutions not included or not sufficently covered by AUPS, current college catalogues were obtained, and rosters compiled, resulting in an additional 7,767 names and addresses. Thus, the initial sample in the 1972-73 survey comprised a total of 108,722 individuals. Data Collection

The survey form was initially mailed out to the 108,722 faculty and staff members in early December, 1972. A second mailing to nonrespondents went out in early February, 1973, followed by a third mailing to the remaining nonrespondents in mid-April, 1973. (See Appendix B for copies of the covering letters accompanying the three waves of questionnaire mailings.) The cutoff date for processing returns was mid-June, 1973.



This sample count of 108,722 includes a number of nonteaching faculty and staff. Through processing procedures, described later, respondents who were not teaching faculty were excluded from the tabulations reported herein. In comparison, the 1968-69 survey had used a sample of 100,315, drawn from rosters compiled by a cooperating representative at each sample institution. Although the representatives had been requested to give names and addresses of only those persons who had teaching responsibilities, a number of nonteaching staff were included in some of the rosters and were not omitted in compilations based on the 1968-69 survey.

At the time of the second mailing, 31,495 forms had been returned. An additional 15,361 forms were received prior to the third mailing, and another 6,275 were received after the third mailing and prior to the mid-June cutoff for processing. After duplicates and invalid returns were removed, a total of 53,034 respondents remained, for an overall response rate of 49 percent.

Of the 53,034 respondents, 10,689 indicated either that they had no teaching responsibilities at any time during the 1972-73 academic year or that they had changed their institutional affiliation or retired since the name-and-address rosters had been compiled. At least one respondent from each of the 301 sample institutions was thereby dropped, the average being 35 per institution. Therefore, 42,345 respondents were identified as currently active teaching faculty at their institutions; data from these respondents were used for the current report.

Weighting Procedures

In developing weights to adjust statistically the information received from the 42,345 teaching faculty respondents so that they would be representative of the entire population of college and university teaching faculty, the first step was to determine the actual number of faculty currently employed at each of the nation's 2,433 higher education institutions. The primary sources for these figures were the ACE quadrennial reports on the nation's institutions, American Universities and Colleges



These cases were defined by their positive responses to either of the last two alternatives on questionnaire item #5, or their indication, in a space adjacent to the mailing label on the top of page 1 of the survey form, that they had changed their institutional affiliation (see Appendix C). These persons, though not dealt with in this report, will be the subject of future projected studies on nonteaching faculty and staff and on academic mobility.

(Furniss, Carlin, and Means, 1973), and American Junior Colleges (Gleazer and Cooke, 1971).

Of the 2,433 astitutions in the defined population, 2,013 were included in the ACE quadrent are atts and 2,006 institutions had complete counts of faculty by degree level (doctorate-holders and nondoctorate-holders). For the other seven institutions, only total faculty counts were reported in the quadrennial publications. The 420 institutions not reported and these sources were then checked for inclusion in the Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS-V) of employees in higher education institutions, available from the U.S. Office of Education. Faculty counts, by degree level, were obtained from HEGIS-V files for an additional 317 institutions.

It was necessary to estimate faculty counts at the remaining institutions on which no data were available. The percentage of faculty with and without doctorates was first calculated for all institutions, within each stratifica a cell, for which complete data were available. These percentages were then applied to the seven institutions for which only total faculty counts were available. Thus, estimates of the numbers of faculty, by degree level, in each of these institutions were derived. The mean number of faculty, by degree level, was then calculated, within each stratification cell, for all 2,330 institutions for which data were available. The appropriate stratification cell means were assigned to each of the remaining 103 institutions for which no faculty counts were available.



The most currently available supplement to these quadrennial reports was also used as a reference. See Federation of Regional Accrediting Commissions of Higher Education (1972).

The above procedures yielded an estimate of 518,849 faculty members employed at the 2,433 institutions in the population. Of the total, approximately one-third held doctorates, and almost two-thirds did not. Weighting procedures were then undertaken to adjust the respondent counts up to these population counts and concurrently to adjust for differential sampling of institutions within strata and for differential response rates of faculty by degree level.

To attain these objectives, three sets of weights were developed. The first is a between-college weight that adjusts for the disproportionate sampling of institutions within strata. The second is a within-college weight that adjusts for the differential response rates of faculty (by degree level) within each of the sample institutions. The third, the subject weight, is the product derived by multiplying the first two; it was applied in the subsequent processing of the 42,345 respondent data records on file. Faculty counts and weights, by institutional stratification cell assignment, are shown in Table 1.

Between-college weight. The total population of 2,433 institutions was arrayed into the 35 stratification cells used in the ACE Cooperative Institutional Research Program. Similarly, each of the 301 sample institutions was assigned to the appropriate stratification cell. Adjacent cells were combined where necessary so that there would be an adequate number of sample institutions in each grouping; this resulted in a 22-cell structure, shown in Table 1.

The number of faculty, by degree level, was then aggregated by cell for all institutions in the population and in the sample (columns 4-5 and 7-8, respectively, in Table 1). The ratio between the number of faculty (by degree level) in the population of institutions within the cell and the

Paculty Counts and Respondent Weighte, by Institutional Stratification Call Assignment: 1972 73 Survey of Teaching Faculty

Table 1

Andrews Andrews with Advisory and Andrews						Number of Te	Teachine Faculty	ulty							Veighte				
	Aurober of	je si	E	In Population		؛ ا	To a contract of		Survay	Respondent		Between Colleges	11eges C			Within Colleges			
	In	ac roue	10			ard are no	With Without	Without	307.00	With Wi	Without	Doctorate	Doctorate	HIE	With Doctorate			Without Doctorate	
Stratification Cella	1 topi (201.1)	Sample (Col.2)	Tet 1 (Col. 1)	torate (Col.4)	torate (Col.5)	Total (Col.6)	torate (Col.7)	torate (Col.8)	Total (Col.9)	torete (col.10)	torate (Col.11)	col.7)	01.5 (8.16)	Highest (Col. 14)	Lovest (Col.13) (Madian ((01.16)	Highest (Col.17)	Lovest (Col. 18)	'led1an (Col.19)
University 5-alectratisty 1,5. LESS THAN 500; OR UNINONN 2. 500-559 4. 660 OR HORE	161 39 45 51	13 14 28	52,391 40,522 51,783 76,959	22,068 19,461 25,598 35,389	30,323 21,061 26,185 41,570	10,781 21,977 21,785 47,909	6,262 9,807 10,884 21,336	4,519 12,170 10,901 26,573	4,337 7,003 7,771 10,382	3,345 5,175 5,902 8,442	992 1,828 1,869	2041	6.7 2.4 5.6	2.5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	22.7	12.8 50.0 30.5	2.2	44.4.6 67.45
4 Year 7ubits College .alectivity: b 6.9. LESS TRA 450; OR UNCOGN 7. 450-499 8. 500 OR HORE	162 67 73	8	39,031 21,847 36,356	14,429 9,040 15,649	24,602 12,807 20,707	3,073 3,271 6,480	1,138 1,333 2,632	1,835 1,938 3,648	909 1,406 1,778	636 880 1,141	273 526 637	12.7 6.8 5.5	13.1 6.6 5.7	3.2	1.8	41.1 5.4.7.	50.0 5.5 19.8	2.6	4.6 6.6
4-Tear Frivate Nonsectarian Salectarity: 10,14,1755 TEAN 500; OR UNDWAY 11, 500-574 12, 575-649 13, 650 OR NORE	25 2 2 3 5 2 4 5 3 5	20 6 23	24,720 6,340 7,569 7,417	6,126 2,169 3,767 4,534	18,596 4,171 3,802 2,883	3,377 955 2,695 3,591	922 298 1,433 2,318	2,455 657 1,262 1,273	996 293 948 1,471	453 168 675 1,148	545 125 273 323	6.6 2.6 2.6	7.6 9.3 3.0 2.3	8.0 9.7.0	1.50	1.8 1.3 2.0	22.7 19.6 36.0 19.0	2.0	4800
4-Year Loss Catholic Selectivity: 15,18,1828 TMM 500; OR UNEXOUN 15, 500-574 17, 575 OR HORE	106 73 41	7 1 2 2	7,744 8,090 5,218	2,080 2,596 2,064	5,666 5,494 3,154	1,174 1,186 1,354	403 402 527	771 784 827	371 385 416	184 208 259	187 177 157	5.5 5.5 5.5 6.5 7.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8	7.3	9.52	11.3	2.6	8.7 11.2 9.2	3.9	5,4
4-Ver Protestint Salectivity: 19,7 21255 Tal 4,51 (R UND MP ., 20, 2450-499 71, 500-700	2223	cvog	8,74° 6,948 5,868	2,033 2,523 2,745	6,025 3,266 4,428 3,123	44.3 43.5 658 1,853	152 171 246 860	307 208 213 993	167 154 268 748	6.4 94 135 484	97 60 133 264	14. 2 10.2 3.2	19.9 10.7 10.7	4400	0.9.14	2.0	9.5	3.4	6 4 6. 6 6 4 6
2-Year College 14,15,15, SILECTIVITY LESS TRAN 24,15,15, SOI STEATHLY LESS TRAN 31, TRAN \$1,000; OR BOTH 27, SELECTIVITY 500 OR BORE 30,31, EXPENDITURE \$1000 OR 801,		29	75,009	4,883 588 759	70,216 8,049 9,980	4,080 1,138 1,222	175 56 32	3,905 1,082 1,190	1,101 498 325	128 47 25	973 451 300	27.9 10.5 23.7	18.0 4.4 4.8	2.3	0.01	111	11.7 6.3	1.0 2.0 2.0	3.2
Predominently Negro College 34,35. PUBLIC AND PRIVATE	t	14	12,609	3,296	9,313	2,942	848	2,097	623	283	340	3.9	4.4	6.3	1.7	8.2	12.1	0:0	" ;
TOTAL	2,433	301	518,849	183,430	335,429	142,372	62,422	79,950	42,345	29,877	12,468	!	:	:	:	;	1	:	:

*Crasser, Autin, Boruch, Bayer, and Drew, 1969, pp. 11-12.

Average achievement test scores of antering students.

*Per-student expanditures for educational and general purposes.

*Constant for each sample institution in the sample.

*Varies for each institution in the sample.

*Varies for each institution in the sample.

*Varies for each institution in the sample.

number of faculty (by degree level) in the sample of institutions within the cell was then calculated. This ratio, the between-college weight, is a constant for all faculty of a given degree level within all of the sample institutions in the same cell (columns 12-13 in Table 1). These weights range from 1.7 to 27.9 for those with doctorates, and from 1.7 to 19.9 for those without doctorates.

Within-college weight. As in the 1968-69 survey, a preliminary check of the faculty responses indicated that there was a bias in the returns. Those who held a doctoral degree were substantially more likely to respond than were those who held a degree below this level. Therefore, for each of the 301 sample institutions, the ratio of total faculty to total respondents was calculated separately by degree level (summarized in columns 14-19 of Table 1). For the 301 sample institutions, the median within-college weight is 1.8 for doctorates and 4.0 for nondoctorates.

A less complex procedure was used in the report based on the 1968-69 faculty survey. In that report, a single between-college weight was calculated, based on the ratio of the number of sample institutions to the number of population institutions within each cell. This procedure assumed that the sample institutions within each cell had average faculty size and average proportions of faculty with doctorates. The cell structure itself does not control for these two factors, however, and these assumptions were clearly not applicable to the 1972-73 survey.

At a few institutions whose annual growth was unusually rapid, calculations of within-college weights resulted in a value of less than 1.0, because most faculty name-and-address files were compiled from sources for the 1971-72 or 1972-73 academic years, whereas the total faculty counts for sample and population institutions which were used in weighting were typically from the two preceding academic years. In these cases, the weight was set at 1.0. At a few other institutions — all of them large colleges in which the institutional postal services were apparently unable to handle dispersion of questionnaires adequately, perhaps because of having less-than-complete addresses for the faculty members surveyed at these particular schools — within-college weights exceeded 50.0 for those not holding doctorates. In these cases, the weights were set at 50.0.

<u>Subject weight</u>. The third weight, assigned to each respondent, was derived by multiplying the first two weights. This weight was unique to all faculty of a given degree level currently employed at a given sample institution. Application of this weight in data processing proportionately inflates the respondent counts to approximate the national total of 519,000 faculty members in all 2,433 U.S. colleges and universities.

The Survey Form

The survey questionnaire, shown in Appendix C, was developed in conjunction with educational researchers, college and university administrators, government agency officials, and regular faculty handbers at a diverse array of higher education institutions. As was pointed out previously, a number of the items from the earlier 12-page instrument used in the 1968-69 survey were repeated verbatim or included in modified form. New items, some adapted from other faculty surveys and some developed to explore new research areas, were added.

To economize and to make data processing more efficient, and to minimize to the extent possible the amount of time required of the respondent, the survey instrument was limited to four pages and was set up in such a way that it could be processed by optical mark-reading devices. Consequently, several important areas of information had to be left out, and some response categories were collapsed to a greater extent than would be generally desirable. In addition, although the most critical response alternatives were included, some logical alternatives had to be excluded: e.g., the list of 76 fields of specialization could be much more detailed; the item on amount of time spent in various activities does not include such areas as committee work or clinical practice; and lists of institutional goals, attitudes toward social and academic issues, and possible reasons for

seeking a position elsewhere were condensed from more detailed preliminary draft items. Nevertheless, within these defined constraints, the survey questionnaire meets the comprehensive general-purpose objectives of providing a large-scale sample survey for research purposes, a survey which encompasses detailed current information on demographic and educational background, work roles and professional activities, attitudes and opinions, and current practices and objectives of American college and university faculty and staff.

National Norms

National normative distributions on all survey questionnaire items were calculated by applying the appropriate subject weight to each respondent case on file. As described previously, the application of these weights inflated the total faculty counts to approximate the almost 519,000 college and university teaching faculty previously defined as employed in the nation's 2,433 higher education institutions. The weighted proportion of faculty at all institutions who are women is 20 percent: 21.9 percent in two-year colleges; 21.8 percent in four-year colleges; and 16.5 percent in universities.

The normative data, presented on pages 23 through 32, are listed in the same order as on the questionnaire. Questionnaire item numbers appear after each item description in the tables. Tabular data are presented separately by sex and for both sexes combined. Figures are also given for all institutions combined as well as being subdivided according to the



Sex was not reported by 7.7 percent of all respondents to the survey; consistent with the practice employed in the 1968-69 survey tabulations, these respondents were included in item calculations for men.

basic type of institution in which the faculty members are employed: twoyear colleges, four-year colleges, and universities.

In some cases, response categories have been combined for more concise summary. Responses to the open-ended items on high school attended, bac-calaureate institution, and institution of highest degree (#10 and #11) and on what the respondent regarded as the personally most outstanding professional accomplishment or achievement (#30) were also aggregated for the statistical series. Coding details are presented in Appendices D and E.

All figures are percentages based on the weighted marginal distribution of the responses from the survey participants. In some cases, percentages may not sum to exactly 100.0 within a group, due to rounding error; for some items, respondents were asked to mark as many responses as were applicable, in which case the sum may be greater than 100 percent.

In any survey, some respondents will skip particular questionnaire items. In some cases, those who did not respond to a particular item have been excluded from the percentage calculations, as documented in footnotes to the tabular data. This procedure assumes that nonrespondents were distributed in a similar ratio as are respondents to the particular item categories. In other cases, logic dictated including nonrespondents in percentage calculations, because skipping the item might have implied either a "not applicable" response or a response in the negative.

It should also be noted that any statistical data series not based on a complete enumeration are subject to some error. While statistical indicators of precision for every percentage is impractical, some idea of the reliability of the reported data is important for comparative purposes.

Appendix F offers some guidelines for judging the precision of the reported percentages.

ののでは、それでは、それになってはないのではない。



Overview of Findings

The massive amount of information presented in the following pages of tabular data precludes any comprehensive overview. Consequently, only the highlights are given, and these are drawn primarily from the data for both sexes combined and for faculty employed in all types of institutions. Selected major contrasts between the sexes or between those employed in the three different types of institutional settings will, however, be mentioned. When appropriate, some findings from the 1972-73 survey will also be compared with those from the 1968-69 survey, formerly reported by Bayer (1970). It should be recalled, however, that while these two surveys are parallel, they differed slightly in design, statistical methodology, and item wording; such differences should be taken into account in considering similarities and differences over the period.

Demographic Background

In the 1972-73 academic year, almost three-fifths (58.7 percent) of the teaching faculty reported they were over age 40, compared to 54.4 percent in 1968-69. In part, this "aging" of the aggregate of American college and university faculty is probably attributable to the changing job market for academics. With the decrease in demand relative to supply, many young people now completing graduate school are simply unable to find academic positions, a reversal of the trends in the 1950s and 1960s. Indeed, four-fifths of the faculty in 1972-73 agreed that jobs for new entrants into their field are harder to find today than they were five years ago.



Part of this aging may also be attributable to artifactual results of the design. Source documents for the names and addresses used in the survey often have a lag and thus would tend to exclude some new, and hence younger, faculty. Additionally, newly established institutions may tend to recruit younger-than-average faculty and thus exclusion of these institutions (see footnote 1) may yield somewhat higher faculty age than actually exists within the current population of higher education institutions. Nevertheless, within the core population of 2,433 institutions, common to both surveys, aging is indeed apparent.

The proportion of faculty who were minority-group members or women increased only slightly over the five-year period. In 1968-69, 2.2 percent of the faculty were black, and 19.1 percent were women. In 1972-73, the proportion of blacks had increased to 2.9 percent, and that of women, to 20.0 percent. Thus, affirmative action programs designed to increase the proportions of minorities and women on college and university faculties seem to be moving at a slow pace. The data on women suggest that affirmative action may have resulted more in a redistribution of women teachers among the types of institutions rather than in the recruitment of women formerly outside of academe: In both two-year and four-year colleges, the proportion of women on the faculty has actually declined somewhat; in universities, the proportion has increased from 14.8 percent in 1968-69 to 16.5 percent in 1972-73.

As was true in 1968-69, the socioeconomic background of academics, as reflected in their parents' educational level, was not as high as popularly assumed. The modal educational level of fathers of faculty in 1972-73 was an eighth-grade education or less; the modal educational level of their mothers was completion of high school. Only 11.1 percent of the fathers, and 3.5 percent of the mothers, had received a degree beyond the Bachelor's.

College and university teaching faculty are drawn almost entirely from the domestic pool of talent. Only 3.3 percent of faculty indicated that they were not U.S. citizens. Twice this proportion (6.7, percent), however,



Some would argue that this seeming inertia is attributable to institutional resistance, others would propose that it reflects the lack of qualified pools of such persons. A third alternative explanation, outside the scope of the current tabulations, is that advances in the recruitment of minorities and women to academe may be more apparent in administrative and other nonteaching positions.

received their high school education outside of the United States, and 4.0 percent received their baccalaureate from a foreign institution.

Educational Background

About two-fifths (40.8 percent) of faculty men, and three-fifths (61.6 percent) of faculty women, reported that the master's was their highest degree. About one-fifth (19.9 percent) of the women, and two-fifths (39.7 percent) of the men indicated they held a doctoral degree of some kind. The remaining faculty either did not report their degree level, held a professional law degree (5.0 percent), or had no degree beyond the baccalaureate.

Predictably, those in junior colleges were least likely to hold doctorates, and those in universities were most likely. Among junior college teaching faculty, 5.9 percent held either a Ph.D. or Ed.D.; among four-year college faculty, 35.3 percent had these degrees; and among university faculty, over two-fifths (42.9 percent) held Ph.D.s or Ed.D.s.

As would be expected from the high average age of faculty members, fully two-thirds (68.0 percent) received their bachelor's degree prior to 1960.

Almost two-fifths (37.7 percent) received their advanced degrees prior to 1960. Consistent with these figures, almost one-third (32.3 percent) agreed with the statement that "knowledge in my field is expanding so fast that I have fallen seriously behind." Nevertheless, fewer than one-fourth (24.2 percent) had ever taken a sabbatical.

American college and university faculty were most likely to hold their highest degree in the humanities (17.6 percent), followed by education (14.8 percent), the social sciences (12.4 percent), and the physical sciences (11.5 percent). Men were more likely than women to hold degrees in the physical and biological sciences, the social sciences, engineering, and business. Women were more likely to hold degrees in education, the humanities, and the health sciences.

Faculty with backgrounds in the sciences and the professions were most likely to be employed in universities. Those in the arts and the humanities were most likely to be in four-year colleges, and those with training in the field of education were most typically employed at junior or community colleges.

Work History

Rather surprisingly, only 28 percent of current teaching faculty indicated that the position they had held immediately prior to taking a job at their current institution involved teaching at the college level. Fewer than one-fifth (17.3 percent) indicated their primary preceding activity was as a student. Most reported that their prior position was in precollege teaching, administration in various areas, research and development, or other professional nonteaching capacities.

While almost one-fourth (23.9 percent) said that they had interrupted their professional careers for more than a year because of military or family obligations, the difference between the sexes was the reverse of what is generally assumed: Men were more prone to career interruptions than were women. One-fourth of the men, compared with only one-fifth of the women, said that they had interrupted their careers for more than one year.

Current Position

While all of those included in the tabulations had some teaching responsibilities during the academic year, 11.4 percent indicated that their principal activity was administrative, and almost two-thirds indicated that they spent time each week in administrative work. An additional 4.2 percent, particularly those employed in universities, said that their primary activity was research. Nationally, almost two thirds of all college and university teaching faculty indicated that they spent some time weekly in

research and scholarly writing. Almost three-fifths (57.2 percent) had published in journals; and two-fifths (39.0 percent) had published at least one book, manual, or monograph.

Part-time appointments were more prevalent in universities than in either two-year or four-year institutions. Nevertheless, overall, few (4.7 percent) indicated that they had a part-time appointment for the academic year. Only 3.8 percent of the men faculty, and 8.2 percent of the women faculty, were on less than full-time status.

Consistent with the aging of the faculty population, proportionately more held senior ranks in 1972-73 than in the 1968-69 academic year. In 1972-73, fully half (50.7 percent) held the rank of either associate or full professor, compared with 42.3 percent in 1968-69. As was true in 1968-69, substantially more men than women, in all types of institutions, held senior-level ranks. In 1972-73, 32.0 percent of the women teaching faculty, and 55.4 percent of the men teaching faculty, were either associate or full professors.

Consistent with these figures was the substantial increase in the proportion of faculty who had tenure. In 1968-69, fewer than half (46.7 percent) were tenured, whereas almost two-thirds (64.7 percent) were tenured in 1972-73. Men were also more likely than were women to be tenured; and those in junior colleges were more likely to be tenured than were their colleagues in senior colleges.

As expected, teaching loads were particularly heavy for those faculty employed in the junior and community colleges. Of those who were teaching during the term in which they responded to the survey, 85.8 percent of the junior college faculty taught three or more classes. In four-year colleges, 71.4 percent carried a similar load; and among university faculty,

47.2 percent taught three or more classes. Substantial amounts of time were also spent in teaching-related activities outside the classroom.

Overall, 58.7 percent of college and university faculty spent nine hours or more per week in their class preparation, and 44.8 percent spent five hours or more per week in advising and counseling students. The primary teaching goals, endorsed by approximately nine in ten faculty members at all types of institutions, were to develop students' creative capacities, to assist them in mastery of knowledge in the discipline, and t increase their desire and ability to undertake self-directed learning.

In addition to the time spent in classroom teaching and related responsibilities, as well as in administrative and in creative scholarly activities, academics spend large segments of their time in committee work, clinical practice, community service, consulting, service to their profession, and reading of professional literature. In the past year, 37.7 percent engaged in paid consulting outside of their institution, and 41.8 percent engaged in public service professional consulting without pay. In addition, 11.7 percent of junior college faculty, 21.6 percent of four-year college faculty, and 37.0 percent of university faculty were away from their campuses more than ten days for professional activities.

Faculty Opinions and Attitudes

Contrary to many of the stereotypes of college and university faculty, substantial proportions considered themselves as religious (60.0 percent) and as politically conservative (44.0 percent). At the same time, many of the reforms currently taking place in academe were apparently endorsed by a majority of faculty: four-fifths (80.4 percent) believed that teaching effectiveness, not publications, should be the primary basis for faculty promotions; 69.4 percent believed that faculty promotions should be based



in part on formal student evaluations of teaching; an 64.3 percent believed that students should be represented on the governing boards of their institutions. Only one-third of the faculty expressed opposition to collective bargaining by college and university staff.

Finally, there was little indication that faculty members were generally dissatisfied with their jobs. Only 12.0 percent had been at their current institution for less than three years; only 13.5 percent indicated that, if they had it to do over again, they would not choose an academic life; and 18.2 percent said that they would have chosen another discipline, if they had the opportunity to retrace their steps.

Conclusion

The overview just presented covers only a few of the highlights from the information in the following tables. The interested reader is invited to study further the other survey item tabulations and to make further comparisons between the sexes and among the three major types of institutions. Moreover, since a number of the factual and attitudinal items are essentially replicated from the earlier 1968-69 survey, those interested in trends and changes may wish to compare these data with those in the earlier report (Bayer, 1970).

In conclusion, this report should give a better insight into academics and their work not only to faculty members themselves but also to college and university administrators, government officials, and students. Some of the findings will confirm conventional wisdom; other findings will dispel some of the myths about faculty-in-general and about similarities and differences between the sexes and among various kinds of institutions. A series of more detailed analytical studies on many of the contemporary issues in higher education, as they relate to faculty, will be forthcoming.



Weighted National Normative Distributions, by Sex and Type of Institutional Setting, for College and University Teaching Faculty: 1972-73 Academic Year

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, BY SEX AND TYPE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTING, FOR COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY TEACHING FAULTY: 1972-73 ACADEMIC YEAR

							THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN					
Item Description and	TIV	Institutions	lons	In Two-Year Co	Year Co	Colleges	In Four-Year	Women C	Colleges	Men	In Universities Men Women Total	Total
Question Number		Homen	Total	Men	W OTHER	10191						
Principal activity of current											,	
position (1) ^a	12.2	5.5	11.4	7.5	5.2	6.9	12.5	10.0	12.0	13.7	8 6	17.8
Administration	81.2	86.5	27.7	90.8	89.8	90.6	84.9	87.2	85.4	7.5		, a
Teaching	4.8	1.6	4.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	5.1	9.0	7.7	,,,	; <	6.6
Jesephen Other	1.9	3.4	2.2	1.5	4.6	2.2	1.1	7.7	† -4	•	•	i
Fanloyment status for current academic												
				;	,	6	30	4	7 50	8.46	89.2	93.9
Full time	96.1	91.8	95.3	8.86 8.00	20.0	4.0 4.0	0	3.2	1.4	1.2	3.8	1.6
Part time, more than half time	5°0	2.9	· ·	7 0	1.2	9.0	1.0	2.9	1.4	0.9	3.5	e. 6
Half time	2.1	2.6	2.2	9.0	1.3	0.7	1.7	2.5	1.9	3.1	3.5	3.2
Less than nair time												,
Present rank (3)	30.3	11.0	26.4	8.2	7.4	8.0	27.8	11.9	24.3	26.03	20.4	25.4
Professor	25.1	21.0	24.3	15.8	14.6	15.5	27.8	6.47	27.72	22.3	35.1	24.4
Associate illicator.	23.8	31.2	25.3	12.5	13.9	12.9	30°0	7 % .	32.20 8.01	5.9	22.8	8.7
Instructor	10.4	24.3	13.2	26.2	37.8	0.67	2.4	4.3	2.8	2.7	5.8	3.2
Lecturer	2.2	4.	6.6	7 %	7.0	32.1	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.5	0.4	0.0
Do not hold rank designation Other rank	1.4	2.1	1.6	2.5	7.0	2.1	1.0	1.8	1:1	1.4	3.2	1.7
Number of hours per weck in										,	:	6
administration (4a) ^b	3%	6.97	36.6	48.1	-	50.0	33.9	45.7	36.5	28.6	42.5	30.9
None, or no answer	0.00	26.1	28.4	26.8		25.9	28.7	20.1	28.2	30.0	0./7	13.0
One to four hours	12.8	10.5	12.3	8.7		8.8	12.7	10.0	17.7	7	2.6	7.4
Five to eight nours	6.9	4.8	4.9	4.1		ه د د	1.,	4 c		3.7	3.4	3.6
This to twelve hours	3.4	2.7	3°3	3.0	1.9	2.7		10.0	19.5	15.5	8.6	14.6
Seventeen hours or more	13.9	9.1	13.0	4.0		•						
Number of hours per week in											1	
scheduled teaching (4b)b	,	,	7	ď	8.0	4.9	5.9	7.1	6.2	7.1	7.9	7.5
None, or no answer	4.0.	. 0		3.7	3.5	3.7	9.5	8.6	0.6	18.8	12.9	37.6
One to four hours	23.2	16.2	21.8	5,5	7.2	5.9	18.0	15.8	17.5	2,4.0	2,4,0	25.2
Five to eight hours	28.3	28.1	28,3	11.5	11.1	11.4	40.5	9.00	24.0 0.4.0	7.7	14.3	8.8
Nine to twente mours	16.2	21.9	17.3	35.7	0.07	36.7	17.2	70.0	100	7,3	13.6	8.4
Initteen to sixteen mouse Seventeen hours or more	13.3	17.1	14.1	37.7	30.2	35.9	. v	1.01	•	•		
Number of hours per week in prepara-									1		ò	ú
tion for teaching (4c)D	7			7.6		7.5	7.3		7,3	3, 1	9.0	
None, or no answer	12.5			8.3		8.1	11.0		10.9	73.6	22.4	23.2
One to four hours	21.4			21.1	•	21.5	19.2		13.0	2.5	22.4	22.0
Five to eight hours	22.0			21.2		20.7	22.5		16.0	13.6	13.6	13.6
Mine to twelve nours	15.1	14.5	15.0	16.6	15.0	16.2	10.3	25.0	24.0	17.3	22.7	18.2
Seventeen hours or more	21.1			25.3		79.0	7.67					
Number of hours per week in advising										,		
and counseling students (4d)b	:			12.3			9.8		10.4	11.7	12.0	42.6
None, or no answer	7.11			43.5			44.5			45.4		
One to four hours	29.6			31.4			30.2			11.5		
Five to eaght noute	10.6			8.2			TOT			3,3		
Nine to treive mous Thirteen to sixteen hours				2,3	7 6	2.7	8.1	2.5		1.8		
Seventeen hours or more	1.9		- 1		- 1	١				,		
			I i							52	(Concruned)	

こうことは、どう人をとれているとのないという

\$

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, BY SEX AND TYPE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTING, FOR COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY TEACHING FACULTY: 1972-73 ACADEMIC YEAR (Continued)

Question Number	Hen	Women Total	Total	Hen	In Two-Year C	Colleges n Total	Men	In Four-Year Men Women	Colleges Total	Ken In	Universities Women Total	Total
Links of Louis and Section 1												
number of nours per week in research and scholarly writing (4e)												
None, or no answer	31.4	49.8	35.1	57.5	63.2	58.9	33.7	49.1	37.0	19.4	42.2	23.2
One to four hours	22.7	26.7	23.5	27.7	24.8	0,72	25.8	27.0	1.92	1.01	6.77	19.7
Mine to eight hours	5.0	7.6	7.0 8.0	2.6	2.0	2,6	10.3	200	2,0	14.1	7.5	13.0
Thirteen to sixteen hours	7.3		4.6	1.4	1.7	1.5	7.9	2.7	9.6	10.3	3.7	9.2
Seventeen hours or mone	14.2	5.3	12.4	1.6	1.4	1.6	8.9	8.4	8.0	23.5	8.5	21.0
Current teaching activity (5)4,c												
During the current term	96.8	97.4	6.96	99.5	7.76	99.1	97.3	97.8	97.4	95.3	96.7	95.6
	3.2	2.6	3.1	0.5	2.3	0.9	2.7	2.2	2.6	4.7	5.3	4.4
Number of classes meeting in the cur-												
rent term (includes only those currently teaching) (6a) 0,5												
One	14.4	12.3	13.9	5.6	10.3	6.7	10.4	9.6	10.2	21.6	16.8	20.8
Three	23.0	23.7	22.0	14.3	8.8	13.1	31.8	25.3	30.4	25.4	25.8	25.5
Four	18.4	22.1	19.1	22.9	25.5	23.5	24.8	23.0	24.4	10.8	18.7	12.1
Five or more	18,4	25.7	19.9	50.1	46.3	49.5	14.7	23.6	10.0	æ	14./	,
Number of different courses being taught in the current term (includes only those currently reaching) (6b)a,b												
	20.7	20.8	20.7	13.7	22.3	15.8	16.2	16.1	16.2	27.5	25.8	27.2
Three	35.6 27.6	28.8	34.3	27-6	26.0	27.2	33.8	3,55	5.50 6.50	22.0	24.4	22.4
Four	10.2	13.1	φ. c:	18.1	15.2	17.4	11.5	14.6	12.3	9.0	10.0	9.6
FIVE OF ESCIEN	٧.٠	•	4.	13.3	6		7.0		?	•	•	•
Number of introductory undergraduate lavel students currently being taught (includes only those cur-												
None of the contract of the co	33.7	25.7	32.1	2.6	3.7	2.8	26.4	23.3	25.7	52.7	42.9	51.1
Under 10 10-25	16.1	17.0	3./ 16.3	15.7	13.9	15.2	19.7	20.4	19.8	13.1	15.1	13.5
26-49	17.3	19.8	17.8	18.0	17.4	17.9	23.3	24.9	23.7	11.8	15.1	12.4
50-59 100 or more	14.6	14.7	14.6	40.2	35.8	39.2	9.4	6.9	8.9	8.7	10.2	9.0
Number of advanced undergradiate level students currently being taught					•	•		•		•		
Noned Noned	40.4	41.4	40.6	80,0	77.8	79.5	25.6	27.3	25.9	37.2	34.5	36.8
Under 10	10.9	10.4	10.8	3.2	2.3	3.0	12.9	14.9	13.4	12.3	23.5	22.0
10-23	15.0	14.6	15.0	5.1	6.3	5.4	19.2	18.1	19.0	15.5	15.9	15.6
50-99 100 or more	3.0	8.8	3.0	3.0 1.4	3.6	3.2	11.2 3.1	2.3	10.9 2.9	8 9 9	11.0	3.8
Number of graduate level students												
only those currently teaching) (7c)						!	;		;	;	;	;
Noned	57.2	73.1	60.0	98.0	97.4	97.9	14.6		9.8	32.0	23.6	36.0
10-25	13.8	10.2	13.1	0.3	::	0.5	10.8		10.4	22.0	18.2	21.3
26-49	8.0	3.4	4.7	000	0.0	0.1	4.2	3.6	7.7	7.7	5.2	7.3
50-99 100 or more	7.7	4.4	7.7	ָרָים כּי	0	0.0	7.0		4.0	, 6	:::	2.9
TOO OF MOSE	•	•		;						,		



WEIGHTED HATIONAL HORMATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, BY SEX AND TYPE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTING, FOR COLLEGE AND UNIVERS.IT TEACHING FACULIT: 1972-73 ACADEMIC YEAR (Continued)

* * # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #									TENOT INCIDENT			
discipline istion of the nd ability to learning cities												
lation of th learning think think think think think		63	916	5	S		. 5	6	ć	6	6	9
. E	1:1			74.3		7.76	77.	0.56	7.76	30.6	35.1	2
.	53.0	64.8	55.3	52.6	62.0	54.8	62.3	73.5	64.7	44.5	55.8	46.4
To develop the ability to think clearly To develop creative capacities To develop the ability to pursue research	87.8	93.0	88.8	85.0	90.3	86.3	88.5	94.4	89.8	88.3	93.0	89.1
9	;		ò	;	;	;	;	;	,	;		;
9	76.0	72.0	76.6	8 5	7.07	96.9	97.0	99.2	97.5	76.2	70.7	70.4
search	:	:	2		2	?	200	000	0.07			:
	39.3	1.05	39.5	21.7	25.8	22.7	41.2	47.9	42.6	42.0	40.1	44.2
To prepare students for employment witer college	61.0	67.7	62.4	8	2	۲ وه	808	8 99		20.1	2 99	7 09
To prepare students for graduate or	;	;			•		:	•	;	!		
	52.8	53.3	52.9	50.2	43.2	48.5	55.0	58.7	55.8	51.7	53.3	52.0
to develop mores character To develop restations helder or con-	46.0	26.3	8.04	52.1	64.5	55.1	49.3	61.7	51.9	36.8	8.6	38.0
	9.0	12.1	9.6	9.9	14.5	11.0	12.7	16.1	13.4	5.2	5.5	5.2
To provide for students' emotional	ì	9		;	;	,		,		3		
development To achieve deeper levels of students!	26.5	28.0	8.04	0.04	7.70	51.2	39.3	3.6	43.0	6.62	0. 7.	33.5
!	52.5	73.0	9.95	58.4	77.7	63.1	56.4	75.7	9.09	46.2	66.3	9.6
To develop responsible citizens	58.0	69.8	60.4	70.7	77.9	72.4	59.5	11.3	62.1	51.2	62.5	53.1
	47.1	59.1	49.5	63.2	67.5	64.2	43.1	56.7	0.95	43.9	56.6	46.0
To provide tools for the critical evaluation of contemborary society	55.7	61.8	56.9	S 22	60 2	y 75	60.7	8.44	9.19	52.3	59.1	53.4
To prepare students for family	9	2			:			;			! !	
TIATUR		;	63.0	32.6	7.55	35.4	517	33.9	74.1	13.0	71.	1.01
Percent indicating goal is "essential" or "very important" as an institutional or incompanial to the control of												
To master knowledge in a discipline	82.5	85.4	83.1	83.5	82.7	83.3	80.8	84.8	81.7	83.6	88.1	84.3
To convey a basic appreciation of the liberal arts	59.8	69.1	61.7	57.6	04.1	59.2	65.1	77.4	67.8	55.9	61.6	56.8
To increase the desire and ability to undertake self-directed learning	9	2				. ,		7 72	. 44	8.44	7 07	8 5 9
To develop the ability to think	•	9	0.00	2.00	7	0.00	63.	;	0.00	5	2	
ities	78.7	81.9	79.3 56.0	80.4 54.4	78.2	79.8 54.4	78.9 55.9	83.7 59.9	80.0 56.7	77.8 56.1	82.1 55.9	78.5 56.0
	34.0	39.7	35.2	16.6	18.2	17.0	31.3	41.8	33.6	44.4	52.4	45.7
io e dre students for employment af. college	72.9	79.5	74.3	87.6	80.8	88.4	71.8	77.77	73.1	67.4	73.8	68.4
To prepare students for graduite or advanced a carlon	5	9		S	5	S	9	0	S	7	45.7	۲, ۲,
racter	37.8	46.4	39.6	42.6	51.0	9.44	45.9	56.4	48.2	28.1	29.8	28.4
to develop religious beliefs or con- victions	10.3	17.9	11.8	6.0	11.7	7.4	18.3	29.5	20.8	4.7	7.0	5.1
To provide for students' exotional develousest	34.1	8.57	2,5	43.6	0.45	0.97	0.04	51.5	42.5	24.4	32.1	25.7
To achieve deeper levens f students'	:	;	?	,) }	•		:		!	
16.13	44.0	54.4 70.4	46.1 64.7	51.7	62.0	54.2 77.2	48.3 65.5	57.9 72.6	50.4 67.1	36.4 54.9	44.3 62.0	37.7 56.1
provide the local community with lied human resources	61.8	68.4	63.1	84.3	86.6	84.9	57.5	62.4	58.6	55.6	63.0	56.8
.u provide tools for the critical evaluation of contessorary society	49.1	9		94	, ,	y 87	33	50.7	5.1.6	47.5	55.3	48.7
To prepare studiate for fa. Lly living	23.4	33.2	25.4	40.6	42.9	41.2	24.3	35.8	26.8	14.7	22.7	16.0

(Continued)

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, BY SEX AND TYPE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTING, FOR COLLECE AND UNFERSITY TEACHING FACULY:

1972-73 ACADEMIC FEAR
(Continued)

teem Jestispisch end Question Number	Merr	Women Total	Total	H. H.	Men Women	n Total	Men Women	Nomen	otal	Ken	Nen Women Total	Inte.
Highest degree currently held (9)	ì							,	·	:		•
	1.3	1.0	1.3	9.6	2.3	m (٠,0 د و	0.7	9.0) ·
Bachelor's	4.5	5.5	o. 0		10.9	2.5	8.7.7 3.48	7 9	7.5	24.9	9	1 8
Master's	\$0.0 8	91.0	٠ ٠		73.5	73.3	7	9	?	:	:) }
LL.b., J.D., Other protestional (except medical)	4.8	3.7	5.0	2.9	3.8	3.1	5.1	3.6	4.7	9.9	3.8	6.1
Doctorate (except medical, Ed.D.,		•			9	-	-		6	1.7	1.0	
or M.D.)	9 -	2.6	3.0	1.7	1.4	9:1	. 4	3.1	9.6	2.7	2.7	
Medical (M.D. or D.D.S.)	1:5	0.5	1:1	0,3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1		1:1	
74.7.	33.8	15.6	30.2	٠, د	4.6	e	34.2	21.5	31.4	9.64	4,6	
(No answer)	8.3	7.4	8.1	6.4	0.4	7:4	1.1	7.8	?	70.0	;	
Location of institution evarding												
Acceleureste (10s)	61 2		9.16			92.9	92.4	94.2	92.8		93.2	90.1
In chired states	7.16	, 6	0.4	2.0	2.5	1.0	3.9	3.6	3.8	5.6	4.0	.s.
No B.A., no answer	4.7		4.4	-	•	6.1	3.7	2.2	3.4	•	7.8	٠.
ocation of institution avarding												
highest degree (10b)b						;	;	;	;		;	;
In United States	90.1	90.1	90.1	85.2	83.4	84.7	91.6	91.3	91.5	90.6	92.7	91.0
Outside of the U.S.	3.1	2.3	5.9	0.5	6:	°	e, c	5.0		4.1		, , ,
No higher degree	6.9	7.6	7.0	14.4	14.7		2.5	D. C	4.0	7.0	•	?
Location of high school (11)												
United States: F		;	6	:			7 36	, ,,	26.30	28.5	25.2	0 80
East	29.3	27.0	28.8	17.0	7.01	17.5	12.0	10.5	14.0	11.7	17.6	12.7
South	30.8	30.3	77.5	38.9	3,5	37.8	26.3	26.3	26.3	31.6	32.6	31.8
Mean .	18.8	17.4	18.5	33.0	31.8	32.7	15.2	11.9	14.5	16.4	15.0	16.1
Foretgn: *			(•		,		•	,		c	,
•) .	æ 4) e	7.0	· •		, a	4 4	0.0	
Estin America, South America Freeze		1	9	9.0	5.1	1:0	9.6	3.7	3.4	4.7	3.2	4.5
Africa	•	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	e . 0		0.0
Asia and Australasia	1.7	4.	7:1	0.7	e .).))	1.0	ۍ د د	o. r	٥٠,٢		3.2
(No answer)	•	7.7	3.1	7.3	?	۲.3	:	•	;	;	:	:
Major field of highest degree (12b)			•	٠	,			,	۲ ۷	,	c	3.2
	4.7	4.9	4.1	0.0	٠,	0.0		?.	;	;	;	;
Education (incl. physical and	12.6	23.4	14.8	18.8	22.9	19.8	13.9	24.8	16.3	9.1	21.9	11.3
Biological Sciences (incl. agri-										,		
culture)	7.4	4.3	6.8	5.4	4.1	5.1	5.1	4.2	6.4	10.1	4.7	9.5
Physical Sciences (incl. matho-												
untics/statistics and computer	13.3	4	:	13.0	6.2	11.4	14.6	4.4	12.4	12.3	3.0	10.8
Frotnesting (incl. architecture)			:		;							•
design)	7.8	0.4	6.3	5.3	0.0	4.0	ي. 8.	0.3	4.6	10.5	0.8	8.0
Social Sciences (incl. psychology		ì	:	,			1 75	α.	13.0	14.2	7.6	13.1
and geography)			12.4	20.00	7.0	y	1.0	13.6	10.2	7.0	8.4	7.2
Fine Arts	2.4	20.6	17.6	15.2	22.3	17.7	20.5	23.9	21.2	14.0	15.7	14.3
Ment of Artences		10.2	9.4	9.0	10.1	3.0	0.5	5.1	1.5	9.9	16.4	8.2
Other Frofessions (Incl. social												
	•				,		,		7 2	-	6.2	5,3
science)	۲.	4	?		7	;	;	;	•	:		
All other fleids (incl. nowe aconomics, industrial arts.								,			ò	ď
vocational-technical)	2.1	4.	2.7	4.3	3,8	4.2	1.5	9.0	7.0	1:1	9	7
None, no higher degree (incl. no	. 4	7	,	3 61	×	4.11		6.3	5.5	5.7	6.2	5.8
enswer)					•		•			•		

WEIGHTED NATIONL NORMATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, BY SEX AND TIPE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTING, FOR COLLEGE AND WHYERSTIT TEACHING FACULTY: 1972-73 AGADEMIC YEAR (Continued)

Item Description and Dussign Number	A11	Institutions Women Total	t lons Total	In Two-Year Co	Year Co	Colleges n Total	In Four	-Zear C	In Four-Year Colleges Men Women Total	To U	Universities Women Total	ries
A STATE OF THE STA												
Age (134)";	6.0	11.3	7.0	6.1	13.3	7.9	7.1	10.6	7.9	6.4	10.8	5.9
10.00 T343 OF	15.7	13.5	15.3	14.2	13.2	14.0	17.3	12.7	16.3	15.0	14.7	15.0
36-40 (from 1933-1937)	16.3	13.2	15.7	16.7	11.4	15.4	16.7	14.7	16.2	15.7	12.6	15.2
41-45 (from 1928-1932)	16.2	13.5	15.7	17.6	13.7	16.7	15.9	14.7	15.6	15.9	12.0	15.3
46-50 (from 1923-1927)	14.6	15.3	8. r.	18.4	20.6	19.0	13.5		9.50	13.1	12.0	2.5
51-55 (from 1918-1922)	17.1	8.9	4.8	7.3	7.2	7.7	6.7	8	0.8	8	10.9	9.5
Se-bu (redm 1915-1917) Ower 60 (from before 1913)	7.6	8.4	7.7	8.	8.9	5.1	7.9	8.6	7.3	9.5	9.6	9.3
)	3.2	3.8	3,3	2.1	3.0	2.3	4.1	5,2	4.3	3.0	2.5	2.9
Year B.A. degree received (13b)	:	•	:	•	•				:	7.	a r	16.3
. 01	7.5	13.0		2.0	, c		12.3	22.0	10.0	23.3	22.8	23.3
1940-1949	35.0	27.7	33.6	38.0	26.0	35.0	35.5	29.8	34.3	33.4	26.3	32.3
1950-1959 1960-Present	26.2	31.7	27.3	30.8	37.2	32.3	28.6	30.5	29.1	22.4	29.6	23.6
No B.A., or no answer	4.7	4.5	4.7	5.5	8.8	5.6	4.3	4.6	4.4	8.	3.5	9.4
Voer hishest degree received (13c)												
Mefore 1940	4.6	3.4	4.4	7.4	2.2	2.4	3.7	2.9	3°8	e	4.7	9:
1940-1949	3.4	7.4	0.6	6.2	7.7	۶.۷	0.6	9.0	9.7	2:°	0.0	11.6 2,4
1950-1959	25.5	19.1	24.3	24.4	18.1	657.9	67.8	9 9	48.5	42.4	49.8	43.6
1960-1969	4.0	2.0	20.0	10:1	13.5	10.9	12.1	13.8	12.5		11.6	8.5
No higher degree, or no answer	5.2	6.5	5.5	8.9	10.2	9.5	6.4	0.9	5.1	0.4	4.7	4.1
(13d)b	;		:	;		•	:	•	2		,,	. 91
Before 1950	16.7	200	13.4		7.0	12.3	12.5		0.51	15.0	1:00	15.2
1950-1959	14.3	15.7	14.6	16.4	14.9	14.6	15.1	17.4	15.6	13.6	14.2	13.7
1065-1060	8	38,3	35.3	38.2	6.04	38.8	34.5	36,3	34.9	33.3	39.0	34.2
1970-1973	11.5	14.1	12.0	8.6	14.3	6.6	13.9	14.6	14.1	10.6	13.3	11.1
(No engwar)	•	0.01	7: 6	0.01	7.4.7		0.6	9.01			;	:
Percent indicating "yas" to each												
ractual item (14) Are won a N.S. effican?	96.5		7.96	99.4	98.9	99,3	4.96	97.2	96.5	92.6	97.3	95.9
	67.4	48.1	79.5	86.0	51.9	7.7	8.5	46.3	8.9	89.7	8,7,8	82.8 7.
oyed spouse?	6.00		٠/٢	37.9	2.0	4 .04	•••	***	•	25.3	;	:
professional person in an academic									•	•	;	:
institution?	20.6	33,6	50.6 53.0	22.1	18.6	21.3	22.2 68.7	30.0	21.6 60.5	18.8 71.1	34.8	19.3 65.1
Move you ever held a student	•			!		}		;	;	;	:	
teaching sesistantship?	48.9	34.1	45.9	32.8	24.1	30.7	49.7	34.2	46.3	54.5	40.3	52.1
Rave you ever held a student resusrch assistantship?	31.9	15.3	28.6	14.0	9.6	12.9	29.1	14.5	25.9	41.3	20.0	37.8
Do you now hold a teaching or												
resmarch gestationing at this or any other institution?	2.8	2.7	2.8	3.9	2.2	2.8	2.4	2.6	2.4	3.1	3.2	3.1
Were you ever awarded a fellowship) 									
or scholarship worth \$1,000 per year or more?	51.1	42.6	49.4	26.6	29.1	27.2	51.4	43.5	9.67	60.5	50.0	58.8
Do you now hold a postdoctoral				,	,	•	ì	;	ŗ	:	,	
appointment?	8.0	5.1	7.4	1.2	0.0	1.0	7.0	÷	?	11:1	:	
,	30.9	24.0	29.5	41.1	28.0	37.9	32.7	29.4	31.9	25.4	15.2	23.7
Have you ever held a major facul-			,	•	•		4 01		0.7	6.1		8.1
Are you now a research associated	2.4	7.7	2.7	7.0	0.7	7.0	1.9	6.0	1.6	3.5	7.7	3.3
errup												
year for military or family												;
reserns?	23.0	19.7	23.9	19.0	19.1	19.0	24.6	17.8	23.1	27.6	22.2	7.97
is your present appointment a tenured position?	67.3	54.4	64.7	75.0	70.0	73.8	62.0	54.0	60.3	68.8	45.1	6.49
											.	
										(Cont	(Continued)	

WEIGHED NATIONAL NORMATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, BY SEX AND TIPE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTING, FOR COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY TEACHING FACULTY: 1972-73 ACADEMIC YEAR (Continued)

indicating "yes" to each 1 item (14) (Continued) you ever recayed at least one at two years! you a membar of the American accistion of University Pro- asors? you a membar of the American accistion of rechers? you a membar of a National ucation American Affiliates? you a membar of a National ucation American Affiliates? you are received an averd rougering teaching? to unterending teaching? sleants this academic year? ou have any student teaching alstants this academic year? ou actively encourage under- admates to sea you outside uver regular office hours? tirities with atudent exaction iterities with atudents two curr social life primarily with lieng outside of your insti- diring outside of your insti- iten over the past year? you engaged in may paid con- liting outside of your insti- tion over the past year? of days avay from campus in if for professional activities 15.7 19.2	33.0 15.4 21.1 38.9 20.4 10.3 2.5 26.9 21.0	34.2 33.19.6 16.16.3 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.5 19.7 80.8 20.3 20.8 20.3 20.8 16.6 24.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16	ف ځونځنځ نې نې شون ه د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د		v, v,	35.1	41.2	42.7	:
the American twarsity Pro- tha American there's also the American t	33.0 15.4 21.1 38.9 20.4 10.3 2.5 2.5 26.9 21.0 16.8	2 9 8 4 2 11 7 5 5 8 9			λ. á	15.1 0 0	41.2	42.7	:
the American therefore 25.4 31.0 there a Martican e Mational e Mational e Mational et on Affiliates 19.6 32.2 ted an award eaching eaching there reaching cademic year? dent reaching there are an accident year? engage in social thyd engage i	15.4 21.1 38.9 20.4 10.3 2.5 2.5 2.6.9 21.0 21.0				ø.	c	26.1		41.5
of the American sechers! sechers! sechers! serviced an evard serviced an evard serviced an evard sechers! sechers! sechers! sechers! sechers and serviced an evard sechers and sechers an evard sechers and sechers and sechers and sechers an evard sechers and sechers an evard sechers and sechers an evard sechers and sechers and sechers an evard sechers and sech	21.1 38.9 20.4 10.3 2.5 2.5 26.9 21.0 16.8			A 6 A 9		2.1		30.2	26.8
of sketonal infacton Affiliates eiged an award treaching treaching treaching sedemic year? tendemic reaching sedemic year? tendemic year? tendemic year sedemic year tendemic year tendemic year tendemic year titles bours? titles bours? titles bours? the	38.9 20.4 10.3 2.5 2.5 26.9 21.0 21.8			6 4	10.9	.0.2	2.1	6.0	1.9
tracking an avaid 17.5 24.1 tracking 17.2 21.9 7.1 mcourage undarmourage undarmourage undarmous undarmous 17.2 73.1 tracking 17	20.4 10.3 2.5 2.5 82.4 26.9 21.0 16.8			٦ .	35.3 2	25.0	10.0	21.7	12.0
trudent teaching trudent teaching trudent research teachemic year? 1 ecademic year? 21.9 7.1 ceachemic year? 21.9 7.1 ceachemic year? 77.2 73.1 ceachemic years 1 structure 1 structure 1 structure 1 structure 2 structure 2 structure 3 structure 4	2.5 2.5 82.4 26.9 21.0 16.8			•	4.5	16.7	16.7	12.9	.5.0
reudent research reudent research ncourse under- se you outside syou outside fiftes hours? 17.2 73.1 fiftes hours from a students two seakly? fifte primarily with fifth institution? 1 substitution? 26.7 14.4 in any paid con- s of your insti- s past year? from campus in from campus in sional activities 15.7 19.2	2.5 82.4 26.9 21.0 16.8			23.4	5.7 2	21.8	40.6	29.6	38.8
is you outside fifte hours? fifte hours? 1 students the social 2 students the social 30.6 24.3 reakly? for primarity with 36.8 30.1 fift a primarity with 36.8 30.1 is subbatical? a subbatical? a subbatical? b past yan? a post yan? from campus in afonal activities 15.7 19.2	82.4 26.9 21.0 16.8		-	14.7	6.6	12.9	35.5	11.4	31.5
seudents two 1 students two 24.3 fs primarily with 26.7 1 seablatical? 26.7 14.4 1 seablatical? 26.7 26.7 26.6 2 past yar? 2 past yar.	26.9 21.0 16.8 27.5			82.5 7	79.2 8	81.8	70.6	6.99	69.7
this primarily with the institution? 36.8 30.1 this institution? 26.7 14.4 in ambbatical? 26.7 14.4 in any paid conformation of your instifution in the policy part? 40.5 26.6 in public production of the policy of the part? 42.1 40.5 are year? 42.1 40.5 are year? 42.1 40.5 are year?	21.0 16.8 27.5			35.7 2	29.7	34.4	27.6	20.8	26.5
a of your institutes a past year? a past year? a past year? diting without 42.1 40.5 from campus in afonal activities	27.5		89	36.5 24.9 1	31.4	35.4 23.1	43.3	34.7 15.2	41.9
alting without ast year? from campus in sional activities 15.7 19.2				34.8 2	25.4	32.8	50.3	34.4	47.6
from campus in signal activities 15.7 19.2	32.8	31.9	32.6	36.6	35.7	36.4	50.2	81.8	50.5
15.7 19.2									
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	24.4	0				18.3	6.6	16.3	1:11
19.2 25.3	30.9	٠٠				23.1	12.2	17.5	13.1
6-10 19.7 18.1 19.4	12.3	9.0	11.5	18.7	18.7	18.7	23.4	23.2	23.4
13.6 9.8	5.2	n c				10.1 7.4	15.9	7.5	14.5
2.8	3.7	ي و				4.1	4.1	э. Ф	4.0
Number of journals to which subscribs									
7.4 5.4	10.1					7.5	20.5	25.0	23.5
26.0 29.9 36.2 41.3	37.8					39.7	36.9	41.2	33.6
5-10 24.7 24.7 11 or more 3.0 1.6 2.7	1.6	14.2 1	12.3 0.9	23.7	22.1 1.5	23.4 2.1	4.4	2.4	4:1
icles published in jour-									
38.6 59.5	75.1					47.6	19.8	45.4	24.0
16.0 19.2	15.2					20.1 11.2	11.5	12.4	11.6
6.2	2.6			11.5	5.6	10.2	17.3	10.2	16.1
11-20 9.6 3.0 8.3 21 or more 12.7 2.7 10.7		40	0.5			2.5	23.0	4.6	19.9
Musher of published books, manuels,									
57.7 74.0	71.3		74.9			6.99	46.6	64.4	49.6
1-2	21.5	13.5	19.6 3.7	23.6 7.6	17.1 3.3	7.77 6.6	12.7	6.2	11.6
3.2	2.2		1.9			4.3	11.3	3	10.2

WEIGHTED NATIONAL HORMATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, BY SEX AND TYPE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTING, FOR COLLECE AND UNIVERSITY TEACHING FACULTY: 1972-73 ACADEMIC YEAR (Continued)

Item Description and Question Rumber	A11 Hen	Institutions Women Total	tions Total	In Two-Year Men Women	Women	Colleges n Total	In Four-Year Men Women	-Year C	Colleges Total	T G	In Universities Ken Women Total	ties
Number of published writings in past												
None, no answer	53.2	73.8	57.3	85.6	90,3	86.8	62.7	75.8	65.6	32.6	6.09	37.2
1-2	23.6	17.6	22.4	11.6	8 V	10.8	24.1	16.3	22.4	27.8	26.8	18.3
5 or more	10.9	. 6.	4.6	1:5	0:1	6,0	5.5	2.8	6.4	19.4	9.0	17.2
Involvement in research, scholarly writing, or creative work (20) which								d				
name received some support in past it months (21) ^b												
ō	50.9	65.7	53.9	76.4	76.7	76.5	57.6	66.5	59.6	35.3	57.7	39.0
Supported activity, including prin- cipal investigator capacity (21)	30.0	13.5	26.7	8.5	9.8	8.8	21.7	10.4	19.2	45.3	19.6	41.1
Supported activity, but no principal investigator capacity (21)	19.1	20.8	19.5	15.1	13.3	14.7	20.7	23.1	21.2	19.4	22.7	19.9
Characterization of recent scholarship, research, or creative writing (includes only those with current												
uctivity) (22)* Pure or basic Annited	39.9	26.3	37.7	23.1	16.3	21.6	38.8	30.0	37.2 43.1	43.8	26.1 50.8	41.5 52.8
Policy-oriented Literary or expressive	15.0	24.9	20.3	28.2	26.9	27.9	21.4	26.9	13.0 22.4	16.3	22.0	16.2 17.0
	•	10.9		7. PT	13.0	7:47	?	7:11	•	3	;	:
Pactors indicated as "essmits!" or "very important" in seeking another												
Fight selety	60.3	52.8	58.8	38.0	47.5	55.4	60.3	50.2	58.1	61.2	59.2	37.6
Tenure	54.5	20.4	53.7	48.9	49.2	49.0	53.3	48.4	52.2	57.6	53.6	56.9
Less pressure to publish More time for xesearch	16.2 34.1	33.8	18.8 34.0	20.2 19.7	11.8	18.2 19.7	18.3 36.3	23°3	19.4 35.9	17.2 37.8	36.0	18.6 37.5
Smaller teaching load	25.1	30.2	26.1	33.4	36.7	34.2	28.2	30.1	28.6	19.3	26.2	20.4
Less administrative responsibility	18.5	15.9	18.0	2,7.5 2.5.5	14.7	16.8	18.6	16.7	18.2	10.8	15.8	18.3
More administrative responsibility Better students	33.2	29.0	11.4	13.9	30.8 8.6	13.1 30.6	12.3 36.8	32.6	11.7 35.9	31.3	23.5	30.0
Batter colleagues	28.0	27.6	27.9	18.4	24.7	20.0	29.1	28.7	29.0	30.8	31.7	30.3
Setter commuty	30.3	29.5	30.1	28.0	31.3	28.8	30.9	28.8	30.4	30.6	29.2	30.4
Better schools for my children Reter research facilities	26.5	16.3	24.4	28.9	20.2	26.1	27.6	33.1	24.9 32.6	24.6 35.1	16.7 26.6	23.3 33.7
Botter chance for advancement Better housing	36.4	42.9	37.7	35.2	34.8	35.1 18.5	39.4 20.8	44.3	40.5 20.4	34.3	46.4	36.3 17.4
Type of primary work in immediately												
prior position (24b) ^D Junior or senior college teaching	28.7	25.4	28.0	19.6	21.2	20,02	30.0	27.5	29.5	31.1	25.8	30.2
Postdoctoral fallowship or	ď	9	*	ć	c	,		7.0	5	6.9	1.6	4.3
Student	17.6	16.3	17.3	11.7	10.4	11.4	19.3	16.6	18.7	18.5	19.7	18.7
All others (No answer)	39.9 10.9	46.6 10.8	41.3 10.9	58.9 9.6	59.0 9.4	58.9 5.5	36.6 12.4	43.9 11.4	38.2 12.2	19.2	10.9	10.3
		İ								3		

(Continued)

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORWATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, BY SEX AND TYPE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTING, FOR COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY TEACHING FACULTY: 1972-73 ACADEMIC YEAR (Continued)

	1											
icem bescription and Question Mumber	Z Z	Women Total	Total		Yen Women	Colleges n Total	Hen	Men Women	Colleges Total	N G	Universities Women Tota	Total
Percent indicating "strongly agrae" or "agree with reservations" (25) Federal aid for undergraduates should be												
chammaked through institutions father than given directly to students Pederal sid for graduates should be	62.0	63.8	62.4	66.5	64.9	66.1	60.09	62.1	4.09	61.9	65.2	62.5
, ,	59.9	57.4	59.4	60.3	52.7	58.4	56.0	54.9	55.8	63.1	63.3	63.1
are harder to find today than five years ago. In my denstreamt, it is nowy difficult.	79.9	77.6	79.5	79.9	73.8	78.4	82.4	83.5	82.6	77.8	72.9	77.0
does not	43.0	36.9	41.8	2.4	6.6	3.0	30.4	33.1	31.0	4.69	61.9	68.1
œ	26.6	39.8	29.3	27.6	37.0	29.9	23.5	37.0	26.4	28.8	45.1	31.5
Teaching effectiveness, not publications, should be the primary basis for facul- ty promotion	77.9	90.4	80.4	95.1	94.2	94.9	85.4	91.6	86.7	65.0	86.5	68.5
Faculty promotions should be based in part on formal student evaluations of their teachers	4.89	73.1	4.69	69.1	70.2	4.69	71.1	73.2	71.5	66.0	74.8	67.4
	34.1	33.9	34.1	25.0	29.6	26.1	32.4	35.2	33.0	39.1	35.2	38.5
2 C	70.2	4.89	8.69	72.1	67.4	71.0	71.4	68.9	8.07	68.4	68.6	68.5
	29.6	30.6	29.8	26.0	27.4	26.3	26.9	28.5	27.3	33.3	35.2	33.7
ore	42.6	33.8	8.04	42.5	31.9	39.9	41.9	34.9	40.4	43.2	33.6	41.6
in my tierd, she make students comprehend the material better than the female students	18.8	6.5	16.4	27.0	10.0	22.8	17.4	6.1	15.0	16.9	8.4	14.9
Students should have representation on the governing board of this institution	61.6	75.0	64.3	63.9	73.8	66.3	64.5	75.8	67.0	58.2	74.8	6.09
lepartment i thoritarian	73.4	72.0	73.1	79.6	78.1	79.2	75.5	74.2	75.2	69.2	65.5	68.6
2 2 2												
mental to the development of a quality educational program I needed to beach cased	60.1	50.0	58.1	46.2	40.0	44.7	60.6	50.9	58.5	65.1	55.2	63.5
Institutional demands for doing research interferentially by effectiveness as a			}	3			:	2	?	3		
teacher	17.7	17.9	17.8	10.0	8.7	9.7	15.4	18.5	16.1	22.7	23.0	22.7
I could devote more time to research I consider wyself a religious person	35.4 58.4	36.8 66.4	35.7 60.0	30.4 68.1	31.7 68.8	30.7 68.3	40.1	41.1	40.3 62.3	33.3 52.9	34.8 62.1	33.6 54.4
I consider myself politically conserva- tive	43.4	46.2	44.0	55.0	52.6	54.4	41.3	44.2	41.9	40.7	44.7	41.3
Claims of discriminatory practices against women students in higher education have been greatly exaggerated	47.4	28.6	43.6	54.3	33.6	69.3	47.2	30.4	43.5	6.44	23.4	41.3
There should be preferential hiring for minority faculty at this institution	32.9	36.1	33.6	27.9	31.5	28.7	32.9	36.7	33.8	34.9	38.4	35.5
	28.5	35.3	29.8	20.2	30.3	22.7	28.2	33.4	29.3	31.9	40.7	33.4
rules spoul	49.8	53.8	50.6	44.0	41.2	43.3	49.3	53.0	50.1	52.4	62.8	54.1
If I had a change to retrace my steps, I would not choose an academic life	13.9	12.1	13.5	15.2	15.5	15.2	14.8	10.6	13.9	12.6	11.9	12.5
THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH		ĺ	,									

(Continued)

(Continued)

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, BY SEX AND TYPE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTING, FOR COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY TRACHING FACULTY: 1972-73 ACADEMIC YEAR (Continued)

				2000	3							
Item Description and Chestion Number	¥ F	Institutions Women Total	Total	In Two-Year Men Homen	o-Year Colleges Women Total	Jileges Total	In Pour-	Four-Year Colleges Men Women Total	11eges Total	In I Men	In Universities Hen Women Total	ties Total
Percent indicating "strongly agree" or "agree with reservations" (25) (confined)												
If I had a chance to retrace my steps, I would choose another discipline Knowledge in my field is expanding so	17.9	19.2	18.2	17.4	22.7	18.7	19.4	17.4	19.0	16.9	19.2	17.3
riously	34.4	24.0	32.3	34.2	24.0	31.7	35.7	24.0	33.1	33.3	24 1	31.8
Compared with most main or my age in my field who have had comparable training. I have been more successful Compared with most vousen of my age in	68.3	29.4	60.5	7.69	35.2	61.0	64.0	27.2	56.0	71.5	28.5	7.79
my izaid who have had comparable training, I have been more success- ful	62.3	73.3	\$.5	57.8	78.0	62.7	60.2	4.69	62.2	65.7	75.0	67.3
Highest educational level of spouse (26a) No spouse, or no answer	19.2	47.8	24.9	21.1	43.5	26.5	20.8	50.0	27.2	17.0	47.7	22.1
Completed high school, or less Some college	16.7	9.0	16.6	20.7	v. 0.	15.0	15.1	4.0.0	8.9 13.0	8.4 16.5	4.8	7.4 14.6
vraduates rrom college Attended graduate or professional school Attained advanced degree	20.2	6.4	16.8	9.1	7.6	8.7 14.6	12.1	5.8	10.8 22.3	12.4	6.3	24.3
Highest educational level of father (26b) R	29.8	25.1	28.8	35.6	29.6	34.0	31.4	26.9	30.4	26.3	20.2	25.2
Some high school	14.0	13.9	13.9	16.8	15.8	16.5	13.9	3.5	13.8	12.9	13.2	13.0
Completed high school	19.0	17.8	18.7	22.0	16.1	19.7	18.2	18.5	18.2	18.8	18.0	18.7
Some college Graduated from college	9.8	10.9	10.0	7.5	9.6	8.0	8.8	10.1	9.1	11.4	12.9	11.7
Attended graduate or professional achool Attained advanced degree	4.7	5.2	4.8	5.4	8.6	2.8	5.1	5.2	5.1 11.5	5.1	6.8	5.4
Mothers advantagement Act			:									
inguist without the second of the control of the second se	22.9 14.3	18.4 15.0	21.9	24.5 17.1	19.7	23.2	25.0 13.9	20.3	23.9 14.1	20.5	15.4	19.6 13.4
Completed high school	31.0	27.3	30.2	33.1	26.5	31.4	30.3	27.4	29.7	30.7	27.6	30.2
Some college Graduated from college	11.3	12.7	1.6	8.7	10.2	9:1	10.7	12.4	11.1	12.8	14.6	13.1
Attended graduate or professional	2.6	4.4	5			7 6	2.5	5 7	0	2.0	0.5	2.
Attained advanced degree	3.1	4.7	3.5	1.6	3.8	2.2	3.3	4.7	3.6	3.6	5.1	3.9
Racial background (27)		2	ă		,	1 90	93	5	6	04.0	9 90	0 40
Marce/Caucinian Black/Wegro/Afro-American	2.4	4.8	2.9	1.3	4.2	2.1	4.6	8.1	4.2	0.9	1.2	6.0
American Indian	8.0	6.0	8.6	6.0	8,0	6.0	8.0.	 	8.4	0.7	9.0	7.0
Oriental Maxican-American/Chicano	 0.3	0.5		0.0	0.4	9.0	0.5	0.5	0.2	9.9	0.0	0.2
Puerto Rican-American Other	0.3	0.3	0.3 1.4	1.3	0.3 1.6	0.1 1.3	2.1	1.2	1.9	1.0	0.0	1.0
Sex (tabular column variable, see text for distribution) (28)												

ERIO

WEIGHED NATIONAL NORMATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, BY SEX AND TYPE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTING, FOR COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY TEACHING FACULY: 1972-73 ACADEMIC YEAR (Continued)

######################################												
Item Description and Question Number	키	All Institutions	Total	of al	In Two-Year College:	Tores	In Fou		Colleges	al i	In Universities	ties
Current base institutional salary (29a) 8,b									10707	Ties.	морен	1001
	2.0	5.7	2.7	0.0	Α.1	1.7	٠,	,	c	,		,
\$\$ 600 - 60 500				:	1	: '	1	?	۲.۶		•	۲.۶
	4	1/.6	0.	•	5.5	8.7	0.9	21.5	9.5	2.2	14.7	4,3
29,600 - 311,500	10.4	21.4	12.5	9.6	18.0	11.6	15.3	22.8	16.9	9.9	22.0	0
\$11,600 - \$13,500	16.2	17.3	16.4	15.5	17.2	15.9	20.4	15.7	10.4	12.8		
\$13,600 - \$15,500	16.5	13.1	15.8	20.4	15.0	19.1	16.3	9	1.51	15.1	1 2	
\$15,600 - \$17,500	14.2	8	13.9	20.7		20.5			1	1		
\$17 600 - \$10 500		;	1	7.77	7.0			•	*.07	13.7	4.	17.6
000 000 000	, .	0.0	y.	15.0	8.4	13.4	8.0	4.7	7.3	11.8	4.5	10.6
27, 500 - \$21,500	7:7	7,8	6.8	4.2	2.4	3.7	6.9	2.6	0.9	6.6	3.4	8.8
\$21,500 - \$24,500	7.0	2.3	6.1	2.2	0.5	1.8	5.2	3.9	5.0	10.3	1.7	8.9
\$26,600 or more	10.8	เก	9.7	2.9	5.6	3.5	8.4	6.0	7.9	16.0	9	14.1
Salary basis (29b)									!	;	:	!
9/10 months	66.2	0.69	66.8	78.5	79.9	78.9	68.7	67.5	4.89	50.3	49	1.09
11/12 months	33.8	31.0	33.2	21.5	20.1	21.1	31.3	32.5	31.6	40.7	35.7	39.9
Single most outstanding accomplishment (30)8												<u>;</u>
Attainment of professional credentials												
or position	7.3	6.8	7.2	7.3	7.4	7.3	ď	4.4	4 8	ď	7	,
Achievement in research/writing	17.6	6.1	9.5		,		· ·		,	,		;;
Parformence on a seasons	76					•	•		2	0.47	14.3	/ . 77
	1.07	7	28.2	33.2	33.0	33.1	28.3	35.8	30.2	22.8	32.6	24.4
rarioinance as a college acainistrator	ο. Θ.	10.0	6.6	9.5	12.8	10.1	9.6	8.4	9.4	10.2	10.3	10.2
reriormence in nonacedomic activities	4.	3.8	4.5	5.2	5.0	4.4	5,3	4.8	5.2	4.0	3.9	4.0
All others, or no suswer	٥. م	35.8	34.3	38.1	4.14	39.1	33.8	¥.3	33.9	32.5	33.4	32.6
							!					

Excludes no response.

bCategorized from detailed list.

Sample excludes all who indicated they ware not teaching during the current academic year. See text for details.

discludes no response to this part of question 7, but valid answer to remaining part.

Recode from 95 and rearranged in the hierarchy as listed.

fsee Appendix D to this report for states and countries included in each category.

Scategorized from detailed code list. See Appendix E.

APPENDIX A

Institutions Represented by Faculty Respondents



APPENDIX A

Institutions Represented by Faculty Pospondents

Adelphi University - Main Campus (NY) Adrian College (MI) Agricultural Mechanical and Normal College (AR) Alabama A & M College (AL) Alfred University - Main Campus (NY) Allegheny College (PA) American University (DC) Amherst College (MA) Aquinas College (MI) Arizona State University (AZ) Athens College (AL) Augsburg College (MN) Austin College (TX) Averett College (VA) Bates College (ME) Baylor University - Main Campus (TX) Bay Path Junior College (MA) Bellarmine-Ursuline College (KY) Beloit College (WI) Bennington College (VT) Berea College (KY) Bethany Lutheran College and Theological Seminary (MN) Black Hawk College (IL) Boston College - Main Campus (MA) Bowdoin College (ME) Bowie State College (MD) Bradley University (IL) Brandeis University (MA) Briarcliff College (NY) Buena Vista College (IA) California Institute of Technology (CA) California State College at Fullerton (CA) Calvin College (MI) Cardinal Stritch College (WI) Carleton College (MN) Carroll College (WI) Case-Western Reserve University (OH) Centerville Community College (IA) Cerritos College (CA) Chapman College (CA) Chatham College (PA) Citrus Junior College (CA) City College of San Francisco (CA) Clarion State College - Main Campus (PA) Colby College (ME) College of Charleston (SC) College of Mount St. Vincent (NY) College of New Rochelle (NY) College of Notre Dame (CA) College of the Sequoias (CA) Columbia University - Main Division (NY) Compton College (CA)



```
Connecticut College (CT)
Cowley County Community Junior College (KS)
CUNY - Baruch College (NY)
CUNY - City College (NY)
CUNY - Hunter College (NY)
Dartmouth College (NH)
Davie & Elkins College (WV)
Delatare State College (DE)
Delaware Valley College of Science and Agriculture (PA)
DePauw University (IN)
Dickinson College (PA)
Dominican College of Blauvelt (NY)
Dominican College of San Rafael (CA)
Donnelly College (KS)
Earlham tollege (IN)
Eastern Iowa Community College - Muscatine (IA)
Eastern Mennonite College (VA)
Emory & Henry College (VA)
Fairmont State College (WV)
Fisk University (TN)
Florida State University (FL)
Fort Hays Kansas State College (KS)
Franklin & Marshall College (PA)
Freed Hardeman College (TN)
Fresno State College - Main Campus (C.)
Gallaudet College (DC)
General Motors Institute (MI)
George Peabody College for Teachers (TN)
George Williams College (IL)
Georgia Institute of Technology - Main Campus (GA)
Gettysburg College (PA)
Gonzaga University (WA)
Grinnell College (IA)
Guilford College (NC)
Hamline University (MN)
Harding College - Main Campus (AR)
Harvard University 'MA)
Harvey Mudd College (CA)
Haverford Ccllege (PA)
Henry Ford Community College (MI)
Highland Community College (IL)
Hollins College (VA)
Howard University (DC)
Idaho State University (ID)
Illinois Institute of Technology (IL)
Immaculate Heart College (CA)
Indian River Junior College (FL)
Iowa Wesleyan College (IA)
Itasca State Junior College (MN)
Johns Hopkins University - Main Campus (MD)
Johnson C. Smith University (NC)
Junior College District of St. Louis County (MO)*
Yensas Wesleyan University (KS)
Kentucky State College (KY)
Keystone Junior College (PA)
Knoxville College (TN)
Lake City Junior College and Forest Ranger School (FL)
```

*Formerly Florissant Valley College

Lake Forest College (IL) Lakeland College (WI) Lebanon Valley College (PA) Le Moyne College (NY) Loretto Heights College (CO) Los Angeles Baptist College and Theological Seminary (CA) Louisiana Poly Institute (LA) Louisiana State University - Main Campus (LA) Loyola University of Los Angeles - Main Campus (CA) MacMurray College (IL) Madonna College (MI) Manhattanville College (NY) Marian College of Fond Du Lac (WI) Marietta College (OH) Marlboro College (VT) Mary Baldwin College (VA) Marymount College (KS) McPherson College (KS) Medaille College (NY) Mercer County Community College (NJ) Mercyhurst College (PA) Mesa College - Main Campus (CA) Miami University - Oxford Campus (OH) Michigan State University - Main Campus (MI) Middlebury College (VT) Mills College (CA) Mira Costa College (CA) Missouri Baptist College (MO) Modesto Junior College (CA) Monmouth College (IL) Montana State University (MT) Montclair State College (NJ) Monticello College (IL) Morenouse College (GA) Morris Brown College (GA) Morris Harvey College (WV) Mount Holyoke College (MA) Mount San Antonio College (CA) Napa College (CA) Nazareth College (MI) Nazareth College of Rochester (NI) Newark College of Engineering (NJ) New Mexico State University - University Park Branch (NM) Newton College of the Sacred Heart (MA) New York University (NY) Niagara County Community College (NY) Northeastern Christian Junior College (PA) Northeastern University (MA) North Greenville Junior College (SC) Northland College (WI) Northwestern University (IL) Northwest Misscuri State College (MO) Oakland City College (IN) Oakland University (MI)

```
Oberlin College (OH)
Occidental College (CA)
Ohio Dominican College (OH)
Ohio State University - Main Campus (OH)
Oklahoma State University - Main Campus (OK)
Orange Coast College (CA)
Oregon State University (OR)
Our Lady of the Lake College (TX)
Pace College - Main Campus (NY)
Park College (MO)
Parsons College (IA)
Pennsylvania State University - Main Campus (PA)
Peru State College (NB)
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn (NY)
Prairie State College (IL)
Pratt Institute (NY)
Princeton University (NJ)
Purdue University - Main Campus (IN)
Ranger College (TX)
Reed College (OR)
Rhode Island College (RI)
Rhode Island School of Design (RI)
Rider College (NJ)
Rockford College (IL)
Rollins College - Main Campus (FL)
St. Edwards University (TX)
St. John College of Cleveland (OH)
St. John Fisher College, Inc. (NY)
St. Joseph College - Main Campus (IN)
St. Louis University - Main Campus (MO)
St. Mary's College of California (CA)
St. Mary's College of Maryland (MD)
St. Mary's Dominican College (LA)
St. Meinrad Seminary (IN)
St. Norbert College (WI)
Salem College (NC)
Santa Barbara City College (CA)
Seattle University (WA)
Sinclair Community College (OH)
Southern Illinois University (IL)
Southern Methodist University (TX)
Spalding College (KY)
Springfield College (MA)
Spring Hill College (AL)
Stanford University (CA)
Stanislaus State College (CA)
Stephens College (MO)
Stevens Institute of Technology (NJ)
Swarthmore College (PA)
Sweet Briar College (VA)
SUNY - Agricultural and Technical College at Alfred (NY)
SUNY - Agricultural and Technical College at Delhi (NY)
SUNY - State College at Cortland
SUNY - State College at Oswego
```



```
SUNY - State College at Potsdam (NY)
SUNY - State University at Stonybrook (NY)
Talladega College (AL)
Tarkio College (MO)
Texas Christian University (TX)
Texas Technological University (TX)
Tufts University (MA)
Trinity College (DC)
Tulane University of Louisiana (LA)
U.S. Coast Guard Academy (CT)
U.S. Military Academy (NY)
University of Akron (OH)
University of Alaska - Main Campus (AK)
University of California - Berkeley (CA)
University of California - Davis (CA)
University of California - Irvine (CA)
University of California - Los Angeles (CA)
University of California - Riverside (CA)
University of California - Santa Barbara (CA)
University of California - Santa Cruz (CA)
University of Colorado - Main Campus (CO)
University of Dallas (TX)
University of Delaware (DE)
University of Denver (CO)
University of Detroit (MI)
University of Georgia (GA)
University of Hartford (CT)
University of Idaho (ID)
University of Illinois - Main Campus (IL)
University of Iowa (IA)
University of Kansas (KS)
University of Kentucky - Main Campus (KY)
University of Louisville (KY)
University of Massachusetts - Amherst Campus (MA)
University of Michigan (MI)
University of Mississippi - Main Campus (MS)
University of Missouri at Columbia (MO)
University of Nebraska - Main Campus (NB)
University of New Hampshire (NH)
University of New Mexico (NM)
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (NC)
University of the Pacific (CA)
University of Pennsylvania (PA)
University of the Redlands (CA)
University of Rhode Island (RI)
University of Rochester (NY)
University of San Diego (CA)
University of South Carolina - Main Campus (SC)
University of Tampa (FL)
University of Tennessee - Knoxville Campus (IN)
University of Tulsa (OK)
University of Virginia - Main Campus (VA)
University of Vermont and State Agricultural College (VT)
```



University of Washington (WA) University of Wyoming (WY) Valparaiso University (IN) Vanderbilt University (TN) Vassar College (NY) Villa Maria College of Buffalo (NY) Virginia Military Institute (VA) Virginia Poly Institute - Main Campus (VA) Virginia State College - Main Campus (VA) Virginia Union University (VA) Washington College (MD) Washington & Lee University (VA) Washington State University (WA) Washington University (MO) Webb Institute of Naval Architecture (NY) Wellesley College (MA) Wentworth Institute (MA) Wesleyan College (GA) Western Illinois University (IL) Western New England College (MA) West Virginia State College (WV) Wharton County Junior College (TX) Wheaton College (MA) Whitman College (WA) William Carey College (MS) Williams College (MA) Wisconsin State University - La Crosse (WI) Wittenberg University (OH) Wofford College (SC) Worcester Junior College (MA) Yankton College (SD)

APPENDIX B

Cover Letters Accompanying Three Waves of Questionnaire Mailings



December, 1972

Dear Colleague:

In 1969, the American Council on Education participated in sponsoring a national survey of faculty members, administrators, researchers, and other professional staff in a broad sample of colleges and universities. While the research results have been valuable to the academic community, substantial change has taken place in the ensuing several years. Consequently, to meet the need for better and more current knowledge of the higher educational system, I am writing to request that you assist us in our efforts by completing and returning the enclosed new questionnaire. This information will be helpful to educational policy-makers as well as to scholars studying problems and developments in American higher education. The findings will be published in books and reports available from the American Council on Education.

We are under no illusion that any survey, even one as broad as this, will answer all the pressing questions. Nor is it possible to frame our queries so that they are equally applicable to all types of staff members in all the different fields and at all the different types of institutions; you may find some that seem inappropriate to your situation. Nonetheless, we urge you to answer all the items as well as you can; in our analysis, we will take into account the special circumstances that may affect some of your replies.

Finally, be assured that your answers will be held in strictest confidence. Our interest is in statistical relationships only; in no circumstances will we report responses on an individual or departmental basis. Prior to analysis, your name and address will be separated from your responses. In the interest of establishing a longitudinal framework for the study of coilege and university staff, however, we should appreciate your indicating your current institutional address, if it is incorrect on the form.

We hope you will find the questionnaire interesting to answer, and I urge that you fill out and return it to us while you have it at hand. It should take about 10 to 15 minutes to complete. The enclosed return-address envelope is for your convenience in forwarding your completed form to our Minneapolis processing facility.

With our thanks for your cooperation.

Sincerely,



February, 1973

Dear Colleague:

In December, the American Council on Education sent you a questionnaire as part of its national survey of faculty members, administrators, researchers, and other professional staff in academic institutions. While our response to date has been gratifying, we need to hear from as many as possible if we are to undertake research which will yield the most feasibly valid and reliable information.

As yet, we have not heard from you. I recognize that the questionnaire arrived at a difficult time but I am hopeful that you might now be able to complete and return it. In the event you may have misplaced the earlier one, I am taking the liberty of enclosing another for your use.

I think you will find the questionnaire interesting to answer, and we have sought to keep it relatively brief so that it will take only a short time to complete. Some questions, of course, may not be equally applicable to all types of situations. Nonetheless, please answer all items as well as you can and, if you have moved from the address at the top of the questionnaire, please indicate the change and respond with respect to your current position.

The research for this survey will meet the needs for more current knowledge of the higher educational system; results will be published in books and reports available from the American Council on Education. Only statistical relationships will be reported. No reports will be produced on an individual or departmental basis, and prior to analysis your name and address will be permanently separated from your responses.

Thank you again for your consideration.

Sincerely,



April 15, 1973

Dear Colleague:

Some time ago, the American Council on Education sent you a questionnaire as part of its national survey of faculty members, administrators, researchers, and other professional staff in academic institutions. We regret that we have received no response from you, although I recognize that the questionnaire might have arrived at an inopportune time. In the hope that you might now be able to complete and return it, I am taking the liberty of sending you another form.

We are interested in hearing from you, even if you have recently changed positions or left an academic setting. It would also be valuable to us if you would fill out the questionnaire to the greatest extent possible, including the case where your activities may, for example, be in administration, research, or extension positions; in library or computing center professional staff positions; or in positions in which you primarily serve clients or patients. In some cases, not all questions will be entirely applicable. In our analysis we will take into account many of the special circumstances that may affect replies. Consequently, please answer the questionnaire as completely as you can, although you may wish to skip items which you feel are particularly inapplicable or intrusive.

Finally, I should again like to assure you that your answers will be held in strictest confidence. We are interested only in statistical relationships. We will permanently separate your identity from your response and, under no circumstances, will we report any information on an individual or departmental basis. Special markings on your form will be used solely for internal data processing.

Response to date from this survey has been exceptional, but the accuracy of the survey data and the worth of the findings are dependent upon your help. I believe the importance of the study will justify the time you give it.

Sincerely.

APPENDIX C

1972-73 Faculty and Staff Questionnaire



NDTE: In the space to the left, please make any corrections in your current institutional address. If you are no longer at the institution to which this is ad-

				(Plea	ed, mark here see answer this questionn ct to your <u>current</u> instit	aire with
DIRECTIONS: Your responses will be a optical mark reader. Your careful obsethese few simple rules will be most app (If you want to make any additional control of the contr	ervance of reciated.	Use only black lead Make heavy black m Erase cleanly any an Make no stray marki s, please enclose them on	arks that <u>fill</u> the circ swer you wish to cha ngs of any kind.	te. pen o	MPLE: Will marks made or fountain pen be prope Yes O No	rly read?
What is the <u>principal activity</u> of your current position at this institution?		If you are now between the following four questi	•		•	n, ple ase
(Mark one) Administration O Teaching O Research O Other O	niany t spendii each of Admini Schedul	the present term (que hours per week, on th ng in connection with f the following activit stration	e average, are you your staff positionies? (Mark one for e 	actually on in each activity)	Hours per We	34 24 0 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
2. Are you considered to be a full-time employee of your institution for at least nine months of the current academic year? (Mark one)	stud Advisin	ng for teaching (including) ent papers and grading) ig and counseling student th and scholarly writing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.0000000	OŌ.
Yes, full time	1	ou ever taught at steecondary level?	Yes, but not this	ic year, but not academic year	this term O(Skip to q	uestion 8a)
3. What is your present rank? (Mark one) Do not hold rank designation O Professor O Associate professor O Assistant professor O Lecturer O Instructor O Other rank O	ing dif this te (not se you te	row many different classes are your many different sections) are your many different so the same conscious of the same conscious?	ou meeting rent courses surse) are	are enrolle Introductory undergradu Advanced undergradu		term?
8. How important is each of the following a of undergraduate students, and (b) your —— Essential Very Import Somewhat I © © © N — Not Import. To master knowledge in a discipline	institution's (tant mportent ent, or Irreleva	goal in undergraduate (a) My Teaching Goals			the following list, ple 1. The degrees for which currently working, 2. All degrees that you is 3. All degrees you have this institution.	h you are if any. have sarned.
To convey a basic ap. cration of the liberal are To increase the desire and ability to undertake To develop the ability to think clearly	self-directed lo			000 BA 00	B., J.DD. (or equivalent) .D.S. (or equivalent) ther first professional be lor's (e.g., D.D., D.V.M. octorate degree without (e.g., D.A.) d.D. ther doctorate (except fi sional)	yond Bache , D.D., D.M dissertation

10. In the space provided, write the name and location of the institution where you received your: a) Bachelor's degree: _ (Name of Institution) (City & State, or Country if non-U.S.) (Mark here if no Bachelor's) --b) Highest degree now held: _ (Name of Institution) (City & State, or Country if non-U.S.) (Mark here if none beyond Bachelor's) Please Do Not Mark in This Box 11. Where did you complete your high school work? @@@@@@@@@ (State, or Country if non-U.S.) 0**ଉଉଉଉଉଉଉଉଉ**ଉ 12. From the following list, mark the most appropriate detailed category for: 33333333333 - 1. Under; aduate major (Mark one + most recent if more than one undergrad, degree and major) @@@@@@@@@ - 2. Major of highest graduate degree now held (Mark one - most recent if more than one **ଓଡ଼ି ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଓଡ଼ିଆ** ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଓଡ଼ି 3. Present principal teaching field (Mark one) highest degree and major) <u>ଉତ୍ତର୍ଭ ତ୍ରତ୍ତର</u> - 4. Present primary field of research, scholarship, reativity (Mark one) 5. Department of teaching appointment (if joint appointment, mark no more than two) 00000 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 (Where your precise field does not appear, mark the most similar category) Agriculture and/or Forestry . . . ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ Biological Sciences (General Bacteriology, Molecular Biology, Virology, Microbiology 100345 Mathematics and/or Statistics . 0 0 0 0 5 Physical Sciences General Zoology 02345 Earth Sciences (Incl. Geology) ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ Other Biological Sciences 02345Health Sciences Business Administration (General) 123345 Counseling and Guidance . . . U 3 3 4 5 Social Sciences Anthropology & Archaeology 0 0 3 4 5 Elementary and/or Secondary . 02393 Foreign Languages & Literature .000346 Educational Administration .. 000065 Political Science, Government ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ Educational Psychology and Counseling 02345 Other Foreign Languages Engineering ①②③④⑤ Vocational-Technical (Other). 00306 Aeronautical, Astronautical. . . 003345 ALL OTHER FIELDS 000000 13. In the six sets of circles shown below, please mark the last two digits of the date (year) of the following: Year from which you have had Year you ob-Year tenure continuous service (including tained current awarded at EXAMPLE Year of Year of Year of highest sabbaticals, etc.) in a staff position or current If Year is 1948: Bachelor's degree birth position at your current institution degree now held rank institution 00 യ 00 @@ 00 <u></u>@@ 00 Mark (1) (1) ര Mark (1)(1) Mark ()() Mark (1)(1) യ 00this one 22 this one (2) (2) this one 22 this one (2)(2) **@**@ മമ 00 blank 33 circle if you 36 blank ③ ③ circle ④ ④ blank 3 3 circle if you 4 4 blank 33 ദ്രദ ദ്ര 33 ൚ if you @@ Θ ගග were 3 3 do not (9(9) have no 33 are not (S) ്യ ගල **6** ര hold a 666 higher (6) (6) born ര 66 tenured (6) (6) Bachelor's 00 degree 70 before 0 ଡଡ തര ଡଡ ଡଡ 1900 dearee **(B) (B)** ⑱ ❽ ❽



14. For each factual item below, please		Fig. 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, Egis
	16. To how many academic or professional	.0.0.0.0.0.0
Are you a U.S. citizen?	journals do you subscribe?	, , , , , , , , ,
	17. How many articles have you published in	\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge
Do you have an employed spouse? 🛇 🔞	academic or professional journals?	monographs were a second of the second of th
Do you have a spouse working as a profes-	and the state of t	monographs son 2 of white
sional person in an academic institution?	18. How many published books, manuals, or	monographs & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &
Do you have any dependent children? 🏵 🔞	have you written or edited, alone or in co	
Have you ever held a student teaching	19. How many of your professional writings I	ast two years? O O O O O
assistantship?	lished or accepted for publication in the i	ast two years?
Have you ever held a student research	20. In the past 12 months, have you been en	gaged in any research.
assistantship?	•	Yes. O No. O (Skip to question 23)
Do you now hold a teaching or research as-		
sistantship at this or any other institution? . 🛇 🐿	21. In the past 12 months, did you receive	23. If you were to seek another position else-
Were you ever awarded a fellowship or schol-	support for your scholarly work and	where, what importance would you attach
arship worth \$1,000 per year or more? 💮 🔞	research (either as principal investigator	to each of the following?
Do you now hold a postdoctoral appointment?	or as a member of a research team)	Essential
-,,	from: (Mark all sources that apply)	Very Important Somewhat Important
Have you ever held a departmental chairmanship?	1. As Principal Investigator	© ⊗ ⊗ — Not Important, or Detrimental
Have you ever held a major facultywide	① ② — 2. Other Capacity	Higher salary
office such as dean?	Institutional or departmental funds ① ②	Higher rank
Are you now a research associate?	Federal agencies	Tenure
Have you ever interrupted your professional	Atomic Energy Commission	Less pressure to publish E S S
career more than one year for military or	Dept. of Agriculture	More time for research
family reasons?	Dept. of Commerce	Smaller teaching load
Is your present appointment a tenured	Dept. of Defense	More opportunities to teach
position?	Dept. of Interior	Less administrative responsibility 🖹 🛇 🕄 📵
Have you received at least one firm job offer	Dept. of Labor	More administrative responsibility 🖲 🛇 🔇 🔞
elsewhere in the last two years?	Dept. of Transportation	Better students
Are you a member of the American Associa-	Health, Education, and Welfare	Better colleagues
tion of University Professors?	Food and Drug Adm	Good job for spouse 🗒 🗸 🕄 🔞
Are you a member of the American Federa-	Nat'l. Institutes of Health	Better community
tion of Teachers?	Nat'l. Institute of Mental Health 🛈 🛈	Better schools for my children 🖹 🛇 🔇 🔞
Are you a member of a National Education	Office of Education	Better research facilities 🖹 🛇 🕄 🔞
Association affiliate?	Other HEW	Better chance for advancement . 🖹 🛇 🔇 🔞
Have you ever received an award for	Nat'l. Aeronautics and Space Adm ① ②	Better housing
outstanding teaching?	Nat'l. Endowment for the Arts and	OA O BALL III AMARI AF WANTE BALL III AMARI
Do you have any student teaching assistants	Humaniziet	24. Mark all types of work that you have engaged in for a year or more since earn-
this academic year?	Nat'l. Science Foundation	ing your Bachelor's degree (do not include
Do you have any student research assistants	Office of Economic Opportunity	part-time work while in graduate school).
this academic year?	Other Federal agency	B Mark the one type of primary work
Do you actively encourage undergraduates to		that you had engaged in immediately
see you outside your regular office kours? . 🏵 🕦	State or local government agencies	prior to taking a job at this institution.
On average, do you engage in social activities	Private foundations	Teaching in a university
with students two hours or more weekly? 😗 🕲	Private industry	Teaching in a four-year college 🛇 🔞
Is your social life primarily with colleagues	Other	Teaching in a junior/community college 🔕 📵
at this institution?	NONE	Full-time nonteaching research
Have you ever had a sabbatical?		position in a college or university
Have you engaged in any paid consulting out-	22. Would you characterize your recent	Postdoctoral fellowship or traineeship in a university
side of your institution over the past year? . $igotimes igotimes$	scholarship, research, or creative	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Have you engaged in public service profes-	writing as: Pure or basic?	Full-time college or university
sional consulting without pay over the	Applied?	administration
past year?	Policy-oriented? (20)	Teaching or administration in an elementary or secondary school
E About how many days during the nest /1071	Literary or expressive? . (1)	, , ,
5. About how many days during the past (1971-	Other?	Research and development outside educational institutions
72) academic year were you away from campus for professional activities (e.g., for	(Note: Diame about that your	
professional meetings, speeches, consulting)?	(Note: Please check that your pencil markings are completely	Executive or administrative post outside educational institutions
	darkening the circles. Please do	Other professional position 🛇 🕲
were one of a by or any and and were the	not make \(\setming tile circles. Please up	Student
~ O V P O O O O	I INTERNATION A PARTY SA	Student

ERIC*

25. Please indicate your agreement or	1. Strongly Agree	Please Do Not Mark In This Box
disagreement with each of the	2. Agree With Reservations	i
following statements.	3. Disagree With Reservations	(a) (-) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c
	① ② ③ ④ —— 4. Strongly Disagree	(a) (a) (a) (b) (a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c
Federal aid for undergraduates should be channeled thr		@ - 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
given directly to students		④ ⑤ - 6 ⑥ 例 例 ⑤ ⑤ 6 ゅ ゅ
Federal aid for graduate students should be channeled to	hrough institutions rather than	0 (- 8) 8 4 5 9 7 8 6
given directly to students		
Jobs for new entrants into my discipline are harder to f	and today than five years ago 0000	3 6 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
In my department, it is very difficult to achieve tenure	of one does not publish	9
Part-time faculty should be eligible for tenure	0000	4)
Teaching effectiveness, not publications, should be the		4) 20. What is the nightest level of
Faculty promotions should be based in part on formal s	tudent evaluations of their teachers (123	4) Tollinal education reacticu by
Collective bargaining by faculty members has no place in	n a college or university (123)	4) , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Respect for the academic profession has declined over t	he past 20 years (1) (2) (3)	4)
Undergraduates today study harder than those of four	nears and (12)3(In each column)
Undergraduates today are more docile than those of for	Ur years and (1) (2) (3)	No spouse O
In my field, the male students comprehend the materia	hetter than the female students (123	
Students should have representation on the governing b	pard of this institution	Some high school . O O
The administration of my department is more democra	~ ~ ~ ~	
A university department's recruitment of its own forms		ounpieted mgm sensor . O O O
positions is generally detrimental to the developme	•	Some college O O O
I prefer to teach small classes		
Institutional demands for doing research interfere with		Attended graduate or
I wish I had a smaller teaching load so I could devote in	my effectiveness as a teacher 000	professional school O O
I consider myself a religious person	nore time to research	Attained advanced degree O
I consider myself politically conservative		
		27. Are you: (Mark all that apply)
Claims of discriminatory practices against women stude greatly exaggerated		White/Caucasian
There should be preferential hiring for minority faculty	0000	Black/Negro/Afro-American O
There should be preferential hiring for minority faculty		American Indian
Institutional antinepotism rules should be abolished.		
If I had a chance to retrace my steps, I would not choo		
If I had a chance to retrace my steps, I would not choose a		
Knowledge in my field is expanding so fast that I have		Other
Compared with most men of my age in my field who he	000	28. Your sex:
I have been more successful		
Compared with most women of my age in my field who		
'i have been more successful		
30. In the four sets of sireles shown below please		÷ \$1 000.
29. In the four sets of circles shown below, please m		
	Personal Annual Income (Before Taxes)	TOTAL 1972
Total CO Current CO	Your estimate 00	Other O O
dollars UU base UU	of the value 🐠 🛈 profe	essional ① ① income ① ①
are 22 institutional 22	of institutional 22 noninstit	- -
\$9,200 ③③ salary ③③	, , ,	ne (e.g., 3 3 all family 3 3
(round ④④)	, 66	resulting, (4) (4) members; (4) (4)
^{to 99)}	(5)(5)	yalties, (5) (5) before taxes) (5) (5)
<u>0</u> 0	66	66
のの Your This is のの	9 9	00 00
® ® based on: ® ®	® ®	8 8
9 9-10 mos 9 9	<u>୭</u> ୭	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
	Amounts above \$99,000 should be marked "99."	
30. In the space provided, briefly state what you con	sider to be your single most outstanding	
professional accomplishment or achievement: (@ [@
	<u></u>	7 0000000000000000000000000000000000000

ed by ERIC

APPENDIX D

Coding for U.S. Geographic Region and Foreign Countries

			•
	STATES	53 <u>LATIN</u> <u>AMERICA</u>	56 CENTRAL EUROPE
01	Alabama-3	Bahamas	Austria
02 03	Alaska-4	Barbados	German Democratic Republic (East)
03 04	Arizona-4 Arkansas-3	Bermuda	Germany
05	California-4	British Honduras Costa Rica	Germany, Federal Republic of (West)
06	Colorado-4	Costa Rica Cuba	Italy
07	Connecticut-1	Dominican Republic	Malta
08	Delaware-1	El Salvador	San Marino
09	D.C1	Guadeloupe	Vatican City State
10	Florida-3	Guatemala	Other Central Europe
11	Georgia-3	Haiti	57 LIECTEDN FIIDADE
12	Hawaii-4	Honduras	57 WESTERN EUROPE Andorra
13	Idaho-4	Jamaica	Belgium
14	Illinois-2	Leeward Islands	France
15	Indiana-2	Martinique	Gibraltar
16	Iowa-2	Mexico	Liechtenstein
17	Kansas-2	Netherlands Antilles	Luxembourg
1.8	Kentucky-3	Nicaragua	Monaco
19	Louisiana-3	Panama	Netherlands
20	Maine-1	Puerto Rico	Portugal
21	Maryland-1	Virgin Islands	Spain
22	Massachusetts-1	Other Latin America	Switzerland
23	Michigan-2		Other Western Europe
24	Minnesota-2	54 SOUTH AMERICA	•
25	Mississippi-3	Argentina	58 EASTERN EUROPE
26	Missouri-2	Bolivia	Albania
27	Montana-4	Brazil	Bulgaria
28	Nebraska-2	Chile	Czechslovakia
29	Nevada-4	Colombia	Estonia
30	New Hampshire-1	Costa Rica	Greece
31	New Jersey-1	Ecuador	Hungary
32	New Mexico-4	Falkland Islands	Latvia
33	New York-1	French Guiana	Lithuania
34 25	North Carolina-3	Guyana	Ukraine
35 36	North Dakots 2 Ohio-2	Paraguay	Union of Soviet Socialist
30 37	0h10-2 0klahoma-4	Peru Surinam	Republics (U.S.S.R., Russia)
38	Oregon-4	Trinidad and Tobago	Yugoslavia
39	Pennsylvania-1	Uruguay	Other Eastern Europe
40	Rhode Island-1	Venezuela	E0 4 ED 7.04
41	South Carclina-3	Other South America	59 <u>AFRICA</u> Afars and Issas
42	South Dakota-2	other boden america	Algeria
43	Tennessee-3	55 NORTHERN EUROPE	Angola
44	Texas-4	enmark	Botswana
45	Utah-4	Finland	Burundi
46	Vermont-1	Great Britain (England,	Cameroon
47	Virginia-3	United Kingdom)	Canary Islands
48	Washington-4	Greenland	Central African Republic
49	West Virginia -3	Iceland	Chad
50	Wisconsin -2	Ireland, Northern	Comoro Islands
51	Wyoming -4	Ireland, Republic of	Congo, Democratic Republic of
		Isle of Man	(Zaire)
	East = 1	Norway	Congo, People's Republic of the
	Midwest = 2	Scotland	(French Congo)
	South = 3	Spitsbergen	Dahomey
	West = 4	Sweden	Egypt
		United Kingdom (Great	Equatorial Guiana
2	CANADA	Britain, England)	Ethiopia
		Other Northern Europe	Gabon
			(AFRICA Continued on other
			side of page)

59 AFRICA (Continued) 62 AUSTRALASIA (Continued) 60 ASIA WESTERN (Continued) Gambia Nauru Saudi Arabia Ghana New Caledonia Sikkim Guinea New Hebrides Syria Ivory Coast New Zealand Turkey Kenya Niue United Arab Republic Lesotho Papua and New Guinea West Pakistan Liberia Philippines Yemen, Peoples Demo-Libya Pitcairn cratic Republic of Malagasy Republic Rhodesia Yemen Arab Republic (Madagascar) Solomon Islands Other Asia Western Malawi Tasmania Mali Tokelau Islands 61 ASIA EASTERN Tonga Mauritania Brunei Morocco Wake Islands Burma Mozambique Western Samoa Cambodia Niger Other Australasia China, People's Re-Nigeria public of Portuguese Guiana China, Republic of Reunion (Taiwan) Rwanda Hong Kong Senegal . Japan Sierra Leone Korea, Democratic Somalia People's Republic South Africa, Republic of of (North) Southwest Africa Korea, Republic of Spanish Sahara (South) Sudan Laos Swaziland Malaysia Tanganyika Mongolian People's Tanzania Republic Togo North Borneo Tunisia Oki nawa Uganda Ryekyu Islands Upper Volta Singapore Zaire Taiwan (China, Re-Zambia public of) Zanzibar Thailand Other Africa Vietnam, Democratic 60 ASIA WESTERN Republic of (North) Afghanistan Vietnam, Republic of Bahrain (South) Bangladesh Other Asia Eastern Bhutan Ceylon 62 AUSTRALASIA Cyprus Anartica East Pal' tan Australia India Australian External Iran Territories Iraq Caroline Talanda Israel Celebes Jordan Cook Islands Kuwait Fiji Lebanon French Austral Lands Mauritius French Polynesia Nepal Gilbert Islands Oman Guam Pakistan Java **Qatar** Marana Islands Republic of Maldives Marshall Islands (Continued next column)

(Continued next column)

APPENDIX E

Coding for "Most Outstanding Professional Accomplishment or Achievement" (Question 30) in the 1972-73 ACE Faculty and Staff Survey



APPENDIX E

Coding for "Most Outstanding Professional Accomplishment or Achievement" (Question 30) in the 1972-73 ACE Faculty and Staff Survey

Attainment of professional credentials or position

- 10 "Current position" (general) (for example, "college president," "my present position," etc.)
- 11 Completion of one's education and degree (for example, receipt of doctorate)
- 12 Appointment to special panel, committee, or board
- 19 Receipt of award or honor for one's <u>educational</u> accomplishment (for example, Phi Betta Kappa honor society, scholarship)
- 35 Sabbatical and professorship or scholar (visiting)
- 35 Tenure

Achievement in research/writing

- 20 "Research" (general, nonspecific) or "publications" (general, nonspecific) (also general "contributions" or "work" in a particular specialty field)
- 21 Publication of book(s), article(s), music, or play(s)
- 22 Major research discovery or research work in the field (for example, developed national test, found biological agent)
- 23 Obtaining funds (for example, grants and contracts) for major work in a research or scholarly area
- 27 Enjoyment or satisfaction in doing research (general)
- 28 "Recognition" (general) by colleagues or others for research/writing performance
- 29 Receipt of award or citation for outstanding research or publication

Performance as a teacher

- 30 "Teaching" (general, nonspecific)
- 31 Aiding in success of students (for example, helped students complete their educational program, having successful former students)
- 37 Enjoyment or satisfaction in doing teaching (general) (for example, "enjoyment in teaching," "teaching ability," "respect of students in the classroom")
- 38 "Recognition" (general) by colleagues or others for teaching performance
- 39 Recaipt of award for excellence in teaching

Performance as a college administrator

- 40 Administrative performance (general, nonspecific) (for example, "success as a college president, or dean," "directing a program," "leadership" of an institution or program)
- 41 Administrative development (improvement, strengthening) of educational courses, programs, facilities, departments, or institution (for example, by fund-raising)
- 47 Enjoyment or satisfaction in doing administrative work (general)
- 48 "Recognition" (general) by colleagues or others for administrative performance
- 49 Receipt of award or citation for excellence in administration



Performance in nonacademic activities (for example, community or civic activities)

- 50 Contribution to community development; community service (general, nonspecific)
- 51 Participation or position in local service organization or agency
- 52 Work prior to teaching or outside of teaching
- 55 Arts and music
- 56 Athletics outside of teaching
- 57 Enjoyment or satisfaction in making monacademic contribution to society
- 58 "Recognition" (general) by colleagues or others for nonacademic societal contributions
- 59 Receipt of award for community or civic action

Other-Miscellaneous

- 80 General psychological well-being (for example, "satisfaction," "contentment," "success," "understanding," "intellectual or personal growth," "ability to get along with others," etc.)
- 81 Family status (for example, getting married/divorced, "children")
- 89 Wisecracks (miscellaneous) (for example, "completing this questionnaire")
- 99 Stated inability to respond (for example, "too hard to say,"
 "I have no idea," "nothing," "wish I could think of something")
- 00 Blank, no response



APPENDIX F

Precision of the Data and Their Comparisons



APPENDIX F

Precision of the Data and Their Comparisons*

So far as <u>random</u> errors are concerned, the standard error of a categorical percentage is a function of that percentage and of the number of respondents (unweighted) in the group. Approximate standard errors for various levels of item response percentages and group sizes are presented in Table F-1. In comparisons involving item response percentages for independent groups, the standard error of the difference is approximately equal to the square root of the sum of the squared sampling errors.

The table calculations are based on assumptions of single-stage sampling and all strata having equal variances. Allowance for finite sampling and for stratification reduces values somewhat, but the fact that a two-stage sampling procedure was employed in the faculty survey would yield opposite effects. Additionally, although the weighting procedures minimize known sources of systematic bias, the data are also subject to some unknown degree of constant and nonrandom variable errors. Hence, the table values of standard errors are only approximate statistical estimates for informal analytic purposes.

Table F-1
Standard Errors of Categorical Response Percentages for Groups of Various Size

Number of Actual Respon-		Response Perc	entages	=
dents in Groupb	1% or 99%	10% or 90%	25% or 75% 50%	· -
2,500	.199	.600	.866 1.000	
5,006	.141	.424	.612 .707	
7,500	.115	.347	.500 .577	
10,000	.100	.300	.433 .500	
25,000	.063	.190	.274 .316	
50,000	.044	.134	.194 .224	

^aAssumes all strata have the same variances.



^bTo determine, see Table 1.

^{*}Revised and adapted from the ACE Office of Research Staff (1972, pp. 93-94).

References



References

- ACE Office of Research Staff. The American Freshman: National Norms for 1972. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 7, No. 5. Washington: American Council on Education, 1972.
- American Association of University Professors, Committee Z. "At the Brink:

 Report on the Economic Status of the Profession, 1970-71." AAUP

 Bulletin, Vol. 57 (Summer 1971). pp. 223-285.
- American Association of University Professors and the Association of American Colleges, Commission on Academic Tenure in Higher Education.

 Faculty Tenure. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1973.
- American University Press Services. The National Faculty Directory, 1972.

 Detroit, Mich.: Gale Research Co., 1972.
- Astin, Alexander W.; Astin, Helen S.; Bayer, Alan E.; and Bisconti, Ann S.

 <u>Campus Unrest and Change</u>. Washington: American Council on Education, forthcoming, 1973.
- Aszin, Helen S. "Employment and Career Status of Women Psychologists."

 American Psychologist, Vol. 27 (May 1972), pp. 371-381.
- Astin, Helen S.; and Bayer, Alan E. "Sex Discrimination in Academe."

 <u>Educational</u> Record, Vol. 53 (Spring 1972), pp. 101-118.
- Bayer, Alan E. <u>College and University Faculty</u>: A <u>Statistical Description</u>.

 ACE Research Reports, Vol. 5, No. 5. Washington: American Council on Education, 1970.
- Bayer, Alan E. "Faculty as Determinators of Students' Perceptions of the College Environment." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Chicago, 1970.
- Bayer, Alan E. "Faculty Roles in Student Unrest." Change, Vol. 3 (Winter 1971), pp. 10; 74-75.



References (Con't.)

- Bayer, Alan E. "Institutional Correlates of Faculty Support of Campus Unrest." Sociology of Education, Vol. 45 (Winter 1972), pp. 76-94.
- Carr, Robert K.; and VanEyck, Daniel K. <u>Collective Bargaining Comes to the Campus</u>. Washington: American Council on Education, 1973.
- Creager, John A.; Astin, Alexander W.; Boruch, Robert F.; Bayer, Alan E.; and Drew, David E. <u>National Norms for Entering College Freshmen</u> -
 <u>Fall 1969</u>. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 4, No. 7. Washington: American Council on Education, 1969.
- Federation of Regional Accrediting Commissions of Higher Education.

 Accredited Institutions of Higher Education, 1972-73. Part 2 Supplement.

 Washington: American Council on Education, 1972.
- Furniss, W. Todd, ed.; Carlin, Theo P.; and Means, Barbara, assoc. eds.

 American Universities and Colleges, 11th ed. Washington: American Council on Education, 1973.
- Gleazer, Edmund J., ed.; and Cooke, Jane F., assoc. ed. American Junior Colleges, 8th ed. Washington: American Council on Education, 1971.
- Ladd, Everett C.; and Lipset, Seymour M. "Politics of Academic Natural Scientists and Engineers." Science, Vol. 176 (June 1972), pp. 1091-1100.



Other Recent Publications by the Staff of the Office of Research American Council on Education

(ACE)

- Astin, A.W.: College Dropouts: A National Profile, ACL Research Reports, Vol. 7, No. 1, Washington, ACL, 1972.
- Asun A. W., College-goong and Hioman Development, Change, 4 (September 1972), 11, 62
- Asim A. W. Predicting Academic Performance in College, New York, Lice Press, 1971
- Asim. V.W., and Lee, C. B. L.: The Invisible Colleges, Camegic Commission Series on Higher Education New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1971
- Astm. H. S., Astm. A. W., Bisconti, A. S., and Frankel, H. H. Higher Education and the Disadvantaged Student, Washington, Human Service Press, 1972
- Astm. H. S., and Bayer, A. F. Sex Discrimination in Academic, Educational Record, 53 (Spring 1972), 101-118.
- Asim 41.8 and Biscomi A.8. Career Plans of College Graduates of 1965 and 1970. Beilikhem, Pat. College Placement Council, 1973.
- Astar 11/8 and Bisconii A/8. Trends in Academic and Career Plans of College Freshmen, Bethlehem, Pa-College Placement Connect. 1972.
- Bacci, A.I., Construction of a Race Item for Survey Research, Public Opinion Quarterly, 36 (Winter 1972-73), 592-602.
- Bayer, A. F. The New Student in Black Colleges School Review, 81 (May 1973), 415-26
- Bayer A.L., Royer J. L., and Webb, R. M. Four Years After College Lintiv ACT Research Reports, Vol. 8, No. 1, Washington, ACT, 1973.
- Bayer A. I. The Black College Freshman: Characteristics and Recent Trends. ACI. Research Reports, Vol. 7, No. 3, Washington, ACI., 1972.
- Bayer A. L. College Impact on Marriage. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 34 (November 1972), 600-609.
- Bornels R. L. and Creager, J. A. Measurement Error in Social and Educational Survey Research, ACL Research Reports, Vol. 7, No. 2, Washington, ACE, 1972.
- Creager J. A. Laderan, Jehn, ement and Institutional Engineents: Lico Research Strategies, Journal of Experimental Education, 40 (Winter 1971), 9-23.
- Creager J. A. Lutioism in Higher Education. Change, 4 (Winter 1972), 8, 62.
- Creager J. A. The American Graduate Student: A Normative Description. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 6, No. 5 Washington, ACE, 1971
- Diew D.L. and Asin A. W. Undergraduate Aspirations, A Test of Several Theories, The American Journal of Sociology, 77 (May 1972), 1151-61.
- Di w. D. L., and Cicago, J. A. The Vietnam-Era Veteran Enters College, ACL Research Reports, Vol. 7, No. 4 Washington, ACL, 1972.
- 44 Khawas, I., 11., and Astru, 44. S. Current Limollment Characteristics of Gradiente Studene's in Psychology American Psychologist, 27 (May 1972), 457-61.
- Higher Education Panel Survey Tirrollment of Junior Year Students (1971 and 1972). Spring, 1973. Minicographed
- Higher Education Panel Survey Student Participation on Institutional Covering Boards, Fall, 1972. Minicographed
- Holmstrom, I. T. Changing Nex Roles in a Developing Country. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 35 (August 1975), 546-53.
- Molm J. D. and Astm. V.W. Sonia Personal Characteristics and Attende Changes of Student Protesters. Journal of College Student Personnel, 4 (May 1973), 32-39.
- Staff of the Office of Research. The American Freshman: National Norms for Fall 1972. ACT Research Reports Vol. 7: No. 5. Washington, ACT, 1972.

