DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 077 509

JC 730 148

AUTHOR

Trent, James W.; And Cthers

TITLE

The Study of Junior Colleges. Volume IIA, Technical

Appendixes to Volume II, Civerse Dimensions of

Community Colleges: Case Studies of 15

Institutions.

INSTITUTION

California Univ., Los Angeles. Center for the Study

of Evaluation.

SPONS AGENCY

National Center for Educational Statistics (CHEW/CE),

Washington, D.C.

PUB DATE

Dec 72

CONTPACT

OEC-0-70-4795

NOTE

383p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$13.16

DESCRIPTORS

Administrator Characteristics; *Case Studies; *Community Colleges; Counselor Characteristics;

*Junior Colleges; Student Characteristics; *Surveys; *Tables (Data); Teacher Characteristics: Technical

Reports

ABSTRACT

Volume IIA of The Study of Junior Colleges contains the technical appendixes to Volume II. The appendixes contain: (A) tables to Chapter 3 (Institutional Profiles) and the institutional profiles; (B) interview schedules for key administrators and tables to Chapter 4 (Administrative Profiles); (C) student marginal data and tables to Chapter 5 (Student Profiles); (D) faculty marginal data and tables to Chapter 6 (Faculty Profiles); (E) counselor marginal data and table to Chapter 7 (Counselor Profiles); (F) tables to Chapter 8 (Staff and Students: Comparative Perspectives); and (G) form letters sent to survey subjects. (For related documents, see JC 730 146-147, 149.) (KM)



US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDULATION
THS DOC MENT HAN BEEN REPHO
DICED EXACTLY RECEVED FROM
THE PERFONDER OF SANIZATION OR GINAT AND THOM TO NOT SATISTED ACTION E FINANCY REPARE
SENT FOR ACTION E FINANCY REPARE
SENT FOR ACTION E FINANCY REPARE
SENT FOR ACTION ON DIVINOUS TO SENTE FOR ACTION OF SANIZATION OF CO

THE STUDY OF JUNIOR COLLEGES

Contract No. OEC-0-70-4795

VOLUME IIA: TECHNICAL APPENDIXES TO VOLUME II DIVERSE DIMENSIONS OF COMMUNITY COLLEGES CASE STUDIES OF 15 INSTITUTIONS

Ву James W. Trent, Principal In estigator Barbara Dorf Ronald Hart Felice Karman Roberta Malmgren

> with Glenn F. Nyre

December 1972

CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EVALUATION Graduate School of Education Los Angeles, California

Educational Research and Development

UNIVERSITY OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES

JUL 13 1973

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR JUNIOR COLLEGE **INFORMATION**

Department of Health, Education, and Welfare U.S. Office of Education National Center for Educational Statistics

FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Preface

The present volume is part of the second of three reporting on The Study of Junior Colleges undertaken in conjunction with the UCLA Center for the Study of Evaluation for the U.S. Office of Education. The project was initiated under the auspices of the Office of Education's National Center for Educational Statistics. It was designed to help close the gap that exists between data needs of policy-makers and available bodies of statistics on junior colleges. The primary purposes of the project were: (1) to ascertain major problems and needs articulated by leaders in the junior college, (2) to determine the availability and quality of data existing in the central records of junior colleges, (3) to identify other important descriptions that can only be obtained directly from students and staff, (4) to assist the Office of Education in determining what criteria should be used to measure and analyze the special needs and performances of junior colleges, and (5) to serve as a first step in the development of a national data bank on junior colleges.

The purpose of the data bank will be twofold: (1) to supply the information needed by administrators, educators, and researchers who are concerned with the evaluation and nature development of the community junior college; (2) to provide data for the various federal, regional, and state agencies which are concerned with the problems of policy formation and program development in the junior colleges.

In order to meet its objectives, the project included the following activities:

- (1) Interviews with leaders and experts in the junior college field to obtain their assessment of the objectives, problems, needs, and processes important to the continued development of the junior college and to obtain their perceptions of the quantitative information needed to clarify and assist in dealing with these issues.
- (2) An analytical review of the literature on junior colleges to determine further the issues and variables relevant to the development and evaluation of junior colleges.
- (3) In-depth case studies of 15 different types of junior colleges to assess the dynamics of junior colleges and to determine those variables important to the understanding of these dynamics.

- (4) The development, pretesting, and justification of a prototypic Junior College Supplement to the Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS) system.
- (5) The development of a series of measurements and items contained in comprehensive prototypic survey instruments for use of future evaluation research on junior colleges.

Volume I contains the analytic review of the literature on junior colleges. Volume II contains the results of the case studies and concomitant surveys, and the administrative interviews; tables and other appendix materials related to Volume II are bound separately in the present Volume IIA: Technical Appendixes. The measurements and instrumentation derived from the project for future evaluation surveys comprise Volume III. The HEGIS Junior College Supplement has been submitted to the Office of Education separately.

The following staff members at UCLA were on the Advisory Committee for The Study of Junior Colleges and contributed to the initial implementation of the project: Arthur M. Cohen, Associate Professor of Higher Education; Principal Investigator and Director, ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges; Richard D. Howe, Assistant Executive Director, League for Innovation in the Community College; Director, UCLA Junior College Leadership Program; and C. Robert Pace, Professor of Higher Education; Director, Higher Education Evaluation Program, Center for the Study of Evaluation.

Dr. John Lombardi of UCLA's ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges graciously contributed to the development of the project's interview schedule for administrators. He also chaired the "Santa Fe Revisited" conference which was sponsored by the project to obtain inputs from major leaders of the junior college movement who originally presented their ideas in a series of discussions at Santa Fe College under the coordination of Joseph Fordyce. The participants of this conference are also gratefully acknowledged.

William Keim, former Assistant Superintendent of Community Services, Cerritos College, and current Chairman of the Community Services Committee of the American Association of Junior Colleges, helped in the preparation of instrument items relating to community services. Jane Matson, Professor of Guidance and Counseling, California State University, Los Angeles, assisted The Study of Junior Colleges staff in the development of the counselor questionnaire as well as with the selection of case-study sites. In addition,

two project staff members visited the National Laboratory for Higher Education to discuss matters of sampling and survey techniques and selection of case-study schools with various NLHE staff, and in particular with John Roueche, who was at that time Director of the Junior and Community College Division.

A number of other agencies were likewise consulted, such as the ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges, UCLA, whose files were used extensively in preparing the literature review (a major determinant of items included in the survey forms) and the UCLA Survey Research Center which offered suggestions regarding sampling techniques, questionnaire construction, and survey procedures.

A number of experts in the field were most helpful in their review of the HEGIS supplement. These included Dorothy Knoell, Dennis J. Jones, Charles R. Walker, William Morsch, and Edmund Gleazer.

Outstanding supporting staff members included Barbara Vizents, Jan Newmark, Lenois Stovall, Vera Lawley, Janet Katano, Irene Chow, and, most particularly, Lenore Korchek. Jane C. Beer was most helpful in preparing the project's volumes for publication. Winston Doby and Robert Collins graciously assisted with the site visits. Richard Seligman, Associate Director of the UCLA Center for the Study of Evaluation, was most helpful in directing the Center's resources towards the successful completion of the project.

The extensive project could not have been completed without the exceptional talent and commitment of the research staff. These included Patrick Breslin, Barbara Dorf, Robert Fitch (who initiated the early coordination of the project), Ronald Hart, Janet Hoel, Roberta Malmgren, Ann Morey, and Clare Rose. Clarence Bradford and Ricardo Klorman were indispensable in their overseeing the data analyses. Ernest Scalberg was equally indispensable in his direction of the sub-project focussed on the development and pretesting of the HEGIS supplement. Above all, appreciation is extended to Michael Gaffney and Felice Karman who directed the project during its inevitably difficult and complex stages.

James W. Trent Principal Investigator



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface		iii
APPENDIX A:	TABLES TO CHAPTER 3 AND INSTITUTIONAL PROFILES	1
Tables	to Chapter 3	3
	Description of Institutions' Establishment	5
3-2	State Supervisory Agencies	7
3-3		9
3-4	Institutions' Budgets, Sources of Income, and Expenditures Per Student	12
3-5	Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios	15
3-6	Evaluation of Faculty	17
3-7	Sources of Students	19
	Enrollment Status	21
3-9	Program Emphasis and Enrollment	23
3-10	Students' Academic Aptitude and High School Performance	25
3-11	Ethnic Composition of the Student Bodies and Communities (In Percent)	27
3-12	Graduates and Withdrawals	29
	Student Financial Aid	31
3-14	New Majors Added In the Past Five Years	36
3-15	Programs and Aid for Disadvantaged Students	38
3-16	Community Characteristics I	42
3-17	Community Characteristics II	44
3-18	Community Services I	46
3-19	Community Services II	54
3-20	Institutional Research .	60
Institu	tional Profiles	67
Apple	ton	69
Carte	r	73
Foste		78
Kinse		82
Langs		86
Lowel		90
Manni		95
Meade		101
Newso		105
	rston	109
Quant	0	113
Shaw		117
Shew		121
Waide	n e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	126
Ward		131

APPENDIX B:		
	CHAPTER 4	135
	iew Schedules	137
	or College President	137
	of Instruction	142
	of Vocational Education	145
	of Pupil Personnel Services	149
Fisca	al Officer	152
Tables	to Chapter 4	
4-1	Distribution of Type of Educational Goals Stressed by	
	Junior College Presidents	155
4-2	Distribution of Student-Oriented Educational Goals of	
	Junior College Presidents	156
4-3	Distribution of Type of System Function Stressed in Role	
	Definition by Junior College Presidents	157
4-5	Frequency of Administrators' Reference to Faculty-	
	Administration Problems	158
4-6	Frequency of Administrators' Reference to Major	
	Administrative Problems	159
4-7	Assessment of Specific Areas of College-Community	
	Relations as Reported by Junior College Presidents	160
4-8	Major Educational Needs of the Community as Reported by	
	Deans of Instruction and Deans of Vocational Education	161
4-9	Strengths and Weaknesses of Federal Funding Programs as	-01
	Reported by Deans of Instruction, Deans of Vocational	
	Education, and Chief Fiscal Officers	162
APPENDIX C:	STUDENT MARY INAL DATA AND TABLES TO CHAPTER 5	163
Student	t Questionnaire with Marginal Data	165
	on Form	169
Form	A	185
· Form	В	191
Form	C	201
Tables	to Chapter 5	211
5-1	Students' Age	
5-2	Students' Marital Status	213
5-3	Married Students' Number of Children	214
5-4	Students' Religious Affiliation	215
5-5	Students Recigious Affiliation Students Race	216
5-7	Students' Family Income at Age 17	217
5-9	Time Students' Decided to Attend College	219
5-10	Persons with Whom Students Discussed Educational Plans and	221
5 10	Vocational Interests During High School 'Often' or	
	'Very Often'	222
5-11	man of the control of	
	and Vocational Interests During High School	223
5-12	Person with Greatest Influence on Students' College	-
	Attendance	227



5-13	Proportion of Students' High School Friends Attending College	228
5-14	Students' Major Reason for Attending College	229
5-15	Most Important Reason Why Students Chose Their Particular College	230
5-16	Students" Immediate Educational Objectives	231
5-17	Students' Anticipated Transfer Degree .	232
5-18	Number of Semester Units Completed	233
5-19		234
5-20		235
5-21		237
5-22	Proportion of Students who Reported Over 50 Percent Financial	
	Support for Their Education, by Source	238
5-23	Proportion of Students who Reported any Financial Support	
	for Their Education, by Source	239
5-24	The Extent Students Reported Finances to be a Problem to	
	Their Educational Progress	244
5-25	Students' Response as to Whether or Not Financial	- · ·
	Assistance was Available to Them	245
2-26	Students' Current Employment Status	246
	Students' Opinions of the Effect of Work on Their Educational	
	Progress	247
5-28	Proportion of Students Planning to Make Careers of Their	
	Current Occupations	248
5-29		249
5-30	Extent of Students' Agreement That Their Teachers Possess	
	Various Qualities	251
5-31		
	Instructors Outside of Class	264
5-32	Composite of Problems with Which Students Needed, Sought,	
	and Received Help	265
5-33	Problems with Which Students Reported Needing Help	274
	Problems about Which Students Talked to Their Counselors	277
	Problems with Which Students Found Their Counselors Helpful	280
5-36		
	Counselors Fach Term	283
5-37		284
5-38	Students' Perceptions of Their Counselors' Decision-Making	
	Style	285
5-39	Proportion of Students Who Felt Their Tunselors Gave Them	
	Adequate Career and Academic Information	286
5-40	Students' Rating of Their Counselors on Various Personal	
	Traits	287
5-41	Composite of Students Who Considered Their Colleges'	
	Counseling and Assistance Services as "Strong	292
5-42	Students' Perceptions of Strengths and Weaknesses of Their	
	Colleges' Student Personnel Services	294
5-43	Proportion of Students Reporting Attending the College of	
	Their Choice	299



APPENDIX D:	FACULTY MARGINAL DATA AND TABLES TO CHAPTER 6	301
Faculty	y Questionnaire with Marginal Data	303
	to Chapter 6 F Ratios and Extreme Institutional Mean Factor	347
6-2	Scores of Faculty Members' Viewpoints on Social Issues	349
6-3	of Service Prior to Their Current Position	350
	Scores of Faculty Members' Perception of Their College Environments	351
6-4	F Ratios and Extreme Institutional Mean Standard Factor Scores of Faculty Members' Perceptions of What Benefits	331
6-5	Their Students Do and Should Receive F Ratios and Extreme Institutional Mean Standard Factor	3 52
	Scores of Faculty Members' Perceptions of Their Students' Characteristics	353
6-6	Amount of Responsibility the Majority of Faculty Considered Each Constituent Group Should Have for Each Specified	
	Administrative Activity	354
APPENDIX E:	COUNSELOR MARGINAL DATA AND TABLE TO CHAPTER 7	355
Counse	lor Questionnaire with Marginal Data	3 57
Table 1	to Chapter 7	371
	Counselors who Reported Spending Various Proportions of Their Time in Various Counseling Activities	373
APPENDIX F:	TABLES TO CHAPTER 8	375
8-1	Faculty's Perception of Present and Future Educational	
8-2	Priorities (In Percent) Students' Reasons for Their Attendance at Their Particular	3 77
	Colleges (In Percent)	3 78
8-3	Faculty's Pooled Perceptions of Benefits Students do Versus	
8-4	Should Receive Very Much (In Percent) The Three Student Benefits Eliciting the Greatest and Least Differences in the Faculty's Perceptions of What Their	378
8-5	Students Do Versus Should Receive (In Percent) The Faculty's Perceptions of the Most Important Student	379
	Educational Benefits	380
8-6	The Counselors' Perceptions of Major Student Problems	381
8-7	Comparison of Counselor and Student Responses Concerning Length of Average Counseling Appointments (In Percent)	381
8-8	Comparison of Faculty and Student Evaluations of Student	201
0.0	Personnel Programs (In Percent)	382
8-9	Personnel Programs (In Percent) Faculty Perceptions of Current and Ideal Community Benefits: Three highest and Three Lowest Percentage Responses for Each Category	382 383



8-11 Faculty Ratings of Instructor Qualifications (In Percent)	385
APPENDIX G: FORM LETTERS SENT TO SURVEY SUBJECTS	387
Letter to Students from College President	389
Letter to Students from Project Director	390
letter to Faculty from College President	391
Letter to Faculty from Project Director	392
Letter to Counselors from College President	393
Letter to College Staff Members Supervising Follow-Up of	
Student Non-Respondents	304



APPENDIX A

TABLES TO CHAPTER 3
AND
INSTITUTIONAL PROFILES



<u>-3-</u>

TABLES TO CHAPTER 3

TABLE 3-1
DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTIONS' ESTABLISHMENT

Institution by socioeconomic level		st tion of establishment
High		
Meade (W;S)	1964 1966	Meade established. Joined regional association.
Quanto (W;U-S)	1963	Established by state board of community colleges upon the request of the area community colleges.
Ward (W;U-S)	1905 1917 1926 1938 1940 1942	Began as evening classes at local community center. Became a division of major local university. Ward Community Center Institute organized offering high school and technical courses. Liberal arts added. Ward Junior College Day Division established. Ward division of university merged with Ward Junior College.
Middle		
Kinsey (W;R)	1966 1968	Board of trustees elected. Classes started.
Newson (W;R)	1916 1918 1966	Public junior college organized by high school PTA. Opened as City Junior College. City college district formed.
Walden (W;U-S)	1934	Established.
Appleton (M;U-S)	1964 1970	District started with two colleges. Appleton opened as newest district junior college.
Foster (M;U)	1962 1963 1966	City junior college district formed Classes started at Foster. Received full accreditation from regional association.
Langston (M;U-S)	1948 1953 1964	Langston Trade and Technical Institute started by city board of education. Renamed City College. Renamed Langston when junior college discrict formed.



Institution by		P
socioeconomic level		Description of establishment
Shaw (M;U)	1925 1946	Established by state university. Control transferred to separate junior college district.
	1951	
Sherwood (M;R)	1965 1966	Established by state legislature. Classes started.
<u>Low</u>		
Manning (B;U)	1969 1971	bF cricer motion ranc.
Carter (M;S)	1916	Carter Junior College of Agriculture added as a department of Carter Union High School District.
	1922	
	1953	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1960	Moved to new campus constructed by bond from 1957 election.
Lowell (M;U)	1920	Series of conferences by education, city, industry and labor; began with classes
	1927	in power sewing for garment workers. Board of education established present school; first called a trade school
	1949	then a trade institute. Board of education established Lowell as a junior college offering A.A. and A.S.
	1957	degrees. Present site opened.
	1966	Lowell merged its usiness and data processing curriculum with another metro-
	1969	politan college. Lowell became part of city community college district with elected board of trustees.
Palmerston (M;R)	1961 1964	Chartered as result of bond issue in 1960. Designated as a technical institute by state board of education.



TABLE 3-2
STATE SUPERVISORY AGENCIES

Institution by socioeconomic level	Agency	Role of agency
High		
Meade (W;S)	State Department of Education	c minimal (no further information given)
Quanto (W;U-S)	18-member Board of Regional Community Colleges	Construction, finances, personnel policies; non-professional personnel, curriculum; student policies
Ward (W;U-S)	Regional Association Colleges and Secondary Schools	Accreditation
Middle		
Kinsey (W;R)	State Department of education proposed a constitutional amend- men+ to set up a State Board for Higher Education; State Board for Public Junior and Community Colleges & Bureau of Higher Education	Program approval; sets minimum teaching load; minimum tax assessment; appoints community advisory boards; establishes educational planning district and coordinating council
Newson (W;R)	State Department of Public Instruction	Approves curriculum; certification of teach- ers; approves budget; approves state aid; general overail control
Walden (W;U-S)	State Junior College Board	Regulatory; supplies money; reimbursement for courses based on enrollment; approves courses; investigates each state community college based on Standards & Criteria for recognition; approves technical occupational programs
Appleton (M;U-S)	State Community College Board of Governors	Construction; finances; curriculum; admissions; tenure

Institution by		
socioeconomic level	Agency	Role of agency
Foster (M;U)	State Department of Education	No policy decisions madeonly recommendations to the president and community college council
Langston (M;U-S)	State Community College Board of Governors	Construction; finances; curriculum; admissions; tenure
Shaw (M;U)	State Agency for Vocational-Technical Education; State Coordinating Board for Higher Education	Only as stipulated by legislation affecting 2-year colleges
Sherwood (M;R)	5-member State Board of Education; State Junior College Council (Division of Community Junior Colleges under the State Dept. of Education)	Responsible for all post- secondary education; income and construction (with local board); personnel (tenure, qual- ifications); establishes standards and criteria for work taught, approves establishment f public junior college regula- tions; appoints presi- dent; authorizes changes in tuition and fees; approves budget and issuance of certificates
Low		
Manning (B;U)	State Board of Higher Education	Construction; occupation- al curriculum; income; expenditures
Carter (M;S)	State Community College Board of Governors	Construction; finances, curriculum; admissions; tenure
Lowell (M;U)	State Community College Board of Governors	Tenure; construction; occupational curriculum; income and expenditures (with local board)
Palmerston (M;R)	Department of Community Colleges under State Board of Education	Primary authority for decision making on over- all institutional policy; construction; budget; personnel policies, curriculum, admissions (with local board)

ERIC Frovided by ERIC

TABLE 3-3
LOCAL SUPERVISORY AGENCIES

Institution by socioeconomic level	Type of local board	Role of board	Means of appointment	Type of district
High				
Meade (W;S)	Board of Trus- tees of the junior college district	Primary authority over construc- tion, finances, personnel, curri- cula, student policies	from the city and 4 from the	Multi- campus
Quanto (W;U-S)	Quanto Commun- ity College Advisory Board	Student policies (admissions, academic standards, activities, conduct)	Appointed by the governor	Multi- campus
Ward (W;U-S)	21-member Ward Junior College District Board of Trustees	Construction, finances, personnel policies, curricula, student policies	11 are on the board of trustees of a sponsoring agency; 8 are nominated by the trustees for 3-year terms	Single- campus
<u>Middle</u>				
Kinsey (W;R)	7-member Board of Trustees	Personnel poli- cies, admissions, facilities devel- opment	Elected by district voters	Single- campus
Newson (W;R)	11-member Board of Dir- ectors	Construction, finances, personnel policies, student policies, curricula	District election	Single- campus
Walden (W;U-S)	Board of Trus- tees of the junior college district	Construction, finances, personnel policies, student policies, curricula	Appointed by the mayor for 3-year terms	Multi- campus



Institution by socioeconomic level	Type of local board	Role of board	Means of appointment	Type of district
Appleton (M;U-S)	7-member District Board of Trustees	Student policies, personnel policies, construction, curricula, finances	Elected	Multi- campus
Foster (M;U)	6-member Junior College District Board of Trustees	Primary authority over construc- tion, finances, personnel poli- cies, curricula, student policies	Elected	Multi- campus
Langston (M;U-S)	7-member District Board of Trustees	Student policies, personnel policies, curricula, construction, finances	Elected	Multi- campus
Shaw (M;U)	7-member Board of Trustees	Construction, finances, personnel policies, student policies, curricula	Elected for staggered 6-year terms	Multi- campus
Sherwood (M;R)	9-member Sherwood Junior College Board of Trustees	board), expenditures, personnel policies, curricula, student	governor with recommenda- tions by the County Board of Public Instruction	Multi- campus
Low				
Manning (B;U)	Board of Trustees		(Liason not available)	Multi- campus



Institution by socioeconomic level	Type of local board	Role of board	Means of appointment	Type of district
Carter (M;S)	5-member Board of Trustees	Student policies, personnel policies, finances, construction, curricula	district voters for 4-year	Single- campus
Lowell (M;U)	7-member Lowell Community College Board of Trustees	Construction, finances, personnel policies, student policies, curricula	Elected at alternate biennial elections	Multi- campus
Palmerston (M;R)	12-member Board of Trustees	Student policies, expenditures; personnel policies, curricula		



TABLE 3-4

INSTITUTIONS' BUDGETS, SOURCES OF INCOME,
AND EXPENDITURES PER STUTEN''*

Institution by socioeconomic	1967	1971	Source and	i allocation	Percent	Expenditure
level	budget	budget	Source	Allocation	of budget	per student (approximate
High			1			
Meade (W;S)	Not available	\$ 5,770,072 \$14,465,027 junior college district (JCD)		\$ 411,060 4,254,693 4,343,983 3,338,967 979,987 1,136,337	2.8 29.4 30.0 23.1 6.8 7.9	\$ 712
Quanto (W;U-S)	\$601,658	\$1,611,036 \$1,356,652	Federal ^b State Tuition		6.0 77.0 17.0	
			State C Federal sponsored research Tuition Student	54,555 268,488	72.0 4.0 20.0 4.0	\$332
Ward (W;U-S)	\$1,300,000	\$1,390,647	aid Tuition Endowments Gifts Auxiliary		95.4 1.4 .4 2.8	\$800
Middle						
Kinsey (W;R)	\$1,172,535	\$3,189,689	Federal State Local Tuition		4.5 38.0 33.0 25.0	\$925
Newson (W;R)	\$1,361,125		Federal State Local Other		6.0 50.0 15.0 26.0	
			Federal State Local Tuition Other Student	344,270 662,251 63,108	47.2 15.0 29.3 2.8	\$1200
			aid Auxiliary	36,126 72,851	1.6 3.2	

nstitution by socioeconomic	1967	1971		allocation	of	Expenditure per student
level	budget	budget	Source	Allocation	budget	(approximate)
Walden (W;U-S)	Not available	\$6.5 million	Federal State Local		1.0 31.0 68.0	\$790
Appleton (M;J-S)	Not applicable	\$20,770,492 ^b \$24,783,553 ^c (JCD)	Federal State Local Tuition Sponsored research Other	\$ 626,572 ^b 4,881,378 12,546,170 132,805 2,309,808 273,759	3.0 23.5 60.0 .6 11.0 1.3	Not available
Foster (M;U)	\$1,636,425	\$ 4,965,689 \$14,465,027 (JCD)	Federal State Local Tuition	JCD \$ 411,060 4,254,693 4,343,983 3,338,967	2.8 29.4 30.0 23.1	\$712
			Other Auxiliary	979,987 1,136,337	6.8 7.9	
Langston (M;U-S)	\$3,577,520	\$5,769,450	Federal State Local		5.0 25.0 70.0	\$480
Shaw (M;U)	\$4.8 million	\$9,591,291	Federal State Local Tuition Other	\$ 197,056 5,382,000 1,322,522 1,868,787 820,926	2.1 56.1 13.7 19.5 8.5	\$615 ^b \$475 ^c
Sherwood (M;R)	\$2,386,167	\$3,803,093 ^b \$4.9 million ^c	Federal State Local Tuition Other	\$ 295,304 2,590,956 118,661 755,878 42,294	7.8 68.0 3.1 19.9	\$815
<u>_OW</u>						
Manning (B;U)	\$2,299,472 (1968)	\$4,279,810	Federal State Local	\$ 456,711 2,614,456 1,208,643	3.0 65.0 30.0	\$1103
Carter (M;S)	\$3,060,892	\$5,239,490 ^b \$6,235,511 ^c	Federal State Local Tuition Auxiliary	\$ 220,798 1,385,471 3,097,544 14,016 521,661	4.2 26.4 59.0 .3 10.0	\$666 ^b \$792 ^c

Institution by socioeconomic level	1967 budget	1971 budget			Percent of budget	Expenditure per student
Lowell (M;U)		\$10,325,289	Federal State Local Other	reflection	69.0 17.5 5.0 8.5	(approximate)
Palmerston (M;R)	Not available	\$1,121,044 ^b \$1.4 million ^c	Federal State Local Tuition Other	\$ 7,492 ^b 910,286 108,711 53,582 40,973	.7	\$1668 ^b \$2083 ^c

^{*}Budget sources and per student expenditures are based on the 1971 budget.

TABLE 3-5

PROFESSIONAL STAFF AND STUDENT-STAFF RATIOS

Institution by			Student-		Student -	Total
socioeconomic	Instructors		faculty	_	counselor	
<u>leve1</u>	Number	FTE	ratio	Counselors	ratio	enrollment
<u>High</u>					1	
Meade (W;S)	203 ^c 246 ^e		15/1 ^c 30/1 ^e	13	563/1 ^b 632/1 ^c	7,322 ^b 8,100 ^c
	JCD 460 full-time 110 part-time	504 JCD				
Quanto (W;U-S)	74 full-time 8 part-time 99 ^c	77	50/1 ^b 41/1 ^c	7	583/1 ^b 585/1 ^c	4,082 ^b 4,097 ^c
Ward (W;U-S)	46 full-time 3 part-time	47.5	36/1	1	1725/1	1,725
<u>Middle</u>						
Kinsey (W;R)	86	86	41/1 ^b 39/1 ^c	7	492/1 ^c 486/1 ^e	3,448 ^C 3,402 ^e
Newson (W;R)	79 full-time ^b 5 part-time 96 ^c	81.5	23/1 ^b 20/1 ^c	6	250/1 ^C	1,990 ^c
Walden (W;U-S)	266 full-time 5 part-time	253	30/1 ^b 31/1 ^c	8	1025/1 ^b 1020/1 ^c	8,204 ^b 8,165 ^c
Appleton (M;U-S)	82 daily/hourly 100.48 day/eve		64/1	3	1750/1	5,249
Foster (M;U)	JCD 460 full-time 110 part-time	504 JCD	29/1 ^b 39/1 ^c	14	370/1 ^b 490/1 ^c	5,190 ^b 6,911 ^c
Langston (M;U-S)	275		42/1 ^b 43/1 ^c	14	840/1 ^b 855/1 ^c	11,772 ^b 11,975 ^c
Shaw (M;U)	351 full-time ^b 231 part-time 460 ^c	400	43/1 ^b 39/1 ^c	18	593/1 ^b 865/1 ^c	15,582 ^b 19,819 ^c

Institution by			Sand and				
socioeconomic	Instruct	o r s	Student- faculty		Student-	Total	
<u>level</u>	Number	FTE	ratio	Counselors	counselor		
Sherwood (M;R)	154 full-time ^b 17 part-time 220 ^c		28/1 ^b 25/1 ^c	11	368/1 ^b 557/1 ^c	4,054 ^b 6,135 ^c	
Low							
Manning (B;U)	100		39/1	14	350/1	3,879	
Carter (M;S)	128 full-time 149 part-time	184.7 ^b 174.5 ^d	43/1 ^b 45/1 ^d	12	650/1	7,865	
Lowell (M;U)	261 graded day programs 136.3 extended day programs		38/1 ^c 42/1 ^e	14	1189/1 ^c 1088/1 ^e	15,233 ^c 16,646 ^e	
Palmerston (M;k)	32 full-time ^b 6 part-time 35 ^C	36	18/1	2	336/1	672 ^b 635 ^c	



TABLE 3-6
EVALUATION OF FACULTY

Institution by socioeconomic level	Type of evaluation
High	
Meade (W;S)	Formal: in-class experiences, procedures, content, willingness to help, etc.
Quanto (W;U-S)	No systematic evaluation; informal feedback from students.
Ward (W;U-S)	Informal feedback.
Middle	
Kinsey (W;R)	Use of student evaluations when feasible. Faculty hand out questionnaires for each course and talley their own results.
Newson (W;R)	Not written; informal feedback.
Walden (W;U-S)	Student evaluation of courses and instructors each term; committee of students and faculty for instructional evaluation; faculty questionnaire.
Appleton (M;U-S)	Information not available.
Foster (M;U)	Liason not available. Information from junior college district: formal evaluation of in-class experiences, procedures, content, willingness to help, etc.
Langston (M;U-S)	Not mandatory; informal feedback.
Shaw (M;U)	Voluntary option of teacher; not systematic.
Sherwood (M;R)	Three times a year; initiated in 1970-71 as a result of a pilot study on faculty evaluation; evaluation instrument managed by student government association; results in the form of a rating scale for each class section are sent to instructors.
Low	
Manning (B;U)	"Each faculty member shall be evaluated by students in each course"effective Spring, 1971; administered at end of semester, anonymous. To improve teaching, evaluations are discussed with chairman, faculty, and administration.

Institution by	
socioeconomic level	Type of evaluation
Carter (M;S)	One timestudent initiated last semester: Twelve questions on IBM cards were processed for each instructor and administered in each class. Next year the evaluations will be regularized to meet a state senate bill requiring evaluation of non-tenured faculty.
Lowell (M;U)	None.
Palmerston (M;R)	Student ratings; ovservation; individual conferences between director of faculty and teacher. Teaching effectiveness measures are then discussed with other administrators.



TABLE 3-7
SOURCES OF STUDENTS

Institution by socioeconomic	Number of local high	Number of high school gradu-	Proportion of local high school gradu- ates attending		
level	schools	ates 1970-1971	the college	Other sources	Percent
High					
Meade (W;S)	47 Public 49 Private 96 Total	No answer	38	City County Outside JCD Outside state GED graduates Non-graduates Transferees	16.6 49.5 2.5 4.1 3.8 .8 22.7
Quanto (W;U-S)	11	2499 (1969)	29	In JCD Outside JCD Foreign	98.0 1.0 1.0
Ward (W;U-S)	60	approximately 7,000 (1969)	No answer		
<u>Middle</u>					
Kinsey (W;R)	30	4004	No answer	In JCD Outside JCD Outside state Foreign	81.4 17.4 .5
Newson (W;k)	30	10,921	11.4	In JCD Outside JCD	79.9 20.1
				In sta e Outside state Foreign	99.2 .7 .1
Walden (W;U-S)	50	15,000	90		
Appleton (M;U-S)	27	Not available	973 first-time freshmen		
Foste [,] (M;U)	120	35,617	No answer	In JCD Outside JCD Outside state GED graduates Transferees Uncoded	57.9 3.8 10.2 7.4 15.8 4.9



				·	
Institution by socioeconomic level	Number of local high schools	Number of high school gradu-ates 1970-1971	Proportion of local high school gradu-ates attending the college	Other sources	Percent
Langston (M;U-S)	32	Not readily available	Not available from known sources		
Shaw (M;U)	48	12,568	22.4	In JCD Outside JCD Outside state Foreign	76.0 23.0 .86
Sherwood (M;R)	6	1,533	44 ^C 22 ^d	In JCD Outside JCD Outside state Foreign	56.0 35.0 3.0 6.0
Low					
Manning (B;U)	12	3,723 (1969)	25	In JCD Outside JCD Outside state Foreign	85.0 10.0 4.0 1.0
Carter (M;S)	7	a 2,612 from the five high schools in the JCD	42	In JCD Outside JCD Outside state Foreign	87.0 6.0 1.0 5.0
Lowell (M;U)	64	59,500 (1969)	15	In JCD Outside JCD Outside state Foreign	70.7 12.1 8.8 3.2
Palmerston (M;R)	5	1,100 (1969)		In JCD Outside JCD Outside state Foreign	90.0 8.0 1.0



TABLE 3-8
ENROLLMENT STATUS

Institution by socioeconomic level	Full time	Part time	Day	Evening	S pecial	1967 total	1971 total	Percent of increase or decrease 1967-1971
<u>High</u>								
Meade (W;S)	3780	3542	4941	2381	2099	3142	7322 ^b 8100 ^c	133 ^b 158 ^c
Quanto _(W;U-S)	1555	2527	1662	2435	15	2190	4082 ^b 4097 ^c	87 ^b 86 ^c
Ward (W;U-S)	895	830	910	815	Liason not available	2453	1725	-30
<u>Middle</u>								
Kinsey (W;R)	1592	1856	No reco		25 (EOP)	1518 (1968)	3448 ^C 3402 ^e	56
Newson (W;R)	1493	69	1562	428	30	1802	1990	10
₩ælden (W;U-S)	3556	4609	Not ava	ailable	80% in- coming freshmen	8683	8204 ^b 8165 ^c	-5.5 -6.0
Appleton (M;U-S)	2187	3062	3899	1350	4	Not appli- cable	5249	Not available
Foster (M;U)	2518	4393	3879	3032	420	6166	6911	12
Langston (M;U-S)	4010 ^b 4023 ^c	⁷⁷⁶² b 6099 ^c	7626	4348	Not readily available	6622	11,7 ⁷ 2 ^b 11,975 ^c	78
Shaw (M;U)	9337	6245	10,470	9349	2049	11,637 ^b 22,316 ^c	15,582 ^b 19,819 ^c	34 ^b -11 ^c
Sherwood (M;R)	3171 ^b 4825 ^c	883 ^b 1551 ^c	3137	2473	1303	1898	4054 ^b 6135 ^c	114 ^b 223 ^c

Institution by socioeconomic level	Full time	Part time	Day	Evening	Special	1967 total	1971 total	Percent of increase or decrease 1967-1971
Low								
Manning (B;U)			Liason	not avai	lable		3879	Not available
Carter (M;S)	3414	4451	4699 ^c 4932 ^e	3166 ^C 2933 ^e	85 (EOP)	6713	7865	17
Lowell (M;U)	5936	9297	5685	9548	331	3916 (FTE)	15,233	52
Palmerston (M;R)	625 ^b 621 ^c	47 ^b 14 ^c	6 0 5	16	8	3 59	672 ^b 635 ^c	87

TABLE 3-9
PROGRAM EMPHASIS AND ENROLLMENT

Institution by socioeconomic		Number of	Percent of		Percent of	Number cf day	Number of evening
level	Programs	majors	. –	Enrollment			
High Meade (W,S)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit Undecided	4 23	34.0 9.8 56.0	b c 895 300 3055 2922 172 3072	40 5	281 total	25 29 86
Quanto (W,U-S)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	13 4 4	62.0 19.0 19.0	1215 867 2015 15	29.7 21.2 49.1	123 total	122 total
Ward (W;U-S)	Vocational Transfer Non-credit	None Most	Liaso	n not avail	able	98 total	135 total
<u>Middle</u>							
Kinsey (W;R)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	16 3 24 3	28.6 12.9 58.0	851 535 2062	26.0 16.0 58.0	c e 62 76 20 47 119 283	c e 20 15 17 31 48 57
Newson (W;R)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit Undecided	9 5 20	26.5 14.7 58.8	b c 412 363 1150 1276 1147 1304 428		282 total	144 total
Walden (W;U-S)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	c e 11 20 3 8 29 67	c e 25.6 35 7.0 14 67.4 51	1207 6958 2081	14.8 85.0	23 23 179	13 32 97
Appleton (M,U-S)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	14 6 28 Not a	29.0 12.5 58.3 available-	1754 849 2646 -all course	33.0 16.0 50.0 s involve	72 27 156 credit	23 6 29
Foster (M;U)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	21 11 24 Liaso	37.0 19.6 Lia 42.9 on not ava	son not ava	ilable	610 total	212 total

Institution by	1	Number	Percent		Percent	Number	Number of
socioeconomic		of	of		of	of day	evening
level	Programs		. —	Enrollment			
Langston (M;U-S)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	42 9 38	47.0 10.0 43.0 on not avai	overlapping 4,641 1,463 22,557		661 total	350 total
Shaw (M;U)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	24 2 20	52.0 4.3 43.5	b c 169 1880 52 650 1205 9231 65 7000	5.0	72 31 204	62 15 126
Sherwood (M;R)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	13 4 30 75	27.5 8.5 64.0	432 378 3244 2081	10.7 9.0 80.0	159 to*al	99 total
Low Manning (B;U)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	Liason	not availa	th strong vo	ther	30 14 21	11 13 13
Carter (M;S)	Vocational Business Transfer Undecided Non-credit	9 51	34.0 10.0 56.0	d e 1706 2423 955 1171 408 4201 697 3166	d e 45 31 25 15 11 54 19	22 9 28	69 31 55
Lowell (M;U)	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	9 26	65.0 21.0 13.2	11,190 3,746 2,267		152 total	83 12 27
Palmerston (M;R)	Technical Vocational Special technical Non-credit	1	67.6 29.4 2.9	507 160 5 1682	75.4 23.8	114 total	52 21 32 11



TABLE 3-10
STUDENTS' ACADEMIC APTITUDE
AND HIGH SCHOOL PERFORMANCE

		Percentage of		
Institution by	·	students at each		
Institution by socioeconomic level	Mean academic aptitude	high school GPA Quartile		
High		744-0220		
Meade (W;S)	No overall admissions tests	Not available		
Quanto (W;U-S)	CEEB V=430	Lower ½ 10		
()	M=370	Lower ½ 50		
		Upper $\frac{1}{2}$ 20		
		l!pper ¼ 20		
Ward (k';U-S)	CEEB M=450	Lower 1/4 12		
nara (11,0 0)	V=400	Lower ½ 65		
	1	Upper ½ 35		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Upper ¼ 5		
<u> Middle</u>				
Kinsey (W;R)	ACT Composite 18.19	Top 10% 3		
-, (, ,	Math 17.57	25 13		
	English 16.48	30 45		
	Nat. Sci. 19.8	75 77		
	Soc. Sci. 18.47			
Newson (W;R)	ACΓ = 19	Lower ½ 20		
		Lower $\frac{1}{2}$ 32		
		Upper ½ 29		
	<u> </u>	Upper ¼ 19		
Walden (W;U-S)	ACΤ = 17.8	Lower ½ 24.7		
(,,	2,.0	Lower 1/3 31.6		
		Upper $\frac{1}{2}$ 26.3		
		Upper 4 17.4		
Appleton (M;U-S)	Not ava able	Not available		
Foster (M,U-S)	Not available	Not available		
Langston (M;U-S)	None used campus-wide	Not available fro		
	Tione abou campus with	known sources		
Character (Matt)	ACT 16.5			
Shaw (M;U)	ACT = 16.5	Lower 4		
	CEEB = 935.1	Lower ½ 46		
		Upper ½ 42 Upper ¼ 8		

Institution by socioeconomic level	Mean academic aptitude	Percentage of students at each high school GPA Quartile		
Sherwood (M;R)	No testing required	Lower \(\frac{1}{4} & 3 \\		
Low				
Manning (B;U)	ACT = 11 (5th Percentile)	Lower ½ 10 Lower ½ 55 Upper ½ 25 Upper ¼ 15		
Carter (M;S)	SCAT = 35th Percentile	Not available		
Lowell (M;U)	Not available	Not available		
Palmerston (M;R)	General Army Testing Battery = 95 Differential Aptitude Test = 40	Lower \(\frac{1}{4} \) 25 Lower \(\frac{1}{2} \) 32 Upper \(\frac{1}{2} \) 33 Upper \(\frac{1}{4} \) 10		



TABLE 3-11

ETHNIC COMPOSITION OF THE STUDENT BODIES AND COMMUNITIES (IN PERCENT)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Caucasian	Black	Spanish surname	Oriental	American Indian	Other or
	caucastan	DIACK	Surmaine	OTTENCAL	Illulan	not coded
High						
Meade (W;S)						
Students	98	1.5				.5
Community	98	1.5		 		.5
Quanto (W;U-S)						
Students*		ŀ				Not
Community	95.3	2.1	1.9	.7		available
Ward (W;U-S)						
Students	91	7	.5	1.0		• 5
Community	96	7 2	1.0			1.0
l: 111 -						-
<u>Middle</u>				<u> </u>		
Kinsey (W;R)						
Students*						Not
Community	90	10				available
Newson (W;R)						
Students	97	.9	1.0	.1	.02	.08
Community	98	.8	.7	.5		.00
Walden (W.U.C.)						
Walden (W;U-S) Students	90	5.0	4.0	1.0		
Community	88	3.4	3.2	2.0	.2	1.5
Appleton (M;U-S)	ro 00	75 06	7 05			
Students Community*	50.89	35.06	3.85	6.67	1.56	1.97
Community						
Foster (M;U)						
Students	47.5	47.5			ļ	5.0
Community	98.0	1.5				.5
Langston (M;U-S)						
Students	39	41.1	6.5	6.6	1.1	5
Community*						
Shaw (M;U)	e	e c	e c			_
Students	48	11 13	e c 40 56			c 31
Community	55.7	6.6	37.4	.15	.15	
Shammad (M.D)						
Sherwood (M;R) Students	59.1	9.8				51.1
Community	78.5	20.9				•6

Listitution by	1		Spanish		American	Other or
sccioeconomic level	Caucasian	Black	surname	Oriental	Indian	not coded
Low						
Manning (B;U) Students* Community	5	92	3			
Carter (M;S) Students Community	86.3 85.7	1.9 1.7	10.7 11.6	.5	.01	.44
Lowell (M;U) Students Community	33.4 33.4	38.9 38.9	18.5 18.5	5.3 5.3	1.2	2.7
Palmerston (M;R) Students Community	60 60	40 40				

^{*}Information not readily available.



TABLE 3-12
GRADUATES AND WITHDRAWALS

	Achievement	ts of June '7	1 graduates	Percentage o	f withdrawals
Institution by socioeconomic level	Associ at e degrees	Certific a tes	Transfers to 4-year	Current	Past 5 years
High				į.	
Me a de (W;S)	347	47	356 ^b 347 ^c	9.8 ^b 8.9 ^c	Not available
Q ua nto (W;U-S)	148 ^b 297 ^c	0	149	14	15
Ward (W;U-S)	0	10	335	51	47
<u>Middle</u>					
Kinsey (W;R)	0	5	95	None	Not applicable
Newson (W;R)	111	35 ^b 51 ^c	380 ^b 491 ^c	12.2	7.8
W a lden (W;U-S)	137 ^b 221 ^c	200 ^b 74 ^c	378 ^b 1000 ^c	Not a vailable	25
Appleton (M;U-S)	101 ^b 139 ^c	41	79 ^b Not ava il a ble ^C	Not available	Not applicable
Foster (M;U)	337	53 ^b 55 ^c	358 ^b 117 ^c	Not a vail a ble	Not available
Langston (M;U-S)	136 ^b 430 ^c	109 ^b 251 ^c	274	Not available	Not available
Sh a w _(M;U)	0	47	881 ^b 608 ^d	38	Not available
Sherwood (M;R)	222 ^b 323 ^c	83 ^b 30 ^c	355 ^a unknown ^c	2	2
Low					
Manning (B;U)	18	0	69	Not available	Not available

					
	Achievemen	ts of June '7	l graduates	Percentage o	f withdrawals
Institution by socioeconomic level	Associate	i	to 4-year	Current	Past 5 years
Carter (M;S)	534 ^a 620 ^b	0 ^b 718 ^d	462 ^b 447 ^d	18	18
Lowell (M;U)	755	628	2-3%	Not available	17.6
Palmerston (M;R)	64 ^b 70 ^c	30 ^b 49 ^c		Not available	Not available

TABLE 3-13
STUDENT FINANCIAL AID

Institution by	1	Percent of			Proportion	n of student
socioeconomic		institution's	Source ar	nd funds	body rece	
level	Total aid	budget*	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent
High				:		
Meade (W;S)	\$444,238	7.6	Scholar- ships Loans EOG NDSL LEEP Work- study Student employ-	\$ 26,790 9,250 24,056 43,474 17,529 265,518	98 39 185 142 478	15
			ment Nursing loan	47,580 10,041	65 25 ₋	
Quanto (W;U-S)	\$159 ,3 70	11.7 ^b 9.9 ^c	EOG NDSL	\$ 10,455 7,667		Not avail-
ı			Work- study Nursing	51,198	78	ab1e
			loan & scholar- ship Cuban refugees Strength- ening	26,050 1,000	33	
			develop- ing institu- tions Disadvan- taged students program	11,000		
Ward (W;U-S)	\$29,500	2.1	NDSL Work- study	\$25,000 4,500	Not available	Not avail- able
Middle Kinsey (W;R)	\$142,269 ^b	4.5	Grants & scholar-ships Loans Work-study	\$25,485 75,624 36,130	137 230 60	12

Institution by socioeconomic		Percent of institution's	Sayman ar		Proportion	of student
level	Total aid		Source ar Type	Amount	body recei	Ving aid
	rotar are	budget	Туре	Milouitt	Number	Percent
Kinsey (cont'd)	\$150,000 ^C	4.7				
,	\$195,764 ^e	6.0	EOG	\$ 15,130	65	9
			Loans	106,899		
			Work-	,		
			study	56,510	62	1
			State			
			scholar-		1	
			ship	4,060	12	
			Other scholar-	ļ		
			ships	3,800	19	
		:	Fund	3,000	19	•
			award	5,615	42	
			Borgess	-,	1 -	
			Service			
			League	3,750	20	
N e ws on	\$154 500	۲	E/O/G	A 24 000		
(W;R)	\$154,500	6	EOG	\$ 26,000	63	26
(",1()			Work- study	70,000	170	
			NDSL	32,000		
			Nursing	32,000	90	
•			loan	13,000	23	
			Nursing	,,		
			schol-			
		-	arship	11,500	18	
			LEEP	2,000	22	
			Vocation-			
			al re- habilit-			
			ation		50	
			ation		30	
Walden	\$200,174	3	Work-		368 total	4.5
(W;U-S)			study	\$ 29,063	students	,,,,
			State	_	on aid	
			loans	27,200		
			NDEA	516		
			Grants	63,091		
			College service			
			aides	70,134		
Appleton (M;U-S)	\$214,185	1	Loans	ļ	827	16
	İ		Grants			
			Scholar-			
			ships			
Foster	\$143,926 ^b	2.8				
(M;U)	-					
(-,-)	•					



					
Listitution by		Percent of		Proportion	of student
socioeconomic		institution's	Source and funds	body recei	iving aid
level	Total aid	budget	Type Amount	Number	Percent
Foster	\$971,208	3	National		
(cont'd)	(JCD)		Summer		
ì	` ,		Youth		
			Sports \$ 20,500		
			National		
			Science		
			Founda-		
			tion 5,100		
			MDTA 34,815		
			Allied		
			Health 53,843		
			VEA		
			Amend-		
			ment 29,424		
			VEA occu-		
			pational		
			support 257,649 Work-	j	1
			1	j	
			study 364,077		
			NDEA 101,900		
			EOG 37,214		
Langeton	¢21/ 10F	1	 Work-		
Langston	\$214,185	1 (JCD)			
(M;U-S)	(JCD)	(JCD)	study EOG		
			NDSL		
į			Loans Scholar-		•
			•		
			ships Liason not avail-		
			able for further		
			information		
			Intornation		
Shaw	\$693,400	7	Work-		9.4 ^b 7.4 ^c
(M;U)	Ψ023,400	'	study \$420,000	1/65	7.4C
(11,0)			Loans 192,000		
		}	Grants/	totai	
			scholar-		
			ships 81,400		
		<u> </u>	01,400		
Sherwood	\$357,952	8.6 ^b 7.0 ^c	EOG \$ 43,990		
(M; R)	, ,	7.0 ^C	Work		
(-7-7)		''-	study 74,275		
			NDL 117,359		
ì			LEI P 53,639		
			Nursing		
			scholar-		
			ship 16,818		
ļ			Nursing		
			loan 21,871		
		1	Cuban		
ļ			Loan		
			Fund 30,000		
		 	, - 30,000		

Institution by socioeconomic		Percent of			Proportion	n of student
level	Total aid	institution's			body rece	
1CVC1	local alu	buuget	Tyne	Amount	Number	Percent
Low	h					
Manning	\$465,300 ^b	10.9	Scholar-			
(B;U)			ships	\$ 33,000		
			Loans Work-	86,552	141	
			study	307,748	575	
			Nursing			
			loan and			
			scholar- ship	38,000		
			5 .p	30,000		
	\$623,865 ^c	14.6	EOG	\$220,000		
			NDSL	60,895	1	
			NSL LEE P	22,000 4,550		
		1	Nursing	7,330		
			scholar-			
			ship	14,000		
			Work- study	302,420		
		h	Study	302,420		
Carter	\$186,516	3.5 ^b 3.0 ^c	EOG	\$ 27,429	63	8.5
(M;S)		3.0	NDSL Wor k -	29,705	78	
			study	47,622	327	
			Memorial	, .	327	
	:		loan	2,425	25	
			Federal loan	32,635	51	
			LEEP	25,350	46	
			College	,		
			opport-			
			unity grant	15,100	18	
			Scholar-	13,100	10	
			ships	5,200	55	
			Loans	1,050	_ 2	
Lowell	\$487,380	5.0	EOG	\$ 19,000		6.8
(M;U)	•		EO P S	2,000		0.0
	i		EOPS	4.5		
			tutors Work-	43,000		
			study	48,000		
			Federal			
			loan	150,000		
j			NDSL Nursing	41,380		
ļ			loan	4,500	1	

					· 	
Institution by		Percent of			Proportion	n of student
socioeconomic		institution's	Source and	d funds	body rece	
<u>level</u>	Total_aid		Туре	Amount	Number	Percent
Lowell (cont'd)			Department loans Student assistants Scholarships NDL Psychiatric technologist Grants	\$ 3,500 55,500 72,000 38,000 7,500 3,000		
Palmerston (M;R)	\$1 63,3 85	12	EOG NDSL College work- study Vocation- al work- study	\$ 88,093 20,000 32,244 23,048	students total	25

^{*}The institutional budgets are shown in Table 3-4.



TABLE 3-14

NEW MAJORS ADDED IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS

Institution by socioeconomic				
level	Major by Program			
High				
Meade (₩;S)	Vocational: Air traffic control, introduction to supermarket management, aviation technology, electrical-electronic technology, horticulture, legal technology, management and supervisory development.			
	Business: Office occupation, college accounting I & II.			
Quanto (W;U-S)	Vocational: Environmental technology, inhalation therapy, nursing, radiologic technology, early childhood assistant, dental hygiene, data processing, civil technology, electronics technology, fire science, law enforcement, occupational the apy.			
Ward (W;U-S)	None			
<u>Middle</u>				
Finsey (W;R)	School in operation only 3 years - still evolving programs.			
Newson (W;R)	Vocational: Agriculture-business, retail merchandising, agricultural production.			
	Business: 9-month clerical program, 9-month secretarial program.			
Walden (W;U-S)	<u>Vocational</u> : Electronics, X-ray technology, library technology, hotel-motel management, commercial art, horticulture, mechanical technology, vocational music.			
	Business: Data processing.			
	General: General studies program for disadvantaged students, experimental English.			
Appleton (M;U-S)	School only 2 years old - still developing curricula.			
Foster (M;U)	ll career programs (not majors as such). Liason unavailable for further information.			
Langston (M;U-S)	Vocational: Air conditioning technology, aviation, clothing technology, construction, cosmetology, dental assistance, drafting, dry cleaning, electricity, electronics, food and hotel technology, graphic art, home economics, medical assisting, mechanics, machine, photography, shoe rebuilding			

Major by Program
25 - no further information given.
Vocational: Mid-management, biological parks program, counselor-aide program, audio-tutorial, instruction in health-related programs, dental assistance, fire science, cardiovascular technology, recreation leadership, physician assistance.
Vocational: Allied Health, nursing education, veterans affairs department.
General: Communications media institute, Learning Skills Center, education and human services department, urban studies.
Vocational: Work experience, expanded technical fields with business and industry concerns, automotive technology, merchandising, industrial management, industrial engineering, crafts, computational courses, radiologic technology, expansion of supervision curriculum.
Business: Data processing, business education division.
General: correctional science, economic history of the U.S., planetarium, microbiology course expansion, English course for terminal level students.
Vocational: Community initiated curriculum: public works, piping technology; environmental health sciences, computer maintanence, hotel & motel management, travel, inspection technology, numerical controls, graphics, computer technology, operating room technology, plastics and mold making, paramedical "assistant" classes, basic skills, vocational work experience (on-the-job training). Business: General business, data processing.
General: Ethnic minorities (history).
<u>Vocational</u> : Teachers' assistant program, practical nurse education, electrical, data processing, mental health program.



TABLE 3-15

PROGRAMS AND AID FOR DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS

Institution by			
socioeconomic level	Programs	Recruitment	Financial Aid
High			
Meade (W;S)	5 Basic Academic Skills programs but none specifically for the disadvant- aged		EOG, Work-study
Quanto (W;U-S)	32% academically disadvantaged; liason not available for further information	Through community service programs	EOG, work-study, State Disadvantaged Students program (\$52,000)
Ward (W;U-S)	None special 5% academically disadvantaged	None special	Work-study, modest amounts of financial aid plus low tuition meets most needs if applicant can pay half or more
<u>Middle</u>			
Kinsey (W;R)	Basic College Skills; reading skills program	Project "Total Package" recruits from the community through black coun- selors and referrals	EOP
Newson (W;R)	4% academically disadvantaged Pre-career programs; reading improvement programs	In 9 county areas by 5 counselors and admissions officers visiting area high schools	EOP, work-study, vocational rehabil- itation program
Walden (W;U-S)	24% academically disadvantaged General studies	Not much emphasis since school not located in low income part of city; sends counselors to inform the community and high schools of programs, financial aid, athletic programs; tours of campus	EOP, work-study, college service aids

Institution by socioeconomic				
level	Programs	Recruitment	Financial Aid	
Appleton (M;U-S)	49% academically disadvantaged; liason not available for further information	Student recruitment teams provide services to local high schools and to potential students in the community at large; assist in completing admissions forms; give information about programs and services; help solve problems related to orientation to college.	No answer	
		Recruitment also by disadvantaged students themselves who gain work experience as recruiters, counselor aides, tutors, teacher aides, etc.		
Foster (M;U)	Not available	Not available	Manpower Development Training Act (\$34,815) Vocational Education Act Amendment (\$29,424) Vocational Education Act Occupational Program Support (\$257,649) EOG (\$37,214)	
Langston (M;U-S)	Not available ^C quickly Ethnic studies; experimental college; work-in- centive program; college readiness program which in- volves preparatory courses and tutor- ial programs for those disadvantaged people wishing to enter	Store front activities; recruitment for college readiness program by means of counselors visiting and speaking to junior and senior high school students	Not available ^C quickly EOG, work-study	

Institution by			
socioeconomic level	Programs	Recruitment	Financial Aid
Shaw (M;U)	Guided Studies Program (remedial); compensatory basic studies for those with low ACT scores		EOG, work-study, State Opportunity Plan, all federal student financial aid programs
Sherwood (M;R)	12% academically disadvantaged Transfer freshmen are required to take core basic general education; college parallel and adult general education; Manpower Development and Training Act program; vocational exploration project; continuing education	Outward Bound (re- cruitment from local high schools); input from Vocational Re- habilitation Service	Bound offers finan-
Low			
Manning (B;U)	86% academically disadvantaged Learning Skills Center; Neighborhood Youth Corps Prep Academy (unemployed youth employability training); Upward Bound; USOE Student Special Services; basic English; general educational development program; Project Impact; college learning resource center	Recruits parolees, discharged servicemen; through speakers, films, public relations, mailings, external newsletter; high school dropout program recruits 100 high school dropouts for workstudy	Special services to support minority students in college; Neighborhood Youth Corps (\$75,000); Parolee Assistance Program provides funds; work-study; EOG
Carter (M;S)	Actuation center; skills center; Man- power Development Training Program; Vocational Education Act program for the handicapped; basic pre-vocational educ- ational and tech- nical training pro- gram; English as a Second Language	Counselors go to local high schools to discuss admissions procedures, programs, financial aid, etc.; screening of high school students for placement in occupationally oriented programs	Vocational Education Act (\$1,377) Actuation Center (\$75,000) EOG (\$27,429) Work-study (\$47,622)

ERIC THE PROVIDED BY ERIC

	 		
Institution by socioeconomic level	Programs	Recruitment	Financial Aid
Lowell (M;U)	College basic skills (remedial for business and transfer students); assistance classes (remedial for vocational students); innovative occupational programs developed at assistant level to improve skills; English as a Second Language; tutoring; multicultural studies; Learning Center	Occupational Advisement (Mobile Advisement Center van goes into community); community agents; tours of campus; mailings to acquaint community with facilities and educational opportunities; counselors visit local high schools; California Vocational Association Fair	EOG; EOPS; Workstudy; grants for the disadvantaged; EOPS tutors receive pay
Palmerston (M;R)	50% academically disadvantaged 7 developmental education programs; community advisory board for the educationally disadvantaged (which develops and evaluates programs)	On-campus tours; orientation pro- grams; recruiters and counselors sent directly into com- munity	EOG; Work-study; Vocational Rehabil- itation Act; \$14,000 in special fund for the disadvantaged; Vocational Education Act for the disad- vantaged

TABLE 3-16

COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS I*

Inctitution bi	T M - 1:			
Institution by socioeconomic level	Median educational level (grade)	Median annual income per family	Proportion of white/blue collar workers	Percent of population of
High	(8-3-2)		corrar workers	college age (18-23
Meade _(W;S)	12th grade	\$11,950	Not available	Not available
Quanto _(W;U-S)	11.2	\$10,100	45/55	12.8
Wa rd (W;U-S)	High school graduate	\$15,000	60/40	Not available
<u>Middle</u>				
Kinsey (W;R)	12.3	\$9,852	Not available	17
Newson (W;R)	Not available	\$9,478	Not available	5.21
Wal de n (W;U-S)	10th grade	\$9,750	40/60	12
Appleton (M;U-S)	12.3	\$7,500	Not available	16.4
Foster (M;U)		Not available	Not available	10.3
Langston (M;U-S)		Not available	Not available	Not available
Shaw (M;U)	11.6	\$6,346	Not available	7
Sherwood (M;R)		Not available	Not available	31
.ow				
		Not available	Not available	Not available
44		Not !	Not available	12.35

Institution by socioeconomic level		income per	Proportion of white/blue collar workers	Percent of population of college age (18-23)
Lowell (M;U)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
Palmerston (M;R)	9.1	Not available	35/65	4

^{*}See Table 3-11 for the ethnic composition of the communities.



TABLE 3-17
COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS II

Institution by socioeconomic				
level Economy		Size	Type and number of local colleges	
High				
Meade (W;S)	\$3,500,000,000 valuation (JCD)	550 sq. miles 500,000 pop. (city) 1.5 million population (JCD)	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	7 None Not available
Quanto (W;U-S)	\$1,020,809,000 valuation (JCD)	1,512 sq. mi. (county) 637,969 population	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	6 3 0
Ward (W;U-S)	\$1,020,809,000 valuation (JCD)	1,512 sq. mi. (county) 637,969 population	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	10 2 2
Middle_				
Kinsey (W;R)	\$962,000,000 valuation	200,000 pop. (county) 100,000 pop. (city)	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	3 0 0
Newson (W;R)	\$442,621,000 valuation	4000 sq. miles 730,000 pop. (city)	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	1 1 3
Walden (W;U-S)	\$2 billion valuation	75 sq. miles (city) 850,000 population	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	25 2 2
Appleton (M;U-S)	\$1.7 billion valuation	Not available	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	4 3 10

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Institution by socioeconomic level	Economy	Size	Type and num local colleg	
Foster (M;U)	\$4.5 billion valuation (JCD) \$2.1 billion (city)	4600 sq. miles 2.4 million population	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	15 2 Not available
Langston (M;U-S)	Liason not available	Liason not available	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	5 4 0
Shaw (M;U)	\$445,000,000 valuation	1266 sq. miles 119,389 population	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	l Not available Not available
Sherwood (M;R)	\$670,660,000 valuation	1247 sq. mi. (county) 830,460 population	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	6 0 8
Low				
Manning (B;U)	Liason not available	12 sq. miles 530,095 population	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	1 6 2
Carter (M;S)	\$620,614,970 valuation	310 sq. miles 242,000 population	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	None None
Lowell (M;U)	\$11 billion valuation (JCD)	882 sq. mi. (district) 4,174,300 population	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	6 2 22
Palmerston (M;R)	\$216,992,000 valuation	656 sq. miles 73,900 population	4-year Other junior colleges Private technical	1 3 0

TABLE 3-18

COMMUNITY SERVICES I

		Proportion of		
Institution by		institutional		
socioeconomic	community	allocated to s		
<u>level</u>	services	Funds	Percent	Community services
High				
Meade (W;S)	Director of community services	\$102,558	1.8	Courses for small businessmen in organization and administra- tion; wastewater treatment (manpower development division); Kinder Series (Sunday afternoon children's programs); Know Your State lecture series; Meade Community College orchestra; social, recreational, cultural non-transfer level courses
Quanto (W;U-S)	Director of community services	\$200,000 adult education (self- supporting activity); \$52,000 for community services (separate state allot- ment)	3.8	Center for continuing education and community services; intern- ship program of preparation for college (ESL, community problems introductory sociology, cultural industrial and political aspects of the community); cultural and social facilities open to the community (lectures, films, theater, etc.)
Ward (W;U-S)	No director of commun- ity serv- ices	None		Private school - not community oriented, many students not from the community; in response to needs of community and of the Trustees of Ward Junior College, a separate college was formed offering third and fourth year study in engineering technology leading to B.A. and B.S. degrees
<u>Middle</u>				
Kinsey (W;R)	No director of commun- ity serv- ices	None		Not available ^C President attends major community meetings; in-district and out of district counselor workshops are held

Qe

Toront throat throa	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Proportion of		
institution by	Director of	institutional		
socioeconomic	community	allocated to s		
level	services	Funds	Percent	Community services
Newson (W;R)	Director of community relations and information	Not available		Center for conferences and workshops; speakers bureau; educational and cultural programs sponsored both by the college and by the college in cooperation with community agencies; vocational rehabilitation services
Walden (W;U-S)	Director of community services and adult education	No specific allocations; money from local sources as need arises		Consults with local citizen groups working on community development projects and trys to improve the quality of service of those already so engaged; training of volunteer tutors as teacher aides in reading; continuing education; film series; art fairs; meetings with political candidates; recreation activities; music workshop; children's theater presentations; Focus - series of discussions on current events and subjects of social, economic, and educational interest; Forum - series of speakers from foreign countries; rapport with elementary schools, high schools, human relations groups, and outlying district chambers of commerce
Appleton (M;U-S)	Junior college district community services board	\$485,000	2.3	Film series; drug abuse lectures; Appleton College choir and stage band; children's theater; student and faculty speakers bureau; art exhibits; outreach into Asian community: technical assistance and resources for special projects and programs with Chinese Community Council, Human Resources Development, district public schools, and community human relations department for cultural enrichment; housing authority tenant series (managing household budget, etc.); use of school facilities for community organizations; public forum series



Institution by	Director of	Proportion of	h 1 ·	
socioeconomic	community	institutional allocated to s		
level	services	Fund	Percent	Community services
Foster (M;U)	Community relations officer	\$127,987	2.6	Plays, concerts, lectures; art exhibits; speakers bureau; facilities open to clubs and community groups; variety of continuing education courses; liason with social and civic
Langston (M;U-S)	Director of community services	\$284,295 (community services) \$841,954 (adult edu- cation)	4.9	Store front activities; experimental college; Inner City Project Development Center (resources into two disadvantaged communities); community advisory committee which formulates curricula and activities offered at the Center; extended day division (ungraded college classes)
Shaw (M;U)	No director of commun- ity serv- ices	\$77,607 None for adult educa- tion	.8	Liason not available
Sherwood (M;R)	Dean of community education services	\$301,000		Sherwood Vocational Exploration Project (job exploration project concurrent with vocational counseling); learning labs; cultural development activities; two day care centers; on-the-job training of teacher aides in public schools; demonstration of factory manufacture and/or assembly of usable parts; vocational rehabilitation - skill evaluation and instructional programs for disadvantaged male adults (e.g., job entry skills in electronics assembly), institutional management skills for disadvantaged females; summer workshops to explore careers; continuing education to improve skills and for cultural enrichment of the community

				
		Proportion of		
		institutional		
socioeconomic	community	allocated to s		1
level	services	Fund	Percent	Community services
Low				
Manning (B;U)	Vice president of student and commu-	Liason not available		Project Prep - pre-discharge program at two armed services facilities for servicemen's high school diploma
	nity serv- ices and			Community tutorial projects
	continuing education			Drug education
	education			Parolee assistance program
				Political awareness program
				Basic English
				General educational develop- ment program
				Weekend college
				G
				Prison annex program - in two correctional facilities to continue education in prison and to enable transferance and functioning when parolled
				Employability training
				Project Impact (occupational training center) to train unemployed or underemployed residents in five vocational areas
	e e			Upward Bound - to accelerate the education of fifty 9th and 10th grade inner city high school students
				Neighborhood Youth Corps summer project provides work- study experience for 100 disadvantaged high school graduates in a special services program (supportive pay, counseling, employabil- ity training)
				Community Resource Data Center gathers and disseminates information to assist community organizations become more aware of community resources; coordinates research projects to improve services and programs available to community;

ERIC Continue Provided by ERIC

		Proportion of		
Institution by	Director of	institutional '	budget	
socioeconomic	community	allocated to se	ervices*	
level	services	Fund	Percent	Community services
Manning (cont'd)				provides continuous evaluation of community needs
				Cooperative education program - work-study experience for 100 Manning students in a cooperative arrangement with employers in community (pending)
				Inner City Community College National Consortium Project - faculty, student, administra- tive representatives of inner city community colleges throughout the country meet to discuss specific problems (pending)
				Five neighborhood day care centers
				Project "Future Education Now" - model program of effective education for disadvantaged members of community through services provided by Manning College Learning Resources Center (pending)
				Mid management program
				Veteran affairs program
				St. Charles Program (1971) - extension courses given at state training school for boys with an identical program set up at the college to allow for transfer from the school to Manning
				Manning College Credit in Escrow - high school students take college level courses
				Art exhibits - working agreement with city museum to receive educational exhibits for display at the college; cultural program of researching black art
				All students and faculty urged to work in community (e.g., teacher aides in

Institution by	Director of	Proportion of	hudaa*	
socioeconomic	community	institutional allocated to s	budget omvisees	
level	services	Fund	Percent	Community services
Manning (cont'd)				elementary schools, planners and workers on community councils, etc.) Visits by counselors,
				faculty, etc. to public clubs and street gangs to ascertain needs and interests
				Conference of 25 community and social agencies held in order to assess the present services to the community residents and to determine the role the college could play
				Small Business Institute offers business courses geared to small minority enterprises
				Weekly review of community relations projects with outside public relations firm which provides an objective overview of the college and community needs
				Regular contacts with local national media
				Street Academy - counseling, tutorial work, GED review, technical-vocational train- ing for those in the com- munity who wish to complete their education; educational methods adapted to the needs of the community
				Speakers bureau - administrators, faculty, and students speak to community social, religious, educational, and political organizations
				Division of community services and continuing education employes persons indigenous to the community
(M;S)	Dean of community services	\$173,510	İ	Speakers bureau; planetarium; athletics; art exhibits; youth leadership conference;

ERIC Pruli Rext Provided by ERIC

•		Proportion of				
Institution by	Director of	institutional	budget			
sociceconomic	community	allocated to s	ervices*			
level	services	Fund	Percent	Community services		
Carter (cont'd)				Seminar on police and community relations; Chicano culture week; black profiles week; workshops on community development; Carter is represented in all major service groups in the area and participated with active personnel membership in local chambers of commerce; ailied health; power sewing center Periodic check is made of Human Resources Development list of those who need skills training in order to do outreach and plan employability training programs		
				Interrelationships institute - discussions of youth and education, employment, welfare; age and youth dialogue Narcotics Institute - discus- sions of identification, in- vestigation, search and seizure		
				Community services sub-committee of faculty senate (community lectures, pressmedia news stories, etc.)		
Lowell (M;U)	Director of community services	\$125,000	.1.2	Occupational advisement - recruits minority 16-18 year olds for short term non-credit classes offered in 9 trades for exposure and motivation Short term non-credit classes for economically disadvantaged		
				adults who could benefit from training in repair and care of auto and home, good grooming, etc.		
				Recreation - playground opera- tion on evenings and weekends on campus		

Institution by socioeconomic	community	allocated to s		
level	services	Fund	Percent	Community services
Lowell (cont'd)				Mobile Advisement Center counseling truck hits target areas in inner city and offers college counseling Faculty encouraged to go into industrial fields in the
				summer to keep up with trade
Palmerston (M;R)	Director of community services	\$190 ,0 00	1.7	15 including speakers, community pride programs, training of firemen and policemen, education workshops

^{*}The institutional budgets are shown in Table 3-4.



TABLE 3-19
COMMUNITY SERVICES II

Institution by socioeconomic level	Courses offered off campus	Local advisory boards	Special community surveys
High			
Meade (W;S)	None	14 continuing education professionals in the city are committed to interagency articulation and program development	
Quanto (W;U-S)	Art museum studio courses; general studies at region- al high schools	12 advisory boards for occupational education; advisory board for the dis- advantaged; specific program advisory boards	Community surveys for occupational needs, educational needs, needs of the disadvantaged; survey of black community and housing problems; survey of district to set up a branch campus
Ward (W;U-S)	Consortium of Higher Education of 11 area colleges work in cooperative arrangement whereby stu dents take specialized courses off campus (13 course areas) such as civil and industrial engineering, business administration, data processing	a program curriculum and then goes to the community to set up advisory board; advisory boards exist for occupational education and for the disadvantaged; active through community agencies such	
<u>Middle</u>			
Kinsey (W;R)	13 (further information not available)	Advisory council for career education but with no standing set of members from bus- iness and labor	establish a public vocational school in

nstitution by ocioeconomic evel	Courses offered off campus	Local advisory boards	Special community surveys
Kinsey (cont'd)			School works closely with state unemployment office
			Association with Chamber of Commerce Manpower Needs Committee
Newson (W;R)	98 including an electronics course offered in an electronics company	8 for occupational education	Survey to determine occupational curriculum needs; survey of employment needs of the community; survey to gain community feedback on college's responsiveness to community; manpower needs study; comprehensive area manpower planning system (through governor's office)
Walden (W;U-S)	13 including allied health, social service, social psychology, human growth and development, principles of accounting, mechnical technology, hotelmotel management, horticulture	14 for occupational education: one for each technical and occupational curriculum which governs all academic problems and situations pertaining to that curriculum; consist of at least two individuals presently employed in a local industry which employs graduates of the given program; the boards also assure that the demand for graduates of any particular program does in fact exist	For occupational needs, educational needs, and needs of the disadvantaged; survey of socioeconomic characteristics of the community; faculty member in the business department is surveying the business curriculum needs based on the community business situation
Appleton (M;U-S)	18 including courses for nurse's aides;	Established for all occupational programs	Difficult to identify since 5 district colleges contribute but two examples are 1) follow-up of occu- pational progress of

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Institution by socioeconomic level	Courses offered off campus	Local advisory boards	Special community surveys
Appleton (cont'd)		·	graduates; 2) study to identify disadvan- taged people not now being served by the junior college district
Foster (M;U)	38	Each occupational curriculum has an advisory board of community participants	Liason not available
Langston (M;U-S)	37	Community advisory committee is composed of individuals from the community, students, and staff who formulate curricula and activities offered; advisory committee for the extended day division; 19 advisory boards for occupational education	None
Shaw (M;U)	Not available	There is an advisory board for all career and technically oriented programs; advisory board for the needs of the disadvantaged	None currently
Sherwood (M;R)	Vocational: cooking, keypunching, apprentice plumbing, pipe fitting, sewing, ceramics, aviation ground school, photography Business: introduction to business, shorthand General: economics, individual in the changing environment, state history, humanities, math	12 for occupational education; 12 for the needs of the disadvantaged	Surveys of the educational and occupational needs of the community; survey of the needs of the disadvantaged; semi-annual employment service surveys; survey by faculty of needs of blacks in the community; survey with chamber of commerce on socioeconomic characteristics of the community (1969)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Courses offered off campus	Local advisory boards	Special community surveys
Low			
Manning (B;U)	Prison Annex Program (courses offered in prison to bridge gap between prison and the community); Project Prep (general studies for predischarge military men); 60 outposts in the community serving educational and vocational needs of black people; onlocation settings (e.g., abnormal psychology course offered in mental hospital)	tional advisory committee in each occupational area; College Community Advisory Board with community residents, representatives of community groups, and students meet with administrators and faculty to articulate community educational needs, review total technical and occupational offerings of the college, and advise on new requirements and priorities; pre-professional and related curricula advisory board to advise and assist the dean of Careers College; advisory committees with community churches, businesses, and banks involved with school fund raising and recruitment; 8 allied health advisory committees	Community surveys on socioeconomic characteristics, occupational and educational needs, and needs of the disadvantaged; survey of community TV viewing preferences for Manning to acquire television time for educational purposes
Carter (M;S)	19 including dynamics of interpersonal relations, fundamentals of supervising, supervisory management, legal aspects of correction, real estate, teacher assistance, fire science, human relations, applied Spanish, autobody and fender	Community advisory boards for occupational education and needs of the disadvantaged; planned program of placement and coordination conferences with business and community leaders; the instructional program is kept current and the latest information on occu-	survey of local man- power needs in coop- eration with busi- ness, industrial, and community service organizations; survey of those on Human Resources Development list who need skills training for employ-

			
Institution by socioeconomic level	Courses offered off campus	Local advisory boards	Special community surveys
Carter (cont'd)	technology, basic counseling, law for the layman	pational requirements is made available to students; advisory boards with representatives from management and labor advise the college in the organization and operation of its various occupational programs; 1 general community advisory board; 20-23 for vocational education	
Lowell (M;U)	Seminars for those in business and industry; 14 apprenticeship classes	ried on with the advice and assistance of local advisory committees and industry consultants; 54 advisory committees for 7 program areas composed of leaders in their field. They meet periodically with the college administration and faculty to evaluate training programs, approve changes, review past accomplishments and forcast trends affecting training and employment; curricula are kept up to date with the changes occuring in industry, business, and the community	Surveys to study the socioeconomic characteristics of the community, occupational and educational needs, and needs of the disadvantaged In the formulation of new curricula, questionnaires are developed to survey the business or industry so as to determine what the graduates will actually do on the job, where they may expect to find comployment, and future prospects for employment. Project COMSERV was initiated to determine facility requirements for a campus planned and oriented community service; center (1970) A strategy for city survival, synthesis or social disintegration with the Department of City Planning (1970)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Courses offered off campus	Local advisory boards	Special community surveys
Lowell (cont'd)			County Business Patterns (1969)
			Manpower Needs to 1975 sponsored by the State Department of Employment (1969)
			"Estimated City Employment, Unemploy- ment, and Labor Force" (1970)
			Estimated Number of Wage and Salary Workers in Non-Agricultural Establishments By Industry sponsored by the State Department of Industrial Relations (1970)
Palmerston (M;R)	60; some classes are taught in the industrial plants where students are employed	16 local advisory committees in curriculum areas to provide feedback on needs; advisory committees for ocupational education and for the disadvantaged	Surveys on the socio- economic character- istics of the com- munity, occupational and educational needs, and needs of the disadvantaged



TABLE 3-20
INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH

~~~~~		
Institution by socioeconomic level	Director of institutional research	Self-studies/Institutional research
High		
Meade (W;S)	Director of institutional	The extensive list includes:  1) Follow-up of College Parallel and
•	research	Career program studentsthose who completed 1 year and did not return; those who completed 2 years and did not graduate; and a follow-up of 150 graduates.
		2) Research to provide data to individual departments on teaching loads, etc.
		3) In the process of formulating an Institutional Profile. The data will be routinely collected and published and will include all reports for government agencies; community, student, and faculty information; curriculum plans, services, etc.
Quanto (W;U-S)	No director of institutional research	Cost analyses of programs and students; research on transferees and their progress (follow-up).
Ward (W;U-S)	No director of institutional research (research done via registrar)	Very little. Correlation studies on academic performance; study of attrition rates; studies on how best to teach foreign students.
<u>Middle</u>		
Kinsey (W;R)	No director of institutional research (research done via Dean of Instruction)	Follow-up of College Skills Program
Newson (W;R)	No director of institutional research (research done via Dean of Pupil Personnel Services)	No formalized research. Individual departments do their own research. A students' characteristics profile is done through the Student Personnel Office. A vocational program cost analysis is required by the state auditor.

nstitution by ocioeconomic level	Director of institutional research	Self-studies/Institutional research
Walden (W;U-S)	Office of Research and Evaluation	The Office of Research was established to provide basic data on community needs and student characteristics; assists the college in long-range planning (programming, budgeting, etc.); a faculty committee on evaluation is being developed; a newsletter disseminates information and opinion to faculty.
		Follow-up study on experimental English 100; cost-accounting study of departments and programs; study of evening programs; study of transfer programs; evaluation of dean's honors program; follow-up of graduates; evaluation of general studies program; study of the effect of probation policy on students.
		HEGIS; a clearinghouse of information prepares reports on grade distribution, attrition rates, item analysis of exams, etc.; work with ACT to develop a student guidance profile to be used by vocational-technical counselors; surveys of students by doctoral students: social cultural concomitants of achievement; demographic description of students; and psychological correlates of social conditions
		Study on how well students from blue collar homes see the services of college related to goals and aspiration level; survey of black consciousness and militancy of students in classes
Appleton (M;U-S)	Director of institutional research	Research department is only two years old; limited to student profiles and specialized studies with the individual departments but the information is not readily available.
Foster (M;U)	Dean of institutional research	Study on teaching techniques.  Liason not available for further information.



		<del></del>
Institution by socioeconomic level	Director of institutional research	Self-studies/Institutional research
Langston (M;U-S)	Research coordinator	Department new this year.  Liason not available for further information.
Shaw (M;U)	No director of institutional research	Institutional research not formal or systematic a proposal for a research section was turned down.
		The Guided Studies program was evaluated; comparative study of the Reading and Skills Center with its first year of operation; self-study completed in 1964 and the next is projected for 1974.
Sherwood (M;R)	Director of institutional research	Member of the League for Innovation in the community college (with the junior college district); member of Community Junior College Inter-Institutional Research Council which coordinates research efforts.
		Long-range planning; effectiveness of academic programs; effect of the college on the environment and community; project planning and financing; instructional objectives.
		Dissertations: Post-junior college activities in the community of elected student government officers; examination of faculty development programs in the state's junior colleges.
		Inter-institutional research: Study related to identification, placement, and curriculum development for academically unprepared students in the state's junior colleges; follow-up study of students who were freshmen in 1966; an "ERIC" set up to compile research studies in junior colleges in the past 5 years; project to ascertain the degree of student rights, freedoms, and involvement in the junior college; composition writing study as a result of an English composition workshop held by the League for Innovation in the Community College at UCLA in 1968; college preferency report in conjunction with a state-wide twelfth grade testing program with high school seniors;

Institution by socioeconomic level	Director of institutional research	Self-studies/Institutional research
Sherwood (cont'd)		survey of post-secondary occupational education involving faculty and administrators of 11 area vocational schools and 27 junior colleges.
		College research projects: Follow-up study of graduates from 1966-1968; evaluation of Educational Aide Program; Early Childhood Center (preschool programs for children designed and constructed by college students; research on student progress in reading; study of evening students' counseling needs; project to investigate the role of a psychiatric consultant at the college; follow-up study of licensed practical nursing graduates 1959-1969; characteristics study of Fall 1970 students and a comparison with their university counterparts; survey of characteristics and rewarding experiences of the area technical-vocational high school students (training of high school students in vocational programs); study of characteristics of evening students; difficulty analysis of the Common Program (general education) textbooks; graduate follow-up to compile graduate profile; development of evaluation instrument for faculty development.
		College-endorsed programs: Conceptual cost-accounting model for a community junior college; analysis of selected student opinions about transfer problems; comparative analysis of the administrative structure and performance of community junior colleges in the state; comparison of self-concept, self-acceptance, self-ideal, and self-ideal congruence of university and junior college freshmen; comparison of 16 personality factor scores of paraprofessional and counselor education students for personality factors and predictive counselor effectiveness.

Institution by socioeconomic level	Director of institutional research	Self-studies/Institutional research
Low		
Manning (B;U)	Director of institutional research	Study of persistence as related to grades in 1969 (sample of three remedial English courses); attrition as related to placement in various levels of freshman English; geographical survey of the residence patterns of the Fall 1969 students (which led to the development of a weekend program to accommodate time constraints of part-time students); study of the inner-city public school system on the achievement of inner-city students to document the relationships between quality of learning, environment, and student performance (1969); follow-up study of Fall 1969 students who did not return for the spring term.
		Summer 1970 all-college weekend workshops with community representatives, students, faculty, administrators, and clerical staff to exchange ideas and for professional development; facult, development program by USOE Division of Educational Professional Development to develop more effective lines of communication between faculty, administrators, and students (1970); curriculum development and implementation workshop (1971); Project Co-op to train Learning Resources staff in the development and use of new instructional material; Community Resource Data Center; peer counseling training program; day care center; survey of non-credit adult education; evaluation of non-punitive grading system at the college; study of perceived and ideal student influence in campus affairs; follow-up study of June 1970 graduates to evaluate their progress in pursuing their goals; ACT Institutional Self-Study Abstract to determine students' perceptions of pertinent factors pertaining to the total environment of the college including major, vocational choice, self-estimated progress, student reactions to in-

	<del></del>	
Institution by socioeconomic level	Director of institutional research	Self-studies/Institutional research
Carter (M;S)	Institutional research office	Study of enrollment and future trends; descriptive study of FTE and weekly student contact hours, enrollment figures, faculty load; review of student service practices; study of instructional evaluation; investigation of use of and satisfaction with library facilities; survey of student needs; cost-analyses of faculty-student programs; research on temporary problems such as the effect of the present drop policy.
		In the process of summarizing junior college research literature to provide information to institutional offices and student services; research review on work-study program, and faculty evaluation.
		Research review on attitude assessment for ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior College Information; eliciting and screening of research reports for the annual AERA convention; participated in the National Conference Toward Educational Development in the Community Junior College; attendance at the meeting of the California Association for Institutional Research.
Lowell (M;U)	Research and Development officer	Follow-up studies of graduates; research on the contemporariness of curricula; cost-analyses of supplies, programs; comparative study of grades with graduates of a four-year college annually; area residence study by major (by zip code area for academic, business, and vocational majors); follow-up of terminating students; 1970-71 study of students perceptions of college (with ETS).
Palmerston (M;R)	No director of institutional research	Liason not available.



₹4¹-67-

INSTITUTIONAL PROFILES

( ± / 69 -

# INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE

#### APPLETON

### I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 Not applicable Fall 1971 5249
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: Not applicable
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full time 2187 Part time - 3062
  - 2. Day 3899 Evening - 1350
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 4 (no remedial per se)
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees  $101^{b}$ ;  $139^{c}$
  - 2. Certificates 41
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 79^b; not available^c
- E. Grading policy: No F grading
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current Not available Past 5 years Not applicable
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 27
  - 2. Number of high school graudates 1970-71 Not available
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Appleton 973 first time freshmen

#### II. Description of Establishment

1964 District started with two colleges 1970 Appleton opened as newest district junior college

# III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Programs	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Vocational	14	29.0	1754	33
	Business	6	12.5	849	16
	Transfer	28	58.3	2646	50
	Non-credit	Not availab	ole - all cour	ses involve	credit

B. Number of day courses: Vocational 72
Business 27

Transfer 156

Number of evening courses: Vocational 23

Business 6 Transfer 29

C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

Not applicable since the school is only 2 years old and is still developing curricula



IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 82 daily/hourly; 100.48 day/evening

B. Student-faculty ratio: 64/1

C. Number of counselors: 5

D. Student-counselor ratio: 1750/1

E. Student evaluation of faculty: Information not available

### V. Students

A. Ethnic composition of student body (in percent):

Caucasian	50.89
Black	35.06
Spanish	
surname	3.85
Oriental	6.67
American	
Indian	1.56
Other not	
coded	1.97

### B. Financial Aid:

	Percent of institution's	Source an	d funds	Proportion body recei	of student
Total aid	budget	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent
\$214,185	1.0	Loans Grants Scholar- ships		827	16

- C. Students' ability:
  - 1. Mean academic ability scores Not available
  - 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile Not available

# VI. Finances (for junior college district)

A. 1967 budget: Not applicable 1971 budget: \$20,770,492^b \$24,783,553^c

### B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federa1	\$ 626,572	3.0	Not available
State	4,881,378	23.5	
Local	12,546,170	60.0	
Tuition	132,805	.6	
Sponsored			
research	2,309,808	11.0	
Other	273,759	1.3	

### VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: State Community College Board of Governors
- B. Role of state in policy decisions:
  Construction, finances, curriculum, admissions, tenure
- C. Local supervisory board: 7-member district board of trustees; elected
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:

  Student policies, personnel policies (hiring, qualifications, remuneration), construction, curriculum, finances
- E. Type of district: Multi-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level 12.3 years
  - 2. Median annual income per family \$7,500
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) 16.4
- B. Ethnic composition of community: Not available
- C. Economy of community: \$1.7 billion valuation; commercial and industrial
- D. Size: Not available
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 4
  - 2. Other junior colleges 3
  - 3. Private technical institutes 10

# IX. Community Related Activities

- A. There is a junior college district Community Services Board
- B. Proportion of budget allocated to institutional services: \$485,000 (2.3)
- C. Community service activities:

Film series; drug abuse lecture; Appleton College Choir and Stage Band; drama; children's theater; student and faculty speakers bureau; art exhibits; Housing Authority tenant series (managing household budget, etc.); public forum; use of facilities for community organizations; outreach into Asian community: provide technical assistance and resources for special projects and programs with Chinese Community Council, Human Resources Development, district public schools, and the community human relations department for cultural enrichment

D. Courses offered off campus:

18 including in-service training and courses for nurses aides

- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students:
  - 1. Programs Liason not available
  - 2. Recruitment Student recruitment teams provide services to local high schools and to potential students in the community at large; they assist in completing admissions forms, give information about programs



and services, help solve problems related to orientation to college. Recruitment is also done by disadvantaged students themselves who gain work experience as recruiters, counselor aides, tutors, teacher aides, financial assistance counselors, etc.

- 3. Financial aid No answer
- F. Local advisory boards: Established for all occupational programs
- o. Special community surveys:

Difficult to identify since 5 district colleges contribute but two examples are: 1) Follow-up of occupational progress of graduates; 2) Study to identify disadvantaged people not now being served by the junior college district

X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

There is a Director of Institutional Research

The research department is only two years old and is limited to student profiles and specialized studies with the individual departments; the information is not readily accessible.

#### **CARTER**

# I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 6713 Fall 1971 - 7865
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 17
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 3414 Part-time - 4451
  - 2. Day 4699°; 4932° Evening - 3166°; 2933°
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 85
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 534^a; 620^b
  - 2. Certificates 0^b; 718^d
  - 5. Transfers to 4-year college 462^b; 447^d
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current 18
  Past 5 years 18
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 7
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 2,612 from the 5 high schools in the JCD
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Carter 42%
  - 4. Other sources In JCD 87%
    Outside JCD 6
    Outside state 1
    Foreign 5

# II. Description of Establishment

- 1916 Carter Junior College of Agriculture added as a department of Carter Union High School District.
- 1922 Separate Carter Junior College District formed.
- 1953 Evening college and summer session started.
- 1960 Moved to new campus constructed by bond from 1957 election

# III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Program -	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Vocational Business Transfer Undocided Non-credit	31 9 51 54	34 10 56	1706 ^b 2423 ^e 956 1171 408 4201 697 3166	45 ^d 31 ^e 25 15 11 54 19

B. Number of day courses:

Vocational 22

Business 9 Transfer 28

Number of evening courses:

Vocational 69

Business 31 Transfer 55

C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

<u>Vocational</u> - Work experience, expanded technical fields with business and industry concerns, automotive technology, merchandising, industrial management, industrial engineering, crafts, computational courses, radiologic technology, expansion of supervision curriculum

Business - Data processing, business education division

General - Correctional science, economic history of U.S., planetarium, microbiology course expansion, English course for terminal level students

# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 128 full-time, 149 part-time

184.7 (FTE)^b; 174.5 (FTE)^d

B. Student-faculty ratio: 43/1^b; 45/1^d

C. Number of counselors: 12

D. Student-counselor ratio: 650/1

E. Student evaluation of faculty:

One time, student initiated last semester. Twelve questions on IBM cards were processed for each instructor and administered in each class. Next year the evaluations will be regularized to meet a senate bill requiring evaluation of non-tenured faculty

# V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian 86.3 Black 1.9 Spanish

surname 10.7 Oriental .5

American

Indian .01

Other not

coded .44

### B. Financial aid:

m . 1 . 1	Percent of institution's	Source an	d funds	body rece	of student
Total aid	budget	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent
\$186,516	3.5 ^b 3.0 ^c	EOG NDSL Work- study Memorial loan Federal loan LEEP	\$27,429 29,705 47,622 2,425 32,635 25,350	63 78 327 25 51 46	8.3

m	Percent of institution's	Source an	d funds	Proportion body rece	n of student iving aid
Total aid	budget	Type	Amount	Number	Percent
		College Opport- unity Grant Scholar-	\$15,100	18	
•		ships Loans	5,200 1,050	55 2	

# C. Students' ability:

- 1. Mean academic ability scores SCAT 35th Percentile
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile Not available

# VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: \$3,060,892 1971 budget: \$5,239,490 \$6,235,511

B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal State Local Tuition Auxiliary	\$ 220,798 1,385,471 3,097,544 14,016 521,661	4.2 26.4 59.0 .3	\$666 ^b \$792 ^c

# VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: State Community College Board of Governors
- B. Role of state in policy decisions: Construction, finances, curriculum, admissions, tenure
- C. Local supervisory board: 5-member board of trustes; elected by district voters for 4-year terms
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions: Student policies, personnel policies, curriculum, construction, finances
- E. Type of district: Single-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level Not available
  - 2. Median annual income per family Not available
  - 3. I oportion of white/blue collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) 12.35 approximately



B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

45

Caucasian 85.7
Black 1.7
Spanish surname 11.6
Oriental .4
American Indian .2
Other not coded .5

- C. Economy of community: \$620,614,970 valuation
- D. Size: 310 sq. miles 242,000 population
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools None
  - 2. Other junior colleges None
  - 3. Private technical institutes None
- IX. Community Related Activities
  - A. There is a Dean of Community Services
  - B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: \$173,510 (2.78%)
  - C. Community service activities:

Planetarium, athletics, art exhibits, youth leadership conference, speakers bureau, media news stories, community lectures

Workshops on community development, seminar on police and community relations, Chicano culture week, black profiles week

Carter is represented in all major service groups in the area and participates with active personnel membership in local chambers of commerce; Human Resources Development list of those who need skills training checked by Carter periodically in order to do outreach and plan employability training programs; interrelationships institute to discuss youth, education, employment, welfare; narcotics institute discusses identification, investigation, search and seizure; there is a community services sub-committee of the faculty senate

D. Courses offered off campus:

19 including dynamics of interpersonal relations, fundamentals of supervising, supervisory management, legal aspects of correction, real estate, teacher assistance, fire science, human relations, applied Spanish, autobody and fender technology, basic counseling, law for the layman

- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students:
  - 1. Programs Actuation center; skills center; Manpower Development
    Training Program; Vocational Education Act program for
    the handicapped; basic pre-vocational educational and
    technical training program; English as a Second Language
  - 2. Recruitment Counselors go to local high schools to discuss admissions procedures, programs, financial aid, etc.; high school students screened for placement in occupationally oriented programs

3. Financial iad - Vocational Education Act (\$1,377)
Actuation Center (\$75,000)
EOG (\$27,429)
Work-study (\$47,622)

# F. Local advisory boards:

Community advisory boards for occupational education and needs of the disadvantaged, planned program of placement, coordination conferences with business and community leaders; the instructional program is kept current and the latest information on occupational requirements is made available to students; advisory boards with representatives from management and labor who advise the college in the organization and operation of its various programs; 1 general community advisory board; 20-23 for vocational education

# G. Special community surveys:

Survey of socioeconomic characteristics of the community; survey of local manpower needs in cooperation with business, industrial and community service organizations; survey of those on Human Resources Development list who need skills training to gain employment

## X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

There is an Institutional Research Office

Study on enrollment and future trends; descriptive study of FTE and weekly student contact hours, enrollment figures, faculty load; review of student service practices; study of instructional evaluation; investigation of use of and satisfaction with library facilities; survey of student needs; cost-analyses of faculty-student programs; research on temporary problems such as the effect of the present drop policy.

In the process of summarizing junior college research literature to provide information to institutional offices and student services; research review on work-study program, and faculty evaluation.

Research review on attitude assessment for ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior College Information; eliciting and screening of research reports for the annual AERA convention; participated in the National Conference Toward Educational Development in the Community Junior College; attendance at the meeting of the California Association for Institutional Research.



### FOSTER

### I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 6166 Fall 1971 - 6911
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 12
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full time 2518 Part time - 4393
  - 2. Day 3879 Evening - 3032
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 420
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 337
  - 2. Certificates 53^b; 55^c
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 358^b; 117^c
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current Not available Past 5 years Not available
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 120
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 35,617
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Foster No answer
  - 4. Other sources In JCD 57.9%
    Outside JCD 38.0
    Outside state 10.2
    GED graduates 7.4
    Transferees 15.8
    Uncoded 4.9

# II. Description of Establishment

- 1962 City junior college district formed.
- 1963 Classes started at Foster.
- 1966 Received full accreditation from regional association.

# III. Frogram Emphasis and Enrollment

- Α. Number of Percent of Percent of Programs majors curricula Enrollment students Vocational 21 37.0 Business 11 19.6 Liason not available Transfer 24 42.9 | Liason not available Non-credit
- Number of day courses: 610 totalNumber of evening courses: 212 total

C. New major; added in the past 5 years:
11 career programs (not majors as such). Liason not available for further information.

# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

- A. Number of instructors: 174; JCD: 460 full-time, 110 part time, 504 (FTE)
- B. Student-faculty ratio: 29/1^b; 39/1^c
- C. Number of counselors: 14
- D. Student-counselor ratio: 370/1^b; 490/1^c
- E. Student evalua on of faculty:

Liason not available. Information from junior college district: formal evaluation of in-class experiences, procedures, content, willingness to help, comments, etc.

# V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian	47.5
Black	47.5
Spanish	
surname	0.0
Oriental	0.0
American	
Ind⊥an	0.0
Other not	
coded	5.0

#### B. Financial aid:

Financial a	1 <b>a</b> :				
	Percent of institution's	Source a		Proportion of body receivin	
Total aid	budget	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent
\$143,926 ^b	2.8		(JCD)	Not available	
\$971,208 (JCD)	3.0	National Summer Youth Sports National Science	\$ 20,500		
		Founda- tion MDTA Allied Health VEA Amend-	5,100 34,815 53,843		
		ment VEA oc- cupa- tional support Work- study NDFA	29,424 257,649 364,077		
		EOG	101,900 37,214		

- C. Students' ability:
  - 1. Mean academic ability scores Not available
  - 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile Not available

#### VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: \$1,636,425

1971 budget: \$4,965,689; \$14,465,027 (JCD)

B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
F <b>ed</b> eral	\$ 411,060	2.8	\$712
State	4,254,693	29.4	
Local	4,343,983	30.0	
Tuition	3,338,967	23.1	
Other	979,987	6.8	
Auxiliary	1,136,337	7.9	

### VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: State Department of Education
- B. Role of state in policy decisions:

No policy decisions made--only recommendations to the president and community college council

- C. Local supervisory agency: 6-member junior college district board of trustees; elected
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:

  Primary authority over construction, finances, personnel, curricula, student policies
- E. Type of district: Multi-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level Not available
  - 2. Median annual income per family Not available
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) 10.3
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 98.0

Black

1.5

Other not

coded .5

- C. Economy of community: \$4.5 billion valuation (JCD) \$2.1 billion valuation (city)
- D. Size: 4600 sq. miles

2.4 million population

- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 15
  - 2. Other junior colleges 2
  - 3. Private technical institutes Not available

- IX. Community Related Activities
  - A. There is a Community Relations Officer
  - B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: \$127,987 (2.6%)
  - C. Community service activities:

Plays, concerts, lectures, art exhibits, speakers bureau, facilities open to clubs, etc.; variety of continuing education courses; liason with social and civic groups

- D. Courses offered off campus: 38
- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students:
  - 1. Programs Not available
  - 2. Recruitment Not available
  - 3. Financial aid Manpower Development Training Act (\$34,815)

    Vocational Education Act Amendment (\$29,424)

    Vocational Education Act for Occupational Program

    Support (\$257,649)

    EOG (\$37,214)
- F. Local advisory boards:
  Each occupational curriculum has an advisory board of community participants
- G. Special community surveys: Liason not available
- X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

There is a Dean of Institutional Research

Study on teaching techniques. Liason not available for further information.

#### **KINSEY**

## I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1968 1518 Fall 1971 - 3448 3402e
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1968 to Fall 1971: 54
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 1592 Part-time - 1856
  - 2. Day No records kept Evening - No records kept
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 25
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 0
  - 2. Certificates 5
  - 3. Transfers to 4 year college 95
- E. Grading policy: No penalty grading
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current None
  Past 5 years Not applicable
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 30
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 4004
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Kinsey No answer
  - 4. Other sources In JCD 81.4%
    Outside JCD 17.4
    Outside state
    Foreign .6

### II. Description of Establishment

1966 Board of trustees elected 1968 Classes started

# III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Program	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Vocational	16	28.6	851	26
	Business	3	12.9	535	16
	Transfer	24	58.0	2062	58
	Non-credit	3		!	

В.	Number of day courses:	Vocational 62 ^C ; 76 ^e Business _0 ^c ; 47 ^e Tra fer 119 ^c ; 283 ^e
	Number of evening cour	Vocational 20°; 15° Business 17°; 31° Transfer 3°; 57°

C. New majors added in the past 5 years:
School in operation only 3 years and is still evolving programs.

### IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 86

B. Student-faculty ratio: 41/1^b; 39/1^c

C. Number of counselors: 7

D. Student-counselor ratio: 492/1^c; 486/1^e

E. Student evaluation of faculty:

"Use of student evaluations when feasible." Faculty hands out questionnaires for each course and talley their own results.

#### V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent): Not available

#### B. Financial aid:

	Percent of institution's	Source and		Proportion body receiv	
Total aid	budget	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent
\$142,269 ^b	4.5	Grants & scholar-			12
	, 	ships	\$ 25,485	137	
		Loans Work-	75,624	230	
		study	36,130	60	
\$150,000 ^C	4.7				
\$195,764 ^e	6.0	EOG Loans	\$ 15,130 106, <b>8</b> 99	65	9
		Work- study State	56,510	62	
		scholar- ship Other scholar-	4,060	12	
		shirs Fund	3,800	19	
		Award Borgess	5,615	42	
		Service League	3,750	20	

# C. Students' ability:

1. Mean academic ability scores - ACT: Composite 18.19
Math 17.57
English 16.48
Nat. Sci. 19.8

Soc. Sci. 19.8

2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile -

Top 10% 3

Top 25% 13

Top 30% 45

Top 75% 77

#### VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: \$1,172,535 1971 budget: \$3,189,689

B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal	\$ 127,588	4	\$925
State	1,212,082	38	
Local	1,052,597	33	
Tuition	797,422	25	

#### VII. Governance

A. State supervisory agency: State Department of Education proposed constitutional amendment to set up state board for higher education; State Board

for Public Junior and Community Colleges

and Bureau of Higher Education

E. Role of state in policy decisions:

Program approval; sets minimum teaching load, minimum tax assessment; appoints community advisory boards; establishes educational planning district and coordinating council

- C. Local supervisory agency: 7-member board of trustees elected by district voters
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:
  Personnel policies, admissions, facilities development
- E. Type of district: Single campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level 12.3
  - 2. Median annual income per family \$9,852
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) 17%
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 90 Black 10

- C. Economy of community: \$962,000,000 valuation
- D. Size: 200,000 population (county) 100,000 population (city)
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 3
  - 2. Other junior colleges 0
  - 3. Private technical institutes 0

# IX. Community Related Activities

- A. There is no Director of Community Services
- B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: None
- C. Community service activities: Not available; president attends major community meetings; in-district and out-of-district counselor workshors are held
- D. Courses offered off campus: 13 (further information not available)
- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students:
  - 1. Programs basic college skills; reading skills program
  - 2. Recruitment project 'Total Package' recruits from the community through black counselors and referrals
  - 3. Financial aid EOP
- F. Local advisory boards:

Advisory council for career education; no standing set of members from b siness and labor

G. Special community surveys:

Initial surveys to establish a public vocational school in the district; Pharmaceutical research institute sponsored employment research and manpower information service in the district; Kinsey works closely with the state unemployment office; work with chamber of commerce manpower needs committee

X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

No Director of Institutional Research (research done via Dean of Instruction) Follow-up of College Skills Program

### LANGSTON

#### I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 6622 Fall 1971 - 11,772^b 11.975^c
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 78
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 4010b; 4023c Part-time - 7762b; 6099c
  - 2. Day 7626 Evening - 4348
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) Not readily available
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Assocrate degrees 136^b; 430^c
  - 2. Certificates 109^b; 251^c
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 274
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current Not available Past 5 years Not available
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 32
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 Not readily available
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Langston not available from known sources

# II. Description of Establishment

- 1948 Langston Trade and Technical Institute started by city board of education.
- 1953 Renamed City College.
- 1964 Renamed Langston when junior college district formed.

#### III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

- Α. Number of Percent of Percent of Program Enrollment s**tude**nts majors curricula overlapping Vocational 42 47 4,641 Business 9 10 1,463 Transfer 38 43 22,557 Non-credit Liason not available
- B. Number of day courses: 661 total Number of evening courses: 350 total

C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

<u>Vocational</u> - Air conditioning technology, aviation, clothing technology, construction, cosmetology, dental assistance, drafting, dry cleaning, electricity, electronics, food and hotel technology, graphic art, home economics, medical assisting, mechanics, metal and machine, photography, shoe rebuilding

# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 275

B. Student-faculty ratio: 42/1^b; 43/1^c

C. Number of counselors: 14

D. Student-counselor ratio: 840/1^b; 855/1^c

E. Student evaluation of faculty:
Not mandatory; informal feedback

#### V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian 39.0
Black 41.1
Spanish surname 6.5
Oriental 6.6
American Indian 1.1
Cher not coded 5.0

# B. Financial aid:

Total aid	Percent of instit. on's budget	Source an	nd funds Amount	Proportion body recei Number	of student ving aid Percent
\$214,185 (JCD)	J (JCD)	Work- study EOG NDSL Federal loans Scholar- ships		ot available information	

# C. Students' ability:

- 1. Mean academic ability scores None used campus-wide
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile Not available from known sources

### VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: \$3,577,520 1971 budget: \$5,769,450 B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocat on	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal State	\$ 288,473 1,442,362	5 25	\$480
Local	4,038,615	70	

#### VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: State Community College Board of Governors
- B. Role of state in policy decisions:
  Construction, finances, curriculum, admissions, tenure
- C. Local supervisory agency: 7-member district board of trustees; elected
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:

  Student policies, personnel policies, curriculum, construction, finances
- E. Type of district: Multi-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level Not available
  - 2. Median annual income per family Not available
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) Not available
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent): Not readily available
- C. Economy of community: Liason not available
- D. Size: Liason not available
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 5
  - 2. Other junior colleges 4
  - 3. Private technical institutes 0

### IX. Community Related Activities

- A. There is a Director of Community Services
- B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: \$284,295 (4.9%)
- C. Community service activities:

Store front activities; experimental college; Inner City Project Development Center (resources into two disadvantaged communities); community advisory committee (individuals from community, students, and staff formulate curriculum and activities offered at the Center; extended day division (ungraded college classes)

- D. Courses offered off campus: 37
- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students:
  - 1. Programs Not available quickly^C; ethnic studies, experimental college, work incentive program, college readiness program which involves preparatory courses and tutorial programs for those disadvantaged people wanting to enter



- 2. Recruitment Store front activities; recruitment for college readiness program by means of counselors visiting and speaking to junior and senior high school student
- 3. Financial aid Not available quickly^C; EOG, work-study
- F. Local advisory boards:

Community advisory committee is composed of individuals from the community, students, and staff who formulate curricula and activities offered; advisory committee for the extended day division; 19 advisory boards for occupational education

- G. Special community surveys: None
- X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

There is a Research Coordinator

Department new this year. Liason not available for further information.

#### LOWELL

-			
I.	Enw.	~11×	
⊥.	Enro	ונדבע	пент

- A. Total: Fall 1967 3916 (FIE) Fall 1971 - 5936 (FTE); 15,233 (total)
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 52
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full time 5936 Part time - 9297
  - 2. Day 5685 Evening - 9548
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 331
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 755
  - 2. Certificates 628
  - 3. "ransfers to 4-year college 2-3%
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current Not available Past 5 years 17.6%
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 64
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 59,500
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graudates attending Lowell 15%
  - 4. Other sources In JCD 70.7%
    Outside JCD 12.1
    Outside state 8.8
    Foreign 3.2

# II. Description of Establishment

- 1920 Series of conferences by education, city, industry, and labor; began with class in power sewing
- Board of Education established present school; called a trade school and then a trade institute
- Board of Education established Lowell as a junior college offering A.A. and A.S. degrees
- 1957 Present site opened
- 1966 Lowell merged its business and data processing curriculum with another metropolitan college
- 1969 Lowell became part of the city community college discrict with an elected board of trustees

# III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Programs	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Vocational	18 + ap- prentice- ships	65	11,190	
	Business Transfer Non-credit	9 26 5	21 13.2	3,746 2,267	

B. Number of day courses:

152 (total)

Number of evening courses:

Vocational 83 Business 12

Transfer 27

C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

<u>Vocational</u> - community initiated curriculum: public works, piping technology; environmental health sciences, computer maintanence, hotel-motel management, travel, inspection technology, numerical controls, graphics, computer technology, operating room technology, plastics and mold making, paramedical "assistant" classes, basic skills classes, vocational work experience (on-the-job training)

Business - business and data processing

General - ethnic minorities (history)

# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 261 graded day programs; 136.3 extended day

B. Student-faculty ratio: 58/1°; 42/1°

C. Number of counselors: 14

D. Student-counselor ratio: 1189/1^c; 1088/1^e

E. Student evaluation of faculty: None

#### V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian 33.4
Black 38.9
Spanish
surname 18.5
Oriental 5.3
American
Indian 1.2
Other not
coded 2.7

#### B. Financial aid:

	Percent of institution's	Source a	nd funds	Proportion body receiv	
Total aid	budget	Type	Amount	Number	Percent
\$487,380	5.0	EOG EOPS EOPS	\$ 19,000 2,000	40 10	6.8
		tutors Work-	43,000	70	
		study	48,000	35	



# B. Financi il aid (cont'd):

To <b>ta</b> l aid	Percent of institution's budget	Source and	d funds Amount	Proportion body received	
			I unounc	Transci	rercent
		Federal			
		loans	\$150,000	278	
		NDSL	41,380	•	
		Nursing			
		loan	4,500	6	
		Depart-			
		ment			
		loans	3,500	72	i
		Student			
		<b>a</b> ssist-			•
		ants	55,500	53	
		Scholar-			
		ships	72,000	200	
		NDL	38,000	90	
		Psychiat-	[		
		ric			
		technol-	}		
		ogist	7,500	12	
		Grants	3,000	166	

# C. Students' ability:

- 1. Mean academic ability scores Not available
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile Not available

### VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: \$6,837,834 1971 budget: \$10,325,289

B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal	\$7,124,449	69.0	\$677
State	1,806,926	17.5	
Local	516,264	5.0	
Other	877,650	8.5	

# VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: State Community College Board of Governors
- B. Role of state in policy decisions:
  Tenure, construction; occupational curriculum, income, and
- expenditures (with local board)

  C. Local supervisory agency: 7-member community college board of trustees;
- elected at alternate biennial elections

  D. Role of local board in policy decisions:

  Construction, finances, personnel policies, curriculum, student policies
- E. Type of district: Multi-campus



# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level Not available
  - 2. Median annual income per family Not available
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) Not available
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 33.4
Black 38.9
Spanish
surname 18.5
Oriental 5.3
American
Indian 1.2
Other not
coded 2.7

- C. Economy of community: \$11,306,000,000 valuation
- D. Size: 882 sq. miles (district) 4,174,300 population
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 6
  - 2. Other junior colleges 2
  - 3. Private technical institutes 22

#### IX. Community Related Activities

- A. There is a Director of Community Services
- B. Proportion of budget allocated to institutional services: \$125,000 (1.2%)
- C. Community service activities:
  - 1) occupational advisement (recruitment 16-18 year olds into short-term non-credit classes offered in 9 trades for exposure and motivation);
  - 2) short-term non-credit classes for economically disadvantaged adults:
  - 3) playground operation evenings and weekends on campus;
  - 4) Mobile Advisement Center counseling van offers college counseling in target areas of inner city

Lowell encourages teachers to go into industrial fields in the summer to keep up with trade

D. Courses offered off campus:

Seminars for those in business and industry; 14 apprenticeship classes

- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students:
  - 1. Programs 1) College Basic Skills (remedial for business and transfer students; 2) assistance classes (remedial for vocational students; 3) innovative occupational programs developed at assistant level to improve skills; 4) classes offered Friday evening and Saturday to provide fuller use of facilities; 5) tutoring; 6) English as a Second Language; 7) multi-cultural studies; 8) Learning Center

- 2. Recruitment Occupational advisement; Mubile Advisement Center van for black and Mexican-American students; community agents, buses to campus, mailings to acquaint community with facilities and educational opportunities; personal contact by counselors visiting local high schools; State Vocational Association Fair
- 3. Financial aid EOG; EOPS; work-study; grants for the disadvantaged; EOPS tutors receive pay

# F. Local advisory boards:

All training is carried on with the advice and assistance of local advisory committees and industry consultants. There are 54 for 7 program areas composed of leaders in their field. They meet periodically with the college administration and faculty to evaluate training programs, approve changes, review past accomplishments and forcast trends affecting training and employment; curriculum is kept up to date with the changes occuring in industry, business, and the community

G. Special community surveys:

Surveys to study the socioeconomic characteristics of the community, occupational and educational needs, and needs of the disadvantaged. In the formulation of new curricula, questionnaires are developed to survey the business or industry so as to determine what the graduates will actually do on the job, where they may expect to find employment, and future prospects for employment.

Project COMSERV to determine facility requirements for a campus planned and oriented community services center (1970)

A strategy for city survival, synthesis or social disintegration with the Department of City Planning (1970)

County business patterns (1969)

Study of manpower needs to 1975 sponsored by the State Department of Employment (1969)

"Estimated Employment, Unemployment, and Labor Force" (1970)

"Estimated Number of Wage and Salary Workers in Non-Agricultural Establishments by Industry" sponsored by the State Department of Industrial Relations (1970)

X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

There is a Research and Development Officer

Follow-up studies of graduates; research on the contemporariness of curricula; cost-analyses of supplies, programs; comparative study of grades with graduates of a four-year college annually; area residence study by major (by zip code area for academic, business, and vocational majors); follow-up of terminating students; study of students' perceptions of college (1970)



#### MANNING

T	-			
1.	Enro	$\mathbf{I}$	.men	t

- A. Total: Fall 1967 Liason not available Fall 1971 - 3879
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971 Not available
- C. Type of enrollment: Liason not available
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 18
  - 2. Certificates 0
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 69
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Liason not available
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 12
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 3,723
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Manning 25%

1

4. Other sources - In JCD 85%

Outside JCD 10

4

Outside state

Foreign

# II. Description of Establishment

1969 College opened under another name

1971 Opened as Manning College in response to student demands for change

# III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

- Comprehensive with strong vocational emphasis; liason not available for further information
- B. Number of day courses: Vocational 30

Business 14

Transfer 21

Number of evening courses: Vocational 11

Business 13

Transfer 13

C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

Vocational - Allied health, nursing education, veterans affairs department General - Communications media institute, learning skills center, education and human services department, urban studies

# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 100

- B. Student-faculty ratio: 39/1
- C. Number of counselors: 14
- Student-counselor ratio: 350/1



# E. Student evaluation of faculty:

"Each member of faculty shall be evaluated by students in each course"--effective spring 1971; end of semester, anonymous. To improve teaching, evaluations are discussed with chairman, faculty, administration

# V. Students

- A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent): Not available
- B. Financial aid:

Total aid	Percent of institution's budget	Source an	d funds Amount	Proportion of body receivin Number	
<del></del>		17,80			
\$465,300 ^b	10.9	Scholar-			
		ships	\$ 33,000	52	
		Loans	86,552	141	
		Work-			
		study	307,748	575	
		Nursing			
		loan &			
	•	scholar-			
		Ship	38,000		
\$623,865	14.6	EOG	\$220,000		
		NDSL	60,895		
		NSL	22,000		
		LEEP	4,550		
		Nursing	ļ		
		scholar			
		ship	14,000		
		Work-			
		study	302,420		

# C. Students' ability

- 1. Mean academic ability scores ACT 5th percentile
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile -

Lower \( \frac{1}{4} \) 10%
Lower \( \frac{1}{2} \) 55
Upper \( \frac{1}{4} \) 15

### VI. Finances

A. 1968 budget: \$2,299,472 1971 budget: \$4,279,810

### B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal	\$ 456,711	5	\$1103
State	2,614,456	65	, -
Local	1,208,643	30	

1.45

# VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: State Board of Higher Education
- B. Role of state in policy decisions:
  Construction, finances, occupational curriculum
- C. Local supervisory agency: Board of trustees
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:
  Personnel policies, academic curriculum, student policies
- E. Type of district: Multi-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level Not available
  - 2. Median annual income per family Not available
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) Not available
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 5 Black 92 Spanish surname 3

- C. Economy of community: Liason not available
- D. Size: 12 sq. miles (city) 530,095 population
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 1
  - 2. Other junior colleges 6
  - 3. Private technical institutes 2

#### IX. Community Related Activities

- A. There is a Vice President of Student and Community Services and a Dean of Community Services and Continuing Education
- B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: Liason not available
- C. Community service activities:

Project Prep - pre-discharge program at two armed services facilities for servicemen's high school diploma

Community tutorial projects; drug education; parolee assistance program; political awareness program; basic English; general educational development program; weekend college; employability training

Prison annex program - in two correctional facilities to continue education in prison and to enable transferance and functioning when parolled

Project Impact - occupational training center to train unemployed and underemployed residents in five vocational areas

Upward Bound - to accelerate the education of fifty 9th and 10th grade inner city high school students



# C. Community service activities (cont'd):

Neighborhood Youth Corps summer project provides work-study experience for 100 disadvantaged high school graduates in a special services program (supportive pay, counseling, employability training)

Community Resources Data Center gathers and disseminates information to assist community organizations become more aware of community resources; coordinates research projects to improve services and programs available to community; provides continuous evaluation of community needs

Cooperative education program - work-study experience for 100 Maining students in a cooperative arrangement with employers in community (pending)

Inner City Community College National Consortium Project - faculty, student, administrative representatives of inner city community colleges throughout the country meet to discuss specific problems (pending)

Five neighborhood day care centers

Project "Future Education Now" - model program of effective education for disadvantaged members of community through services provided by Manning College Learning Resources Center (pending)

Mid-management program; veterans affairs program

St. Charles Program (1971) - extension courses given a state training school for boys with an identical program set up at the college to allow for transfer from the school to Manning

Manning College Credit in Escrow - high school students take college level courses

Art exhibits - working agreement with city museum to receive educational exhibits for display at the college; cultural program of researching black art

All students and faculty urged to work in community (e.g., teacher aides in elementary schools, planners and workers on community councils, etc.)

Visits by counselors, faculty, etc. to public clubs and street gangs to ascertain needs and interests

Conference of 25 community and social agencies held in order to assess the present services to the community residents and to determine the role the college could pla.

Small Business Institute offers business courses geared to small minority enterprises

Weekly review of community relations projects with outside public relations firm which provides an objective overview of the college and community needs

Regular contacts with local national media

Street Academy - counseling, tutorial work, GED review, technical-vocational training for those in the community who wish to complete their education; educational methods adapted to the needs of the community

Speakers bureau - administrators, faculty, and students speak to community social, religious, educational, and political organizations

Division of community services and continuing education employs persons indigenous to the community



-99-

D. Courses offered off campus:

Prison Annex Program (courses offered in prison to bridge gap between prison and the community)

Project Prep (general studies for pre-discharge military men)

60 outposts in the community serving educational and vocational needs of black people; on-location settings, e.g., abnormal psychology offered at a mental hospital

- E. Programs and aid for the disadvantaged students:
  - 1. Programs Learning Skills Center; Neighborhood Youth Corps
    Prep Academy (unemployed youth employability training);
    Upward Bound; USOE student special services; basic
    English; general educational development program;
    Project Impact; College Learning Resources Center
  - 2. Recruitment Recruits parolees, discharged servicemen; through speakers, films, public relations, mailings, external newsletter; high school dropout program recruits 100 high school dropouts for work-study
  - 3. Financial aid Special services to support minerity students in college; Neighborhood Youth Corps (\$75,000); Parolee Assistance Program provides funds; workstudy; EOG
- F. Local advisory boards:

Specialized occupational advisory committee in each occupational area; College Community Advisory Board with community residents, representatives of community groups and students meet with administrators and faculty to articulate communit; educational needs, review total technical and occupational offerings of the college and advise on new requirements and priorities; pre-professional and related curricula advisory board to advise and assist the dean of Careers College; advisory committees with community churches, businesses, and banks involved with school fund raising and recruitment; 8 Allied Health advisory committees

G. Special community surveys:

Community surveys on socioeconomic characteristics, occupational and educational needs and needs of the disadvantaged; survey of community television viewing preferences for Manning to acquire television time for educational purposes

X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

There is a Director of Institutional Research

Study of persistence as related to grades in 1969 (sample of three remedial English courses); attrition as related to placement in various levels of freshman English; geographical survey of the residence patterns of the Fall 1969 students (which led to the development of a weekend program to accommodate time constraints of part-time students); study of the inner-city public school system on the achievement of inner-city students to document the relationships between quality of learning, environment, and student performance (1969); follow-up study of Fall 1969 students who did not return for the spring term.

Summer 1970 all-college weekend workshops with community representatives, students, faculty, administrators, and clerical staff to exchange ideas and for professional development; faculty development program by USOE Division of Educational Professional Development to develop more effective communication between faculty, administrators, and students (1970);



# X. Self-studies/Institutional Research (cont'd)

Curriculum development and implementation workshop (1971); Project Co-op to train Learning Resources staff in the development and use of new instructional material; Community Resources Data Center; peer counseling training program; day care center; survey of non-credit adult education; evaluation of non-punitive grading system at the college; study of perceived and ideal student influence in campus affairs; follow-up study of June 1970 graduates to evaluate their progress in pursuing their goals; ACT Institutional Self-Study Abstract to determine students' perceptions of pertinent factors pertaining to the total environment of the college including major, vocational choice, self-estimated progress, student reactions to instructors, faculty encouragement, etc.

### MEADE

# I. Enrollment

- Total: Fall 1967 3142_b; 8100^c
- Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 133b; 158c
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 3780 Part-time - 3542
  - Day -4941 Evening - 2381
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 2099
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 347
  - 2. Certificates 47
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 356^b; 347^c
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- 9.8^b; 8.9^c F. Percent of withdrawals: Current -Past 5 years - Not available
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 96
  - Number of high school graduates 1969-70 No answer
  - Proportion of local high school graduates attending Meade 38%
  - 4. Other sources City 16.6% 49.5 County Outside JCD 2.5 Outside state 4.1 GED graduates 3.8 Non-graduates . 8 Transferees 22.7

# II. Description of Establishment

1964 Meade established 1966 Joined regional association

### III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Program	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit Undecided	14 4 23	34.0 9.8 56.0	895 ^b 300 1221 ^c 3055 2922 172 3072	17.0 40.5 42.5

B. Number of day courses:

281 total

Number of evening courses:

Vocational 29

Business

Transfer



C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

<u>Vocational</u>: Air traffic control, introduction to supermarket management, aviation technology, electrical-electronic technology, horticulture, legal technology, management and supervisory development

Business: Office occupation, college accounting I and II

# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 203^c; 246^e

460 full-time, 110 part-time, FTE 504 (JCD)

B. Student-faculty ratio: 15/1°; 30/1°

C. Number of counselors: 13

D. Student-counselor ratio: 563/1^b; 623/1^c

E. Student evaluation of faculty:
Formal--in-class experiences, procedures, concent, willingness to help, etc.

### V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian 98.0 Black 1.5 Other not coded .5

B. Financial aid:

	Percent of institution's		Source and funds		Proportion of student body receiving aid	
Total aid	budget	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent	
\$444,238	7.6	Scholar- ships Loans EOG NDSL LEEP Work- study Student employ- ment Nursing	\$ 26,790 9,250 24,056 43,474 17,529 265,518 47,580	76 98 39 185 142	15	
		loan	10,041	25	i	

### C. Students' ability:

- 1. Mean academic ability scores No overall admissions tests
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile Not available

# VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: Not available 1971 budget: \$5,770,072

\$14,465,027 (JCD)

B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal State Local Tuition Other Auxiliary	\$ 411,060 4,254,693 4,343,983 3,338,967 979,987 1,136,337	2.8 29.4 30.0	\$712

# VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: State Department of Education
- B. Role of state in policy decisions: minimal^C (no further information given)
- C. Local supervisory agency: Board of trustees of junior college district; elected--2 from city, 4 from county for 6-year terms
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:
  Primary authority over construction, finances, personnel, curricula, student policies
- E. Type of district: Multi-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level 12th grade
  - 2. Median annual income per family \$11,950
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) Not available
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 98.0 Black 1.5 Other not coded .5

- C. Economy of community: \$3,500,000,000 valuation (JCD)
- D. Size: 550 sq. miles
  500,000 population (city)
  1,500,000 population (JCD)
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 7
  - 2. Other junior colleges None
  - 3. Private technical institutes Not available

# IX. Community Related Activities

- A. There is a Director of Community Services
- B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: \$102.558 (1.8%)



C. Community service activities:

Start courses for small businessmen in organization and administration and income tax; wastewater treatment manpower development division; kinder series of Sunday afternoon children's programs; Know Your State lecture series; Meade Community College orchestra; social, recreational, cultural non-transfer level courses

- D. Courses offered off campus: None
- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students:
  - 1. Programs There are 5 basic academic skills programs but none specifically for the disadvantaged
  - 2. Recruitment No answer
  - 3. Financial aid EOG; work-study
- F. Local advisory boards:

14 continuing education professionals in the city are committed to interagency articulation and program development

- G. Special community surveys: None
- X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

There is a Director of Institutional Research

Follow-up of College Parallel and Career Programs--those who completed 1 year and did not return; those who completed 2 years and did not graduate; and follow-up of 150 graduates

Research to provide data to individual departments on teaching loads, etc.

In process of formulating an Institutional Profile. The data will be routinely collected and published and will include all reports for government agencies; community, student, and faculty information; curriculum plans, services, etc.

#### INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE

#### NEWSON

#### I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 1802 Fall 1971 - 1990
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 10
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 1493 Part-time - 69
  - 2. Day 1562 Evening - 428
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 30
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 111
  - 2. Certificates 35^b; 51^c
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 380^b; 491^c
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current 12.2 Past 5 years - 7.8
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 30
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 10,921
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attedning Newson 11.4%
  - 4. Other sources In JCD 79.9% Outside JCD 20.1

    In state 99.2
    Outside state .7
    Foreign .1

# II. Description of Establishment

- 1916 Public junior college organized by high school PTA
- 1918 Opened as City Junior College
- 1966 City college district formed

# III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

- Α. Number of Percent of Percent of Program majors curricula Enrollment students Vocational 9 26.5 363^C 26 5 Business 14.7 Transfer 20 58.8 73 1150 1276 Non-credit 1147 1304 Undecided 428
- B. Number of day courses: 282 total Number of evening courses: 144 total

C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

<u>Vocational</u> - Agriculture-business, retail merchandising, agriculture production

Business - 9-month clerical program; 9-month secretarial program

# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

- Number of instructors: 79 full-time, 5 part-time, FTE 81.5^b
- 23/1^b; 20/1^c Student-faculty ratio:
- C. Number of counselors: 6
- D. Student-counselor ratio: 250/1
- E. Student evaluation of faculty: Not written; informal feedback

## V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian 97.0 Black Spanish surname 1.0 Oriental .1 American I**nd**ian .02 Other not coded .08

# B. Financial aid

W-4-1 · 1	Percent of institution's	Source and	funds	Proportion of body received	of student ing aid			
Total aid	budget	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent			
\$154,500	6	EOG Work-	\$26,000	63	26			
		study	70,000	139				
		NDSL	32,000	90				
		Nursing	1					
		loan	13,000	23				
		Nursing scholar-						
		ship	11,500	18				
		LEEP	2,000	22				
		Vocation-	}					
ļ		al rehab-						
ı		lilitation		50				
Students' al	Students' ability							

# C. Students' ability

- 1. Mean academic ability scores ACT 19
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile -

Lower \( \frac{1}{4} \) 20% Lower ½ 32

Upper ½ 29

Upper ¼

# VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: \$1,361,125 1971 budget: \$2,261,339^b 2,490,000^c

B. Source and allocation:

Source_	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal ^b	\$ 16,461	. 7	\$1600
State	1,066,272	47.2	
Local	344,270	15.0	
Tuition	662,251	29.3	
Other	63,108	2.8	
Student			
aid	36,126	1.6	
Auxili <b>a</b> ry	72,851	3.2	
Í	·	•	

#### VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: State Department of Public Instruction
- B. Role of state in policy decisions.

  General overall control; approves curriculum, certification of steachers; approves budget, state aid
- C. Local supervisory agency: 11-member board of directors elected by district voters
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:

  Construction, finances, personnel policies, student policies, curriculum
- E. Type of district: Single-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level Not available
  - 2. Median annual income per family \$9,478
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) 5.21%
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 98.0 Black .8 Spanish surname .7 Oriental .5

- C. Economy of community: \$442,621,000 valuation
- D. Size: 4000 sq. miles 730,000 population (city)
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 1
  - 2. Other junior colleges 1
  - 5. Private technical institutes 3



# IX. Community Related Activities

- A. There is a Director of Community Relations and Information
- B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: Not available
- C. Community service activities:

Center for conferences and workshops; speakers bureau; educational and cultural programs sponsored both by the college and by the college in cooperation with community agencies; vocational rehabilitation services

- D. Courses offered off campus:
  - 98 including an electronics course offered in an electronics company
- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students: 4% academically disadvantaged
  - 1. Programs Pre-career programs; reading improvement programs
  - 2. Recruitment In 9 county areas by 5 counselors and admissions officers visiting area high schools
  - 3. Financial aid EOP, work-study, vocational rehabilitation program
- F. Local advisory boards:
  - 8 for occupational education
- G. Special community surveys:

Survey to determine occupational curriculum needs; employment needs of the community; survey to gain community feedback on college's responsiveness to community; manpower needs study; survey of comprehensive area manpower planning system (through governor's office)

# X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

No Director of Institutional Research

No formalized research. Dean of Puril Personnel Services is responsible for research. Individual departments do their own research. A students' characteristics profile is done through the Student Personnel Office; vocational program cost-analysis is required by the state auditor



#### INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE

#### **PALMERSTON**

#### I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 359 Fall 1971 672^b; 635^c
- Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 87
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 625b; 621c Part-time 47b; 14c
  - 2. Day -Evening - 16
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 8
- Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 64^b; 70^c
  - 2. Certificates 30^b; 49^c
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 0
- E. Grading policy: .Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current -Not available Past 5 years - Not available
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 5
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 1,100
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Palmerston 25%
  - 4. Other sources In JCD Outside JCD 8 Outside state 1 Foreign

# II. Description of Establishment

1961 Chartered as result of bond issue in 1960 1964 Designated as a technical institute by state board of education

#### III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Program	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Technical Vocational Special	23 10	67.6 29.4	507 160	75.4 23.8
	technical Non-credit	1 26	2.9	5 1682	.7

B. Number of day courses:

114 total

Number of evening courses:

Technical 52 Vocational 21

Spec ial

technical 3°

Non-credit 11



C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

Vocational Teachers assistant program, practical nurse education, electrician, data processing, mental health technology

IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

32 full-time, 6 part-time^b, FTE 36 A. Number of instructors:

B. Student-faculty ratio: 18/1

C. Number of counselors: 2

D. Student-counselor ratio: 336/1

E. Student evaluation of faculty:

Student ratings, observation, individual conferences between director of faculty and teacher; teaching effectiveness measures are then discussed with other administrators.

#### V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian 60 **Black** 40

B. Financial aid:

	Percent of Institution's	Source and		Proportion of body receiving	
Total aid	budget	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent
\$163,385	12	EOG NDSL College work- study Vocation-	\$88,093 20,000 32,244	170 tot <b>a</b> l	25
		al work- st <b>u</b> dy	23,048		

#### C. Students' ability:

- 1. Mean academic ability scores General Army Testing Battery 95 Differential Aptitude Test
- Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile -

Lower \( \frac{1}{4} \) 25\%

Lower ½ 32 Upper ½ 33 Upper ¼ 10

#### VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: Not available

1971 budget: \$1,121,044^b

\$1,400,000^c

B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal State Local Tuition Other	\$ 7,492 910,286 108,711 53,582 40,973	.7 81.2 9.7 4.7 3.7	\$1668 ^b \$2083 ^c

# VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: Department of Community Colleges under the board of education
- B. Role of state in policy decisions:

Primary authority for decision making on overall institutional policy construction, income  $\xi$  expenditures, personnel policies, curriculum, admissions (in conjunction with local board)

- C. Local isory agency: 12-member board of trustees; 4 appointed by governor, 4 appointed by board of education, 4 appointed by county board of commissioners
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions: Student policies and expenditures; personnel policies and curriculum (with state board)
- E. Type of district: Single campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level 9.1
  - 2. Median annual income per family Not available
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers 35/65
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) 4%
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 60 Black 40

- C. Economy of community: \$216,992,000 valuation
- D. Size: 656 sq. miles 73,900 population
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 1
  - 2. Other junior colleges 0
  - 3. Private technical institutes 0

#### IX. Community Related Activities

- A. There is a Director of Community Services
- B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: \$190,000 (1.7%)
- C. Community service activities:
  - 15 including speakers, community pride programs; training of firemen, policemen, rescue squad workers; education workshops
- D. Courses offered off campus:
  - 60; some classes are taught in the industrial plants where students are employed
- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students: 50% academically disadvantaged
  - 1. Programs 7 developmental education programs; community advisory board for the educationally disadvantaged which develops and evaluates programs



- 2. Recruitment On-campus tours, orientation programs; send recruiters and counselors directly into the community to locate the disadvantaged
- 3. Financial aid EOG; work-study; Vocational Rehabilitation Act; \$14,000 in special fund for the disadvantaged; Vocational Education Act for the Disadvantaged
- F. Local advisory boards:

16 local advisory committees in curriculum areas to provide feedback on needs; advisory committees for occupational education and for the disadvantaged

- G. Special community surveys:

  Surveys on the socioeconomic characteristics of the community; on occupational and educational needs, and on the needs of the disadvantaged
- X. Self-studies/Institutional Research There is no Director of Institutional Research Liason not available

#### INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE

#### QUANTO

#### I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 2190 Fall 1971 - 4082^b; 4097^c
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 87^b; 86^c
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 1555 Part-time - 2527
  - 2. Day 1662 Evening - 2435
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, re edial) 15
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 148^b; 297^c
  - 2. Certificates 0
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 149
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current 14
  Past 5 years 15
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 11
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 2499
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Quanto 29%
  - 4. Other sources In JCD 98% Outside JCD 1 Foreign 1

## II. Description of Establishment

1963 Established by state board of community colleges upon the request of the area community colleges

# III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Program	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Vocational Business Transfer	13 4	62 19	1215 867	29.7 21.2
	Non-credit	4	19	2015	49.1

- B. Number of day courses: 123 total Number of evening courses: 122 total
- C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

<u>Vocational</u> - Environmental technology, inhalation therapy, nursing, radiologic technology, early childhood assistance, dental hygiene, data processing, civil technology, electronics technology, fire science, law enforcement, occupational therapy



# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors:  $74_{99}$ cfull-time, 8 part-time, FTE  $77^b$ 

B. Student-faculty ratio: 50/1^b; 41/1^c

C. Number of counselors: 7

D. Student-counselor ratio: 583/1^b; 585/1^c

E. Student evaluation of faculty:No systematic evaluation; informal feedback from students

## V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent): Not available

## B. Financial aid:

T-4-1 - 1	Percent of institution's	Source and		Proportion body receiv	of student
Total aid	ludget	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent
\$159,370	11.7 ^b 9.9 ^c	EOG NDSL Work-	\$10,455 7,667	26 24	
		study Nursing loan & scholar-	51,198	78	
		ship Cuban	<b>26,</b> 050	33	
		refugees Strength- ening develop- ing in- stitu-	1,000		
		tions Disadvan- taged students	11,000		
		program	52,000		

# C. Students' ability

- 1. Mean academic ability scores CEEB V=430 M=370
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile -

Lower \( \frac{1}{4} \) 10\% Lower \( \frac{1}{2} \) 50 \\ Upper \( \frac{1}{4} \) 20 \\ Upper \( \frac{1}{4} \) 20

#### VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: \$601,658 1971 budget: \$1,611,036^b \$1,356,652^c



B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal ^b	\$ 96,662	6	\$332
State	1,240,498	77	,
Tuition	273,876	17	
State ^C	\$ 978,832	72	
Federal sponsored			
research	54,555	4	
Tuition	268,488	20	
Student			
ai <b>d</b>	54 <b>,</b> 777	4	

# VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: 18-member Board of Regional Community Colleges
- B. Role of state in policy decisions:

Construction, finances, personnel policies, non-professional personnel, curriculum, student policies

- C. Local supervisory agency: Quanto Community College Advisory Board; appointed by governor
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:

Student policies (admissions, academic standards, activities, conduct)

E. Type of district: Multi-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level 11.2
  - 2. Median annual income per family \$10,100
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers 45/55
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) 12.8%
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 95.3 Black 2.1 Spanish surname 1.9 Oriental .7

- C. Economy of community: \$1,020,809,000 valuation (JCD)
- D. Size: 1512 sq. miles (county) 637,969 population
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 6
  - 2. Other junior colleges 3
  - 3. Private technical institutes 0
- IX. Community Related Activities
  - A. There is a Director of Community Services



- B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: (3.8%)
   \$200,000 for adult education (self-supporting activity)
   \$52,000 for community services (separate state allotment)
- C. Community service activities:

Center for continuing education and community services; internship program of preparation for college (ESL; community problems; introduction to sociology; cultural, industrial, political aspects of community), cultural and social facilities open to community (lectures, films, theater, etc.)

D. Courses offered off campus:

Art museum studio courses; general studies at regional high schools

- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students: 32% academically disadvantaged
  - 1. Programs Liason not available
  - 2. Recruitment Through community service programs
  - 3. Financial aid EOG, work-study, state disadvantaged student program
- F. Local advisory boards:

12 advisory boards for occupational education; advisory board for the disadvantaged; specific program advisory boards

G. Special community surveys:

Community surveys for occupational needs, educational needs, needs of the disadvantaged; survey of black community and housing problems; survey of district to set up a branch campus

X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

There is no Director of Institutional Research

Cost-analyses of programs and students; research on transferees and their progress (follow-up)



#### INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE

#### SHAW

#### I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 11,637^b; 22,316^c Fall 1971 - 15.582^b; 19.819^c
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 34% to lege ease
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 9337 Part-time - 6245
  - 2. Day 10,470 Evening - 9,349
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 2049
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 0
  - 2. Certificates 47
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 881^b; 608^d
- E. Grading policy: No F grading
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current 38
  Past 5 years Not available
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 48
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 12,568
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Shaw 22.4%
  - 4. Other sources In JCD 76.0%
    Outside JCD 23.0
    Outside state .9
    foreign .1

# II. Description of Establishment

- 1925 Established by state university
- 1946 Control transferred to separate junior college district
- 1951 Moved to present campus

#### III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Program	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Vocational	24	52.0	169 ^b 1880 ^c	16
	Business	2	4.3	52 650	5
	Transfer	20	43.5	1205 9231	79
	Non-credit	14	]	65 7000	ľ

В.	Number o	of day	courses:	Vocational Business Transfer	72 31 204
	Number o	f even	ning courses	: Vocational	62

Number of evening courses: Vocational 62

Business 15

Transfer 126



C. New majors added in the past 5 years:
25; no further information given

# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 351 full-time, 231 part-time, FTE  $400^{\text{b}}$ 

B. Student-faculty ratio: 43/1^b; 39/1^c

C. Number of counselors: 18

D. Student-counselor ratio: 593/1^b; 865/1^c

E. Student evaluation of faculty:
Not systematic; voluntary option of teacher

#### V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian 48^e
Black 11^e; 13^c
Spanish
surname 40^e; 56^c
Other not
coded 31^c

#### B. Financial aid:

Total aid	Percent of institution's budget	Source an		Proportion of body receiving	ng aid
1	budget	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent
\$137,968 ^a					9.4 ^D
\$693,400 ^C	7	Work-			7.4 ^C
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, 	study Loans	\$420,000 192,000	1465 total	
		Grants,	132,000		
		scholar- ships	81,400		

# C. Students' ability

- 1. Mean academic ability scores ACT 16.5 CEEB 935.1
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile -

Lower \( \frac{1}{4} \) 4\grave{8}\\
Lower \( \frac{1}{2} \) 46\\
Upper \( \frac{1}{2} \) 42\\
Upper \( \frac{1}{4} \) 8

# VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: \$4.8 million 1971 budget: \$9,591,291 B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal State Local Tuition Other	\$ 197,056 5,382,000 1,322,522 1,868,787 820,926	2.1 56.1 13.7 19.5 8.5	\$615 ^b \$475 ^c

# VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: State Agency for Vocational-Technical Education; State Coordinating Board for Higher Education
- B. Role of state in policy decisions:
  Only as stipulated by legislation affecting 2-year colleges
- C. Local supervisory agency: 7-member board of trustees elected for 6-year terms (staggered)
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:
  Construction, financing, personnel policies, curriculum, student policies
- E. Type of district: Multi-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level 11.6
  - 2. Median annual income per family \$6,346
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) 7%
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 55.7 Black 6.6 Spanish surname 2 Oriental .15 American

Indian .15

- C. Economy of community: \$445,000.000 valuation
- D. Size: 1266 sq. miles 119,389 population
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 1
  - 2. Other junior colleges Not available
  - 3. Private technical institutes Not available
- IX. Community Related Activities
  - A. There is no Director of Community Services
  - B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: \$77,607 (.8%)
  - C. Community service activities: Not available
  - D. Courses offered off campus. No answer

- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students:
  - 1. Programs Guided Studies program (remedial); compensatory basic studies for those with low ACT scores
  - 2. Recruitment No answer
  - 3. Financia_ aid EOG; work-study; State Opportunity Plan; all federal student financial aid programs
- F. Local advisory boards:

There is an advisory board for all career and technically oriented programs; there is an advisory board for the needs of the disadvantaged

- G. Special community surveys: None currently
- X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

No Director of Institutional Research

Institutional research not systematic or formalized—a proposal for a research section was turned down. The Guided Studies program was evaluated. A comparative study of the Reading and Skills Center was done with its first year of operation. A self-study was completed in 1964 and the next is projected for 1974.



## INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE

#### SHERWOOD

#### I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 1898 Fall 1971 - 4054^b; 6135^c
- B. Percent of increase from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 114^b; 223^c
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 3171b; 4825c Part-time - 883b; 1551c
  - 2. Day 3137 Evening - 2473
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 1303
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 222^b; 323^c
  - 2. Certificates 83^b; 30^c
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 355^a; unknown^c
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current 2
  Past 5 years 2
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 6
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 1533
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Sherwood  $44\%^{C}_{22\%}$
  - 4. Other sources In JCD 56% Outside JCD 35 Outside state 3 Foreign 6

# II. Description of Establishment

1965 Established by state legislature. 1966 Classes started.

# III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Program	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	13 4 30 75	27.5 8.5 64.0	432 378 3244 2081	10.7 9.0 80.0

B. Number of day courses: 159 total Number of evening courses: 99 total



C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

<u>Vocational</u> - Mid-management, biological parks program, counselor aide program, audio-tutorial program, instruction in health-related programs, dental assistance, fire science, cardiovascular technology, recreation leadership, physician assistance (newest)

# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 154 full-time, 17 part-time, 159.7 FTE^b; 220^C

B. Student-faculty ratio: 25/1^b; 28/1^c

C. Number of counselors: 11

D. Student-counselor ratio: 368/1^b; 557/1^c

E. Student evaluation of faculty:

Three times a year; initiated in 1970-71 as a result of a pilot study on faculty evaluation. Evaluation instrument managed by the college's student government association. Results in the form of a rating scale for each class section are sent to instructors.

#### V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian 59.1 Black 9.8

Other not

coded 31.1

#### B. Financial aid:

	Percent of institution's	Source ar		body rece:	n of student iving aid
Total aid	budget	Туре	Amount	Number	Percent
\$357,952	8.6 ^b 7.0 ^c	EOG Work-	\$ 43,990	Not ava	ailable
		study	74,275		
		NDL	117,359		
		LEEP	53,639		
		Nursing scholar-	_		
		ship Nursing	16,818		
		loan Cuban	21,871		
		loan fund	30,000		

## C. Students' ability:

- 1. Mean academic ability scores No testing required
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile -

Lower 4 49

Lower ½ 46

Upper ½ 42

Upper 4 8

## VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: \$2,386,167

1971 budget: \$3,803,093^b

\$4,900,000

B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federal State Local Tuition Other	\$ 295,304 2,590,956 118,661 755,878 42,294	7.7 68.0 3.1 19.9	\$815

#### VII. Governance

A. State supervisory agency: State Junior College Council (division of community junior colleges under the state department of education); state legislature

B. Role of state in policy decisions:

Responsible for all post-secondary education; income and construction (with local board); personnel (tenure, qualifications); establishes standards and criteria for work taught, approves establishment of public junior college regulations; appoints president; authorizes changes in tuition and fees; approves junior college budget; issues certificates

- C. Local supervisory agency: 9-member junior college board of trustees, appointed by governor with recommendations by county board of public instruction
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:

Income and construction (with state board); expenditures, personnel policies, curriculum, student policies; adopts policies on recommendation of college relating to operation and improvements; sets minimum standards of junior college operation with state board

E. Type of district: Multi-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level Not available
  - 2. Median annual income per family Not available
  - 3. Proportion of white/bl . collar workers Not available
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) 31
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 78.5 Black 20.9 Other not coded .6

- C. Economy of community: \$670,660,000 valuation
- D. Size: 1247 sq. miles (county) 830,460 population



- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 6
  - 2. Other junior colleges 0
  - 3. Private technical institutes 8
- IX. Community Related Activities
  - A. There is a Dean of Community Education Services
  - B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: \$301,000 (7.9%)
  - C. Community service activities:

Sherwood Vocational Exploration Project (job exploration project concurrent with vocational counseling); learning labs; cultural development activities; 2 day care centers; teacher aides on-the-job training in public schools; demonstration of factory manufacture and/or assembly of usable parts; vocational rehabilitation - skill evaluation and instructions programs for disadvantaged male adults (e.g., job entry skills in electronics assembly); institutional management skills for disadvantaged females; summer workshops explore careers; continuing education to improve skills and cultural enrichment of the community

D. Courses offered off campus:

<u>Vocational</u> - cooking, keypunching, apprentice plumbing, pipe fitting, sewing, ceramics, aviation ground school, photography

Business - introduction to business, shorthand

General - economics, individual in the changing environment, state history, humanities, math

- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students: 12% academically disadvantaged
  - 1. Programs Transfer freshmen are required to take core basic general education; college parallel and adult education; Manpower Development and Training Act program; continuing education; vocational exploration project
  - 2. Recruitment Student recruitment teams provide services to local high schools and to potential students in the community at large; assist in completing admissions forms; give information about programs and services; help solve problems related to orientation to college. Recruitment also by disadvantaged students themselves who gain work experience as recruiters, counselor aides, tutors, teacher aides, financial assistance counselors, etc.
  - 3. Financial aid No answer
- F. Local advisory boards:
  - 12 for occupational education 12 for the needs of the disadvantaged
- G. Special community surveys:

Surveys of the educational and occupational needs of the community; survey of the needs of the disadvantaged; semi-annual employment service surveys; survey by faculty of needs of blacks in the community; work with chamber of commerce on local survey of socioeconomic characteristics of the community



# X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

There is a Director of Institutional Research

Member of the League for Innovation in the Community College (with the junior college district); member of Community Junior College Inter-Institutional Research Council which coordinated research efforts.

Long-range planning; effectiveness of academic programs; effect of the college on the environment and community; project planning and financing; instructional objectives.

<u>Dissertations</u>: Post-junior college activities in the community of elected student government officers; examination of faculty development programs in the state's junior colleges.

Inter-institutional Research: Study related to identification, placement, and curriculum development for academically unprepared students in the state's junior colleges; follow-up study of students who were freshmen in 1966; an "ERIC" set up to compile research studies in junior colleges in the past 5 years; project to ascertain the degree of student rights, freedoms, and involvement in the junior college; composition writing study as a result of an English composition workshop held by the League for Innovation in the Community College at UCLA in 1968; college preferency report in conjunction with a state-wide twelfth grade testing program with high school seniors; survey of post-secondary occupational education involving faculty and administrators of 11 area vocational schools and 27 junior colleges.

College Research Projects: Follow-up study of graduates from 1966-1968; evaluation of Educational Aide Program; early childhood center (pre-school programs for children designed and constructed by college students; research of student progress in reading; study of evening students' counseling needs; project to investigate the role of a psychiatric consultant and the college; follow-up study of licensed practical nursing graduates 1959-1969; characteristics study of Fall 1970 students and comparison with their university counterparts; survey of characteristics and rewarding experiences of the area technical-vocational high school students (training of high school students in vocational programs); study of characteristics of evening students; difficulty analysis of the Common Program (general education) textbooks; graduate follow-up to compile graduate profile; development of evaluation instrument for faculty development.

College-endorsed programs: Conceptual cost-accounting model for a community junior college; selected student opinions about transfer problems; comparative analysis of the administrative structure and performance of community junior colleges in the state; comparison of self-concept, self-ideal, self-acceptance, and self-ideal congruence of university and junior college freshmen.



# INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE

#### WALDEN

# I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 8683 Fall 1971 - 8204^b; 8165^C
- B. Percent of decrease from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971: 5.5^b; 6^c
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 3556 Part-time - 4609
  - Day Not available Evening - Not available
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) 80% incoming freshmen
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 137^b; 221^c
  - 2. Certificates 200^b; 74^c
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 378^b; 1000^c
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current Not available Past 5 years 25
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 50
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 15,000
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Walden 90%

# II. Description of Establishment

1934 Established

# III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Program	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Vocational Business Transfer Non-credit	11 ^c 20 ^e 3 8 29 67	25.6 ^c 35 ^e 7.0 14 67.4 51	1207 ^C 6958 2081	14.8 85.0

B. Number of day courses: Vocational 23
Business 23
Transfer 179

Number of evening courses: Vocational 13

Business 32 Transfer 97 C. New majors added in the past 5 years:

<u>Vocational</u> - Electronics, X-ray technology, library technology, hotel-motel management, commercial art, horticulture, mechanical technology, vocational music

Business - Data processing

General - General studies program for disadvantaged, experimental English

## IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 266 full-time, 5 part-time, FTE 253

B. Student-faculty ratio: 30/1^b; 31/1^c

C. Number of counselors: 8

D. Student-counselor ratio: 1025/1^b; 1020/1^c

E. Student evaluation of faculty:

Student evaluation of courses and instructors each term; committee of students and faculty for instructional evaluation; faculty questionnaire

## V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian 90 Black 5 Spanish surname 4 Oriental 1

B. Financial aid:

Total aid	Percent of institution's budget	Source an	id funds Amount	Proportion of body receiving Number	ng aid
Total alu	Dudget	Гуре	Allouit	Inminer	Percent
\$200,174	3	Work- study State loans NDEA Grants College service aides	\$29,063 27,200 516 63,091 70,134	368 total	4.5

#### C. Students' ability:

- 1. Mean academic ability scores ACT 17.8
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile -

Lower \( \frac{1}{4} \) 24.7\% Lower \( \frac{1}{2} \) 31.6 Upper \( \frac{1}{2} \) 26.3 Upper \( \frac{1}{4} \) 17.4

#### VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: Not available 1971 budget: \$6.5 million



B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Federa1	\$ 65,000	1	\$790
State	2,015,000	31	1
Local	4,420,000	68	

#### VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: State Junior College Board
- B. Role of state in policy decisions:

Regulatory; supplies money; reimbursement for courses based on enrollment; approves courses; investigates each community college based on Standards and Criteria for recognition; State Board of Education and Rehabilitation approves technical-occupational programs

- C. Local supervisory agency: Junior college district board of trustees appointed by mayor for 3-year terms
- D. Role of local board in policy decisions:
  Construction, finances, personnel policies, curriculum, student policies
- E. Type of district: Multi-campus

# VIII. Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level 10th grade
  - 2. Median annual income per family \$9500-\$10,000
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers 40/60
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) 12%
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 88
Black 3.4
Spanish
surname 3.2
Oriental 2.0
American
Indian .2
Other not
coded 1.5

- C. Economy of community: \$2 billion valuation
- D. Size: 75 sq. miles 850,000 population
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 25
  - 2. Other junior colleges 2
  - 3. Private technical institutes 2
- IX. Community Related Activities
  - A. There is a Director of Community Services and Adult Education
  - B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services:
    No specific allocations—money from local sources as need arises



C. Community service activities:

Serves as consultant to local citizen groups working on community development projects and to improve the quality of service of those already so engaged.

Training of volunteer tutors as teacher aides in reading; continuing education; film series; art fairs; meetings with political candidates; music workshop; children's theater presentations.

Focus series - series of discussions on current events and subjects of social, economic, and educational interest; Forum series - series of speakers of foreign countries; rapport with elementary schools, high schools, and human relations groups.

D. Courses offered off campus:

13 including Allied Health, social service, social psychology, human growth and development, principles of accounting, mechanical technology, hotel-motel management, horticulture

- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students: 24% academically disadvantaged
  - 1. Programs General studies
  - 2. Recruitment Walden is not located in low income part of the city; send counselors to inform the community and high schools of programs, financial aid, athletic programs; conduct tours of campus
  - 3. Financial aid EOP, work-study, college service aides
- F. Local advisory boards:

14 for occupational education: one for each technical and occupational curriculum which governs all academic problems and situations pertaining to that curriculum; consist of at least 2 individuals presently employed in a local industry which employs graduates of the given program; the boards also assure that the demand for graduates of any particular program does in fact exist

G. Special community surveys:

Surveys for occupational needs, educational needs, and needs of the disadvantaged; survey of socioeconomic characteristics of the community (1969); faculty member in business department currently surveying the business curriculum needs based on the community business situation

X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

There is an Office of Research and Evaluation

The Office of Research was established to provide basic data on community needs and student characteristics; assists the college in long-range planning (programs, budget, etc.); a faculty committee on evaluation is being developed; a newsletter disseminates information and opinion to faculty.

Follow-up study on experimental English 100; cost-accounting study of departments and programs; study of evening programs; study of transfer programs; evaluation of dean's honors program; follow-up of graduates; evaluation of general studies program; study of the effect of probation policy on students.

HEGIS; a clearinghouse of information prepares reports on grade distribution, attrition rates, item analysis of exams, etc.; work with ACT to develop a student guidance profile to be used by vocational-technical counselors; surveys of students by doctoral students: social cultural concomitants of achievement; demographic description of students; and psychological correlates of social conditions.



X. Self-studies/Institutional Research (cont'd)

Study on how well students from blue collar homes see the services of college related to goals and aspiration level; survey of black consciousness and militancy of students in classes



# INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE

#### WARD

#### I. Enrollment

- A. Total: Fall 1967 2453 Fall 1971 - 1725
- B. Percent of decrease from Fall 1967 to Fall 1971 30
- C. Type of enrollment:
  - 1. Full-time 895 Part-time - 830
  - 2. Day 910 Evening - 815
  - 3. In special programs (EOP, remedial) Liason not available
- D. Number of graduates in June 1971:
  - 1. Associate degrees 0
  - 2. Certificates 10
  - 3. Transfers to 4-year college 335
- E. Grading policy: Standard A-F
- F. Percent of withdrawals: Current 51
  Past 5 years 47
- G. Source of students:
  - 1. Number of local high schools 60
  - 2. Number of high school graduates 1969-70 Approximately 7,000
  - 3. Proportion of local high school graduates attending Ward No answer

# II. Description of Establishment

- 1905 Began as evening classes at local community center
- 1917 Became a division of major local university
- 1926 Ward Community Center Institute organized offering high school and technical courses
- 1938 Liberal arts added
- 1940 Ward Junior College Day Division established
- 1942 Ward Division of university merged with Ward Junior College

# III. Program Emphasis and Enrollment

Α.	Program	Number of majors	Percent of curricula	Enrollment	Percent of students
	Vocational Transfer Non-credit	None Most	Lia	son not availa	ıble

- B. Number of day courses: 98 total Number of evening courses: 135 total
- C. New majors added in the past 5 years: None

# IV. Professional Staff and Student-Staff Ratios

A. Number of instructors: 45 full-time 3 part-time 47.5 FTE

B. Student-faculty ratio: 36/1

C. Number of counselors: 1

D. Student-counselor ratio: 1725/1

E. Student evaluation of faculty:

Informal feedback

#### V. Students

A. Ethnic breakdown of student body (in percent):

Caucasian 91.0
Black 7.0
Spanish
surname .5
Oriental 1.0
Other not
coded .5

#### B. Financial aid:

	Percent of institution's	Source and funds		Proportion of student body receiving aid	
Total aid	budget	Type	Amount	Number	Percent
\$29,500	2.1	NDSL Work- study	\$25,000 4,500	Not av	railable

## C. Students' ability:

- 1. Mean academic ability scores CEEB M=450 V=400
- 2. Percentage of students at each high school GPA quartile -

Lower \( \frac{1}{4} \) 12 Lower \( \frac{1}{2} \) 65 Upper \( \frac{1}{2} \) 35 Upper \( \frac{1}{4} \) 5

#### VI. Finances

A. 1967 budget: \$1,300,000 1971 budget: \$1,390,647

#### B. Source and allocation:

Source	Allocation	Percent of budget	Expenditure per student (approximate)
Tuition	\$1,326,824	95.4	\$800
Endowments	19,514	1.4	
Gifts	6,100	.4	
Auxiliary	38,209	2.8	

# VII. Governance

- A. State supervisory agency: Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools
- B. Role of state in policy decisions: Accreditation



C. Local supervisory agency: 21-member Ward junior college district board of trustees; 11 are on the board of directors of a sponsoring agency, 8 are

directors of a sponsoring agency, 8 are nominated by the trustees for 3 year terms

D. Role of local board in policy decisions:

Construction, finances, personnel policies, curriculum, student policies

E. Type of district: Single campus

## VIII Community Characteristics

- A. Socioeconomic level:
  - 1. Median educational level High school graduate
  - 2. Median annual income per family \$15,000
  - 3. Proportion of white/blue collar workers 60/40
  - 4. Percent of population of college age (18-23) Not available
- B. Ethnic composition of community (in percent):

Caucasian 96
Black 2
Spanish
surname 1

surname :

coded 1

- C. Economy of community: \$1,020,809,000 valuation (JCD)
- D. Size: 1,512 sq. miles (county) 637,969 population
- E. Type and number of local colleges:
  - 1. 4-year schools 10
  - 2. Other junior colleges 2
  - 3. Private technical institutes 2

# IX. Community Related Activities

- A. There is no Director of Community Services
- B. Proportion of budget allocated to community services: None
- C. Community service activities:

None - Ward is a private school and is not community oriented; many students are not from the community.

In response to needs of the community and of the trustees, Ward formed a separate college offering 3rd and 4th year study in engineering technology leading to B.A. and B.S. degrees.

D. Courses offered off campus:

Consortium of Higher Education of 11 area colleges work in cooperative arrangement whereby students take specialized courses off campus (13 course areas) such as civil and industrial engineering, business administration, data processing.

- E. Programs and aid for disadvantaged students: 5% academically disadvantaged
  - 1. Programs None special
  - 2. Recruitment None special
  - 3. Financial aid EOP



F. Local advisory boards:

The school sets up a program curriculum and then goes to the community to set up advisory board; advisory boards exist for occupational education and for the disadvantaged; activities through community agencies such as Community Action Council

- G. Special community surveys: None on-going
- X. Self-studies/Institutional Research

No Director of Institutional Research (research done via Registrar) Very little. Correlation studies on academic performance; study of attrition rates; studies on how best to teach foreign students



# APPENDIX B

# INTERVIEW SCHEDULES FOR KEY ADMINISTRATORS AND TABLES TO CHAPTER 4



134 -137-

#### JUNIOR COLLEGE PRESIDENT

## Introduction:

First of all, I would like to thank you for allowing us to include your college in our study. I know it puts an increased burden on you and your staff, and we appreciate your cooperation.

At each school in our survey, we're interviewing a sample of students, faculty and key administrators, using a questionnaire designed for very specific information.

In the case of the president of the college however, we're using a different technique. Basically we're asking you and the other presidents to talk more broadly about higher education in general and the junior colleges in particular.

There are two reasons for this approach. First, we think that you and the other presidents are in the best position to see an overall picture of the junior colleges today. Second, we think the president is the single most important person in determining the unique character of each college. Therefore, your view or philosophy of education, and of the role of the junior college, are important.

I'm using a tape recorder to facilitate this kind of interview. However, to maintain confidentiality, we plan to send you a complete transcript of this conversation for your approval before using it in any way. You may edit that transcript any way you wish, and you will not be quoted directly without your permission.

Since our time is limited, and we would like to get your views on many questions, please forgive me if I should cut in at times to move to another question.

If any of the questions seem ambiguous, please pin me down on them.

Are there any questions I could answer for you before we begin?

- I. Philosophy
- 1. To start with, I would like to ask you about your thoughts on post-secondary education in general:
  - A. What do you see as the most important goals for post-secondary education?



B. How should those goals be established?

Are they basically unchanging, or do they change with conditions?

Do they come from the community or the institution?

- C. Are these goals currently being met?
- D. If they are not currently being met, what changes would have to be made in education to realize these goals?

Would drastic changes be required?

E. Now, moving specifically to the junior college:

What do you see as unique about junior colleges? Where do they fit in your concept of education?

F. What do you think is the main purpose of the junior college; the main priority?

Transfer education, occupational education, general education, community services?

- G. Which is most important? Why?
- H. How would you describe your own role in carrying out the unique functions of the junior college?
- I. Are you comfortable with that role?

Would you prefer a different one?

# II. Your Institution

Perhaps this would be a good point to move away from these broader, general questions, and to ask you about this particular college:

A. We were talking a few moments ago about educational goals. How are you going about implementing those goals here?

For example, you mentioned What do you do to implement that here?

Frequent goals concern:
teaching quality
community service
counseling
disadvantaged students

- B. Which goals do you think you've most successfully implemented here?
- C. In what areas would you like to see further improvement?
- D. In trying to implement your educational goals in this college, what are some of the administrative problems you face?

For example, do you ever have problems with the board of trustees? Or with the faculty?

Do you deal directly with the faculty, or through the administrative staff?

Can you deal directly with the faculty without undermining your staff?

Are there issues like salary which present problems?

E. Do you have much contact with the students? How do you maintain contact?



F. What about relationships between the college and government agencies:

Do these agencies help you to implement your goals?

For example, how do state agencies affect the college?

How responsive is the state legislature to your college's needs?

G. Are there shortcomings and problems involved in these relationships?

For example, does increased control over policies and goals come with increased assistance?

H. What changes would you like to see in these relationships?

Realistic chance of such changes coming about?

I. What about the community this college serves? How would you describe the relationship between your college and the community?

Does the community generally support the college?

How? tax support alumni associations attendance at campus events industrial/commercial cooperation

Are there any problems between the college and the community that you're aware of?

Over what?

What segments of the community are involved?

# III. The Future

We've talked about your approach to education, your goals, and also about your day-to-day work in running a junior college. Now I would like to ask you some questions about your thoughts on the future:



A. Do you think you will be dealing with different kinds of problems if you're sitting in that seat five years from now?

For example, do you see changes occurring in society which might have an impact on junior colleges? Do you see the role of junior colleges changing?

Why?

How?

B. What kinds of things are you doing now in preparation for those future problems?

For example, do you think more research is needed on some of the changes happening in society?

C. Finally, a question about the U.S. Office of Education.

How could that office be of help to you as a junior college president?

# IV. Conclusion

Those are all the questions I have.

Is there anything you would like to add?

I would like to thank you again for your help.

As I said before, I will send you a transcript of this interview as soon as I can have it typed.

Thank you very much.



#### DEAN OF INSTRUCTION

#### INTERVIEW SCHEDULE FOR KEY ADMINISTRATORS

I would like to ask you some questions about the role of your junior college relative to the needs of this community and to the needs of the students.

- 1. (A) WHAT DO YOU CONSIDER TO BE THE COMMUNITY YOUR JUNIOR COLLEGE SERVES? (Probe for what part of the city mostly served and its demographic characteristics, i.e. socioeconomic, ethnic and age composition.)
- 1. (B) WHAT DO YOU FEEL ARE THE BASIC REASONS MOST STUDENTS ATTEND YOUR COLLEGE?
- 2. (A) HAVE YOU MADE ANY ATTEMPTS TO DETERMINE THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY? (Probe for systematic surveys, studies, etc.)
  - 1. ____Yes (If yes, by what methods?)
  - 2. No (If no, why not? Probe for whether surveys are considered valuable.)
- 2. (B) IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT ARE THE 2 or 3 MAJOR EDUCATIONAL NEEDS OF THIS COMMUNITY? (Rank by order of importance)
- 2. (C) WHAT ARE YOU DOING TO MEET THESE NEEDS?
- 2. (D) HOW WELL IS THE INSTITUTION MEETING THESE NEEDS?



3.	(A) DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIAL METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE MAJOR EDUCATIONAL NEEDS OF YOUR STUDENTS? (Probe for systematic surveys, studies, etc.)
	1. Yes (By what methods?)
	2No (Why not? Probe for whether surveys are considered valuable.)
3.	(C) WHAT ARE YOU DOING TO MEET THESE NEEDS?
3.	(D) HOW WELL DO YOU THINK THE INSTITUTION IS MEETING THE NEEDS OF ITS STUDENTS?
4.	WHAT ARE THE 3 MAJOR PROBLEMS YOU ANTICIPATE AT THIS INSTITUTION OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS? (Can you rank them?)
5.	WHAT FEDERAL AGENCIES AFFECT THIS INSTITUTION AND HOW DO THEY AFFECT THIS INSTITUTION?
6.	(A) WHAT FEDERAL PROGRAMS DO YOU HAVE HERE?
6.	(B) WHAT PERCENT OF THE BUDGET DO THEY COMPRISE?
6.	(C) WHAT ARE THE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THESE PROGRAMS?
11.	IF YOU WERE TO RECEIVE EXTRA FUNDING, FROM ANY SOURCE, WHICH INCREASED YOUR BUDGET 20%, HOW WOULD YOU ALLOCATE THOSE FUNDS? (Probe for specifics)
12.	IF YOUR FUNDS WERE TO BE DECREASED BY '0%, IN WHICH AREAS WOULD YOU MAKE CUTBACKS? (Probe for specifics)



- 14. WHAT DO YOU THINK ARE THE MAJOR ADMINISTRATIVE PROBLEMS FACING THIS INSTITUTION? (Probe for faculty administrative relations and other administrative personnel problems. Probe for increased participation in policy formulation by faculty and students, faculty-student advisory groups, etc.)
- 15. WHAT ARE SOME OF THE MAJOR PROBLEMS YOU HAVE EXPERIENCED WITH RESPECT TO THE PROFESSIONAL STAFF? (Probe for recruitment, job satisfaction and competence of faculty and other professional staff)
- 16. IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT QUALIFICATION OF A JUNIOR COLLEGE INSTRUCTOR? (e.g. Teaching experience at elementary, secondary, junior college and four-year level: Academic record: Demonstrated interest in students, and scholarly work.)
- 17. WHAT EVALUATIVE PROCEDURES DO YOU USE FOR TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS?
- 18. DOES THIS COLLEGE CONDUCT ANY INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH?
  - 1. Yes (What types and have you found this research to be helpful to you in performing your duties? Please explain)
  - No (Would you like to see this institution conduct its own institutional research? Please explain. Probe for the nature and benefits or lack of value of such research.)
- 26. THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION IS CONSIDERING MAKING PERIODIC SURVEYS OF JUNIOR COLLEGES. WHAT KIND OF INFORMATION WOULD BE MOST USEFUL TO THIS INSTITUTION, IF THE SURVEY WERE TO BE COLLECTED ON A REGULAR BASIS BY THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION?



# DEAN OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

# INTERVIEW SCHEDULE FOR KEY ADMINISTRATORS

I would like to ask you some questions about the role of your junior college relative to the needs of this community and to the needs of the students.

- 1. (A) WHAT DO YOU CONSIDER TO BE THE COMMUNITY YOUR JUNIOR COLLEGE SERVES? (Probe for what part of the city mostly served and its demographic characteristics, i.e. socioeconomic, ethnic and age composition.)
- 1. (B) WHAT DO YOU FEEL ARE THE BASIC REASONS MOST STUDENTS ATTEND YOUR COLLEGE?
- 2. (A) HAVE YOU MADE ANY ATTEMPTS TO DETERMINE THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY? (Probe for systematic surveys studies, etc.)
  - 1. ____Yes (If yes, by what methods?)
  - 2. ____No (If no, why not? Probe for whether surveys are considered valuable.)
- 2. (B) IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT ARE THE 2 or 3 MAJOR EDUCATIONAL NEEDS OF THIS COMMUNITY? (Rank by order of importance)
- 2. (C) WHAT ARE YOU DOING TO MEET THESE NEEDS?
- 2. (D) HOW WELL IS THE INSTITUTION MEETING THESE NEEDS?



3.	(A) DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIAL METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE MAJOR EDUCATIONAL NEEDS OF YOUR STUDENTS? (Probe for systematic surveys, studies, etc.)
	1Yes (By what methods?)
	2No (Why not? Probe for whether surveys are considered valuable.)
3.	(B) IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT ARE THE 2 or 3 MAJOR EDUCATIONAL NEEDS OF THE STUDENTS PRESENTLY ATTENDING THIS COLLEGE? (Rank by order of importance)
3.	(C) WHAT ARE YOU DOING TO MEET THESE NEEDS?
3.	(D) HOW WELL DO YOU THINK THE INSTITUTION IS MEETING THE NEEDS OF ITS STUDENTS?
4.	WHAT ARE THE 3 MAJOR PROBLEMS YOU UNTICIPATE AT THIS INSTITUTION OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS? (Can y a rank them?)
5.	WHAT FEDERAL AGENCIES AF "CT THIS INSTITUTION AND HOW DO THEY AFFECT THIS INSTITUTION?
6.	(A) WHAT FEDERAL PROGRAMS DO YOU HAVE HERE?
6.	(B) WHAT PERCENT OF THE BUDGET DO THEY COMPRISE?
6.	(C) WHAT ARE THE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THESE PROGRAMS?
11.	IF YOU WERE TO RECFIVE EXTRA FUNDING, FROM ANY SOURCE, WHICH INCREASED YOUR BUDGET 20%, HOW WOULD YOU ALLOCATE THOSE FUNDS? (Probe for specifics)



- 12. IF YOUR FUNDS WERE TO BE DECREASED BY 20%, IN WHICH AREAS WOULD YOU MAKE CUTBACKS? (Probe for specifics)
- 13. WHAT ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT RESPONSIBILITIES OF YOUR FACULTY? (Probe for the three most important and rank them)
- 15. WHAT ARE SOME OF THE MAJOR PROBLEMS YOU HAVE EXPERIENCED WITH RESPECT TO THE PROFESSIONAL STAFF? (Probe for recruitment, job satisfaction and competence of faculty and other professional staff)
- 16. IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT QUALIFICATION OF A JUNIOR COLLEGE INSTRUCTOR? (e.g. Teaching experience at elementary, secondary, junior college and four-year level: Academic record: Demonstrated interest in students, and scholarly work.)
- 17. WHAT EVALUATIVE PROCEDURES DO YOU USE FOR TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS?
- 18. DOES THIS COLLEGE CONDUCT ANY INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH?
  - 1. Yes (What types and have you found this research to be helpful to you in performing your duties? Please explain)
  - No (Would you like to see this institution conduct its own institutional research? Please explain. Probe for the nature and benefits or lack of value of such research.)
- 20. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE OCCUPATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES AVAILABLE IN THE COMMUNITY?
- 21. PLFASE DESCRIBE THOSE PROGRAMS NOW OPERATING IN YOUR AREA OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION WHICH DIRECTLY RELATE TO THE OPPORTUNITIES IN THE COMMUNITY. (Probe for on-the-job training, local business and industry cooperation.)



26. THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION IS CONSIDERING MAKING PERIODIC SURVEYS OF JUNIOR COLLEGES. WHAT KIND OF INFORMATION WOULD BE MOST USEFUL TO THIS INSTITUTION, IF THE SURVEY WERE TO BE COLLECTED ON A REGULAR BASIS BY THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION?

# DEAN OF PUPIL PERSONNEL SERVICES

# INTERVIEW SCHEDULE FOR KEY ADMINISTRATORS

I would like to ask you some questions about the role of your junior college relative to the needs of this community and to the needs of the students.

1. IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT ARE THE MAJOR COUNSELING NEEDS OF THE STUDENTS AT THIS COLLEGE?

Low ability?
Need for remediation?
Unrealistic aspirations?
Lack of vocational information?
Uncertainty about future plans?
Need for cultural enrichment?
Special or unique counseling needs of students at this college?

2. WHAT PROPORTION OF THE STUDENT BODY AVAILS THEMSELVES OF THE SERVICES OF THE STUDENT COUNSELING SERVICE?

Are there any sectors of the student population that use student counseling services more than others?

- 3. WHAT IS THE STUDENT/COUNSELOR RATIO AT THE COLLEGE?
- 4. WHAT KINDS OF PROGRAMS EXIST TO MEET THE COUNSELING NEEDS OF THE STUDENTS?

Learning center? Peer counseling?

5. HAVE YOU ANY MEANS OF EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF YOUR COUNSELING PROGRAM?

What are some of the results?

6. WHAT ARE THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR THE POSITION OF COUNSELOR AT THE COLLEGE?



7. WHAT IS THE ROLE OF THE FACULTY IN THE COUNSELING PROGRAM?

Course advising? Vocational guidance? Personal counseling?

How would you assess the faculty response?

8. IS THERE AN OPERATIVE PHILOSOPHY OF COUNSELING AMONG THE STAFF AT THIS COLLEGE?

Basic aims of the counseling program?

9. WHAT, IF ANY, FORMAL PROVISIONS ARE THERE FOR INPUT INTO POLICY MAKING FROM THE COUNSELING SERVICE IN ANY AREA OF INSTITUTIONAL OPERATION?

Student affairs? Curriculum? Finance?

10. HOW MANY HOURS PER WEEK ON THE AVERAGE DO YOU SPEND IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES?

Counseling

Teaching

Research

Administration

Other (specify)

11. NOW SPECIFICALLY WITH REGARD TO THE TIME DEVOTED TO COUNSELING, WHAT PROPORTION DO YOU ALLOCATE TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING?

Advisement on course selection

Long-range educational guidance

Vocational counseling

Personal counseling

Other (specify)



12. (If time permits)
WHAT DO YOU SEE AS THE MAJOR TRENDS
IN STUDENT COUNSELING? ARE THERE
DISCERNIBLE SHIFTS IN COUNSELING
NEEDS OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS?
WHAT CHANGES DO YOU FORESEE IN THE
YEARS AHFAD?



#### FISCAL OFFICER

#### INTERVIEW SCHEDULE FOR KEY ADMINISTRATORS

I would like to ask you some questions about the role of your junior college relative to the needs of this community and to the needs of the students.

- (A) WHAT FEDERAL PROGRAMS DO YOU HAVE HERE?
   (B) WHAT PERCENT OF THE BUDGET DO THEY COMPRISE?
   (C) WHAT ARE THE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THESE PROGRAMS?
   WHAT DO YOU PERCEIVE TO BE YOUR COLLEGE'S MOST IMPORTANT FINANCIAL NEEDS AT THE PRESENT TIME? (Probe for difficulties and for priority?)
   WHAT ARE YOUR PRESENT PRIMARY SOURCES OF REVENUE? (Probe for relative percentages)
   IF YOU WERE TO RECEIVE EXTRA FUNDING, FROM ANY SOURCE, WHICH INCREASED YOUR BUDGET 20%, HOW WOULD YOU ALLOCATE THOSE FUNDS? (Probe for specifics)
- 12. IF YOUR FUNDS WERE TO BE DECREASED BY 20%, IN WHICH AREAS WOULD YOU MAKE CUTBACKS? (Probe for specifics)
- 22. DO YOU RUN COST-ANALYSIS STUDIES AT THIS COLLEGE?1. No
  - 2. Yes (Please specify. Does the state require such information from you? Can you give us the cost for each student per course or program?)



23.	IS THE DATA BASE UPON WHICH YOU MAKE FISCAL RECOMMENDATIONS ADEQUATE? (e.g. student enrollment figures, enrollment trend analysis, and other kinds of "costing out" data)
	1Yes (What kinds of data do you use?)
	2No (What kinds of data would you like to have?)
24.	WOULD YOU INDICATE SOME IMPORTANT FISCAL STUDIES WHICH YOU THINK OUGHT TO BE CONDUCTED BY YOUR INSTITUTION, THE STATE, AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT?
	YOUR INSTITUTION:
	THE STATE:
	THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT:
25.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THAT PORTION OF YOUR BUDGET WHICH IS "RESTRICTED" BY STATE LAW AND HOW THIS LEGALITY AIDS OR IMPEDES YOUR EFFORTS?
26.	THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION IS CONSIDERING MAKING PERIODIC SURVEYS OF JUNIOR COLLEGES. WHAT KIND OF INFORMATION WOULD BE MOST USEFUL TO THIS INSTITUTION, IF THE SURVEY WERE TO BE COLLECTED ON A REGULAR BASIS BY THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION?

TABLE 4-1

DISTRIBUTION OF TYPE OF EDUCATIONAL COALS STRESSED BY JUNIOR COLLEGE PRESIDENTS

Ту	pe of educational goal stressed	(Number)*	Percent
I.	Personal development of student	( 8)	57
II.	Social development of student	(10)	71
III.	Societal development	(9)	64
IV.	Other	(1)	7

^{*}Number of respondents = 14. Many presidents gave responses in more than one category.



TABLE 4-2

DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENT-ORIENTED EDUCATIONAL GOALS OF JUNIOR COLLEGE PRESIDENTS

Cools						Pre	sid	lent	s b	y nu	mber	•			
Goals	1	2	3*	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Cognitive- intellectual development								x	x		x				
Emotional development				х			x		x			x	x		2
Cultural- aesthetic development								x							
Philosophical development									x				x		) :
Development of social skills				х			x	x	x		x				
Development of political skills	x										x		•		
Development of economic skills				x	x	x	x	x	x	x					   
Total	1	0	*	3	1	1	3	4	5	1	3	1	2	0	

^{*}President No. 3 of the sample was unavailable for interview. Total number of respondents = 14.



TABLE 4-3

DISTRIBUTION OF TYPE OF SYSTEM FUNCTION STRESSED IN ROLE DEFINITION BY JUNIOR COLLEGE PRESIDENTS*

Type of system function	(Number)	Percent
I. System maintenance	(10)	71
II. System integration	(4)	28
III. System adaptation	(8)	57
IV. Goal attainment	(4)	28

*Many presidents gave responses in more than one category. Multiple responses in the same category were counted as one.

TABLE 4-4

DISTRIBUTION OF SOURCES OF EDUCATIONAL GOALS STRESSED
BY JUNIOR COLLEGE PRESIDENTS

So	urces	(Number)	Percent
I.	Sources internal to the college	(9)	64
	A. The college in general B. The president, administrators C. Faculty D. Students	(4) (4) (4) (6)	29 29 29 43
II.	Community or public sources	(13)	93
	A. The public in general B. Local employers C. Public agencies (national and state)	(10) ( 2) ( 4)	71 14 29
	D. Board of trustees	(2)	14
ΙI.	Other	(2)	14

^{*}Most presidents gave multiple answers which fell into various subclasses of both major categories of responses. Therefore the percentage totals of the sub-classes may exceed the percentage of the category as a whole.



TABLE 4-5 FREQUENCY OF ADMINISTRATORS' REFERENCE TO FACULTY-ADMINISTRATION PROBLEMS*

Type of problem	(N =	dents 14)** Percent	(N =	instruction 15) Percent	Deans of v education (Number)	
1. Differences regarding philosophy, priorities	(4)	29				••
2. Attempts to assert excessive control over decision-making by faculty	(1)	7	(2)	13	(1)	7
<ol><li>Resistance to changes and innovation by faculty</li></ol>	(1)	7	(4)	2.7	(4)	27
<ol> <li>Lack of proper orienta- tion toward the junior college</li> </ol>			(1)	7	(3)	20
5. Lack of rapport be- tween faculty and administration			(2)	13		
6. Insufficient participation in, and responsibility for, decisionmaking by faculty	(2)	14	(3)	20		
7. Other	(2)	14				
8. None mentioned	(6)		(7)		(8)	

^{*}Some respondents gave multiple responses; therefore, the total number of responses does not correspond to the number of responding administrators.

**Due to scheduling difficulties, one president was unavailable for interview. In



total, 14 presidents were interviewed.

TABLE 4-6

FREQUENCY OF ADMINISTRATORS' REFERENCE TO MAJOR ADMINISTRATIVE PROBLEMS*

Ac	hministrative problem	Pres N = (Number)	- •	Dean of in N = (Number)	<b>1</b> 5	Fiscal of N = 1 (Number)	.5
1.	Recruitment	(3)	21	(2)	13	<del></del>	
2.	Budget	(5)	36	(3)	20		
	a) Capital Outlay					(9)	60
	b) Salaries	(3)	21	(1)	7	(6)	40
	c) Equipment	(1)	7	(1)	7	(6)	40
	d) Other					(8)	53
3.	Internal communication	(1)	7	(5)	33		
4.	Decision- making and organiza- tional pro- cedures.	(2)	14	(6)	40		
5.	Other	(2)	14	(11)	73		
6.	None mentioned	(7)	•,	(2)	, ,	(1)	

^{*}Some officials gave multiple answers. Therefore the total number of responses does not necessarily correspond to the number of administrations responding.

**Fiscal officers were limited to identifying budgetary problems.



TABLE 4-7

ASSESSMENT OF SPECIFIC AREAS OF COLLEGE-COMMUNITY
RELATIONS AS REPORTED BY JUNIOR COLLEGE PRESIDENTS

Area of college- community relation- ship	Cited a	s good Percent	Cited as inadequate (Number) Percent		
1. Tax support	(3)	21	(2)	14	
2. Cooperation with business community	(3)	21			
3. Attendance at public events	(4)	29	(2)	14	
4. Response to community service programs	(2)	14			
5. Approval of adult education programs	(2)	14			
5. Alumni support	(2)	14	(2)	14	
7. Support from other specified sectors			(3)	21	
3. Other			(6)	43	
Total responding*	(7)	50	(9)	64	

^{*}A total of 14 presidents were interviewed. Most of these officers gave multiple responses. Therefore, the sum of total responses exceeds the number of presidents responding.



TABLE 4-8

MAJOR EDUCATIONAL NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY
AS REPORTED BY DEANS OF INSTRUCTION AND
DEANS OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

Educational need		s of uction	Deans of vocational education			
	(Number)	Percent	(Number)	Percent		
1. General education	(1)	7	(2)	13		
<pre>7 Adult (continuing)   education</pre>	( 3)	20	(2)	13		
3. Remedial education	(6)	40	(4)	27		
4. Transfer (academic education	(1)	7	(1)	7		
<ol><li>Vocational counseling and training</li></ol>	(9)	60	(6)	40		
6. Educational programs for minority and disadvantaged sectors of the community	- <b>-</b> -		(4)	27		
7. Modification of community attitudes toward education in general, and vocational education in particular	( 7)	47	(5)	33		
8. Educational support services (health, financial, etc.)	( 3)	20				
9. Other	(2)	13	(1)	7		
Total responding*	(14)	93	(11)	73		

^{*}Most respondents gave multiple responses. Therefore the sum of responses in all categories exceeds the total of respondents.



TABLE 4-9

STRENCTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF FEDERAL FUNDING PROGRAMS AS REPORTED BY DEANS OF INSTRUCTION, DEANS OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND CHIEF FISCAL OFFICERS

			uction	voc.	ns of educ.	Fisc offic	ers
Respo	Responses		Percent	(Number)	Percent	(Number)	Percent
WEAK	NESSES						
1.	Funds too sporadic and/or insufficient	(5)	33	(3)	20	(7)	47
2.	Excessive admini- strative requirements	(3)	20	(3)	20	(7)	47
3.	Inflexible admini- strative requirements	(5)	33	(3)	20	(5)	33
4.	Matching requirements hurt small schools	(1)	7	(1)	7	(2)	13
5.	Other	-	-	-	-	(2)	13
	Total responding*	(10)	67	(6)	40	(11)	73
STREN	IGTHS						
1.	Financial aid to students	-	-	(1)	7	(7)	47
2.	Stimulation of educational programs	(3)	20	(2)	13	(5)	33
3.	Stimulation of development of physical plant	_	_	_	_	(3)	20
4.	Other	(2)	17	(7)	20	1	
7.		, ,	13	(3)	20	(2)	13
	Total responding*	(5)	33	(4)	27	(10)	67

^{*}Most respondents gave multiple responses. Therefore the sum total of responses exceeds the total of respondents.



# APPENDIX C

STUDENT MARGINAL DATA
AND
TABLES TO CHAPTER 5



1.4/65

O.M.B. No. 51-S71038E APPROVAL EXPIRES 6-30-72

# THE STUDY OF JUNIOR COLLEGES

# STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE

**MARGINALS** 

and

% Missing Observations (in Parentheses)

Center for the Study of Fvaluation Graduate School of Education University of California, Los Angeles

Office of Education Contract No. 0-70-4795 OE FORM 2337-3, 11/71



161-167-

Digostore

A colling recommend from the energy arranged on a nation with study of a selection of the many of the energy of the national delication. These tash is been, an interaction of Higher I during Process of U.C.I.A. Contention the Study of I different anticonsulation the National Contention I of a trional Study is the Office of I has a term. The study of the anticology process are also of the Office of I transfer above the trional content of the energy of the energy and the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the energy of the ener

By the same a few potentials of the strong point of the strong and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and th

The restriction of the posterior for the contract of the restriction of the restriction of the restriction.

- 1. Designer of many on last office.
- 5. When you have a suplete this questionning, please write courses in and solved in the space provide con the attached posters' particles.

. The first section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section

This procedure allows a toknow of the non-return file questionnaire obtains a constant of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of

Yer man.

I - s W Tren
Principal Investigator





BUSINESS REPLY CARE

First Class Permit No. 16046, Los Angeles, California

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
THE STUDY OF JUNIOR COLLEGES
MOORE HALL 14S
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

When you have completed and returned this questionnaire, please return this postcard in a separate marking. Please also write your name and school in the space below.

By so doing, you allow us to know who has returned questionnaires while preserving the strict anonymity of the questionnaires themselves.

Name	
School	

Thank you



This is a meranter of the second of a consequence of a strong and compared to the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the seco

#### YOUR GENERAL BACKGROUND

١.	91141 15 1110	N 1511	()}	$1 \pm 11$	11	MORCOTTICE	7.111.1	$Y \in \mathcal{V}$	161 (	\
	ROTTED									

	WHAT AN ADDISONAL ASSOCIATION		16 - 19 = 39% 20 - 23 = 23%	24-29=19% 30-38=12%
٠.	VIII IS YOUR ST58 2 _4	12	; · ·; (1)*	39-66=7%
	with the Property of the Control of the Control			
	1 1 2 20 10 10 1			

AND THE ROLL OF LOCATION TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE RESIDENT AND THE ROLL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL OF THE ROLL

	(a) While in High School	(b) At Present
1. I ARGI (GIA) (1.00.) (1.00.) (1.00.) (1.00.)	2 <u>5</u> 9	_26_ _10_
<ul> <li>OTIA — Option of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Co</li></ul>	<u> 16</u> _ 6_	21.
. SMALL CLEV on 1 MAX howell in the	<u> 34</u>	_30_
1. FARM OR OPEN COUNTRY	(5)	<u>5</u> (7)

*Parentheses indicate missing data.

- 6. WHAT IS YOUR ESTIMATE OF YOUR TAMILY SINCOME WHEN YOU WERF 17 YEARS OLD?
  - 1. 12 Less than \$3,000
  - 2. 23 \$ 3,001 to \$6,000
  - 3. 32 \$ 6,001 to \$10,000
  - 1. 22 \$10,001 to \$15,000
  - 5. <u>9</u> \$15,001 to \$25,000
  - $0. \quad \frac{3}{(5)} 0 \text{ or } $25,000$
- T. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST FORMAL TDUCATIONAL TENTE ATTAINED BY BOTH YOUR MOTHER AND LATHER? (Please check each column ones).

	Father	Mother
1. Sth grade or less	26	20
2. Some high school	17	19
3. High school graduate	.25	34
4. Vocational, technical or business schools beyon laride 15	8	9
5. Some college	13	11
6. Bachelor's degree	5	4
7. Some graduate work	2	1
8. Mister's learer	2	1
9. Doctorate or professional degree	3	1
10. Does not apply		
11. Do not know		
	(5)	(4)

S. PITASI IN MONTH THE OCCUPATIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF BOTH AOUR LATHER AND MOTHER 'THEN AOUR REFER ARS OFD, 'He either of your earen's were deceased when you were 17, mark their last occupation."

PHEASE ALSO INDICATE WHAT YOU EXPECT YOUR OCCUPATION WILL LIE.

		Father	Mother	Your- self
1.	Or head workers on hear controlling farm. The steep controlling the estimate forces.	12	7	5
	Some kills brocker such as rachine termination and process are a constant to the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of t	22	14	7
٠.	Shalled there is on sales such as book- beinger, sales representative, correlated	6	13	10
٠.	Skill'e craftstran or foreman such as electro, an, baker, eargenter, bricklaver, to foreman.	23	1	6
•	Problem of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the	4	0	1
٠.	Alternative of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the sectio	11	2	5
		5	0	1
	Note that the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is $(x,y) = (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y) + (x,y$	2	2	13
•	Maria de la casa de la Casa de la casa de la c			
	•	13	5	33
	lawyer)	3	2	9
:	to ·	1	53	1
			1	7
		(5)	(3)	(16)

- 9. HOW MANY BOOKS WERE IN YOUR HOME WHEN YOU WERE IT YEARS OLD?
  - 1. <u>23</u> 25 or less
  - 2. 17 26 50
  - 3. <u>20</u> 51 100
  - $1. -22_{-101} 250$
  - 5. <u>18</u> 251 or more
- 10. PLEASE INDICATE BELOW YOUR PRESENT EMPLOYMENT PLANS, IT ANY.
  - 1. 67 tam presently employed
  - 2. 10 am not vorking, and do not plan to work while in college
  - 3. 14 1 im nor working, but am looking for a pare-time pol-
  - 1. 4 I mi not working, but am looking for a full-time job
  - 5. 5 have not made any plans ver
- 11. II YOU ARE NOW WORKING, PELANT INDICATE HOW MANY HOURS PER WEEK YOU ARE EMPLOYED.
  - 1. 4 9 hours per week or less
  - 2. 21 10 to 19 hours per seek
  - 4. 17 20 to 29 hours per week
  - 1. 11 30 to 39 hours per week
  - s. 47 10 or more hours per week
  - 6. (35) Does not apple

#### YOUR EDUCATIONAL STATUS

CONTRACT TO A HIGH SCHOOL AND COLLECT CRADE DOLL AND RACE The state of the kindle of the market considered by the representation of the holds of the point of the state of the state of the state of the point of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state and a recompleted in least one fall some sterior queries.

	High School grade point average	Callege grade poin averoge (befare cur rent term)	
1, 3	5	12	
ъ. в	34	41	
s. C.	30	26	
+• (	21 .	16	
-, c	7	5	
C. True Section	2	2	

- T. Does not apply
- C. ARE YOU A LITT-TIME OR PART-TIME STUDENTS of ull-time represents in terms of the terminal of 15 parter emission
  - 1. 63 Full-time student
  - · 37 Part-run Stufent
- (2)
  10 RHALLS YOUR CURRENT ENROLLMENT OF ASSILICATION OF ISS in kiramos capita
  - i 90 les letterreadur reference (2)
    - 6 Includes in that charges in (2)
  - 3 Per hormonere la classe (2)
  - . 6 our Please position (2)
- 1. WHEN ARE YOUR CLASSES SCHEDULEDS
  - 1. 56 Day mix
  - 30 North and a tree strongers.
  - 3. 14 (set to minute
    - (2)

10. HOW MANY COLLEGE UNITS HAVE YOU COMPRESSION (Please check either semester or quarter units, whichever applicable.)

		Semester units	Quarter units
1.	15 or under	36	_43_
	10 80	_21	_16
,	×1 45	16	11
i	40 (1)	15	_13
·	61 - 100	9	_13_
()	Over 100	4	5_
4	WHAT IS NOT BUILD IN MALES	(29)	(80)

1. A. WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT MAJOR: (Below is a list of majors grouped by subject in us.) Please check the one that best describes your current major in either the "Trunsfer" list or the Two-Year program list. Note "Trunsfer" Mujors that normally require a bachelor's or higher degree are listed in the first section. Majors normally requiring two years or less are listed mext.)

#### "TRANSFER" MAJORS

# TETTERS AND SCHNOLS

1. ____Control liberal art

# SOCIAL SCHNOLS

- 2 Psychology Socielogy, Anthropology
- Arros Veres in black of a restulic
- for Mexican-Archeon rube.
- c. ____Other Social Sciences

#### SCH VCLS NON-MEDICAL AND MATHEMATICS

- . Bully ils res
- Scarley Method inc
- A THE CA
- 10 _____Chc - r
- 11. —— First Sacross

  12. —— Other Liver at Sacross

#### I' A. IRANSII R" MAJORS (Continue I)

#### LINE ARTS

- In. Music
- 15. ____Drama
- Io. ____Other time ares

## HUMANIHIS AND LANGUAGES

- 17. ____l oreign lineuse
- Is. ____Inglish
- 10 ____sech
- O. -- Plac sym
- 1. Other he mentes

#### MEDICAL PROFESSIONS

- Sursing Exercise
- 33. _____ D nor ...
- Me 9 15 M.D.
- 2 . ____O; metry, Phirmacy, Preset.
- r ____Orle con all a pro-

# ACPICALITY PARAMETERISOTRE'S

- * ____ Azer alrae
- S. The Atlanta
- to an entry of a restrict the sources

#### LDF CATTON

- St. ____Ph. ci f. do.

- 1 --- --- 17



. 1.	FRANSFERC MAJORS (Continued)
	OTHER PROFESSION VEAREAS
	35. Architecture, urban planning, etc.
	36Business administration, accounting, etc.
	37Computer sciences
	381 ngincering
	39,Home economics, nutrition, etc.
	101 aw
	11. Law inforcement, corrections, criminology
	12Other (Journalism, Library Science, Religion, etc.)
	UND CODED IN ANY ARLA
	13. Lindecided
	TWO-YEAR PROGRAMS
	AGRICULIT RI.
	11. Agriculture, animal science, forestry and nateral resources
	BUSINESS
	15Management,counting
	16. ——Marketing, sales
	47. ———Secretairal
	18. ——— Data processing
	19, ——Other business
	ARIS
	50, Art, photography, clothing design tournalism
	III AI TH SI RAICES
	51. Registered nursing
	52Vocational nursing
	53 Me freal-femal assisting
	54Medical reshmerans (Lab Tooles, Neray, etc.)
	55 Ohlor and head



144

# PUBLIC PERSONAL SERVICES

50. Police seience
5°lire science
55,Cosmctology
50leacher aide, nursery school education, social welfare aide
(0,Home economics
(1,Airline stewardess
Other
11 CHNICA1
(3) Acrematics against on
() Automora e repair
$C^*$ ,Burl time trades (including retrigeration, heating, plumbing, or conditioning)
66Driving tool design
67Ingincering Auto civil, mechanical, surveying, chemical
CSl lectromes and appliance repair
( )Industrial management
"0,l ood services r trainin manacement
The Me himself much re shop, welling)
Printing Inthographics
ToMetals metallures pristics, sheet metal
Thelextilesholstering, sowing garacut countricturing
18c = Other Please specify
UNDECIDED IN ASSESSMENT
GI recorded
HE YOU HAVE CHANGED YOUR MAJOR ONE OR MORE TIMES, WHAT WAS YOUR FIRST MAJOR? Please vitte the major and its number serve. It is the above lists.)
First major



1 . 5.

d'i ase check as many as applica	113 11077
1. 42 I am in AV 1 gree and transfer to a four-year school	(2)
2. 11 Complete two years and transfer without an AV degree	
3 8 Transfer before completing two years (2)	
1. 16   trn an AA degree only (2)	
5 6 Larna vocational certaincate only (2)	
6. 14 Take a group of courses to prepare for an occupation (	2)
= 8 I ike a few courses to improve my skills in my presen	
8 11 Take 1 few courses for personal enjoyment and enrichi	
9. 2 Make up high school detectioners (2)	(-)
10 6 Other Phase specify (2)	,
10Other rease specific	,
19. HOW CERTAIN DO YOU TITLE ABOUT ACHIEVING YOUR LDU	CAHONAI
GOAT \$2	
1. <u>58</u> Certain	
5, 37 I think I may make it, but it will be hard	
3,5Doubtful	
i, 1 Not likely	
(2) 20. DO YOU PLAN TO TRANSFER FROM THIS INSTITUTION?	
1. <u>65</u> Yes alf yes, please msw-rall following questions:	
2. 35. No (If no, please skip to item 24)	
(4)	
21. II YOU PLAN TO TRANSFER, WHAT DEGREE DO YOU HOPE	10 311 4157
1. 66 Bachelor's	
23 Master's	
3, 11 Ph.D. or prote should be recessed as in law, me from	· , (f ,)
4. ———Does not apply (44)	
22. WHIN DO YOU INPLOT TO TRANSFER?	
1. 26 Next emester	
20 Atteriore or	
5. 33 Augustus	
20 Indeeded	
•	
(40)	
( )	

23. HEYOU ART PLANNING TO THE INDICATE WILL TYPE OF SC		
1. 2 Public junior college		
2. O Prate sunior college		
3. 3 Public teachers colle	g t	
4. O Private teachers colle		
5. 34 Pablic four-year colle		
oPrivate four-year colli		
45 Public university	· ·	
S. 5 Private university		
o. 4 Och - Please speem	: <del>_</del>	
IuDocs not apply		
(41)		
24. (A) ARE YOU NOW EXPOSED MENTAL STUDIES?	DIN RIMIDIAL COURS	IS OR DIVITOP-
1. 17 100		
83		
(7)		
do H M S, IN WHICH COURSE	SARL YOU NOW I NRO	0111102
1. <u>64</u> 1 nelish (84)		
. <u>50 Mathematics</u> (84)		
3. Other Please specify:	19 (84)	
4. Does not apply	, ,	,
.,		
(C) HAYOU HAVE COMPLETE ALL OPMENTAL STUDIES, The iscobiling a cache cour	DID YOU LARY A. C.	RSI S OR DI • OR BI I II R?
	Yes No	Does not apply / N.A.
1. Unglish	94 6	_(77)_
2. Mathematics	88 12	(83)
3 Other Piece point		
·	<u>78</u> <u>22</u>	<u>(96)</u>
25 - 111 2 - 1 2 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
27. ARI YOUNOW ATHINDING IF	n Corrigiot York	CHOICI /
$1\frac{83}{2} $		
· 17. \ . (2)		
1 / 1		

	26 41 <b>K</b>	TYOU COULD HAVE PICKED ANY COLLEGE YOU WANTED, WEAT IND WOULD YOU HAVE CHOSEN?
	1.	54 This school
		3_ Another junior college
		26 A State college or university
		11 A private college or university
		5 Technical or business college
		Other (Please specify:
		(5)
	(	HAT ARE THE THREE MOST IMPORTANT REASONS WHY YOU ENTERED OLLEGE? (Please write "1" next to the most important reason, "2" for
lst	2110	e next important, and "3" for the third most important.)
34	$\frac{21}{7}$	To obtain skills and training for a 30b
$\frac{2}{76}$	3	4 I didn't know what else to do
<u>36</u>	22	To enter a career in business or a profession
<del>_</del>	1	To get married
	14	18 To develop my knowledge and interest in symmunity and world
2	5	9 My family wanted me to
0	2	- 5 For the social life
9	18	
0	1	For the athletics
(	0	O To take part in student government or activities
	0	To be with my friends
1	1	2 My employer requested it
1	2	To make up some high school deficiencies
5	9	15 To take several courses for personal enjoyment and enrichment
5°	2	Other (Please specify)
(10)	(16)	(19)
		OW IMPORTANT DO YOU THINK IL IS TO YOUR PARENTS THAT YOU NISH COLET GE?
	1.	
		35 Important
		Not too important
		5 Of little or no importance
		8 They haven't expressed a concern one was or another
	ζ,	Does not apply
		(14)

- 29. HOW IMPORTANT IS FINISHING COLLEGE TO YOU?
  - 1. ______ Very important
  - 2. 29 Important
  - 3. 10 Not too important
  - 4. ____3 Of little or no importance
- (2)
  30. (A) WE ALL HAVE DIFFERENT PREFFRINCTS AND PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS. WE WOULD TIKE TO KNOW MORE ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP OF DIFFERENT CHOICTS AND TRAITS TO IMPORTANT COLLEGE
  AND SUBSEQUENT CAREER EXPLRIENCES. (Please mark "yes" for all
  the items you generally like, mark "no" for those you do not generally like.)

	I generally like:	Yes	No	N.A.
1	Unquestioning obedience		72	(5)
2.	Strict law enforcement	_50_	50	(5)
3.	The tried and true	61	39	(10)
4.	Determination and ambition	_96_	4	(3)
5.	Strong family ties		23	(4)
6	Unwayering patriotism	_42_	58	(8)
7.	Perfect balance in composition	53	47	(10)
8.	Novel experiences	75	25	(6)
9.	Predictable outcomes to problems	61	39	(6)
10.	Original work	93		(4)
11.	A set schedule of activities	46	54	(5)
12.	A proper place for everything	70	30	(4)
13.	The one right answer to questions	_45_	<u>56</u>	(5)
14.	Friends without complex problems	53	47	(6)
15.	Straight-forward reasoning	89	_11	(4)
16.	Dealing with new or strang i ideas	91	_ 9	(3)
17.	The perfectly completed object	61	39	(8)
18.	Quick unhesitating decisions	42	_58	(5)
19.	Original research work	81	19	(6)
20.	To draw my own conclusions	<b>_9</b> 5	_ 5	(3)
21	Solving long, complex problems	48	52	(5)
22.	·	64	36	(7)
23.	Science and mathematics	53	47	(5)
24.		_69_	31	(5)
25.	Men interested in ideas	92	8	(4)

		-1825		
		Yes	No	N.A.
ħ	Discovering how then as work	_92	8	(4)
2-	Ser motic displaces	62	38_	(5)
24.	Det eting faul vireasoning	_79	21	<b>(</b> 6)

(B) (Please mark "ces" for those adjectives that you think are generally descriptive of you mail "no" for those that are not.)

	I generally am	v		NI A
i	Well-organizet	Yes 65	No 35	N.A. (3)
,		87	13	(2)
	Practical	84	16	(4)
۲.	La locadu alestro	84	16	(3)
٠.	Questionin.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•	Protectible	40	60	(4)
(	Open- nin test	<u> 92</u>	9	(3)
٦.	Introspective			(15)
5.	1 sperimental		<u>25</u>	(3)
υ.	Creative	69_	_31_	(3)
10	Undistracted	26	74	(6)
11	Analytical	64_	_37_	(9)
12.	Critical-min ted	62	38	(5)
13	Scientific	36_	_61	(5)
1.	Sociable	84_	_16	(3)
15	Contemplify c	82	_18_	(9)
16.	Durstul	77	_23_	(6)
1"	Determined	92_	8	(4)
18.	Conventional	56	_44_	(7)
10	Unrestrained	46	_54_	(8)
90.	Adaptable	.94		(4)
21.	Permissine	69	_31_	(7)
, 1	Worted	45_	55	(5)
33.	Пфру	88	_12_	(4)
٠,	Cale,	<u>73</u>	_ 27_	(4)
25.	Self-contribent		28_	(5)
٠,	Nervor	37	_63	(5)
١	Vixioa	<u>61</u>	39_	(4)
٧.	Restiess	53	47	(4)
			-	

ST INDICATE WHETHER YOU OR YOUR PAPEX IS EXCACLED ANY OF THE LOTTO AND ACTIVITIES. Please of a configuration of the latest of the Configuration of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the latest of the lates

1 11	ner in 1 Self.	Mother	Father	Self
1.	Read o my books	41	_31_	56
	Read investmental such a HML, NEWSWILK, HILL TRONY To	4.	42	62_
٠.	Discussion Sugariors	24	45	44_
	Read this newspaper	77	85	74
-	A transport ssome relaboror, my atem	9_	26	12
	Arrent concerts plans or rechois	20_	13	_51_
	Premiipate in locality in the	13_	_18_	_14_
5	Belong to a collinguity or, makers in	28	_26_	22_
υ.	Vored in the last election	_81	_81_	_43_
1 1	Do volunteer work for a charitable organization	28	14	24
1+	Lollow sports   Losely	12	52	46
ı	Escall with IV new ever mant	64	_72_	_59_
1 4	Trequently hav population, is	4	3_	40_
1,	With LV for enter numerical asstances, angle	64_	_58_	42
		(10)	(16)	(1)

### VOCABULARY

THIS COCARGUARY TEST IS DESIGNED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON THE CORD POSTER OF COLLEGE STUDINES. SOMEOF THE CORDS ARE VERY DULY. ONLY A FEW PROPERCY AND PETINEALLY OF THEM COSKICLEY, SO DO NOT RESURE RISED IT SOME OR MANY OF THEM ARE ENTRY DULY AS FOLYOUT. THE CORDS TO BE DIFFINED AT PRINTED TO A FEW TESTINES. CONFERM ALTERIAL COSES FOR THE CAPITALLY TO COSES, FORK FOR A FORD THAT COMES CLOSES FOR THE SAME MEANING AND THE PARTIES IN CROSS OF UPAT FORD.

111CE 93%* (2)	11FE 99% (	1) CONJETN 79%	(2)
1	1	1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	•	. = 1 1	
•	•	14 To 20 1	
	•	. 1	

* Percent correct

į

```
BROADEN =98%(2)
                            BLUND=90%(3)
                                                     ACCUSTOM=62% (3)
1. __efface
                          1 ____dull
                                                   1. ___disappoint
2, ___make level
                          2. ___drowsy
                                                   2. --- customary
3. --- clapse
                          3. ___.deaf
                                                   3. ___cocounter
i ___cmbroider
                           1. ___doubt ful
                                                   i. ___get used
5. ____widen
                          5. ____ugly
                                                   s. ___business
  CHERRLP = 30\%(20)
                            101811 = 95\%(5)
                                                    PACT = 92%(7)
I. ----aspen
                          1. ___suspicious
                                                   1. ___puissance
2. ____joyful
                          2. ---- cligible
                                                   2. - __remonstrance
                                                   3. ___agreement
3. ____capsize
                          3. ___fit to cat
to --- chirp
                          4. ____sagacious
                                                   i. ___skiller
5. ..... incite
                          5. ___able to speak
                                                   5. ___prc ssure
  SOLICITOR = 57% (13)
                            ALLUSION = 36\%(11) CAPRICE = 45\%(17)
1. - lawyer
                          l. ____aria
                                                   1. ___value
2. ___chieft iin
                          2. ___illusion
                                                   2. ___a star
3. ___watchman
                          3. ___culogy
                                                   3. __grimace
i. ----- maggot
                          i. _ _ dream
                                                   t. ----whim
5. ___const_blo
                          5. ___reference
                                                   5. - inducement
  ANIMOSTY = 74\%(11)
                          1 \text{ MANAIT} = 37\% (17) \text{ MADRIGAL} = 66\% (25)
1. --- h tred
                          I. ___populate
                                                   1. ____song
2. ____ rumation
                          2. ___free
                                                   2. ___mountebank
3. ___disobedience
                          3. ___prominent
                                                   3. - lunatic
4. ___dirersity
                          i. ___rival
                                                   i. ___ribald
5. ___friendship
                          5. ____come
                                                   5. __sycophant
 CLOISTERED=45%(14) INCOME M = 30%(35) PRISTING=47%(26)
.. ---- miniaturo
                          1. ___repetition
                                                  1. ___tlashing
2. ___bunched
                          '. ___triend
                                                  2. __cirlier
3. ___ irche!
                          i. ___panektric
                                                   3. ___primeval
i. ___malads
                          i. ___abrasion
                                                   i. ----bound
5. ____ clude i
                          5. ___expulsion
                                                   5. ___green
  110111111 = 16\%(17) < 101101 < 25\%(27)
1. __tangibility
                          L. __muldici
2. ___grace
                          '- --- sluggish
                          3. __stupid
3. ___subtl. tv
i. - extensibility
                          in --- i se familia
5. __nan igeablem s
                          * -- corrup inc
```

# ADDITIONAL INFORMATION STUDENT FORM A



#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

33.	WHAT	15 1	OUR	MARITAL	. STATES?

- 1. _61_Smgle
- 2. 32 Married
- 3. 6 Divorced or Separated
- 1. _____Widowed

### 3). H YOU ARI MARRIED, HOW MANY CHILDREN DO YOU HAVE?

- 1. 29 None
- 2. 25 Onc
- 3. <u>20</u> 1wo
- 1. 15 1 hree
- 5. ___5_ l'our
- 6. 5 Five or more
- 7. (62) Does not apply /N.A.

### 35. WHAT IS YOUR RELIGIO S AFFILIATION AND THAT OF YOUR PARFNTS? (Please check each column, if your parents are deceased, indicate their religious affiliation when they were alive.)

•	Self	Father	Mother
1. Catholic	_37_	_37_	_38_
2. Jewish	_1_	_2_	2_
3. Protestant	41	46	48
i. None	_11_	_7_	3_
5. Other (Please specify.)	10	8	10
6. Does not apply/N.A.		<u>(9)</u>	_(5)

### 36. WHAT IS YOUR MILITARY STATES?

- 1. 3 Presently in terms service
- 1. 27 Veter in using G.f. Bitt
- 3. __13_Veteran but not using the G.I. Bill
- i. 57 Never served
- 5. (43) Does not apply /N.A.



	١٠.			A LANGUAGE OTHER THAN ENGLISH SPOKEN IN YOUR HOME. ING CHIEDHOOD?	
		i			
		:. <u> </u>			
		`· —	(2)	Document N.A.	
		1111	11 )	ES, 1911 ASUS PECHANNAL FANGLAGE	
	٠٠.	2111	1 % 11	OM DO 701-11/17	
		i	47	-Parenes	
		·	_3	=Countries of the res	
			31	±Max − C for a cool − a	
		1	15	The artist of the said	
				Don't or a traternic sorores	
				Other chaise spenty -	
				N.A.	
	ω.			EXPLCT TO LIVE IN THIS COMMUNITY AT LERYOUTINEST	
				1.141.57	
		۱. –			
		`			
		²	<u>35)</u>	Liberation /N.A.	
				Mark Art of Sunday	
	٠٠.			INDICALL, FY WRITING IN THE APPROXIMATE PERCENTALL, HELDANGIAE SUPPOPELOR YOUR EDUCATION YOUR REFER	
		<u>FRO</u>	МП	II FOLLOWING SOURCES,	
2	8	12	70	M (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
L	10			M. Oregon ( <b>35</b> )	
2_	٥			4 in the support is a process of the contract of the (35)	
2_	6	7	81	£ 1 (1. ) (35)	
	3			· · · · (35)	
)	1				(35)
l	1	2	95	I make a second	(34)
	4	3	82	Tour divise specify	(34)
_	┯	1	Y	[Ga. 84]. (33)	

.....(35)

_____(35)

ERIC

4 1 2 1 92 ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ;32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32

41.	TO WHAT EXTENT ARE FINANCES A PROBLEM IN TERMS OF YOUR EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS?
	1. 41 Not a problem
	2. 32 Minor problem
	3. 19 Difficult problem
	4. 8 Serious problem
	(1) <b>N.</b> A.
12.	ARE LOANS OR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS AT YOUR JUNIOR COLLEGE THROUGH THE STUDENT PERSONNEL SERVICES?
	1. 66 Yes (Please give examples:)
	2. 4 No
	3. (39) I don't know/N.A.
	4. 31 I think so
43.	HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF ANY LOANS, SCHOLARSHIPS OR WORK STUDY PROGRAMS FUNDED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR JUNIOR COLLEGE STUDENTS?
	1. 42 Yes (Please specify:)
	2. <u>58</u> No
	(6) N.A.
44.	HAVE YOU EVER TRIED TO GET A SCHOLARSHIP OR LOAN WHILE ENROLLED IN THIS SCHOOL?
	1. <u>84</u> No
	2. 4 Yes, but none were available
	35_Yes, but was insuccessful for other reasons
	4Yes, I received a loan or scholarship
	(Please specify:)
	(1) N.A.
45.	IF YOU ARE PRESENTLY EMPLOYED OR HAVE BEEN RECENTLY EMPLOYED, WHAT TYPE OF WORK DO YOU DO?
	1. 17 General worker (such as custodian, farm laborer, general and demostic laborer)
	2. 31 Semi-skilled worker (such as machine operator, retail clerk,
	wairress, truck driver, mail currier, barber)
	3. 19. Skilled clerical or sales (such as bookkeeper, sales representa- tive, secretary)
	4. 9 Skilled craftsman or foreman (such as electrician, baker, carpenter, bricklayer, factory foreman)
	5Protective service worker (such as policeman, military, fireman)
	6Owner or manager of small business or firm (such as insurance -
	real estate agent, store proprietor, contractor

. 1 Para war or action
5. 10 Semi-professional or technicum reach as programmer, lab
9. 8 Miniscerul in trestessional I meh as bank miniscer, public id anistrator, correction, school teacher, engineer, critical
10. 1 Memorial and prote sional Hessatta (physicane) rotessor,
11. <u>0 11. exale</u>
1 (16) Denot Inox / N.A.
15. ——— Discount off to
0. B YOU ARE EVEN IN CORKING, INDICATE THE SCHOOL REASON TORYOUR EMPLOYMENT
1. 53 I work to support we self or roy own trook
1. 18 In the cone to protective concation
5. 18 I work provided to recently specifing time the entertain cont.
the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the s
- 6 1 (1) (1) (1)
(. <u>5</u> 0) P ( ) P
$\frac{(44)}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$
C. HOUDOUS AORKING STREET YOUR LDICATIONAL PROCEESS OF THE
$1, \frac{32}{1}, \frac$
$\frac{32}{32}$
$\frac{26}{2}$
9 (3)
11 11 comment of the (3)
$\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}$
$\frac{26}{5}$
$\frac{5}{3} = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} +$
10. <u>19</u> (11. 30.00 (3)
11
;

18. IF EMPLOYED, HOW IS YOUR PRES CQURSE OF STUDY?	SENT JOB 1	RF LATE	Э то чоск
1. 31 Directly related to my cour	rse of study		
2. 26 In a related, but different a			
3. 43 Not related			
i. (3) Does not apply/N.A.			
19. (4) DO YOU PLAN TO MAKE A CAL PRESINT OCCUPATION?	RELROLY	OUR REC	INT OR
	Yes	No	Does not apply /N. A
1. Recent occupation	_17_	_83_	_(41)
2. Present occupation	_41_	_59_	(8)
(B) PLLASI DESCRIBE AS BESTY YOU DO OR RECENTLY DID, is cently did and at what kind of ple example: "I self clothes in a de-	tate exactly	y what wo k or recer	rk von do or res
1. Recent occupation.			
			<del></del>
2. Present occupation:			-

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION STUDEMT FORM B



### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONCERNING EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND STATUS

- 33. WHAT TYPE OF HIGH SCHOOL DID YOU ATTENDS of you attended more than one type of school, please check the type last attended.)
  - 1. 4 Public trade-technical school
  - 2. 81 Public regular high school
  - 3. <u>17</u> Catholic
  - 4. 1 Other church-related
  - 5. 2 Private-independent
  - 6. _(3) Does not apply /N.A.
- 34. WHAT KIND OF PROGRAM DID YOU TAKE IN HIGH SCHOOL?
  - 1. 41 ( ollege preparatory
  - 2. <u>47</u> General
  - 3. 4 Vocational arts
  - i. 9 Business
  - 5. _(5) Does not apply /N.A.
- 35. HOW MUCH DO YOU FEEL YOU BENI FITED FROM THE FOLLOWING EXPERIENCES IN HIGH SCHOOL? (Please check each item.)

	Not at	Some	A lot	Does not opply /N.A.
1. Classroom (care a retivities	5_	_52_	43	_(3)
2. Social activities	<u>19</u>	_50_	_31_	_(5)
3. Activities in Schoorganizations	_29	_44_	28	_(8)_
i. Athletic activities	28_	41	_31_	(10)
5. Vocational class s	30_	42	_27_	(27)
6. Business classes	_28	_44_	_28	(24)

5 .. DURING HIGH SCHOOL, WITH WHOM AND TO WHAT INTENDED YOU DISCUSS YOUR FIDECATIONAL PLANS AND VOCATIONAL INTERESTS. (Please check all that apply.)

	Very		Infre-	Not at		
	Often	Often	quently	oll	N.A.	
1. Parents	21	_32_	_34	13	(3)	
2. Counselor		23	43	_27_	(5)	
3. Leicher	_6_	_19_	_37	_38_	(6)	
i. Brother or sister	9	23	28	_40 _	(9)	

	Very Often	Often	Infre- quently	Not of oll	N.A.
5. Other adules	4_	18_	46	-31	(8)
6. Triends	<u> 18</u>	41_	30	10	(6)
T. Minister, priest, or rabbi		_3_	<u>13</u>	82	(10)

- 37. APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY OF YOUR HIGH SCHOOL FRIENDS WENT TO COLLI GE?
  - i. 20 All or mearly all
  - .. 29 Most
  - 3. 22 About haif
  - 1. 15_1 costh in half
  - 5. <u>15</u> Versitor
- (2) N.A. 35. WHINDED YOU DECEDE TO GO TO COULTED.
  - 1. 30 Arest grounds from high school
  - 2. 16 During by list year in high school
  - 3. 12 During my junior year in high school
  - 4. _5 Parine accessof homore we ar in high + hool
  - f. 10 lead in any title above
  - 6. 27 I always took it for grapte t
  - -. (14) 1 don't remember/N.A.
- 39. (A) HOW MICHENTETING DID LACH OF THE FOLLOWING PROPER HAVE ON YOUR DECISION TO ATTEMD COLLEGE & (Picase check cach itema)

Most influence		Much	Some	Little or None	N.A.
52	L. Parents	45	_28_	26	(5)
6	2 Counselors	_12_	_32_	<u>56_</u>	(7)
6	3 Leadors	_13_	_35	52_	(7)
13	4 Other a fasts	<u>16</u>	_40_	44	(7)
23	5. Members of national	_25_	43_	32	(6)
(25)	N.A.				
<b>1</b> B	). Who tatlactice two proofs = — Interiore of the above and				-

- 40. HOW MANY YEARS OF SCHOOLING DID YOU COMPILED BEFORE YOU EXROLLED IX COLLECT &
  - 1. 93 1 m + 1 m + 1 m + 1 m + 1
    - 4 11 01

  - 1 × cir or,
    - (1) N.A.

- (I. (A) IS THIS THEN THE TIRST COLLEGE YOU HAVE AT IT NDED)
  - 1. 69 Yes
  - 2. 31 \\( (1) \) N.A.
  - (B) II THIS IS NOT THE TERST COLLEGE YOU HAVE AT IT NOT D, WHA! TYPE OF COLLEGE DID YOU FIRST ATTEND?
    - 1. 37 Another junior college
    - 2. 34 A public university or state college
    - 3. 15 A private four-year college or university
    - 1. 11 A private trade school or business college
    - 5. 4. An extension center
    - 6. (70) Does not apple /N.A.
  - (c) IF YOU DID ATTEND ANOTHER COLLEGE AND DID NOT GRADUATE, PLEASE INDICATE THE REASONS WHY YOU DID NOT TINISH. (Click
  - N.A. as many as apply.)
  - (74) 1. 20 Academic difficulties poor grades
  - (74) 2. <u>23</u> 1 maneral problems
  - (74) 3. <u>17</u> Moved from the area
  - (74) 4. 17 Military service (drafted or enlisted)
  - (74) 5. 11 The school did not offer the courses I wanted
  - (74) 6. 13 illness or personal problems
  - (74) -. <u>17</u> I lost interest in school
  - (74) 8. 8 I really didn't know what it was all about
- (74) 9. 23 I wasn't clear about what I wanted to do
- (74) to. 20 Ot sr
  - II. _____Does not appre

P. WHAT ARE THE THREE MOST IMPORTANT REASONS YOU CHOST

		THIS PARTICULAR COLLEGE of Please check only surcess, using the following code of the cost important, a most most important, 5 third
(3)	<b>(2</b> )	most in the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control o
(3) 23	25	1. 29 1 m cost
20	38	20_ (1 se to hore
19	14	s. 27. 1. , are also an extware every offere there
8	8	ic 8.1 per decine actives up and enter a four-year school
7	2	= 1 to soft of there's are note
2	1	c. 1. A state was services allege to the about it
1	1	T. On America programs
1	1	Sometimes of the second section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of t
4	3	1 1 hours of the land should relieve to counselier
3	2	19. Let one chool in the res
3	1	11I ten t know what else to to I ton r really know why
2	2	12 3 It set in each of Leodified into because a grades were low-
1	1	I have been a second or the second of the second
,	7	1 11
6		Tr. 8. One Pleas spent
(19)	(15	5) (10) - A. H.V. E.YOU ESSENSHINDRASS EKOMETHE COLLEGE YO. ARE NO C.
	,	ATTI NDING
		i. 21 Yes
		(2)
		5 (4 M.S., 2HY DID YOU ZITHIRAY)
		1. 3 A Charles of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the
		<b>19</b> ( ) ( )
		. <u>5</u>
		7 M
		<b>3</b> (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
		. 251
		17()
		21 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		(81) /N.A.

- (C) If YOU WITHDREW FROM THIS COLLEGE AT ANY TIME, HOW LONG WERE YOU OUT OF SCHOOL?
  - 1. 60 | semester or quarter
  - 2. 18_1 year
  - 3. 10 2 years
  - 1. 8 3 5 years
  - 5. 4 Over 5 years
  - 6. (83) Does not apply
- 44. H YOU DROPPED OUT OF ANY OTHER COLLEGE, HOW LONG WERE YOU OFF OF SCHOOL?
  - 1. 20 I semester or quarter
  - 2. 19 | Vear
  - 3. 11 2 years
  - 1. 25 3 5 years
  - 5. 26 Over 5 years
  - 6. (81) Does not up to
- (5. (A) IS YOUR INSTITUTION ON THE QUARTER OR SIMESTER SYSTEM?
  - 1. _73_seriester
  - 2. 27 Quarrer
  - (B) HOW TANNAMENTAL STERS OR QUARTERS) HAVE YOU AT IT NOT DETRIBLE COLLEGE AND COLLEGE Summer Sessions, unless they were regular term.
    - 1. 36
    - 2. 16_1 wo
    - 1 i. _28_1 hrci

    - § 6. -14-1: 1
      - -. <u>2</u> \....
      - 5. <u>5.</u> 1 active emeri
        - (2) N.A.

46. HAVE YOU LARNED A DEGREE OR POST-HIGH SCHOOL CERTIFICATE?

1. <u>80</u> No

2. 12 Yes, a centificate

3. ___ 5_Yes, an Associate of Arts degree

4. 2 Yes, a Bachelor's degree

5. ____Yes, a graduate degree

(5) N.A.

47. HOW MANY HOURS DO YOU SPEND EACH WEEK IN CLASS, STUDYING OUTSIDE OF CLASS, AND IN EXTRA CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES? (Please check each column.)

	Studying	in class	In extra-curricula activities
1. 0 - 3 hours	_24_	_18	58_
2 - 1 - 6 hours	_28_	18_	_14_
3. 7 - 9 hours	<u>17</u>	10	8_
4. 10 - 12 hours	<u>13</u>	_11	
5. 13 - 15 hours	8	_18	3_
6. 16 - 18 hours		<u> 26</u>	\12_
7. 19 or more hours	(	l	ζ

N.A. (7) (5) (28)
48. HOW MUCH DID YOU PARTICIPATE IN VARIOUS ACTIVITIES IN HIGH SCHOOL AND CURRENTLY, IN COLLEGE? (Please mark the extent of your participation in each type of activity listed below.)

	IN HIGH SCHOOL			i in			
N.A.	Very much	Some	little/ none	Very much	Same	little/ None	N.A.
	1	2	3	1	2	3	
1. Sports (4)	<u>27</u>	_36	<u>37</u>	_4_	13	83	(9)
2. Publications (8)	6	_18	<u>76</u> _		_6_	93	(11)
3. Debate (9)	_4	_19	<b>77</b> _	_2_	12_	86_	(11)
4. Music, Art, Dram Activities (6)	· <u>24</u>	_29	<b>47</b> _	_6_	14	80_	(11)
5. Student govern- ment (7)	8_	_25	<u>67</u>	_2_	8	89	(11)
6. Religious groups	_9_	_21_	70_	_4_	_8_	88_	(11)
. Social groups fraternities, etc. (8)		<u>25</u>		_5_	14	<u>81</u>	(11)
S. Political groups (8)	3	_9	88_	_2_	_3_	90	(11)
9. Other Academic groups or clubs related to your	_14	_33	53_	_4_	15_	81_	(11)
school work (8)		22					

19.	H YOU COULD HAVE ALTENDED ANY OF SCHOOL WOLLD YOU HAVE C	COLLIGE YOU WANTED, WHICH
	1this college	
	2. Another unior college	DUPLICATE
	A private college or university  A private college or university	
	5 feedincal or business college	

- 50 PLOPLE HAVE MANY DIFFERENT PERCEPTIONS OF FIFE, WHICH ARE RELATED TO THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESSIN A NUMBER OF IMPORTANT WAYS. THE FOLLOWING BRIFF SECTION ASKS A 90F EVOLR PERCEPTIONS. (Below are parced statements.) For each pair, back far or "b" for that statement which more closely reflects your own to clings.
- N.A. Please check one streament for energies;
- (4) 1. i. 69 In the case of the well prepared student, there is rarely if ever such a thing as in unfair test.
  - b. 32 Many times exam questions tend to be so unrelated to course work that studying is really useless.
- (4) 2. a. 70 Becoming a success is a matter of hard work, luck has little or nothing to do with it.
  - b. 30 Cetting a good tob depends mainly on being in the right place at the right time.
- (5) 3. a. 46 People who don't do well in life often work hard, but the breaks just don't come their way.
  - b. 54 Some people just don't use the breaks that come their way. If they don't do well, it's their own fault.
- (5) i. a. <u>59</u> People are lonely because they don't rry to be friendly.
  - b. 41 There's not much use in trying too hard to please people. If they like you, they like you
- (5) 5. a. 33 I have often found that what is going to happen will happen.
  - b. 67. Trusting to fate his never turne four as well for the is miking a decision to take a definite course of action.
- (4) 6. a. 68 What happens to me is my own doing.
  - b 32 Sometimes I feel that I fon't have enough outrol over the direction not life is taking.
- (5) . . . 84 In my case, getting what I want has little at nothing to do with link
  - b. 16 Many times we might just as well de ide what to do by flipping a coin.
- (7) S. i. 43 Miny time Thee's hard has made in the control of the character has been been come.
  - b. <u>57</u> this impossible to a constant that the constant place in a constant role in our late.

51. PROPERTIED DIFFERENTLY ABOUT THEMSELVES AT DIFFERENT TIMES. PLEASE ANSWER THESE STATEMENTS IN TERMS OF THE WAY YOU USUALLY FEEL MOUT YOURSELLE.

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Disogree	Strongly Disogree	NA
1.	I to I that I to a person of worth ar least on an energy plane with other	56	_41	2	<b></b> 0_	(2)
١.	The later than the came become of good qualities	46	53	_1	0_	(1)
ţ	Alternational medical to a feet that I was a full re-	.1_	_ 4	46	49	(2)
•	Lear thic to do thinks as well as most other people	32	62_	_5	_1_	(1)
١.	I tee! I to not have much to be provided	_3	10_	38_	_48	(2)
٠.	Trike upon senerali towndows li	31	59	10_	_1_	(3)
•	On the whole, I me sit still the with my self.	19	53	<u>25</u>	3_	(2)
٧.	I wish I could have nore respect for exself	5	28	43_	_25	(2)
O,	Lecrtainly teel useless at times	_5	44_	33	_18_	(2)
10.	At times I think I am no good it all	_3	24	<u>35</u>	_38_	(2)

52. PLEASE INDICATE HOW YOU FEEL ABOUT EACH STATEMENT BELOW. (Please check the appropriate column for each statement.)

1	. The extent of a man's ambition to	Strongly Agree	Agree	Slightly Agree	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Slightly Disagree	N.A
	hetter himself is a pretty good indica- tion of his character.	<u>39</u>	42	12	_2	_5_	1	(2)
2	. In order to merit the respect of others, a person should show the desire to better himself.	<u>27</u>	44	16	_3	7	3	(2)
	. One of the things you should consider in choosing your friends is whether they can help you make your way in the world.	_2_	6	<u>17</u>	<u>36</u>	31_	_8_	(2)
í.	. Ambition is the most important factor in determining success in life.	<u> 26</u>	34	18	_5_	12_	_5_	(3)
5.	One should always try to live in . highly respectable residential area, even though it entails sacrifices.	2	_7_	<u>14</u>	31	<u>38</u>	<u>9</u> _	(2)
6.	Before joining any civic or political association, it is usually important to find out whether it has the backing of people who have achieved a respected social position.	6	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	22	32_	_8_	(3)
٦.	Possession of proper social etiquette is usually the mark of a desirable person.					27_		(4)
8.	The raising of one's social position is one of the more important goals in life	e <u>4</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>18</u>	24	30_	9_	(3)
9.	It is worth considerable effort to assure one's self of a good name with the right kind of people					21_		(3)
10.	An ambitious person can almost always achieve his goals.	2 <u>4</u>	42_	18_	_3_	8_	4_	(3)

# ADDITIONAL INFORMATION STUDENT FORM C



### YOUR COUNSELORS AND INSTRUCTORS

43. BILOW IS A LIST OF PROBLEMS COLLEGESTED AT SOMETIMES HAVE. IN THE TIRST COLUMN, PLEASE CHECK FACH PROBLEM FOR WHICH YOU HAVE AT SOMETIME NEEDED HELP. WHERE YOU HAVE CHECKED A PROBLEM, INDICATE IN THE SECOND COLUMN HE YOU FALKED TO A COUNSELOR (not a faculty advisor) ABOUT THAT PROBLEM. CHECK THE LAST COLUMN ONLY HE YOU FELT THE COUNSELOR WAS HELPETT WITH THAT PROBLEM.

	-		Tolked to Counselor	Counselor Was Helpful
1	The meaning of my test scores	32 (20)		<u>20 (</u> 37)
2	Improving my grades	34 (20)	<u>17 (29)</u>	<u>12 (</u> 37)
٦.	Changing my major	33 (20)	<u>30 (</u> 29)	<u>25 (</u> 37)
4.	Changing my occupational plans	24 (20)	<u>18 (29)</u>	<u>14 (</u> 37)
5.	Improving my study habits	32 (20)	<u>12 (29)</u>	9 (37)
<b>().</b>	Staving in School	<u>17 (</u> 20)	<u>13 (</u> 29)	<u>10 (</u> 37)
٦.	Getting off scademic probation	9 (20)	<u>7 (</u> 29)	<u>4 (</u> 37)
٠.	Selecting good classes	65 (19)	<u>62 (28)</u>	54 (37)
٠٠.	Selecting good instructors	30 (20)	<u>16 (29)</u>	<u>12 (37)</u>
10.	Selecting a transfer college	<u>26</u> (20)	<u>21 (29)</u>	<u>15 (</u> 37)
11	Lurore educational plans	<u>39 (</u> 19)	<u>32 (</u> 29)	<u>24 (</u> 37)
12.	Personal or social problems	21 (20)	<u>11 (29)</u>	9 (37)
13	Problems with tandy	12 (20)	4 (29)	<u>4 (</u> 37)
1,	Understanding myself better	20 (20)	8 (29)	8 (37)
15.	Understanting he rules and procedure of the college	<u>18 (</u> 20)	<u>16 (</u> 29)	<u>13 (</u> 37)
10	Obtaining employment shile in code co	24 (20)	14 (29)	9 (37)
l	I maing employment after timeshing my studies	12 (20)	6 (29)	4 (37)
is.	Ottoming their call int	<u>28</u> (20)	<u>21 (</u> 29)	16 (37)

SC ISTELLASV TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT WITH YOUR COLNSTIONS

- 1. 37 Ver ex 1110 ale
- (4) N.A.

- 35. HOW LONG IS YOUR AND RAGE SESSION WITH YOUR COUNSELORS
  - 1. 14 Less than 15 minutes
  - 2. _53 Be ween 15 to 30 minutes
  - 3. __6 Between 50 to 60 minutes
  - 1. 1 c never seen invocumse for

(25) N.A.

36 HOW WOLLD YOU RALL THE COUNSEL SEE MOSE ON THE DESCRIPTION TRAILS LISTED BELLOW "Please check to a New Good" and Paor a Horse achitrate.)

		l Very	2 Above average	3 Below average	4 P <b>oo</b> r	N.A.
i	War	_36_	50	12_	3_	(30)
·	Informative	_58_	48	11_	_3_	(30)
,	Concerned	<u>37</u>	45	13	5	(29)
í.	Open-minded	37	50	11	3	(30)
٦.	Intelligent	_45_	50	_4_	_1_	(30)
6.	An me	_39_	46	12	3	(31)
~.	Last to talk to	47	42	8	3	(29)
s.	Patrent	_44_	43	10		(30)
O	Sympatheric	_30_	48	17	6	(34)
10	Does not up to			-	-	-

- 3" #111 \ 1 \ 1 \ MY COL\ \ 1 | 1 OR
  - $1 = -29 \quad \text{the Shear rady large elements appear as } \\$
  - 1 61 We will together in the Georgeon
    - 10 he she ascall cell be what con-
  - ..  $(31)_{11}$  ...  $(31)_{11}$  / N.A.
- 38. HEYOU ARE A CONTINUING SHEDING HOS MANY TALKS OF CHEDITALS INTERVIEWS DO YOU HAVE WITH YOUR COLUMN TO DELOY A SIME SHEST
  - 1. _14 \sigma_0
  - . 45
  - 3. <u>35</u> · 1. 1
  - 1. 6 1 min
  - 5. _(33) Dersing appl / N.A.
- SO THEY OF AREA THREE THE THE THEORY LANDING THE GOALLAND OF THE ROLLING AND AND SHEET ALCOHALD THE OLD OF THE STATE OF
  - (74) N.A.
    - 9 None
    - 88 1-5 times
    - 3 > 5 times

- 40. HAS YOUR COUNSELOR GIVEN YOU ADEQUATE INFORMATION ABOUT CARLERS AND OCCUPATIONS?
  - 1. 66
  - 2. <u>34</u> No
  - 3. (46) 1 don't know ? N.A.
  - t. ____Does not apply
- +1. HAS YOUR COUNSLEOR GIVEN YOU ACCURATE INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACADEMIC PROGRAM?
  - 1. 49
  - 2. 14 No

  - 3. _____1 don't know
    1. (37) Does not apply N.A.

C. WE WOULD TIKE TO BE LIFER EXDERSTAND SOME OF THE PROBLEMS STEDENTS EXPERIENCE AND THE EXTENT TO WHICH THESE PROBLEMS HINDER SIEDENTS' ACADEMIC PROCEESS. PELASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE COLUNN ON THE RATING SCALE BELOW TO INDICATE THE EXTENT OF A SET OF SAFE AND A SET ASTRONS OR TACTORS ARE INTERFRING WITH YOUR ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE.

### RATING SCALE

ì	1 · r	crious	Ire bler	that m.	i, ciu	e me	ter	frop out of school before
	Limisn							

A problem that could cause me to earn lower grades or drop out of s hool temporarily.

3. Somewhat of a problem, but one that I feel I can deal with.

+	Not a probler	
		1 2 3 4
1.	I'm disappointed because being in collège isn't as interesting or exciting as I thought it would be	<u>4</u> _5_21_70
2	Some of the courses are too hard for me	3 9 45 45
•	I miss to emany classes because I have trouble with transportation	_2 _2 _8_88
١.	I feel like I'm wasting my time in school	<u>5</u> <u>4</u> <u>14</u> <u>77</u>
۶.	I don't think I'm smitt enough	2 4 26 69
í	Loo many of the courses that I have to take aren't going to do rie any cool, so I for it to yell in them.	4 9 23 64
	I don't know how to stall	<u>3 10 37 51</u>
٧.	Some of my krades are lower handhev should be because I of not aware of the procedures of dropping our empressions of	3 5 12 80
41	Prayor busy that I marrie Call the rivie	4 _7 _36 _53
10	Thurse interest safet	<u>4</u> <u>8_29_59</u>
ii	I fight with my parents a lot	_2 _3_ 9_86
1	I is undecided about toy gravor or a cition in pains	_4 _5_22_69
L	My charmonio los keroanii xis per	_3 _5 _29 _63
Li	My classes are full in froming	_3 _7 _28 _63
15	Parchage mones	_9 <u>10_34_</u> 47
16	My too abularian poor I lon't understand and of the charles and comments to the	_2 _5_28_64
1	The second of the first of the second	_1 _1 _ 5_93
~	1 (0.00)	4 _6_40_50
•	The second of the form the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the sec	1 _2 _12_84

### RATING SCALE

- 1. A very serious problem that may cause me to drop out of school before I finish.
- A problem that could cause me to earn lower grades or drop out of school temporarily.
- 3. Somewhat of a problem, but one that I feel I can leal with.
- 4. Not a problem.

		<del></del>
		1 2 3 4
20	Lonly came to school be ause I fidn't know whit else to do	<u>2</u> <u>3</u> <u>8</u> <u>8</u> 7
11	I took the wrong courses in high school and have too miny deficiences.	2 4 24 70
21	That to work too many hours	3 6 27 64
23	Personal or rimity elliness	<u>2 2 11 86</u>
2+	Laminvol estilitoo many outside activities	<u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> 8 <u>7</u> 9
15	I don't knew what I want to do in life	<u>4</u> <u>5</u> <u>26</u> <u>66</u>
۱6	Lean't reador write well enough	2 _3 _14_82
١-,	Treally don't like school	<u>2                                    </u>
28	I feel unsure of myself in class so I don't do as well as I should	<u>2</u> _5 <u>2</u> 8_65
20,	I like my major courses, but I have to take too many other courses I'm not interested m	<u>5 8 31 5</u> 7
30.	I really want to go to work	<u>4 _4 _18_75</u>
31	Light depressed or anxious and can't study	<u>5</u> <u>7</u> <u>32</u> <u>5</u> 7
32.	I spend too much time dating, going to parties, etc.	_2 _3 _1778
33.	Other (Please specify)	21 11 15_53

43. IN YOUR OPINION, BASED ON WHAT YOU THINK IS DISTRABLE, WHAT ARE THE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF YOUR COLLEGE'S SIT-DENT PERSONNEL SERVICES? (Please check each appropriate item.)

		Strong	Average	Weok	No Opinion	N.A.
1.	Admissions and registration	_23	50_	<u> 18</u>	10	(6)
2.	Records and information	_19_	<u>55,</u>	_11_	16	(7)
3.	Guidance and reademic counseling	<u>26</u>	45	_16	<u> 15</u>	(7)
	Guidance and vocational counseling	_23	38	_15_	23	(7)
5.	Placement for work	11	32	18	40	(8)
<b>(</b>	Emmeral aids	_16_	33	14	37	(8)
٠.	Student activities	_18_	<u> 37</u>	_23_	22	(8)
	Special counseling for disadvantaged students	_17_	.22	12	19	(8)
	Special counseling for students with academic problems	18_	28	_12	43	(8)

- +1. HAVE YOU TALKED TO AN INSTRUCTOR OUTS DE OF CLASS ABOUT YOUR ACADEMIC ENDERHNOUS IN THE LAST TWO WITKS:
  - 1. 63 None, I didn't try
  - 2.  $\underline{\hspace{0.4cm} 2\hspace{0.1cm}}$  None 1 tried, but the instruction was not available
  - 3. <u>15</u> Once
  - +. 9 1 wice
  - 5. __6_1 hree time
  - 6. ____5_1 our times
  - 7. ____live or more times
    - (4) N.A.
- 15. HOW AVAILAND ARE YOUR INSTRUCTORS TO YOU FOR CONSELECTION OF ISIDE OF CLASS?
  - 1. 49 Readily available and encourage students to come and see them
  - 2. 36 Are generally is ulable
  - 3. 3 Are generally not as in lable
  - 1. 2 Almost never available
  - 5. ____I have not tried to find our
    - (3) N.A.

16. USING THE SCALE BELOW, INDICATE TO WHAT EXTENT YOU THE THE STATEMENT DESCRIBES THE INSTRUCTORS YOU HAVE HAD AT THIS COLLEGE. PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE COLUMN ON THE RATING SCALE BELOW TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE.

### RATING SCALE

1	Strongly agree
2	Agrec
3	Neither agree nor disagree
ŧ	Disagree
5	Strongly disagree

(202)	. Are usually well prepared	1 3 <u>9</u>	2 4 <u>6</u>	3 11		5 _]	N.A. (3)
(293) 2	. Use examples and illustrations that make material clearer to me	3 <u>2</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>14</u>	_6	_1	(3)
(294) 3	. Seem to be interested in teaching	40	46	10	_3	_1	(3)
(295)	Seem to be interested in students	3 <u>.Z</u>	41	16	_4	_2	(3)
(296) 5.	Usually hold my attention	2 <u>3</u>	<u>46</u>	20	10	_2	(3)
(297)	Organize their courses well	24	46	<u>21</u>	_7	2	(3)
	Grade fairly	2 <u>7</u>	47	19	_5	_2	(4)
(299) $_{8}$	Incourage students to express their opinions	34					(4)
	Are intellectually stimulating (they cause you to (bink)	2 <u>9</u>			_	2	(3)
$(301)_{10}$	Make assignments ciear	27	52	<u> </u>	4	1	(3)
$(302)_{H_{*}}$	know their-subject well	4 <u>1</u>			2	1	(3)
$(303)_{12}$	Require a reasonable amount of work	2 <u>6</u>		_	4		(3)
(304) _{13.}	Are easy to talk to outside of class	3 <u>6</u>	40	<u>18</u>	_4	2	(3)

47. COMPARING YOURSELLE TO COLLEGE STUDENTS IN GENERAL, PLEASE RATE YOURSELLE IN THE FOLLOWING AREAS. PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE COLUMN.

#### RATING SCALE

- 1. Poor
- 2. Below average
- 3. Average
- 4. Above average
- 5. Outstanding

				5. Outstanding						
MOS	T I	MPO	RTAI	TV	_					
Ī	ΙI	III		<del></del>	1	2	3	4	5	N.A.
7	3	3	٠.	Mechanical ability	1.3	1.3	46	23	.6	(4)
3	1	2	- •	Clerical ability	<u>6</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>20</u>	4	(4)
8	5	3	٦.	Academic ability	1	_8	<u>54</u>	<u>32</u>	_5	(4)
15	8	8	į.	Drive to succeed	2	8	<u>41</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>13</u>	(4)
21	23	13	5.	Ability to deal with people	_2	6	<u>39</u>	<u>41</u>	14	(4)
1	1	1	6.	Homemaking skills	_8	14	45	21	8	(6)
3	2	1	٠.	Artistic ability	<u>15</u>	29	<u>31</u>	<u>18</u>	_6	(4)
1	1	1	8.	Athletic ability	9	18	44	24	_6	(5)
6	8	6	9.	Leadership ability	4	<u>16</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>28</u>	_5	(4)
10	14	13	10.	Understanding or others	1	_3	<u>38</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>16</u>	(4)
2	2		11.	Ability to care for small children	4	<u>10</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>17</u>	(4)
2	2	2	12.	Study skills	_3	<u>21</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>17</u>	_5	(4)
2	3	6	13.	I motional a quistricut	_1	_5	<u>56</u>	<u>28</u>	9	(4)
4	5	5	1+.	Self-confidence (Academic)	_2	<u>13</u>	47	<u> 29</u>	9	(4)
3	5	10	15.	Self-confidence (Social)	_2	<u>16</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>29</u>	9	(4)
5	9	12	16.	Communication skills	_3	_8	<u>54</u>	<u>27</u>	_8_	(4)
4	3	4	1 `.	Mathematic skill	<u>11</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>40</u>	22	5	(4)
3	6	5	18.	Creativity	_3	<u>13</u>	<u>46</u>	28	9	(4)
0	0	2	19.	Physical attrictiveness	_2	<u>5</u>	<u>61</u>	25	_8_	(4)
(8)	(9)	(10]								
'	,	18		OM THE LIST IN QUESTION 17, WHICH WOL REE MOST IMPORTANT SKILLS THAT YOU	10701	( () 1   1 ()	\>  )  1\  \	HR 1 COLO	111	

TROW THE TIST IN QUISHION 17, WHICH WOLLD YOU CONSIDER THE THREE MOST IMPORTANT SKILLS THAT YOU WILL NEED IN YOUR LUTURE VOCATION / INDICATE YOUR CHOICE BY WRITING IN THE NUMBER BELORE THE SKILL.

- I. Most important
- Scoot hose time
- 1. ____ third most might int

OMPARID TO COLLEGE STUDENTS IN GENERAL, PLEASE RATE YOUR FILLOWSHEDENES AT THIS PINTOR COLLEGE IN THE TOLL OWING AREAS. PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE COLLAN.

### RATING SCALE

- L. Poor
- Below werne
- s. Averue
- 4. Howe being
- 5. Outst in fin.

	1 2 3 4 5	N.A.
1. Mechanical ability	1 5 75 16 3	(16)
2. Clerical ability	<u>1 9 74 16 1</u>	(16)
3. Academic ibility	<u>2 9 64 23 2</u>	(15)
i. Drive to succeed	<u>2 13 58 22 5</u>	(15)
5. Ability to deal with people	_2 _8 64 22 _5	(15)
. Hera rakam, skalls	<u>2 10 75 11 2</u>	(19)
. Artistic ability	2 9 64 20 5	(17)
8. Athletic ibility	<u>1 10 58 25 6</u>	(17)
9. Leader by ability	<u>2 11 64 20 4</u>	(16)
40. Understanding of others	<u>3 11 61 21 5</u>	(16)
11. Ability to sure for small children	_3 _8 74 12 _4	(20)
1 . State skills	<u>3 15 64 16 2</u>	(16)
13. Emotional idjustment	<u>2 10 72 13 3</u>	(17)
1). Self-confidence (%) (demic)	_1 10 <u>68 18 _4</u>	(16)
1 . Self-confidence . Sociali	<u>1 6 65 23 5</u>	(17)
To Communication \$4.4!	<u>2 10 64 21 3</u>	(17)
17. Mathematic skalls	<u>2 12 70 14 2</u>	(17)
18 Creations	<u>2 8 63 23 5</u>	(17)
19. Physical arractives	_3 _5 71 17 _5	(16)

TABLES TO CHAPTER 5

212 ' -213-

TABLE 5-1 STUDENTS' AGE (in Percent)

Institution by Socioeconomic Level	16-19	20-25	26-30	31-35	36-40	41 կ over
High						
Meade (N=247;W;S)	53.4	24.7	7.7	4.0	3.6	6.5
Quanto (N=229;W;U-S)	68.1	22.3	5.2	2 2	1.3	0.9
Ward (N=227;W;U-S)	4.0	30.4	37.0	9.7	9.3	9.7
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=209;W;R)</pre>	39.7	36.4	12.9	5.7	2.4	2.9
Newson (N=266;W;R)	71.1	21.8	1.9	1.9	0.0	3.4
Walden (N=225;W;U-S)	50.2	34.7	8.9	2.7	0.4	3.1
Appleton (N=167;M;U-S)	30.5	31.1	13.8	10.2	6.0	8.4
Foster (N=163;M;U)	24.5	44.2	15.3	3.7	5.5	6.7
Langston (N=159;M;U-S)	20.1	39.0	17.0	8.2	6.3	9.4
Shaw (N=231;M;U)	36.8	32.9	10.0	8.7	6.9	4.8
Sherwood (N=185;M;R)	34.1	40.5	10.8	7.0	2.2	5.4
Low						
Manning (N=118;B;U)	24.6	35.8	16.1	14.4	3.4	1.7
Carter (N=237;M;S)	38.4	24.5	10.5	8.4	3.8	14.3
Lowell (N=186;M;U)	22.6	25.8	17.2	12.9	9.7	11.8
Palmerston (N=187;M;R)	47.1	39.0	6.4	3.2	1.1	3.2
ΊΟΓΑΙ.	39.6	31.5	12.3	6.5	4.0	6.2

TABLE 5-2
STUDENTS' MARITAL STATUS
(in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Single	Married	Divorced/ separated	Widowed
High				
Meade (N=84;W;S)	70.2	25.0	4.8	0.0
Quanto (N=76;W;U-S)	92.1	7.9	0.0	0.0
Ward (N=89;W;U-S)	27.0	71.9	0.0	1.1
Middle				
Kinsey (N=75;W;R)	58.7	37.3	4.0	0.0
Newson (N=91;W;R)	85.7	12.1	2.2	0.0
Walden (N=77;W;U-S)	75.3	20.8	2.6	1.3
Appleton (N=60;M;U-S)	56.7	31.7	11.7	0.0
Foster (N=56;M;U)	67.9	25.0	7.1	0.0
Langston (N=60;M;U-S)	50.0	30.0	20.0	0.0
Shaw (N=74;M;U)	50.0	44.6	5.4	0.0
Sherwood (N=65;M;R)	49.2	44.6	3.1	3.1
Low				
Manning (N=38;B;U)	50.0	26.3	18.4	5.3
Carter (N=83;M;S)	51.8	41.0	6.0	1.2
Lowell (N=63;M;U)	41.3	44.4	14.3	0.0
Palmerston (N=60;M;R)	78.3	16.7	3.3	1.7
TOTAL	60.8	32.4	6.0_	0.8

TABLE 5-3

MARRIED STUDENTS' NUMBER OF CHILDREN
(in Percent*)

Institution by socioeconomic level	0	1	2	3	4	5 or more
High						
Meade (N=24;W;S)	20.8	33.3	20.8	12.5	4.2	4.2
Quanto (N=7;W;U-S)	28.6	28.6	0.0	14.3	0.0	28.6
Ward (N=66;W;U-S)	21.2	18.2	30.3	16.7	9.1	4.5
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=32;W;R)</pre>	28.1	28.1	12.5	21.9	3.1	6.3
Newson (N=14;W;R)	35.7	28.6	21.4	14.3	0.0	0.0
Walden (N=20;W;U-S)	45.0	35.0	10.0	5.0	0.0	5.0
Appleton (N=26;M;U-S)	26.9	23.1	30.8	11.5	3.8	0.0
Foster (N=17;M;U)	35.3	35.3	5.9	17.6	5.9	0.0
Langston (N=26;M;U-S)	30.8	19.2	15.4	11.5	11.5	11.5
Shaw (N=35;M;U)	22.9	31.4	17.1	20.0	2.9	5.7
Sherwood (N=32;M;R)	43.8	34.4	15.6	0.0	6.3	0.0
Low						
Manning (N=16;B;U)	18.8	31.3	6.3	18.8	6.3	18.8
Carter (N=39;M;S)	12.8	7.7	35.9	30.8	5.1	7.7
Lowell (N=36;M;U)	30.6	22.2	25.0	13.9	5.6	2.8
Palmerston (N=16;M;R)	62.5	18.8	6.3	6.3	6.3	0.0
TOTAL	28.6	24.6	20.4	15.3	5.4	5.2

*The figures in this table are based on the 1053 students who responded to this item, representing 61 percent of the base sample.



TABLE 5-4
STUDENTS' RELIGIOUS AFFILIATION (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Catholic	Jewish	Protestant	None	Other
High					
Meade (N=82;W;S)	53.7	2.4	29.3	9.8	4.9
Quanto (N=74;W;U-S)	62.2	1.4	23.0	4.1	9.5
Ward (N=87;W;U-S)	59.8	1.1	31.0	5.7	2.3
Middle					
<pre>Kinsey (N=66;W;R)</pre>	18.2	1.5	53.0	10.6	16.7
Newson (N=91;W;R)	24.2	0.0	63.7	7.7	4.4
Walden (N=76;W;U-S)	68.4	3.9	15.8	7.9	3.9
Appleton (N=59;M;U-S)	22.0	3.4	40.7	22.0	11.9
Foster (N=49;M;U)	38.8	0.0	38.8	8.2	14.3
Langston (N=52;M;U-S)	21.2	1.9	42.3	25.0	9.6
Shaw (N=72;M;U)	44.4	<b>U.</b> 0	43.1	5.6	6.9
Sherwood (N=58;M;R)	8.6	1.7	50.0	20.7	19.0
Lcw					
Manning (N=34;B;U)	20.6	0.0	47.1	23.5	8.8
Carter (N=80;M;S)	37.5	0.0	45.0	11.3	6.3
Lowell (N=56;M;U)	30.4	1.8	32.1	12.5	23.2
Palmerston (N=52;M;R)	7.7	0.0	63.5	5.8	23.1
(IV)II(A)	77 0	1 7	40.4	11 0	10.0
TOTAL	37.0	1.3	40.6	11.0	10.0

4

TABLE 5-5
STUDENTS' ACE
(in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Amer. Indian	Caucasian	Black	Oriental	Mex.	Puerto Rican
High				oriental	Tune (	Ricon
Meade (N=251;W;S)	0.0	96.4	2.8	0.4	0.4	0.0
Quanto (N=227;W;U-S)	0.9	96.9	0.4	0.0	0.4	1.3
Ward (N=222; W; U-S)	0.0	98.6	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.5
Middle						
Kinsey (N=203;W;R)	0.5	92.1	5.9	0.0	1.0	0.5
Newson (N=267;W;R)	0.4	97.8	0.4	0.0	1.5	0.0
Walden (N=224;W;U-S)	0.4	90.6	4.0	0.4	2.2	2.2
Appleton (N=156;M;U-S)	1.9	61.5	26.3	7.7	2.6	0.0
Foster (N=164;M;U)	0.6	54.3	43.3	0.6	1.2	0.0
Langston (N=157;M·U-S)	1.3	48.4	40.1	5.1	4.5	0.6
Shaw (N=221;M;U)	0.5	66.5	3.6	0.5	28.1	0.9
Sherwood (N=182;M;R)	0.5	81.9	13.7	1.6	2.2	0.0
Low						
Manning (N=118;B;U)	0.0	0.8	97.5	0.0	0.8	0.8
Carter (N=236;M;S)	1.3	88.6	0.8	0.0	9.3	0.0
Lowell (N=180;M;U)	1.7	41.7	31.1	6.1	18.3	1.1
Palmerston (N=188;M;R)	0.0	69.7	29.3	0.5	0.5	0.0
TOTAL	0.6	76.9	15.6	1.4	5.0	0.5

## PROPORTION OF STUDENTS WHO REPORTED A FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN IN THEIR HOME DURING CHILDHOOD

Institution by socioeconomic level	Percent	
High		
Meade (N=84;W,S)	11.9	
Quanto (N=76;W;U-S)	25.0	
Ward (N=87;W;U-S)	29.9	
Middle		
Kinsey (N=74;W;R)	18.9	
Newson (N=91;W;R)	15.4	
Walden (N=78;W;U-S)	39.7	
Appleton (N=56;M;U-S)	21.4	
Foster (N=55;M;U)	14.5	
Langston (N=60;M;U-S)	15.0	
Shaw (N=73;M;U)	41.1	
Sherwood (N=64;M;R)	15.6	
Low		
Manning (N=38;B;U)	13.2	
Carter (N=81;M;S)	25.9	
Lowell (N=62;M;U)	37.1	
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	10.3	
TOTAL.	23.0	



TABLE 5-7
STUDENTS' FAMILY INCOME AT AGE 17 (in Percent)

- ·						
Institution by	Under	\$3,001-	\$6,001-	\$10,001-	\$15,001-	Over
Socioeconomic level	\$3,000	6,000	10,000	15,000	25,000	\$25,000
High						
Meade (N=242;W;S)	8.3	10.3	30.6	-28.5	17.4	<b>5.</b> 0
Quanto (N=219;W;U- <b>S</b> )	3.2	13.7	38.8	30.6	11.9	1.8
Ward (N=218;W;U-S)	4.6	28.9	45.0	15.1	4.1	2.3
Middle						
Kinsey (N=199;W;R)	5.5	21.6	29.1	28.1	12.1	3.5
Newson (N=256;W;R)	5.9	16.4	41.0	27.0	9.0	0.8
Walden (N=209;W;U-S)	4.3	14.8	39.2	33.0	<b>7.</b> 7	[ 1)
Appleton (N=162;M;U-S)	12.3	25.9	25.9	19.1	12.3	4.3
Foster (N=158;M;U)	15.8	27.2	36.7	12.7	6.3	1.3
Langston (N=159;M;U-S)	18.9	29.6	21.4	20.1	<b>8.</b> 8	1.3
Shaw (N=216;M;U)	15.7	26.4	26.4	21.8	6.0	5."
Sherwood (N=181;M;R)	11.0	23.2	27.1	17.7	14.9	6.1
Low						
Manning (N=117;B;U)	29.1	38.5	22.2	9.4	0.9	0.0
Carter (N=226;M;S)	10.6	24.3	28.3	25.2	10.2	1.3
Lowell (N=182;M;U)	24.2	29.1	25.3	15.9	3.8	1.6
Palmerston (N=181;M;R)	21.0	31.5	27.1	13.8	4.4	2.2
TOTAL	11.7	23.1	31.7	22.1	9.0	2.5

TABLE 5-8

STUDENTS' TYPE OF HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAM (in Percent)

	<del></del>			
Institution by socioeconomic level	College preparatory	General	Vocational arts	Business
High				
Meade (N=79;W;S)	35.4	57.0	2.5	5.1
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	72.7	9.1	1.3	16.9
Ward (N=68;W;U-S)	39.7	33.8	10.3	16.2
Middle ·				
<pre>Kinsey (N=59;W;R)</pre>	54.2	35.6	3.4	6.8
Newson (N=84;W;R)	36.9	58.3	1.2	3.6
Walden (N=77;W;U-S)	40.3	48.1	2.6	9.1
Appleton (N=48;M;U-S)	45.8	45.8	4.2	4.2
Foster (N=58;M;U)	20.7	67.2	1.7	10.3
Langston (N=51;M;U-S)	37.3	54.9	2.0	5.9
Shaw (N=71;	53.5	42.3	1.4	2.8
Sherwood (N=64;M;R)	29.7	60.9	0.0	9.4
Low				
Manning (N=38;B;U)	21.1	55.3	10.5	13.2
Carter (N=75;M;S)	54.7	37.3	1.3	6.7
Lowell (N=62;M;U)	19.4	53.2	14.5	12.9
Palmerston (N=69;M;R)	33.3	50.7	4.3	10.1
IOTAL	40.7	46.6	3.8	8.8

TABLE 5-9
TIME STUDENTS' DECIDED TO ATTEND COLLEGE (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	After high school graduation	Last high school year	Junior high school year	Sophomore high school year	Earlier than sophomore year	Always taken for granted
High ~						
Meade (N=73;W;S)	20.5	23.3	13.7	2.7	8.2	31.5
Quanto (N=76;W;U-S)	17.1	13.2	21.1	7.9	11.8	28.9
Ward (N=53;W;U-S)	69.8	15.1	5.7	0.0	3.8	5.7
Midále						
<pre>Kinsey (N=63;W;R)</pre>	28.6	11.1	9.5	6.3	11.1	33 <b>.3</b>
Newson (N=82;W;R)	18.3	19.5	12.2	4.9	6.1	39.0
Walden (N=69;W;U-S)	33.3	20.3	10.1	7.2	10.1	18.8
Appleton (N=45;M;U-S)	24.4	8.9	6.7	11.1	22.2	26.7
Foster (N=52;M;U)	46.2	13.5	15.4	5.8	3.8	15.4
Langston (N=43;M;U-S)	25.6	14.0	9.3	0.0	11.6	<b>39.</b> 5
Shaw (N=64;M;U)	29.7	14.1	10.9	6.3	14.1	25.0
Sherwood (N=54;M;R)	31.5	11.1	7.4	3.7	3.7	42.6
Low						
Manning (N=36;B;U)	44.4	16.7	5.6	2.8	25.0	5.6
Carter (N=69;M;S)	21.7	17.4	10.1	8.7	8.7	53 <b>.3</b>
Lowell (N=51;M;U)	33.3	25.5	7.8	3.9	5.9	23.5
Palmerston (N=53;M;R)	28.3	17.0	22.6	5.7	5.7	20.8
TOTAL	30.1	16.3	11.7	5.3	9.6	27.0

TABLE 5-10

PERSONS WITH WHOM STUDENTS DISCUSSED EDUCATIONAL PLANS
AND VOCATIONAL INTERESTS DURING HIGH SCHOOL "OFTEN" OR "VERY OFTEN"
(in Percent)

					-		
Institution by socioeconomic level	Parents	Counselors	Teachers	Clergymen	Other adults	Sib1- ings	
High							
Meade (N=77;W;S)	50.1	34.6	13.1	4.1	18.6	29.8	60.2
Quanto (N=75;W;U-S)	59.5	42.9	24.0	5.5	20.5	33.8	60.5
Ward (N=66,W;U-S)	38.5	13.8	15.2	3.2	14.1	16.1	40.3
Middle							
Kinsey (N=65;W;R)	50.0	35.4	21.9	4.8	28.6	22.9	66.2
Newson (N=84;W;R)	64.7	42.8	16.7	3.6	22.9	26.5	66.6
Walden (N=75;W;U-S)	45.5	25.3	25.3	4.0	24.6	34.3	67.1
Appleton (N=50;M;U-S)	60.0	27.0	26.0	2.1	29.4	40.0	66.0
Foster (N=59;M;U)	45.9	32.7	29.3	5.1	24.6	38.4	53.4
Langston (N=47,M;U-S)	45.8	21.7	38.3	4.6	27.1	39.1	58.0
Shaw (N=71;M;U)	46.6	28.7	20.9	5.9	23.2	32.4	58.0
Sherwood (N=61;M;R)	60.0	19.3	32.3	1.7	18.0	30.7	58.4
Low							
Manning (N=37;B;U)	79.5	44.8	50.0	20.0	35.1	54.0	71.4
Carter (N=75;M;S)	45.5	18.5	21.0	4.2	17.3	24.7	52.0
Lowell (N=62;M;U)	61.3	16.7	26.7	3.4	25.4	40.6	50.0
Palmerston (N=62;M;R)	61.2	41.8	35.9	6.6	22.4	40.0	69.3
TOTAL	53.6	30.0	25.1	4.8	22.8	32.4	59.6

TABLE 5-11

PERSONS WITH WHOM STUDENTS DISCUSSED EDUCATIONAL PLANS AND VOCATIONAL INTERESTS DURING HIGH SCHOOL (in Percent)

		PARENTS				COUN	NSELORS	
Institution by socioeconomic level	Very often	Often	Infre- quently	Not at	Very often	Often	Infre- quently	Not at all
High								
Meade (N=80;W;S)	<b>16.</b> 3	33.8	42.5	7.5	1.3	33.3	42.3	23.1
Quanto (N=79;W;U-S)	29.1	30.4	34.2	6.3	11.7	31.2	49.4	7.8
Ward (N=70;W;U-S)	11.4	27.1	40.0	21.4	1.5	12.3	55.4	30.8
Middle								
<pre>Kinsey (N=66;W;R)</pre>	19.7	30.3	40.9	9.1	3.1	32.3	43.1	21.5
Newson (N=85;W;R)	21.2	43.5	28.2	7.1	10.7	32.1	44.0	13.1
Walden (N=79;W;U-S)	13.9	31.6	45.6	8.9	4.0	21.3	48.0	26.7
Appleton (N=50;M;U-S)	24.0	36.0	28.0	12.0	5.8	21.2	42.3	30.8
Foster (N=61;M;U)	19.7	26.2	32.8	21.3	8.6	24.1	36.2	31.0
Langston (N=48;M;U-S)	12.5	33.3	31.3	22.9	6.5	15.2	43.5	34.8
Shaw (N=73;M;U)	17.8	28.8	30.1	23.3	8.2	20.5	32.9	38.1
Sherwood (N=65;M;R)	29.2	30.8	30.8	9.2	4.8	14.5	35.5	45.2
Low								
Manning (N=39;B;U)	48.7	30.8	10.3	10.3	13.2	31.6	36.8	18.4
Carter (N=77;M;S)	14.3	31.2	42.9	11.7	5.3	13.2	53.9	27.6
Lowell (N=62;M;U)	22.6	38.7	22.6	16.1	1.7	15.0	35.0	48.3
Palmerston (N=67;M;R)	29.9	31.3	26.9	11.9	13.4	28.4	35.8	22.4
TOTAL	21.2	32.4	33.6	12.9	6.6	23.4	42.7	27.4



TABLE 5-11 (Continued)

		TEACHERS			SIBLINGS .			
Institution by socioeconomic level	Very often		Infre- quently	No <b>t</b> at all	Very often		Infre- quently	Not at
High						<u>.</u> : :		
Meade (N=76;W;S)	2.6	10.5	42.1	44.7	9.5	20.3	25.7	44.6
Quanto (N=75;W;U-S)	5.3	18.7	46.7	29.3	5.4	28.4	32.4	33.8
Ward (N=66;W;U-S)	0.0	15.2	31.8	53.0	3.2	12.9	19.4	64.5
Middle							,	
<pre>Kinsey (N=64;W;R)</pre>	9.4	12.5	35.9	42.2	4.9	18.0	36.1	41.0
Newson (N=84;W;R)	2.4	14.3	52.4	31.0	7.2	19.3	39.8	33.7
Walden (N=75;W;U-S)	9.3	16.0	44.0	30.7	12.9	21.4	21.4	44.3
Appleton (N=54;M;U-S)	9.3	16.7	31.5	42.6	8.0	32.0	34.0	26.0
Foster (N=58;M;U)	3.4	25.9	32.8	37.9	6.7	31.7	21.7	40.0
Langston (N=47;M;U-S)	8.5	29.8	27.7	34.0	15.2	23.9	26.1	34.8
Shaw (N=72;M;U)	5.6	15.3	38.9	40.3	11.3	21.1	22.5	45.1
Sherwood (N=65;M;R)	12.3	20.0	30.8	36.9	9.7	21.0	33.9	<b>35.</b> 5
Low								
Manning (N=38;B;U)	15.8	34.2	34.2	15.8	18.9	35.1	29.7	16.2
Carter (N=76;M;S)	2.ó	18.4	30.3	48.7	5.5	19.2	28.8	46.6
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	5.0	21.7	31.7	41.7	18.6	22.0	18.6	40.7
Palmerston (N=64;M;R)	10.9	25 0	37.5	26.6	11.7	28.3	28.3	31.7
TOTAL	6.4	18.7	37.4	37.6	9.4	23.0	28.0	39.5

- 225TABLE 5-11 (Continued)

	MINISTER, RABBI, OR PRIEST							
Institution by socioeconomic level	Very often	Often	Infrequently	Not at all				
High								
Meade (N=74;W;S)	2.7	1.4	10.8	85.1				
Quanto (N=74;W;U-S)	1.4	4.1	13.5	81.1				
Ward (N=62;W;U-S)	0.0	3.2	4.8	91.9				
Middle								
Kinsey (N=63;W;R)	3.2	1.6	9.5	85.7				
Newson (N=83;W;R)	1.2	2.4	22.9	73.5				
Walden (N=75;W;U-S)	1.3	2.7	9.3	86.7				
Appleton (N=48;M;U-S)	2.1	0.0	8.3	89.6				
Foster (N=58;M;U)	1.7	3.4	10.3	84.5				
Langston (N=44;M;U-S)	2.3	2.3	11.4	84.1				
Shaw (N=68;M;U)	1.5	4.4	10.3	83.8				
Sherwood (N=58;M;R)	0.0	1.7	19.0	79.3				
Low								
Manning (N=35;B;U)	0.0	20.0	25.7	54.3				
Carter (N=72;M;S)	1.4	2.8	11.1	84.7				
Lowell (N=59;M;U)	0.0	3.4	16.9	79.7				
Palmerston (N=60;M;R)	3.3	3.3	13.3	80.0				
TOTAL	1.5	3.3	13.0	82.2				

TABLE 5-11 (Continued)

		FR]	ENDS		OTHER ADULTS			
Institution by socioeconomic level	Very often	Often	Infre- quently	Not at all	Very often	Often	Infre- quently	Not at all
High								
Meade (N=75;W;S)	20.5	39.7	30.8	9.0	1.3	17.3	46.7	34.7
Quanto (N=73;W;U-S)	18.4	42.1	36.8	2.6	2.7	17.8	56.2	23.3
Ward (N=64;W;U-S)	10.4	29.9	43.3	16.4	1.6	12.5	43.8	42.2
Middle								
<pre>Kinsey (N=63;W;R)</pre>	18.5	47.7	26.2	7.7	4.8	23.8	42.9	28.6
Newson (N=83;W;R)	22.6	44.0	29.8	3.6	0.0	22.9	49.4	27.7
Walden (N=73;W;U-S)	21.5	45.6	24.1	8.9	2.7	21.9	49.3	26.0
Appleton (N=51;M;U-S)	26.0	40.0	26.0	8.0	9.8	19.6	47.1	23.5
Foster (N=57 M;U)	16.7	36.7	31.7	15.0	5.3	19.3	40.4	35.1
Langston (N=48;M;U-S)	16.0	42.0	38.0	4.0	12.5	14.6	50.0	22.9
Shaw (N=69,M;U)	17.4	40.6	23.2	18.8	8.7	14.5	31.9	44.9
Sherwood (N=61;M;R)	16.9	41.5	35.4	6.2	8.2	9.8	52.5	29.5
Low								
Manning (N=37;B;U)	31.4	40.0	20.0	8.6	8.1	27.0	45.9	18.9
Carter (N=75;M;S)	8.0	44.0	38.7	9.3	1.3	16.0	58.7	24.0
Lowell (N=59;M;U)	20.0	30.0	30.0	20.0	0.0	25.4	32.2	42.4
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	17.7	51.6	14.5	16.1	6.9	15.5	39.7	37.9
TOTAL	18.4	41.2	30.3	10.2	4.4	18.4	46.1	31.1

TABLE 5-12

PERSON WITH GREATEST INFLUENCE ON STUDENTS' COLLEGE ATTENDANCE (in Percent)

		<u> </u>			
Institution by socioeconomic level	Parents	Counselors	Teachers	Other adults	Peers
High					
Meade (N=60;W;S)	53.3	5.0	5.0	8.3	28.3
Quanto (N=59;W;U-S)	59.3	5.1	3.4	10.2	22.0
Ward (N=45;W;U-S)	22.2	4.4	2.2	44.4	26.7
Middle					
<pre>Kinsey (N=46;W;R)</pre>	60.9	8.7	8.7	6.5	15.2
Newson (N=75;W;R)	64.0	9.3	5.3	6.7	14.7
Walden (N=62:W;U-S)	43.5	4.8	4.8	12.9	33.9
Appleton (N=38;M;U-S)	47.4	10.5	2.6	13.2	26.3
Foster (N=40;M;U)	45.0	5.0	2.5	20.0	27.5
Langston (N=45;M;U-S)	44.4	11.1	4.4	15.6	24.4
Shaw (N=57;M;U)	56.1	3.5	1.8	17.5	21.1
Sherwood (N=57;M;R)	56.1	0.0	8.8	10.5	24.6
Low					
Manning (N=34;B;U)	50.0	5.9	5.9	8.8	29.4
Caiter (N≖65;M;S)	53.8	3.1	10.8	12	20.0
Lowell (N=42;M;U)	54.8	7.1	11.9	11.9	14.3
Palmerston (N=54;M;R)	55.6	11.1	9.3	3.7	20.4
TOTAL	52.0	6.2	5.9	13.0	23.0



TABLE 5-13

PROPORTION OF STUDENTS' HIGH SCHOOL FRIENDS ATTENDING COLLEGE (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	All or nearly all	Most	About half	Less than half	Very few
High					
Meade (N=80;W;S)	33.8	30.0	13.8	11.3	11.3
Quanto (N=78;W;U-S)	19.2	34.6	30.8	10.3	5.1
Ward (N=68;W;U-S)	11.8	20.6	26.5	22.1	19.1
Middle					
Kinsey (N=64;W;R)	17.2	40.6	20.3	12.5	9.4
Newson (N=86;W;R)	25.6	44.2	19.8	5.8	4.7
Walden (N=79;W;U-S)	21.5	31.6	13.9	20.3	12.7
Appleton (N=53;M;U-S)	32.1	28.3	13.2	5.7	20.8
Foster (N=62;M;U)	21.0	17.7	19.4	25.8	16.1
Langston (N=52;M;U-S)	9.6	21.2	32.7	15.4	21.2
Shaw (N=71;M;U)	22.5	25.4	26.8	8.5	16.9
Sherwood (N=67;M;R)	25.4	25.4	20.9	17.9	10.4
Low			Translation of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the		
Manning (N=38;B;U)	10.5	28.9	21.1	23.7	15.8
Carter (N=78;M;S)	21.8	30.8	23.1	11.5	12.8
Lowell (N=64;M;U)	9.4	21.9	18.8	14.1	35.9
Palmerston (N=69;M;R)	14.5	23.2	24.6	20.3	17.4
TOTAL	20.3	28.8	21.6	14.6	14.7

TABLE 5-14

STUDENTS' MAJOR REASON FOR ATTENDING COLLEGE (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Train for job	Busi- ness career	Develop world knowledge			Personal enjoyment	Other
High							
Meade (N=233;W;S)	28.3	37.8	4.3	0.9	10.3	5.2	13.4
Quanto (N=212;W;U-S)	34.9	32.1	4.7	1.9	9.9	2.8	13.7
Ward (N=205;W;U-S)	45.4	34.6	2.4	1.0	5.4	4.9	6.4
Middle	,		<u> </u>		ı		
Kinsey (N=186;W;R)	<b>33.</b> 3	35.5	4.8	2.2	7.0	8.1	9.2
Newson (N=236;W;R)	33.1	35.2	3.0	4.2	10.2	2.1	12.1
Walden (N=216;W;U-S)	25.0	38.0	5.1	2.3	13.4	4.6	11.6
Appleton (N=158;M;U-S)	25.9	41.1	3.2	0.6	11.4	12.7	16.4
Foster (N=149;M;U)	30.9	41.6	6.0	0.7	8.1	2.7	10.2
Langston (N=145;M;U-S)	37.2	32.4	5.5	0.7	6.9	6.2	18.0
Shaw (N=213;M;U)	25.8	45.5	5.6	1.4	9.4	5.6	6.6
Sherwood (N=173;M;R)	19.1	34.1	12.7	1.7	17.3	5.8	9.3
Low							
Manning (N=104;B;U)	22.1	42.3	18.3	1.0	5.8	2.9	7.8
Carter (N=214;M;S)	37.9	36.0	0.5	1.4	9.8	5.1	9.5
Lowell (N=172;M;U)	52.3	26.2	2.9	1.2	3.5	2.9	11.1
Palmerston (N=169;M;R)	63.9	25.4	5.9	0.6	0.6	1.2	2.4
TOTAL	34.4	35.8	51.0	1.5	8.8	4.8	4.7

## MOST IMPORTANT REASON WHY STUDENTS CHOSE THEIR PARTICULAR COLLEGE (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Low cost	Close to home	Parti- cular courses	grades &	School accepted low grades	Other schools full	Other
High							
Meade (N=76;W,S)	39.5	18.4	19.7	7.9	1.3	0.0	3.9
Quanto (N=69,W;U-S)	39.1	13.0	27.5	10.1	2.9	2.9	1.4
Ward (N=61;W;U-S)	13.1	21.3	50.8	1.6	0.0	0.0	11.5
Middle							
<pre>Kinsey (N=62;W;R)</pre>	30.6	16.1	21.0	11.3	6.5	0.0	8.1
Newson (N=79;W;R)	44.3	17.7	17.7	6.3	0.0	1.3	5.1
Walden (N=79;W;U-S)	34.2	16.5	13.9	15.2	11.4	0.0	7.6
Appleton (N=51;M;U-S)	7.8	27.5	33.3	11.8	2.0	0.0	5.9
Foster (N=54;M;U)	38.9	14.8	22.2	11.1	3.7	0.0	9.3
Langston (N=46;M;U-S)	21.7	28.3	26.1	8.7	2.2	2.2	8.7
Shaw (N=68;M;U)	45.6	23.5	13.2	4.4	1.5	0.0	10.3
Sherwood (N=60;M;R)	13.3	35.0	15.0	11.7	1.7	0.0	16.7
Low							
Manning (N=37;B;U)	45.9	16.2	16.2	8.1	0.0	8.1	5.4
Carter (N=73;M;S)	27.4	32.9	17.8	2.7	1.4	1.4	6.8
Lowell (N=55;M;U)	9.1	5.5	63.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	10.9
Palmerston (N=62;M;R)	14.5	17.7	58.1	0.0	1.6	0.0	3.2
TOTAL	29.1	20.3	27.0	7.5	2.6	0.9	7.5

^{*}Seven reasons on the original item are eliminated from this table because of negligible responses. They are: Friends attend this college; Referred to by staff; Athletic Program; Other extra curricular activities; Advice of high school teacher or counselor; Only school in area; Don't know what else to do.

TABLE 5-16
STUDENTS' IMMEDIATE EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Transfer without AA	Transfer with AA	AA only	Vocat. certif.	Occupat. prepar. or improve.	Personal enjoy-	Other
High							
Meade (N=247;W;S)	<b>29.</b> 9	30.1	14.2	4.9	20.7	12.6	5.6
Quanto (N=230;W;U-S)	15.6	45.7	26.5	3.0	15.6	7.4	7.6
Ward (N=224;W;U-S)	4.4	54.0	19.6	0.4	23.6	8.9	7.1
Middle							
Kinsey (N=207;W;R)	28.0	30.4	16.9	3.4	22.7	14.0	10.1
Newson (263;W;R)	14.8	44.9	15.2	12.9	12.5	3.8	<b>4.</b> 9
Walden (N=224;W;U-S)	24.1	47.8	9.4	1.8	24.5	14.7	5.8
Appleton (N=167;M;U-S)	19.2	40.1	13.2	6.0	21.5	21.6	10.7
Foster (N=157;M;U)	25.4	40.8	14.0	5.1	24.2	8.3	8.6
Langston (N=163;M;U-S)	20.2	40.5	9.8	6.1	34.9	23.3	14.1
Shaw (N=223;M;U)	34.5	37.2	7.2	1.8	17.0	9.4	9.8
Sherwood (N=183;M;R)	5.5	76.0	9.3	1.1	3.3	7.1	7.7
Low							
Manning (N=117;B;U)	27.3	50.4	9.4	3.4	17.0	4.3	9.4
Carter (N=234;M;S)	12.8	48.7	16.7	9.8	25.6	17.9	6.0
Lowell (N=187;M;U)	10.1	29.4	18.7	12.3	37.4	10.2	14.9
Palmerston (N=181;M;R)	6.0	16.6	38.1	13.8	25.9	1.7	8.3
TOTAL	18.5	42.1	16.1	5.8	21.5	11.0	<u>8.5</u>

TABLE 5-17
STUDENTS' ANTICIPATED TRANSFER DEGREE (in Percent)

		·	
Institution by socioeconomic level	Bachelor's degree	Master's degree	Professional degree
High			
Meade (N=147;W;S)	72.1	18.4	9.5
Quanto (N=128;W;U-S)	59.4	28.9	11.7
Ward (N=120;W;U-S)	83.3	11.7	5.0
Middle			
Kinsey (N=123;W;R)	59.3	26.0	14.6
Newson (N=152;W;R)	71.7	17.1	11.2
Walden (N=143;W;U-S)	72.7	16.8	10.5
Appleton (N=95;M;U-S)	54.7	29.5	15.8
Foster (N=104;M;U)	58.7	30.8	10.6
Langston (N=87;M;U-S)	62.1	24.1	13.8
Shaw (N=174;M;U)	70.7	20.1	9.2
Sherwood (N=148;M;R)	62.2	28.4	9.5
Low			
Manning (N=83;B;U)	47.0	31.3	21.7
Carter (N=133;M;S)	61.7	26.3	12.0
Lowell (N=65;M;U)	78.5	15.4	6.2
Palmerston (N=34;M;R)	85.3	8.8	5.9
TOTAL	66.3	22.6	11.1



TABLE 5-18

NUMBER OF SEMESTER UNITS COMPLETED (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	15 or less	16-30	31-45	46-60	61-100	100 or more
High					ļ	
Meade (N=230;W;S)	35.7	18.7	17.8	20.0	5.7	2.2
Quanto (N=173;W;U-S)	41.6	24.3	15.0	13.3	5.2	0.6
Ward (N=211;W;U-S)	28.4	17.5	15.2	19.4	13.3	6.2
Middle		-				
<pre>Kinsey (N=192;W;R)</pre>	46.4	17.2	19.3	7.8	1.2	4.2
Newson (N=200;W;R)	35.5	24.0	23.5	12.5	4.0	0.5
Walden (N=197;W;U-S)	38.6	20.8	16.8	15.2	6.1	2.5
Appleton (N=33;M;U-S)	30.3	21.2	9.1	15.2	3.0	21.2
Foster (N=147;M;U)	29.9	25.2	20.4	12.2	9.5	2.7
Langston (N=132;M;U-S)	39.4	22.7	7.6	15.2	9.8	5.3
Shaw (N=220;M;U)	35.5	16.8	18.2	16.4	8.6	4.5
Sherwood (N=81;M;R)	24.7	21.0	11.1	22.2	18.5	2.5
Low						
Manning (N=108;B;U)	43.5	23.1	13.9	13.0	4.6	1.9
Carter (N=88;M;S)	27.3	23.9	8.0	13.6	23.9	3.4
Lowell (N=155;M;U)	32.3	20.0	16.1	13.5	12.3	5.8
Palmerston (N=23;M;R)	56.5	26.1	4.3	8.7	4.3	0.0
TOTAL	36.0	20.8	16.3	14.9	8.6	3.5

TABLE 5-19

TYPE OF COURSES IN WHICH STUDENT IS ENROLLED (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Regular credit	Adult education	Non-credit
High			
Meade (N=244;W;S)	86.9	4.9	4.5
Quanto (N=229;W:U-S)	98.7	0.0	1.3
Ward (N=224;W;U-S)	90.2	8.9	0.4
Middle			
<pre>Kinsey (N=203;W;R)</pre>	94.1	1.5	1.5
Newson (N=267;W;R)	95.5	2.6	1.1
Walden (N=224;W;U-S)	94.2	2.7	2.7
Appleton (N=167;M;U-S)	85.0	9.6	3.0
Foster (N=163;M;U)	90.2	4.9	3.1
Langston (N=157;M;U-S)	76.4	10.2	5.1
Shaw (N=226:M;U)	92.0	2.7	2.7
Sherwood (N=186;M;R)	94.1	1.6	2.7
Low			
Manning (N=117;B;U)	92.3	3.4	0.9
Carter (N=130;M;S)	87.0	9.6	2.6
Lowell (N=183;M;U)	73.8	20.8	4.4
Palmerston (N=185;M;R)	95.1	3.2	2.7
TOTAL	90.1	94.5	2.5

-235TABLE 5-20
STUDENTS CURRENT MAJOR
(in Percent)

Institution by			,	•	Ή	RANSFER I	PROGRAM	S		
socioeconomic		Social	Science					ļ.,	Other	
level	arts	science	& math	arts	ities	Medical	Agric.	Educ.	professnl.	Totai
High										
Meade (N=205;W;S)	11.2	11.2	2.0	6.3	1.0	5.9	1.5	7.8	19.0	65.9
Quanto (N=201;W;U-S)	23.4	7.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	3.5	1.0	6.5	10.4	52.3
Ward (N=202;W;U-S)	4.0	4.5	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	2.5	33.2	47.7
Middle										
<pre>Kinsey (N=167;W;R)</pre>	12.0	10.8	6.0	4.8	1.8	4.8	1.2	7.2	10.8	59.4
Newson (N=235;W;R)	11.5	7.2	3.4	6.8	4.3	8.5	6.0	6.8	9.8	64.3
Walden (N=189;W;U-S)	12.7	4.2	3.7	4.8	2.6	3.2	0.5	6.3	34.9	72.9
Appleton (N=128;M;U-S)	4.7	19.5	3.9	4.7	<b>3.</b> 9	7.8	0 <b>.0</b>	6.3	17.2	68.0
Foster (N=135;M;U)	19.3	4.4	0.7	1.5	3.0	8.1	0.0	5.9	17.0	<b>59.</b> 9
Langston (N=116;M;U-S)	7.8	15.5	6.9	5.2	3.4	6.0	0.9	6.0	12.1	63.8
Shaw (N=194;M;U)	4.1	12.9	5.2	5.2	3.6	12.4	1.0	10.8	24.2	79.4
Sherwood (N=144;M;R)	7.6	8.3	6.9	2.1	3.5	7.6	2.8	16.7	26.4	81.9
Low										
Manning (N=99;B;U)	4.0	17.2	4.0	4.0	1.0	13.1	0.0	13.1	16.2	72.6
Carter (N=184;M;S)	3.8	12.5	6.5	1.6	2.2	4.9	1.6	3.3	21.2	57.6
Lowell (N=136;M;U)	0.0	2.9	1.5	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.0	3.7	9.6	19.1
Palmerston (N=164;M;R)	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	10.4	14.0
TOTAL	8.8	8.8	3.4	3.3	2.2	5.6	1.3	6.7	18.5	58.6

-236-TABLE 5-20 (Continued)

	2-YEAR PROGRAMS										
Institution by socioeconomic level	Agriculture	Business	Arts	Health services	Public personal services	Trade technical	Tota1				
High											
Meade (N=205;W:S)	0.0	13.2	3.9	5.4	3.4	8.3	34.2				
Quanto (N=201;W;U-S)	0.0	24.9	0.0	16.4	3.0	2.5	46.8				
Ward (N=202;W;U-S)	0.0	28.7	0.0	1.0	0.5.	22.3	52.5				
Middle											
<pre>Kinsey (N=167;W;R)</pre>	0.0	13.2	0.0	6.6	4.2	16.8	40.8				
Newson (N=235;W,R)	2.1	17.0	0.4	11.1	0.0	5.1	35.7				
Walden (N=189;W;U-S)	0.0	18.5	0.5	2.6	0.5	4.8	26.9				
Appleton (N=;28;M;U-S)	0.0	7.0	0.0	8.6	0.0	16.4	32.0				
Foster (N=135;M;U)	0.0	8.9	2.2	19.3	4.4	5.2	40.0				
Langston (N=116;M;U-S)	0.9	6.0	4.3	2.6	1.7	20.7	35.3				
Shaw (N=194;M;U)	0.0	9.3	1.0	6.7	2.6	1.0	20.6				
Sherwood (N=144;M;R)	0.0	3.5	0.0	11.1	2.8	0.7	18.1				
Low											
Manning (N=99;B;U)	0.0	8.1	0.0	15.2	2.0	2.0	27.3				
Carter (N=184;M;S)	0.0	1 <b>3.</b> 0	0.5	8.2	8.2	12.5	42.4				
Lowell (n=136;M;U)	0.0	10.3	7.4	5.9	2.2	55.1	80.9				
Palmerston (N=164;M;R)	3.7	39.6	4.9	9.1	10.4	18.3	81 0				
TOTAL	0.5	15.8	1.6	8.4	3.0	12.0	41.3				

TABLE 5-21
SIUDENTS' COLLEGE GRADE AVERAGE (in Percent)

	т —	<del></del>				
Institution by socioeconomic level	A	В	C+	С	C-	D or below
High	ļ					
Meade (N=237;W;S)	7.6	36.7	28.7	20.3	4.6	2.1
Quanto (N=198;W;U-S)	8.1	36.4	29.8	19.7	5.1	1.0
Ward (N=211;W,U-S)	12.3	44.1	25.6	11.4	5.7	0.9
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=191;W;R)</pre>	15.7	47.6	22.0	10.5	2.1	2.1
Newson (N=236;W;R)	5.1	29.7	28.0	27.5	6.8	3.0
walden (N=171;W;U-S)	5.3	36.8	30.4	18.1	6.4	2.9
Appleton (N=150;M;U-S)	16.0	44.7	24.0	12.7	0.7	2.0
Foster (N=153;M;U)	9.8	46.4	25.5	13.1	3.3	2.0
Langston (N=130;M;U-S)	15.4	43.8	22.3	13.1	3.8	1.5
Shaw (N=220;M;U)	9.5	39.1	22.3	19.1	5.5	4.5
Sherwood (N=178;M;R)	30.3	50.6	13.5	4.5	1.1	0.0
Low						
Manning (N=104;B,U)	11.5	35.6	31.7	14.4	5.8	1.0
Carter (N=217;M;S)	10.1	41.9	28.6	14.3	3.2	1.8
Lowell (N=154;M;U)	7.8	45.5	29.9	14.9	1.9	0.0
Palmerston (N=177;M;R)	20.9	33.9	20.9	13.6	9.6	1.1
TOTAL	12.0	40.5	25.5	15.6	4.5	1.8

TABLE 5-22

PROPORTION OF STUDENTS WHO REPORTED OVER 50 PERCENT FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR THEIR EDUCATION, BY SOURCE (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Own savings	Own income	Family -room & board	Family -other	Spouse	Schol- arship	Loan	G.I. Bill	Other gov't	Other
High										
Meade (N=54;W;S)	14.9	20.4	13.0	9.3	5.6	0.0	0.0	7.5	0.0	5.6
Quanto (N=48;W;U-S)	25.0	10.4	4.2	14.6	6.3	2.1	2.1	4.2	2.1	0.0
Ward (N=65;W;U-S)	1.5	20.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	22.7	0.0	37.9
Middle										
Kinsey (N=48;W;R)	12.6	14.6	4.2	4.2	8.3	0.0	2.1	10.4	2.1	8.3
Newson (N=63;W;R)	12.6	12.6	8.0	11.1	1.6	3.2	3.2	6.4	6.4	1.6
Walden (N=49,W,U-S)	12.2	38.8	10.4	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0
Appleton (N=40;W;U-S)	2.5	33.3	10.3	10.3	12.5	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.5	2.5
Foster (N=39;M;U)	2.6	20.5	5.1	2.6	7.7	2.6	10.3	18.0	5.2	7.7
Langston (N=35,M;U-S)	11.4	45.5	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.6	0.0	0.0
Shaw (N=57;M;U)	7.0	21.1	1.8	7.0	7.1	7.1	5.3	8.8	8.8	0.0
Sherwood (N=47;M;R)	2.1	32.0	8.5	2.1	10.6	2.1	2.1	12.7	0.0	2.1
Low										
Manning (N=26;B;U)	7.7	30.7	15.4	7.6	0.0	3.8	0.0	11.5	2.7	0.0
Carter (N=55;M;S)	10.9	34.6	14.5	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
Lowell (N=39,M;U)	10.3	46.2	7.7	2.6	5.1	0.0	0.0	15.4	0.0	2.6
Palmerston (N=25;M;R)	4.0	16.0	4.0	12.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	8.0
TOTAL	9.4	25.5	7.8	5.8	5.1	1.5	1.7	10.7	2.3	4.6

PROPORTION OF STUDENTS WHO REPORTED ANY FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR THEIR EDUCATION, BY SOURCE (in Percent)

			So	urce a	nd pro	portio	n of s	upport	Source and proportion of support								
Inchiqueine		OW	SAVI				0	WN INCO	OME								
Institution by socioeconomic level	0%	25%	50%	75%	100%	0%	1%- 25%	26% - 50%	51%- 75%	76% - 100%							
High																	
Meade (N=54;W;S)	59.3	13.0	13.0	1.9	13.0	46.3	20.4	13.0	0.0	20.4							
Quanto (N=48;W;U-S)	47.9	10.4	16.7	0.0	25.0	58.3	20.8	10.4	0.0	10.4							
Ward (N=65;W;U-S)	89.2	6.2	3.1	0.0	1.5	46.2	21.5	12.3	1.5	18.5							
Middle																	
<pre>Kinsey (N=48;W;R)</pre>	62.5	14.6	10.4	6.3	6.3	43.8	27.1	14.6	4.2	10.4							
Newson $(N=63;W;R)$	61.9	15.9	9.5	6.3	6.3	46.0	30.2	il.1	6.3	6.3							
Walden (N=49;W;U-S)	71.4	8.2	8.2	2.0	10.2	34.7	16.3	10.2	10.2	28.6							
Appleton (N=40;W;U-S)	80.0	17.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	38.5	20.5	7.7	5.1	28.2							
Foster (N=39;M;U)	71.8	17.9	7.7	0.0	2.6	51.3	20.5	7.7	5.1	15.4							
Langston (N=35;M;U-S)	74.3	8.6	5.7	0.0	11.4	33.3	9.1	12.1	0.0	45.5							
Shaw (N=57;M;U)	73.7	12.3	7.0	3.5	3.5	57.9	12.3	<b>8.</b> 8	8.8	12.3							
Sherwood (N=47;M;R)	76.6	10.6	10.6	0.0	2.1	44.7	8.5	14.9	4.3	27.7							
Low																	
Manning (N=26;B;U)	73.1	7.7	11.5	0.0	7.7	57.7	3.8	7.7	3.8	26.9							
Carter (N=55;M;S)	76.4	9.1	3.6	1.8	9.1	45.5	10.9	9.1	5.5	29.1							
Lowell (N=39;M;U)	74.4	12.8	2.6	2.6	7.7	41.0	7.7	5.1	2.6	43.6							
Palmerston (N=25;M;R)	<b>60.</b> 0	16.0	20.0	0.0	4.0	56.0	24.0	4.0	4.0	12.0							
TOTAL	70.4	11.9	8.3	2.0	7.4	46.6	17.6	10.3	4.2	21.3							

TABLE 5-23 (Continued)

	Source and proportion of support									
	F					1			N ROOM	& BOARD
Institution by socioeconomic level	0%	1%- 25%	26% - 50%	51% - 75%	76%- 100%	0%	1%- 25%	26%- 50%	51%- 75%	76% - 100%
High										
Meade (N=54;W;S)	75.9	9.3	1.9	1.9	11.1	83.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	5.6
Quanto (N=48;W;U-S)	72.9	18.8	4.2	2.1	2.1	64.6	8.3	12.5	2.1	12.5
Ward (N=66;W;U-S)	93.9	1.5	3.0	0.0	1.5	97.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.5
Middle										
<pre>Kinsey (N=48;W;R)</pre>	75.0	4.2	16.7	2.1	2.1	75.0	6.3	14.6	2.1	2.1
Newson (N=63;W;R)	60.3	19.0	12.7	3.2	4.8	63.5	17.5	7.9	6.3	4.8
Walden (N=48;W;U-S)	58.3	22.9	8.3	2.1	8.3	81.6	8.2	6.1	2.0	2.0
Appleton (N=39;M;U-S)	71.8	5.1	12.8	2.6	7.7	76.9	7.7	5.1	0.0	10.3
Foster (N=39;M;U)	87.2	5.1	2.6	0.0	5.1	89.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	2.6
Langston (N=35;M;U-S)	80.0	0.0	5.7	2.9	11.4	97.1	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Shaw (N=57;M;U)	70.2	17.5	10.5	1.8	0.0	71.9	12.3	<b>8.</b> 8	0.0	7.0
Sherwood (N=47;M;R)	78.7	4.3	8.5	0.0	8.5	87.2	6.4	4.3	0.0	2.1
Low										
Manning (N=26;B;U)	80.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	15.4	88.5	0.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
Carter (N=55;M;S)	67.3	0.0	18.2	3.6	10.9	87.3	3.6	9.1	0.0	0.0
Lowell (N=39;M;U)	79.5	7.7	5.1	0.0	7.7	92.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	0.0
Palmerston (N=25;M;R)	60.0	24.0	12.0	0.0	4.0	56.0	20.0	12.0	4.0	8.0
TOTAL	74.2	9.4	8.6	1.6	6.2	80.9	7.1	6.2	1.7	4.1

TABLE 5-23 (Continued)

			Sou	irce ar	nd prop	ortion	ortion of support			
To act and a			SPOUSE					CHOLAI	RSHIP	
Institution by socioeconomic level	0%	1%- 25%	26% - 50%	51%- 75%	76%- 100%	0%	1%- 25%	26% - 50%	51%- 75%	76%- 100%
High										
Meade (N=54;W;S)	94.4	0.0	0.0	1.9	3.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Quanto (N=48;W;U-S)	91.7	2.1	0.0	2.1	4.2	89.6	4.2	4.2	0.0	2.1
Ward (N=66;W;U-S)	97.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middle										
Kinsey (N=48;W;R)	89.6	0.0	2.1	0.0	8.3	95.8	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0
Newson (N=63,W;R)	92.1	1.6	4.8	0.0	1.6	87.3	6.3	3.2	0.0	3.2
Walden (N=49;W;U-S)	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	85.0	0.0	2.5	2.5	10.0	95.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Foster (N=39;M;U)	87.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	5.1	97.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6
Langston (N=34;M;U-S)	97.1	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Shaw (N=57;M;U)	87.7	1.8	3.5	1.8	5.3	91.2	1.8	0.0	1.8	5.3
Sherwood (N=47;M;R)	80.9	0.0	8.5	2.1	8.5	97.9	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0
Low										
Manning (N=26;B;U)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	96.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8
Carter (N=54;M;S)	88.9	3.7	1.9	0.0	5.6	96.4	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lowell (N=39;M;U)	92.3	2.6	0.0	0.0	5.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Palmerston (N=25;N;R)	80.0	4.0	12.0	4.0	0.0	100.0	ე. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ΤΟΓΑΙ.	91.0	1.3	2.6	1.0	4.1	96.1	1.7	.7	.3	1.2

TABLE 5-23 (Continued)

					propo	rtion (	_	•		
Institution by		1%-	LOANS	51%-	76%-		G   1%-	.I. BI	LL 51%- 1	76%-
socioeconomic level	0%	25%	50%	75%	100%	0%	25%	50%	75%	100%
High		_								
Meade (N=54;W;S)	92.6	1.9	5.6	0.0	0.0	81.5	1.9	9.3	1.9	5.6
Quanto (N=48;W;U-S)	91.7	6.3	0.0	2.1	0.0	89.6	2.1	4.2	0.0	4.2
Ward (N=66;W;U-S)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.7
Middle										
Kinsey (N=48;W;R)	97.9	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	79.2	4.2	6.3	0.0	10.4
Newson (N=63;W;R)	88.9	6.3	1.6	1.6	1.6	90.5	0.0	3.2	1.6	4.3
Walden (N=49;W;U-S)	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.6	6.1	6.1	0.0	8.2
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.5	7.5	2.5	2.5	0.0
Foster (N=39;M;U)	84.6	2.6	2.6	0.0	10.3	71.8	2.6	7.7	2.6	15.4
Langston (N=35;M;U-S)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.6	2.9	0.0	0.0	8.6
Shaw (N=57;M;U)	93.0	0.0	1.8	1.8	3.5	80.7	1.8	8.8	0.0	8.8
Sherwood (N=47;M;R)	91.5	4.3	2.1	2.1	0.0	78.7	2.1	6.4	2.1	10.6
Low										
Manning (N=26;B;U)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.6	0.0	3.8	0.0	11.5
Carter (N=55;M;S)	96.4	1.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	83.6	5.5	1.8	0.0	9.1
Lowell (N=39;M;U)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.5	0.0	5.1	0.0	15.4
Palmerston (N=25;M;R)	88.0	4.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	76.0	4.0	4.0	8.0	8.0
TOTAL	94.8	2.0	1.4	.7	1.0	82.1	2.6	4.6	1.0	9.7

TABLE 5-23 (Continued)

	Source and proportion of support									
	OTH	ER GOV		T BENI		TCION	-	IER SOL	JRCES	
Institution by socioeconomic level	0%	1%- 25%	26% - 50%	51%- 75%	76%- 100%	0%	1%- 25%	26%- 50%	51% - 75%	76%- 100%
High										
Meade (N=54;W;S)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.7	0.0	3.7	1.9	3.7
Quanto (N=48;W;U-S)	97.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	97.9	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ward (N=66;W;U-S)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.1	0.0	6.1	9.1	28.8
Middle										
<pre>Kinsey (N=48;W;R)</pre>	95.8	2.1	0.0	2.1	0.0	87.5	2.1	2.1	0.0	8.3
Newson (N=63;W;R)	84.1	4.8	4.8	1.6	4.8	98.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6
Walden (N=49;W;U-S)	93.9	2.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	85.0	7.5	5.0	0.0	2.5	97.5	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0
Foster (N=39,M;U)	94.9	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.6	89.7	0.0	2.6	2.6	5.1
Langston (N=35;M;U-S)	97.1	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.1	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0
Shaw (N=57;M;U)	89.5	1.8	0.0	1.8	7.0	98.2	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0
Sherwood (N=47;M;R)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1
Low										
Manning (N=26;B;U)	92.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7 <b>.7</b>	92.3	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0
Carter (N=55;M;S)	98.2	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lowell (N=39;M;U)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6
Palmerston (N=25;M;R)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	92.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
TOTAL	95.1	1.4	1.2	.6	1.7	91.9	.6	1.6	1.3	4.6

TABLE 5-24

THE EXTENT STUDENTS REPORTED FINANCES TO BE A PROBLEM TO THEIR EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS (in Percent)

	1			
Institution by socioeconomic level	Not a problem	Minor problem	Difficult problem	Serious problem
High				
Meade (N=84;W;S)	36.9	34.5	16.7	11.9
Quanto (N=76;W;U-S)	40.8	34.2	19.7	5.3
Ward (N=87;W;U-S)	55.2	28.7	13.8	2.3
Middle		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
<pre>Kinsey (N=72;W;R)</pre>	31.9	41.7	19.4	6.9
Newson (N=91;W;R)	38.5	30.8	26.4	4.4
Walden (N=77;W;U-S)	40.3	31.2	20.8	7.8
Appleton (N=60;M;U-S)	55.0	16.7	23.3	5.0
Foster (N=56;M;U)	25.0	41.1	23.2	10.7
Langston (N=60;M;U-S)	35.0	20.0	25.0	20.0
Shaw (N=73;M;U)	37.0	32.9	20.5	9.6
Sherwood (N=64;M;R)	45.3	25.0	20.3	9.4
Low				
Manning (N=38;B;U)	31.6	28.9	15.8	23.7
Carter (N=81;M;S)	50.6	29.6	14.8	4.9
Lowell (N=62;M;U)	40.3	30.6	21.0	8.1
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	36.2	50.0	10.3	3.4
TOTAL	40.6	31.8	19.4	8.2

TABLE 5-25

STUDENTS' RESPONSE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE WAS AVAILABLE TO THEM (in Percent*)

Institution by socioeconomic level	No	Yes	Unsure
High			
Meade (N=52;W;S)	1.9	63.5	34.6
Quanto (N=46;W;U-S)	10.9	65.2	23.9
Ward (N=39;W;U-S)	2.6	61.5	35.9
Middle			
<pre>Kinsey (N=52;W;R)</pre>	3.8	53.8	42.3
Newson (N=67;W;R)	0.0	74.6	25.4
Walden (N=42;W;U-S)	2.4	54.8	42.9
Appleton (N=48;M;U-S)	0.0	77.1	22.9
Foster (N=37;M;U)	2.7	64.9	32.4
Langston (N=33;M;U-S)	6.1	81.8	12.1
Shaw (N=42;M;U)	0.0	71.4	28.6
Sherwood (N=44;M;R)	2.3	63.6	34.1
Low			
Manning (N=30;B;U)	10.0	40.0	50.0
Carter (N=39;M;S)	5.1	46.2	48.7
Lowell (N=24;M;U)	12.5	79.2	8.3
Palmerston (N=50;M;R)	2.0	80.0	18.0
TOTAL	3.6	65.6	30.9

^{*}Thirty-nine percent of the base sample did not respond to this item.



TABLE 5-26
STUDENTS' CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Currently employed	Won't work during school	Looking for part- time job	Looking for full- time job	No plans yet
High					
Meade (N=250;W;S)	70.4	8.8	14.0	2.4	4.4
Quanto (N=231;W;U-S)	67.5	10.4	14.7	1.7	5.6
Ward (N=226;W;U-S)	90.3	2.7	1.3	4.0	1.8
Middle					
Kinsey (N=207;W;R)	69.6	9.7	9.7	5.3	5.8
Newson (N=265;W;R)	59.6	15.8	17.4	1.9	5.3
Walden (N=227;W;U-S)	71.8	7.5	11.9	4.8	4.0
Appleton (N=171;M;U-S)	58.5	11.1	15.8	7.0	7.6
Foster (N=164;M;U)	72.6	11.0	9.8	1.8	4.9
Langston (N=161;M;U-S)	ر).9	5.0	21.7	9.3	3.1
Shaw (N=232;M;U)	63.8	11.2	13.8	4.3	6.9
Sherwood (N=186;M;R)	60.2	18.3	12.9	2.7	5.9
Low					
Manning (N=120;B;U)	54.2	10.8	22.5	10.0	2.5
Carter (N=234;M;S)	71.8	8.5	11.1	3.8	4.7
Lowell (N=190;M;U)	72.6	4.2	12.1	7.9	3.2
Palmerston (N=192;M;R)	49.0	12.5	28.6	4.2	5.7
TOTAL	66.9	9.8	14.1	4.4	4.8

- 247TABLE 5-27

STUDENTS' OPINIONS OF THE EFFECT OF WORK
ON THEIR EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS
(in Percent)

		<b>-</b>							
Institution by socioeconomic level	Take fewer classes	Drop a class	Take longer to grad- uate	May with- draw tempo- rarily	May not finish school	Less time to study	Lower grade in class	Fail a class	No eftect
High									0.2.000
Meade (N=81;W;S)	34.6	7.4	29.6	3.7	2.5	29.6	8.6	0.0	21.3
Quanto (N=72;W;U-S)	4.2	2.8	4.2	0.0	2.8	31.9	9.7	5.6	29.2
Ward (N=86;W;U-S)	38.4	7.0	59.3	2.3	2.3	40.7	12.8	1.2	17.4
Middle						<u>.</u>			
Kinsey (N=75;W;R)	38.7	9.3	32.0	6.7	1.3	42.7	16.0	4.0	9.3
Newson (N=89;W;R)	2.2	4.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	27.0	11.2	0.0	28.1
Walden (N=77;W;U-S)	23.4	15.6	27.3	3.9	7.8	37.7	11.7	2.6	19.5
Appleton (N=60;M;U-S)	26.7	6.7	21.7	6.7	1.7	18.3	6.7	0.0	18.3
Foster (N=56;M;U)	41.1	12.5	42.9	7.1	7.1	44.6	21.4	1.8	12.5
Langston (N=57;M;U-S)	35.1	17.5	31.6	15.8	5.3	36.8	10.5	3.5	14.0
Shaw (N=73;M;U)	41.1	9.6	32.9	6.8	1.4	32.9	13.7	9.6	15.1
Sherwood (N=65;M;R)	29.2	9.2	26.2	3.1	1.5	32.3	7.7	3.1	13.8
Low									
Manning (N=38;B;U)	23.7	7.9	21.1	1 <b>0.</b> 5	5.3	31.6	5.3	2.6	5.3
Carter (N=77;M;S)	32.5	13.0	29.9	6.5	5.2	27.3	13.0	2.6	15.8
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	13.3	13.3	21.7	5.0	3.3	36.7	11.7	3.3	28.3
Palmerston (N=60;M;R)	1.7	1.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	3.3	26.7
TOTAL	25.7	9.1	25.8	4.9	3.0	32.4	10.9	2.8	18.8

TABLE 5-28

PROPORTION OF STUDENTS PLANNING TO MAKE CAREERS OF THEIR CURRENT OCCUPATIONS*

Institution by socioeconomic level	Percent
High	
Meade (N=38;W;S)	39.3
Quanto (N=45;W;U-S)	34.0
Ward (N=28;W;U-S)	63.6
Middle	
<pre>Kinsey (N=41;W;R)</pre>	16.7
Newson (N=54;W;R)	27.8
Walden (N=38;W;U-S)	28.8
Appleton (N=25;M;U-S)	41.9
Foster (N=23;M;U)	36.8
Langston (N=27;M;U-S)	50.0
Shaw (N=26;M;U)	54.3
Sherwood (N=36;M;R)	31.6
Low	
Manning (N=19;B;U)	25.0
Carter (N=30;M;S)	51.1
Lowell (N=27;M;U)	59.6
Palmerston (N=30;M;R)	25.0
TOTAL	41.2

^{*}The figures in this table are based on the 60 percent of the sample that responded to the item.



TABLE 5-29
STUDENTS' ANTICIPATED OCCUPATIONS (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	General worker	Semi- skilled worker	Skilled cleri- cal or sales	Skilled crafts- man or forman	Pro- tective service worker	Owner or manager- small business
High						
Meade (N=215;W;S)	5.6	5.1	15.3	2.3	5.1	5.1
Quanto (N=201;W;U-S)	7.5	6.0	10.4	3.5	3.5	5.0
Ward (N=202;W;U-S)	2.5	5.4	6.4	6.4	2.0	5.9
Middle						
Kinsey (N=174;W;R)	8.0	7.5	8.6	3.4	2.3	5.7
Newson (N=224;W;R)	8.0	4.5	11.6	2.7	2.2	6.3
Walden (N=184;W;U-S)	4.9	11.4	13.6	<b>3.</b> 3	1.6	4.9
Appleton (N=142;M;U-S)	1.4	3.5	11.3	7.0	4.2	2.8
Foster (N=145;M;U)	2.8	9.0	11.0	4.1	6.2	2.1
Langston (N=131;M;U-S)	3.1	8.4	11.5	16.0	1.5	7.6
Shaw (N=193;M;U)	2.6	3.6	8.3	2.1	5.7	6.2
Sherwood (N=150;M;k)	2.0	5.3	5.3	1.3	4.0	2.7
Low						
Manning (N=99;B;U)	5.1	6.1	9.1	1.0	3.0	2.0
Carter (N=207;M;S)	2.4	7.7	7.2	7.2	12.1	3.9
Lowell (N=165;M;U)	5.5	11.5	7.3	20.6	0.6	10.3
Palmerston (N=165;M;R)	6.1	4.2	18.2	7.3	6.7	3.6
TOTAL	4.6	6.5	10.4	5.8	4.2	5.1

TABLE 5-29 (Continued)

*						
Institution by	Farm owner or manager	Semi- profes- sional or tech- nician	Mana- gerial/ profes- ional I	Mana- gerial/ profes- sional II	House- wife	Unem- ployed
High						
Meade (N=215;W;S)	0.5	8.4	33.5	8.8	3.7	6.5
Quanto (N=201;W;U-S)	0.0	15.9	36.8	8.0	0.0	3.5
Ward (N=202;W;U-S)	0.5	19.3	44.6	3.0	0.0	4.0
Middle						
Kinsey (N=174;W;R)	0.6	14.4	28.2	12.6	0.6	8. Ú
Newson (N=224;W;R)	3.1	7.6	35.7	8.9	1.8	7.6
Walden (N=184;W;U-S)	0.0	11.4	32.6	7.1	0.0	9.2
Appleton (N=142;M;U-S)	0.7	14.8	33.1	11.3	2.1	7.7
Foster (N=145;M;U)	0.0	12.4	33.1	11.7	0.7	6.9
Langston (N=131;M;U-S)	0.0	7.6	29.0	10.7	0.8	3.8
Shaw (N=193;M;U)	0.5	15.5	35.2	13.0	1.0	6.2
Sherwood (N-150,M;R)	0.0	11.3	44.0	10.7	4.0	9.3
Low						
Manning (N=99;B;U)	0.0	12.1	33.3	18.2	0.0	7.1
Carter (N=207;M;S)	0.5	8.2	29.0	9.7	1.0	11.]
Lowell (N=165;M;U)	0.0	15.2	19.4	2.4	2.4	4.8
Palmerston (N=165;M;R)	4.2	21.8	16.4	1.8	1.8	7.9
TOTAL	0.8	13.0	32.5	8.8	1.3	6.9

TABLE 5-30

EXTENT OF STUDENTS' AGREEMENT THAT THEIR TEACHERS POSSESS VARIOUS QUALITIES (in Percent)

Institution by	Strongly	Tea	chers are well pr	epared	
socioeconomic level	agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
High				, G	
Meade (N=82:W;S)	32.9	50.0	15.9	1.2	0.0
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	42.9	37.7	15.6	3.9	0.0
Ward (N=66;W;U-S)	39.4	53.0	4.5	3.0	0.0
Middle					<u> </u>
<pre>Kinsey (N=68;W;R)</pre>	44.1	42.6	11.8	1.5	0.0
Newson (N=90;W;R)	33.3	52.2	10.0	4.4	0.0
Walden (N=70;W;U-S)	27.1	55.7	14.3	2.9	0.0
Appleton (N=55;M;U-S)	41.8	38.2	12.7	5.5	1.8
Foster (N=46;M;U)	28.3	52.2	10.9	6.5	2.2
Langston (N=48;M;U-S)	43.8	45.8	4.2	6.3	0.0
Shaw (N=79;M;U)	45.6	41.8	10.1	2.5	0.0
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	39.6	50.9	9.4	0.0	0.0
Low					
Manning (N=40;B;U)	52.5	35.0	7.5	5.0	0.0
Carter (N=74;M;S)	40.5	48.6	6.8	4.1	0.0
Lowell (N=59;M;U)	33.9	49.2	10.2	3.4	3.4
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	50.0	32.8	10.3	5.2	1.7
TOTAL	39.3	46.1	10.6	3.5	0.5

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

		Teachers use clarifying examples						
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree			
High					<u> </u>			
Meade (N=82;W;S)	29.3	51.2	13.4	6.1	0.0			
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	29.9	44.2	18.2	7.8	0.0			
Ward (N=66;W;U-S)	27.3	57.6	9.1	6.1	0.0			
Middle								
<pre>Kinsey (N=68;W;R)</pre>	32.4	41.2	14.7	8.8	2.0			
Newson (N=90;W;R)	22.2	48.9	20.0	8.9	0.0			
Wallen (N=69;W;U-S)	21.7	52.2	18.8	7.2	0.0			
Appleton (N=54;M;U-S)	37.0	44.4	11.1	5.6	1.9			
Foster (N=46;M;U)	32.6	54.3	6.5	4.3	2.2			
Langston (N=48;M;U-S)	41.7	41.7	10.4	6.3	0.0			
Shaw (N=79;M;U)	31.6	44.3	17.7	3.8	2.5			
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	32.1	52.8	11.3	3.8	0.0			
Low								
Manning (N=41;B;U)	46.3	34.1	12.2	7.3	0.0			
Carter (N=74;M;S)	27.0	54.1	16.2	2.7	0.0			
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	36.7	50.0	8.3	3.3	1.7			
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	44.8	39.7	6.9	1.7	6.9			
TOTAL.	31.7	47.8	13.7	5.7	1.1			

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Teachers are interested in teaching						
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree		
High							
Meade (N=82;W;S)	34.1	53.7	9.8	1.2	1.2		
Quanto (N=76;W;U-S)	40.8	43.4	11.8	3.9	0.0		
Ward (N=66;W;U-S)	36.4	47.0	13.6	3.0	0.0		
Middle							
<pre>Kinsey (N=68;W;R)</pre>	45.6	45.6	7.4	1.5	0.0		
Newson (N=90;W;R)	33.3	50.0	11.1	4.4	1.1		
Walden (N=69;W;U-S)	34.8	52.2	10.1	2.9	0.0		
Appleton (N=54;M;U-S)	38.9	50.0	9.3	0.0	1.9		
Foster (N=46;M;U)	34.8	47.8	15.2	2.2	0.0		
Langston (N=47;M;U-S)	59.6	29.8	6.4	4.3	0.0		
Shaw (N=79;M;U)	30.4	53.2	11.4	5.1	0.0		
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	45.3	43.4	9.4	0.0	1.9		
Low							
Manning (N=41;B;U)	56.1	36.6	7.3	0.0	0.0		
Carter (N=74;M;S)	39.2	45.9	12.2	0.0	2.7		
Lowell (N=61;M;U)	34.4	49.2	6.6	6.6	3.3		
Palmerston (N=57;M;R)	54.4	31.6	7.0	3.5	3.5		
TOTAL	40.0	46.2	10.1	2.7	1.0_		

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

	Teachers are interested in students						
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree		
High							
Meade (N=81;W;S)	32.1	43.2	18.5	4.9	1.2		
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	41.6	33.8	20.8	1.3	2.6		
Ward (N=65;W;U-S)	29.2	43.1	26.2	1.5	0.0		
Middle							
<pre>Kinsey (N=67;W;R)</pre>	41.8	41.8	11.9	4.5	0.0		
Newson (N=89;W;R)	30.3	50.6	12.4	5.6	1.1		
Walden (N=70;W;U-S)	24.3	54,3	14.3	5.7	1.4		
Appleton (N=53;W;U-S)	39.6	41.5	11.3	5.7	1.9		
Foster (N=46;M;U)	30.4	43.5	19.6	4.3	2.2		
Langston (N=47;M;U-S)	59.6	21.3	14.9	4.3	0.0		
Shaw (N=79;M;U)	25.3	43.0	22.8	6.3	2.5		
Sherwood (N=52;M;R)	53.8	34.6	9.6	0.0	1.9		
Low							
Manning (N=41;B;U)	53.7	19.5	24.4	2.4	0.0		
Carter (N=75;M;S)	30.7	54.7	10.7	4.0	0.0		
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	35.0	43.3	11.7	6.7	3.3		
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	51.7	25.9	17.2	1.7	3.4		
TOTAL	37.1	41.0	16.4	4.1	1.5		

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

-255-

	Teachers hold students' attention						
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree		
High							
Meade (N=82;W;S)	13.4	43.9	25.6	11.0	6.1		
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	22.1	36.4	29.9	11.7	0.0		
Ward (N=65;W;U-S)	16.9	53.8	20.0	9.2	0.0		
Middle							
<pre>Kinsey (N=68;W;R)</pre>	22.1	58.8	10.3	7.4	1.5		
Newson (N=90;W;R)	11.1	44.4	23.3	16.7	4.4		
Walden (N=70;W;U-S)	11.4	54.3	21.4	11.4	1.4		
Appleton (N=55;M;U-S)	30.9	40.0	12.7	12.7	3.6		
Foster (N=46;M;U)	21.7	41.3	23.9	8.7	4.3		
Langston (N=47;M;U-S)	44.7	34.0	17.0	4.3	0.0		
Shaw (N=78;M;U)	19.2	46.2	23.1	9.0	2.6		
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	28.3	49.1	15.1	7.5	0.0		
Low							
Manning (N=41;B;U)	39.0	41.5	7.3	12.2	0.0		
Carter (N=74;M;S)	13.5	54.1	25.7	5.4	1.4		
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	28.3	45.0	15.0	10.0	1.7		
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	41.4	34.5	15.5	5.2	3.4		
TOTAL	22.5	45.6	19.9	9.8	2.2		

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

	Courses are well organized					
Institution by socioeconomic Jevel	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
High						
Meade (N=82:W;S)	19.5	45.1	24.4	9.8	1.2	
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	16.9	46.8	29.9	5.2	1.3	
Ward (N=65;W;U-S)	16.9	58.5	21.5	3.1	0.0	
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=68;W;R)</pre>	27.9	48.5	17.6	4.4	1.5	
Newson (N=90;W;R)	16.7	50.0	23.3	8.9	1.1	
Walden (N=70;W;U-S)	14.3	45.7	32.9	5.7	1.4	
Appleton (N=55;M;U-S)	25.5	34.5	25.5	12.7	1.8	
Foster (N=45;M;U)	22.2	42.2	24.4	6.7	4.4	
Langston (N=48;M;U-S)	37.5	35.4	16.7	8.3	2.1	
Shaw (N=79;M;U)	29.1	45.6	19.0	6.3	0.0	
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	22.6	54.7	18.9	3.8	0.0	
Low						
Manning (N=41;B;U)	36.6	36.6	17.1	9.8	0.0	
Carter (N=74;M;S)	18.9	59.5	13.5	6.8	1.4	
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	23.3	45.0	18.3	6.7	6.7	
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	43.1	36.2	10.3	8.6	1.7	
TOTAL	23.7	46.4	21.2	7.0	1.6	

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

	Teachers grade fairly					
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
High						
Meade (N=81;W;S)	21.0	51.9	22.2	3.7	1.2	
Quanto (N=76;W;U-S)	27.6	39.5	25.0	7.9	0.0	
Ward (N=66;W;U-S)	30.3	50.0	19.7	0.0	0.0	
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=67;W;R)</pre>	26.9	53.7	19.4	0.0	0.0	
Newson (N=90;W;R)	16.7	43.3	22.2	13.3	4.4	
Walden (N=67;W;U-S)	9.0	55.2	32.8	1.5	1.5	
Appleton (N=78;M;U-S)	30.9	43.6	12.7	7.3	5.5	
Foster (N=43;M;U)	32.6	46.5	11.6	7.0	2.3	
Langston (N=46;M;U-S)	34.8	45.7	15.2	4.3	0.0	
Shaw (N=78;M;U)	28.2	48.7	17.9	3.8	1.3	
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	35.8	52.8	9.4	0.0	1.9	
Low						
Manning (N=41;B;U)	43.9	29.3	12.2	14.6	0.0	
Carter (N=74;M;S)	17.6	56.8	23.0	1.4	1.4	
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	31.7	45.0	21.7	1.7	0.0	
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	37.9	39.7	12.1	5.2	5.2	
TOTAL	26.9	47.3	19.4	4.7	1.7	

TAELE 5-30 (Continued)

<del>13</del>		Teachers	encourage studen	ts' opinior	ns
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
High	1			i	
Meade (N=82;W;S)	26.8	51.2	18.3	3.7	0.0
Quanto (N=67;W;U-S)	31.6	44.7	15.8	5.3	2.6
Ward (N=65;W;U-S)	26.2	47.7	23.1	3.1	0.0
Middle					
<pre>Kinsey (N=68;W;R)</pre>	35.3	47.1	13.2	1.5	2.9
Newson (N=90;W;R)	22.2	54.4	17.8	3.3	2.2
Walden (N=69;W;U-S)	18.8	59.4	14.5	5.8	1.4
Appleton (N=54;M;U-S)	31.5	53.7	11.1	0.0	3.7
Foster (N=44;M;U)	36.4	50.0	13.6	0.0	0.0
Langston (N=46;M;U-S)	52.2	32.6	13.0	0.0	2.2
Shaw (N=77;M;U)	27.3	48.1	19.5	5.2	0.0
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	60.4	32.1	7.5	0.0	0.0
Low					
Manning (N=40;B;U)	57.5	37.5	2.5	0.0	2.5
Carter (N=75;M;S)	41.3	41.3	12.0	4.0	1.3
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	28.3	46.7	20.0	5.0	0.0
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	46.6	36.2	8.6	5.2	3.4
TOTAL	34.3	46.4	14.7	3.1	1.5

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

	Teachers are intellectually stimulating							
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree			
High								
Meade (N=82;W;S)	19.5	42.7	24.4	11.0	2.4			
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	23.4	39.0	26.0	10.4	1.3			
Ward (N=65;W;U-S)	23.1	36.9	29.2	9.2	1.5			
Middle								
<pre>Kinsey (N=67;W;R)</pre>	77.3	46.3	10.4	1.5	4.5			
Newson (N=90;W;R)	17.8	41.1	25.6	11.1	4.4			
Walden (N=70;W;U-S)	15.7	44.3	22.9	17.1	0.0			
Appleton (N=54;M;U-S)	31.5	40.7	20.4	3.7	3.7			
Foster (N=46;M;U)	28.3	47.8	15.2	4.3	4.3			
Langston (N=47;M;U-S)	44.7	38.3	12.8	4.3	0.0			
Shaw (N=77;M;U)	24.7	42.9	23.4	5.2	3.9			
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	43.4	39.6	17.0	0.0	0.0			
Low								
Manning (N=40;B;U)	52.5	35.0	12.5	0.0	0.0			
Carter (N=75;M;S)	28.0	40.0	29.3	2.7	0.0			
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	36.7	40.0	15.0	6.7	1.7			
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	37.9	36.2	15.5	3.4	6.9			
TOTAL	29.1	40.9	20.9	6.7	2.4			

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

	<u> </u>							
	Teachers make assignments clear							
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree			
High		1			5			
Meade (N=82;W;S)	19.5	57.3	22.0	1.2	0.0			
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	20.8	54 <b>.5</b>	18.2	6.5	0.0			
Ward (N=65;W;U-S)	26.2	49.2	18.5	6.2	0.0			
Middle								
<pre>Kinsey (N=68;W;R)</pre>	26.5	57.4	11.8	1.5	2.9			
Newson (N=90;W;R)	20.0	56.7	16.7	6.7	0.0			
Walden (N=68;W;U-S)	17.6	60.3	13.2	7.4	1.5			
Appleton (N=55;M;U-S)	29.1	54.5	9.1	7.3	0.0			
Foster (N=45;M;U)	28.9	55.6	15.6	0.0	0.0			
Langston (N=47;M;U-S)	40.4	38.3	14.9	6.4	0.0			
Shaw (N=78;M;U)	24.4	60.3	10.3	2.6	2.6			
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	32.1	58.5	9.4	0.0	0.0			
.OW		,	1.5					
Manning (N=41;B;U)	51.2	34.1	14.6	0.0	0.0			
Carter (N=75;M;S)	18.7	52.0	21.3	6.7	1.3			
Lowell (N=47;M;U)	35.0	43.3	16.7	5.0	0.0			
Palmerston (N=57;M;R)	43.9	35.1	17.5	3.5	0.0			
OTAL	27.3	52.2	15.6	_ 4.3	0.6			

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

	Те	Teachers are familiar with their subject							
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree				
High									
Meade (N=82;W;S)	36.6	45.1	15.9	2.4	0.0				
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	36.4	48.1	14.3	1.3	0.0				
Ward (N=65;W;U-S)	40.0	44.6	12.3	1.5	1.5				
Middle									
<pre>Kinsey (N=68;W;R)</pre>	44.1	45.6	8.8	1.5	0.0				
Newson (N=90;W;R)	37.8	50.0	8.9	3.3	0.0				
Walden (N=69;W;U-S)	33.3	49.3	15.9	1.4	0.0				
Appleton (N=55;M;U-S)	41.8	50.9	3.6	1.8	1.8				
Foster (N=46;M;U)	41.3	45.7	6.5	4.3	2.2				
Langston (N=47;M;U-S)	51.1	38.3	8.5	2.1	0.0				
Shaw (N=78;M;U)	35.9	51.3	10.3	1.3	1.3				
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	32.1	50.9	15.1	1.9	0.0				
Low									
Manning (N=41;B;U)	51.2	39.0	7.3	2.4	0.0				
Carter (N=74;M;S)	39.2	48.6	10.8	0.0	1.4				
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	53.3	33.3	8.3	1.7	3.3				
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	56.9	24.1	13.8	3.4	1.7				
TOTAL	41.2	45.0	11.0	2.0	0.8				

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

	Teachers give a reasonable amount of work						
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree		
High							
Meade (N=81;W;S)	19.8	56.8	17.3	6.2	0.0		
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	28.6	57.1	13.0	1.3	0.0		
Ward (N=65;W;U-S)	30.8	60.0	7.7	1.5	0.0		
Middle			^		<u>.</u>		
<pre>Kinsey (N=68;W;R)</pre>	22.1	58.8	11.8	4.4	2.9		
Newson (N=90;W;R)	15.6	63.3	12.2	7.8	1.1		
Walden (N=69;W;U-S)	20.3	63.8	13.0	2.9	0.0		
Appleton (N=55,M;U-S)	27.3	50.9	16.4	3.6	1.8		
Foster (N=46;M;U)	23.9	54.3	17.4	4.3	0.0		
Langston (N=46;M;U-S)	45.7	45.7	8.7	0.0	0.0		
Shaw (N=78;M;U)	21.8	60.3	16.7	1.3	0.0		
Sherwood (N=52;M;R)	32.7	59.6	3.8	3.8	0.0		
Low							
Manning (N=41;B;U)	36.6	36.6	17.1	7.3	2.4		
Carter (N=74;M;S)	18.9	68.9	9.5	2.7	0.0		
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	31.7	51.7	10.0	5.0	1.7		
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	39.7	44.8	12.1	1.7	1.7		
TOTAL	26.4	56.8	12.5	3.6	0.7		

TABLE 5-30 (Continued)

	Tea	Teachers are easy to talk to outside of class					
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disa <b>gre</b> e	Strongly disagree		
High							
Meade (N=82;W;S)	28.0	43.9	18.3	6.1	3.7		
Quanto (N=77;W;U-S)	39.0	42.9	16.9	1.3	0.0		
Ward (N=65;W;U·S)	32.3	40.0	23.1	3.1	1.5		
Middle							
Kinsey (N=68;W;R)	44.1	35.3	16.2	2.9	1.5		
Newson (N=89; N;R)	27.0	47.2	14.6	7.9	3.4		
Walden (N=69;W;U-S)	29.0	47.8	15.9	2.9	4.3		
Appleton (N=55;M;U-S)	36.4	32.7	23.6	5.5	1.8		
Foster (N=46;M;U)	45.7	23.9	26.1	2.2	2.2		
Langston (N=47;M;U-S)	46.8	34.0	17.0	2.1	0.0		
Shaw (N=78;M;U)	24.4	43.6	29.5	2.6	0.0		
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	41.5	41.5	15.1	1.9	0.0		
Low							
Manning (N=40;B;U)	50.0	25.0	15.0	10.0	0.0		
Carter (N=75;M;S)	29.3	44.0	18.7	5.3	2.7		
Lowell (N=60;M;U)	35.0	41.7	20.0	3.3	0.0		
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	50.0	37.9	5.2	5.2	1.7		
TOTAL	35.8	40.0	18.4	4.2_	1.7		

TABLE 5-31

STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS OF THE AVAILABILITY OF THEIR INSTRUCTORS OUTSIDE OF CLASS (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Readily available	Generally available	Generally unavailable	Never available	Never tried to see instructor
High					
Meade (N=82;W;S)	45.1	41.5	2.4	2.4	8.5
Quanto (N=76;W;U-S)	64.5	32.9	1.3	0.0	1.3
Ward (N=64;W;U-S)	32.8	35.9	1.6	0.0	29.7
Middle					
<pre>Kinsey (N=67;W;R)</pre>	49.3	46.3	1.5	1.5	1.5
Newson (N=90;W;R)	46.7	47.8	1.1	0.0	4.4
Walden (N=71;W;U-S)	49.3	38.0	2.8	1.4	8.5
Appleton (N=54;M;U-S)	35.2	37.0	9.3	1.9	16.7
Foster (N=45;M;U)	46.7	37.8	2.2	2.2	11.1
Langston (N=47;M;U-S)	55.3	29.8	2.1	2.1	10.6
Shaw (N=79;M;U)	53.2	34.1	3.8	1.3	7.6
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	64.2	26.4	0.0	3.8	5.7
Low					
Manning (N=41;B;U)	46.3	34.1	7.3	0.0	12.2
Carter (N=74;M;S)	51.4	28.4	2.7	1.4	16.2
Lowell (N=59;M;U)	37.3	32.2	3.4	1.7	25.4
Palmerston (N=60;M;R)	61.7	25.0	1.7	5.0	6.7
TOTAL	49.4	35.8	2.7	1.6	10.6

TABLE 5-32

COMPOSITE OF PROBLEMS WITH WHICH STUDENTS NEEDED, SOUGHT, AND RECEIVED HELP (in Percent)

		TEST SOO	RES	GRADE IMPROVEMENT		
Institution by socioeconomic level	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful
High						
Meade (N=68;W;S)	33.8	22.4	19.3	33.3	18.2	14.0
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	36.4	28.8	29.6	39.4	27.1	18.5
Ward (N=51;W;U-S)	23.5	22.5	24.4	31.4	15.0	17.1
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=57;W;R)</pre>	22.8	12.7	13.0	31.6	16.4	15.2
Newson (N=80;W;R)	32.5	25.9	20.0	36.6	15.9	11.4
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	36.1	24.1	18.8	34.4	17.2	12.5
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	25.0	7.1	4.5	32.5	3.6	0.0
Foster (N=36;M;U)	30.6	18.2	14.3	27.8	18.2	3.6
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	35.0	26.5	33.3	35.0	20.6	0.0
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	27.4	26.4	23.5	29.0	17.0	9.8
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	27.5	23.5	21.1	20.0	14.7	18.4
Low						
Manning (N=39;B;R)	51.3	34.5	26.1	37.8	20.7	13.0
Carter (N=68;M;S)	16.2	9.8	9.3	26.5	6.6	5.6
Lowell (N=42;M;R)	33.3	18.8	6.9	43.9	21.9	13.8
Palmerston (N=49;M;R)	51.0	51.2	35.9	55.1	26.8	23.1
TOTAL	31.7	23.4	20.3	34 <b>.3</b> _	17.3	12.4

TABLE 5-32 (Continued)

	CH	ANGING M	IAJOR	CHANGIN	CHANGING OCCUPATION PLANS		
Institution by socioeconomic level	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	
High							
Meade (N=68;W;S)	40.3	29.9	24.6	22.1	13.6	14.0	
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	23.8	27.1	25.9	18.2	11.9	7.4	
Ward (N=51;W;U-S)	29.4	30.0	29.3	11.8	10.0	9.8	
Middle			:				
<pre>Kinsey (N=57;W;R)</pre>	36.8	32.7	26.1	26.3	18.2	10.9	
Newson (N=80;W;R)	37.5	32.1	25.7	27.5	24.7	11.4	
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	37.7	32.8	25.0	32.8	25.9	25.0	
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	27.5	25.0	18.2	22.5	17.9	9.1	
Foster (N=36;M;U)	30.6	24.2	21.4	33.3	21.2	21.4	
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	40.0	29.4	22.2	27.5	20.6	7.4	
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	21.0	13.2	19.6	16.1	3.8	3.9	
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	27.5	29.4	23.7	22.5	20.6	26.3	
Low							
Manning (N=39;B;R)	34.2	37.9	30.4	21.6	10.3	17.4	
Carter (N=68;M;S)	36.8	37.7	33.3	25.0	19.7	11.1	
Lowell (N=42;M;U)	33.3	26.5	20.7	24.4	21.9	20.7	
Palmerston (N=49;M;R)	28.6	31.7	20.5	22.4	26.8	20.5	
TOTAL	33.0	29.6	24.9	23.5	17.9	13.9	

TABLE 5-32 (Continued)

	<del></del>						
	: IMPROVEM	IENT OF S	STUDY HABITS	STA	STAYING IN SCHOOL		
Institution by socioeconomic level	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	
ligh							
Meade (N=68;W;S)	18.2	6.1	5.3	13.6	7.6	7.0	
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	24.2	6.8	3.7	21.2	15.3	14.8	
Ward (N=5;W;U-S)	27.5	7.5	7.3	9.8	12.5	14.6	
Middle							
Kinsey (N=57;W;R)	28.1	16.4	15.2	12.3	5.5	6.5	
Newson (N=80;W;R)	30.0	6.2	5.7	12.5	9.9	7.1	
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	39.3	15.5	12.5	27.9	19.0	18.8	
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	45.0	14.3	13.6	22.5	10.7	4.5	
Foster (N=36;M;U)	38.9	18.2	3.6	19.4	21.2	14.3	
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	37.5	17.6	7.4	22.5	14.7	7.4	
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	25.8	13.2	9.8	8.1	7.5	2.0	
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	22.5	11.8	10.5	12.5	17.6	15.8	
Low			,				
Manning (N=39;B;U)	36.8	27.6	17.4	21.6	10.0	8.7	
Carter (N=68;M;S)	27.9	9.8	9.3	11.8	6.6	3.7	
Lowell (N=42;M;R)	41.5	9.4	10.3	33.3	18.8	6.9	
Palmerston (N=49;M;R)	46.9	22.0	12.8	22.4	22	12.8	
TOTAL	31.6	12.4	9.1	17.4	12.5	9.6_	

TABLE 5-32 (Continued)

	<del></del>		<del></del> _				
	1	GETTING EMIC PRO		SEL	SELECTING CLASSES		
Institution by socioeconomic level	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	
High							
Meade (N=57;W;S)	10.4	7.6	5.3	73.9	70.1	63.2	
Quanto (N=54;W;U-S)	13.6	13.6	5.6	50.0	42.4	31.5	
Ward (N=41;W;U-S)	3.9	5.0	2.4	54.9	57.5	58.5	
Middle							
<pre>Kinsey (N=46;W;R)</pre>	3.5	1.8	0.0	86.0	74.5	69.6	
Newson $(N=70;W;R)$	6.3	4.9	4.3	67.9	63.9	54.9	
Walden (N=48;W;U-S)	16.4	8.6	6.3	68.9	67.2	47.9	
Appleton (N=22;M;U-S)	7.5	0.0	0.0	55.0	57.1	40.9	
Foster (N=28;M;U)	8.3	6.1	3.6	61.1	54.5	46.4	
Langston (N=27;M;U-S)	12.5	14.7	0.0	65.0	64.7	37.0	
Shaw (N=51,M;U)	6.5	3.8	2.0	61.3	64.2	60.8	
Sherwood (N=38;M;R)	7.5	2.9	5.3	60.3	67.6	60.5	
Low							
Manning (N=23;B;U)	2.7	6.9	0.0	71.8	61.3	69.6	
Carter (N=43;M;S)	5.9	4.9	5.6	67.6	67.2	64.8	
Lowell (N=29;M;U)	19.5	12.5	3.4	63.6	54.3	51.7	
Palmerston (N=39;M;R)	10.2	7.3	5.1	53.1	46.3	41.0	
TOTAL	8.9	6.7	3.7	64.5	61.7	54.0	

TABLE 5-32 (Continued)

	T			1		
	SELEC	TING INS	TRUCTORS	SELECTIN	G TRANSF	ER COLLEGE
Institution by socioeconomic level	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful
High						
Meade (N=57;W;S)	20.9	11.9	8.8	35.3	25.8	15.8
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	33.3	15.3	9.3	25.8	23.7	18.5
Ward (N=51;W;U-S)	13.7	7.5	7.3	11.8	12.5	<b>12.</b> 2
M.dd1e						
<pre>Kinsey (N=57;W;R)</pre>	35.1	20.0	15.2	22.8	21.8	15.2
Newson (N=80;W;R)	17.5	12.3	7.0	26.8	22.0	14.1
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	37.7	13.8	8.3	36.1	20.7	10.4
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	32.5	17.9	13.6	37.5	32.1	22.7
Foster (N=36;M;U)	30.6	30.3	28.6	19.4	27.3	10.7
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	32.5	5.9	3.7	40.0	32.4	33.3
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	35.5	18.9	13.7	14.5	13.2	7.8
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	40.0	35.3	31.6	15.0	11.8	18.4
Low						
Manning (N=37;B;U)	24.3	17.2	8.7	35.1	13.8	13.0
Carter (N=68;M;S)	29.4	9.8	11.1	33.8	23.0	16.7
Lowell (N=41;M;U)	34.1	12.1	6.9	22.0	12.1	10.3
Palmerston (N=49;M;R)	30.6	26.8	15.4	18.4	22.0	20.5
TOTAL	29.3	16.1	12.1	26.4	21.1	15.4

TABLE 5-32 (Continued)

	FUTURE	EDUCATI	ON PLANS	Sc	PERSONAI CIAL PRO	
Institution by socioeconomic level	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful
High						
Meade (N=67;W;S)	41.2	31.8	24.6	19.7	10.6	8.8
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	39.4	37.3	25.9	34.8	23.7	20.4
Ward (N=51;W;U-S)	33.3	27.5	26.8	11.8	12.5	9.8
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=57;W;R)</pre>	40.4	32.7	23.9	14.0	7.3	6.5
Newson (N=80;W;R)	41.0	31.3	29.6	27.5	7.4	8.5
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	39.3	29.3	16.7	16.4	10.3	8.3
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	47.5	35.7	27.3	30.0	7.1	13.6
Foster (N=36;M;U)	61.1	51.5	25.0	22.2	9.1	7.1
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	52.5	50.0	29.6	17.5	11.8	7.4
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	24.2	22.6	17.6	14.5	5.7	3.9
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	32.5	26.5	26.3	15.0	11.8	10.5
Low						
Manning (N=37;B;U)	39.5	31.0	17.4	31.6	20.7	8.7
Carter (N=68;M;S)	29.4	21.3	20.4	14.7	4.9	5.6
Lowell (N=41;M,U)	43.9	34.4	20.7	22.5	3.1	0.0
Palmerston (N=49;M;R)	30.6	34.1	25.6	22.4	24.4	20.5
TOTAL	38.8	32.2	23.9	20.9	11.1	9.4

TABLE 5-32 (Continued)

	PROBL	EMS WITH	FAMILY	SELF	SELF UNDERSTANDING		
Institution by socioeconomic level	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	
High							
Meade (N=65;W;S)	15.4	7.6	8.8	20.0	6.1	5.3	
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	16.7	10.2	9.3	26.2	15.3	15.1	
Ward (N=51;W;U-S)	5.9	5.0	7.3	13.7	5.0	7.3	
Middle							
<pre>Kinsey (N=57;W;R)</pre>	5.3	1.8	0.0	12.3	5.5	6.5	
Newson (N=80;W;U-S)	8.8	2.5	1.4	22.2	4.9	2.8	
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	8.2	6.9	4.2	16.4	8.6	6.3	
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	17.5	0.0	4.5	25.0	7.1	9.1	
Foster (N=36;M;U)	13.9	3.0	0.0	27.8	9.1	7.1	
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	12.5	0.0	3.7	20.0	2.9	7.4	
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	6.5	1.9	2.0	21.0	5.7	5.9	
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	15.0	0.0	5.3	22.5	17.6	23.7	
Low							
Manning (N=37;B;U)	21.6	3.4	0.0	21.1	6.9	13.0	
Carter (N=68;M;S)	8.8	3.3	1.9	11.8	3.3	3.7	
Lowell (N=40;M;U)	20.0	3.1	0.0	31.7	6.3	0.0	
Palmerston (N=49;M;R)	16.3	4.9	5.1	22.4	19.5	15.4	
TOTAL	12.1	4.0	3.8	20.4	7.9	8.1	

TABLE 5-32 (Continued)

	COLLEGE	RULES &	PROCEDURES	STUD	ENT EMPI	OYMENT
Institution by socioeconomic level	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful
High						
Meade (N=65;W;S)	25.4	19.7	14.0	27.3	15.2	7.0
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	16.7	18.6	13.0	13.6	6.8	3.7
Ward (N=51;W;U-S)	7.8	12.5	9.8	3.9	7.5	2.4
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=57;W;R)</pre>	15.8	10.9	13.0	17.5	12.7	10.9
Newson (N=80;W;U-S)	21.0	18.3	12.7	24.7	18.3	18.3
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	23.0	19.0	14.6	19.7	17.2	8.3
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	12.5	7.1	4.5	30.0	7.1	9.1
Foster (N=36;M;U)	16.7	18.2	14.3	22.2	9.1	0.0
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	27.5	20.6	14.8	35.0	23.5	14.8
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	12.9	17.0	13.7	22.6	13.2	7.8
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	12.5	14.7	18.4	15.0	5.9	2.6
Low			į			
Manning (N=37;B;U)	28.2	20.7	8.7	55.1	23.3	4.3
Carter (N=68;M;S)	10,3	8.2	7.4	14.7	4.9	5.6
Lowell (N=40;M;U)	19.0	12.1	13.8	39.0	12.5	6.9
Palmerston (N=49;M;R)	18.4	19.5	12.8	46.9	34.1	20.5
TOTAL	17.8	16.0	12.6	23.5	14.0	8.6

TABLE 5-32 (Continued)

	EMDI OVI	TENTE A DETE	D CDUCATION		FINANCIAL AID		
Institution by socioeconomic level	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	Needed help	Sought help	Found counselor helpful	
High							
Meade (N=65;W;S)	7.6	4.5	0.0	23.9	21.2	14.0	
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	10.6	8.5	3.7	18.2	15.3	9.3	
Ward (N=51;W;U-S)	3.9	0.0	2.4	7.8	12.5	14.6	
Middle							
<pre>Kinsey (N=57;W;R)</pre>	8.8	3.6	0.0	22.8	14.5	8.7	
Newson (N=80;W;U-S)	10.0	3.7	2.8	34.6	28.0	25.4	
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	13.1	8.6	4.2	34.4	25.9	10.4	
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	17.5	7.1	13.6	30.0	14.3	13.6	
Foster (N=36;M;U)	8.3	12.1	3.6	33.3	27.3	28.6	
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	22.5	8.8	7.4	47.5	23.5	14.8	
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	6.5	0.0	0.0	21.0	17.0	11.8	
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	2.5	0.0	5.3	32.5	35.3	31.6	
Low							
Manning (N=37;B;U)	21.6	10.3	4.3	57.9	40.0	26.1	
Carter (N=68;M;S)	4.4	1.6	0.0	7.4	6.6	3.7	
Lowell (N=40;M;U)	22.5	3.1	0.C	27.5	9.1	10.3	
Palmerston (N=49;M;R)	30.6	17.1	15.4	46.9	34.1	25.6	
TOTAL	11.9	5.5	3.5	28.1	21.1	15.9	

TABLE 5-33

PROBLEMS WITH WHICH STUDENTS REPORTED NEEDING HELP (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Test scores	Grade im- provement		Changing occupa- tion plans	Study habits improvement	Staying in school
High						
Meade (N=68;W;S)	33.8	<b>3</b> 3.3	40.3	22.1	18.2	13.6
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	36.4	39.4	28.8	18.2	24.2	21.2
Ward (N=51;W;U-S)	23.5	31.4	29.4	11.8	27.5	9.8
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=57;W;R)</pre>	22.8	31.6	3 <b>6.</b> 8	26.3	28.1	12.3
Newson (N=80;W;R)	32.5	<b>36.</b> 6	37.5	27.5	30.0	12.5
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	36.1	34.4	37.7	32.8	39.3	27.9
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	25.0	32.5	27.5	22.5	45.0	22.5
Foster (N=36;M;U)	30.6	27.8	30.6	·33.3	38.9	19.4
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	35.0	35.0	40.0	27.5	37.5	22.5
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	27.4	29.0	21.0	16.1	25.8	8.1
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	27.5	20.0	27.5	22.5	22.5	12.5
Low						
Manning (N=39;B;U)	51.3	37.8	34.2	21.5	36.8	21.6
Carter (: :8;M;S)	16.2	26. ^r	36.8	25 <b>.0</b>	27.9	11.8
Lowell (N=42;M;U)	33.3	43.9	33.3	24.4	41.5	33.3
Palmerston (N=49;M;R)	51.0	55.1	28.6	22.4	46.9	22.4
TOTAL	31.7	34.3	33.0	23.5	31.6	17.4



TABLE 5-33 (Continued)

						1
Institution by socioeconomic level	Letting off academic probation	ing	Select- ing in- structors		Future education plans	Personal, social problems
High				i i		;   
Meade (N=67;W;S)	10.4	73.9	20.9	3 <b>5.</b> 3	41.2	
Quanto (N=66;W;II-S)	13.6	50.0	33.3	2 <b>5.</b> 8	39.4	37.8
Ward (N=51;W;U-S)	3.9	54.9	13.7	11.8	33.3	11.8
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=57;W;R)</pre>	3.5	86.0	35.1	22.8	40.4	14.0
Newson (N=80;W;R)	6.3	67.9	17.5	26.8	41.0	27.5
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	16.4	68.9	37.7	36.1	39.3	16.4
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	7.5	55.0	32.5	37.5	47.5	30.0
Foster (N=36;M;U)	8.3	61.1	30.6	19.4	61.1	22.2
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	12.5	65.0	32.5	40.0	52.5	17.5
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	6.5	61.3	35.5	14.5	24.2	14.5
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	7.5	60.0	40.0	15.0	32.5	15.0
Low						
Manning (N=37;B;U)	2.7	71.8	24.3	35.1	39.5	31.6
Carter (N=68;M;S)	5.9	67.6	29.4	33.8	29.4	14.7
Lowell (N=41;M;U)	19.5	63.6	34.1	22.0	43.9	22.5
Palmersten (N=49;M;R)	10.2	53.1	30.6	18.4	30.6	22.4
TOTAL	8.9	64.5	29.3	26.4	38.8	20.9

TABLE 5-33 (Continued)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Problems with family	Self under- standing	proced-		Employment <b>aft</b> er education	Financial aid
High						
Meade (N=65;W;S)	15.4	20.0	25.4	27.3	7.6	23.9
Quanto (N=65;W;U-S)	16.7	26.2	16.7	13.6	10.6	18.2
Ward (N=51;W;U-S)	5.9	13.7	7.8	3.9	3.9	7.8
Middle						
Kinsey (N=57;W;R)	5.3	12.3	15.8	17.5	8.8	22.8
Newson (N=80;W;U-S)	8.8	22.2	21.0	24.7	10.0	34.6
Walden (N=61;W;U-S)	8.2	16.4	23.0	19.7	13.1	34.4
Appleton (N=40;M;U-S)	17.5	25.0	12.5	30.0	17.5	30.0
Foster (N=36;M;U)	13.9	27.8	16.7	22.2	8.3	33.3
Langston (N=40;M;U-S)	<b>1</b> 2.5	20.0	27.5	35.0	22.5	47.5
Shaw (N=62;M;U)	6.5	21.0	12.9	22.6	6.5	21.0
Sherwood (N=40;M;R)	15.0	22.5	12.5	15.0	2.5	32.5
Low						
Manning (N=37;B;U)	21.6	21.1	28.2	35.1	21.6	57.9
Carter (N=68;M;S)	8.8	11.8	10.3	14.7	4.4	7.4
Lowell (N=40,M;U)	20.0	31.7	19.0	39.0	22.5	27.5
Palmerston (N=49;M;R)	16.3	22.4	18.4	46.9	30.6	46.9
IOTAL	12.1	20.4	17.8	23.5	11.9	28.1

TABLE 5-34

PROBLEMS ABOUT WHICH STUDENTS TALKED TO TIEIR COUNSELORS (in Percent)

	7		1			
Institution by socioeconomic level	Test scores	Grade improvement	Changing major	Changing occupa- tion plans	Study habit improve- ment	Staying in school
lligh						•
Meade (N=67;W;S)	22.4	18.2	29.9	<b>13.</b> 6	6.1	7.6
Quanto (N=59;W;U-S)	28.8	27.1	27.1	11.9	6.8	15.3
Word (N=40;W;U-S)	22.5	15.0	30.u	<b>10.</b> 0	7.5	12 5
- Middle						
	12.7	16.4	32.7	18.2	16.4	5.5
Kinsey (N=55;W;R)						; 1
wson (N=81;W;R)	25.9	15.9	32.1	24.7	6.2	9.9
Walden (N=58;W;U-S)	24.1	17 ?	32.8	25.9	15.5	19.0
Appleton (N=28;M;U-S)	7.1	3.6	25.0	17.9	14.3	19.7
Foster (N=33;M;U)	18.2	18.2	24.2	21.2	18.2	21.2
Langston (N=34;M;U-S)	26.5	20.6	29.4	20.6	17.6	14.7
Shaw (N=53;M;U)	26.4	17.0	13.2	3.8	13.2	7.5
Sherwood (N=34;M;R)	23.5	14.7	29.4	20.6	11.8	17.6
Low						
Manning (N=29;B;U)	34.5	20.7	37,9	10.3	27.6	10.0
Carter (N=61;M;S)	9.8	6.6	37.7	19.7	9.8	6.5
Lowell (N=32;M;U)	18.8	21.9	26.5	21.9	9.4	18.8
Palmerston (N=41;M;R)	51.2	26.8	31.7	26.8	22.0	22.0
TOTAL	23.4	17.3	29.6	17.9	12.4	12.5

TABLE 5-34 (Continued)

					1	
Institution by socioeconomic level	Getting off academic probation	ing	Select- ing in- structors	•	Future education plans	Personal/ social problems
High				<b>.</b>		
Meade (N=66;W;S)	7.6	70.1	11.9	25.8	31.8	10.6
Quanto (N=59;W;U-S)	13.6	42.4	15.3	23.7	37.3	23.7
Ward (N=40;W;U-S)	5.0	57.5	7.5	12.5	27.5	12.5
		37.13	7.3	12.5		12.3
Middle			:		T THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE	
<pre>Kinsey (N=55;W;R)</pre>	1.8	74.5	20.0	21.8	32.7	7.3
Newson (N=31;W;R)	4.9	63.9	12.3	22.0	31.3	7.4
Walden (N=58;W;U-S)	8.6	67.2	13.8	20.7	29.3	10.3
Appleton (N=28;;M;U-S)	0.0	57.1	17.9	32.1	35.7	7.1
Foster (N=33;M;U)	6.1	54.5	30.3	27.3	51.5	9.1
Langston (N=34;M;U-S)	14.7	64.7	5.9	32.4	50.0	11.8
Shaw (N=53;M;U)	3.8	64.2	18.9	13.2	22.6	5.7
Sherwood (N=34;M;R)	2.9	67.6	35.3	11.8	2 <b>6.</b> 5	11.8
_						
Low				 		
Manning (N=29;B;U)	6.9	61.3	17.2	13.8	31.9	20.7
Carter (N=61;M;S)	4.9	67.2	9.8	23.0	21.3	4.9
Lowell (N=32;M;U)	12.5	54.3	12.1	12.1	34.4	3.1
Palmerston (N=41;M;R)	7.3	46.3	26.8	22.0	34.1	24.4
TOT'AL	6.7	61.7	16.1	21.1	32.2	11.1

TABLE 5-34 (Continued)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Problems with family	Self under- standing	College rules & proced- ures	Student employ- ment	Employment after education	Financial
High						
Meade (N=66;W;S)	7.6	6.1	19.7	15.2	4.5	21.2
Quanto (N=59;W;U-S)	10.2	<b>15.</b> 3	18.6	6.8	8.5	15.3
Ward (N=40;W;U-S)	5.0	5 <b>.0</b>	12.5	7.5	0.0	12.5
Middle						
Kinsey (N=55;W;R)	1.8	5.5	10.9	12.7	3.6	<b>14.</b> 5
Newson (N=81;W;R)	2.5	4.9	18.3	18.3	3.7	28.0
Walden (N=58;W;U-S)	6.9	8.6	19.0	17.2	8.6	25.9
Appleton (N=28;M;U-S)	0.0	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	14.3
Foster (N=33;M;U)	3.0	9.1	18.2	9.1	12.1	27.3
Langston (N=34;M;U-S)	0.0	2.9	20.6	23.5	8.8	23.5
Shaw (N=53;M;U)	1.9	5.7	17.0	13.2	0.0	17.0
Sherwood (N=34;M;R)	0.0	17.6	14.7	5.9	0.0	35.3
Low						
Manning (N=29;B;U)	3.4	6.9	20.7	23.3	10.3	40.0
Carter (N=61;M;S)	3.3	3.3	8.2	4.9	1.6	6.6
Lowell (N=32;M;U)	3.1	6.3	12.1	12.5	3.1	9.1
Palmerston (N=41;M;R)	4.9	19.5	19.5	34.1	17.1	34.1
TOTAL	4.0	7.9	16.0	14.0	5.5	21.1

TABLE 5-35
PROBLEMS WITH WHICH STUDENTS FOUND THEIR COUNSELORS HELPFUL (in Percent)

						<del></del>
Institution by socioeconomic level	Test scores	Grade improvement	Changing major	Changing occupa- tion plans	Study habit improve- ment	Staying in school
High	1					
Meade (N=57;W;S)	19.3	14.0	24.6	14.0	5.3	7.0
Quanto (N=54;W;U-S)	29.6	18.5	25.9	7.4	3.7	14.8
Ward (N=41;W;U-S)	: 24.4	17.1	29.3	9.8	7.3	14.6
Mi <b>dd</b> le	i					
<pre>Kinsey (N=46;W;R)</pre>	13.0	15.2	26.1	10.9	15.2	6.5
Newson (N=70;W;R)	20.0	11.4	25.7	11.4	5.7	7.1
Walden (N=48;W;U-S)	18.8	12 - 5	25.0	25.0	12.5	18.8
Appleton (N=22;M;U-S)	4.5	0.0	18.2	9.1	13.6	4.5
Foster (N=28;M;U)	14.3	3.6	21.4	21.4	3.6	14.3
Langston (N=27;M;U-S)	33.3	0.0	22.2	7.4	7.4	7.4
Shaw (N=51;M;U)	23.5	9.8	19.6	3.9	9.8	2.0
Sherwood (N=38;M;R)	21.1	18.4	23.7	26.3	10.5	15.8
Low						
Manning (N=23;B;U)	26.1	13.0	30.4	17.4	17.4	8.7
Carter (N=54;M;S)	9.3	5.6	33.3	11.1	9.3	3.7
Lowell (N=29;M;U)	6.9	13.8	20.7	20.7	10.3	6.9
Palmerston (N=39;M;R)	35.9	23.1	20.5	20.5	12.8	12.8
TOTAL	20.3	12.4	24.9	13.9	9.1	9.6

TABLE 5-35 (Continued)

					<del></del>	
Institution by socioeconomic level	Getting off academic probation	Select- ing classes	ing in-	Select- ing transfer college	Future education plans	Personal/social
High						
Meade (N=66;W;S)	5.3	63.2	8.8	15.8	24.6	8.8
Quanto (N=59;W;U-S)	5.6	31.5	9.3	18.5	25.9	20.4
Ward (N=40;W;U-S)	2.4	58.5	7.3	12.2	26.8	9.8
Middle						
<pre>Kinsey (N=:5;W;R)</pre>	0.0	69.6	15.2	15.2	23.9	6.5
Newson (N=31;W;R)	4.3	54.9	7.0	14.1	29.6	8.5
Walden (N=58;W;U-S)	6.3	47.9	8.3	10.4	16.7	8.3
Appleton (N=28;M;U-S)	0.0	40.9	13.6	22.7	27.3	13.6
Foster (N=33;M;U)	3.6	46.4	28.6	10.7	25 <b>.0</b>	7.1
Langston (N=34;M;U-S)	0.0	37.0	3.7	33.3	29.6	7.4
Shaw (N=53;M;U)	2.0	60.8	13.7	7.8	17.6	3.9
Sherwoo」 (N=34;M;R)	5.3	60.5	31.6	18.4	26.3	10.5
.ow						
anning (N=29;B;U)	0.0	69.6	8.7	13.0	17.4	8.7
(.arter (N=61;M;S)	5.6	64.8	11.1	16.7	20.4	5.6
.owell (N=32;M;U)	3.4	51.7	6.9	10.3	20.7	0 <b>.0</b>
Palmerston (N=41;M;R)	5.1	41.0	15.4	20.5	25.6	20.5
TOTAL	3.7	54.0	12.1	15.4	23.9	9.4

TABLE 5-35 (Continued)

					Ī	
Institution by socioeconomic level	Problems with family	Self under- standing	proced-	Student employ- ment	Employment after education	Financial aid
High						
Meade (N=57;W;S)	8.8	5.3	14.0	7.0	0.0	14.0
Quanto (N=54;W;U-S)	9.3	15.1	13.0	3.7	3.7	9.3
Ward (N=41;W;U-S)	7.3	7.3	9.8	2.4	2.4	14.6
Middle			:			
Kinsey (N=46;W;R)	0.0	6.5	13.0	10.9	0.0	8.7
Newson (N=71;W;R)	1.4	2.8	12.7	18.3	2.8	25.4
Walden (N=48;W;U-S)	4.2	6.3	14.6	8.3	4.2	10.4
Appleton (N=22;M;U-S)	4.5	9.1	4.5	9.1	13.6	13.6
Foster (N=28;M;U)	0.0	7.1	14.3	0.0	3.6	28.5
Langston (N=27;M;U-S)	3.7	7.4	14.8	14.8	7.4	14.8
Shaw (N=51;M;U)	2.0	5.9	13.7	7.8	0.0	11.8
Sherwood (N=38;M;R)	5.3	23.7	18.4	2.6	5.3	31.6
Low						
Manning (N=23;B;U)	0.0	13.0	8.7	4.3	4.3	26.1
Carter (N=54;M;S)	1.9	3.7	7.4	5.6	0.0	3.7
Lowell (N=29;M;U)	0.0	0.0	13.8	6.9	0.0	10.3
Palmerston (N=39;M;R)	5.1	15.4	12.8	20.5	15.4	25.6
TOTAL	3.8	<u>8.1</u>	12.6	8.6	3.5	15.9

TABLE 5-36

AVERAGE NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS STUDENTS
REPORTED HAVING WITH COUNSELORS EACH TERM
(in Percent)

			<del></del>	
				I More
Institution by socioeconomic level	None	1	2-4	than
High				
Meade (N=60;W;S)	11.7	50.0	35.C	3.3
Quanto (N=58;W;U-S)	3.4	29.3	53.4	13.8
Ward (N=30;W;U-S)	30.0	60.0	10.0	0.0
Middle				
<pre>Kinsey (N=56;W;R)</pre>	8.9	57.1	30.4	3.6
Newson (N=75;W;R)	8.0	36.0	54.7	1.3
Walden (N=30;W;U-S)	30.0	60.0	10.0	0.0
Appleton (N=24:M;U-S)	25.0	50.0	25.0	c. <b>o</b>
Foster (N=31;M;U)	9.7	54.8	25.8	9.7
Langston (N=32;M;U-S)	18.8	40.6	31.3	9.4
Shaw (N=57;M;U)	19.3	45.6	33.3	1.8
Sherwood (N=29;M;R)	10.3	44.8	34.5	10.3
Lon				
Manning (N=32;B;U)	9.4	28.1	50.0	12.5
Carter (N=57;M;S)	22.8	57.1	21.1	0.0
Lowell (N=30;M;U)	13.3	56.7	23.3	6.7
Palmerston (N=37;M;R)	32.4	13.5	35.1	18.9
TOTAL	14.0	45.0	35.2	5.7

TABLE 5-37

STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS OF THE EASE IN SEEING A COUNSELOR (in Percent)

	<del></del>			<del></del>
Institution by socioeconomic level	Very easy	Not too difficult	Very difficult	Never tried
High				
Meade (N=82;W;S)	29.3	47.6	9.8	13.4
Quanto (N=76;W;U-S)	52.6	35.5	2.6	9.2
Ward (N=61;W;U-3)	36.1	29.5	0.0	34.4
Middle				
<pre>Kinsey (N=66;W;R)</pre>	36.4	43.9	9.1	10.6
Newson (N=90;W;R)	51.1	40.0	2.2	6.7
Walden (N=70;W;U-S)	37.1	47.1	7.1	8.6
Appleton (N°53;M;U-S)	24.5	30.2	7.5	37.7
Foster (S=45;M;U)	44.4	40.0	2.2	13.3
Langston (N=48;M;U-S)	33.3	35.4	0.0	31.3
Shaw (N=82;M;U)	36.0	42.7	2.4	18.3
Sherwood (N=53;M;R)	52.8	22.6	5. ⁷	18.9
Low				
Manning (N=41;B;U)	26.8	46.3	17.1	9.8
Carter (N=75;M;S)	32.0	50.7	2.7	14.7
Lowell (N=57;M;U)	17.5	31.6	7.0	43.9
Palmerston (N=59;M;R)	40.7	30.5	3.4	25.4
TOTAL	37.4	38.9	5.0	10 7
	3/.4	30.9	3.0	18.7

TABLE 5-38

STUDENTS' PROCEPTIONS OF THEIR COUNSELORS DECISION-MAKING STYLE (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Student decides	Both student and counselor decide	Counselor decides
High			
Meade (N=63;W;S)	39.7	58.7	1.6
Quanto (N=61;W;U-S)	21.3	70.5	8.2
Ward (N=34;W;U-S)	14.7	79.4	5.9
Middle			•
Kinsey (N=55;W;R)	32.7	56.4	10.9
Newson (N=78;W;R)	25.6	62.8	11.5
Walden (N=58;W;U-S)	36.2	46.6	17.2
Appleton (N=26;M;U-S)	46.2	42.3	11.5
Foster (N=31;M;IJ)	35.5	61.3	3.2
Langston (N=31;M;U-S)	35.5	51.6	12.9
Shaw (N=58;M;U)	51.0	56.9	12.1
Sherwood (N=34;M;R)	20.6	73.5	5.9
Low			
Manning (N=29;B;U)	20.7	69.0	10.3
Carter (N=57;M;S)	28.1	61.4	10.5
Lowell (N=29;M;U)	20.7	62.1	17.2
Palmerston (N=39;M;R)	17.9	69.2	12.8
TOTAL	28.7	61.2	10.1

	Type of info	rmation
Institution by socioeconomic level	Occupational	Academic
High		
Meade (N=53;W;S)	67.9	86.2
Quanto (N=50;W;U-S)	70.0	79.7
Ward (N=21;W;U-S)	90.5	96.9
Middle		
Kinsey (N=48;W;R)	60.4	74.5
Newson (N=59;W;R)	84.7	87.5
Walden (N=47;W;U-S)	46.8	66.0
Appleton (N=16;M;U-S)	50.0	47.6
Foster (N=26;M;U)	76.9	76.9
Langston (N=24;M;U-S)	54.2	56.0
Shaw (N=50;M;U)	60.0	72.7
Sherwood (N=17;M;R)	82.4	96.3
Low		
Manning (N=28;B;U)	64.3	73.3
Carter (N=46;M;S)	65.2	85.2
Lowell (N=24;M;U)	54.2	73.3
Palmerston (N=36;M;R)	69.4	78.4
TOTAL	66.4	78.1

TABLE 5-40

STUDENTS' RATING OF THEIR COUNCELORS
ON VARIOUS PERSONAL TRAITS
(in Percent)

		WARM				INFORMATIVE			
Institution by socioeconomic level		Above average	Below average	Poor		Above average	Below average	Poor	
High									
Meade (N=62;W;S)	27.4	67.7	4.8	0.0	39.4	45.5	15.2	0.0	
Quanto (N=65;W;U-S)	46.2	49.2	3.1	1.5	46.2	40.0	12.3	1.5	
Ward (N=34;W;U-S)	26.5	64.7	5.9	2.9	36.1	61.1	2.8	0.0	
Middle									
<pre>Kinsey (N=54;W;R)</pre>	31.5	59.3	7.4	1.9	31.5	59.3	7.4	1.9	
Newson (N=80;W;R)	30.0	51.3	15.0	3.8	28.8	60.0	10.0	1.3	
Walden (N=58;W;U-S)	15.5	55.2	24.1	5.2	18.9	49.1	26.4	5.7	
Appleton (N=25;M;U-S)	44.0	44.0	4.0	8.0	32.0	40.0	12.0	16.0	
Foster (N=36;M;U)	41.7	47.2	11.1	0.0	36.1	55.6	8.3	0.0	
Langston (N=28;M;U-S)	42.9	32.1	14.3	10.7	37.9	44.8	6.9	10.3	
Shaw (N=58;M;U)	41.4	39.7	15.5	3.4	42.4	39.0	13.6	5.1	
Sherwood (N=35;M;R)	51.4	42.9	5.7	0.0	51.4	45.7	2.9	0.0	
Low									
Manning (N=28;B;U)	57.1	32.1	10.7	0.0	62.1	27.6	10.3	n j	
Carter (N=61;M;S)	34.4	52.5	13.1	0.0	34.4	54.1	9.8	1.6	
Lowell (N=30;M;U)	26.7	50.0	20.0	3.3	33.3	42.4	18.2	6.1	
Palmerston (N=38;M;R)	42.1	42.1	15.8	0.0	55.3	43.2	5.3	5.3	
TOTAL	35.7	50.3	11.6	2.5	37.9	47.8	11.3	3.0	



TABLE 5-40 (Continued)

	<u> </u>				1			
		CONCERNED			OPEN-MINDED			
Institution by socioeconomic level	Very good	Above average	Below average	Poor		Above average	Below average	Poor
High					ĺ			
Meade (N=64;W;S)	34.4	54.7	4.7	6.3	35.4	55.4	7.7	1.5
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	48.5	43.9	7.6	0.0	43.9	47.0	9.1	0.0
Ward (N=35;W;U-S)	40.0	57.1	2.9	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0
Middle								
<pre>Kinsey (N=54;W;R)</pre>	38.9	48.1	7.4	5.6	33.3	53.7	11.1	1.9
Newson (N=80;W;R)	31.3	48.8	13.8	6.3	27.8	57.0	12.7	2.5
Walden (N=57;W;U-S)	21.1	36.8	35.1	7.0	25.5	40.0	30.9	3.6
Appleton (N=26;M;U-S)	23.1	46.2	23.1	7.7	44.0	40.0	8.0	8.0
Foster (N=36;M;U)	38.9	44.4	13.9	2.8	38.2	50.0	11.8	0.0
Langston (N=29;M;U-S)	31.0	37.9	17.2	13.8	41.4	37.9	10.3	10.3
Shaw (N=58;M;U)	37.9	44.8	12.1	5.2	36.8	49.1	10.5	3.5
Sherwood (N=35;M;R)	54.3	42.9	0.0	2.9	48.6	48.6	2.9	0.0
Low								
Manning (N=31;B;U)	61.3	22.6	9.7	6.5	63.3	30.0	3.3	3.3
Carter (N=60;M;S)	25.0	53.3	21.7	0.0	26.7	63.3	10.0	0.0
Lowell (N=33;M;U)	24.2	48.5	21.2	6.1	60.6	40.6	15.6	3.1
Palmerston (N=40;M;R)	52.5	35.0	7.5	5.0	43.6	38.5	10.3	7.7
TOTAL	36.8	45.3	13.2	4.7	<u>3</u> 6.7	49.8	10.9	2.6



Fight 5 19 (Continued)

					<del></del> -				
		INTELUTGENT				AWAPI			
Institution by socioeconomic level		Above average	Below average	Poer		Above average	Below average	Poor	
High		1 5				# • •			
Meade (N=65;W;S)	41.6	40.2	9.2	0.0	39.1	48.4	9.4	5.1	
Quanto (N=66;W;U-S)	55.0	45.5	1.5	0.0	49.2	42.9	6.3	1.6	
Ward (N=36;W;U-S)	47.2	50.0	2.8	0.0	33.3	63.6	3.0	0.0	
Middle									
<pre>Kinsey (N=51;W;R)</pre>	41.2	<b>5</b> 2.9	3.9	2.0	40.7	48.1	9.3	1.9	
Newson (N=79;W;R)	38.0	58.2	3.8	0.0	38.8	52.5	5 <b>.0</b>	3.8	
Walden (N=54;W;U-S)	31.5	n3.0	5.6	0.0	19.6	46.4	30.4	3.6	
Appleton (N=25;M;U-S)	44.0	44.0	4.0	8.0	20.0	52.0	16.0	12.0	
Foster (N=36;M;U)	47.2	52.8	0.0	0.0	47.2	47.2	5.6	0.0	
Langston (N=28;M;U-S)	39.3	46.4	7.1	7.1	30.8	42.3	15.4	11.5	
Shaw (N=56;M;U)	42.9	50.0	7.1	0.0	45.7	51.4	2.9	0	
Sherwood (N=35;M;R)	51.4	48.6	0.0	0.0	45.7	51.4	2.9	0.0	
Low									
Manning (N=31;B;U)	67.7	32.3	0.0	0.0	64.3	32.1	3.6	0.0	
Carter (N=61;M;S)	41.0	57.4	1.6	0.0	31.7	50.0	16.7	1.7	
Lowell (N=32;M;U)	40.6	46.9	9.4	3.1	32.3	48.4	16.1	3.2	
Palmerston (N=39;M;R)	61.5	33 3	5.1	0.0	56.4	25.6	15.4	2.6	
TOTAL	45.1	49.9	4.2	0.9	39.3	45.9	11.6	<u>3.2</u>	

TABLE 5-40 (Continued)

		EASY TO	TALK TO			PAT.	HNT	
Institution by socioeconomic level	, ,	Above average	Below average	Poor	, ,	Above average	Below average	Poor
High .				<b>!</b>				
Meade (N=65;W;S)	41.5	44.6	12.3	1.5	46.2	41.5	12.3	0.0
Quanto (N=66,W;U-S)	59.1	36.4	3.0	1.5	50.8	41.5	6.2	1.5
Ward (N=36;W;U-S)	47.2	52.8	0.0	0.0	47.1	47.1	5.9	0.0
Middle								
Kinsey (N=54;W;R)	51.9	38 <b>.9</b>	7.4	1.9	37.0	48.1	11.1	3.7
Newson $(N=80;W;R)$	36.3	47.5	12.5	3.8	30.0	51.3	15.0	3.8
Walden (N=58;W;U-S)	31.0	55.2	13.8	0.0	26.3	56.1	14.0	3.5
Appleton (N=25,M;U-S)	40.0	40.0	4.0	16.0	40.0	44.0	8.0	8.0
Foster ( $N=36;M;U$ )	50.0	38.9	5.6	5.6	51.4	40.0	5.7	2.9
Langston (N=30;M;U-S)	46.7	33.3	13.3	6.7	37.0	37.0	18.5	7.4
Shaw (N=60;M;U)	53.3	38.3	3.3	5.0	48.3	36.2	10.3	5.2
Sherwood (N=35;M;R)	60.0	37.1	2.9	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0
Low	•							
Manning (N=31;B;U)	58.1	38.7	3.2	0.0	69.0	24.1	3.4	3.4
Carter (N=60;M;S)	45.0	40.0	15.0	0.0	46.7	38.3	15.0	0.0
Lowell (N=33;M;U)	39.4	39.4	12.1	9.1	40.0	43.3	13.3	3.3
Palmerston (N=39;M;R)	56.4	30.8	5.1	7.7	53.8	35.9	2.6	7 <b>.7</b>
TOTAL	47.0	41.5	8.2	3.2	44.0	42.9	10.1	3.0

(Continued)

		SYMPA	THE IC	
Institution by socroeconomic level	Very good	Above average	Below average	Poor
High			4 4 4 1	
Meade (N=63;W;S)	27.0	47.6	19.0	6.3
Quanto (N=64;N;U-S)	39.1	43.8	15.6	1.6
ward (N=32;W;U-S)	28.1	59.4	9.4	3.1
Middle				
Kinsey (N=50;W;R)	28.0	46.0	18.0	8.0
Newson $(N=77;W;R)$	14.3	59.7	18.2	7.8
walden (N=49;W;U-S)	12.2	46.9	30.6	10.2
Appleton (N=23;M;U-S)	26.1	34.8	21.7	17.4
Foster (N=32;M;U)	31.3	43.8	18.8	6.3
Langston (N=25;M;U-S)	28.0	48.0	20.0	4.0
Shaw (N=55;M;U)	43.6	40.0	12.7	3.6
Sherwood (N=35;M;R)	42.9	42.9	14.3	0.0
Low				
Manning (N=26;B;U)	57.7	30.8	3.8	7.7
Carter (N=58;M;S)	25.9	53.4	20.7	0.0
Lowell (N=31;M;S)	19.4	58.1	16.1	6.5
Palmerston (N=37;M;R)	43.2	43.2	5.4	8.1
TOTAL	29.8	47.6	16.9	5.6

COMPOSITE OF STUDENTS WHO CONSIDERED THEIR COLLEGES' COUNSELING AND ASSISTANCE SERVICES AS "STRONG" (in Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Admissions & registration.	Records & information	Academic counseling	Vocational counseling	
High					
Meade (N=78;W;S)	25.3	25.3	40.5	30.4	
Quanto (N=73;W;U-S)	32.9	21.1	33.3	31.1	
Ward (N=58;W;U-S)	30.0	21.7	30.5	22.4	
Middle					
kinsey (N=66;W;R)	16.7	22./	29.9	22.4	
Newson (N=88;W;R)	27.3	22.7	.31.8	28.4	
Walden (N=68;W;U-S)	10.1	13.0	13.0	10.3	
Appleton (N=51;M;U-S)	21.2	10.0	10.0	6.0	
Foster (N=41;M;U)	22.5	25.0	27.5	24.4	
Langston (N=44;M;U-S)	15.9	11.1	13.3	13.3	
Shaw (N=76;M;U)	27.3	17.1	22.4	18.4	
Sherwood (N=50;M;R)	17.6	17.1	38.0	38.8	
Low					
Manning (N=42;B;U)	28.6	21.4	24.4	29.3	
Carter (N=72;M;S)	27.4	24.7	23.6	23.6	
Lowell (N=58;M;U)	20.7	19.3	14.0	19.3	
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	18.6	15.5	24.6	24.6	
MIAL	25.2	19.1	25 <b>.8</b>	23.1	

TABLE 5-41 (Continued)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Job placement	Financial aids	Student activities	for dis- advantaged	Special counseling for students with academic problems
High				, 	
Meade (N=78;W;S)	15.4	20.5	19	22.1	17.9
Quanto (N=73,W;U-S)	15.3	18.3	24.3	23.3	26.0
Ward (N=58,W;U-S)	5.5	19.6	10.3	12.1	13.8
Middle		: : :	 		
Kinsey (N=66;W,R)	9.1	12.1	15.4	12.3	12.1
Newson (N=88,W;R)	13.6	14.8	27.6	10.2	10.2
Walden (N=68; N; U-S)	14.5	10.4	27.5	18.2	13.4
Appleton (N=51;M;U-S)	4.0	7.8	7.8	8.0	10.0
Foster (N=41;M;U)	9.8	19.5	22.0	19.5	24.4
Langston (N=44;M;U-S)	6.7	4.7	14.0	7.1	11.9
Shaw (N=76;M;U)	10.5	13.0	9.5	24.7	23.1
Sherwood (N=50;M;R)	6.1	30.6	10.2	26.5	30.6
Low		<b>!</b>	;		
Manning (N=42;B;U)	9.8	•	43.9	38.1	40.5
Carter (N=72;M;S)	4.2	5.6	6.9	16.7	16.7
Lowell (N=58,M;U)	12.5	11.9	12.1	8.6	5.2
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	19.0	27.6	13.8	12.3	15.5
TOTAL	10.8	15.7	17.5	17.2	17.5

STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS OF STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THEIR COLLEGES' STUDENT PERSONNEL SERVICES (in Percent)

	Admissio	ons and :	regisi	tration	Recor	ds and in	nform	ation
Institution by socioeconomic level		Average	1	Don't		Average		Don't
нідһ			) 					
Meade (N=78;W'S)	25.3	48.1	19.0	7.6	25.3	54.4	7.6	12.7
Quanto (N=73;W;U-S)	32.9	53.4	11.0	2.7	21.1	62.0	4.2	12.7
ward (N=58;W;U-S)	30.0	51.7	5.3	15.0	21.7	55.0	5.0	18.3
Middle								
Kinsey (N=66;W;R)	16.7	57.6	18.2	7.6	22.7	50.0	10.6	16.7
Newson (N=88;W;R)	27.3	55.7	14.8	2.3	22.7	59.1	2.3	15.9
Walden (N=68;W;U-S)	10.1	47.8	37.7	4.3	13.0	55.1	18.8	13.0
Appleton (N=51;M;U-S)	21.2	36.5	23.1	19.2	10.0	44.0	16.0	30.0
Foster (N=41;M;U)	22.5	37.5	22.5	17.5	25.0	40.0	17.5	17.5
Langston (N=44;M;U-S)	15.9	54.5	15.9	13.6	11.1	64.4	8.9	15.6
Shaw (N=76,M;U)	27.3	46.8	20.8	5.2	17.1	63.2	6.6	13.2
Snerwood (N=50;M;R)	17.6	49.0	19.6	13.7	17.1	63.2	6.6	13.2
Low								
Mauming (N=42;B;U)	28.6	33.3	28.6	9.5	21.4	42.9	23.8	11.9
(larter (N=72;M;S)	27.4	60.3	5.5	6.8	24.7	53.4	4.1	17.8
Lowell (N=58;M;U)	20.7	43.1	19.0	17.2	19.3	43.9	10.5	26.3
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	18.6	52.5	15.3	15.6	15.5	60.3	13.8	10.3
TOTAL	23.2	49.5	17.8	9.5	19.1	54.5	10.5	15.9

INJ: 5 1_ ...ontinued)

					<del></del>			
	\cd	demic con	unsel	· ng	Veca	tional c	ounse	lino
Institution by socioeconomic level		Werage		Don't		Average		Don't
lligh		•		†    -				
Meade (N=78;W;S)	40.5	52.9	12.7	13.9	30.4	54.2	16.5	19.0
Quanto $(N=75;W;U-S)$	33.3	41.3	17.3	8.0	31.1	37.8	17.6	13.5
ward (N=58, N; U-S)	50.5	37.3	8.5	23.7	22.4	32.8	6.9	37.9
Middle	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *							
kinsey $(N=00; W; R)$	29.9	47.8	14.9	7.5	22.4	38.8	19.4	19.4
Newson (N=88,W;R)	51.8	55 <b>.</b> 7	8.0	4.5	28.4	48.9	11.4	11.4
Walden (N=08;W;U-S)	13.0	46.4	30.4	10.1	10.3	44.1	26.5	19.1
Appleton (N=51;M;U-S)	10.0	34.0	30.0	26.0	6.0	30.0	28.0	36.0
Proster (N=41;M;U)	27.5	52.5	7.5	12.5	24.4	43.9	7.3	24.4
Langston (N=44;M;U-S)	13.3	37.8	26.7	22.2	13.3	35.6	22. :	28.9
Shaw (N=76;M,U)	22.4	46.1	17.1	14.5	18.4	39.5	14.5	27.6
Sherwood (N=50;M;R)	38.0	36.0	10.0	16.0	38.8	32.7	8.2	20.4
Low								
Manning (N=42;B,U)	24.4	29.3	24.4	22.0	29.3	24.4	22.0	24.4
Carter (N=72;M;S)	23.6	52.8	8.3	15.3	23.6	42.1	9.7	23.6
Lowell (N=58;M;U)	14.0	35.1	17.5	33.3	19.3	24.6	10.5	45.6
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	24.6	43.9	21.1	10.5	24.6	50.9	12.3	12.3
TOTAL	25.8	42.7	16.4	15.0	23.1	38.2	15.4	23.3

TABLE 5-42 (Continued)

	1				1			
		Job place	ement		Financial aids			
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strong	Average	Weak	Don't know	Strong	Average	Weak	Don't know
High								
Meade (N=78,W;S)	15.4	35.9	11.5	37.2	20.5	32.1	9.0	38.5
Quanto (N=73,W;U-S)	15.3	40.3	18.1	26.4	18.3	45.1	11.3	25.4
Ward (N=58,W;U-S)	5.5	20.0	14.5	60.0	19.6	33.9	0.0	46.4
Middle			T					
Kinsey (N=66;W;R)	9.1	22.7	22.7	45.5	12.1	22.7	12.1	53.0
Newson (N=88;W;R)	13.6	39.8	18.2	28.4	14.8	44.3	15.9	25.0
Walden (N=68,W;U-S)	14.5	37.7	15.9	31.9	10.4	38.8	11.9	38.8
Appleton (N=51,M;U-S)	4.0	24.0	26.0	46.0	7.8	17.6	27.5	47.1
Foster (N=41,M;U)	9.8	26.8	12.2	51.2	19.5	24.4	17.1	39.0
Langston (N=44;M;U-S)	6.7	28.9	26.7	37.8	4.7	23.3	32.6	39.5
Shaw (N=76;M;U)	10.5	23.7	13.2	52.6	13.0	39.0	9.1	39.0
Sherwood (N=50;M;R)	6.1	46.9	4.1	42.9	30.6	32.7	10.2	26.5
Low								
Manning (N=42;B;U)	9.8	39.0	14.6	36.6	23.8	31.0	26.2	19.0
Carter (N=72;M;S)	4.2	28.2	15.5	52.1	5.6	35.2	7.0	52.1
Lowell (N=58;M;U)	12.3	17.5	21.1	49.1	11.9	23.7	5.1	59.3
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	19.0	37.9	31.0	12.1	27.6	39.7	24.1	8.6
TOTAL	10.8	31.6	17.6	40.1	15.7	33.4	13.6	37.3

VIII 5-12 or ata week

						ou se		
	Stu	lent act			disad	antaged	stude	!
Institution by socioeconomic level	: Strong	werage		Don't know	Strong	Ave rage	Weak	Don't
iligh	1	1	!		t 1			
Meade (N=78;W;S)	19.2	30.8	30.8	19.2	22.1	22.1	5.2	50.6
Quanto (N=73;N;U-S)	24.5	41.6	28.4	· . ~	23.3	31.5	5.5	39.7
ward (N=58;W,U-S)	10.3	54.5	12.1	43.1	12.1	12.1	5.2	70.7
Middle	:			; ; ;	1	; ; ;		
Kinsey (N=06;W;R)	13.1	i , 38.3	12.3	33.8	12.3	15.4	10.8	61.5
Newson (N=88;W;R)	27.0	46.0	18.4	3.0	10.2	74.]	14.8	40.9
Walden (N=68;W,U-S)	27.5	46.4	15.9	10.1	18.2	18.2	19.7	43.9
Appleton (N=51;M;U-S)	7.8	23.5	53.3	35.5	8.0	22.0	16.0	54.0
Foster (N=41;M;U)	22.0	26.8	17.1	54.1	19.5	9.3	7.3	63.4
Langston (N=44;M;U-S)	14.0	31.9	25.6	25.6	7.1	16.7	26.2	50.0
Shaw (N=76,M;U)	9.5	35.7	25.7	29.7	24.7	23.4	5.2	46.8
Sherwood (N=50,M;R)	. 10.2	46.9	22.4	20.4	26.5	30.6	0.0	19
Low	!		:		*			
Manning (N=42;B;U)	43.9	34.1	9.8	12.2	38.1	16.7	16.7	28.6
Carter (N=72;M;S)	6.9	41.7	29.2	22.2	16.7	20.8	8.3	54.2
l.owell (N=58;M;U)	12.1	21.1	15.8	50.0	8.6	10.3	15.5	65.5
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	15.8	32.8	48.3	5.2	12.3	36.8	31.6	19.3
TOTAL	17.5	! • 50.8		22.4	17.2	22.2	12.0	48.6

TABLE 5-42 (Continued)

	Spec student:	cial couns s with aca	eling f demic p	or roblems
Institution by socioeconomic level	Strong	Average	Weak	Don't know
High				
Meade (N=78;₩;S)	17.9	29.5	9.0	43.6
Quanto (N=73;W;U-S)	26.0	42.5	8.2	23.3
Ward (N=58;W;U-S)	13.8	20.7	6.9	58.6
Middle				
Kinsey (N=66;W;R)	12.1	19.7	10.6	57.6
New.son (N=88;W;R)	10.2	43.2	12.5	34.1
Walden (N=68;W;U-S)	13.4	29.9	16.4	40.3
Appleton (N=51;M;U-S)	10.0	24.0	16.0	50.0
Foster (N=41;M;U)	24.4	9.8	7.3	58.5
Langston (N=44;M;U-S)	11.9	21.4	23.8	42.9
Shaw (N=76;M;U)	23.4	24.7	7.8	44.2
Sherwood (N=50;M;R)	30.6	26.5	2.0	40.8
LOW				
Manning (N=42;B;U)	40.5	16.7	16.7	26.2
Carter (N=72;M;S)	16.7	29.2	6.9	47.2
Lowell (N=58;M;U)	5.2	24.1	12.1	58.6
Palmerston (N=58;M;R)	15.5	<b>3</b> 6.2	29.3	19.0
TOTAL	17.5	28.0	12.0	42.5

PROPORTION OF STUDENTS REPORTING ATTENDING THE COLLEGE OF HIELR CHOICE (III Percent)

Institution by socioeconomic level	Ideal choice	Present choice
High		
Meade (N=245;W;S)	45.6	78.0
Quanto (N=229;W;U-S)	39.3	79.5
Ward (N=222;W;U-S)	51.6	89.2
Middle		
Kinsey (N=204;W;R)	66.3	85.3
Newson (N=263;W;R)	67.3	90.9
Walden (N=225;W;U-S)	38.2	80.0
Appleton (N=167;M;U-S)	51.0	84.4
Foster (N=159;M;U)	40.9	71.7
Langston (N=157;M;U-S)	47.1	79.6
Shaw (N=231;M;U)	43.6	78.8
Sherwood (N=181;M;R)	81.5	91.2
Low		
Manning (N=119;B;U)	58.6	88.2
Carter (N=234;M;S)	60.7	82.1
Lowell (N=183;M;U)	57.6	77.G
Palmerston (N=185;M;R)	53.8	86.5
TOTAL	53.5	82.9

300 -301-

APPENDIX D

FACULTY MARGINAL DATA
AND
TABLES TO CHAPTER 6

312/013

O.M.B. No. 51-S71038E APPROVAL EXPIRES 6-30-72

# THE STUDY OF JUNIOR COLLEGES

#### FACULTY QUESTIONNAIRE

**MARGINALS** 

**AND** 

% MISSING OBSERVATIONS (IN PARENTHESES)

Center for the Study of Evaluation
Graduate School of Education
University of California, Los Angeles

Office of Education Contract No. 0-70-4795 OE FORM 2337, 11/71



Dear Collenge

You have been run for development of a tracer process and a mirror exist of the select around of community colleges. This start is been, an first doming the Higher I have not Proper of U.C.L. A.'s Conver for the Start of the algorithm of the exist I have not I do attend Start of the Office of I have not I have not I have not I have not I have not I have not I have not the study is descended to provide suggestions to the Office of I have not to report the first development of community or two-year colleges in their effort to serve students.

By taking a few minutes to complete this agreemon are you will be traking a significant contribution toward bridging the gap between across at the felfal level and real needs at colleges such as yours.

be toware like the points of the questionness with a manage time a great to the inserted with the voir measures, with the resolute between the following the directions like the low.

- I. Do not vive year name or this bookless.
- 2. When you have completed this questionnaire, the ise write your name, and school in the space provide Combic attached to teach on the letter
- Details the posteric and seturn goes a warling separate from the questionmatrix.

this procedure illows us to know who has not returned the questionnaire while a serving the strict inonvenily take questionnaire respondent there elses. We will then be able to early like-up take to take non-respondents. At no time will courtesponses be seen to impone troop our institution.

We will be very crucial it was veil thought and her anthis questionnaire than within 5 days. We shark very mark me for the to etaken from your schedule to complete this questionnaire.

Very Sincerely,

James W. Frem



INSTRUCTIONS: This questionnaire contains items about your general educational and occupational background and your attitudes and perceptions related to the junior college. We hope you will respond to all of the items, but reel free to skip any item you prefer not to answer.

#### YOUR GENERAL BACKGROUND

1.	WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE	JUNIOR COLLIGE WHERE YOU ARE
2.	WHAT WAS YOUR ACT AS O	I SEPTEMBER 1, 19712 <u>See below*</u>
3.	WHAT IS YOUR SLAZE 1.—	70 Male 2. 30 1 cmale (1)
i.	WHAT IS YOUR MARITAL ST	A11.82
	1. ——Married	3Separated, Divorced
	2Ne. cr Married	iNidowed
5	HOW MANY CHILDRE'S DO Y	OU HAVE?
	INone	3
	21-2	45 or more
	•	a. 1 Mexican American Chicano b. 6 Puerto Rican c. Other (Please specify ————)
	age of Faculty  22-30	

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES THE COMMUNITY YOU CONSIDER TO BE YOUR HOME. IN WHEN YOU WERE AN ADOLESCENT AND (b) A1 PRISENT (Please check each column once).

			a) Adolescent	(b) At Present
		Cox over 500,000		
	b. In	i subarb of the city		22
	City	(0,9a0 to - 90,090)		
	a. 11 m	t [†] in the cox		
	h. In	c suburb of the ciry		11
	5 Small	Cr. or I een		
	ie	5 5 th m 50 (00)		_18
	a Lurra	or Open Cours		4
٦.	DO <b>Y</b> OU YOU 11 *	TIVE STERRY FORMERS OF ACRES	THE JENIOR CO	(3) [[] (3)

2. THER OF THE TOLLOUING GOOD COMPRISE IS TERCONLOR MORE OF THE POPULATION OF YOUR NEIGH, ORHOOD A SHILL P. HIGH. SCHOOL AND BEAT PRISES. Please Some space up to

	: While in High School	At Present
1. Attendandantan	1.5	<u> </u>
Cia Cian Tir	90	90
o. Negro Edit s	15	<u> 20</u>
· Orent	3	5
And American Marian	9	17
a Organia	3	1_
	(3)	(2)

10. WHAT IS YOUR ESTIMATE OF THE AVERAGE LAMILY INCOME IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD (a) WHEN YOU WERE AN ADDIESCENT AND (b) AT PRESENT? (Picase check each column onco.)

	(.t) Adolescent	by At Farsent
1. Less than \$3,000		
2. \$ 3,001 to \$ 0,000	<del></del>	3
* Secon to Singular		23
4. \$10,000 to \$15,000		43
5. \$15.001 t \$ 7.000		_27
C. Over \$75, him		5
		(3)

11. WHAT IS YOUR RELIGIOUS ALTH DELON AND THAT OF YOUR PARENTS. Please check caches from one introductions are received, in facility their religious attribution when they were diver-

	Self	Fother	Mother
1. Carbon	20	21	_23
. 1	4	5	5
1. Projecting	54	65	_67
•. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	18		3
". Of expless specifi	4	2	2
	(2)	(3)	(2)

47. HOW MANY BOOKS WERE IN YOUR HOME AHEN YOU ATREET AT ATS OF D

- 1 _19_1 ater 1
- 19
- _24_ , ,
- 1. _16_101
- <u>16</u> , ,
- ·.. <u>7</u> ·· · ·
  - (1)

13 PLEASE INDICATE THE OCCUPATIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF BOTH A OUR LATHER AND MOTHER WHEN YOU RERE! AT ARS OF D. AND YOUR SPOUSESCERRENT OCCUPATION, H. MARRIED. PROSCERGE CORRESPONDENCE.

	Father	Mother	Spouse
tari ya mana a da ka mana a da a da a da a da a da a da a d	7	4_	.4
Section of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surfac	16_	6_	2_
<ol> <li>Short of the above are the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the street of the stre</li></ol>	_ 3		11
2. Skylety are an order can ach as a control of skylety appearing order order or force in	18	_1_	3
Protection of Constitution (as a second constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the consti	2		_1_
One of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of	<u>17</u>	3	3
The second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of th	_1_	1_	3_
<ul> <li>a. t. in the straint.</li> <li>b. in the straint.</li> <li>c. in the straint.</li> <li>d. in the straint.</li> <li>d. in the straint.</li> </ul>	16	_ 8_	_31_
Andrew Communication (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communication) (Communic	<u>.</u> 6	66	_ 8 _37
·		1.	_ 2
	(3)	(4)	(24)

14. WHAT IS THE ARGHEST FORMAL EDUCATIONAL LIVER ATTAINED BY YOUR MOTHER AND LATHER?—Please cheef end color of once.

		Mother	Fother
1.	Striction (1808)	26_}	31_/ 47
2.	Some high school	17_) 43	_16) */
٠.	High school graduit	_27	18_
٠.	Note of males content or business. Commission principles hood	5	_7
٠.	Seasones	13	10
٠.	Na Francis Bear	)	<del>_7_`;</del>
٠.	Some analoging of the	_3_{14	$-3 - \{18$
٠.	Master School	2	_2_\
	Doctors experience and because	5_/	_6_)
100	Don't know	(2)	(2)

15. PLEASE INDICATE BELOW THE DECREES YOU HAVE LARNED AND AL ARM LIVE OF INSTITUTION UNDER SECTION TO THE YOU ARE CLESSED AND ALL MILLS OF AND A DECREE OF A 18 SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF AND A MILLS OF AND A DECREE OF A 18 SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF AND A 18 SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF AND A 18 SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF AND A 18 SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF A 18 SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF A 18 SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP

	(a) Earned Degrees	(b) Current Work	
	Prof.	Prof.	
	Ed.D.	Ed.D.	
	AA BA MA Ph.D.	AA 34 MA Ph.D.	
	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
1. P. C. Lewis C. L.	64	8	
2. Provinci Lancot College	17		
S. P. a. L. Diers Comers	1 7 2	4 2	
Property of the same	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	<u>65</u> <u>23</u>	
7. Paris 1 - 3-6 1/2	<u>3</u> 2 <u>1</u> 1 <u>4</u> <u>5</u>	<u>17 12 5</u>	
C. Propare Learning College	<u>11 27 9 3</u>	<u>13 54 10</u>	
. Palme Camer on	<u>1</u> 30 47 47	10 58	
S. Printed Server	<u>3 14 26 44</u>	25	
of Omer press spect.	_ 1 1 2	3	

10. Decimental of

(80)(19)(27)(89)(99)(97)(93)(80)

TO PLEASUINDICATE THE MAJOR FILED IN WHICH YOU HAVE LARNED. FACIFOR YOUR DEGREES IN COLUMN (a" (1 through a) INDICATE THE EIFTD SAIN WHICH YOU ART NOW DOING ACADEMIC WORK IN COLUMN TO SEE INDICATE THE FILEDOSEN WHICH YOU ARE NOW THACHING. N(COLTMN) = %(c). Please check each column where applicable.)

				(o)	Degree(s) eorned	(b) Current Acodemic Work	(c) Teaching area
		AA	BA	MA	Prof. Ed.D Ph.D		
		i	•	`	,	-	í
	t in the	8	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	_7_	12
i .	ing the first	_3	_5_	_2	_1	_3	_3
	relogical rence	_3	6	_6	_6	_5	_5
1. 5.	eral conce	1/	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u> 15</u>
< 1:	itic it's	_7	_7_	_6	_4	_5_	
· H	at amount	<u>17</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	16	14	78
. \	or ca' meno 1.D. Dourrate Larma merca		. 2	.2	_6	0	_1
5. 1	1 .	_2	<u>. 2</u>	21	<u>11</u>	_2	1
. 1	flac if 1 - f3	<u>6</u>	<u>15</u>	2	23	32	_5_
1. 1	f 11*/ *u*(		<u>. 3</u>	10	_1	_0_	<u>.6</u>
	ar di ar. nest		_1_			_0_	<u>.6</u>
11. В	t1 fi	<u>11</u>	11	_1		_8_	<u>11</u>
	, afenda e e e e e Communicación de e e e Colonicación de e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	3	_4_	_1	_	1	_4
- (	ufdic-personne crysee pdice creuce, bome						
	conomics, etc.				<del>-</del>	_1	_2_
15, 1	rate-technical	23	2		_1.	_8_	15_
Ic. D	occupit 1941x						
	!	(84)	(20	) (28	(90)	(58)	(22)

17. IN MIATATAR DID YOURT CLEAF YOUR HIGHEST DEGREE / _____

1926-1940 - 5% 1941-1959 - 32%

1960-1972 - 63%

18. HEYOU ARE PRESINILY OF THE ENDINE	#ORKING TO%ARD\ A DEG! - 112	RLL, WHEN DO
Doe's not apply		After 1975 8% N.A. (79)
19. HAVE YOU COMPLETED I COURSES FOWARD AN ADA NON-TEACHIN & POSITIONS 1. 18 Yes 2. 77 No	HE REQUIREMENTS OR ARI MNISTRATIVE, COUNSELIN	E YOU TAKING
IF YIS, WHICH POSITIONS please write in the very in co- please check column "b.")	(If you have completed the replann "a." If you are present	rly taking courses,
(See below)	(o) Year completed	(b) Current courses
1. Administrative		
2. Counseling		<del></del>
3. Other (Please specify	)	<del></del>
+. Doe > not apply	)	<del></del>
20. IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU LA 1932-1960 - 12%; 21. HAVE YOU UVER ATTENDE	_ 1961-1972 - 88%;	(5)
TLCHNICAL INSTITUTES (	Please check each line, 'Yes	" or "No")
1. Junior college 2. Two-year technical institu	<del></del>	No N.A. 62 (2) 93 (29)
22, HOW MANY YEARS HAVE YO	DURING AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKGROOM AND BACKG	GETEACHER?
1-2 - 10%; $3-5 - 42$	%; 0-10 - 30%; over	10 - 19%; (1)
23 WHAT YEAR WERE YOU HIR 1936-50 - 5%; 1951-	10 by this district or i 60 - 14%; 1961-72 -	82°; (2)
4 ARI YOL WORKING 1111-1  1. <u>87</u> 1 ull-tome 2. <u>13</u> Part-time (0)	IMFOR PART-19MEAT THE	NINSHII HOV
IF YES, WHICH POSITION?	<del></del>	
	(à) Year completed	(b) Courses completed
1. Administrative:	1932-1942 - 12% 1943-1960 - 27 1961-1972 - 62 (92)	33.3 ( <b>9</b> 0)
2. Counseling:	1942-1959 - 26% 1960-1972 - 74 (95)	19 (91)

19.

25.	HE THIS INSTITUTION GRAVES HENURE (SECURITY OF EMPLOYMENT), DO YOU HE VELT?
	1. <u>66</u> Yes
	. <u>35</u> vo
	3,Does not apply
	(2)
Έ.	WITAL IS YOUR REGULAR WORKING SCHEDULE AT THIS INSTITUTION?  Lecture of teaching preparation)
	1. <u>58</u> pas
	3. 31 Days and Nichts
	(1)
٠.	ART THE COURSES YOU HEACH PRIMARILY OCCUPATIONAL A OCA- TIONAL, REMEDIAL DEVILOPMENTAL, OR TRANSFER GENERAL FIDECATION
	1. 35 Occupational vocational
	2. 4 Resolution of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of th
	3 61 Iron to general character
٠٠.	(11) HOW MANY HOURS ON THE ANTRAGE DO YOU WORK PER WITKIN THE FOLLOWING CAPACITIES: (Please in over as paint items as apply.)
	1Instructor (See Page 8a)
	' In titute pal receivace
	3Com clot
	As an train decrease there
	5 A to the trator below 1 on department of the soon chairman,
	coordinated, of the
	COther Picks spenty
•	TEANOR TEACH AT THIS INSTITUTION AS PART OF YOUR REGITAR. ASSIGNMENT, HOW MANY HOURS A WILLK DO YOU SPEND IN THE LOT-
	TOWNG ACTIVITIES. Provide outcome the number of hours for each appli-
	(See Page 8a)
	l. ———In li
	". Preparine materials for class
	3. Correcting recime, reports, written assumments, etc.
	+ Me time with students
	, s
	Consisted sections related to institutional functioning,

- 28. HOW MANY HOURS ON THE AVERAGE DO YOU WORK PER WEEK IN THE FOLLOWING CAPACITIES? (Please answer as many items as apply.)
  - 1. Instructor: 0-5 hours 11% response 6-20 44 > 20 45 (2)
  - 2. Institutional researcher: 0 hours 97% response

    1-2 .8

    5-4 .6

    > 4 1.9
  - 3. Counselor: 0 hours 84% response
    1-4 7
    5-40 9
    (2)
  - 4. Administrator (dean or above): 0 hours 99% response (2)
  - 5. Administrator below dean: 0 hours 82% response 1-20 12 > 20 7 (2)
- 29. IF YOU TEACH AT THIS INSTITUTION AS PART OF YOUR REGULAR ASSIGNMENT, HOW MANY HOURS A WEEK DO YOU SPEND IN THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES? (Please write in the number of hours for each applicable activity)
  - 1. In class: 0-5 hours 15% response 6-20 70 > 20 15 (5)
  - 2. Preparing materials for class: 0-5 hours 38% response 6-10 35 11-15 16 > 16 11 (4)
  - 5. Correcting exams, reports, etc.: 0 hours 11% response
    1-5 58
    6-10 23
    > 10 8
    (5)
  - 4. Meeting with students: 0 hours 15% response
    1-5 63
    6-10 17

    ➤ 10 5
    (5)

6. Committee meetings related to institutional functioning: 0 hours -  $30^{\circ}$ 

0 hours - 30% response 1 - 25 2-3 - 29 > 4 - 16

7. Activities involving professional teacher organizations:

0 hours - 76% response 1 - 16 > 2 - 8

8. Administrative duties: 0 hours - 79% response 1-10 - 14 > 10 - 7 (4)

9. Other teaching related activities: 0 hours - 82% response 1-5 - 14

> 5 - 4

(5)

10. Other non-teaching duties: 0 hours - 88% response  $\rightarrow$  0 - 12 (5)

29. ~ —	A courses involving professional	feacher of an in	it ron s
	A for estricte forces  Other reaching relates actionics	(See pag	e <b>8</b> b)
	Please specify		
10	Other non-teaching racing Diese		
1 1 1	OO YOU WORK ADDITIONAL HOURS A PART OF YOUR KEET AP DUTIES A LY INSTRUCTION  . 43 YOU . 57 YOU EYES, HOW MANY UNITA HOURS DO OLLOWING HEACHING POSITIONS	O YOU WORK 19	RWITKIN HH
	ate in clumber the nativer of cassi- umber of hours in the cration for the 4		n cold a la la face
	(See page 9a)	(o) Clossroom hours per week	(b) Preparation hours per week
ı	. And the standard of the second		
	Later Committee Committee Committee		
,	$(Y_{ij})_{ij}(Y_{ij}, x_{ij}) = (Y_{ij}, x_{ij})_{ij}(Y_{ij}, x_{ij}) = (Y_{ij})_{ij}(Y_{ij}, x_{ij})$		
4	A second of the second		
	. The following state of the following $\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{ij}$		
r	Or Plant Co		
		<del>-</del>	
:	LATS, HOW MANA EXTRA HOCKS DI NON-11 ACHING POSITION / Please, he nather of hours:	to gether the part	
	$\frac{\text{Yes} = 81\%}{1.5 \text{ hours}}$		406
•	20 hours		ours - 40%;
	20 hours - 3	356; (BU)	

30(B). IF YES, HOW MANY EXTRA HOURS DO YOU WORK PER WEEK IN THE FOLLOWING TEACHING POSITIONS? (For each position, please indicate in column "a" the number of classroom hours, and in column "b" the number of hours in preparation for teaching.)

		a) Classroom (b) hours per week	Preparation hours per week
1.	At a secondary or elementary school	0 - 93% (69)	0 - 93% (69)
2.	At a four-year college or university	0 - 92% (69)	0 - 93% (69)
3.	At another junior college	0 - 94% (69)	0 - 96% (69)
4.	At a technical institute	0 - 96% (69)	0 - 97% (69)
5.	Extra teaching load at this institution	3 - 29% > 4 - 19%	0 - 54% 1 - 11% 2 - 12% 3 - 9% >4 - 14% (69)
6.	Other	$0 - 86^{\circ}_{0}$ (71)	0 - 90% (70)

ST HOW OFFI NDO YOU EST THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNICAL SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE ACTION OF THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP

	Regularly	Occasionally	Seldom or Never	NA
I territ	68_	_26	7	(6)
En contract that	62	32	5	(10)
$\sim 44\% + 44\% + 100$	24	49	_26	(18)
Later to be seen	10	_26	_64	(2 <b>9</b> )
Thill - 11	7/	48	<u> 16</u>	(11)
The transfer of the state of	20	_46	34	(19)
. Interest of consequences	-r· <u>40</u>	45	14	(14)
S. Claser of the contract	49	_35	<u>17</u>	(10)
o. Other please peans	53_	_22	_25	(87)

3. HOW OFFEN DO YOU EST THE FOLLOWING HEAVION IT CHARGOUS NITH ASSESSMENT OF SELECTION OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF

	Regularly	Occasionally	Seldom or Never	NA
I. Morros a caren	63	15_	_2 <b>2</b>	(11)
$Q_{ij}$	69	21	10	(7)
Clase + Doors - pro-	54	22	24	(16)
and the service of the service of	4.3	30	_27	(20)
State of the state of	36	38_	_26_	(17)
$x = 1 + \epsilon_{-1} \cdot x_1 \cdot \epsilon_{-1}$	_ 21	31	<u>48</u>	(20)
Booking or	_ 14_	26	61	(24)
Sections of the contract	84	10		(6)
Artentico	46	25_	_30	(13)
THE OFFICE STATE OF	<b>7</b> 9	8_	13_	(84)

#### INFORMATION CONCERNING PRESENT POSITION

## 33. HOW DID YOU TIRST ITARN ABOUT YOUR PRESENT POSITION/ (Check only one.)

	1. 44 By direct or indirect contact with someone employed by this institution
	2. 1 By notice of vacancy sent to previous employer
	3 At my college placement service
	43_ Through a professional organization (e.g., teachers' association, scholarship or research organization)
	5. 34 Self-mitrited application
	6. 11 Other (Please specify)
	(4)
i	WHAT ART THE THREE MOST IMPORTANT REASONS FOR CHOSE THIS
	JUNIOR COLLEGE: (Mark I for the most important, 2 for the next most
	important and 3 for the third most important)

important and 3 for the third most important)	(II)	(III)	
1. 5 Friends at this institution	6	9	
. 43 Winted to teach it collect level	17	10	
37_Desirable location	25	18	
43_ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	12	18	
5. 12 Best job-offer if the time	8	11	
63 Neede took while curron, higher degree	3	1	
". 12 Stimulating environment	15	15	
86_Diss itisfied with previous position	10	13	
o. 9 Other Please specify	3	4	_ )
·	(32)	(34)	



11

35. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU AND YOUR COLLETAGETS REGARDING FACH OF THE FOLLOWING AREAS: In he we receive a modern of the court think most of recording a would answer according to the following of the page 12a)

satisfied	neither satisfied nar dissatisfied		dissatisfied
		(a) Yaur feelings	(b) Your calleagues' feelings
L. Pilos + Lite + to	sprometral in in t		
' II - C			
1			
S. A. Bright St.	site of classicon		
4 Silver Chemilie			<del></del>
- John House			
( Anthory	nt of hours)		
and the second section	titro ti		****
S. Polono i it.	L + (**) 1/1, (1) (1)		
St. Opportunit for a	in non-franciscome		
10 8 1 % · main	er tot make,		
11 Reservation + 1	1, processing in		
15 1 1			
13, Q di + + + , w	1 ₁₀ ~		
The Arreland for a	4 411 * 1 4 4 1 1		
17 1 - 1		-	
		- <del></del> -	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}} + $	r ar englin sr		
18 19			
1500 1500	0.5		



35. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU AND YOUR COLLEAGUES REGARDING EATH OF THE FOLLOWING AREAS? (Indicate your feelings in column "a" and indicate in column "b" how you think most of your colleagues would answer according to the following code:

sat	l cisfied	neither sat	tisfi	ed				3		
nor		nor dissati					diss	atis	stied	l
			(a)	Yo:	ır fe	elings	(b)			gues' gs
		•	1	2	3	N.A.	1	2	3	N.A.
1.	Policy related to parameter and tenure	romotion	59	24	17	(4)	47	30	22	(14)
2.	Job security, genera	ally	76	17	8	(3)	66	25	9	(14)
3.	Assignments outside classroom	of	69	24	7	(6)	57	34	9	(16)
4.	Salary schedule		59	24	18	(2)	39	31	30	(15)
5.	Joh prestige		60	33	7	(4)	55	38	7	(16)
6.	Work load (amount of	f hours)	61	20	19	(4)	45	29	26	(15)
7.	Policy of board of t	trustees	4.3	35	21	(4)	32	40	28	(15)
8.	Policies of state go agencies	overning	21	45	34	(6)	16	47	38	(17)
9.	Opportunity for atterprofessional meeting		51	30	19	(4)	46	34	20	(16)
10.	School-community rel	lationships	53	33	14	(4)	50	37	13	(16)
11.	Relationship with ac istrators	lmin-	60	25	15	(3)	33	41	27	(14)
12.	Class size		63	18	19	(4)	42	30	28	(15)
13.	Quality of students		3?	43	25	(3)	19	46	35	(15)
14.	Attitudes of student behavior	s and	50	33	17	(3)	34	44	23	(15)
15.	Facilities		56	19	25	(3)	48	26	26	(15)
16.	Relationship with activity	cademic	70	24	6	(4)	65	28	7	(15)
17.	Relationship with vo	ocational	66	29	5	(7)	59	35	6	(18)

		(a)	You	ır fe	elings	(b)	Your colleagues' feelings		
		1	2	3	N.A.	1	2	3	N.A.
18.	Library facilities	69	19	13	(3)	63	24	14	(15)
19.	Other	23	10	67	(96)	13	23	61	(06)

AT WHAT TYPE O			v one.	
1. 0   1   1   ment				
· 1 High s h				
3 62 Public 1:				
1. 2 Prime 1				
S. 1 Public 1		ŧ		
· <u> </u>				
	ir-ven Colle.			
5. 6 Pro no 1				
. <u>9</u> Public t				
$i_{\mathcal{F}} = \underbrace{-5}_{P^{*}_{\mathcal{F}} \cap P^{*}_{\mathcal{F}} \cap P^{*}_{\mathcal{F}}} r_{\mathcal{F}} T$				
11. 4 Oder Pl				
11 X 1 YOU HAD WE CORMAN TO SHIRE  1. 77 YOU  1. YOU, SHIRE IN	23 .	(1) N		LARTOYED FV
CURMINI POSHI	23 - HIL M MISE (See page)	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	YOU STREET	
TO BELLE POSITION OF THE POSITION LISTED.	23 - HIL M MISE (See page)	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	AOU SERFE	OF INSTITUTE
TO BELLE POSITION OF THE POSITION LISTED.	23 - HIL M MISE (See page)	13a)	YOU ALREAD THE POSITION	OF INSTITUTE
TYPE OF INSTITU	23 - HIL M MISE (See page)	13a)	YOU ALREAD THE POSITION	OF INSTITUTE
TYPE OF INSTITU	23 - HILL MIMIS POSITION (See page JITION)	13a)	YOU ALREAD THE POSITION	OF INSTITUTE
TYPE OF INSTITU	23 - HILL MI MIST POSITION INDICATED STREET PAGE 1110N	13a)	YOU ALREAD THE POSITION	OF INSTITUTE
CLERTAL POSITION  1. 77 Ye  IT YES, SKILL IN  FACILITYPE OF INSTITUTE  1. CONTROL OF INSTITUTE  1. CONTROL OF INSTITUTE  2. CONTROL OF INSTITUTE  3. CONTROL OF INSTITUTE  4. CONTROL OF INSTITUTE	23 - HILL MIMINE POSITION (See page JITION)	13a)	YOU ALREAD THE POSITION	OLINSII II -
TYPE OF INSTITU	23 - HILL MEMINION INDICES	13a)	YOU ALREAD THE POSITION	OLINSII II -
TYPE OF INSTITU	23 - HIM NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF THE NUMBER	13a)	YOU ALREAD THE POSITION	OLINSII II -
TYPE OF INSTITUTE OF PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	23 - HILL MI MISE TO SELLON INDICE (See page JITION)  Line Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Con	13a)	YOU ALREAD THE POSITION	OLINSII II -
CORPLY POSITION  1. 77 Yes, CRITEIN  FACH TYPE OF POSITION  TYPE OF INSTITUTE  1. Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Co	23 - HILL MIMIST POSITION INDICE (See page JITION)  L. C.	13a)	YOU ALREAD THE POSITION	OLINSII II -
TYPE OF INSTITUTE OF PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	23 - HILL MI MISE POSITION INDICE (See page JTION)  ACCORDANCE POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION  CONTRACTOR POSITION	13a)	YOU ALREAD THE POSITION	

## 37. IF YES, WRITE IN THE NUMBER OF YEARS YOU WERE EMPLOYED IN EACH TYPE OF POSITION INDICATED AT EACH TYPE OF INSTITUTION LISTED.

TYPE OF INSTITUTION

POSITION

		Facul ty	Counselor	Administrator
1.	Elementary	0 - 88% (25)	0 - 100% (24)	0 - 98% (24)
2.	Secondary	0 - 45% 1-10 - 47 10 - 9 (7)	0 - 98% (24)	0 - 96% (24)
3.	Public junior college	0 - 84% (26)	1 - 100% (24)	0 - 98% (24)
4.	Private junior college	0 - 97% (24)	0 - 100% (24)	0 - 100% (24)
5.	Public teachers college	0 - 98% (24)	$0 - 100^{\circ}_{o}$ (24)	0 - 100% (24)
6.	Private teachers college	0 - 100% (2)	0 - 100% (24)	0 - 100% (24)
7.	Public four-year college	0 - 92% (25)	0 - 100% (24)	0 - 100% (24)
8.	Private four-year college	0 - 92% (25)	$0 - 100^{\circ}_{\circ}$ (24)	0 - 99% (24)
9.	Public university	0 - 87% (26)	0 - 99% (24)	0 - 99% (24)
10.	Private university	0 - 94% (25)	0 - 100% (24)	0 - 99% (24)
11.	Other	0 - 85% (29)	0 - 99% (24)	0 - 96% (25)

38. PLIASE INDICATE THE LENGTH OF YOUR EMPLOYMENT IN THE OCCUPATION'S OF ISDE OF EDUCATION LISTED BLOW, (Please check all than apply)

	OCÇUPATION	1-3 Yrs	3-10 Yrs	10 + Yrs.	Does not Apply /NA
1.	General worker (such as a stodium, rurm laborer keneral in a tonestic before)	<u>85</u>	11	4	<u>(84)</u>
•	Semi-killed vorker such as machine operator retail clerk vartress, truck briver mail carrier, barberi	81_	_18_		(77)
₹.	Skille belefical or sales ouch as bookkeeper, sales representative, sestetary)	71_	_20_	9	(75)
1.	Skilled crittsman or foreman (such as electrician, baker, carpenter, bricklayer, factory foreman)	27	_28_	45	<u>(85)</u>
٠.	Protective setting worker such as policemin, military, firen in)	44_	29	_26_	(90)
(1.	Owner or manager of small business of firm touch as insurance from estate agent, store proprietor, contractor)	46	25	29	(89)
٦.	Lina owner or minacin	47	41	_12_	(97)
٧.	Semi-professional or tech- nician (such as programmer, lab technician)	47_	_31_		(84)
υ.	Minia erral and professional Leauch as back manager public administrator clergoran school reacher engineer certific qualic account int)	37		35	(68)
10.	Min were a and professional If such as phynomia, pro- te sor, layer)	27	_36_	_37_	(91)
11	Housewife	25.	28_	47	<u>(87)</u>
12	Linemployed	<u>76</u>	_14	10	(97)

### YOUR PERCEPTIONS OF AND ATTITUDES TOWARDS THE JUNIOR COLLEGE

39. IN YOUR OPINION, HOW DO MOST OF THE STUDENTS AT THIS INSTITUTION COMPART WITH COLLEGE STEDENTS IN GENERAL ON THE LOFT OWING CHARACTERISTICS? (Please check cach item in the appropriate column.)

	Below Average	Average	Above Average	NA
1 Acidemic background	62	37_	_1_	(2)
2. Leadership ability	36	62_	3	(3)
3. Understanding or others	_13	7 <u>5</u>	_15	(4)
4. Intelligence	25	73_	2	(2)
5. Sociai skills	32	64_	4	(3)
6. Dri e to succeed	32	51		(3)
. Study hibits	65	33_	2	(3)
8 Political interest	33	57_	<u>i1</u>	(4)
o. Interest in social activities		6.5	8	(3)
10 I motional adjustment	15	79_	6	(3)
11 Self-confidence readenic	52	46	2	(3)
D. Self-confidence (Social	20	74	6	(4)
is Matarity		65	12	(3)
La Interest in school	34	54	12	(3)
15. Awareness of political-social events	30	58_	12	(3)

10. (A) IN YOUR OPINION, TO WHAT FYTENT DO YOU THINK THE STU-DENTS AT THIS JUNIOR COLLEGE (a) PRESENTLY DO AND (b) SHOULD RECEIVE THE FOLLOWING EDUCATIONAL BENEFIES?

• (Please check the appropriate column in Section (a) do receive and (b) Should receive.)

		(o) D	O REC	EIVE	(b) SHOULD RECEIVE			
		Very much	Some	Little none	Very much	Some	Little '	_
1	Vocacional training (skills and techniques directly applicable to job)	<u>60</u>	<u>37</u>	N.A		21	_2_	N.A. (14)
2.	Background and specializa- tion for further education in some professional scientific or scholarly field	<u>36</u>	<u>55</u>	9 (5)	<u>50</u>	46	_4_	(13)
٦.	Broadened literary acquaintance and appreciation	17_	<u>68</u>	14 (7)	40	57_	_3_	(14)
í.	Awareness of different philosophies, cultures and ways of life	<u>25</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>15</u> (5	54	42	_3_	(13)
٢.	Social development (ex- perience and skill in re- lating to other people)	19	<u>67</u>	· 14_(6)	58	41_	_2_	(13)
6.	Personal development (under standing one's abilities and limitations, interests, and standards of behavior)	23	<u>60</u>	18 (6)	71_	28	_1_	(13)
٦.	Critical thinking (logic, inference, nature and limitations of knowledge)	11_	65_	24 (6)	64	<u>35</u>	1	(12)
8.	Aesthetic sensitivity (appreciation and enjoyment of art, music, drima)	11_	<u>62</u>	27 (6)	40_	<u>56</u>	_4_	(13)
٦,	Writing and speaking skills (clear, correct, effective communication)	24	64	12 (5)	79	20_	_0_	(12)
10.	Science and technology (understanding and appre- ciation)	23_	<u>68</u>	9 (5)	46	53	1_	(14)
11.	Citizenship (understanding and interest in the style and quality of civic and political life)	14_	71	15 (5)	52	46_	_2_	(14)

			(o) [	O REC	EIVE	(b) SHOULD RECEIVE			
Most important		Very much	Some	Little none	Very much	Some	Little none	N.A.	
6	12,	Appreciation of infly iduality and independence of thought and action	20	64	<u>15(</u> 6]	60	30	1	(13)
0	13	De clopment of friendship and state after							
0	1 1	Vicibulary, terminalogic and factoring arrow field or knowledge	<u>26</u>		<u>11(</u> 6)		46	_1_	(14)
2	1	Appropriation treligion mend and ethical standards	_4_	42	<u>5</u> 4 <u>(</u> 7)	24	<u>59</u>	18	(13)
14	14	Toler inse an Euroder stancing of other people and their salues	<u>18</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>16(</u> 6)	66	<u>33</u>	_1_	(13)
8	1~	Busis for improved social in the conomic state.	22	68	<u>10 (</u> 7)	_56	42	_1	(15)
(25)					,				

- B NOVE PARASE CHROLD THE ONE BENEFIT LISTED ABOVE WHICH YOU THINK IS MOST IMPORTANT FOR THE STEDEN IS AT YOUR COLLEGE TO RECEIVE (See page 17a)
- OF TAYOUR OPPHOA, TO SHALL EXHALL SHOULD YOUR JUNIOR COLLEGE EXERT CONTROL OVER THE FOLLOWING STUDIAL BUHAVIORS Project folk of the

ĺ	D	Considerable			
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	_30_	41_	29_	(2)
	I to the state of	7	40	53	(3)
ŗ.	Soft of the desired of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the soft of the sof	<u>11</u>	50_	39	(2)
	Service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the servic	13_	50	37	(2)
t	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} = 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} = 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{r}$	<u> 16</u>	50	34	(3)
(	1., (1.)	30	47	23	(2)
	Single the arrangement		31	52	(14)

40(b). PLEASE CIRCLE THE ONE BENEFIT LISTED ABOVE WHICH YOU THINK IS MOST IMPORTANT FOR THE STUDENTS AT YOUR COLLEGE TO RECEIVE.

Benefit	% response
1	18%
2	5
4	4
5	2
6	25
7	10
8	1
9	6
10	1
11	1
12	b
15	2
16	14
17	8
	(25)

	٠,٠	WHICH ART THE THEAT MOST IMPORTANT QUALITICATIONS FOR	
		THINK A RUMOR COLLEGE INSTRUCTOR SHOULD HAVE COPIET CO.	
		mark "1" for most important, """ for next upportant, and "3" for third most	(TTT
U)	Uリ	import inter	(11)
10	11	I leaching experience in the elementary of secondary level	13
20	23	Teaming perions the jumps of each of	28
2	6	I when a person of a fear-year on seattern	7
9	9	of the state of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the c	15
53	40	London that it after the cheft by instances and acres to	25
5	12	Communication bount of	12
15	19	Line and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state	18
(20)	(16)	Other Processing to	(22)

43. WHICH GROUP DO YOU THINK SHOULD HAVE THE PRIMARY AND WHICH THE SECONDARY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES? (For each activity write a "1" under the group you think should have primary respons bility, a "3" under the group that should have some responsibility and "Corono responsibility. Please write a number in each column for each activity. You may use the same number more than ones.)

(See page 19a) Trustees Adminis or governing board Students Faculty trotion 1 Student admissions 2 Degree Requirements and curricul im des clopment. 3. Hiring of faculty and counselors + Administrati e selection tother than pre idente 5. Selection of president 6. Administrative columns. ". I walte to whink er du er m S. Student confuc o Sil irres, budget and resource illocation. 10. Teaching issignments 11 Selection of departmental sharm n 1%. Other Please specify



43. WHICH GROUP DO YOU THINK SHOULD HAVE THE PRIMARY AND WHICH THE SECONDARY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES? (For each activity write a "1" under the group you think should have primary responsibility, a "2" under the group that should have some responsibility and "0" for no responsibility.)

		i 	F	acu	1ty	,	Adm tra		-			gov	es em- ard		Sti	udei	nts
		)	1	2	N.A.	0	1	2	N.A.	0	1	2	N.A.	0	1	2	N.A.
1.	Student admissions	12	26	62	(12)	3	77	21	(7)	44	20	36	(21)	66	7	28	(21)
2.	Degree require- ments and cur- riculum devel- opment	,	72	27	(7)	5	47	49	(9)	42	17	42	(20)	41	7	52	(20)
3.	Hiring of fac- ulty and coun- selors	11	42	47	(13)	2	75	23	(6)	32	19	48	(18)	67	5	28	(20)
4.	Administrative selection (other than president)	12	39	49	(13)	5	59	36	(11)	16	49	35	(13)	66	6	28	(20)
5.	Selection of president					1							(9)				
6.	Administrative evaluation	3	63	33	(9)	23	37	40	(18)	16	54	34	(13)	43	13	44	(21)
7.	Faculty teach- ing evaluation	8	60	33	(11)	6	52	42	(10)	68	8	24	(20)	15	38	47	(14)
8.	Student conduct	7	41	52	(11)	8	55	37	(11)	47	14	39	(22)	8	58	33	(12)
9.	Salaries, budge budget and re- source alloca- tion	1	34	54	(16)	; ; 2	70	28	(7)	5	62	32	(13)	79	.5	18	(21)
10.	Teaching assignments		62	36	(7)	4	65	32	(6)	73	3	24	(22)	86	2	13	(21)
11.	Selection of department chairman	3	/5	22	(6)	13	42	45	(8)	72	6	23	(22)	9υ	1	9	(21)
12.	Other	127	46	27	(99)	36	46	18	(99)	70	20	10	(99)	46	18	36	(99)

14. ASSUMING LIMITED RESOURCES, WHAT IN YOUR OPINION ARE THE TWO MOST AND THE TWO LEAST IMPORTANT LDUCATIONAL PRIORITIES OF YOUR JUNIOR COLLIGE (a) AT PRESENT, AND (b) FOR THE FUTURE. (In both columns mark "1" for the two most important, and "2" for the two least important priorities.)

(	(See page 20a)	(a) Present	(b) Future
	ral education for transfer to a car institution	<del></del>	
	tration in a specific subject field ansfer to a four-year institution		<del> </del>
3. Conti	num, education collège credit		
i. Adult	education (non-collège érédit)	<del></del>	
	dial and "high potential" programs sadvant/gcd/students		
	al occupational programs for business and industry		
	Pational programs leading to a leate or associate degree		
8. Other	(please specify	<del></del>	



44. ASSUMING LIMITED RESOURCES, WHAT IN YOUR **O**PINION ARE THE **TW**O MOST AND THE TWO LLAST IMPORTANT EDUCATIONAL PRIORITIES OF YOUR JUNIOR COLLEGE (a) AT PRESENT, AND (b) FOR THE FUTURE? (In both columns mark "1" for the two most important, and "2" for the two least important priorities.)

		(a) Present				(b) Future			
		Mos 1	t 2	Leas	<b>s</b> t 2	Mos	t 2	Leas 1	st 2
1.	General education for transfer to a four-year institution	60	1	19	0	52	0	22	0
2.	Preparation in a specific subject field for transfer to a four-year institution	9	17	35	15	10	9	36	17
3.	Continuing education (college credit)	6	16	11	10	9	11	11	11
4.	Adult education (non- college credit)	2	9	19	25	3	8	17	27
5.	Remedial and "high potential" programs for disadvantaged students	12	25	8	18	14	37	8	15
6.	Special occupational programs for local business and industry	12	33	8	32	12	42	6	30
7.	Occupational programs leading to a certificate or associate degree	4	43	2	8	5	37	2	7
8.	Other	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	1
	N.A.	(12)	(26)	(18)	(35)	(18)	(32)	(19) (	(35)

45. IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT ARE THE FOURT PROSPECTS FOR THE JUNIOR COLLEGE SYSTEM? (Check in column "a" "but you expect to occur and in column "b" what you would like to see occur. Chest as many as apply.)

		(o) Expect to occur	(b) Would like to see occur
1.	Conversion of most two-year	NA	NA
-	colleges to four-year colleges	8 (2)	9 (3)
2.	Assume all lower division respon-		
	sibilities from present four-year institutions	40 (2)	_40_(3)
4	Move occupational programs to technical institutions	14 (2)	22 (3)
1.	Move Secondary level occupational programs to area vocational school-	14 (2)	23 (3)
5.	Exp and continuing education	47 (2)	57 (3)
6.	Expand occupational education	58 (2)	_59_(3)
	program	20 (2)	<u> 33 (3)</u>
٠.	Continue operation of the junior college's essentially as they are	42 (2)	20 (3)
8.	Other Please specify	2 (2)	3 (3)

46. IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT ARE THE THREE MOST IMPORTANT BENE-FITS YOU FEEL THE COMMUNITY IS (a) PRESENTLY RECEIVING FROM THIS COLLECT, AND (b) SHOULD IDEALLY RECEIVED (For both "present" and "ideal" check "1" for most important, "2" for next most important, and "3" for third most important.)

	(See page 22a)	(o)	Present	(b) Ideal
1	Training of skilled personnel to fill manpower needs of local industry			
2.	Allowing undecided students an opportunity to explore alternative educational vocational path.	ıl		
3.	Raising the intellectual and cultural level of the community		<del></del>	
٠.	Developing talents and abilities of adults			
۶.	Providing facilities for community use			
6.	Offering exposure to higher education to students who, for financial reason, you'll not other vise have had such an opportunity			<del></del>
	Uperating of skill of retraining for abili-			
s.	Sour confined and itentitication for local community due to acid to athletic, cocationed training, etc.			
ti.	Attracting or helding significant busine and industry to the community			
10.	As a stink in the devel prient of the community			<del></del>
! !	Loon't know enough about the community to an opinion			
i ,	Other Picase specify			



-338- 22a

46. IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT ARE THE THREE MOST IMPORTANT BENEFITS YOU FEEL THE COMMUNITY IS (a) PRESENTLY RECEIVING FROM THIS COLLEGE, AND (b) SHOULD IDEALLY RECEIVE? (For both "present" and "ideal" check "l" for most important, "2" for next most important and "3" for third most important.)

		(a) Present		nt	(b) Ideal		
		1	2	3	1	2	3
1.	Training of skilled personnel to fill manpower needs of local industry	20	12	20	21	11	16
2.	Allowing undecided students an opportunity to explore alternative educational/vocational paths	24	33	15	20	26	16
3.	Raising the intellectual and cultural level of the community	4	8	14	12	13	15
4.	Developing talents and abilities of adults	1	8	15	2	6	14
5.	Providing facilities for community use	0	1	5	0	2	2
6.	Offering exposure to higher education to students who, for financial reasons, would not otherwise have had such an opportunity	45	26	10	37	25	11
/.	Upgrading of skills or retraining for adults	0	8	11	1	10	10
8.	Source of pride and identification for local community due to academic, athletics, etc.	2	1	5	1	2	4
9.	Attracting or holding significant business and industry to the community	0	5	2	. 4	1	3
10.	Assisting in the development of the community	1	ı	2	3	1	3
11.	I don't know enough about the community to give an opinion	1	3	5	1	4	9
12.	Other	1	0	0	1	0	0
	N.A.	(18)	(20)	(21)	(23)	(24)	(26)

- F IN WHAT ACTIVITIES HAVE YOU ENGAGED DURING THE PASTYLAR IN 11th COMMUNITY SERVED BY THIS COLLEGE? (Please check each item applicable.)
  - 1. __82_[talked about local community problems with an irrends
  - 2. = 87 I followed to the costs regularly in my newspaper
  - 3. 81 for each new to the compounts fund or chest of other local chara-
  - PIA Chamber of Compare a feature t Woman Vater a source reprote contains occurrent to
  - 5 _ 38 farrende Checetra, of the land a respective
  - C 47 Is introductive or mone to be act to project of his a plantonic park, and, no project heater, et
  - 34 1, i.e. mark outside it official from some community problem
  - 28.1) die ter ome in die van voneighbor, urrechapere mot engen om de make etwa om tradicipale in de van et groete.
  - " 86 to order to be a callelection

  - is  $= \underline{10}$  ), it dues to the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of th
  - 1 22 the transfer on a without the experiment or and atom

٠.

1. <u>(5)</u> 1. . . . . . . /\A

48. IN YOUR OPINION, BASED ON WHAT YOU THINK IS DESIRABLE, WHAT ARE THE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF YOUR COLLEGE'S SILDENT PERSONNEL PROGRAM. (Please mark cach item.)

		Strang	Average	Weak	N.A.
1.	Admissions in Fregistration	_32	_51	<u>17</u>	(5)
•	Records and information	34	56	10	(5)
₹.	Guidan c and academic counseling	_23	48_	_29	(5)
4.	Guidance and vocational counseling	<u>26</u>	44		(19)
5.	Placement for work	<u>19</u>	48	_33	(8)
fi.	Linancia, aids	32	_51	_17_	(6)
	Student activities	14		_29_	(6)
٩.	Special counseling for dis- advantage bitudent	31	_46	23	(6)
Ο,	Special counseling for students with a 6d mic problems	21	48	<b>3</b> 2	(7)

49. RECOGNIZING THAT FACILITIES, PROCEDURES, POLICIES, REQUIRES MENTS, AT HTUDES, ETC., DILEFREROM ONE CAMPUS TO ANOTHER. WHAT DO YOU THINK IS CHARACHERISTIC OF YOUR CAMPUS? AS YOURFAD FACH OF THE STATEMENTS BELOW, CHECK FR'E (1), HE THE STATEMENT DESCRIBES A CONDITION, EVENT, ATTITUDE, FIG., THAT YOU THINK IS GENERALLY CHARACHERISTIC OF YOUR COLLEGE. CHECK FALSE (1) IF YOU THINK IT IS NOT GENERALLY CHARACHERISTIC OF YOUR COLLEGE.

		Generally		
		Т	F	NA
1,	I requent tests are given in most courses	78	22	(4)
2.	The college offers many really practical courses such as typing, report writing, etc.	_91_	10	(3)
3.	The most important people at the school expect others to show proper respect for them	_ 54	46	(8)
+.	There is a recognized group of student leaders on the campus	41_	_60	(6)
5	Many upperel issmen play an active role in helping new students adjust to compus life	22	78	(8)
6.	The professors go out of their way to help their students	88	12	(4)
٦.	The school has a reputation for being friendly	85	15	(6)
٧.	Students find it case so get a group together for card games, Singing, coing to the movie, etc.	53	47	(12)
·).	Students are encouraged to critical and idminis- trative policies, and to aching practices.	54	46	(8)
10	The school offers many opportunities for students to understand and criticize important works in art, music, and drainer	41	59	(7)
11	Students are note else one erned about national and international affairs	37	63	(8)
12	Many tamous people are brought to the campustor lectures, concerts student fisculations.	31	69	(5)
13	Students are conscientions about taking cood- care of school projects	39	_61_	(6)
1 +.	Students ire expected to report any violation of rules and regulations	24	76	(9)
15	Students ask permission before deviating from common policies or practices	35	65	(9)
10.	Student public utons never Luapoon dignified people or institutions	27	73	(7)
1.	Most courses provide a real intellectual challenge	60	_40_	(8)

		Generally		
		Т	F	N.A.
18.	Students set high standards of achievement for themselves	22	78	(8)
19.	Most courses require intensive study and preparation out of class	48	52	(9)
20	Careful reasoning and clear logic are valued most highly in grading student papers, reports, or discussions	65	<b>3</b> 5	(12)

50. WHAT TYPE OF COLLEGE WOLLD YOU PREFER YOUR CHILDREN TO ATTEND FOR THE TERST TWO YEARS HE ADMISSION AND HY ANCES WERE NO CONSIDERATION? (Please indicate your first, second, and third above is be arriving 1, 2, 3, 3.)

third choices by writing 1, 2, 3.)	(T)	$\widehat{(1)}$	
l. ——Public Tumor College	31	13	11
2. ———Private Junior College	4	5	5
3. ———Public Teachers College	0	2	3
4. ——Private Teachers College	0	1	2
5Public Lour-veir College	6	24	<b>1</b> 9
6. Private Lour-year College	<b>1</b> 9	18	12
Public Unit ersit	12	22	28
8. ———Private University	<b>1</b> 7	16	15
9Other (Please specify	3	1	1
10It would not make any difference.	7	0	3
	(5)	(47)	(49)

ACATE OF US HAVE DIFFERENT PRETERENCES AND PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS. WE SHOULD FIKE TO KNOW MORE ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP OF DIFFERENT CHOICES AND TRAITS TO IMPORTANT COLLEGE AND SUBSEQUENT CARLER EXPERIENCES. Please mark "sees" for all the items you generally like: "no" for those you for any coperally like."

l generally like	Yes	No
a United Google on Grane	16 (5)	
Still care enter emore	62 (7)	
3. Increase a reach	43 (9)	
and Description of the second	97 (4)	
St. q. C.	<u>81 (6)</u>	
Control of the second	41 (8)	
Period Celebrating and Application	38 (13)	
Section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the sectio	87 (7)	
the second of the second	46 (10)	
$(e_{ij} - e_{ij}) = e_{ij}$	<u>9</u> 7_(4)	
A control of the control of	_ <u>54_(</u> 6)	
$S_{ij} = \{S_{ij} \mid i \in S_i \mid i \in G_i \mid i \in G_i\}$	<u>66 (6)</u>	
:	-18(8)	
t e e	<u>39</u> (9)	-
$\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}$ (1) $\epsilon = 0$	92 (6)	
	89 (5)	
· 1 .	49 (13)	
(Constant of the Constant of t	<u>34 (</u> 8)	
0	85 (7)	-
1 ,	_97 (4)	
	56 (7)	
Programme of the second second	82 .(7)	
1	59 <u>(</u> 7)	
and the control of the control of	78 (7)	
Manager Company	97 (4)	
Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Company of the Compan	86 (5)	
4.1	64 (8)	-
$\Phi_{i} = \Phi_{i} = \Phi_{i} = \Phi_{i}$	83 (8)	-

(B) (Please mark "yes" for those adjectives that you think are generally descriptive of you, "no" for those that are not.)

	I generally am:	Yes	No
ì	Well-organized	<u>74 (4)</u>	
,	Practical	91 (3)	
3	Individualistic	89 (4)	
ı	Questioning	91 (4)	
۶.	Predictable	53 (5)	<del></del>
ο,	Open-minded	95 (4)	
	Introspective	80 (6)	
5.	Experimental	81 (4)	
υ,	Creative	72 (6)	
10	Undistracted	32 (6)	
11	Analytical	81 (5)	
12	Critic d-minded	80 (4)	
13	Scientific	53 (6)	
1.	Sociable	81 (5)	
1 -	Contemplative	84 (5)	
16.	Dotitul	84 (5)	
I	Determined	91 (4)	
15	Consention d	59 (7)	
19	Unite strained	25 (6)	
20	Adaptable	95 (4)	
,1	Permis ive	59 (7)	
2.	Worried	28 (7)	
3.	Hipps	89 (7)	
24,	Calm	79 (7)	
25	Self-contrident	88 (5)	
26	Nervous	24 (6)	
,	Anxious	<u>31 (6)</u>	
٠,	Re the	36 (6)	

5.. THE STATEMENTS BILLOW EXPRESS MEWPOINTS THAT SOME PROPER AGREE WITH AND OTHERS DON'T. To be tree our over the ratio of each of the pages to the right of each of the pages. N. Opinion D. Diengree.

		A	<b>?</b> .	D	NA
1.	Contermient planning should be afrech about the form a most include the collection of the form and the collection.	.35	.21	.45	(4)
	the arc worths of the range of a polaric value of the first one and a range of the extension of the other constants.	29	18	53	(4)
₹.	The Unite Charles is the composite of a $r_{\rm B}$ or to take decrease of the $x$ of $x^{\rm B}$ is the $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sector $x$ -sect	56	18	26	(4)
,	$\frac{1}{1+\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) \right) \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{arc} \right) $	7	11_	_82	(4)
	The University of a company of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of the output of	31	_11	59	(4)
•	The more and a second of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the c	_6	11	83	(3)
	$M = \{(x,a,a,b), (x,b),	71	16	15	(3)
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	94	2	4	(3)
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	52	17	32	(4)
1 •	I many attention and a second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second	79	9	12	(4)
. 1	If New York is a second of the following second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the sec	52	17	31	(4)
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	53	9	39	(4)
•	$M = \{ \{ s, e \in \text{and } e(t) \mid e \in \text{now} \{ e(s) \in \text{peaks} \} \} \}$ that is the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of th	67	14	19	(5)
	However, and a second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the s	88	7 _	5	(4)

	A	7	D
People who advocate unpopular or extreme ide is should be allowed to speak in college campuses at the students want to hear them.	69	13	_18_
E WOLLD APPRICIALL A BRIEL NOT AVE TO THIS SERVEY QUESTIONNAL HIS STUDY GENERALLY	TEON THE R	LACHONS LPURPOS	YOI   > 01
	<u> </u>		



TABLES TO CHAPTER 6



346 -349-

TABLE 6-1

F RATIOS AND EXTREME INSTITUTIONAL MEAN STANLARD FACTOR SCORES OF FACULTY MEMBERS' VIEWPOINTS ON SOCIAL ISSUES

			Institutions with extreme		Institutions with extreme	
Scale	F ratio	Range of means	negative m	neans	positive me	ans
Restriction of civil rights	6.44	-1.02 to .49	Foster -	1.02	Lowell Palmerston Shaw	.49 .45 .45
Restriction of women's rights	2.60	46 to .67		.34	Ward Lowell Palmerston	.67 .32 .29
Restriction of govern- ment	3.45	31 to .42		.31	Meade Sherwood Langston	.42 .40 .34



TABLE 6-2

THE FACULTY'S PROFESSIONAL EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCES AND LENGTH OF SERVICE PRIOR TO THEIR CURRENT POSITION*

Type of													
institution	Type and years of service    Teaching   Counseling   Administration												
where employed		1-5	1 <u>Teacl</u>		Total	1-5	Couns 6-10	eling >in	Total	1 - 5		<u>  trat</u>	on Total
Elementary school	(N)	(52) 9.4	(13) 2.4	(4)	(69) 12.6	(2)	-	-	(2)	(8) 1.5	(1) .2	<del> </del>	(10) 1.9
Secondary school	(N) %	(169) 32.0			(290) 55.0	(10) 1.8	(2) •4	-	(12) 2.2	(12) 2.1	(8) 1.5	(3)	(23) 4.2
Public junior college	(N) %	(75) 13.6	(9) 1.7	(5) 1.0	(89) 16.3	(2)	(1) •2	-	.2	-	-	-	-
Private junior college	(N) %	(15) 2.8	(2)	-	(17) 3.2	(1)	-	-	(1)	-	-	-	-
Total junior college	(N)	(90) 16.4	(11) 2.1	(5) 1.0	(106) 19.5	(3) .6	(1)	-	(4)	(11) 2.1	<b>-</b>	(1) • 2	(12) 2.3
Public teachers' college	(N) %	(6) 1.1	(4)	-	(10) 1.9	-	-	-	-	(1)	-	-	(1) .2
Public 4-year college	(N) %	(40) 7.2	(1)	(1) •2	(42) 7.6	-	-	-	-	(1)	-	-	(1) .2
Private 4-year college	(N) %	(41) 7.3	(2)	-	(43) 7.7	-	-	-	-	(4) •8	-	-	(4) .8
Total 4-year college	(N) %	(87) 15.6	(7) 1.4	(1)	(95) 17.2	-	-	-	-	(6) 1.2	-	<u>-</u>	(6) 1.2
Public university	(N) %	(63) 11.5	(4) .8	(4) .8	(71) 13.1	(3)	(1)	-	(4) .8	(5) 1.0		(1) • 2	(6) 1.2
Private university	(N) %	(25) 4.4	(4) •8	(3) •6	(32) 5.8	(1)	-	(1)	(2) .4	(2) •4.		(2) •4	· (4)
Total univer- sity	(N) %	(88) 15.9	(8) 1.6	(7) 1.4	(103) 18.9	(4)	(1)	(1)	(6) 1.2	(7) 1.4		(3) .6	(10)
Other	(N)	(55) 9.3	(15) 2.9	(8) 1.8	(78) 14.0	(4) 8	-	(1) .2	(5) 1.0	(19) 3.5		(2) •4	(23)

^{*}Percentages are based on the 569 faculty members who reported prior experiences.

TABLE 6-3

F RATIOS AND EXTREME INSTITUTIONAL MEAN STANDARD FACTOR SCORES OF FACULTY MEMBERS' PERCEPTION OF THEIR COLLEGE ENVIRONMENTS

Scale	F ratio	Range of means	Institutions with extreme negative means	Institutions with extreme positive means	
Awareness	3.50	81 to 1.03	Palmerston81 Lowell33 Langston19	Manning 1.03 Sherwood .38 Walden .23	
Propriety	14.83	87 to 1.40	Foster87 Langston86 Sherwood58	Palmerston 1.40 Kinsey .94 Shaw .58	
Community	5.59	71 to .58	Foster71 Walden40 Langston31	Manning .58 Newson .57 Quanto .55	
Scholarship	9.07	-1.06 to .58	Langston06 Walden44 Sherwood38	Shaw .58 Ward .40 Meade .27	
Student benefits	7.40	-1.50 to .76	Ward -1.50 Lowell40 Walden21	Sherwood .76 Kinsey .51 Carter .35	
Institutional rigidity	9.06	62 to .99	Langston62 Sherwood58 Kinsey47	Manning .99 Lowell .79 Palmerston .54	

TABLE 6-4

F RATIOS AND EXTREME INSTITUTIONAL MEAN STANDARD FACTOR SCORES OF FACULTY MEMBERS' PERCEPTIONS OF WHAT BENEFITS THEIR STUDENTS DO AND SHOULD RECEIVE

				<del></del>
Scale for do and			Institutions with extreme	Institutions with extreme
should receive	F ratio	Range of means	negative means	positive means
Personal and social development				
Do receive	6.35	52 to 1.02	Langston52 Ward43 Walden36	Sherwood 1.02 Manning .32 Carter .10
Should receive	2.78	51 to .31	Lowell51 Palmerston38 Appleton24	Foster .31 Sherwood .29 Langston .25
Academic development				
Do receive	6.92	-1.06 to .58		Sherwood .58 Meade .38 Kinsey/Shaw.29
Should receive	4.61	97 to .67		Manning .67 Meade .36 Foster .22
Vocational development				
Do receive	4.67	47 to .66	Manning47 Walden45 Sherwood41	Palmerston .66 Lowell .45 Newson .30
Should receive	3.17	52 to .38	Walden52 Ward47 Appleton34	Kinsey .38 Manning .35 Lowell .30

TABLE 6-5

F RATIOS AND EXTREME INSTITUTIONAL MEAN STANDARD FACTOR SCORES OF FACULTY MEMBERS' PERCEPTIONS OF THEIR STUDENTS' CHARACTERISTICS

Scale	F ratio	Range of means	Institutions with extreme negative means	Institutions with extreme positive means	
Academic potential	5.68	76 to .70	Manning72	Newson .70 Meade .42 Carter .41	
Maturity and drive	3.91	66 to .72	Palmerston66 Walden42 Quanto39	Manning .72 Lowell .39 Sherwood .29	
Political orientation	7.86	95 to 1.28	Palmerston95 Quanto50 Ward34	Manning 1.28 Langston .80 Foster .26	
Sociability	3.29	85 to .39	Palmerston85 Foster52 Walden15	Appleton .39 Manning .30 Meade .28	



TABLE 6-6

AMOUNT OF RESPONSIBILITY THE MAJORITY OF FACULTY
CONSIDERED EACH CONSTITUENT GROUP SHOULD HAVE FOR
EACH SPECIFIED ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITY*

Group and degree of responsibility												
Activity	F	aculty			nistra			ustees			tudent:	
	None	Prime	Sec	None	Prime	Sec	None	Prime	Sec	None	Prime	Sec
Student admissions			х	j	Х					Х		
Degree requirements		X						:				Х
Faculty hiring					Х					х		
Administrator hiring					Х					х	i	
President hiring						χ	i	Х		Х		
Administrative evaluation				ì								
Faculty evaluation		Х			X		Х					
Student conduct			X		X						Х	
Budget			χ		X			X		х		
Teaching assignments		Х					х		÷.	х		
Department chairman		y					Y			Y		
		Х	,				х			х		

^{*}Each "X" signifies a majority faculty response.



## APPENDIX E

COUNSELOR MARGINAL DATA
AND
TABLE TO CHAPTER 7

256/201

OMB No. 51=871038L APPROVAL EXPIRES 6=30=72

# THE STUDY OF JUNIOR COLLEGES

### COUNSELOR QUESTIONNAIRE

MARGINALS and

% MISSING OBSERVATIONS (IN PARENTHESES)

Center for the Study of Evaluation Graduate School of Education University of California, Los Angeles

Office of Education Contract No. 0-70-4705

OF LORM 2337-1, 11 71



Dear Colleague:

You have been randomly chosen to participate in a nationwide study of a select group of approximately 15 community colleges. The study is being undertaken by the Higher Education Project of U.C.L.A.'s Center for the Study of I valuation under contract to the National Center for Educational Statistics of the Office of Education. This study is designed to provide the Office of Education with empirically hased suggestions for more effective development of the community or two-year colleges.

By taking a few minutes to complete this questionnaire you will be making a significant contribution toward hidging the gap between action at the federal level and real needs at the institutional level.

Be assured that your responses to this questionnaire, as well as those of your colleagues, will be analyzed in a statistical sense only and that your anonymity will be absolutely protected by your following the directions listed below:

- 1. Do not write your name on this booklet.
- 2. When you have completed this questionnaire, please write your name and school in the space provided on the attached postcard (on the left).
- Detach the postcard and return it in a mailing separate from the questionnaire.

This procedure allows us to know who has not returned the questionnaire while preserving the strict anonymity of the questionnaire respondents themselves. We will then be able to send follow-up requests to the nonrespondents. At no time will your responses be seen by anyone from your institution.

We will be very grateful if you would complete and return this questionnaire to us within 5 days. We thank you in advance for the time taken from your busy schedule to complete this questionnaire.

Very sincerely,

James W. Trent Principal Investigator



360 -361-

INSTRUCTIONS. This goestion are is about the comseane services merour college. We hope that you will respond to all at the ireas, but feel free to skip any item conspected not to answer.

1	WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE JUNIOR COLLEGE WHERE YOU ARE PRESENTED THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF
•	HOW MANY HOURS A WELK ON THE AVERAGE DO YOU SPIND IN LACH OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES. Please enter the noirs, on spend with the not of the following appointment activities. (See page 1a)
	Contracting
	SRecar h
	Ten se
	Orac activities at the institution (Pl. 186)
	SLC III
٠.	TEACH ARE INVOLVED IN RESEARCH OF ANY KIND, PLEASE EXPEAIN.
	Testing - 24; Student characteristics - 15;
	Counseling techniques - 15; Special programs - 12; Other - 35; (66)
	Decree of
١.	DO COUNSELORS AT YOUR HIMOR COLLEGE PARTICIPATE IN FLAX- NIX COLUMN LIPE AND COLLSE DISTROPHE, I
	$\frac{15}{100}$ $\frac{15}{100}$ $\frac{1}{100}$ $\frac{1}{100}$
	53
	$\frac{32}{(7)}$
	(3) TO WHAT EXTENT ARE COUNSELORS AT YOUR TUNIOR COLLEGE FREE
	TO PLAN THEIR OWN SCHEDULES?
	1 57 Very such
	. 33
	<u> 10 yezhoù</u>
	(1)

*Parentheses indicate missing data.

2. HOW MANY HOURS A WEEK ON THE AVERAGE DO YOU SPEND IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES? (Please enter the hours you spend weekly in each of the following appropriate activities)

```
1. Meetings: 0 hours - 1% response
              1
                       - 11
               2
                       - 24
              3
                       - 19
              4
                        - 19
               5
                        - 14
                       - 7
              6
                       - 1
              9
              10
                         3
               15
                         1
                         (6)
```

```
2. Counseling: 0 hours - 2% response
                                              15 - 4%
                                                             28 - 4%
                          - 1
                 1
                                              17 - 1
                                                             29
                                                                   1
                           - 2
                 2
                                              19 - 1
                                                             30
                                                                  16
                 3
                          - 1
                                              20 - 11
                                                             31
                                                                  1
                           - 1
                                              22 - 3
                                                             32
                                                                   1
                 5
                          - 2
                                              23 - 3
                                                             33
                 6
                          - 3
                                              24 - 1
                                                                   3
                                                             34
                 8
                          - 1
                                              25 - 10
                                                             35
                                                                   4
                                              26 - 2
27 - 5
                 10
                          - 7
                                                                   2
                                                             36
                          - 2
                 13
                                                                  (5)
```

```
5. Research: 0 hours - 59% response
1 - 11
2 12
5 8
4 1
5 5
7 1
10 5
(0)
```

6,	DO COUNSILORS AT YOUR JUNIOR COELL GLIBAYT SYSTEMATIC LIFEDBACK FROM FACULTY, STUDENTS AND ADMINISTRATORS CONCIRNING HOW WITE LIFEY ARE PERFORMING THEIR FUNCTIONS? (Picase check for faculty, students and administrators.)										
		Yes	No	I don't know							
	1. Faculty	_38_	_62		(7)						
	2. Students	48	_52		(8)						
	3. Administrators	44	_56		(12)						
	4Does not apply				, ,						
	PLEAST EXPLAIN THE NATURE EACH GROUP FOR WHICH YOU IN	IDICATED	IL EDB	ACK.							
7.	TO WHAT EXTENT ARE COUNSELVOLVED WITH SCHOOL POLICY & GRAM? (e.g., counselor confidence).  1. 62 They have considered to 2. 37. They have some limited to 3. 2. They have no input it. (3) I don't know	RELATED T ality, etc.) nput and inf	го тне	TOR COLLEGI.	IN- PRO-						
8.	HOW ACCESSIBLE ARE THE COU. (Please check all items that apply.)		T THIS	JUNIOR COLL	EGE?						
	1 Students have a long wait	ing period f	or an ap	Ppointment							
	2. 39 An appointment is general requests one				ude nt						
	3. 55 Students may walk-in, no	appointmen	t is nec	cssary							
	i. 83 In addition to scheduled a for walk-in sessions				ıble						
	5. 44 Special effort is made to do not ordinarily request	reach stude an appointm	nts in n	eed of counseli	ng who						
	6. 24 Other (Please specify _				)						

9.	WHEN YOU SEE STUDENTS FOR A SCHEDULED APPOINTMENT, WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING KINDS OF RECORDS DO YOU HAVE READILY ACCESSIBLE FOR FACILISTEDENT? (Please check all that apply.)
	1. 88 High school transcript
	2. 97 Grades at college
	367_Aptitude and achievement test scores
	i. 13 Disciplinary record
	59 .Extracurricular and work record
	6. 8 Personal comments from teachers
	7. — O No files are accessible
	8. 19_Other (Please specify)
10.	WHEN YOU SEE STUDENTS FOR SCHEDULED APPOINTMENTS, HOW FONG IS THE AVERAGE APPOINTMENT?
	16_Less than 15 nonutes
	2. <u>61</u> [5 to 39 minutes
	333_30 to 60 minutes
Η.	IS THIS AMOUNT OF TIME USEAFTY SUFFICIENTS
	1. 91 Yes
	2. 9 No
12.	WHAT PERCENTAGE OF YOUR COUNSELING SESSIONS ARE DEVOTED TO FACIL OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES (Time should total 100).
	1. Program planning (course selection) (See page 3a)
	2, ——— (Vocational guidance
	3 Counseling on wademic problems
	4. ———— Counseling on personal problems
	5,) Other (Please specify)
В.	WITAL DEGREE OF CONTIDENTIALITY ARE COUNSELORS AT THIS JUNIOR COLLEGE ALLOWED TO MAINTAIN WITH STUDENTS:
	1. 87 for a confidentiality
	2. <u>13</u> Some
	3Very limited
	(0)



12. WHAT PERCENTAGE OF YOUR COUNSELING SESSIONS ARE DEVOTED TO EACH OF THE FOLLWOING ACTIVITIES: (Time should total 100%)

l.	Program planning:	% of sessions	% response
		0	1
		1	l
		2	1
		10	10
		13	l
		15,	6
		20	6
		25	7
		30	10
		33	1
		35	2
		40	8
		50	20
		60	1
		65	2
		70	6
		7.5	1
		75	3
		80	3
		95	2
			(2)

2. Vocational guidance: % of sessions % response I 7 2 5 3 1() 

(2) % of sessions % response 5. Academic problems: () 2.5 .5 

# 12. (cont'd)

4.	Personal	problems:	% of	sessions	% response
				0	6
				1	1
				2	2
				5	8
			1	0	35
			1	5	5
				0	12
			2	5	1
			.5	0	6
			3	3	1
			3		2
			.1	8	1
			5	0	7
			5	5	1
			6	0	2
			6	5	1
			7	()	1
			7	5	
			10		1
					(2)

5.	Other:	% of sessions	% response
		0	79
		1	1
		5	2
		10	8
		15	1
		20	,
		25	1
		11	1
		50	1
		, 5	1
		100	1
			(1)

l i	DO 100	$\mathbf{KLLP}$	VRI CORD OI	#11 1 1	HAPPE	VS DI	RINGE	10	псоц	\ \ I	۱-
	156 515										

- 1. 11 Yes, dans
- 1 __39 Yes most times
- 3 40 Yes, sometimes
- + <u>10</u> No files are kept (1)

# 15. TE HILLS ARE KEPT HOW WOLLD YOU CLASSILY THESE RECORDS:

- 1 13 for il cords
- . <u>72</u> hrs. Liste
- $\frac{0}{(20)}$  Does not upply

# IC. HOW FREQUENTLY DO YOU SEE THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF SEEDEN'S Please check the appropriate column for each type of student.)

		Seen frequently	Seen occasionally	Seldom seen	
ļ	Statents who was columnary appointments	_87	_13_	0	(2)
	Students, the walk in for- inforcal counseling	_75	_26_	_0_	(1)
`	Student's registered for com- ful sory appointment	_29_	_18_	53	(12)
۱.	Statement of contact to in-	16	_56_	28_	(5)

17 IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT ARE THE MATOR PROBLEMS OF YOUR SHIPPINIS (1.1), or doing, including a program, and discontinuous for a ton, an extent about future plants.

### Personal - 34

Educational and academic disadvantagement - 41

Poor study habits - 8

Unrealistic aspirations - 34

Lack vocational and academic information - 37

Uncertainty of future - 57

Financial -

Other - 8

18.	WHAT METHODS DO YOU USE TO REACH STUDENTS WHO ARE IN NEED OF COUNSELING ASSISTANCE, BUT DO NOT COME TO THE COUNSELING OFFICE FOR HELP?
	<u>Counselor available outside office - 40; Group co</u> unseling, referrals - 14; <u>Printed publicity - 10; Monitor student records -</u> 12; Faculty referrals - 30 <u>Seek "no-shows" - 38</u>
19	WHAT WOLLD YOU LIKE TO SEE CHANGED THAT WOLLD INCREASE YOUR JOINSALISE ACTIONS
	Additional counseling time - 20; Lower work load - 21; Professional growth opportunity - 9; Policy change regarding students - 11; Better staff communication - 18; Increased outreach opportunity - 7; Other - 43
٠0.	WHAT DO YOU THINK WOULD IMPROVE THE STUDENT PERSONNEL PROGRAMS (Please check all items that apply.)
	1. 24 More time for you from il testing
	2. 53 More a roaq - course line
	3, 40 More than to teal with indicate who have relationic problems
	4. 57 More time for personal counseling other than program additionent, scheduling, etc.
	5. 20 More information on Students' performance
	c. 32 Other Please specify
٠1.	TEYOU COLED MAKE ONLY ONE SUGGESTION TO IMPROVE THE SILE DENT PERSONNEL PROGRAM, WHAT WOULD IT BE?
	<pre>Lower work load - 16; Better staff communication - 9; Improve counseling quality - 11; Clarify counselor role - 10;</pre>
	Increased outreach opportunity - 12; More stress
	counseling - 9; Change leadership - 10; Other - 25



22.	DO YOU FEEF YOUR COUNSELING PROGRAM IS REACHING THE STU- DENTS WHO NEED TO:
	1. <u>71</u> yes
	2. <u>28</u> No
	3} don't know
	throughous coplain Unable to reach needy students - 21; Lack of outreach
	time - 5; Lack of time for student problems - 16; Students don't seek help - 26;
	Students ignorant of or opposed to counseling - 21; Other - 11
23.	HOW COLED COENSELING SESSIONS BE IMPROVED (Please explain briefly.)
	Improved counselor quality - 22: Access to more information regarding
	students - 4; More time with students - 26; Better physical environment - 26,
	Scheduling flexibility - 10; Other - 31
`+.	H YOU HAD A CHOICE, HOW WOULD YOU PREFER TO SPEND YOUR TIME PROFESSIONALLY
	Current is satisfactory - 32;
	Personal, vocational, academic counseling - 33;
	Teaching - 10;
	Outreach activities - 13;
	Professional growth - 8;
	Other - 20



37 -37**1**-

TABLE TO CHAPTER 7

TABLE 7-1

COUNSELORS WHO REPORTED SPENDING VARIOUS PROPORTIONS
OF THEIR TIME IN VARIOUS COUNSELING ACTIVITIES

Counseling activity	Percentage of counseling sessions	Percentage of counselors
Program planning	0-20	29
11081am pramining	21-40	29
	41-60	24
	61-80	15
	80-100	2
Vocational guidance	C-20	75
8	21-40	16
	51-100	3
Academic problems	0-20	82
F	21-40	15
	11-100	2
Personal problems	0-20	69
rersenar pressena	21-40	13
	41-60	11
	61-100	4
Other student needs	0-20	95
	21-100	4

APPENDIX F

TABLES TO CHAPTER 8



TABLE 8-1

FACULTY'S PERCEPTION OF PRESENT AND FUTURE EDUCATIONAL PRIORITIES (IN PERCENT)

Priorities	Present	Future
Most Important		
General education for transfer to a four-year institution.	50.0	39.8
Occupational programs leading to a certificate or associate degree.	47.2	41.3
Least Important		
Preparation in a specific subject field for transfer to a four-year institution.	33.3	37.8
Continuing education (college credit).	29.3	29.1
Adult education (non-college credit).	29.3	29.1



TABLE 8-2

STUDENTS' REASONS FOR THEIR ATTENDANCE
AT THEIR PARTICULAR COLLEGES (IN PERCENT)

Reason	First importance	Second importance	Third importance	Total*
Low cost	29.1	25.3	22.6	77.0
Closeness to home	20.3	37.7	20.0	77.0
Particular courses	27.0	13.5	19.3	59.8
	-			
Total	76.4	76.5	61.9	

*Total percent indicating an influence as first, second, or third in importance.

TABLE 8-3

FACULTY'S POOLED PERCEPTIONS OF BENEFITS STUDENTS DO VERSUS SHOULD RECEIVE VERY MUCH (IN PERCENT)

	Benefit	s Received	-
Area of Benefits	Actual	Preferable	Difference
Critical thinking	17.3	55.1	37.8
Human relations	16.1	52.2	36.1
Humanistic	18.2	53.1	34.9
Vocational	35.8	59.1	23.3



TABLE 8-4

THE THREE STUDENT BENEFITS ELICITING THE GREATEST AND LEAST DIFFERENCES IN THE FACUL Y'S PERCEPTIONS OF WHAT THEIR STUDENTS DO VERSUS SHOULD RECEIVE (IN PERCENT)

		Reception	on of benefit	
Item	Dimension of benefit	Actual	Preferable	Difference
Greatest difference				
Writing and speaking skills (clear, correct, effective communication)	Humanistic	24.0	79 <b>.4</b>	55.4
Critical thinking (logic, inference, nature and limitations of knowledge	Critical Thinking	11.3	63.7	52.4
Tolerance and understanding of other people and their values	Human Relations	17.5	65.9	48.4
Personal development (understanding one's abilities and limitations, interests and standards of behavior	Human Relations	22.5	70.8	48.3
<u>Least difference</u>				
Appreciation of religion (moral and ethical standards)	Human Relations	3.9	23.8	19.9
Vocational training (skills and techniques directly applicable to job)	Vocational	59.8	77.7	17.9
Background and specialization for further education in some professional scientific or scholarly field	Vocational	35.9	49.5	13.6

TABLE 8-5

THE FACULTY'S PERCEPTIONS OF THE MOST IMPORTANT STUDENT EDUCATIONAL BENEFITS

Item	Dimension of benefit	Percent
Personal development (understanding one's abilities and limitations, interests and standards of behavior)	Human Relations	23.0
Vocational training (skills and techniques directly applicable to job)	Vocational	17.5
Tolerance and understanding of other people and their values	Human Relations	14.1
Critical thinking (logic, inference, nature and limitations of knowledge)	Critical Thinking	10.0
Development of friendships and loyalties of lasting value	Human Relations	0.2
Vocabulary, terminology and facts in various fields of knowledge	Vocational	0.2



TABLE 8-6

THE COUNSELORS' PERCEPTIONS
OF MAJOR STUDENT PROBLEMS

Problem	Percent
Uncertainty of future	57.1
Educational and academic disadvantages	40.8
Vocational and academic information	36.7
Personal	33.7
Unrealistic aspirations	33.7
Financial problems	20.4
Poor study habits	8.2
Other	8.2

TABLE 8-7

COMPARISON OF COUNSELOR AND STUDENT RESPONSES
CONCERNING LENGTH OF AVERAGE COUNSELING APPOINTMENTS
(IN PERCENT)

Length of average appointment	Crunselors	Students
Less than fifteen minutes	6.1	39.9
Fifteen to thirty minutes	58.6	52.9
Thirty to sixty minutes	31.3	6.4
No response	4.0	0.8
Total	100.0	100 7



TABLE 8-8

CO PATION OF FACILITY AND STUDIES INMIGRATIONS OF STUDIES TELESORISM FACENCY (IN TENEST)

		FACULTY	LTY			S	STUDENTS		
Servi se	Strong	Ау зуе	Weak	Total	Strong	Average	Weak	No Option	Total
Admissions and registration	32	51	17	100	. 23	20	18	6	100
Records and information	34	99	10	100	19	55	10	16	100
Academic guidance	23	48	53	100	26	43	16	15	100
Vocational guidance	56	44	30	100	23	38	15	23	100
Placement tor work	19	48	33	100	11	31	18	40	100
Financial aids	32	51	17	100	16	33	14	37	100
Student activities	14	20	53	100	18	37	23	22	100
<pre>Special comseling for disadvantaged students</pre>	31	46	23	100	17	22	12	49	100
Special counseling for students with academic problems	20	48	32	100	17	28	12	43	100
academic problems	0.7	40	75	TOO	À	07	77		5

TABLE 8-9

FACULTY PERCEPTIONS OF CURRENT AND IDEAL COMMUNITY BENEFITS: THREE FIGHEST AND THREE LOWEST PERCENTAGE RESPONSE. "OR EACH CATEGORY

	MOST IMPORTANT	ST STANT	SECOND MOST	MOST TANT	THIRD MOSI	MOST	TOTAL*	  * 
Benefit	Current	Idea1	Current	Ideal	Current	Idea1	Current	Idea1
Offering exposure to higher education to students who, for financial reasons, would not otherwise have had such an opportunity.	44.7	37.4	26.1	25.1	10.1	10.9	80.9	73.4
Allowing undecided students an opportunity to explore alternative educational/ vocational paths.	24.2	20.4	33.4	25.8	14.8	16.3	72.4	62.5
Training of skilled personnel to fill manpower needs of local industry.	20.4	21.4	11.9	11.2	19.8	15.6	52.1	48.2
Providing facilities for community use.	0.3	0.2	1.2	1.6	5.3	2.4	8.9	4.2
Attracting or holding significant business and industry to the community.	0.0	0.4	0.5	1.4	1.7	2.9	2.2	4.7
I don't know enough about the community to give an opinion.	1.3	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	1.5	1.1

*Percent indicating each benefit as first, second or third most important.

TABLE 8-10

ERIC PAUL TOUR PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND THE PROVIDENCE AND TH

NIMBER OF VOCATIONAL AND INSTRUCTIONAL DIEANS INDICATING SPECIFIC INSTRUCTOR QUALIFICATIONS

Qualification	Instructional Deans	Vocational Deans*	Combined**
Interest in students	7	9	13
Understand philosophy of community colleges	ß	ıs.	10
Commitment to teaching	4	23	7
Ability to teach	4	2	9
Knowledge of field	3	3	9
Motivation	0	3	23
Teaching experience	1	0	1
Work experience (for those in technical areas)	1	0	. 1
Experience (general)	1	0	П
Dynamic personality	1	0	. 1
Involvement in society	1	0	1
Open to people and ideas	0	1	1

* N=13, as two colleges did not have such 1 position. ** N=25, as three deans hold both positions simultaneously.

**TABLE 8-11** 

FACULTY RATINGS
OF INSTRUCTOR QUALIFICATIONS (IN PERCENT)

Qualification	Most Important	Second Most Important	Third Most Important	Total*
Demonstrated interest in student problems and activities	34.6	35.6	14.9	75.1
Wide range of work experience other than teaching	15.1	19.4	19.7	54.2
Teaching experience at the junior college level	13.2	14.8	16.2	44.2
Teaching experience at the elementary or secondary level	9.9	7.3	7.8	21.7
Outstanding undergraduate/ graduate academic record	5.8	5.5	8.7	20.0
Demonstrated scholarly work	3,1	7.5	7.0	17.6
Teaching experience at a four-year institution	1.5	3.5	4.1	9.1
Other	11.8	5.5	7.3	24.6
No Response	8.3	10.9	14.3	33.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	300.0

-385-

*Total percentage rating a qualification as first, second or third most important.

# APPENDIX G

FORM LETTERS SENT TO SURVEY SUBJECTS



Letter to Students from College President

Dear Student:

Smith Community College has been included in a national study of junior colleges being conducted by the University of California at Los Angeles for the U. S. Office of Education. The major objective of the study is to amine the characteristics of junior college students, faculty, counselors and administrators in order to provide the gover ment with vital information about junior colleges. It will also supply information which could be used for the improvement of our own educational programs as well as those of other institutions.

Your name was selected at random to participate in the study, and your participation is important as a representative of Smith Community College. Your responses will be completely confidential, and individuals in the study will be anonymous.

Because only a small number of people from this school were selected to participate, I strongly urge your assistance, and thank you for your cooperation.

(Signed)
William Jones
President

WJ:ic

Letter to Students from Project Director

January 31, 1972

### Dear Student:

A few weeks ago, we sent you a questionnaire and requested your cooperation with our study of junior college students. Since we have not yet received the post card indicating that you returned the questionnaire, we are wondering if the letter was lost in the mail. In any event, we are enclosing a duplicate questionnaire, and again ask that you complete it now and mail it to us at your earliest convenience.

Many of your classmates have already returned their questionnaires, and it is extremely important that we rear from you too. Your responses will provide valuable information to all junior colleges in making decisions that affect students' educational experiences.

Once again, we ask you to fill out, sign and mail without delay the questionnaire and the post card. In doing so, you will be doing us a great service.

Again, our thanks for your cooperation, and remember, we are counting on you.

Sincerely,

(Signed)
James W. Trent
Principal Investigator

JWT:1sk



## Letter to Faculty from College President

Dear Faculty Member:

Smith Community College has been included in a national study of junior colleges being conducted by the University of California at Los Angeles for the U. S. Office of Education. The major objective of the study is to examine the characteristics of junior college students, faculty, counselors and administrators in order to provice the government with vital information about junior colleges. It will also supply information which could be used for the improvement of our own educational programs as well as those of other institutions.

Your name was selected at random to participate in the study, and your participation is important as a representative of Smith Community College. Your responses will be completely confidential, and individuals in the study will be anonymous.

Because only a small number of people from this school were selected to participate, I strongly urge your assistance, and thank you for your cooperation.

(Signed)
William Jones
President

WJ:ic



Letter to Faculty from Project Director

February 7, 1972

### Dear Colleague:

A few weeks ago, we sent you a questionnaire and requested your cooperation with our study of junior colleges. We have not yet received the post card indicating that you returned the questionnaire.

We would like to remind you that your responses will provide valuable information to all junior colleges. Many of your colleagues have already returned their questionnaires, and it is extremely important that we hear from you too.

Once again, we ask you to fill out, sign and mail without delay the questionnaire and the post card. If you have already done so, please accept our appreciation and disregard this letter. If you have misplaced the questionnaire, please notify us and we will forward a duplicate immediately.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

(Signed)
James W. Trent
Principal Investigator

JWT:1sk



Letter to Counselors from College President

Dear Counselor:

Smith Community College has been included in a national study of junior colleges being conducted by the University of California at Los Angeles for the U. S. Office of Education. The major objective of the study is to examine the characteristics of junior college students, faculty, counselors and administrators in order to provide the government with vital information about junior colleges. It will also supply information which could be used for the improvement of our educational programs as well as those of other institutions.

Because pupil personnel programs are of particular interest in the junior college institutions, I am requesting each of you to devote the short amount of time necessary to complete and return the questionnaire. Your responses will be completely confidential, and individual identity will be anonymous.

I urge your assistance in this study, and thank you for your cooperation.  $\prescript{\sc I}$ 

(Signed)
William Jones
President

WJ:ic



Letter to College Staff Members Supervising Follow-Up of Student Non-Respondents

February 18, 1972

### Dear

The student response rate to our survey has been encouraging, with over 50% returns from most schools in our sample. Our final attempt to increase the returns will depend, to a large extent, upon you and your student assistants, and we hope that the assignment will both be interesting to you and productive for us.

Since schools and communities vary, we are leaving most of the decisions on how to approach this task up to you. However, some suggestions might help. For example, unless students are easily located in class, we suggest contact by telephone.

On February 28th, we will send you the list of your college's student sample. The names with no dates recorded in the right hand columns are the non-res, adents who are to be contacted by your assistants. These assistants should record in the column farthest to the right, the results c. each contact, using the following abbreviations:

n.c. = no contact
n.l.e. = no longer enrol. (or never really enrolled)
O.K. = agreed to fill out and return questionnaire
unwilling = expressed his unwillingness to cooperate (and, briefly, why) See enclosed example.

Your assistants should convey the following general information in their conversations with students:

The Junior College Study at U.C.L.A. is nearing the deadline for questionnaire returns and are trying very hard to include responses from every student in the sample. Our records show that you have not returned the questionnaire, and we are requesting that you make a special effort to do so, as we are counting on you to help complete the information about students at this school. The knowledge gained from these questionnaires will provide valuable information about students in junior colleges. Completing the questionnaire should not require more than 30 to 40 minutes of your time. Would you please fill it out and return it and the postcard to U.C.L.A. within the next day or two? We would be very appreciative.



We are providing you with extra questionnaires (under separate cover) for students who have lost theirs. However, some procedures must be carefully observed. You will notice that the questionnaires are be green or orange, corresponding to Forms A (green), B (blue), or c (orange). The letter A, B, or C is written next to the name of each student on the list, indicating which form he is to fill out. In other words, each student is assigned a particular questionnaire form as indicated by the letter recorded next to his name, and it is only this form which he is to be given.

Regarding reimbursement, we are budgeted for one supervisor at each school, at \$14.00 per hour (maximum, 10 hours). We suggest that you employ two students for a total of no more than 30 hours each at \$1.65 per hour. As we can allow only two weeks for this task, (from March 1 through March 15), each student would work about 15 hours per week if you employ two students. Each can probably make about five calls per hour, (including finding the telephone number), accounting for more calls than any of our sample schools require. If a student is calling from his own phone and incurs non-local charges, he should keep a record of these expenses and include that amount on his invoice.

The above figures are all speculative, depending upon how many non-respondents there are for your school, how many students you employ and how you schedule their time; but this should give you an idea of how to proceed.

To encourage your assistants to do their utmost in obtaining the cooperation of non-respondents, we are offering a benus to those who succeed in persuading 50% or more of the non-respondents on their list to return the questionnaires. "Success" will be determined by the number of postcards we receive. Therefore, the assistant's name should be on each page for which he is responsible, so that we can credit him with the postcards returned from his portion of the sample. Each assistant who achieves this 50% return quota will be paid \$2.00 an hour for his time rather than the \$1.65 rate.

Please note that there are five invoice forms enclosed, one for you and one for each of the students you employ. Please sign your own and that of each student, and return them to us with the student list by the 15th of March.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me collect at 213-825-7831.

Respectfully yours,

Felice Karman Executive Officer, Study of Junior Colleges

FK:ah Encls. 6

