DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 072 744

HE 003 793

4

AUTHOR

Drew, David E.; Creager, John A.

TITLE

The Vietnam-Era Veteran Enters College.

INSTITUTION

American Council on Education, Washington, D.C.

Office of Research.

REPORT NO

ACE-RR-Vol 7-No 4

PUB DATE

Dec 72

NOTE

58p.

AVAILABLE FROM

Publications Division, American Council on Education,

One Dupont Circle, Washington, D.C. 20036 (\$3.00)

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

*Adult Education; *Disadvantaged Youth; *Higher Education; *Student Characteristics; Veterans;

*Veterans Education

ABSTRACT

This document presents a comparison of 1971 college freshmen who have been divided into the subgroups of veteran and nonveteran to determine differences in personal background and characteristics. The Student Information Form (SIF) was used to elicit information from the 171,509 respondents. Some of the findings of the study include: (1) Veterans were from more disadvantaged backgrounds as measured by parents' educational backgrounds and incomes and fathers' occupations. (2) Veterans were more likely to be nonwhite. (3) As to religious background, the veterans were more likely to be Protestant and less likely to be Jewish or "other" than nonveterans. (4) Compared to 1.2% of the nonveterans, 38% of the veterans were married. (5) Veterans generally had poorer academic records in high school than did nonveterans, and the educational aspirations of the veterans were lower. (6) In giving reasons for deciding to attend college, veterans were more likely to give such reasons as gaining a general education, becoming more cultured, improving reading and study skills, and learning more about things that are of interest. They were less likely to say that they had come to college because they wanted to meet new and interesting people or because their parents wanted them to go. (HS)

The Vietnam-Era Veteran Enters College

DAVID E. DREW John A. Creager

OFFICE OF RESEARCH · AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION



VOL. 7 NO. 4 • 1972

US OF PARTMENT OF HEALTH.

OFFICE OF EDUCATION
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS RECEIVED FROMDUCED EXACTLY OR GRANIZATION ORIG
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION OPIN
THATING I: POINTS OF VIEW OR OPIN
TONS STATEO DO NOT NECESSARILY
TREPRESENT OFFICIAL DEFICE OF EDU
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE
CATION POSITION OR POLICY
CATION POSITION OR POLICY

ERIC

HE 003 793

AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

Roger W. Heyns, President

The American Council on Education, founded in 1918, is a council of educational organizations and institutions. Its purpose is to advance education and educational methods through comprehensive voluntary and cooperative action on the part of American educational associations, organizations, and institutions.

The Council's Office of Research was established in 1965 to assume responsibility for conducting research on questions of general concern to higher education. ACE Research Reports are designed to expedite communication of the Office's research findings to a limited number of educational researchers and other interested persons.

OFFICE OF RESEARCH STAFF

Don A. Abshire, Programmer

Richard K. Anderson, Programmer

Alexander W. Astin, Director

Alan E. Bayer, Associate Director

Judy M. Cangialosi, Secretary

John A. Creager, Research Associate

David E. Drew, Associate Director for Information Systems

Jeffrey E. Dutton, Project Director, Higher Education Panel

Charles S. Fletcher, Programmer

Margo E. Green, Secretary

Mary C. Henderson, Secretary

Engin I. Holmstrom, Research Associate

Laura P. Kent, Editor

Margo R. King, Staff Assistant

Linda D. Molm, Research Analyst

Jeannie T. Royer, Research Assistant

Charles L. Sell, Chief, Data Processing

Joan C. Trexler, Research Assistant

Carol F. Van Alstyne, Economist

Richard M. Webb, Programmer

ACE RESEARCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Jessie S. Bernard

Research Scholar Honoris Causa Pennsylvania State University

John G. Darley

Chairman, Department of Psychology

University of Minnesota

James A. Davis

Director

National Opinion Research Center

Edgar G. Epps

Professor of Urban Education
The University of Chicago

Nathaniel L. Gage Committee Chairman

Professor of Education and Psychology

Stanford University

Richard C. Gilman

President

Occidental College

Edmond P. Gordon

Professor anu Chairman, Department of Guidance

Teachers College Columbia University

W. Lee Hansen

Chairman, Department of Economics

The University of Wisconsin

Alice S. Rossi

Professor, Department of Sociology

Goucher College

William H. Sewell

Professor, Department of Sociology

University of Wisconsin

Michael Useem

Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology

Harvard University

Dael Wolfle

Graduate School of Public Affairs

University of Washington

Additional copies of this Research Report (Vol. 7, No. 4, 1972) may be obtained from the Publications Division, American Council on Education, One Dupont Circle, Washington, D.C. 20036. Please remit \$3.00 per copy with your order.

THE VIETNAM-ERA VETERAN ENTERS COLLEGE

David E. Drew and John A. Creager

American Council on Education
Office of Research

ACE RESEARCH REPORTS

٠,,

Vol. 7, No. 4

December, 1972

ERIC

Acknowledgements

Support for this study was provided in part by two grants (GR-100 and GI-34394) from the National Science Foundation. The latter was awarded to the Office of Research of the American Council on Education under NSF's program of Research Applied to National Needs (RANN). Work supported by this grant is designed "to generate information for use in planning and decision making on critical policy-oriented questions of alternative structures in higher education."

The production of a report like this depends on the efforts of a number of people. Richard Anderson carried out the work on the computer required to generate the national normative tables. Some early secretarial work, primarily the typing of first drafts of some of the tables, was done by Melvena Kelley. Margo Green was responsible for all subsequent drafts of texts and tables, including the final report. Jeannie Royer gave much useful advice on format during these latter stages. Laura Kent provided editorial aid in the preparation of the final manuscript.

Table of Contents

	•	<u>Page</u>
	Acknowledgements	
I	Introduction	1
II	The Cooperative Institutional Research Program	4
111	The Student Information Form	5
IV	Sampling and Weighting	6
v	Distribution of Veterans by Institutional Type in 1971	8
VI	The National Normative Profile of Veteran Students	9
VII	Interpretation of Results	11 -
	A. Differences Between Veteran and Nonveteran Students	11
	B. Differences Between Veterans Who Did or Did Not Serve in Southeast Asia	16
VIII	Some Unanswered Questions	18
IX	Tables	
:	A. Table 1. 1971 ACE Sample and Weights Used in Computing National Norms	20
	B. Table 2. Number of Sample Participants and Weighted Population Estimates By Veteran Status and Type of Institution: 1971 Freshmen Men	21
	C. Table 3. Percentages of 1971 Entering Freshme Men By Veteran Status and Institutional Type	
	D. Table 4. Approximate Standard Errors of Item Response Percentages When Population Parameter is 50 Percent	23
x	References	24



Table of Contents (Continued)

XI	1971 National Norms	Page 27
	A. Weighted Tabulations: Veterans versus Nonveterans	29
	B. Weighted Tabulations: Southeast Asia Veterans Versus Non-Southeast Asia Veterans	37
XII	Appendix A: 1971 Student Information Form	45
XIII	Appendix B: Coding Scheme for Collapsed Items	51

The Vietnam-Era Veteran Enters College David E. Drew and John A. Creager

entering our colleges and universities. No longer can the uppermiddle class white male, who moves smoothly from a college preparatory program in high school directly into college, be regarded
as the "typical" student. Among the various groups of nontraditional
students that make for greater heterogeneity within the college
population are those from racial or ethnic minorities, those from
lower socioeconomic backgrounds, those whose academic ability or
high school preparation is relatively poor (as judged by conventional criteria) and those who are older than the average undergraduate.
One subgroup of this last category consists of those whose college
education was delayed or interrupted by military service during the
Vietnam era, whether they actually served in Southeast Asia or not.
It is generally recognized that such students may benefit from
college at least as much as the "typical" student.

In response to the challenges presented by these new kinds of students, a number of what are thought to be exciting innovations--e.g., open admissions, examination for credit, external degrees--have been introduced, many of them directed at older students, such as veterans, whose special needs stem in large part from their lack of an earlier opportunity to attend college.

Certainly higher education needs creative approaches for dealing with such problems. All too often, however, the academic community enthusiastically endorses new ideas without carefully considering their implications. This enthusiasm is frequently

accompanied by a failure to recognize that the idea may not really be all that new. Thus, educational theorists have a tendency to reinvent the wheel with great fanfare. So it is with some of the "iunovations" relating to nontraditional students. For instance, many advocates of the open university tend to ignore the impressive work which the university extension systems have been doing for decades. Similarly, the far-reaching effects of a major social experiment—the World War II GI Bill—are often overlooked.

Following World War II, large numbers of ex-servicemen--by definition older than the average undergraduate--pursued a college education at a cost to the Federal government of millions of dollars. But despite this vast sum, and the massive number of students involved, little was done in the way of solid empirical research on the performance and experience of these ex-servicemen. One striking exception is the well-known study by Frederickson and Shrader (1952), whose sample of colleges was, unfortunately, limited to 16.

Each of the two Asian wars since World War II was followed by a new G.I. Bill. Early assessments indicated that the proportion of Vietnam-era veterans who took advantage of this legislation was much smaller than the proportion of World War II veterans who made use of the original GI Bill. The standard explanation for this lower rate was that, in contrast to the typical middle-class serviceman of World War II, the Vietnam-era veteran was much more likely to be from a disadvantaged social background and thus less likely to be college-oriented. To commensate for this, a number of Federal, state, local, and civic groups were mobilized to inform the returning veteran about new educational benefits available

through the most recent legislation. Peri aps as a result of these programs (and the new, more generous law), it is now the case that "Vietnam-era veterans are making greater use of their educational benefits under the GI Bill than did the veterans of World War II and the Korean Conflict" (ACE, HENA, 1972, p. 4). This article noted a study by the Veterans Administration which found that Vietnem-era veterans are going to college at the rate of 21.9 percent compared with 20.1 percent for the Korean Conflict veterans and 13.8 percent for those who served in World War II.

Unfortunately, as before, there has been virtually no large-scale empirical research about the Vietnam-era veteran in college. The need for such data has been recognized for some time. Frank Newman, Director of a key HEW task force on higher education, has commented in Senate hearings:

There is no study that we have been able to find that supports [the] fact [that] returning GI students are better students. Every person I have ever talked to believes it. One of the interesting things is we have never studied it...(U.S. Senate, 1971, p. 2464)

A review, "Veterans in College" by Brent Breedin of the ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education notes that "there appears to be little research on the Vietnam veteran's performance in the class-room."

Any useful research on veterans as college students should start by contrasting the characteristics of such students with those of other students as they enter college. This report has that goal and draws upon the data base developed for longitudinal research purposes by the Cooperative Institutional Research Program

of the American Council on Education. Thus, statistics presented in this report are derived from a large national sample of both veterans and nonveterans.

The Cooperative Institutional Research Program

Each fall since 1966, when the Cooperative Institutional Research Program was launched, approximately a quarter of a million first-time, full-time freshmen have completed questionnaires designed to elicit a wide range of biographical and demographic data, as well as information on high school activities and behaviors, educational aspirations, career plans, financial arrangements, and current attitudes. These data provide input to the Council's longitudinal research base, further developed through followup questionnaires sent periodically to subsamples of each entering cohort.

This framework makes possible both descriptive profiles and longitudinal studies of undergraduate development. Through the use of weighting procedures (Creager, 1968), the results of both types of studies may be generalized to estimates of national parameters of higher education.

National normative reports have been produced on entering freshmen (e.g., ACE, Staff of the Office of Research, 1971) and at subsequent intervals in the college experience (Bayer, Drew, Astin, Boruch, and Creager, 1970) as well as with respect to specific subgroups of students (e.g., Drew, 1970; Bayer, 1972). Analytical studies have been conducted on such topics as theories of undergraduate aspirations (Drew and Astin, 1972). An accessing system makes these data available to social and educa-

tional researchers (Bayer, Astin, Boruch, and Creager, 1969); concurrently, steps have been taken to assure the confidentiality of the information provided by questionnaire respondents (Astin and Boruch, 1970).

A more extensive and detailed description of the various projects growing out of the ACE Cooperative Institutional Research Program can be found in <u>The ACE Office of Research</u>: <u>Its Purposes and Activities</u> (1972).

The Student Information Form

The Student Information Form (SIF), a four-page document containing a series of objective items, is typically administered to freshmen after they have matriculated but before they have experienced college: i.e., during the orientation period. Many items on the SIF remain unchanged from year to year so that trends among entering freshmen over time can be traced and analyzed; in addition, items are added to and dropped from the questionnaire each year. One such item, introduced in the fall of 1970, asked the entering freshman to indicate whether he had served in the armed forces. In 1971, this "veteran-status" item was modified slightly as follows:

Are you a Veteran? (Mark one)

- 0 No
- O Yes, I served in South_ast'Asia
- O YCS, but I did not serve in Southeast Asia.

A copy of the complete form used in 1971 is attached as Appendix

A. The questionnaire was constructed so that the responses could be recognized by optical scanning equipment and converted into a data tape for subsequent computer analysis. Because of their inclusion

on questionnaires from prior years, most of the items have been extensively pretested.

Sampling and Wein ...

This report is based on responses to the SIF of the most recent cohort of entering freshmen on whom data are available: those enrolled as first-time, full-time freshmen in the fall of 1971. Although details of that survey have been reported in the published national norms for 1971 entering freshmen (ACE, Staff of the Office of Research, 1971), a few highlights are relevant to the present study. The population base consisted of 2,543 institutions listed in the Education Directory (USOE, 1970), which have freshman classes of at least 30 and which do not require undergraduate credits for admission. The student population consisted of the first-time, full-time students entering those institutions. Of 487 institutions rarticipating in the 1971 survey, 326 provided data that met the quality-control requirements for inclusion in the national norms. The stratification and participation of these institutions are summarized in Table 1.

The present study compares the percentages of students in each of the subgroups of the normative sample (as defined by their responses to the veteran status item described earlier) giving responses to various categories of each item. Although some veterans are women, their numbers are too small to provide stable and meaningful normative data. It was decided, therefore, to limit this study to the responses of male students in the normative sample.

Ideally, the weighting factors for the veterans and the nonveterans subsamples should be recomputed, but such a procedure was not porcible because the relevant population parameters—such as the umbers of veterans enrolled in all institutions in each stratification cell and the proportion of veterans in each sample institution completing the Student Information Form—are unknown. It is therefore necessary to use the general survey weighting factors and pecify the possible biases thus entailed. These considerations apply not only to specialized norms for the veterans subsample of the general freshman survey but also to norms for any specialized subsample, (e.g., black students, Jewish students).

The weighting of freshman data consists of the product of two factors, a weight among colleges and a weight within colleges. The among-college weight is the ratio of the first-time, full-time freshman enrollments cumulated for the population colleges in the relevant stratification cell to those enrollments for the sample colleges. The purpose of this weight is to render item counts (and derived statistics) in the sample reasonably representative of the population of freshmen entering the population of colleges and universities. The use of this weight for the veterans subsample assumes that variations among the sample institutions in the proportion of veterans average out to be the same as the proportion of veterans in the population institutions within each cell of the stratification design. Not only does this assumption appear to be plausible, but also the averaging process is carried further when weighted counts are pooled across stratification cells to form aggregate counts in the reported normative groups. The cell weights are computed separately for each sex in the total survey sample and need not be recomputed for exclusion of female students and all-female institutions in the present study. These weights for male students are shown in Table 1.

The second, or within-college, weight is the ratio of the enrollment of freshmen entering a particular sample institution to the number of freshmen in that institution who completed the SIF. As indicated above, quality-control procedures are used to judge whether data from a given institution are admissible into the normative sample. The use of this weight on the reterans subsample assumes that veterans within a sample institution complete the Student Information Form in the same proportion as nonveterans. The quality controls also indirectly constrain the degree to which this assumption is likely to be seriously violated. Nevertheless, it is possible for the two ratios to be somewhat different. This second type of weight is also computed separately for each sex and does not have to be recomputed for the exclusion of female students. The actual weight applied to each student's responses is the product of these two weights.

Distribution of Veterans by Institutional Type in 1971

The total number of participants in the 1971 entering freshman sample was 171,509. The sampling and weighting procedures made possible estimates of the national distribution of responses for a weighted total population of 1,634,154 entering freshmen, of whom 54 percent were men. Table 2 presents information on the number of participants and the weighted population estimates for both the veterans group and the comparison g oup of nonveterans. These data are presented separately for all institutions, and for two-year colleges, four-year colleges, and universities.

Table 3 (abstracted from the 1971 national norms) shows the percentages of each of three normative groups--nonveterans, veterans

who served in Southeast Asia, and veterans who did not serve in Southeast Asia--entering the various institutional types.

Of those men entering college, about 5 percent were veterans;

2.7 percent had served in Southeast Asia, and 2.3 percent had not.

The fluctuations within types of institutions are interesting. For example, 8.1 percent of the men entering two-year colleges were veterans, as opposed to 1.8 percent of the men entering universities.

Because of very low base rates, normative tables were not prepared for the finer categories of institutions.

As Breedin (1972) notes, the strong representation of veterans in public institutions is a phenomenon which began with the Korean War GI Bill. The World War II Bill, by allowing substantial tuition payments to the institution, had made it easier for veterans to attend private institutions (many of which, of course, have large tuitions). But because the government lost substantial revenues to entrepreneurial educational establishments, the bill that followed the Korean War made changes in the funding provisions. From that point on, a flat amount per month has been allocated to the veteran, with no additional payment to the school. In consequence, ex-servicemen have tended to enroll in the less expensive public institutions. Recently, several institutions, e.g., in Illinois and Massachusetts, have even taken steps to waive tuition completely for veterans.

The National Normative Profile of Veteran Students

The major content of this report is the set of normative tables following this text. There are two groups of tables. In the first group, pp. 29 to pp. 36, the responses of veteran men are compared

with those of honveteran men. All figures are national population estimates of entering freshmen. In the second group of tables, pp. 37 to pp. 44, the responses of the two subgroups of veterans--those who served in Southeast Asia and those who did not--are compared. All statistics are given for three types of institutions--two-year colleges, four-year colleges, and universities--as well as for all institutions. 1

Given the various logistical constraints on purely random participation in the original total survey and possible biases in weighting discussed above, the computation of sampling errors, or confidence limits, on the reported categorical response percentages is both difficult and tenuous. Some idea of the accuracy in the weighted estimates can be given in terms of the standard errors for each normative group, as these are computed for simple random sampling from an infinite population of students. These values, where the population parameter is assumed to be 50 percent, are shown in Table 4.

For items where the response percentages deviate appreciably from 50 percent in either direction, the sampling errors are somewhat reduced. On most items of interest, e.g., the student's race, the response percentages do differ significantly from 50 percent. Thus, for example, the standard error for nonveterans in all institutions (based on the 50 percent population parameter) as shown in Table 4 is .17. However, for the first race category, "white/Caucasian," the response percentage is 89 for which the standard error is reduced

to .10.

See Appendix B for items in which the original categories have been collapsed for reporting purposes.

The values in Table 4 are also reduced, but only slightly, when allowance is made for finite sampling and stratification, the latter being used to ensure some sampling in all sectors of higher education and to provide a basis in the weighting procedures for disproportionate sampling of institutions.

Since the normative groups in this report are statistically independent, comparing percentages between groups involves the standard error of the difference of the two percentages: $\sqrt{SE_{\chi 1}^2 + SE_{\chi 2}^2}$. Thus, differences between veterans and nonveterans in the "all institutions" comparison which exceed 2 percent can be considered significant. In comparisons based on the finer breakouts larger differences are required to achieve significance. In the most extreme case-Southeast Asia veterans versus non-Southeast Asia veterans in universities—the required difference could be as large as 10 percent.

Some allowance should also be made for the unknown amounts of nonrandom sampling fluctuations for which, as noted earlier, the weighting procedures could not compensate. Thus, reported percentages which are just barely "significant" should still be interpreted with caution.

Interpretation of Results

In this section, we shall highlight some of the differences that emerge from examination of the national normative tables.

Differences Between Veteran and Nonveteran Students

Naturally, the veterans were older: whereas the modal age of nonveteran freshmen was 18, the modal age of the veterans was 22 to 25.

Traditional measures of the socioeconomic status of college students include parents' income and education and fathers' occupation.

By all these measures, the veterans were clearly from more disadvantaged backgrounds. Both their fathers' and mothers' education tended to be less than that of the parents of other students; similarly, their parents had lower incomes. Among the specific findings with respect to father's occupation, a significantly lower percentage of veterans had fathers who were businessmen and a significantly higher percentage had fathers who were skilled, semiskilled, or unskilled workers.

Veterans entering college were less likely to be white than were other students. The percentage of blacks among the veterans was higher than that among the nonveterans at all types of institutions, particularly the four-year colleges (where 13 percent of the veterans, corpared with 8.6 percent of the nonveterans were black) and in the universities (6.2 percent of the veterans, as compared with 2.7 percent of the nonveterans).

As to religious background, the veterans were more likely to be Protestant and less likely to be Jewish or "other" than were the nonveterans.

While only 1.2 percent of the nonveterans were married at the time of entry to college, 38 percent of the veterans were married. This difference is undoubtedly a function of the veterans' being older.

Veterans were significantly more likely than were other students to be going to an institution close to their homes. This tendency may simply indicate that they are more likely to regard their current residence as "home" than are typical freshmen, who are more likely to interpret the word as referring to their parents' residence.

Veterans generally had poorer academic records in high school than did nonveterans. Similarly, they were less likely to have recorded various secondary school achievements: in particular, being president of a student organization, winning a varsity letter in sports, being editor of the school paper, having original writing published, belonging to the scholastic honor society, and winning National Merit Scholarship recognition.

The educational aspirations of the veterans were lower. About 60 percent of them planned, as freshmen, to go no further than the baccalaureate; the comparable figure for nonveterans was 48 percent.

The veterans indicated less concern about financing their college education. Clearly, they expected to be aided greatly by GI Bill benefits resulting from their military service. Consequently, they were less likely to indicate loans, scholarships or grants, part-time or summer work or, of course, family aid as major sources of support.

Veterans were more likely to plan to major in business or in the category "other fields: technical" and less likely to plan a preprofessional major. These preferences were paralleled by their answers to the question on probable career.

In response to an item asking why they decided to go to college in the first place, veterans were more likely to give as reasons: gaining a general education, becoming more cultured, improving their reading and study skills, and learning more about things that interest them. They were less likely to say that they had come to college because they wanted to meet new and interesting people or because their parents wanted them to go.

In selecting their particular college, veterans based their decision more often on its proximity to their homes or its special educational programs and less often on its reputation.

The SIF included a number of items about attitudes toward political and social matters and about matters directly pertaining to college life. Veterans were less likely to believe that the death penalty should be abolished and that an individual person can do little to change our society.

On academic issues, veterans were more likely to support various forms of regulation of students by college officials, i.e., with respect to off-campus behavior, student publications, student protest, and banning campus speakers. Veterans were less likely to believe that faculty promotions should be based in part on student evaluation and that grades should be abolished. However, they were more likely to believe that everyone should be given an opportunity to go to college regardless of past performance or aptitude test scores and that open admissions should be adopted by all publicly-supported colleges.

Each student was asked to indicate his current political preference, the alternatives being "far left," "liberal," "middle-of-the-road," "conservative," and "far right." About 40 percent of each group considered themselves "middle-of-the-road." Of the remainder, more students in each group defined themselves as left of center than right of center by about a two-to-one margin. But veterans had a slightly greater tendency than did nonveterans to characterize themselves as conservative. Thus, 38.1 percent of the veterans defined themselves as far left or liberal, as compared with 40.4 percent of

the nonveterans. Similarly, 21.4 percent of the veterans defined themselves as conservative or far right, as compared with 15.9 percent of the nonveterans.

Each student was asked to indicate the importance to him of a number of life goals; alternatives ranged from "essential" to "not important." The goals given higher priority by veterans than by nonveterans were raising a family and never being obligated to people. Those goals given relatively low priority by veterans included having an active social life, having friends different from themselves, being very well-off financially, and succeeding in their own businesses.

Veterans consistently rated themselves lower than did nonveterans on a number of personal traits, including academic ability, athletic ability, mathematical ability, general popularity, popularity with the opposite sex, intellectual self-confidence, and writing ability. Exceptions to this pattern included defensiveness, drive to achieve, mechanical ability, and leadership ability, on which their self-ratings were higher than those of other students.

The SIF asked students to indicate their expectations about their future college experiences. Some of these items (as with other parts of the questionnair) were inappropriate for veterans. For example, one question asked for the student's "best guess" as to the likeli-hood of his getting married while in college; since a large proportion of veterans were already married when they entered college, no meaningful comparisons could emerge from their responses. On those items which did apply to veterans as well as nonveterans, differences emerged: More of the veterans planned to vote in the 1972 presidential

election, and fewer expected to change their major field or career choices, or to join social fraternities. They were more inclined than were nonveterans to anticipate poor academic performance (a prediction consistent with their high school history) and to feel they would need extra time to complete their degree requirements. More of them planned to work at an outside job while in college. In response to another related item, veterans were more likely to say that they would probably require special help in English and mathematics. Finally, more of the veterans expected to be satisfied with their college.

The freshmen were asked to indicate which activities they had engaged in during the "past year in school." Approximately half of these items, e.g., "failed to complete a homework assignment on time," were inappropriate for the veterans since only 2.6 percent had graduated from secondary school in 1971. Some veterans may, however, have been taking some part-time, postsecondary education in 1971; for them such an item would be meaningful. Of the remaining items, some are appropriate for the veterans as well as for the other students but would have a slightly different meaning, e.g., "studied in the library." That is, while veterans may have studied in the library, it is not the same situation as a high school student studying in the school library. Finally there are a number of items for which the meaning to veterans and to other students would be essentially the same, e.g., "took vitamins," "discussed politics," "discussed sports."

<u>Differences Between Veterans Who Did or Did</u> <u>Not Serve in Southeast Asia</u>

With a few, mostly minor, exceptions, the characteristics of veterans entering college did not appear to be related to whether the veteran had served in Southeast Asia or not. Whatever differences

exist are likely to be a function of specific criteria the Department of Defense used at various times in making personnel decisions. That length of prior service may be one such criterion is suggested by the difference in the age distribution of veterans entering college in 1971. Nearly three-fourths of those who had served in Southeast Asia were in the 22 to 25 year-old age group when entering college in 1971, whereas only half of those serving elsewhere were in this age group.

Veterans who had not served in Southeast Asia were likely to be somewhat more able academically than those who had, but manifested the full range of possible responses to items asking for high school grades, high school rank, self-ratings of academic ability, expected need for special tutoring or remedial work, and past activities such as reading poetry and discussing religion or politics. The non-Southeast Asia veterans were more likely to check academic high school achievements but less likely to check achievements in sports; they were also more likely to have decided on a career.

Veterans who served in Southeast Asia were considerably more likely (90 percent) than those who did not (74 percent) to depend on their military service benefits as a major source for financing college. Although the two groups cited essentially the same general reasons for going to college, non-Southeast Asia veterans more often indicated that they chose their particular college because of the educational programs it offered. The two groups differed little in their attitudes, opinions and goals. The Southeast Asia veterans were more likely to attend colleges close to home and tended to agree more strongly with statements concerning oppositunities to attend college. Generally, regardless of the item on which comparisons are made, the

differences between Southeast Asia and non-Southeast Asia veterans were small compared with those between veterans and nonveterans entering college.

Some Unanswered Questions

The veterans included in this study were those who entered college as full-time students for the first time in 1971. Since many veterans had some college experience before entering the service, and since many ex-servicemen attend school on a part-time basis, substantial numbers of veterans entering college were not included in this study. This fact limits comparisons of statistics from this study with those from other sources: e.g., the Veterans Administration. It is likely that most of those veterans who had some college experience prior to their military service were interrupted in their education by their country's demand upon them; it is also possible that some used military service as a socially acceptable reason for dropping out or as a ready, temporary solution to financial difficulties. In any case, those with some prior college education were likely to have had different military experiences than did those who never entered college previously; consequently, they may also have different patterns of future development.

Why, despite being somewhat older than the average student, do some veterans go to college on a part-time basis? Have they acquired families or other financial responsibilities that necessitate their working at outside jobs?

Despite the low base rate for female veterans entering collège, this is an especially interesting group both from the standpoint of military experience and motivations for going to college. A sufficient sample might be obtained by pooling several cohort samples and studying those characteristics which are commonly included in the freshman survey instruments.

The veteran-status item in our survey characterizes the veteran in terms of only one factor in his service experience: whether or not he served in Southeast Asia. Other factors in their service experience-branch of service; length of service, rank achieved when separated, career area, and training obtained while in the service-may well be important in differentiating veterans not only with respect to their characteristics on college entrance but also to their future development. To cite only one possible question of the many that could be explored: Do veterans pursue in college those careers in which they become interested while in military service?

The development patterns of veterans who go to college would appear to be a substantial area for empirical research in support of the national commitment to aid returning veterans. With reduced military involvement in Southeast Asia, and even disengagement a possibility, many men will be returning to this country at a time when the job market conditions may be unfavorable. Many will need advice on the best ways to use their Federal benefits. Such advice, if it is to be meaningful, must have a firm base in empirical research. In an age of accountability, veterans, nonveterans, government decision-makers and taxpayers need information on the benefits and impacts associated with the costs of the current GI Bill.

Table 1

1971 ACE Sample and Weights Used in Computing National Norms

	,	Numbe		titutions	0-12 11-2-1-	
Stratifica	tion Cell		Part	<u>icipants</u>	Cell Weight	
for Sampli	na .	Popu-		Used in		2
Public Uni		latio	n Total	Norms	Collected From	Men
rabile on	Selectivity:		•			
1.	Less than 550	7.0	20	_		*
Ž.	550-599	, 72	20	7	· · 8.9	+
3.	600 or more	31	10	5	7.0	•
Private Un		. 16	7	- 5	3.1	• •
	Selectivity.	<i>:</i>				
4.	Less than 550	′ 10	_	· _		
5.	550-599	, 18 14	. 8	6	2.5	•
6.	600 or more.	35	5	2	6.9	1
	lic College	35	. 18	7	7.2	•
	Selectivity:					
7.	Less than 450	97	3.0	- •	30 4	
8.	450-499	66	10	5	19.2	
9.	500 or more	73	13 . 16	. 11	8.8	•
10.	Unknown	- 87	12	· 11	6.2	•
	vate Nonsectarian	. 67	14	6	15.2	
	·Selectivity:	•		•	•	
11.	Less than 500	. 75	22	1.2		
12.	500-574	. 73	11	13	° 5.1	
13.	575-649	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24	. 8	3.3	
14.	650 or more	45	24 26	20	2.6	
15.	Unknown	156	~ 17	20	2.2	2
4-Year Cat		0 نہا	- 1/	9	11.2	
,	Selectivity:				•	•
16.	Less than 500	62	17	16	2 0	
17.	500-574	³ 72	20	16 14	3.9 3.5	
18.	575 or more	39	16	12	1.5	-
19.	Unknown	45	5 ,	4	19.1	
4-Year Oth	er Sectarian	43	J ,	. •	19.1	
•	Selectivity:	<u>.</u>				
20.	Less than 450	56	15	10	4.6	
21.	450-499	54	13	10	, 6.2	•
22.	500-574	73	26	22	3.5 ·	Ē
23.	575 or more	54	23	18	2.5	•
24.	Unknown	95	-6	- 5	19.9 ·	
2-Year Pub	lic		·		2010	
	Enrollment:				•	
25,26,27.	Less than 500	- 408	28	19	17.0	
28,29.	500 or more	378	· 36 ·	18	25.2	•
2-Year Pri		• • •				
	Enrollment: :		•	,		;
30,31.	Less than 250	163	21	16	10.3	•
32.	250-499	. 50	9 .	6	11.8	•
33.	500 or more	19.	3 ·	3	4.6	
Predominan		,	•	•		• *
34.	Public 4-year	36	. 16	9	4.6	
35.	Private 4-year	49	12	, ĝ	6.5	.,.
36.	.2-year	17	2	· 2	. 8.8	•

aRatio between the number of 1970 first-time, full-time men enrolled in all colleges and the number of first-time, full-time men at colleges in the ACE sample.



Number of Sample Participants and Weighted Population Estimates

By Veteran Status and Type of Institution:
1971 Freshmen Men

	4 4 5 7	Number of Number of	if Ho Se	a of m	Ilowe	Invelohted Samble Size	the Stz		Weighte	Weighted Population Estimates	ion Esti	nates	
Norms Group	SE Asia	SE'Asia Non-SE All Vets Asia Vets Vets	All Vets	Non Vets	SE Asia	SE Asia Non-SE Vets Asia Vets	A11 s Vets	Non Vets	SE Asia Vets	a Non-SE All Asia Vets Vets	All s Vets	Non	ł
All Institutions /	204	189	236	298	1,406	1,347	2,753	2,753 89,672	23 , 528	20,749	44,277	44,277 .845,045	-21-
TanaVaer (C) 1 ages	ž.	53 ً د	. 99	ີ	289	, , 848	1,235	1,235 14,665	16,752	13,595		30,347 345,564	
Four-Year Colleges	124	112	•	,508	474	579	4,053	1,053 46,279	4,831	5,384	10,215	296,717	•
Universities		77	* , &	32 .	245	, 220		465 28,728	1,945	1,770	3,715	3,715 .202,764	. 1

^aSince institutions having only female students were excluded from this study, the number of institutions in the sample is 298 instead of the 326 included in the National Norms for Entering Freshmen, 1971.

Table 3

Percentages of 1971 Entering Freshmen Men
By Veteran Status and Institutional Type

Norms Group	Nonveteran	Southeast Asia Veteran	Non-Southeast Asia Veteran
All Institutions	95.0	2.7	2.3
All Two-Year Colleges	91.9	4.5	3.6
All Four-Year Colleges	96.7	1.6	1.8
All Universities	98.2	.9	.9
Two-Year Colleges:	6		
Public Public	91.6	4.6	3.7
Private	94.9	2.7	2.4
Four-Year Colleges:			
Technical Institutions	96.7	, .3	3.0
Public	95.1	2.7	2.2
Private Nonsectarian	98.3	.8	.8
. Protestant	98.2	.9	.9
_ Catholic .	98.7	9	4
Jniversities:		•	
· Public	97.8	1.2	1.0
Private :	99.5	.2	.3
Colleges for Men:			
Nonsectarian »	99. 6	.3	2
Catholic	99.4	.3	.2 .2
Coeducational Colleges:			
Nonsectarian	98.1	.9	1.0
Catholic	98.4	1.1	.5
Predominantly Black Colleges	93.5	3.5	3.0
East	95.2	2.3	2.4
Midwest	93.7	3.3	3.0
South	96.3	2.1	1.6
Nest	95.4	2.9	1.7

•

Approximate Standard Errors of Item Response Percentages When Population Parameter is 50 Percent

Table 4

	, ·	Standard	d Error		
Noims Group	Southeast Asia Veterans	Non-Southeast Asia Veterans	All Veterans	Non sterans	_
All Institutions	1,33	1.36	. 95	.17	
Two-Year Colleges	1.91	2.14	1.42	.41	
Four-Year Colleges	2.30	2.08	1.54	.23	
Universities ,	3.19	3.37	2.32	.29	•

References

- American Council on Education, <u>Higher Education and National Affairs</u>.

 Vol. 21, No. 30, 1972.
- American Council on Education; Staff of the Office of Research.

 The American Freshman: National Norms for Fall 1971. ACE

 Research Reports, Vol. 6, No. 6. Washington: ACE, 1971.
- Astin, Alexander W., and Boruch, Robert F. A 'Link' System for Assuring

 Confidentiality of Research Data in Longitudinal Studies.

 ACE Research Reports, Vol. 5, No. 3. Washington: ACE, 1971.
- Bayer, Alan E. The Black College Freshman: Characteristics and Recent Trends. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 7, No. 3. Washington: ACE, 1972.
- Bayer, Alan E., Astin, Alexander W., Boruch, Robert F., and Creager,

 John A. <u>User's Manual</u>: <u>ACE Higher Education Data Bank</u>. ACE

 Research Reports, Vol. 4, No. 2. Washington: ACE, 1969.
- Bayer, Alan E., Drew, David E., Astin, Alexander W., Boruch, Robert

 F., and Creager, John A. <u>The First Year of College</u>: <u>A Follow-up</u>

 Normative Report. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 5, No. 1.

 Washington: ACE, 1970.
- Breedin, Brent. <u>Veterans in College</u>. Research Currents, Washington:
 ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education; George Washington
 University, March 1, 1972.
- Creager, John A. General Purpose Sampling in the Domain of Higher

 Education. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 3, No. 2. Washington:

 ACE, 1968.

References (Continued)

- Drew, David E. A <u>Profile of the Jewish Freshman</u>. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 5, No. 4. Washington; ACE, 1970.
- Drew, David E. and Astin, Alexander W. "Undergraduate Aspirations:

 A Test of Several Theories," The American Journal of Sociology,

 Vol. 77, No. 6. (May, 1972), pp. 1151-64.
- Fredericksen, Norman, and Shrader, W. B. Adjustment to College--A Study

 of 10,000 Veteran and Non-Veteran Students in Sixteen American

 Colleges. Princeton, New Jersey: Educational Testing Service, 1952.
- U.S. Office of Education. Education Directory: Higher Education 1967-70.

 U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington: 1970.
- U.S. Senate, Committee on Labor and Public Welfare. Hearings Before The

 Subcommittee on Education. Edcuation Amendments of 1971, Part 5.

 U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington: 1971.

1971 National Norms

Weighted National Norms By Veteran Status:
Freshmen Men

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1971

															-	-29)-																									
' UNIVERSITIES	NON-VETERANS	•	•	•		•	•		!		5.5	10.7	26.9	19.4	24.5	14.2		3.3	9.0	42.7	21.1	0			95.6		•	•	• •			2.5	•	6.7	10.0	17.1	0.6	17.3	•••		- ^	- 10 - 10
35	VETERANS		•	•	•	2.7	2.0	10.4	N . N .		18.9	20.8	34.1	11.1	10.3	4.0		C	18.7	^	13.6	•	•		92.3	•	P.	P) 1	`.	::		9.6	-0	14.7	16.0	19.2	13.6	•	•	•		20.
LLEGES	ı		•	•	•	e •	•		, e.		7.9	4.7	30.2	16.7	9.5	1.0		•	N	ິທ		G	•		•	8.6	•	r,	?'	? O .		4.5	6.3	•	~	•	۲۰٫	ب د د	.	, r		0 ° °
FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	VETERANS NON-VETERANS								19.01		19.7	3.3	9.0			4.7		11.8	24.4	•	13.1		1.6		84.7	10	•	₹.	•			9.6	13.5	5. 50	14.6		F		r .	? ·	•	. .
Sac	ERANS	ı	.	\.	.	Ę.'	?.	•			•	•	р.	5.6	٠.	••		- 0	6.7	•		0.3	S .	•		· •	1.0	, p	•	- •		•	~	20.	.	٠.	s.	œ.		•		۰.
TWO-YEAR COLLEGES	VETERANS NON-VETERANS								, ac		•	21	32.6 36	10	-				-	49.1	-	-			.1	.	a	p.	•				~	-		2	7	2	10 (N :	•	
	15	•					•	•) -		2	č	Š	=	_			13	2	4	u T				6	£	-	•	•			10	-	- -	ŗ	2	Ē	o i	*	•	•	• •
ALL	NON-VETERAKS		•	'n.	D. Y.	;	n 4		n.		_	_	31.9	_	_	_		6.7		. 47	17.1		2.9		•	•	•		•	 		4.4	6.1	.	12.8	10.5		14.9	(E *	, c	N 0 - P
,	VETER INS NON.V	-	۰.	.		4.2	•		18.2		21.5	24.0	32.3	11.2	0.0	3.0		12.5	22.1	47.2	11.0	9	1.0		89.1	e •	F. 1	P. (0°.	. 50		10,3	=	16.4	15.8	18.5	5.1	ۍ •	c. 1	`. '	. •	2.1
		BER 31, 1971	GER		0				*	CATION	HOOL OR LESS	SCHOOL	ADUATE	,	GPFE	TE DEGREF	CATION	MONL OR LESS		HIGH SCHOOL GRADHATE	96	GRFE	TF DEGREE	(I) CNICA	ABJAH	ALACK/NEGRO/AFRO-AMERICAN	NDIAN		TEXTORNORDEX CANACAMO		ESTIMATE! PARENTAL INCOME	\$4,000	85,099	\$7,099	80,000	512,409	\$14,999	810,09¢	824,999	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	BORT TORE
	MBN	AGE BY DECEMBE	16 ON YOUNGER		10 (6-1	2.5	22-27	26 ON OLDEN	FATHER'S EDUCATION	GRAPHAR SCHOOL OR	SUMF HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGF DE	POSTGRADUATE DEGREF	HOTHER'S EDUCATION	BRANNAR SCHOOL OR	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOO	SOMF CALLEGE	COLLEGF DEGREE	POSTGRADUATE DEGREE	RACIAL BACKGROUND	WHITE/CAUCA STAM	BLACK / NEGR	AMERICAN INDIAN	. ORIENTAL		OTHER	ESTINATED PA	LESS THAN \$4,000	\$4,000	1 000,48	•	•		•		1 000 014	•	# 100 000 10 # 100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1971

N .		-30-	
000 000 000 000 000 000	88 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	N	
8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	6 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
85 B C 4 B C 4 B B	. 40	22 22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
6 8 8 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	22.7.1.2.2.7.1.2.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	2 40- 4 000-10 0 - 40- 4 000-10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	48 be 11-9191 78 91 72999 50 70 449899
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	88 - 44 84 - 44 88 88 84	0	0
88 8 8 7 4 8 6 8 8 4 8	4.0 4.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6	0 av - vu o u - av - vu o vu - vu a a a u u vu o u	84 80 888 84 81 84888 088 21 88617
4 % & 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		0 2 11010 0 12 01047 0 0 40 00
8 8 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	40 0 07 60 0400	0 4VM 0 44000 VV440ENGNUNG4400VGD	84 86 666 1 80 6. 401608 6. 9. 003667
RELIGION REAMED PROTESTANT ROHAN CATHOLIC JEWISH OTHER	RELIGIOUS PREFERÊNCE PROTESTANT ROBANT JENISM OTHER NONE	THEN SOLUTION OF THE STATE STATE STATE STAN SECONDARY SOLUTION OF SOLUTION	VETERAN NO. NO. NO. NO. NES. DED NOT SERVE IN SE ASIA YES. DED NOT SERVE IN SE ASIA YES. DED NOT SERVE IN SE ASIA PRÉSLNILY MARRIED NOT MARRIED DIST-NCE FROM HOME TO COLLEGE S HILES OR LESS 6=10 MILES 11=50 MILES 101=50 MILES 101=50 MILES
	56.7 47.4 55.6 43.1 61.5 50.1 52.5 36.4 37.5 39.7 30.0 34.6 36.4 36.5 3.4 6.6 3.4 6.6 3.4 6.6 3.7 2.0 6.6 3.7 2.0 6.6 3.7 2.0 6.6 3.7 2.0 6.6 3.7 2.0 6.6 3.7 2.0 6.6 3.7 4.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.9 6.6 5.9 6.6 5.9 6.6 5.0 5.0 6.6	56.7 47.4 55.6 43.1 61.5 50.1 52.5 35.9 36.2 39.7 30.0 34.6 36.4 5.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.7 4.3 10.0 4.4 12.4 4.6 9.6 3.7 4.3 10.0 2.2 2.9 3.7 4.6 2.6 2.6 2.9 3.7 4.6 42.5 38.5 2.9 3.5 4.6 42.5 30.2 2.9 35.3 4.6 2.7 30.2 2.9 35.3 2.3 2.6 30.2 2.3 34.5 2.9 3.6 2.9 3.6 3.4 2.5 2.9 3.6 2.9 3.6 3.1 3.6 3.6 2.0 3.1 3.9 3.1 3.6 3.1 2.0 3.1 3.9 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 2.0 3.1	25.7 47.4 55.6 43.1 56.5 50.1 34.6 34.6 35.1 50.0 34.6 34.6 35.1 50.0 34.6 34.6 35.2 35.2 35.2 35.2 35.2 35.2 35.2 35.2

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1871

	Ĭ	ALL	TWO-YE		FOUR-YE	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	UNI	UNIVERSITIES	
	VETERANS HON-VI	NON-VETERANS	VETERANS	NON-VETERANS	VETERANS	NON-VETERANS	VETERANS	NON-VETERANS	
AVERAGE GRADE IN HIGH SCHOOL		ø			•				
A OP A.	.	4 ·	r.		٠.	e		•	
	2.7	. ·	,	o .		0 0	• • •	2.6	
* 1	7.8.1	20.00			17.8	24.4	-	24.0	
	15.4	18.7	15.4	20.5	15.6	10.0	15,1	16.5	
	26.0	19.0	24.6	26.4	24.3	16.1	25.4	10.8	
	7.48 8.8	0 0 .	7. V. •	1.0.U	20.2	4.0	2.0 2.0	•	
RAMK IN KIGH SCHOOL CLASS								-	
P GUARTER	0.7		4.8	16.4	17.9	43.4	'n.	59.8	
SECOND CUARTER	24.8	32.3	21.3	33.1	32.2	93.0	0,00	•	
THIRE GOANTER FOURTH GUARTER -	18.6	7.4 7.4	21.5	42.4	11.9	3.0	12.9	 	•
SECONDARY SCHOOL ACHIEVENES							,		
PRESIDENT STUDENT ORGANIZATION	9.7	18.9	8 1	12.7	14.5	22.6	6	23.5	-3
				٠.٥	**	0.0		9 .	1-
STATE/REGIONA SPEECH CONTROL	, ,			\.					•
CADA TATA TATABLE CADAS				9.44		200	30.2		
ATTOCK STATES			7.4		10	9.4	9.0	1	
EDITOR OF SCHOOL PAPER	. 1.5	7.0	· ·	9 •0	9.4	6.6		10.6	
CHIGINAL WRITING PUBLISHED	5.1	12.9	9.7	•	5.6	15.1	C • 9	16.6	
. NOT SUMMET PRODUCTS	e e	• •	•	7.	•	- °	- 6		
SCEDIAS IC MORDE BOCTETY		19.5	-		9	24.0	9.0	245	
NATIONAL MENIT REGNONITION	5.0	7.0	1.7	2.0	3.6	9.1	1.6	1.1	
YEAR FINISH SECONDARY SCHOOL									
GRADUATED IN 1971	2.6	93.0	N	69.3	m	94.9	1.7	9.90	
DID'NOT GRADUATE IN 1971	4.70	, 0.7	•	10.7	9°.	•	98.3	•	
HIGHEST DEGREE PLANNED							,		
NONE	7.0	/ 6.0	8.S	10.6	7.7		7.7	٦.٢	
ASSUCIATE (OR EQUIVALENT)	16.3	~ 1	22.8	18.0	6.	;	n. 6	•	
BACKELOK'S (F.A., B.S.)	6 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2	300	8.40	37.0		6	41.5	
TABULTER OF LA P. T. O. T.	22.4	500		19.0	c. 0	0°00	100	20.5	
N . V . G . G . G .		7.1				2.7			
	0	2.5	2.3		•	7.2	3,1	9	
BED (DIVINITY)	an,	1 0.	٠,	۳.	9.1	•	0	~	
O TEER	4.0	9.6		0.0	1.5	2.0	1.7	1.3	
CONCERN ABOUT FINANCING COLLEGE.	-1					;	- 1	;	
NO CONCERN	42.7	•	•	20.00	n 000	35.6	25.7	51°	
SOTE CONCERN	P 6	? 	47.7	9.0	0.25	20	n •	0.0	
	•	•	•	•	•		•) • •	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1971

このことには、これのは、これのでは、一般のでは、一般のでは、一般のでは、これのでは

		_										•			•	-3	12	-																`.										
UNIVERSITIES INS NON-VETERANS	`		9	8.56		-	21.7	4 7	7			e.	e.e	11.8		15.2	1.3	2.3	5.0	1.5		9.6	5.1	19.2	9.6	5.1	.	8.5		4.1	•	•			7	n.	13.5		4.2	•	•	•	15.6	12.0
UNIV		19.0	16.0	4.3	1.0	96.4			1.5				5.1	13.4	9 °	13.9	••	4.7	7.2	3.0	6.7	•	2,3	11.4	6	6.3	2.1	2.5		7.7	•	•		5,2	9	0.1	13.7	2.4	0.7	5.8	7:-	- P	22.5	12.3
AR COLLEGES NON-VETERANS		6.82	0	52.4	1.7	•	24.4	16.3	6.7		,	7.7	к:	16.5	0.	10.6	•	2.2	7.8	3.1	7.5		3.8	13,7	7.0	1.7	5.0	2.3		100 m	14.7	-	0.	7.1	9.6	5.1.	9.1	2.7	3,0	9.6	٠.	9°P	18.9	1.1
FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES VETERANS NON-VETERA		8.4.	16.5	0.6	3,2	76.8	7.6	. «	2.7			~. 	٥°	23,3	7.8	C. W		2.4	9.4	9,6	4	7.	2.3	7.7		9.9	۴.4	1.1		4.0	•	E 17	•		•	5 °0	R.	4.6	4.2	7	7.1	-	25.6	•
R COLLEGES NON-VETERANS	,	41.4	14.2	43.0	2.2	~	:3,1	12,2	5.8			?		23.1	D.	7.7	\	2,7	3,0	7.1	7.6		7.	7.9	0.4	7.01		6.2	•	4.7		.,	•	2.9	5.6	•	8.0 8.0	6.9	1.2	3.6	F7.	••	•	, ,,,
TWO-YEAR COLLEGES VETERANS NON-VETER		18.3	15.5	٠,	3.7	64.2	2.5	6.9	2.1			n :	-	26.5	۳° د	-	₹.	7.0	2.7	2.1	7.1	1.0	•,	o. 6	6.3	13.0	•	•		0.4	-	۲.	, ,	7.	7.7	٠.	9.6	7.7	φ. •	3.1	•	7.1	ю.	•
TONS LVETERANS		•	11.3	•	••	•	19.1	14.2	5.8		. 7 8		0			2.0	•	•	S. C.	•	6.5	•	3.2	12.7	5.5	1.1	•••					0.1	•	•	٠.٠	•	•	0.	•	o. •	7,	•	~ ·	•
ALL INSTITUTIONS VETERANS NON-VETERANS					3.4	A2.7		< ~			' e			24.6	•		••	6.1	•	0.0	6.5	1.1			7.4	11.6	N: 1	1.7			19.2	1.3				0.1					_			
- HEM -	S OF FINANCIAL	PART-THE OR SCHWER HORK	Ì	PARENTAL OR FAMILY AID OR GIFTS	MILITARY SERVICE	HILITARY SERVICE	SCHOLARSHIPS AND GRANTS	/ADV'T INSURED/COLLEGE	TARLE LOANS		PROBAMIL MAJOR FIELD OF STUDY(2)	AGAICOLIONE (INCL PUMENINT)	SCIENCES			,		FESSIONS (NON-M.D.)		(OTHER)	1	MATHEMATICS OR STATISTICS	CIENCES		ENCES	OTHER FIELDS (TECHNICAL)	US INDNIECHNICAL)	,	PROBARCE CAREER OCCUPATION (2)				TEACHER .	0, 0k n, 0, 8, 1	SECONDARY.)	TEACHER -		,	PROFESSIONAL (NON-M.D.)		1			
•	MAJOR SOURCES	PART-TIME O	SAVINGS FRO	PARENTAL DR		PERSONAL MI	SCHOLARSHIP	LOANS-NDEA/GDV . T	OTHER REPAYABLE LOANS	41 41 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 4	DOTAL BUNKANA	AWAIGOLIUME	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES	000 INC 00	EDUCATION	ENGINEER ING	ENGL 181	HEALTH PROFESSIONS	HISTORY, POLITICAL	HUMANITIES (STHER)	FINE ARTS	MATHEMATICS	PHYSICAL SCIENCES	PRE-PROFESSIONAL	SOCIAL SCIENCES	OTHER FIELD	UNDER PIELUS	UNDECIDED	PROBABLE CARE	ARTIST (INC		CLERGYMAN	COLLEGE TEA	BUCTOR (H.D. OR D.D.S	EDUCATOR (SECONDARY.)	ELEMENTARY TEACHER	ENGINEER			LANYER	NCAGE	DESTABLE SCHENTISH	HARM	73667

- MIEM	ALL INSTITUTION VETERANS NON-VE	ALL	TWO-YEA	TWO-YEAR COLLEGES VETERANS NON-VETERANS	FOUR-YEA	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	UNIV	UNIVERSITIES NS NON-V'TERANS	
PEASONS NOTED AS VEST INDUSTRIAL		,	Þ				•		
DING I	,							;	
PARENTS NANTED MF TO GO	•	22.6		73.4	7.1.	n.n.	2.5	7.0°	
CONTRIBUTE MORE TO MY COMMUNITY	7° 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 4'		<u> </u>	0	70.07	7.0	• · ·	20.0	
COLD THE THE TOTAL OF THE TOTAL	4.00	• •	2.5	7.84	0.10	56.5		50.00	
TEPROVE READING ANTON SKILLS	34.8	•	80°C	22.8	32.8	21.9	56.6	16.9	
	2.4		2.5	2.1	2.4	0.0	1.5	2.5	
BECOME MORE CULTURED	30.8		8°US	23.7	33.6	24.2	23.3	22.3	
MAKE MUNE MONEY	56.6	57.1	٠.٠	63.7	# · 6 •	52.0	0.94	51.9	
LEARN MORE ABOUT MY INTERESTS	£8.4	64.3	69.8	62.5	D	7.79	48.2	-	
MEFT NEW/INTERESTING PEOPLE PRFFARE FUR GRAD OR PROF SCHOOL	9 . 9 . S	36.7	50°08 86°8	36.4	n en	39.4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39.0	
		-							
IN SELECTION THIS COLLEGE	4				Ţ				
REIATIVES WANTED ME TO GO	2.5	6.4	. 2.2	•	•	ė	7.	8,3	•
TION	29.0	35.0	26.2	26.8	35.6	•	33,3	43.4	- 3
MUST FRIENDS GOING TO THIS COL	v. (4.7	2.5	£.	77 I	P. (0°	77	3.
LOW TUITION	22.5	•	S.	26.1	n ı	Ν,	17.1	п (•
6	7.	15.3	₹ (13.8	19.5	•	e. :	7.0-	
SPECIAL EDUC PROGRAM OFFEREN		29.5	n	0.4	٠.	٠,	8 · 6 ·		Ĺ
NOT ACCEPTED ANYWERE ELSE	` '	c •	7.5			•			
ADVICE OF GUIDANCE COUNSELOR WANTED TO LIVE AT HOME	21.6	11.7	24.2	9.81	16.5	- 0°	7 7 7		
•		;	•			•			
NEEU FOR SPECIAL HELP IN (1)			,				!		
ENGLISH		•	20.5	/55.	J (20.0	•	17.8	
		12.4	• •	14.7	12.0	2.0	4.61	7.20	
03		•		. •					
	14.5	•	14.7	15.0	14.3	18.4	14.1	13.6	
FUREIGH LANGUAGE, ".	. 23.6	24.3	21.3	19.7	•	29.0	27.1	23.8	
AGREE STRONGLY OF SOMEWHAT	;		,	6					
BONIT HOT CONTRUCTING POLLUTION	0.0	n -	6 6	1.8.4	•	•	0 ° 6 ° 6	7 8 7	
	2.0			2.15		•	2 4	1.05	
TOO WANY RIGHTS FOR CRIMINALS	. E.	9		. S.		• •	. 0	50.0	
SHOULD ABOLISM BEATH PENALTY	47.9	36.5	D 44	50,1	48.7	55.0	51.1	57.1	
MOMEN'S ACTIVITIES BEST IN MOME	40.0	52.1	. T.	58.1	•	•	37.5	45.4	
· BARELY COMMUNICATE WITH PARENTS			19,5	22.5	•	•	15.9	•	•
		•	44.8	38.5	•	•	52.8	_	•
SMOULD DISCOURAGE LARGE FAMILIES	.70.5	•	7°02	* * • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	68.7	•	72.1	0.77	
	9 6	٠,	10 P	2 0	•	•	0.00		
ALI SHIDULQ GET COL MPPORTUNITY		- •	0 000		•	•		96	
	•	•	•		•	•		7	

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1971

	, , new	SNI	INSTITUTIONS	TWO-YEA	TWO-YEAR COLLEGES	FOUR-YE	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	N5	UNIVERSITIES	1 !
ELAY . 06.5 06.2 06.2 06.4 06.5 06.4 06.5 06.4 06.5 06.4 06.5 06.5 06.5 06.5 06.5 06.5 06.5 06.5		VETERANS	NON-VETERANS		MONVETERANS	VETERANS	NON-VETERANS	VETERANS	NON-VETERA	
THE TABLE TO THE T	STRUNGLY OR SOMEWI	•	;					,		
FIG. 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10	ACROCALE STUDENTS OFF CARPO	? .		:.	6	N (•	ċ	
THE TABLE TO SERVICE THE TABLE			W 1	P 60	•	T .	•	2.00	÷	
PRINT COL. 1	ADDITION OF THE BUSINESS OF THE STREET	D (6.0	7.80 0.00	٠	6.74	6.07	7.0	Ġ,	
THE TOTAL STATE OF THE TOTAL STA	ABOLISH COLLEGE SHADES	27.0		27.3	•	20°	42.7	25.4	'n	
THE TOTAL STATES AND THE TOTAL		20.0		27.4		. 24.1		24.3	ď	
FOR ALL 10.1 1.4 70.4 40.3 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 1	REGULATE STUDENT PUBLICATIONS	45.0	32.1	43.6		1.07		33.0	'n	
FOR ALL 5 41.5 41.4 42.3 40.3 40.3 40.5 40.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 1	COLLEGE HAS PIGHT TO BAN SPEAKER	30.3	29.4	1.65		80		93.6		
FOR ALL	GIVE DISADVANTAGED PREF TRIMNT	41.5	41.4	42.3		707		38.1		
FOR ALL 47,6 37,2 80,0 471 41,0 32,3 40,9 41,0 41,0 41,0 41,0 41,0 41,0 41,0 41,0	COL TOO LAX ON STUDENT PROTEST	58.5	49.2	. 09		24.9		0.64		
FOR ALL	ADOPT OPEN ADMISSIONS AT PUR COL	47.8	37.2	9.09		41.8	32.3	7	•	
THE TOTAL TO	USE SAME DEGREE STANDARD FOR ALL		7.0.7	-	78.1	8	77.8	=		
ERS 3.4 3.5 4 2.5	~								•	
3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	CURRENT POLITICAL PREFERENCE		•		*					
14.7 38.9 33.7 32.5 35.7 38.5 35.7 3	FAR LEFT	•	8.0	•		3.1	•	-		
### ### ### ### #### #################	LIBERAL	34.7			2					æ
THE TOTAL TO	MIDDLE-OF-THE-ROAD	40.6	43.7		48.7			3.45	•	7
FR	CONSERVATIVE	20.1	0.81	•					•	34
FIT 66.0 64.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.6 65.7 65.7				•		•	•	•	•	-
FRESS AGO, 10.1 OGG, 0.0 CERTS AGO, 1.10.1 OGG, 0.0 OGG		•	•	•	•			•		
FERS JG. 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10	DBJECTIVES CUNSIPERED TO BE							•		
TURE 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.	ESSENTIAL, OR VERY IMPORTANT						-			
66.0 64.7 42.2 13.2 14.2 15.0 17.4 12.2 14.2 14.2 14.2 14.2 14.2 14.2 14	ACHIEVE IN A PERFORMING ART	7.1	10.1	9.9		•	-	5.2		
15.7 17.4 17.4 18.2 18.7 19.8 18.2 19.7 19.7 19.8 18.2 19.7 17.4 19.8 18.2 19.7 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8 19.8	BE AN AUTHORITY IN MY FIELD	0.99	64.7	6	~,		•	94.1	2	
15.7 17.4 14.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15	OBTAIN RECOGNITION FROM PEERS	40°1	42.2	•	40.2	,	10	41.4	,	
20.3 20.5 20.5 23.4 23.4 23.6 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20	INFLUENCE POLITICAL STRUCTURE	15.7	17.4	4	13,3		•	16.8	•	
48.6 99.2 44.6 99.9 63.7 98.9 63.7 98.0 63.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 98.0 63.0 63.7 98.0 63.7 9	INFLUENCE SOCIAL VALUES	26.3	26.5	26.3	23.4	,		29.2		
45.6 47.8 44.8 46.8 46.8 47.8 47.8 48.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 1	RATSE A FAMILY	42.7	56.2	42.7	55.9	17		0.09		
40.6 4 40.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10	•	45.6	57.2	44.8	56.2			47.4		
18.7 19.0 19.1 21.3 20.2 20.2 20.0 20.2 20.0 20.2 20.0 20.2 20.0 20.2 20.0 20.2 20.0 20.2 20.0 20.2 20.0 20.2 20.0 20.2 20.0 2	HAVE FRIENDS DIFFERENT FROM ME	48.6	57,5	47.9	80,000			52.1		
27.4 29.1 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20		18.7	0.61		•			6, 71		
41.1 42.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 5	BE ADMINISTRATIVELY RESPONSIBLE	27.3	25.1		•		0.9	93.6		
35.4 11.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12	BE VENY MELL-OFF FINANCIALLY	41.1	50.7		•					
14.7 11.4 10.9 9.0 11.4 10.9 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0	HELP OTHERS IN PIFFICULTY	5.00	55.2					-		
14,7 16,2 10,3 10,1 10,1 10,1 10,1 10,1 10,1 10,1 10,0 1	PARTICIPATE IN PEACE CORPS/VISTA	6.0	71.4		٠. ١	6	~		0	
10.1 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0	RECOME A COMMUNITY LEADER	14.7	16.2		•				4	
10.1 11.0 27.0 11.0	CONTRIBUTE TO SCIENTIFIC THEORY		12.0		•	• •		: _	•	
27.6 28.5 28.7 28.5 28.7 28.5 28.7 28.5 28.5 28.5 28.5 28.5 28.5 28.5 28.5	MRITE ORTGINAL MORKS	10.1	911	. c	•	•		•	•	
10.0 40.1 40.1 40.0	NEVER BE ORLIGATED TO PEOPLE	27.6	22.55			• -	: -	•		
40.1 44.9 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0	CREATE HORKS OF ART	0.01	11.3		• -	à	•	•		
47.9 56.6 40.9 40.9 47.0 44.9 47.1 47.1 47.1 47.1 47.1 47.1 47.1 47.1	KEEP UP WITH POLITICAL AFFAIRS	43.1	44.0			4	•			
43.7 43.9 42.9 47.0 44.9 47.1 44.9 70.5 69.0 7	SUCCEED IN MY DEN BUSINESS	47.9	50,00					• -		
64.5 64.5 70.5 67.2 70.5 69.5 70.5 69.5 70.5 69.5 70.5 69.5 70.5 69.5 70.5 69.5 70.5 69.5 70.5 69.5 70.5 69.5 70.5 69.5 70.5 70.5 69.5 70.5 70.5 70.5 70.5 70.5 70.5 70.5 70	HELP CLEAN UP FNVIPONMENT	43.7	43.0	•	0.04					
24.5 24.6 22.6 22.0 27.4 26.6 21.1 22.0 24.6 21.1 22.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.	DEVELOR A PHILOSOPHY OF LIFE		10.00	•			•	•	•	
	PARTICIPATE IN COMMINITY ACTION			_		•	•	•	•	
	TABLE TABLE TO A STATE OF THE S	•		_	0 7 7 7	2 (•	1.1%	•	

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS 'BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1971

•

		•	•				,		•						_									-																	
72.7	45.55	7.27	27.0	0	40 (ю.			34.5	4	,	• 0	31.5	56.6	37.7	61 8 6	80.00		•	,	9		16.2	9.0	. 2.2	7.2	9.	•	•	• [11.3	••	10.7			η.				
. 67	n. 60			•	•	•	2 6	6.0	•	,	ė «	; 6	~	16.7	. 45.0	7.7	19.0		•	> ·		•			G. B.	0				•	• ~	11.7	3.1	S. 5	e (0		-		
57.3	. 04 . 04	0.00	•	4	43.0	-	• •	12.1		26.5			1	2	35.9	9.19	7.00	. 3	•	· · ·	76.9					6	٠,	ŗ,	2 "	2	·c	11.5	e. 0	•	9.7				•	9	
34.4			æ	6486	40.7	N'4		11.0	23.5	•	C -		4	_	•				4		7 0			9.1	. 5° 0	`` & &	7.	e e		•		4		ď	•	'n	ĸ.	•	- •	50	•
•			·		,			•			•	,					•										2								•		-		,		•
32,3	43.0	1.01	4	42,1	80°0	26.5	\ 0 0 0 0 0		19.2	27.9	27.5	• ' '	26.1	20.7	33.6		18.9		* (•	, o o c	1	•		2.3	3.5		•	•	•	•	•	4.9	ć, N	4.6	2.7	0.11		` '	13.7	•
23,6	6 P	43.1	4.12	52.3	-	۵.4	0 P		•	•	_ <	1 C		•	35.8	52.5	17.1	•			3 0 N 0	N 0			E .	3°0	B	7.1	?		. 0		0.7	7.	o.	7.7	3 ·	e ·	- (× • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	D • E E
50.7	46.2	0.0	9.00	50.0	30.3	7.00	0.45	101	26.0	32.6	۰.			,	35.4	54.7	56.9			1.2						5.2	•	•	•	•	•	. 70	£ 9 .	2.7	0.0	-	11.6	7.	' •	0.1	>. 16
. •		9.0	. 7 ° CE	54.2	14.1	20.5	n .	200	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	50.6	22.7	200	200	9.0	9.00	53.7	18.7			•	2.0	- C) r		9	£.4		7.5	•	14.7	•••		2	1.0		2.5	14.5			2 I	2.70
SELF RATINGS OF RETTER THAN AVERAGE ON PERSONAL TRAITS ACAILM CARLITY	ETIC ABILITY	STIC ABILITY	ののはというというできません。	E TO ACHIEVE	LENSHIP ABILITY	EMATICAL ABILITY	ANICAL ARILITY		TICAL LIBERALISM		JEARITY MITH OPPOSITE, BEX	IC SPEAKING ABILITY .			SOLZEN		TING ABILITY	ITS ESTIMATE CHANCES ARE	JOOP THAT THEY WILL	MARRIED WHILE IN COLLEGE	V WITHIN A VEAR AFTER COL			INT TABLE TABLES	COCO MECH AC MEC	NATE ATTH HONORS	LECTED TO A STUDENT OFFICE	SOC FRATERNITY OR SORDRITY	LECTED TO AN HONOR	AT LEAST A	AT OUTSIDE	VOCATIONAL	TERSONAL COUNSELING	IL IN HONDRS COURSE	TUTURIN HFIP IN COURSES	IOR A PUALISMED ARTICLE	TORE SUCCESSFUL THAN MOST			KEFFE TO ANOTHER COLLEGE	SATISFIED WITH MY COLLEGE
	NGS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 32.3 34.4 57.3 36.9 72.	NGS OF RETTER THAN NGS OF RESCOND TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 32.3 C ABILITY C ABILITY C ABILITY C ABILITY C ABILITY	NGS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 32.3 34.4 57.3 36.9 72 C ABILITY 16.9 17.2 15.1 14.5 15.6 16.6 18 C ABILITY 16.9 17.2 15.1 14.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19	NGS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 32.8 34.5 49.3 36.8 49.8 ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 43.9 41.5 49.3 36.1 16.0 19.0 18.1 15.0 19.0 18.0 19.0 18.1 16.0 19.0 18.1 16.0 19.0 18.0 19.0 18.0 19.0 18.1 18.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19	NGS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 32.3 34.4 57.3 36.9 72 C ABILITY ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 43.6 40.3 40.3 40.3 40.4 57.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 2	NGS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 C ABILITY C ABILITY 16.0 17.2 C ABILITY 16.0 17.2 C ABILITY 16.0 17.2 15.1 16.0 17.2 15.1 16.0 17.2 15.1 16.0 17.2 15.1 16.0 17.2 15.1 16.0 17.2 15.1 16.0 17.2 15.1 16.0 17.2 15.1 16.0 17.2 16.0 17.2 18.1 16.0 17.2 18.1 16.0 17.2 18.1 16.0 17.2 18.1 16.0 17.2 18.1 16.0 17.2 18.1 16.0 17.2 18.1 16.0 17.2 18.1 18.0 18.1 18.2 18.2 18.3	NOS OF RETTER THAN NOS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 32.3 34.4 57.3 34.4 ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 43.9 40.3 34.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19	NOS OF RETTER THAN NOS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 32.3 34.5 35.5 35.5 35.5 36.8 40.3 40.3 37.6 40.3 37.6 40.3 40.3 40.3 40.3 40.3 40.3 40.3 40.3	NGS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS 27.2 50.7 23.6 32.3 34.4 57.3 36.9 49.3 36.9 72 C ABILITY ON PERSONAL TRAITS C ABILITY 16.6 16.0 17.2 15.1 14.5 15.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 18.1 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19	NGS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS ON O	NOS OF RETTER THAN NOS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS ON O	NGS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS ON ON PERSONAL TRAITS ON ON PERSONAL TRAITS ON O	ON PERSONAL TRAITS ON OF CALLITY ON ON OF CALLITY ON	NOS OF RETTER THAN ON PERSONAL TRAITS ON OF THE THAN ON OF THE THAN ON OF THE THAN ON OF THE THAN ON OF THAN OF THAN ON	UN PERSONAL TRAITS ON ON PERSONAL TRAITS ON O	No. No.	No. No.	Oracle Traits Dec Dec	18	ALTS ALTS	ALTS ALTS	ATTS 27.2 46.2 10.0	ALTS 27,2 50,7 23,0 23,0 23,0 24,4 25,2 25,0	ATTS 27.2 50.7 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2	ATTS 27.2 #00.7 #00.7 #00.7 #00.9 #	HANN 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.4 10.5	ATT S 27.2 50.7 30.2 32.0 32.3 34.4 57.8 30.9 30.9 30.9 30.9 30.9 30.9 30.9 30.9	10.0	TER COL 12.0 7.1 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0	TOTALE SET 12.0 1.0.1 1.0.2 1.	TE SEX SEX SEX SEX SEX SEX SEX SEX SEX SE	TO SECTION 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	TE SEX	F. SEX 27. 23.6 23.6 23.7 23.6 23.8 23.6 23.8 23.8 23.8 23.8 23.8 23.8 23.8 23.8	T. S.	TOWER WAY NOT THE	TE SEX	27. 20. 40. 40. 40. 40. 40. 40. 40. 40. 40. 4	THE SET TO SET T	TE SET 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 19	State Colore Co

-WEIGHTED MATIONAL NORMS BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHINGEN MEN: FALL, 1971

· Natio	A TITEMI	ALL		TWOVE	TWO-YEAR GOLLSCES		27 al 20 a	And the season of the season		1	,
•	VETT PAILS P	NON VETERANS	,	VBTERANS	HOP VETERAMS	ř•	VETTRANS	MON VETERANT		VI IS LANS	NS NOW VITERANS
ACTIVITIES ENGARED IN ST.	3			 :		 					
STUTENTS PLATER THE PAST YEAR	•		•				•		•		
voten in stunent election (s)	22.4	63.3		21.0	61.3		24.4	48.4	•	.24.4	4.5.4
CAME LATE TO CLASS	0.05	57.4		30.3	55.0		4.7.	26.1		25.4	7.76
PLAYED A MUSICAL INSTRUMENT	9.6	35.4		T. 0.	31.6		16.3	37.0		18.3	30.2
STUDIED BY THE LIBRARY (S)	15.0	27.6	•	14.3	24.5		21.0	20.		0.5	96
CHFCKLI DIST A LIBRARY BOOK (S)	٠, م	36.1		0.0	32.2	;	24.8				200
ARDANIFOL HATE FOR STUDENT	20.7	ハトコ		28.0	0	•	13.4			32.8	
OVERSLEPT AND RISSFU A CLASS	20.02	24.4		18.5	25.8		24.5	24.40			
HEAD ABOUT RIGHTSYRESPE.SIRILITY	41.7	2.74		34.2) (C)			27.0			
TYPEO A TORFECOR AGGINERAT (U)	7.9	16.2	•	9.4	13.5		7 4 C	17.7	•		
DISCUSSED FUTURE ATTH PARENTS(3)	6.6	32.9	•	20.1	31.0	•					
HAS LATE HITH SCHOOL ASSIGNME	43.0	73.6		7.17	N . S.		47.7	200		4.3.4	70.
TREEFE WITH A REACHER IN CLA	28.5	56.6	,	25.2	52.0		34.4.			10	A2.4
ATTENTED A BELIGIOUS SERVICE	43.0	2.4		51.7	82.6	•	70.2	86.7	,	\$2.K	
DEMONSTRATED FOR RACIAL CHANGE	7.11	17.2		10.3	15.4		13.5	200		13.0	17.2
DEMINSTRATED FOR WILLTARY CHANGE	ائم. ۵	. 15.2		17.4	11.4		14.2				,
HEMONSTRAIL" FOR SSHOOL CHANGE		33.0		5.6	30.5	• •	2	7.97			4.48
DIP EXTHA READING FOR COURSE (3)	 *	12.0		7.9	8.7					<	
-TOOK SIFFPING PILLS	4.2	3.7		7.7	6	•	10				
TUTORED ANDTHER STUDENT	10.7	. 0 · 5	,	14.5	30.6		27.	6.0		22.8	2
PLAYFO CHESS	C. 5.4	52.2	•	4° c	47.2	7	40.7	0.00		46	0.00
. PEAN PRETRY NOT REGULRED	34.0	7.07	•	.34.7	40.1	,	45.1	50.2		43.5	7.07
THOK A THA WITH IZING PILL	7.6	7.7		3.0			4	***	,		
DISCUSSED AFT TOTON (S)	13.6	20.8		12.7	15.9	•	4.61	24.5		12.1	
TOOK VITAMING	45.3	55.1	-	. 5.5	52.9	•	6.5	2 6	,	6.44	
VISITED ANT GALLERY OR MUSEUM	0.45.	62.9		50.0	59.8	•	17.6	4.50		57.7	× × × ×
PASTICIPATED IN M.S. POL. CMPG.	. 12.8	35.6		11.2	29.7		19.8	707		0.0	
PAPITUTPATE'N IN OTHER POL. CHRG.	6.5	12.2		5.3	0		•		_		
MISSEU SCHOOL DUF TO ILLNESS (3)	0.1	2.2	,	1.1	9.0				, -		
SMAKED CIGAMETTES (3)	32.5	10.1		53.7	20.5	•	29.6			30.9	
PISCESSEN POLITICS (3)	17.8	23.9		15.4	17.5		21.4	26.8		52.7	4.0%
DRANK HEEK	73.8	70.9	•	72.4	7387		74.4	68.7		81.2	
DISCUSSED SPORTS (3)	4. P.	54.5	•	32.5	7.36		39.7	2.86		39.3	82.1
READ AROUT CIVIL PIGHTS	0.69	79.5		64.0	1.1		. 77.2	63.0	•	77.0	83.2
ASKED TEACHER FOR ANVICE (3)	10.	20.5		0.0	17.0	٠	12.6	23.3	,	41.0	22.3
HAR VOCATIONAL COUNSELING	38.7	50.0		50	. 48.5		40.8	45.3		36.5	0.04
STATES UP ALL NIGHT	18.2	60.9	•	55°A	. 2.09		P. 99	9.09		0.6	60.1
•			*		-	•					ı

(**)
* TV:S ITEM IS REPORTED FOR THE FIRST TIME IN 1971. ITEMS/NOT IMPLICATED WITH "*** ARE REPFATER OR MODI-FIED WITH "*** ARE REPFATER OR MODI-FIED WITH "*** ARE REPFATER OF MODI-

SINCF IT IS POSSIBLE THAT SOME STUPLNTS MAY MAVE CHECKED MORE "HAN ONE RESPONSF CATEGORY FOR THIS ITEM, THE PERCENTAGES MAY SUM TO MORE TIAN 100.

(2) RECATEGORIZATION OF THIS ITEM FROM A LONGER LIST IS SHOWN IN APPENDIX C OF THE 1971 NAT'L NORMS REPORT. 13)

FREGMENTLY ONLY, ALL OTHER TIEMS FREQUENTLY PLUS OCCASIONALLY.

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS BY'VETERÂN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1977

ERIC . .

AGE BY DECEMBER 31, 1971 16 OR YOUNGER 19 20 21 22-25 20 A II DER 20 A II DER 20 A II DER 20 A II DER 30ME HIGH SCHOOL OR LESS 30ME HIGH SCHOOL A	SE ASTA SE AST	ALL INSTITUTIONS	TAGYEAR	TWO-YEAR COLLEGES	FOUR-YE	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	UNIVE SE ASIA	UNIVERSITIES .
BY DECEMBER 31, 6 OR YOUNGER 6 OR YOUNGER 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SÉ ASIA , VETERANS 9	E ASIA	****				SE ASIA	ALTE OF ARIA
RY DECEMBER 31, 7 7 8 9 9 1 2-25	, 0,6	RANS	SE ASIA · VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	VETERANS	VETERANS (VETERANS	VETERANS
16 OR YOUNGER 11								
17 19 20 21 22-25 20 OR DI DER ATHER'S EDUCATION GRANNAR SCHOOL OR BOME MIGH SCHOOL OR	•		C.	٥.	0.		0	ō.
10 20 21 22-25 26 OR NI DER ATHER'S EDUCATION GRAMAR SCHOOL OR 30ME HIGH SCHOOL OR 30ME HIGH SCHOOL	5.	ِ ۽	E (•	6	. 0	0	•
22-25. * 22-25. * 24 N. DER	? "	0.11		0.	~	4.0	1.0	~
21 22-25. * 26 OR NI DER . ATHER'S EDUCATION GRAMAR SCHOOL OR 30ME HIGH SCHOOL . HIGH SCHOOL .			* 0		•	•		1,4,1
22-25. * 26 OR OLDER	7.01		•		7.0	• •	•	•
26 OR NIDER , ATHER'S EDUCATION SAMMAR SCHOOL OR SOME HIGH SCHOOL, HIGH SCHOOL, GRADU,	Š	•			•	•		
ATHER'S EDUCATION Grahmar School OR Some High School, High School, Gradul	12.8	4.0	2	4.50	C 4	. 42.1	1.2.	200
ATHER'S EDUCATION GRAHMAR SCHOOL OR SOME TIGH SCHOOL *	•	•)	•			
HIGH SCHOOL .		2						٠.
	4 M	C 4) o	0 5 0 7 0 .	. 20.0	19.4	14.1	24.1
	• -	0 T	•	0.4	26.8	20	22.1	-
	10.0		0.0	6	9.82	N	9.00	e c
			•		12.0		2.1.	
POSTGRADUATE DEGREE				•	20	e •	? •	
,			,	•	•	•	•	•
	•	•			•	,		٠,
CARAMIAN COMONICA LINGS	. 11.	13.4	11.7	4	12.4	16.2	9.6	10.5
CONTRACTOR SERVICE CONTRACTOR SERVICE		-•	7	22.1	26.7		18.6	18.7
				۴۴.۷	N.05	43.2	O I	46.7
COLLEGE DEGREE	4.2	•			7°61		2.0	
PUSTGRADUATE DEGRÉE	P		4.	• •		B 12		
RACIAL BACKGROUNT (1)		<i>4</i> * •			•		•	, '
WHITE/CAUCASIAN	88.5 /	, , , , ,	89.6	7 00	•			0
BLACK/NEGRO/AFRO-AMERICAN	7.0	C 40	ċ	. 0	7. V			
AMERICAN INDIAN	•	1.7	•		: -	• "		ın.
ORITHAL ALCONOMINATIONS AND ACCOUNTS AND ACC	- •		•		P.	E.		· • · ·
DEFICATION CANCEL CANCE		c	7°2	2.1	ຫຼື		•.	ĸ.
THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	y 6	···	,	• •	10 PO	1.0	W 15	-
- PROUNT TANABARA MATERIAL	•	•				•		•
LESS THAN 84,000	6.01	9	9.01			`.		- - - -
	• •	0	•	•	<i>G</i> :	7.7	•	•
•	15.8	0.71	10° 60°		200	•) <u>.</u>	
í	15.6	2.61	15.0	,	_	2.0	٠	
	19.7	17.1	20 h	•	7 .		2 2	•
•	e - I -	11.2	_	-	•	•	•	
•	₹.0	9.1	c. c.		•	•	•	: 6
•		4.7	3.1	•		•	•	9
600,008	S .	6.	•	'n.		-	•	, .
ł	۳°	٥.	٠	€.	0.1	. 0	~	•
	 ·	n•.	٠. ١	۳.	•		•	
THE THE TOTAL OF THE THE		٠ <u>٠</u>	٠.	. 6.1	1.2	•	1.3	•

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1871

ERIC

NJLI	INST	ALL	TWO-YE	TWO-YEAR COLLEGES	FOUR-YEA	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	AINI	C. Universities	
	SE ASIA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASIA Veterans	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASIA Veterans	NON-SE ASIA	SE AGA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	
RELIGION REARED									
PROJECTAR	57.4	55.9	57.0	53.9	61.3	61.7	51.7	53.4	
MUTAN CALMOLIC	35.6	36.2	36.8	38.4	29.1	30.7	41.0	35	
つしていること	ຸ້	. ·		Ni G	• •	٠.		8 0	
NONE	. S.		-	. 4	9 0	5 P		4 E	
RELIGIOUS PREFFRENCE	14							•	
PRITESTANT	42.8	42.3	43.4	30.8	44.2	40.7	9.55	. :	
RUMAN CATHOLIC	27.5	27.4	2 A 2		24.2	7		21.7	
主のことで	٠,	e,	e.	•		~		0.1	
NONE	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	- n	10.8 20.5	21.0	17.0	9.0 0.10	n	
			-			•		•	
ARTIST (INCL PERFORMER)	•	6	•			•	-	•	
BUSINESSMAN	\$0.0 \$0.0	20.0	0.0	22.6	22.4	16.2	21.6	21.7	
CLERGYMAN	P.		~	₹.	•	*	·	0	
DOCTOR OF DAYS	•	e.	·	~	P3 1	₹.	7	~	
FORCEAUT (SECONDERY)	r. a	c.	•	•			~	1.2	_
ELEMENTARY TFACHFR		•	7.1	? •	7	c• T		•	_
RNS-ZERT	•	,			. 6. 10		3.2	. N	
MANAGER ST PORTINER	7.0	6.7	9.7	4 • •	7.1	P. 9	10.7	8.8	
LASYER		7. 0	7.		- -	٠. •	٠, ۵	o •	
~	7.1		• •	-		•	v •	• •	
TIST	•		7	~			~	, 0	
SATISTICAL ESCRIPTION OF THE STATE OF THE ST	21.5	21.5	22.5	•	20.6	20.6	15.1	20.0	١
STATE TO BOX TO STATE	14.3		9.71	12.6	0.41	16.9	6. E	12,3	
UNE MPLOYED	. 6		7.4		c	o •	0 4	7.0	
OTHER	13.1		12.4	 	13.1	13.0	9	11.1	
VETERAR	,							•	
NO.	•	٥	0	c.	6	0	0	c	
VES, SERVED IN SOUTHEAST ASTA	1,00.0		. •		100	9	100.0	•	
TEST DID NOT SERVE IN SE ASIA	•	100.0		100	c.	100.0	•	100.0	
MARITAL STATUS			,	•	,				
NOT HARRIED	61.0	7 .00 0 .4	61.7	7.06 80.06	57.6	85°8	73.4	0 0 0 0 0	
		•		•		•	•	•	
S WILES ON LESS	0		40	· a	•		4		
6-10 HILFS	23.1	* *	26.3	2.5	9-9-	2 6	7-11	7.7.	
11-50 AILES	32.0	×1.5	36.6	36.5	,25	25.0	15.5	2.5	
SI-IOU MILES	7.6	0.0	9.0	7.6	12.4	12.2	10.5	13.7	
MOTE TO SELECT	4°01		5°7	۳° ۹	20.1	. 24.1	28.6	18.8	
	-			o•.	E .	18.	•	2.3	

WEIGHTED MATIONAL NORMS BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1971

NSJJ	TINSTI	ALL INSTITUTIONS	TWO-YEA	TWO-YEAR COLLEGES	FOUR-YEA	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	UNIVE	UNIVERSITIES	
	SE ASTA VETERANS	NON-SE ARIA VETERANS	SE ASLA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASTA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	BE ASIA VETERANS	NON-5'S ABIA VETERANS	
AVERAGE GRADE IN HIGH SCHOOL		١					,		
A 09 A+	~	۲.	•	•	7	1.0	1.7	.,	
• 4		د 0 0	7.	n.		0 1	•	n c	,
· c	0.5		2) n	
	14.2	• •		6.51	1111		9	15.7	
÷ů	27.3		27.4	25.7	28.2	20°	24.4	26.6	
ပ	36.5	32.7	37.3	•	34.7	24.4	34.2	33.6	
a	0,0	•	••	7.7	F. 1	2.7	1.1	5.	
RANK IN HIGH SCHOOL CLASS		•							
TOP GUARTER	6.7	11.1	3°P	5.8	12.6	22.6	15.9	10	
SECOND CUARTER	24.1	25.6	20.6	22.2	32.4	31.9	34,2	31.7	
TETRO CUARTER	30°0	45.6	54.5	o-	40.0	36.3	35.0	N	
FOURTH CUARTER	19.3	17.8	21.0	25.2	15.0	9.5	15.0	10.5	
SECUNDARY SCHOOL ACHIEVEMENTS									
PRESIDENT STUDENT ORGANIZATION	9.4	10.0	8.1	8.3	13.7		8.6	6.7	
THUR XATURE OFFICE REGION CONTINUE	4 :	€.	3.7	3.7	7.7	7.1	o 'n	3.7	
MATE/REGIONAL MPERCH CONTEST	r) (7.0	0,0	æ -	o i	4,2	~ ;	4.0	
1		12.9	N	7.21	7.61	4°9	2,11		
ALADA IN ADT CORPE			200	0 / 4	7 · ·	•			
EDITOR OF SCHOOL PAPER	2.7			. 10		Y = 4	2 2		
ORIGINAL WRITING PUBLISHED	4.4	5.8	, P	6.4	4.7		9.0	7.0	
NOT GUMMER PROGRAM	s.		^.		-		,		
STATE/REGIONAL SCIENCE PROGRAM	٠.1	•	0.1	٠,	•	7.	1.3	10.	
SCENT SOLIC MONOR SOCIETY	6	•	1.0	°°	•	6.5	, g	•	
RATIONAL MERIT BECOGNITION	0.1	2.1	9.1	1.6	7.4	• •	1.4	••	
YEAR FINISH SECONDARY SCHOOL									
GRADUATED IN 1971	7.1	4	5.1	3.7	F. 1	5.4	0.1	1.6	
	8	u• 96	7.86	? • 0	98.7		•	**	
HIGHEST DEGREE PLANNED						•			
-	6.0	9.9	6.9	10.3	3.1	5.5	10°12	5.5	
ASSOCIATE (OR EQUIVALENT)	6.61	15.8	22.5	23.2	2.1		4.5	9.1	
TACKELOK'S (B.A., B.S.)	න (භ (34.0	36.5	29.5	7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	30.7	45.4	9,40	
	5 · 5	22.8	20.2	0 P	0.10	0.85	26.3	200	
	•	•	• 6	? -		0		12.0	
		3.5) r	•) K		
B.D. (DIVINITY)	17	•		•				. 6	
	3.7	 		0.0	9.1	• •	4.	.0	
		ง	•			•			
CONCERN ABOUT TINANCING COLLEGE	2 7 7			1 67	,	:	*		
	7.7	₫.	9.0	7	n 0	1.60	600		
READED COTAE		01°	2.4	1.10	52.9	•	50.	7 ° 6	
) •	•	0				•	•	

ţ

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS
BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION
FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1971

ERIC And that Provided by ERIC

Marine M	, пем	INSTI	ALL	TWO-YEA	TWO-YEAR COLLEGES	FOUR-YEA	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	CNIV	UNIVERSITIES	
17.2 19.4 17.5 19.9 19.0	•	SE ASIA .VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASIA VETERANS	NOK-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASIA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASIA Veterams		
	MAJOR SUURCES OF FINANCIAL								a	
	SUPPORT FOR COLLEGE (1)	ı								
COLLEGE Coll	PART - ILEM DR SCHAFF BORK	17.2	7.0	17.8	÷	•	16.8	•	•	
1	EMPLOY	14.2	17.0	13.7		ç	16.1	•	٠	
COULTEGE	OR BIFT	F	P .	3,2	•	'n	7.9	•		
COLLEGE 517 74.0 COLLEGE 51.1 COLLEGE 51.1			e. 8	C . 7	•	•	1.0	•	•	
COLLEGE 3.7 5.4 5.2 5.3 5.4 6.5 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6	PERSUNAL MIGITARY SERVICE	8°06	74.0	2.06	2		65.4	•	'n.	
100 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	SCHOLARGELTS AND GRANTS	3.7	5.4	2.5		. 6	P. 0	6.1	P. 9	٠,
1.1	LOANS-NPEA/GOV'T INSURED/COLLEGE	6.1	٠,٠				0.9	o.s	r.,	
0.00	OTHER REPAYABLE LOANS	1.1	•		•		3.1	•	1.3	
25.0 3.5										
25.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2		0.5	3.2		•				•	
25.3 23.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25	BIOLOGICAL SCIFACES			•	D =	N .	•			
A		50.00	23.8	٠.	•	;	, ,		12.	
11.0	FUICATION		10 P	•	٠.	•	٠,		4	
A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		9.11		: ,		N. (•	0.71		_
AL) S. S	TO CENT		un.	'n		e.	•			.4
(2) (2) (3) (4) (5) (5) (6) (6) (7) (7) (8) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9	PRUFESSIONS	7	7.5	• •	•	•		•	•	0-
2. 3		200	10.0		•	•			•	•
(2) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.		2,3		•	•	•		•		
AL) 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	STAN PATS	9		- ^	•	•			7.6	
AL) 10.2 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 1	MATHEMATICS OR STATISTICS	1.1	1.1			•	9 6		1.2	
7.3 6.3 7.4 4.8 10.7 7.4 7.9 11.1 11.1 12.2 11.2 11.1 12.2 11.1 12.2 11.1 12.2 11.1 12.2 11.1 12.2 11.1 12.2 11.2 11.1 12.2 11.1 12.2 11.1 12.2 11.1 12.2 11.1 12.2 11.1 12.2 11	PHYSICAL SCIENCES	1.2	1.3		•	•	•		2.3	
AL) 10.2 10.4 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8	PRE-PROFESSIONAL	7.3	6.3	2.9	•	•	•	^.	Ξ	
AL) 11.1 12.3 12.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15	SUCIAL SCIENCES	8.2	7.9	7.4	•	•	•	٠.	•	
AL) 2.2 1.0 2.4 1.0 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	OTHER FIELDS (TECHNICAL)	11.1	12,3	•	ŕ	• •	6.7	•	9.0	
2.2 1.1 2.4 1.1 1.0 2.5 1.1 1.0 2.5 1.1 1.0 2.5 1.1 1.0 2.5 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	L CS	•		1.7	•		7.4	•	•	
19.5 4.5 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6	UNDECTRED	~ ~	-:-	2.4		1.1		•		•
3.5 4.5 19.5 19.5 19.5 19.0 22.4 22.5 13.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.6 15.1 12.7 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8										
190.1 190.3 100.8 22.4 22.4 22.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1		3,5	4.5				-	•		
(NON-H.D.) 20.7	PUST NEG STAN	19.1	19.3			•	٠,	ď	B	
8.) 8.) 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	CLERGYMAR	•	٠.		٠.	•	4		٠.	
8.) 2.5 2.0 1.7 1.0 1.8 1.2 4.0 1.0 1.8 1.2 4.0 1.0 1.8 1.2 1.0 1.8 1.2 1.0 1.8 1.2 1.0 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.0 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.0 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8	TEACHER	۲.	٠,			•	0.0	•	•.	
6.4 6.2 8.0 8.0 11.0 12.2 4.9 7 6.0 5.4 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	•	s. 8	٥.٥	1.7	•	• •	F .	ċ	5.1	•
1.2 6 1.0 2.3 1.7 6 1.0 6 1.0 6 1.0 6 1.0 6 1.0 6 1.0 6 1.0 6 1.0 6 1.0 7.0 6 1.0 7.0 8 1.0 7.0 8 1.0 8		4.0	6.2	C.80	•	: -	•	•	7.1	
5.4 2.6 5.9 5.1 12. 7.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.2 7.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.2 7.0 5.0 5.0 5.1 12. 7.0 5.2 7.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5	ELEMFNTARY TEACHER	1.2	•	0.1	-			•	1.2	
L (NON-M.D.) 4.2 4.0 5.1 2.9 5.7 1.5 7.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5	ENGINEEP	8.7	7.7	0.0	7.8		·	'n	~	
APPOFESSIONAL (NON=M.D.) 4.2 4.6 4.3 5.0 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5		5.4	9. 0		5.9	•	•	•	3.7	
3.4 2.7 5.1 4.5 4.7 6. 2.0 3.4 2.7 5.1 4.5 2.4 CH SCIENTIST II, 1.6 1.6 3.3 2.4 2.0 1.4 2.0 1.6 3.3 2.4 2.0 1.4 2.0 1.6 2.0 3.3 2.4 2.0 1.4 2.0 1.6 2.0 3.3 2.4 2.0 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	PROFESSIGNAL	4.2	••	F. 7	3° 6			•	•	
7. 1.6 1.7 2.2 4.8 1.0 1.7 2.4 2.0 1.6 1.6 3.3 2.0 2.0 3.3 2.0 3.3 3.3 2.0 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3		o. P	٠°	4.6	2.7	•			•	
1, 1.6 1.7 1.4 2.0 1.6 3.3 2 2.4 2.0 1.6 3.3 2 2.4 2.0 3.3 2 2.4 2.4 2.0 3.3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			٠,	2.2	8.4	•	•	•	•	
28.4 / 33.3 31.7 35.8 20.5 30.2 20.8 24 11.0 13 12.7 6.2 7.9 8.6 11.0 13		۰ م	·1°1	P	•		÷.	•	N 1	
11.6 7.5 12.7 6.2 7.9 8.6 11.0 13	A MAN LO	28.4	50°50	31.7	•		•	•	•	
	CNEFCIOLD	٠.	•	12.7	e.2	•	9.0	•		

d \$

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NDRMS BY VETERAR STATUS AND TYPE DF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1971

•	TESNI	ALL	TWO-YEAR	COLLEGES	FOUR-YE	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	UNI	UNIVERSITIES	
	SE ASIA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASIA · VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASIA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASIA VETERANS	NON SE ASIA VETERANS	
TATE OF THE SAME TAREST AND THE SAME TAREST AN		•							
IN DECIDING TO GO TO COLI EGE **					•				
PARENTS WANTED HE TO GO	5	•		0 =	1. L.	• c		ė	
CONTRIBUTE NORE TO MY COMMUNITY	6.71	- ·	•		74.2			•	
GET A METTER JOB	× •	£ 0			000	: 0			•
CALLS A SERVING TOTAL OF THE CALL OF THE C			9.60	34.1	36.8	-			
SCHELLER PETTER TO BO		5.5	,	r°-1	3.1	•	:	-	
BECOME MORE CULTURED	29.8			9.	2°98	31.4			
MAKE HORE HONEY	58.8	94.8	_:	58.7	51.5	48.0		'n.	
LEARN HORE AROUT MY INTERESTS	66.1		÷	71.0	0.74	62.0	'n.	∴.	
MEET NEW/INTERESTING PEOPLE	7.80	40°	29.1	31.01	0.70	27.9	200	9.00 0.00	
PREPARE FOR GRAU OR PROF SCHOOL	A . C. 7	•	•		•	}	•	•	
REASONS NOTED AS VERY IMPORTANT	,						-	•	
IN SFLECTING THIS COLLEGE	1	,			•	•			ا۔۔
RELATIVES. BANTED ME TO GO	5,0	י מ	•	0,40	28.7	2.17	•		1
COLLEGE HAS A GOOD REPUTATION	6.7			•	, 77		4		-
HOST PRICEDS GOING TO INIS COL	000	•			· •	18.7		6	
ASSAULT OF MORFORM ERO ATTRIBUTE		•			18.4	15.2			
SPECIAL FOLC PROPAR OFFERED	31.7		7	•	~	35.1	'n		
NOT ACCEPTED ANYWHERE ELSE	20	2.9	2.5	0.4	٠,٠	1.2	4° 6	•	
ADVICE OF GHIPANCE COUNSELOR	5.8	•	ė	•	?	9 .	•	, ·	
MANTE: TO LIVE AT HOME	21.9	21.3	24.0	24.5	5.6	1.1	•	•	
AREO FOR SPECIAL HELP IN (1)				,		,			
	32.4		32.0	26.3	4	23	•	ᅻ.	
REALING	14.4	13.7	•	•	•	'n.	2.5	:.	
MATECHATICS	46.7		ŝ.	\	.,	•	• •	n 4	
SOCIAL STUDIES	7		•			, 4	· •		
FOREIGN LANGUAGE	24.2	20.0	29.2	20.1	•	30,3	7	8	
	•		•				,		•
GUV'T NOT CONTROLLING POLLUTION	87.4	87.8		96.7		ċ	0		•
GOV'T NOT PROTECTING CONSUMER	75.6	74.3	75.9	20.0		ċ	~		
	47.7	48,2	•	•	•	ŝ	о .	'n,	
TOO MANY RIGHTS FOR CRIMINALS	54,7	55.3	•	•	ď.	ŗ,	- 1	•	
SHOULD ABOLISH DFATH PENALTY	~	47.6		•	∴.	ċ.	•	:.	
MOMEN'S ACTIVITIES BEST IN HOME	48.1	7.67	E 6 7	•	•	•	3 .	: (
HARFLY COMMUNICATE MITH PARENTS	1.8.6	19.0		•		ċ,	W P	•	
SHOULD LEGALIZE MARIJUANA	45.7	6.44	•		, c	;	"	٠,	
SHOULD DISCOURAGE LARGE FAMILIES	70.0	7.7	0.07	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\ 0 0 0 0	?	2.00	6.4.	
	A4.7	2.88	:.	•	, 4	0 0) 4	, 4	
ALL SHOULD RET COL OPPORTUNITY	70.5	74.5			:	•	4		
CAN DO LITTLE TO CHANGE SOCIETY	42.3	۲.24	,	;	•	•	•	•	

ERIC Acutant roundary tro

AGREF STRONGLY OF SOMEWHAT . COL REGULATE STUDENTS OFF CAMPUS BENEFIT OF COLLEGE IS HOMFTARY				TWO-YEAR COLLEGES	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	UR COLLEGES	AUS	UNIVERSITIES	
STRONGLY PEGULATE EF IT OF C	SE ASIA VETERANS	NON-SE AEIA VETERANS	SE ABIA VETER.UKS	NOH-BE ABIA VETERANS	BE ASIA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE AEA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	
PEGULATE FIT OF C						,			
BENEFIT OF COLLEGE 19 MONSTARY	16.2	10.4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1.2.4	7 66	c			
	65.0	3.96	•	•				÷.	
STUDENTS HELP EVALUATE FACULTY	70.2	66.7		•	•			Ň	
ABOLISH COLLEGE SPACES	27.0	4.40	•	•		0 0	5.0%		
DE-FROMANIAE DODANIAES ABORTO	2 1 6		0.75	•	6.82		0.70	27.0	
SPECIAL PROPERTY OF A STATE OF THE PARTY OF			23.0	•		24.6	000		•
COLUMN TAR BOOK AND THE STREET	1.21	41.0	47.0	44.7	•	36.8	37.8		
COLLEGE TAS AIGHT TO SAN SPEAKER	37.4	30.0		9		0	34.6	•	•
GIVE DISABVANTAGED PREF TRIBLE	43.7	0.65	6.44	30	72.0	0.00	V - 10 H		
COL TOO LAX ON STUDENT PROTEST	97.6	56.8			•	•		•	
ABOPT OPER ABMISSIONS AT PUB COL	50,1	45.1	: .	•	•	9 6		•	
. USF SAME DEGREE STANDARD FOR ALL	81.2	. 9.10		7 O W		V 17.			
		,	•	,	•	•	٥,	•	
CURRENT POLITICAL PREFERENCE		1							
FAR LEFT	9.0	9,0				•	•		
	34.8			0 1	•	2	•	•	,
MIDDLE-OF-THE-ROAD	. 41.4	•	"	N°00		4.00	D. 65		-(
COMBERVATIVE			;	7.07		40.0	•	31.2	42
FAB ALGHT				25.0	4.65	22.6	17.1		2-
	•	•	e•		E,	₹.	•	۲.	•
OBJECTIVES CONSIDERED TO LE						•			
EGGENTIAL DR VEDV TEBORALS						đ			
TEXTENSE TO STATE TO	•	•	c	•					
THE DRIFT IN A PROPERTY OF LEG			6.9	6.0	0.7	9.0	0.9	6.3	
OBTAIN DECIDATION TO A FIRE D	000	•••	r.	ŝ	6A.6	•	67.2	9.09	
CONTRACTOR DOST AND A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY			ċ	37.0	43.7	'n	39.4	,	
FEBRUARY SOUTH CALL SINGLESS	2.71	14.1	16.6	12.6	10.3	17.2	16.6		
DATE A MARKET	27.1	20.6	25.8	26.9	31.6		26.8	0	
	2.10	7.	1.18		61.8	65,3	0.04	0	
TANK BOLLAR GOODAL LIFE	47.7	43.3	47.9	. 9.17	48.2	46.4	46.2	٠	
THE ROLL IN THE PARTY OF THE PA	1.64	6.0	888	47.3	0.4	52.4	52,3		
DE PERSONAL DE PURANCE	0.	10°0	28.3	20,1	21.8	•	3	: -	
	24.5	30.5	17	0.05	27.6	2		•	
HE VERT WELL-OFF FINANCIALLY	41.2	6.04	ζ,	42.2	38.8	38.8		7	
DADESCRIPTION OF THE DATE OF T	53.7	57.1	4	1.96	54.5			•	
PEOPLE IN PEACE CORPS/VISTA	0.0	 •	•	2.6	6.0	€,		•	
DECEMBE A COMPUNITY LEADER	3.6	15.7	•	7.57	•		•	•	
CONTRIBUTE TO SCIENTIFIC THEORY	7.6	5.0	• •		7.4	Ġ			
WALTE ORIGINAL MORKS	10°3	9.7	•	7.0	•	0.01		٠.	
PEVER HE ORLIGATED TO PEOPLE	26.3	27.5	28.7	200	•			•	
CAPATE EDRIG OF ART	10.1			•	•			٠,	
KEEP UP WITH POLITICAL AFFAIRS	43.9		•	0 5			- •	;	
SUCCEED IN MY DEN BUSINESS	47.4		•		•				
MELP CLEAN UP FNVIRONNENT	43.5	0.44	•	• •				•	
DEVELUP A PHILOSOPHY OF LIFF	A.5.	66.8	•		7.97		2.0	•	
PARTICIPATE IN COMMUNITY ACTION	23.5	23.5	١.	D (× • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	٠,	•	,	
MARRY SITEIN NEXT & VEADS		4 0	7.22		20.5	•	23.4	9.0	
	•		. 18.9	19.7	50.9	21.1	-	17.0	

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1971

MSLI	ISNI	ALL INSTITUTIONS	TWO-YEA	TWO-YEAR COLLEGES	FOUR-YEA	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES	VINO	UNIVERSITIES	
•	SE ASIA VETERANS	NON-SE ABIA VETERANS	SE ASIA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASIA Veterans	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	SE ASIA Veterans	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS	
SELF RATINGS OF SETTER THAN				-					
AVENAGE ON PERSONAL TRAITS	1						-	,	
	2 2		22.1	23.5	, 0.6% 1.0.0%	. 42.3	4.85	•	
			37.6	1.00			9.07	3.00	
		47.2	0.4	C - E		1 6 - 6		75.1	
	• •	26.3	- •			0.70	c ^ 0	24.7	
DRIVE TO ACMTEVE	52.1	56.6	900	. 7	10	61.7	7.88	17	
LEADERSAIP ABILITY	1.44	1.1		ς.	c		200	61.3	
MATHEMATICAL ABILITY	17.7	23.7		21.1	•		•	1 - 12	
MECHANICAL ABILITY	38.1	38.4		·	,		~	43.7	
CHIBINALITY	20.5	30.5		30.5	~	0	31.4	31.0	
	9 0	10.0	0.	£.0	0	~	C	6.5	
		20°u	20.6	17.5	r.	'n	24.3	28.6	
I GENERAL)	0 ° ° °	25.6	17.7	20.00	. .		23.4	54.4	
	23.0	22.4	21.9	10°	:	● 1	20.5	24.2	
		1.00	13.4		N	, P	•	17.7	
		7.00	25.5	20.0	: c	•		37.7	
GELLACONA BURNCE (GUCAR)		***	24.5	24.2		•		۰ ء	~
STREET AND CALLEGE				ė.		•			4
		•	\	N	. C	4 C C C	A 6 6 8	6.4	3-
WRITING ABILITY	17.4		15.7	. o .	25.2	-	. P.	17.6	
						•			
STUDENTS ESTIMATE CHANCES ARE		•		•	•	,	,	1	
VERY GOUR TEAT THEY WILL	4.5	10.4	•	•	. 0.4		, ,		
MADES ATTILL A VESS ARTHO COL	4.5	200	9.5		7117		1.61		
VOTE IN 1972 PRES ELECTION	200	79.5	\ ° 0 F	, C - 0 2	94.9	70.7		• 7	
EN TOT IN ARMED GERV BEFURY GRAD	0	3.2			-	7.0		•	
	7.4	0.			3.0	2	8	9.5	
CHANGE CANFER CHOICE	7.0	0 .9	6	4.9	```	7.4	P. 9		
	6 6	2°4	B.F.	4		3.5	1.1	3.0	
HONOH	4.6	n .	7.6	4.5		7.0	€.B		
RE FLECTED TO A STUDENT OFFICE	2 .		7	۲.2	•		₹.	•	
20 ALIN2	e •	e •	6.9		2.5	10.7	2.4	7.7	
LEGIED TO	•		•	•	,		7.	7.	
AT LEAST A	- ,	6.61	1.4.	•		21.5	10.0		
MENT EXICA LIME TO GET DEGREE	\	c c		e (7.17	6	0		•
VOCATIONAL	13.7	-2.8	•	* 6 +					
PERSONAL CO	-		0.7	* 4	. ~		9 0		
	\								
GET TUTONING HELP IN COURSES	2.9	7.0	- 6	• •		9-	2.7	•	
AUTHOR A PUBLISHED ARTICLE	2.5	2,8	-	• -		1.7	7.2		
RE MURE SUCCESSFUL THAN MOST	13.7	19.4	14.0	19.1	•	20.01	15.7	16.7	
DROP GHT TEMPORAHILY	-	5.7	2.1	e	·-	200	1.6	~	
DROP OUT PERMANENTLY	€.	1.1	``	1.1	•	1.1	1.5	S,	
TRANSFER TO ANOTHER COLLEGE	e.	6 .		10.1		-	8.2	•	
BE SATISFIED WITH MY COLLEGE	56.4	59.5	54.3	59.1	3 .	7.8%	64.8	61.6	
•									

WEIGHTED NATIONAL NORMS BY VETERAN STATUS AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION FRESHMEN MEN: FALL, 1971

									Į
	A	ALL	TWO-VEAR	TWO-VEAR COLLEGES	FOUR-YEA	FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES		2341135	
TEN.	SE ASIA VETERANS	NON-SE ASIA VETERANS							
ACTIVITIES ENGAGED IN BY	4				•				
	22.3	23.1	20.4	21.7	27.6	25.7	23.8	25.2	
CANT LATE TO CLASS		32.3			32.6	36.4	98.0	21.4	
PLAYED A MUSICAL INSTRUMENT	19.5	10.5	L.02	7.6	19.0	17.6	16.8	19.8	
STUDIED IN THE LIBRARY (3)	15.3	16.5	13.0	15.8	22.9	10.2	7.71	12.7	
CHECKED DUT A LIRBARY BOOK (3)	20.8	20.2	20.0	~	23.0	24.4	22.6	17.0	
	30.0	29.4	28.6	27.2	32.3	34.4	35.8	20.0	
OVERSITE AND MISSED A CLASS	18.2	25.0	15.4	25.2	25.2	23.0	22.7	14.7	
READ ABOUT BIGHTS/RESPONSIBLITY	41.0	42.4	37.9	0 00	50.05	80.07	42.8	46.5	
(N) LYBEROUSE YOURSELF V CHEXT	6			7	10.9	9.11		7.5	
DISCUSSED FUTURE ETTE PARENTS (U)	19.0	20.9	- N -	21.6	17.8	20.7	22.8	16.4	
MAS LATE WITH SCHOOL ASSIGNMENT	42.8	43.3	41.3	41.1	4.4	48.7	45.5	41.9	
AKRUED WITH A TEACHER IN CLASS	27.6	29.6.	25.5	25.5	31.6	36.9	34.6	36.3	
ATTENDED A RELIGIOUS SERVICE	62.5	A5.4	1.16	S. 02	C. E.	72.1	40°3	45.6	
DEMONSTRATED FOR RACIAL CHANGE	11,3	11.7	10.2	10.01	14.9	12.6	10.9	17.1	
DEMONSTRATED FOR MILITARY CHANGE	10.0	17.5	16.5	0.41	15.9	12.7	2.4	24.3	
DEMONSTRATED FOR SCHOOL CHANGE	0 E	10.7	7.7	11.2	8.5	0.0	o•.€	10.2	
DIN EXTRA READING FOR COURSE (3)	9.9	7.6	6.7	6.0	4.6	0°.6	0.6	ح. جه	-
TOOK SLEFPING PILLS	4.2	4.2	-;	o. 4	4.3	. 7.2	5.3	٠ ٠	4
TUTORED ANOTHER STUDENT	18.	21.3	16.4	17.0	. 0.22	30.8	23.1	, 22.4	+-
PLAYED CHESS	45.8	43.2	2°07	A.00.	48.7	50°+	1.67	15.4	•
READ POFTRY NOT REQUIRED .	35.3	1.17	32.5	37.5	42.1	47.6	40.8	46.5	
TOOK A TRANSUILIFING PILL	٠.	7. ¢		7.5	o.	3.8	7.5	£.6	
DISCUSSED PELIATON (3)	1.1	16.1	10.4	15.2	14.7	18.7	e.	14.5	
TOOK VITAMINS	46.2	44.3	46.9	43.7	44.8	45.1	43.6	4.5	
VISITED ART RAILFRY OR MUSEUM	51.0	54.1	6.67		57.4	58.5	54.9	e. 04	
PARTICIPATED IN H.S. POL. CHPG.	11.8	. 7 1	₹.	. = 2.21	7.00	18.3	14.7	15.3	
CHPA	7.6	7.7	6°E	7.4	8°	6.7	6,0	13.3	
MISSED SCHOOL DUE TO ILLNESS (3)	€.	1.2	5 .	₽.	r.	1.1	•	~	•
SMAKED CIGARFITES (3)	34.2	30.6	34.0	33.4	36.3	23.6	4.00	32.4	
DISCUSSED POLITICS (3)	15.6	20.2	13.4	19.8	22.0	0.12	17.8 -	28.1	
DRANK BEER	74.5	73.0	74.4	70.5	73.7	75.1	77.5	20°C	
DISCLOSED SPORTS (3)	34.4	35.3	33.4	4.18	37.0	42.0	36.2	45.8	
	67.7	70.4	04.4	65.6	77.0	7.7.4	71.1	8.5 5.5	
ASKED TEACHER FOR AUVICE (3)	7.0	10.0	0.0	10.2	13,1	12.2	11.7	12.1	
HAT VOCATIONAL COUNSELING	30.2	38.2	5.85	34.2	7.	40°5	6.07	32.0	
STAYED UP ALL NIGHT	57.3	59.5		5h.7	N. 90	67.4	50°	> 10	

1901) This item is reported for the fibet time in 1971. Items not indicated with "*** are repfated or modi-* fied questions from one or more of the earlier surveys.

SINCE IT IS POSSIBLE THAT SOME STUDENTS MAY HAVE CHECKED MORE THAN ONE RESPONSE CATEGOPY FOR THIS ITEM, THE PERCENTAGES MAY SUM TO MORE THAN 100.

RECATEBORIZATION OF THIS ITEM FROM A LONGER LIST IS SHOWN IN APPENDIX C OF THE 1971 NAT'L NORMS REPORT.

FREQUENTLY ONLY, ALL OTHER TERMS FREQUENTLY PLUS OCCASIONALY.

Appendix A

1971 Student Information Form

			1 1 5 5	DESTINATION OF A	() ,
YOUR NAMEFirst	Middle or Maid	den Last	•	191543	
(please print)	•		When v	_ 	
HOME STREET ADDRESS			you bo	im?	
(print) -	~	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Month Day	Year
CITY	STATE	Zip Code (if known)		(01-12) (01-31)	
(print) .	(print)				GRP.
Dear Student:				00000000	00
The information in this report is be	ring collected as part	of a continuing study of higher edi	ucation by	000000000	00
the American Council on Education. and educational organizations, encoun	The Council, which	is a non-governmental association in this research.	order to	0000000000	
achieve a better understanding of how	, students are affecte	ed by their college experiences. D	eranen iii.	0000000000	00
formation on the mosts and design of	this research program	m are turnished in research report	Savallable	@@@@ <mark>@</mark> @@@@	
from the Council Identifying informa	ition has been reques	ted in order to mak'e subsequent in	iail tollow-	0000000000	S
up studies possible. Your response will	be held in the stricte	est professional confidence;		000000000	
`		1		00000000000	
•	Şincerely yours,	Ligan Wilse	•		
•		Logan Wilson, President		0000000000	
	. 11				
DIRECTIONS: Your responses will be	read by an 8	Mark one: This is the first time 1	have enrolled in	college as a freshman	
optical mark reader. Your careful o					
these few simple rules will be most a		I came to this college fi			_
11 - 1 to block lead page it (No. 216)		I came to this college fi	om a four-year	college or university	O
Use only black lead pencil (No. 2½) Make heavy black marks that fill the					
Erase cleanly any answer you wish t		. The following questions deal wit	h accomplish	ments that might possibly a	ppiy
Make no stray markings of any kind	II)	to your high school years. Do no	ot be discoura	aged by this list; it covers m	any
Make 10 20 all at markings or any killer	Yes No	areas of interest and few student	s will be able	to say "yes" to many items	
EXAMPLE: Wifi marks made with ball		(Mark all that apply)			Yes
fountain pen be properly		Was elected president of one or more			0
		by the school)			\sim
•		Received a high rating (Good, Excelle			$\tilde{\alpha}$
1, Your Sex: 2. Are you present	itly married?	Participated in a state or regional spe	ech or debate c	ontest	ŏ
Male .O Yes O		Had a major part in a play			Õ
Female O No O	·	Won a prize or award in an art comp			Ö
1	1	Won a prize or award in an art composition Edited the school paper, yearbook, C	r leasary maga	7:0e	
0. 1.01. 0.2 /	as your average	Had poems, stories, essays, or article			
	secondary	Participated in a National Science Fo	undetion Sumn	ner nrogram	. 0
	(Mark one)	Placed (first, second, or third) in a st			. Ō
16 or younger O A or A+		Was a member of a scholastic honor			0
17 O A 18 O B+		Won a Certificate of Merit or Letter of	of Commendate		10
•	ŏ				
_		0. What is the highest academic	1 12 What	is the highest level of forma	l educa-
^ .		degree that you intend to		btained by your parents? (
21 O C+ 22-25 O C		obtain? (Mark one)		n column) Father	Mother
26 or older O D	_ II '	None		Grammar school or less O.	.Q
2001 01001		Associate (A.A. or equivalent) . O	•	Some high school	Q
5. Where did you rank academically in	n vour hi c h	Bachelor's degree (B.A.,B.S.,etc.)	ļ	High school graduate . Q	Q
school graduating class? (Mark one)		Master's degree (M.A.,M.S ,etc.)	• [Some college	. 0
Top Quarter O 3rd Quarter C	• "	Ph.D. or Ed.D		College degree	ŏ
2nd Quarter O 4th Quarter C		M.D.,D O.,D.D.S., or D.V.M Q		Postgraduate degrée O	O
	.	LL.B. or Ĵ.D. (Law)			
6. Did you graduate from secondary s	chool in the	B.D. (Divinity)		ou have any concern about	
class of 1971?	.7	Other	abilit	y to finance your college ed	ucation?
Yes O No	. I			None (I am confident that I	~`
	`∥₁	1. How many miles is this college	`	will have sufficient funds)	0
7. Are you a veteran? (Mark one)	'	from your home? (Mark one)	, (Mark	Some concern (but I will	^
No	o I.	5 or less O 51-100 C	one)	pro ably have enough fund	
Yes, I served in Southeast Asia	.'ŏ	6-10 . O 101-500 C)	Major concern (not sure I will	_
Yes, but I did not serve in Southeast As		11:50 . O More than 500 C)	be able to complete college)	Ο, .
1 42' PAC LOID HAT SOLID HIL GARNINGS CAN		.,	1		

14.	For each item indicate if it is a source for financing	Mine Source No. e Source
	your education. (Mark one	9 9 9
	in each row)	£ £ 5
	Part-time or summer work . (200
	Savings from full-time employment	
•	Parental or family aid or gifts (200
	Federal benefits from parent's	200
	military service	
	Scholarships and grants	
	NDEA loans, federally insured loans	
	or college loans	000
	Other repayable loans	ogo
15.	What is your best estimate of the total	
	last year of your parental family (not family if you are married)? Consider	
•	come from all sources before taxes. ()	
	Less than \$4,000 O \$15,000-\$19	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	\$4.000-\$5,999	
	\$6,000-\$7,999 O \$25,000-\$29	
,	, \$8, 000-\$ 9,999 .	,999 O
	\$10,000 \$12,499	, 9 99 、
	\$12,500-\$14,999 (\$40,000 or n	nore O
16.	Are you: (Mark all that apply.)	_
	White/Caucasian	Q
	Black/Negro/Afro-American .	7. Q
	American Indian	0
	Mexican-American/Chicano	,
	Puerto Rican-American	Ö
	Other	. Ŏ
17.	Mark and in each	ur Present Religious
		raference
	Protestant O	0 1
	Roman Catholic O	Q
	Jewish	·0
	Other O	Ŏ.
,	None O	· U
18.	In deciding to go to college, how im-	z 8 z
	portant to you was each of the	5 E 5
	following reasons? (Mark one answer	E SE
	for each reason)	3 8 3
	My parents wanted me to go	⊗ ©®
	To be able to contribute more to my	000
	 community To be able to get a better job 	000
•	To gain a general education and	.000
•	appreciation of ideas	000
	Tổ improve my reading and study skills	. ØØØ
	There was nothing better to do	000
	To make me a more cultured person .	.⊗©®
	To be able to make more money	000
	To learn more about things that interest m	~~~
	To meet new and interesting people	. 000

To prepare myself for graduate or

•	• .	•	
19.	Below is a general lidents sometimes do these things you did in school. If you er frequently, mark (. Indicate w I during the page of the page	hich of past year activity
	an activity one or m		
	frequently, mark @	(occasional	iy). 🖫 😤
	Mark (N) (not at all		E 8
	not performed the		
	during the past year one for each item)	r. (Mark	~ ڇ ۾ چ
-	Voted in a student elec	ction	\mathbf{F}
	Came late to class		600
	Played a musical instru	ment	. @@®
	Studied in the library	·· •:···	600
•	Checked out a book or		
	from the school librar		$\Theta \otimes \Theta$
	Arranged a date for an		. @@
-	Overslept and missed a		
	appointment .		600
•	Read about collegiate i	•	·
	responsibilities of stu-		
	Typed a homework ass		
	Discussed my future w	ith my parents	PO 0
	Failed to complete a hi	omework	
	assignment on time.		
	Argued with a teacher		
•	Attended a religious se	rvice,	(F) (D) (N)
	Demonstrated for a cha		
	some racial or ethnic (policy	. 60
_	Demonstrated for a chi		
	some military policy		. 60
	Demonstrated for a chi	ingė in	
	some administrative p		
	my high school,		. 60 0
	Did extra (unassigned)	reading _	•
	for a course		$\Theta \Theta \Theta$
			.@@\\
	Tutored another stude		(P)(Q)(Q)
	Played chess		@@®
	Read poetry not conne		000
			$\Theta \Theta \Theta$
	Took a tranquilizing pi		.@@@
	Discussed religion	• • •	@@®
	Took vitamins .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	@@@
	Visited an art gellery or		@@@ @@@
	Worked in a school pol		EMM
	Worked in a local, state		000
	political campaign		
	Missed school because		
	Smoked cigarettes		
	Discussed politics .		888
	Drank beer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	600
	Raad about civil rights		
	Asked e teacher for adv		
•	Had vocational counsel		T T T
	Stayed up all night		(P) (N)
٠	Crayon ah an mgit		~~~
20	How would you	Far left	\cap
LV.	characterize	Liberal	\sim
	your political	Middle-of-the	road O
	views? (Merk one)	Conservative.	
	Ç With	Fer right	Ξ
			_

21. Mark only three responses, one insech column.

Your probable career occupation
Your father's occupation
Your mother's occupation

OP

Q00	
NOTE: If your father (or moth	
please indicate his (her) fast occ	
Accountant or actuary Actor or entertainer	.O.D
Actor or entertainer	OP
Architect	90
Artist	OP
Architect	OP P
Business executive	
(management, administrator)	999
Business owner or proprietor	. O P &
Business salesman or buyer	ଡ଼ି ଓଡ଼ି
Clergyman (minister, priest)	96
Clergy (other religious)	Θ P
Clinical psychologist College teacher	000
College teacher	90
Computer programmer	0 C
Conservationist or forester	. Ø Ø
Dentist (including orthodontist	\mathbf{O}
Dietitian or home economist	9 P
Engineer	90
Dietitian or home economist Engineer	90
Foreign service worker	
Foreign service worker (including diplomat) Housewife Interior decorator	OP P
Housewife	.OO
Interior decorator	
'(including designer) Interpreter (translator) Lab technician or hygienist	96
Interpreter (translator)	900
Lab technician or hygienist	OP P
Law enforcement officer	\mathbf{w}
Lawyer (attorney)	OP P
Lawyer (attorney)	OP
Nurse	. (9) (9)
Optometrist	(P)
Pharmacist	(P)
Physician	999
Nurse Optometrist Pharmacist Physician School counselor	Ø Ø
School principal or	
superintendent	⊘ ®
Scientific researcher	ଫ୍ରାଡ଼
Social worker	Ø ®
Statistician	OP
Therapist (physical,	
occupational, speech)	. O O O
Teacher (elementary)	OP P
Teacher (secondary)	(P)
Veterinarian	OPE
Teacher (secondary) Veterinarian Writer or journalist	900
Skilled trades	WE W
Other	.W
Undecided	.
Laborer (unskilled)	. EN
Semi-skilled worker	. Ø8

Other occupation

According of others Configuration College officials have the right to regulate student by Average College officials have the right to regulate student by Average College officials have the right to regulate student by Average College officials have the right to regulate student by Average College officials have the right to regulate student by Average College officials have the right to regulate student by Average College officials have the right to regulate student by Average College officials have the right of cell posterior in that it increases one; is ferring power College officials have the right of cell posterior College officials have the right posterior College officials College profess have the right posterior College officials have the right to be observed College officials have the right posterior College officials have the	22 .	Rate yourself on each of the following traits as you really think you are when compared with the average student of your own age. We want the most accurate estimate of how you see yourself. (Mark one for each	24	Mark one in each row: Agree strongly Agree somewhat Disagree somewhat Disagree strongly College officials have the right to regulate student be-
Trail Figure 10		Above Below		
Accelemic shaltity		Average Average		
Trail Academic sibility O O O O Antiesce ability O O O O Cherfulness O O O O Cherfulne				havior off campus
Arthritic ability	*		l	
Aristic ability				
Cheferiures				
Orien to achieve Colleges would be improved if organized sports Ween de-amphasized Methematical ability Originality O				
Direct to achieve Ledering abolity Methamical solity OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO			1	
Leadership ability Methematical ability Methematical ability Organity Or			∥ .	
Mechemical ability				
Mechanical ability Ongrinity Ongraitry Ongraitry Oncolority Oncolority Opolitical conservation Opoliti			ll	
Organizity O. O. O. O. Political liberatum O. O. O. O. Political liberatum O. O. O. O. O. Political liberatum O. O. O. O. O. O. Political liberatum O. Public speaking ability O. O. O. O. O. O. O. Sett-confidence (intellicitual) O. O. O. O. O. Sett-confidence (intellicitual) O. O. O. O. O. O. Sett-confidence (intellicitual) O.]]	^ ^ ^
Political insertants			1	Students from disadvantaged social backgrounds should
Populiarity with the opposite sex. O O O O Popularity with the opposite sex. O O O O O O O Self-confidence (inselfactual) O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		Political conservatism	I	be given preferential treatment in college admissions O O O
Popularly with the opposite sex O O O O Set controller with the opposite sex O O O O Set controller with the opposite sex O O O O Set controller (institute) O O O O O Set controller (institute) O O O O O O Set controller (institute) O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		Political liberalism	li	Most college officials have been too lax in dealing
Public speaking ability Self-contidence (instellectual) Sensitivity to criticism Self-contidence (social) Sensitivity to criticism Understanding of others Understanding others is so the chances that 'You will: (Mark-one for each item) Understanding others Understanding of others Underst		Popularity	l	with student protests on campus
Self-confidence (insellectual)		Popularify with the opposite sex. O O O O	1	Open admissions (admitting envone who applies)
Sent-confidence (social)		Public speaking ability O O O O O	ll l	should be adopted by all publicly-supported colleges O O . O
Sensitivity to Criticism Subbornness O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O				Even if it employs open admissions, a college should
Stubbornness Understanding of others Understanding of others Writing ability 23. Mark one is approximated to the chances that / you will: (Mark-one for each item) The Federal government is not doing enough to control environmental pollution The Federal government is not doing enough to control environmental pollution The Federal government is not doing enough to control environmental pollution The Federal government is not doing enough to control environmental pollution The Federal government is not. doing enough to promote school despergation The death penalty should be abolished The death penalty should be should penalty one of time to the home and family The death penalty should be abolished The death penalty should be abolished The death penalty should be abolished The death penalty should be given are not penalty should be abolished The death penalty				0 0 0
Understanding of others			1	degrees to all students
Writing ability Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree somewhat Disagree comewhat Disagree comewhat Disagree comewhat Disagree comewhat Disagree strongly Agree comewhat Disagree comewhat Disag			Į.	
is reach Agree somewhat Disagree strongly Disagree strongly			1	and the second s
is reach Agree somewhat Disagree strongly Disagree strongly		writing ability),	What is your hest quies as to the chances that
The Federal government is not doing enough to control environmental forms of the federal government is not doing enough to control environmental forms of the federal government is not doing enough to protect the consumer from faulty goods and services of the federal government is not doing enough to protect the consumer from faulty goods and services of the federal government is not, doing enough to promote school desegregation of the federal government is not, doing enough to promote school desegregation of the federal government is not. The Federal government is not, doing enough to promote school desegregation of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The courts for the rights of criminals of the federal government is not. The death penalty should be abolished. The death penalty should be abolished. The activities of married women are best confined to the home and family of the extra fear time to complate your degree requirements? The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The activities of married women are best confined to the home and family of the elected to a student office? The deeth penalty should be abolished. The registrous forms of times of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not do not not not not not not not not not no	23.	Mark one Agree Strongly	23	your will. (Mark one for each item)
The Federal government is not doing enough to control environmental forms of the federal government is not doing enough to control environmental forms of the federal government is not doing enough to protect the consumer from faulty goods and services of the federal government is not doing enough to protect the consumer from faulty goods and services of the federal government is not, doing enough to promote school desegregation of the federal government is not, doing enough to promote school desegregation of the federal government is not. The Federal government is not, doing enough to promote school desegregation of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The courts for the rights of criminals of the federal government is not. The death penalty should be abolished. The death penalty should be abolished. The activities of married women are best confined to the home and family of the extra fear time to complate your degree requirements? The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The activities of married women are best confined to the home and family of the elected to a student office? The death penalty should be abolished. The registrous forms of times of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not. The registrous forms of the federal government is not do not not not not not not not not not no		in each Agree somewhat S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	-	And will supplied to provide them.
pollution The Féderal government is not doing enough to protect the consumer from faulty goods and services The Federal government is not, doing enough to promote school desegregation There is too much concern in the courts for the rights of criminals The death penality should be abolished The activities of married women are best confined to the home and family The "generation gap" between me and my parents is so great that we can barely communicate. Parents should be legalized Parents should be legalized Women should be legalized Women should be legalized Women should be given an opportunity to go to collegi- regardless of past performance or apt (uda test scores) Peelstically, an individual person can do little to bring about changes in Enilst in the armed services, before graduating? Change major freid? Change career choice? Fail one or more courses? Be elected to a student office? Join a social framerity, sorority, or club? Be elected to an academic honor society? Make at least a "B" average? Need extre time to complate your degree requirements? Seek vopational counseling? Seek vopational counseling? Seek individual counseling? Seek individual counseling on personal problems? Author or co-author a published article? O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		Disagree strongly	·	Get married while in college?
pollution The Féderal government is not doing enough to protect the consumer from faulty goods and services The Federal government is not, doing enough to promote school desegregation There is too much concern in the courts for the rights of criminals The death penality should be abolished The activities of married women are best confined to the home and family The "generation gap" between me and my parents is so great that we can barely communicate. Parents should be legalized Parents should be legalized Women should be legalized Women should be legalized Women should be given an opportunity to go to collegi- regardless of past performance or apt (uda test scores) Peelstically, an individual person can do little to bring about changes in Enilst in the armed services, before graduating? Change major freid? Change career choice? Fail one or more courses? Be elected to a student office? Join a social framerity, sorority, or club? Be elected to an academic honor society? Make at least a "B" average? Need extre time to complate your degree requirements? Seek vopational counseling? Seek vopational counseling? Seek individual counseling? Seek individual counseling on personal problems? Author or co-author a published article? O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		The Federal government is not doing	Ħ	
pollution The Féderal government is not doing enough to protect the consumer from faulty goods and services The Federal government is not, doing enough to promote school desegregation There is too much concern in the courts for the rights of criminals The death penality should be abolished The activities of married women are best confined to the home and family The "generation gap" between me and my parents is so great that we can barely communicate. Parents should be legalized Parents should be legalized Women should be legalized Women should be legalized Women should be given an opportunity to go to collegi- regardless of past performance or apt (uda test scores) Peelstically, an individual person can do little to bring about changes in Enilst in the armed services, before graduating? Change major freid? Change career choice? Fail one or more courses? Be elected to a student office? Join a social framerity, sorority, or club? Be elected to an academic honor society? Make at least a "B" average? Need extre time to complate your degree requirements? Seek vopational counseling? Seek vopational counseling? Seek individual counseling? Seek individual counseling on personal problems? Author or co-author a published article? O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		enough to control environmental	H	Vote in the 1972 presidential election?
Change career choice? Fail one or more courses? Greduate with honors? Greduate with honors courset? Octobre Greduate with honors? Greduate with honors courset? Octobre Greduate with honors course		pollution	1	
from faulty goods and services		The Féderal government is not do-		
The Federal government is not, doing enough to promote school desegregation			li	
doing enough to promote school desegregation		from faulty goods and services O O O	I	
School desegregation OOO ON There is too much concern in the courts for the rights of criminals OOOO ON The death penalty should be abolished OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
There is too much concern in the courts for the rights of criminals				
Courts for the rights of criminals O O O O The death penalty should be abolished O O O O The activities of married women are best confined to the home and family O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		•		
The death penalty should be abolished. O. O. O. The activities of married women are best confined to the home and family O. O. O. O. The "generation gap" between me and my parents is so great that we can barely communicate. O. O. O. O. Parents should be legalized O. O. O. O. Parents should be legalized O.	•			
The activities of married women are best confined to the home and family O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		The death panelty should be sholished Q Q Q	1	
Author or co-author a published article?			I	Have to work at an outside job?
Author or co-author a published article?				Seek vocational counseling?
Author or co-author a published article?		•		Seek individual counseling on personal problems?
Author or co-author a published article?			1	Enroll in honors courses? O. O. O. O.
Parents should be discouraged from having large families		barely communicate		
attending this college?		Marijuana should be legalizad O . O . O . O . O	1	Author or co-author a published article?
Women should receive the same salary and opportunities for advancement as men in comparable positions				
and opportunities for advancement as men in comparable positions	~	having large families		
as men in comparable positions		•		
Everybody should be given an opportunity to go to college regardless of past performance or apt ¿uda test scoras . O . O . O . O . O . O . O . O . O .			1	
ity to go to college regardless of past performance or apt ¿uda test scoras O .		_		
performance or apt ¿uda test scoras	-			De Satished With Your coneger
Realistically, an individual person can of the following subjects? (Mark all that apply) do little to bring about changes in English O Mathematics O Science			2	R. Do you feel that you will need any enerial tutoring or remedial work in any
do littla to bring about changes in English . O Mathematics O Science		A	1 ~	
		•		
			1	

- 27. Below is a list of 68 different undergraduate major fields grouped into general categories. Mark only three of the 68 fields as follows
 - First choice (your probable major field of study)
 - 2 Second choice
 - The field of study which is least appealing to you

The field of study which is least appealing to you			
ARTS AND HUMA	NITIES	PROFESSIONAL	
Architecture	.000	Health Technology	
English (literature)	000	(medical, dental,	
Fine arts	.000	laboratory)	000
	.000	Nursing	000
Journalism (writing)	.000	Pharmacy	.000.
Language (modern)	000	Predentistry	000
Language (other)		Prelaw	
Music '		Premedical	
Philosophy		Preveterinary	000
Speech and drama .		Therapy (occupat.,	
Theology		physical, speech)	
Other	.000	Other	000
BIOLOGICAL SCIE	NCE	SOCIAL SCIENCE	
Biology (general)	•	Anthropology	തര
	.000	Èconomics	
	000	Education	
	.000	History	
	000	Political Science	
Other		(government,	
		int. relations'	വരവ
BUSINESS		Psychology	
Accounting	.നമമ	Social work	
Business admin.	000	Sociology	
Electronic data			000
processing	.000	,	,
Secretarial studies .		OTHER FIELDS	
Other	.000	Agriculture	000
		Communications	
ENGINEERING		(radio, T.V , etc.)	
Aeronautical .	000	Computer Science	000
Civil		Environmental Science	000
Chemical		Electronics	
	. ପୃତ୍ତଦ୍ର		
Industrial		Forestry	
Mechanical	000	Home economics	
Other	വര്ന	Industrial arts	000
		Library sgience	(A)
PHYSICAL SCIENC		Military science	വര <i>ം</i>
Chemistry		Physical education	080
Earth science		and recreation	O O O
Mathematics	UOU.		.000
Physics		Other	000
Statistics		(nontechnical)	
Other "	.000	Undecided	UBB

Please be sure that only three circles have been marked in the above list.

28. Indicate the importance to you personally of each of the following: (Mark one for each item)

•	A 26 8 8
Becoming accomplished in one of the performing arts	
(acting, dancing, etc.)	. ତ୍ରଭୁଦ୍ର
*Becoming an authority in my field	. (b) (3) (b)
Obtaining recognition from my colleagues for con-	
tributions in my special field	. © ⊗ ⊗ ®
Influençing the political structure	
Influencing social values	. (B) (O) (N)
Raising a family	
Having an active social life	
Having friends with different backgrounds and	
interests from mine	. (800)
Becoming an expert in finance and commerce	.®⊗®®`
Having administrative responsibility for the work of others	. 6 000
Being very well-off financially	. BOS
Helping others who are in difficulty	. 6 000
Participating in an organization like the Peace Corps or Vista	
Becoming a community leader	
Making a theoretical contribution to science	
Writing original works (poems, novels, short stories,etc.)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
Never being obligated to people	
Creating artistic work (painting, sculpture, decorating, etc.)	
Keeping up to date with political affairs	
Being successful in a business of my own	
Becoming involved in programs to clean up the environment	
Developing a meaningful philosophy of life	
Participating in a community action program	
Getting married within the next five years	

29. Below are some of the reasons that might have influenced your decision to attend this particular college. How important was: each reason in deciding to come here? (Mark one answer for éach statement)

the same district to open statements.	
My relatives wanted me to come here	.000
This coilege has a very good reputation	.090
Most of my friends are going to this college	. Ø ® ®
Because of low tustion	.ØØ®
Someone who had been here before advised me to go	
Because of the special educational programs offered	
I was not accepted anywhere else	
My guidance counselor advised me to go	. Ø ® ®
I wanted to live at home	

DIRECTIONS:

The remaining circles are provided for items specifically, designed by your college, rather then by the American Council on Education. If your college has chasen to use the circles, observe carefully the supple-

	DO NOT MARK
30. 🛇 🛭 🔾 🛈 🖺	00000
31. 🛇 🕲 🔘 🗓 🗓	00000
32. 6000	00000
33. 6000	00000
34. Ø@@@	00000
35. (A) (B) (C) (B)	00000
36. Ø ® © Ø ®	00000
37. Ø ® Ø ®	00000
34. Ø ® © © ©	
	1 2 2 2 2 2
39. 6000	00000

Appendix B

Coding Scheme for Collapsed Items

Probable Major Field of Study

Probable Occupation

Father's Occupation

Probable Major Field of Study

Collapsed Category	Item Response Alternatives
Agriculture	Agriculture; Forestry
Biological Sciences	Biology (general); Biochemistry; Biophysics; Botany; Zoology; Other Biological Sciences
Business	Accounting; Business Administration; Data Processing; Secretarial Studies; Other Business
Education	Education; Physical Education and Recreation
Engineering	Aeronautical; Civil; Chemical; Electrical; Industrial; Mechanical; Other Engineering
English	English (literature)
Health Professions	Health Technology; Nursing; Pharmacy; Therapy
History and Political Science	History (Arts and Humanities); History (Social Science); Political Science
Humanities (Other)	Language (modern); Language (other); Philosophy; Theology; Other Arts and Humanities
Fine Arts	Architecture; Fine Arts; Journalism; Music; Speech and Drama
Mathematics and Statistics	Mathematics; Statistics
Physical Sciences	Chemistry; Earth Science; Physics; Other Physical Science
Preprofessional	Predentistry; Prelaw; Premedical; Preveterinary
Social Sciences	Anthropology; Economics; Psychology; Social Work; Sociology; Other Social Science
Other Fields (Technical)	Other Professional; Communications; Computer Science; Environmental Science; Electronics; Industrial Arts Other Technical
Other Fields (Nontechnical)	Home Economics; Library Science; Military Science; Other Nontechnical
Undecided -	Undecided



Probable Occupation

Collapsed Category	Item Response Alternatives
Artist (including Performer)	Actor or Entertainer; Artist; In- terior Decorator; Musician; Writer or Journalist
Businessman	Accountant or Actuary; Business Ex- ecutive; Business Owner or Proprie- tor; Business Salesman or Buyer
Clergyman	. Clergyman; Clergy (other religious)
College Teacher	College Teacher
Doctor (M.D. or D.D.S.)	Dentist (including orthodontist); Physician
Educator (secondary)	School Counselor; School Principal or Superintendent; Teacher (secondary)
Elementary Teacher .	Teacher (elementary)
Engineer	Engineer
Farmer or Forester	Conservationist or Foréster; Farmer or Rancher
Health Professional	Dietician or Home Economist; Lab Technician or Hygienist; Optometrist; Pharmacist; Therapist; Veterinarian
Lawyer	Lawyer (attorney)
Nurse	Nurse
Research Scientist	Scientific Researcher
Other Choice	Architect; Business (clerical); Clinical Psychologist; Computer Programmer; Foreign Service Worker; Housewife; Interpreter; Law Enforce- ment Officer; Military Service; Social Worker; Statistician; Skilled Trades; Other
Undecided	Undecided

Father's Occupation

Collapsed Category	Item Response Alternatives
Artist (including Performer)	Actor or Entertainer; Artist; Interior Decorator; Musician; Writer or Journalist
Businessman	Accountant or Actuary; Business Ex- ecutive; Business Owner or Proprie- tor; Business Salesman or Buyer
Clergyman	. Clergyman; Clergy (other religious)
College Teacher	College Teacher
Dector (M.D. or D.D.S.)	Dentist (including orthodontist); Physician
Educator (secondary)	School Counselor; School Principal or Superintendent; Teacher (secondary
Elementary Teacher	Teacher (elementary)
Engineer	Engineer
Farmer or Forester	Conservationist or Forester; Farmer or Rancher
Health Professional	Dietician or Home Economist; Lab Technician or Hygienist; Optometrist; Pharmacist; Therapist; Veterinarian
Lawyer	Lawyer (attorney)
Military Career	Military Service (career)
Research Scientist	'Scientific Researcher
Skilled Worker	Skilled Trades
Semi-skilled Worker .	Semi-skilled Worker
Unskilled Worker	Laborer (unskilled)
Unemployed	Unemployed
Other	Architect; Business (clerical); Clinical Psychologist; Computer Pro- yrammer; Foreign Service Worker; Housewife; Interpreter; Law En- forcement Officer; Nurse; Social Worker; Statistician; Other Occu- pation



Other Recent Publications by the Staff of the Office of Research American Council on Education

(ACE)

- Astin, A. W. College Dropouts: A National Profile. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 7, No. 1, Washington: ACE, 1972.
- Astin, Alexander W. College-going and Human Development. Change, 4 (September 1972), 11, 62.
- Astin, A. W. Predicting Academic Performance in College. New York: Free Press, 1971.
- Astin, A. W., and Lee, C. B. T. The Invisible Colleges. Carnegie Commission Series on Higher Education. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1971.
- Astin, H. S., Astin, A. W., Bisconti, A. S., and Frankel, H. H. Higher Education and the Disadvantaged Student. Washington: Human Service Press, 1972.
- Astin, H. S., and Bayer, A. E. Sex Discrimination in Academe. Educational Record, 53 (Spring 1972), 101-118.
- Bayer, A. E. Institutional Correlates of Faculty Support of Campus Unrest. Sociology of Education, 45 (Winter 1972), 76-94.
- Bayer, A. E., Kent, L. P., and Dutton, J. E. Children of Clergymen: Do They Fit the Stereotype? The Christian Century, 89 (June 1972), 708-713.
- Bayer, A. E. The Black College Freshman: Characteristics and Recent Trends. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 7, No. 3, Washington: ACE, 1972.
- Bayer, A. E. College Impact on Marriage. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 34 (November (1972),600-609.
- Boruch, R. F. and Creager, J. A. Measurement Error in Social and Educational Survey Research. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 7, No. 2. Washington: ACE, 1972.
- Creager, J. A. Academic Achievement and Institutional Environments: Two Research Strategies. Journal of Experimental Education, 40 (Winter 1971), 9-23.
- Creager, J. A. Futurism in Higher Education. Change, 4 (Winter 1972), 8, 62.
- Creager, J. A. The American Graduate Student: A Normative Description. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 6, No. 5. Washington: ACE, 1971.
- Drew, D. E. A Profile of the Jewish Freshman. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 5, No. 4, Washington: ACE, 1970.
- Drew, D. E. Jewish Students Today: Radical or Conservative? Transaction, (October 1971), 45-48.
- Drew, D. E. The Potential Impact of Longitudinal Research on Decision Making in Higher Education. Journal of Educational Data Processing, 9 (Winter 1971-72), 30-35.
- Drew, D. E., and Astin, A. W. Undergraduate Aspirations: A Test of Serval Theories. The American Journal of Sociology, 77 (May 1972), 1151-64.
- Higher Education Panel Survey. Faculty Tenure and Contract Systems: Current Practice. Summer, 1972. Mimeographed.
- Higher Education Panel Survey. Expected First-Year Graduate Enrollment in Science and Engineering, Fall 1972. Summer, 1972. Mimeographed.
- Higher Education Panel Survey. Student Participation on Institutional Governing Boards. Fall, 1972. Mimeographed.
- Holmstrom, E. I. Teachers in Vocational-Technical Education. Science Education News. (October 1971), 5-7.
- Karabel, J. B. Perspectives on Open Admissions. Educational Record, 53 (Winter 1972), 30-44.
- Staff of the Office of Research. The American Freshman: National Norms for Fall 1971. ACE Research Reports, Vol. 6, No. 6. Washington: ACE, 1971.

