

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 075 358

BP 006 302

AUTHOR Keeler, Emmett; McCall, John  
TITLE Simultaneous Estimation of Teacher Mobility and Reading Scores.  
INSTITUTION Rand Corp., Santa Monica, Calif.  
PUB DATE Nov 72  
NOTE 6p.  
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29  
DESCRIPTORS Academic Achievement; \*Faculty Mobility; \*Reading Ability; \*Reading Achievement; \*Social Class; Teacher Employment; \*Teacher Experience

ABSTRACT

Teacher mobility in San Diego schools was analyzed, using a two-stage least-squares approach. It was hypothesized that the average reading ability of students at a specific school depends on the degree of teacher movement from the school. More experienced teachers are able to move to the more attractive schools which educate the high achievers. Two consequences of this phenomenon are that reading ability is strongly and negatively related to the social class of students and teacher movement is negatively related to reading ability. Therefore, the analysis suggests that teacher turnover is a serious problem. (Statistical equations are presented along with a table of statistical data.) (Author/BPB)

SCOPE OF INTEREST NOTICE

ED 075358

SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF TEACHER MOBILITY AND READING SCORES

Emmett Keeler  
John McCall

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
OFFICE OF EDUCATION  
REPRODUCTION HAS BEEN REPRODUCED  
PERSON OR ORGANIZATION OR  
NATIONAL POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS  
DO NOT NECESSARILY  
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

November 1972

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED

BY *[Signature]*

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING  
UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE U.S. OFFICE OF  
EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE  
THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF  
THE COPYRIGHT OWNER.

*SP 106 302*

**Any views expressed in this paper are those of the authors. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of The Rand Corporation or the official opinion or policy of any of its governmental or private research sponsors. Papers are reproduced by The Rand Corporation as a courtesy to members of its staff.**

## SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF TEACHER MOBILITY AND READING SCORES

In an analysis of teacher mobility in San Diego Schools,<sup>\*</sup> it is suggested that the reason for the relation between student achievement and teacher experience is the ability of experienced teachers to move to the more attractive schools (where the high achievers go). That report promised further investigation of the relation between reading scores and teacher mobility. This note uses two-stage least squares (2SLS) to better estimate the interactions.

Fundamental to the validity of ordinary least squares is the assumption that the independent variables are uncorrelated with the stochastic disturbance. This assumption is violated whenever the single equation being estimated by ordinary least squares belongs to a system of simultaneous equations, i.e., a system of stochastic relations which each observation is required to satisfy. The applications of ordinary least squares to one equation in a system of simultaneous relations produces inconsistent estimates of the equations parameters. Roughly speaking, an estimator is consistent if its probability distribution becomes more concentrated about the parameter of interest as the sample size increases, where in the limit (infinite sample size) all of the distribution's mass is concentrated on the single parameter. Consistent estimators of the parameters of a simultaneous equation system can be obtained if ordinary least squares (OLS) is replaced by a simultaneous equation estimation procedure.

---

<sup>\*</sup>An Analysis of Teacher Mobility in San Diego Schools, D. Greenberg, J. McCall.

The simultaneous estimation problem may be important in the analysis of teacher mobility. Our main interest generally in schools is how well they do the job of teaching -- thus, a major reason for interest in teacher mobility is its effect on the education of students. A persuasive argument can be made that the average reading ability of students at a specific school depends on the degree of teacher movement from the school.

While movements during the school year should be especially upsetting to students, any school which exports a large number of teachers will generally get young and inexperienced teachers as replacements. The connection between turnover and reading ability will be stronger if there are school characteristics, such as a poor plant, or location near an airport, that are detrimental both to teacher satisfaction and student learning.

On the other hand, the movement of teachers among schools depends on reading ability and factors related to it such as the race and socioeconomic status of the students at the school. In general, the schools where students possess high reading ability are more attractive to most teachers. Thus, reading ability and teacher movement are jointly determined. If we let  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  denote average reading ability at a school and the mover variable ( $Y_2 = 1$  if a teacher moves,  $Y_2 = 0$  if the teacher doesn't move), respectively, we might try the following system of simultaneous equations:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Y_2 + \epsilon_1 \\ Y_2 &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_1 + \epsilon_2 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The application of OLS to each of these equations gives inconsistent estimates of the  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's. Because the equations are essentially identical, we cannot use 2SLS.\* To remedy this, we introduce the three exogenous variables:  $X_1$  -- percent of AFDC students,  $X_2$  -- teacher experience,  $X_3$  -- teacher experience squared, and apply 2SLS to estimate the  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's in the following system:\*\*

$$Y_1 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Y_2 + \alpha_2 X_1 + \epsilon_1 \quad (2)$$

$$Y_2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \epsilon_3.$$

Table 1 reports the 2SLS estimates of the  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's and also the OLS estimates obtained when each equation in (2) is estimated separately by OLS. This was done for elementary schools, junior high schools and senior high schools. The OLS estimates of  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta_1$  are negative and highly significant at all three levels of schooling. Reading ability is strongly and negatively related to the social class of students and teacher movement is negatively related to reading ability. A new structure emerges from the 2SLS analysis. The estimate of  $\alpha_1$  becomes large, negative and significant. The estimate of  $\beta_1$  increases and becomes more significant. The estimates of  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  remain insignificant, which suggests that the reason more experienced teachers don't move as much is not their inertia, but that they are already at the schools they

---

\* This is the "identification" problem. For a discussion of two-stage least squares estimation see Dhrymes, Econometrics, Harper & Row, 1970.

\*\* The percent AFDC is a measure of the students from poor backgrounds (i.e., of the student input into the school process). The measures of teacher experience are intended to measure ability of the teacher to transfer.

like. To put the size of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  into focus, note that the worst sixth of San Diego elementary schools (in terms of subjective ranking), have percent of AFDC 30 percent higher than the best, and turnover rates approximately 5 percent higher. Thus, the reading scores of those groups of schools will differ by  $(.05 \times 83.6) \approx 4$  points because of teacher turnover, and 30 points because of student backgrounds. The reading parameter  $\beta_1$  accounts for all of the 5 percent difference in turnover. The analysis suggests that teacher turnover is a serious problem, but that the major reason for the relation between experience and achievement is the ability of experienced teachers to get to the schools of high achievers.

Table 1

OLS + 2SLS ESTIMATES OF TEACHER MOBILITY AND READING SCORES

	Estimate	Parameter						
		$\alpha_0$	$\alpha_1$	$\alpha_2$	$\beta_0$	$\beta_1$	$\beta_2$	$\beta_3$
Elementary Schools	OLS	49.8	-.26 (.3)*	-1.13 (65)	.13	-.0008 (2.5)	-.0014 (.7)	-.00003 (.4)
	2SLS	55.7 (20)	-83.6 (2.2)	-1.01 (15.2)	.14 (7)	-.0012 (3)	+0.00006 (.02)	-.00008 (.8)
Junior High Schools	OLS	65.8	-.29 (.4)	-1.68 (80)	.17	-.0012 (3.0)	-.006 (2)	+0.00008 (.6)
	2SLS	66.8 (128)	-31 (2.7)	-1.59 (37)	.17 (7)	-.0014 (3.6)	-.0057 (1.8)	+0.00007 (.6)
Senior High Schools	OLS	69.6	+.7 (.7)	-2.33 (70)	.1	-.0008 (2)	-.0012 (.4)	-.00003 (.3)
	2SLS	71.7 (48)	-75 (1.6)	-2.11 (13)	.12 (4)	-.0012 (2.7)	-.0006 (.2)	-.00005 (.4)

\*The numbers in parentheses are the t-statistics.