

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 077 683

SE 016 109

AUTHOR Milson, James L.
TITLE Attitude Change as a Result of a Short Course on Environmental Quality.
PUB DATE 28 Mar 73
NOTE 14p.; Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching (46th, Detroit, Michigan, March 1973)
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29
DESCRIPTORS Attitude Tests; *Changing Attitudes; *Environment; *Reports; Research; *Secondary School Teachers; *Short Courses; Tables (Data)

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to determine what attitude changes take place in a group of secondary school teachers as a result of participation in a short course on the environmental problems of air pollution, water pollution, noise pollution, population problems and the use of natural resources. A secondary purpose was to determine if the teachers perceived the course structure as an effective method for presenting environmental topics. Participants, 43 in the experimental group and 45 in the control group, were given two questionnaires relating to environmental attitudes and environmental management. Examination of resulting data by analysis of variance indicated a statistically significant change in responses occurring for 18 of the 30 items on the questionnaire dealing with environmental attitudes, and for 25 of the 30 items on the questionnaire dealing with environmental management. In the third aspect, the structure of the course was perceived as highly acceptable by the participants. The three evaluation instruments and tables of group responses are appended. (BL)

FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ED 077683

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

ATTITUDE CHANGE AS A RESULT OF A SHORT COURSE ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

James L. Milson
The University of Texas at El Paso
El Paso, Texas

A paper presented at the 46th annual meeting
of the
National Association for Research in Science Teaching

March 28, 1973
Detroit, Michigan

SE 016 109

ATTITUDE CHANGE AS A RESULT OF A SHORT COURSE ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Objectives of the inquiry

The primary purpose of this study was to determine what attitude changes take place in a group of secondary school teachers as a result of participation in a short course on the environmental problems of air pollution, water pollution, noise pollution, population problems and the use of natural resources. A secondary purpose of the study was to determine if the teachers perceived the course structure an effective method for presenting environmental topics.

Methods and/or techniques

During the summer of 1972, a group of 43 secondary school teachers met with 40 specialists in the areas of air pollution, water pollution, noise pollution, population problems and uses of natural resources. Each of these topics was introduced in a panel discussion, the panel consisting of 3 to 5 specialists from governmental agencies, industry and representatives from concerned environmental groups. Each panelist was given 30 minutes to present the viewpoint of his organization. Following these presentations, a discussion session provided an opportunity for the participants and panelists to probe the topic in greater depth. Several times during the course, the specialists and participants planned and became involved in field study tours in order to examine an area problem on a first hand basis.

Data sources

The evaluation design can be designated as a nonequivalent control group pattern. From a pool of potential participants, 43 were assigned to the experimental group and 45 to the control group. Two questionnaires relating to environmental attitudes and environmental management were administered to both the experimental and control groups. Responses to the items on these instruments were collected as pre and post-test for individuals in the experimental and control group. The pretest was given to both groups before any activities began. After a period of 15 instructional days, during which the experimental group was involved in the short course activities six hours per day, both groups were administered the post-test. A third instrument relating to the

participants' evaluation of the structure of the workshop was administered as a post-test only.

Results and conclusions

Examination of the resulting data by analysis of variance indicates a statistically significant change in responses occurring for 18 of the 30 items on the questionnaire dealing with environmental attitudes, and for 25 of the 30 items on the questionnaire dealing with environmental management. Responses to the third questionnaire indicate that 90 percent of the participants rated the specialists, format, sequence, length, level of content and physical facilities of the short course at the above average to excellent level.

These results suggest that the short course did change the participants' attitudes toward certain aspects of the selected environmental problems. It further suggests that structure of the course was perceived as highly acceptable by the participants.

Significance

Recognizing the limits imposed by the experimental procedure, this study may have implications for science educators who are involved in the design of in-service instruction. If the intensive short course does, in fact, alter attitudes on emotion charged issues such as environmental problems, then it is possible that attitudes in other areas may be changed in like manner. The teachers' strong response to the structure of the course may suggest the involvement of in-service trainees with consultants from governmental agencies, industry and representatives of citizens groups on an intensive basis.

TABLE I
SUMMARY OF STATEMENTS
INCLUDED ON INSTRUMENT ONE

ITEM	STATEMENT
1	Natural resources will support a much larger human population than the present human population of the world.
2	It is necessary for man to alter the natural environment in order to survive at present population levels.
3	The growth of our country will be retarded if we conserve our resources.
4	Governmental financial aid to landowners for conservation work makes them too dependent on the government.
5	There is too much fuss over water pollution in Texas since we have plenty of water.
6	Only in rare instances do industries pollute the environment.
7	We need not be overly concerned about pollution since science and technology will find solutions to the problems.
8	There is a population problem in the United States.
9	The United States as a whole does not have a great pollution problem.
10	Many people are over-reacting to the environmental problems around us.
11	People interested in conservation tend to stand in the way of industrial progress.
12	Recent scientific developments have made it unnecessary to conserve our resources.
13	The mining of all minerals should be controlled by the government.
14	Our home town and county do not have serious problems of environmental quality.
15	The use of some natural resources should be restricted to assure an adequate supply for future generations.
16	For a fair price the state should have the right to purchase any lands for conservation purposes.
17	Soil erosion is a minor problem in Texas.
18	There are too many people living on the earth today.
19	People in our country will implement and support efforts to improve the quality of the natural environment.
20	To help conserve natural resources much farm land will have to be returned to a natural "wild" condition.
21	Man has generally treated the natural environment in a proper manner.
22	There is an urgent need to conserve the natural resources of the United States.
23	Whether or not the government aids in the control of soil erosion is little concern of mine.
24	Limiting family size is important if a reasonable standard of living is to be maintained for immediately future generations.
25	A man should be able to use his land as he sees fit.
26	Man is faced with the possibility of extinction.
27	The number of trees cut on privately owned land should be controlled by the government.
28	An alert conservation group is a necessity in every community.
29	Most hunters and fishermen are well-founded in the principles of wildlife conservation.
30	Soil erosion control should be left entirely to the land owner.

TABLE II
SUMMARY OF STATEMENTS
INCLUDED ON INSTRUMENT TWO

ITEM	STATEMENT
1	Living things are interdependent with each other and their environment.
2	Natural resources are interdependent and the use or misuse of one will affect the others.
3	Man has been a factor affecting plant and animal succession and environmental processes.
4	The earth and life on it are greatly affected by the atmosphere.
5	Pollutants and contaminants are produced by natural and man-made processes.
6	Safe waste disposal is important if the well-being of man and the environment is to be preserved.
7	An organism is the product of its heredity and environment.
8	Man is influenced by many of the same hereditary and environmental factors that affect other organisms and their populations..
9	Organisms and environments are in constant change.
10	In any environment, one component like space, water, air or food may become a limiting factor.
11	Maintaining, improving, and in some cases restoring soil productivity is important to the welfare of people.
12	Water supplies, both in quantity and quality are important to all levels of living.
13	Increasing human populations, rising levels of living, and the resultant demands for greater industrial and agricultural productivity promote increasing environmental contamination.
14	Modern man affects the structure of his environment.
15	Increased population mobility is changing the nature of the demands upon some resources.
16	Conflicts emerge between private land use rights and the maintenance of environmental quality for the general public.
17	The management of natural resources to meet the needs of successive generations demands long-range planning.
18	Economic efficiency does not always result in conservation of a natural resource.
19	Man has ability to manipulate and change the environment.
20	Options available to future generations must not be foreclosed.
21	Environmental management has effects on individuals and social institutions.
22	Man has moral responsibility for his environmental decisions.
23	Man has the capability of improving society through sociology, psychology and science.
24	There are certain risks taken, and limitations experienced, when manipulating the natural environment.
25	Public opinion constitutes a control over the use of conservation practices.
26	Individual citizens should be stimulated to become well informed about resource issues, problems, management procedures, and ecological principles.
27	Individual citizens should be stimulated to become active in the political process.
28	Conservation responsibilities should be shared by individuals, business and industries, special interest groups, and all levels of government and education.
29	Man has responsibility to develop an appreciation of and respect for the rights of others.
30	Outdoor recreation is an increasingly important part of our culture and our economy.

TABLE III
STRUCTURE OF INSTRUMENT THREE

- Speaker Topic
(Please evaluate only the activities which you attended).
 - A. Mr. Sam Smith - Water Pollution
 -
 - In your judgment, at this point in time which Workshop topic do you think was the most effective?
- Please identify other activities, formal and informal, which could be employed with success in future workshops.
 - a. _____
 - b. _____
 - c. _____
- Organization of the Workshop. (Please "x" the appropriate response.)
 - excellent organization in meaningful sequence
 - well organized
 - adequate, but could be better
 - inadequate organization
 - confused and unsystematic
- Workshop length. (Please "x" the appropriate response.)
 - program length was about right
 - program was long, but acceptable
 - program was short, but acceptable
 - program was much too long
 - program was too short to cover the content
- Degree to which Workshop outcomes met my expectations.
(Please "x" the appropriate response.)
 - program exceeded by expectations
 - my expectations were well met
 - program was adequate in terms of expectations but could have been better
 - program was barely adequate in this respect
 - program completely failed to meet my expectations
- Applicability of content to needs. (Please "x" appropriate response.)
 - content was exceptionally well related to my needs
 - content was moderately well related to my needs
 - content was adequate - could be better
 - content was only slightly related to my needs
 - content was completely unrelated to my needs
- Level of intellectual sophistication of content. (Please "x" appropriate response.)
 - content level was about right
 - high, but acceptable
 - low, but acceptable
 - content was far above level needed for my work
 - level was entirely too low
- Opportunity for questions and discussion. (Please "x" appropriate response.)
 - ample opportunity
 - moderate opportunity
 - occasional opportunity
 - rare opportunity
 - never

- 10. What subject areas were neglected which should have been dealt with by the Workshop program?
 - a. _____
 - b. _____
 - c. _____
 - d. _____
- 11. As you review the Workshop program, please rank in order of significance the three program presentations which have been of greatest value to you as a practicing Educator.
 - a. _____
 - b. _____
 - c. _____
- 12. Meeting rooms and Field trip arrangements. (Please "x" appropriate response.)

Meeting Rooms: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> excellent <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> barely adequate <input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> completely inadequate 	Field Trip Arrangements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> excellent <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> barely adequate <input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> completely inadequate
---	---
- 13. Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree

The material presented was valuable to me.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
I did not have an opportunity to express my ideas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
My time was well spent	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Acquaintances were made which will be helpful in the future	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Workshop had too little variety	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Workshop had too much variety	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The program had well-chosen presentation leaders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
- 14. Give your general comments regarding the Workshop.

TABLE IV

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF PRETEST AND POSTTEST
EXPERIMENTAL GROUP RESPONSES
ON QUESTIONNAIRE ONE

Item	Pretest	Posttest	Means Difference	df=80	MS _t	MS _b	MS _w	F-ratio	P
					df=1	df=1	df=79		
1	3.4524	4.1026	.6502	1.0068	8.5487	.9913	9.381	.0033	
2	3.4286	2.3333	-.1093	1.1401	24.2575	.2475	28.622	.0000	
3	3.6429	3.7179	.0750	1.0450	.1140	.2600	.090	.7620	
4	3.1905	3.6154	.4249	1.0420	3.6511	.9077	4.022	.0456	
5	2.6667	4.6923	2.0256	1.3327	82.9763	.2993	217.277	.0000	
6	3.3571	4.6410	1.2839	.6494	33.3334	.2357	141.446	.0000	
7	3.5714	4.4615	-.0901	.5750	16.0220	.3795	42.222	.0000	
8	3.3333	1.6667	-.6666	1.5022	56.1728	.801	69.338	.0000	
9	3.3010	4.5128	1.1318	.9344	25.9972	.6791	38.150	.0000	
10	3.4048	3.7692	-.3644	.8966	2.6063	.0240	3.074	.0797	
11	3.4226	3.5128	.0902	.9772	3.4226	.3077	.145	.7058	
12	3.3333	4.5641	1.2108	.7974	30.6325	.467	73.504	.0000	
13	2.9524	2.7436	-.2088	.9270	.8816	.9284	.950	.6638	
14	2.4524	4.3846	1.9322	2.2392	75.5003	.3118	57.553	.0000	
15	2.5476	1.6667	-.8809	.4246	15.6940	.2920	53.739	.0000	
16	2.6905	2.3333	-.3572	.9028	2.5794	.8816	2.926	.0873	
17	2.9762	4.1795	1.2033	1.0250	29.2302	.6673	43.876	.0000	
18	2.6667	1.9744	-.6923	.8250	9.6923	.7728	13.598	.0007	
19	2.005	3.0000	.3095	.5864	1.9374	.5693	3.403	.0654	
20	2.3095	3.2564	.4469	.8244	4.0385	.7837	5.153	.0244	
21	3.3810	4.4615	1.0805	.6401	23.6128	.3493	67.595	.0000	
22	2.6190	1.4615	-.1575	.6036	27.0943	.2734	99.358	.0000	
23	3.4048	4.5305	1.1337	.5975	25.9911	.2661	94.139	.0020	
24	3.4048	1.4359	-.9639	1.3762	78.3900	.4014	195.303	.0000	
25	3.5952	3.3205	-.2253	.7111	1.0263	.7071	1.451	.2229	
26	3.2143	2.1795	-.0348	.0559	21.6541	.7951	27.234	.0300	
27	2.9048	2.4103	-.4945	.8500	4.9451	.7992	6.196	.0142	
28	2.8095	1.6154	-.1941	.6318	28.3363	.2748	104.916	.0000	
29	3.2619	3.8974	.6355	.5485	8.1678	.8571	9.550	.0031	
30		4.2308	1.1116	.6790	24.9931	.3712	67.324		

MS_t = total mean square
MS_b = between groups mean square
MS_w = within groups mean square

TABLE V
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF PRETEST AND POSTTEST
CONTROL GROUP RESPONSES
ON QUESTIONNAIRE ONE

Item	Pretest	Posttest	Means Difference	MS _t df=79	MS _{bg} df=1	MS _{wg} df=78	F-ratio
1	2.9751	3.0751	.1000	.6070	.2000	.6122	.327
2	3.4749	3.2253	-.2496	.7367	.12500	.7501	.5762
3	3.2736	3.4263	.1527	.4129	.4129	.4356	.1914
4	3.0250	3.0258	.0008	.7082	.0000	.7773	.1275
5	3.4501	3.1758	-.2743	.4554	.15125	.4317	.5030
6	3.5003	3.2601	-.3002	.382	.18000	.3651	.6617
7	3.6508	3.4220	-.2258	.787	.10125	.7804	.4944
8	3.2505	3.2453	-.0012	.403	.00000	.5000	.2570
9	3.2501	3.3250	.0749	.6125	.1125	.6189	.0000
10	3.2500	3.0252	-.2248	.7783	.10125	.7753	.1306
11	3.0262	3.0506	.0244	.6948	.0125	.7035	.2554
12	3.2011	3.1754	-.0257	.5847	.0125	.5920	.6335
13	2.9251	3.0751	.1500	.5682	.1125	.5740	.6796
14	3.3533	3.3558	.0275	.3530	.0125	.3574	.0035
15	2.7751	2.8503	.0752	.3568	.1125	.3599	.2263
16	2.4751	2.4748	-.0003	.7082	.00000	.7173	.5845
17	2.5003	2.9747	.4740	.7429	.1125	.7510	.0000
18	2.7750	2.8509	.0759	.7356	.1125	.7446	.7017
19	2.5502	2.5255	-.0247	.3024	.0125	.3051	.151
20	2.7750	2.7743	-.0067	.2351	.0000	.9481	.041
21	3.2507	3.1250	-.1257	.4074	.3125	.4087	.000
22	2.7999	2.7750	-.0249	.5492	.0125	.5561	.765
23	3.3725	3.1501	-.0494	.2723	.0500	.2755	.3759
24	3.1248	3.0503	-.0745	.3593	.1125	.3625	.6750
25	2.8254	2.8254	.0000	.9266	.0000	.9327	.0000
26	3.2761	3.2750	-.0011	.4500	.0000	.8199	.5036
27	2.6500	2.7239	.0739	.6906	.1125	.7061	.0000
28	2.7753	2.9751	.2000	.6861	.12500	.1559	.6931
29	2.7008	2.6499	-.0509	.8297	.0500	.8397	.1841
30	3.0499	2.8751	-.1748	.6125	.2856	.6125	.2145

MS_t = total mean square
MS_{bg} = between groups mean square
MS_{wg} = within groups mean square

TABLE VI
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF PRETEST RESPONSES FOR
THE EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS ON
QUESTIONNAIRE ONE

Item	Experimental Pretest Means	Control Pretest Means	Difference	Fst. df=31	Ms _{bg} df=1	Ms _{wg} df=80	F-ratio	P
1	3.4524	2.9751	.4773	.9389	4.6693	.6922	5.233	.023
2	3.4206	3.4719	-.0613	.9914	.0442	1.0013	.044	.8263
3	3.6429	3.2726	.3693	1.1159	2.7724	1.0952	2.531	.116
4	3.1905	3.0250	.1655	1.1159	.5010	.0843	.646	.5765
5	2.6667	3.4501	-.7834	6.1449	4.654	.4181	21.01	.000
6	3.3571	3.5003	-.1432	2.477	.2455	.1265	1.703	.1927
7	3.5714	3.6508	-.0794	.5372	.5423	.233	.6360	
8	3.3333	3.2505	.0828	1.423	.6358	.224	.6426	
9	3.8010	3.2501	.5109	6.143	.3513	.6176	.569	.5408
10	3.4046	3.2500	.1546	7.774	.4907	.7202	.681	.5313
11	3.2716	3.0252	.2474	8.716	.3326	.8408	.3669	.0469
12	3.3333	3.2011	.1322	4.456	.3642	.4467	.815	.6255
13	2.9524	2.9511	.0013	6.999	.0154	.7035	.022	.8781
14	3.3533	3.0509	.3024	4.569	16.5074	.2688	13.010	
15	2.6476	2.7751	-.1275	3.511	1.0593	.3422	3.095	
16	2.6705	2.4751	.2154	7.7395	.9512	.7369	1.291	
17	2.9762	2.9003	.0759	8.234	.1189	.8322	1.43	
18	2.6667	2.7750	-.1083	6.081	2.404	.7039	.342	
19	2.6925	2.5502	.1403	3.1121	.4043	.3110	1.300	
20	2.8095	2.7750	.0345	8.063	.0244	.8191	.030	
21	3.3810	3.2507	.1303	2.086	.3513	.2676	1.313	
22	2.6190	2.7999	-.1809	4.318	.6708	.4208	1.564	
23	3.1048	3.1995	-.0947	2.739	.0590	.2565	3.349	.0675
24	3.4048	3.1208	.2800	3.063	1.6035	.3812	4.207	.010
25	3.5952	3.9767	-.3917	7.8316	.9297	.9137	8.626	.006
26	3.2143	3.2761	.0618	7.793	.0755	.7081	.096	.7558
27	2.9048	2.6500	.2548	7.413	1.3297	.7310	1.812	
28	2.8095	2.7523	.0842	5.753	.1464	.5065	.252	.6230
29	3.2619	3.0499	.1511	6.4688	.0991	.3266	.136	
30				.0977		.3288	.297	

MS_T = total mean square
 MS_{BG} = between groups mean square
 MS_{WG} = within groups mean square

TABLE VII

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF POSTTEST RESPONSES FOR
THE EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS ON
MEASURING OUT

Item	Experimental	Pretest Means Control	Difference	F _{stat} df7,79	F _{sig} df7,79	F _{sig} df6,77	F _{ratio}
1	4.1026	3.0751	1.0275	.0874	20.8504	.6281	33.195
2	2.3313	3.2253	-.8920	.7629	15.7001	.5668	.0000
3	3.7179	3.4999	.2170	.0015	.9380	.5961	27.701
4	3.6154	3.0258	.5916	.8345	6.8628	.7559	1.574
5	4.6223	3.1758	1.5165	.2203	45.4616	.2608	9.105
6	4.6110	3.2001	1.4109	.8167	41.0054	.3555	174.307
7	4.4615	3.4250	1.0365	.8636	21.2162	.6165	165.342
8	1.6667	3.2493	1.5826	1.0305	49.5042	.6775	.0030
9	4.5128	3.2320	1.1878	.278612	.6821	.0030	34.416
10	3.7652	3.0252	.7446	1.0520	10.9374	.6821	73.070
11	3.5128	3.0506	.4622	.0058	4.2298	.0525	.0030
12	3.1754	3.1327	-.3627	1.0445	36.1036	.5632	4.962
13	2.7136	3.0022	-.2566	.8013	7.2983	.7979	.0271
14	4.3046	3.3258	1.0598	.6519	22.1714	.1.627	.0030
15	1.6667	2.8503	1.1836	.6592	27.6511	.3897	20.2
16	2.3333	2.4748	-.1415	.8525	.3953	.89.585	.0030
17	4.1795	2.9717	1.2078	.9426	20.6403	.5028	.0030
18	1.9144	2.0509	-.1365	.8765	15.1408	.7512	.0030
19	3.0003	2.5255	.4745	.6229	4.4554	.5711	.0030
20	3.2964	2.7713	1.4021	.9614	4.5774	.9144	.0030
21	4.6115	3.1250	1.3365	.9423	35.2715	.4944	.0030
22	1.9145	2.7750	1.3135	.8299	34.0669	.4944	.0030
23	4.5325	3.1591	1.3884	.7323	36.0665	.2960	.0030
24	1.4359	3.0503	1.6144	1.0377	51.4470	.3330	.0030
25	3.8205	2.8205	.9951	.9627	19.5700	.7210	.0030
26	2.7195	3.2750	1.0255	1.1207	23.6991	.8273	.0030
27	2.7239	2.7239	.0136	.7668	1.9562	.7716	.0030
28	2.9751	1.3597	1.6154	1.1720	30.5031	.3663	.0030
29	2.6999	1.2475	1.4524	.7057	30.7200	.7882	.0030
30	2.8751	1.5557	1.3200	.7057	36.2969	.3283	.0030

S_{tot} = total mean square
 S_{bg} = between groups mean square
 S_{wg} = within groups mean square

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF PRETEST AND POSTTEST EXPERIMENTAL GROUP RESPONSES ON QUESTIONNAIRE TWO

Item	Pretest	Posttest	Mean Difference	t Statistic	p-value	F-ratio
3.0000	1.8718	1.9718	.0000	-0.020	.9020	342.150
3.4524	1.7243	1.3626	-0.3698	-0.020	.9020	67.329
3.4236	1.4613	1.0329	-0.3907	-0.020	.9020	40.038
3.6048	1.5611	1.1593	-0.4579	-0.020	.9020	56.942
3.4524	1.4103	.9579	-0.4946	-0.020	.9020	0.000
3.6190	1.6154	.9964	-0.6230	-0.020	.9020	17.910
3.5238	1.4103	.8865	-0.5375	-0.020	.9020	38.552
3.6190	1.3590	.7400	-0.8790	-0.020	.9020	20.953
3.7143	1.4359	.7216	-0.7036	-0.020	.9020	14.360
3.2991	1.6667	1.4296	-0.2369	-0.020	.9020	15.948
3.2992	1.3590	1.1628	-0.1962	-0.020	.9020	63.356
3.2619	1.6567	1.4048	-0.2571	-0.020	.9020	19.787
3.0176	1.6133	1.1139	-0.5000	-0.020	.9020	0.000
3.4048	1.6223	1.2375	-0.3850	-0.020	.9020	34.123
3.2957	1.0226	.9326	-0.0930	-0.020	.9020	0.000
3.2143	1.0113	.8370	-0.1743	-0.020	.9020	87.041
3.4048	1.6110	1.2362	-0.3738	-0.020	.9020	2.207
3.8010	1.2821	1.1000	-0.1821	-0.020	.9020	1.375
3.3571	1.5120	1.0557	-0.4563	-0.020	.9020	10.086
3.5176	1.3816	.8370	-0.5406	-0.020	.9020	42.765
3.0571	1.3077	.4506	-0.5567	-0.020	.9020	4.125
3.4048	1.5097	1.1819	-0.3278	-0.020	.9020	33.661
3.7143	1.3077	.5234	-0.7819	-0.020	.9020	19.618
3.6190	1.3846	.6227	-0.7619	-0.020	.9020	4.669
3.5176	1.5613	.5201	-0.5176	-0.020	.9020	61.093
3.5000	1.6667	.7586	-0.9067	-0.020	.9020	0.000
3.4613	1.7710	.7636	-0.0074	-0.020	.9020	18.745
3.6667	1.5897	.9230	-0.6607	-0.020	.9020	0.000
3.3231	1.7949	.8000	-0.9949	-0.020	.9020	29.05
3.7143	1.4616	.7661	-0.6955	-0.020	.9020	0.000
	1.0513	.3370	-0.6843	-0.020	.9020	2.200
	1.0536					61.079

କାନ୍ତିର ମହାନ୍ତିର ପାଦରୁଷ ଅଧ୍ୟାତ୍ମା ।

TABLE IX

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF PRETEST AND POSTTEST
CONTROL GROUP RESPONSES
ON QUESTIONNAIRE TWO

Item	Pretest	Posttest	M _{ans} Difference	MS _t df=76	MS _{bg} df=1	MS _{wg} df=75	F ratio	p
1	3.0001	3.0270	- .0269	.1972	.0140	.1996	.070	.7877
2	3.1999	3.3243	- .1244	.4316	.2971	.4334	.685	.5346
3	3.1751	2.8108	- .3643	.4737	.25493	.4460	.5.76	.0183
4	3.2006	2.6757	- .5249	.5.2041	.5.2041	.5.2041	16.170	.003
5	3.6748	2.7568	- .9180	.9113	.7145	.6.2656	22.683	.001
6	3.6252	3.6541	- .0289	.7044	.6.2656	.6.2656	9.912	.0027
7	3.3004	3.1622	- .1302	.7078	.3652	.7724	.5.3	.5168
8	3.5757	3.0541	- .5216	.5.2163	.5.2163	.5.2163	10.396	.0023
9	3.6506	3.2973	- .3533	.8319	.2.3910	.8111	2.948	.0563
10	3.3501	3.1622	- .1879	.6918	.6.732	.6.950	.916	.6226
11	3.2498	2.9459	- .3039	.3038	.1.7769	.4.652	4.815	.0256
12	3.5507	3.0011	- .4696	.7.05	.4.2264	.1.021	6.020	.0157
13	3.2001	3.2973	- .0972	1.001	.1.820	.1.051	.179	.6767
14	3.4253	2.9730	- .4523	.4229	.3.9274	.3.9833	10.266	.0024
15	3.1758	3.2703	- .0945	.5477	.1.745	.5.476	.319	.5810
16	3.2006	3.3514	- .1508	.7273	.4.403	.7311	.662	.5537
17	3.2505	3.0541	- .1964	.4954	.7.380	.4.719	1.564	.2125
18	3.7009	3.0000	- .7009	.9713	9.4132	.8507	10.988	.0018
19	3.4251	3.165	- .0614	.9091	.9.202	.9.202	.079	.7762
20	3.3505	3.2162	- .1343	.5352	.3.460	.5.783	.595	.5506
21	3.5001	3.3784	- .1217	.1.3218	.2.813	.1.4427	.212	.6516
22	3.3750	3.1622	- .2128	1.0034	.8.07	.1.0720	.812	.6263
23	3.1753	3.1081	- .0672	.0033	.0.060	.0.060	.105	.7455
24	3.2506	3.2703	- .0197	.8254	.0.079	.8373	.0.099	.9198
25	3.5249	3.1622	- .3627	.9012	2.5304	.9200	2.750	.0975
26	3.2253	2.9459	- .2794	1.2416	1.4567	1.2382	1.209	.2475
27	3.4999	3.3784	- .1215	.7235	.2.813	.7294	.390	.5414
28	3.3750	3.4865	- .1115	.9080	2.3039	.9149	.261	.6170
29	3.3506	3.1081	- .2425	.1.2416	.3.822	.2.942	.0866	.0024
30	3.6252	2.9459	- .6793	.9754	8.8650	.8702	10.185	

MS_t = total mean squareMS_{bg} = between groups mean squareMS_{wg} = within groups mean square

TABLE X
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF PRETEST RESPONSES FOR
THE EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS ON
QUESTIONNAIRE TWO

Item	Experimental	Pretest Means		1 st df=81	1 st df=81	1 st df=80	1 st df=80	F-ratio	P
		Control	Difference						
1	3.5000	3.0001	.0001	.0494	0	.0500	0	1.0000	
2	3.4324	3.1999	.2325	.6600	.3050	.6601	1.977	.1620	
3	3.4286	3.1751	.2535	.5355	1.3173	.5258	2.506	.1134	
4	3.4040	3.2085	.1952	.3627	.8590	.3565	2.410	.1206	
5	3.4524	3.6748	-.2224	.0641	1.0154	.0647	.954	.6669	
6	3.6190	3.6252	.0062	.6331	.6410	.6410	.001	.9719	
7	3.5273	3.3004	.2274	.5420	.0262	.5360	1.915	.1668	
8	3.6190	3.6190	.0000	.7126	.0308	.7210	.055	.8100	
9	3.7143	3.2281	.4862	.7624	.0847	.7709	.110	.7404	
10	3.5952	3.2498	.3454	.6787	.2566	.6840	.375	.5491	
11	3.5219	3.5507	.0288	2.4419	2.7065	5.485	.0204		
12	3.5476	3.2001	.3475	.5397	.1.5252	.5238	.0721		
13	3.4048	3.4223	.0195	.4757	1.0788	.441	.5156		
14	3.4048	3.7957	.3789	.4185	.0034	.4237	.020	.8334	
15	3.1758	3.2714	.1016	.9196	.6099	7.6414	.8356	9.145	
16	3.2714	3.2056	-.1658	.3269	.0137	.0042	.037	.037	
17	3.4148	3.2505	.1643	.6162	.4907	.3202	.593	.5945	
18	3.5010	3.7009	.1981	.9565	.6708	.9601	.699	.3.394	
19	3.3571	3.4261	.0690	.0557	.0943	.0677	.038	.7636	
20	3.5547	3.3505	.2042	.6951	.0001	.0001	.038	.2.860	
21	3.4857	3.5001	.0191	.1.3056	2.6132	1.2893	1.153	.2.027	
22	3.4228	3.3750	.0478	.9076	.0181	.9187	.020	.1548	
23	3.7143	3.1753	.5390	.5963	5.7504	.5963	.835		
24	3.7619	3.2506	.5113	.0161	.0109	.0060	.5.569		
25	3.5714	3.5249	.0465	.1.1663	.0442	.1.1783	.036	.8412	
26	3.5000	3.2223	.2777	.7287	1.5194	.781	2.157	.1421	
27	3.5705	3.4999	.0706	.7373	1.7433	.7319	1.003	.3.395	
28	3.5867	3.3750	.2117	.7957	1.7429	.7839	.2.223	.1360	
29	3.3333	3.3066	.0733	.3511	.0057	.3554	.016	.8951	
30	3.5743	3.0891	.4866	.1633	.8443	.866	.187	.6706	

MS_{t} = total mean square
 MS_{bg} = between groups mean square
 MS_{wg} = within groups mean square

TABLE XI
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF POSTTEST RESPONSES FOR
THE EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS ON
QUESTIONNAIRE TWO

Item	Experimental Control	Posttest Means Difference	t ^t		F ^f		P
			df=75	df=1	df=1	df=74	
1	4.8718	3.0270	1.8448	1.2260	64.6154	.3694	.174.943
2	4.7949	3.3243	1.4706	.8470	41.0592	.3036	.0030
3	4.4615	2.8108	1.6507	1.1347	51.7373	135.237	.0000
4	4.5641	2.6757	1.8804	1.3521	67.7100	.4509	.0000
5	4.703	2.7568	1.6555	1.3521	51.9112	.4554	.0000
6	4.6154	3.0541	1.5613	1.1121	46.2852	.5017	.0000
7	4.4103	3.1622	1.2481	1.3339	29.5705	.9522	.0000
8	4.3520	3.0541	1.3069	.9760	32.3311	.5522	.0000
9	4.4359	3.2973	1.1306	1.0125	24.6147	.6935	.0000
10	4.6667	3.1622	1.5045	1.2356	42.9774	.35493	.0000
11	4.3590	2.9559	1.4131	.0237	37.9101	.6715	.63.999
12	4.6667	3.0811	1.5056	.4021	47.7345	.522	.72.179
13	4.4615	3.2973	1.1642	1.4554	25.7359	.851	.0000
14	4.6923	2.9730	1.7193	1.0854	56.1272	1.123	.0000
15	4.1026	3.2703	.8323	.0005	13.1524	.3416	.22.829
16	4.0513	3.3014	.6999	.5018	9.3017	.702	.164.292
17	4.6410	3.0541	1.5056	.9558	47.3180	.3631	.0000
18	4.2021	3.0000	1.2821	1.6814	31.2076	.6743	.131.709
19	4.5128	3.0865	1.0263	.8332	20.0000	.6350	.46.283
20	4.3046	3.2162	1.1664	.9223	25.9200	.31.498	.0000
21	4.3077	3.3704	.9293	1.1121	61.6449	.42.155	.0000
22	4.5297	3.1622	1.4275	.0021	16.3975	.9055	.0000
23	4.3577	3.0801	1.1996	.0026	38.6050	.9738	.18.108
24	4.3806	3.22703	1.1143	1.1747	27.3221	.6470	.6620
25	3.0513	3.1622	1.1109	2.7330	23.5772	.0720	.0000
26	4.6667	3.2459	1.7200	2.2334	2.7422	.0720	.0000
27	4.4615	3.3784	1.0831	1.6637	56.2178	.085	.7685
28	4.5897	3.4065	1.1032	.9156	22.2760	.9265	.0000
29	4.7019	3.1081	1.0105	.9960	23.1103	.7119	.35.530
30	4.0513	2.9459	1.1054	1.3156	54.0208	.2693	.32.464
					23.1975	1.0512	.200.614
							.0000

MS_t = total mean square

MS_{bg} = between groups mean square

MS_{wg} = within groups mean square