

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 068 198

PS 005 980

TITLE           Training Programs for Child Care Personnel. Volume 2:  
Appendixes. Final Report: Part IX.  
INSTITUTION     Institute for Interdisciplinary Studies, Minneapolis,  
Minn.  
SPONS AGENCY   Office of Economic Opportunity, Washington, D.C.  
PUB DATE       [Dec 71]  
NOTE           219p.  
  
EDRS PRICE      MF-\$0.65 HC-\$9.87  
DESCRIPTORS     \*Administrative Personnel; \*Adult Students; \*Child  
Care Workers; Data Analysis; \*Educational Programs;  
High School Students; Interviews; Participant  
Characteristics; \*Personnel Data; Program Planning;  
Questionnaires; Research; Tables (Data)

ABSTRACT

Four appendixes to Volume 1 of Part IX "Training Programs for Child Care Personnel" comprise this Volume 2 of Part IX. The appendixes are as follows: A. Highlights of Findings--a summary of the information obtained from program administrators and students; B. Program Director Interview and Questionnaire Data Summary--a summary of program director data obtained from both interviews and written questionnaires, presented in tables; C. Student Interview Data Summary--a summary of student responses according to program types, presented in tables; and D. Interview Schedules--contains the interview schedule and the written questionnaire for program directors and the interview schedule for students. (For related documents, see PS 005 969-979, 981-983.) (AL)

**ED 068198**

**U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE  
OFFICE OF EDUCATION**

**THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE  
PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS  
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION  
POSITION OR POLICY.**

**Institute for Interdisciplinary Studies  
123 Grant Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403**

**Day Care Policy Studies Group**

**PS 005980**

**FINAL REPORT: PART IX  
APPENDICES:  
TRAINING PROGRAMS FOR  
CHILD CARE PERSONNEL**

**Volume 2**

## **APPENDIX A: HIGHLIGHTS OF FINDINGS**

This appendix contains a summary of the information obtained from program administrators and students. The information gathered in the pilot study represents a limited sample of responses, and a detailed summary of such individual responses is inappropriate to the central purpose of this report. The summary of selected findings is presented here as a general guide to the data in the other appendixes and as a supplement to section 3.2, "Discussion of Findings." The responses of program directors to specific questions are summarized in Appendix B; Appendix C contains a summary of the student data; and Appendix D contains the interview schedules and questionnaire. At the end of this appendix is an index to the data summaries presented in appendixes B and C. A summary of each program, its purpose, students, location, duration of sessions, etc., is given first to provide a basis for comparison of the detailed findings.

Two high school programs were visited; students in both programs were in senior high school. One ( $HS_1$ ) required one school year for completion of the child care training; the other was a two-school-year program ( $HS_2$ ). Upon completion of the program, students receive credit toward their diplomas and receive certificates of completion of the child care courses. During its initial year, 15 students were enrolled in  $HS_1$ . Students were white, lower-middle-class and lived in a rural area of the northern midwest United States. Approximately 300 were enrolled in  $HS_2$ , which was in its fifth year of operation.  $HS_2$  is a part of a city public school system that has primarily low-socioeconomic-status students from the inner city.

The vocational technical (VT) program is a two-year program and has been in operation for four years. It is a part of the vocational-technical school system in a large southern city, and linkages with the HS<sub>2</sub> program, which operates in the same city. Current enrollment is approximately 80 day students and 120 evening students. Students can enter at any quarter during the year. The majority of the students are women between the ages of 17 and 35, most of whom have had some high school; a few have had additional education in either college or junior college. About half of the students in this program work in child-care-related occupations on a regular basis. Almost all students were black and live in metropolitan areas; half of them have incomes below \$4,000 a year.

The junior college program (JC), part of a large, city junior-college system, has been in operation six years. The child care program is conducted 12 months a year, including summer sessions, and provides both day and evening classes. Students may enter at the beginning of each semester. The program requires two years of full-time work, although students may also receive a one-year certificate. Most of the students are women between 18 years and middle age; the majority of them are employed in some child care settings, usually in low socioeconomic areas. Most of the students are from disadvantaged, "ghetto" backgrounds. At the time of the interview there were approximately 1,500 students enrolled in the JC program. This included nearly 300 students in a Head Start supplementary training program and about 50 trainees associated with a Model Cities day care training program.

The university four-year program (U) has been part of university course offerings in early childhood education and child development for 30 years. The specific program currently offered has evolved over the last 11 years. Current enrollment in the program is

approximately 140 students from sophomore, junior, and senior levels. Students may enter at the beginning of each semester or through some occasional summer-session courses. Teacher-training aspects of the program are essentially emphasized in the last two years of the students' training. Upon completion of the program and the four-year college requirements, the students receive a B.A. degree and are certified as early childhood or preschool teachers.

The Head Start Leadership Development Program (HSLDP) could be considered an extended form of in-service training, comparable to the Education and Professional Development Act (EDPA) summer institutes available to public school teachers. The HSLDP is a residential training session lasting six weeks for persons currently employed in the Head Start programs. This program had been offered for the last four years with five different sessions offered each year. Twenty to twenty-five trainees enroll each session. Trainees earn six academic credits for completion of the program; these are applicable to a bachelor's or master's degree.

The Family Day Care Program (FDCP), in its first year of a three-year grant, operates under the auspices of a two-year upper-division college that provides training in early childhood education. Seven college students, acting as field assistants, participate in the family day care homes and provide the release time needed for 22 family day care mothers to attend small group meetings. Family day care mothers and students have been selected to participate in the program for one year. Students receive course credit and pay for their work in the field. Family day care mothers receive a small stipend and a certificate for their participation, but they do not receive course credit. Although the program is geared to both student and family day care mothers the following findings and discussion will concentrate on the family day care mothers. Some information from college students, participation is included in the summary of students' comments.

As the preceding summaries indicate, the programs varied in the number and characteristics of trainee populations, the amount of time the program has been operational, and the outcomes to the trainees. Virtually the entire population of trainees are women, ranging in age from teens to middle-age. In all but the university program, many trainees were from disadvantaged backgrounds.

Program Goals

- (B/2-3)\* In all of the programs reviewed, training was oriented toward occupational preparation or upgrading of current child care providers. The intended outcomes of training as initially developed in the training programs may have changed over time. The U program initially developed as a training program that would provide university women with experiences with children, currently focuses on teacher preparation. The HS<sub>2</sub> and VT programs also recognized the potential outcomes for parent education, although both had originally evolved out of the recognized community need for short-term training of child care workers. The FDCP developed from the previous research and interest of staff members in exploring alternatives to large-group care of children. Although the initial objective of the FDCP was to incorporate family day care mothers into an upgrading program, other outcomes, such as upgrading the family day care system by placing students in the homes, were recognized. HSLDP was initiated in response to need for intensive, short-term training for Head Start personnel, and modified its objectives to enhance the career-development of participants.
- (B/9-10) Four of the programs are preparing trainees for the roles or positions of aides or assistants in child care settings. HS<sub>1</sub> and HS<sub>2</sub> emphasize the former, while VT and JC emphasize the

---

\*For the reader's convenience, the page numbers of the sections of the appendixes that corroborate statements in the text have been cited where appropriate. A complete index begins on page A-29.

latter; the U program is training teachers for head teacher responsibilities. Head teacher positions are also a possibility for graduates of the JC program. HSLDP includes aides, teachers, administrators, and other program personnel; this is the only program that specifically includes administrators or directors in training. However, two of the other programs are considering expansion to include administrators. The training of the family day care mothers is somewhat different than the other five programs; this program is intended to respond to the needs of the family day care mothers as they express them and was not developed to train them for a preconceived occupational role.

Although the major goals of programs are occupationally oriented (B/4-5) other potential outcomes were suggested by program directors. These related to the increased self-concept of students (HSLDP), their perceptions of career goals (HS<sub>2</sub>), enhancement of opportunity for continuing education (JC; HS<sub>2</sub>, and HSLDP). Three programs (HS<sub>1</sub>, HS<sub>2</sub> and JC) indicated that they attempt to increase the basic academic or literacy skills of trainees through the child care training program. The high school programs also suggested that the child care training program could help retain students who might otherwise drop out of school.

All but two programs, VT and FDCP, offer courses for credits that (B/9-10) are applicable to an academic degree at some level. Students at VT receive a certificate of completion and FDC mothers receive only an informal certificate of course completion. At the high-school level, credit is given toward a high-school diploma, and students receive a certificate in the special area at the completion of the course. Course work at the JC level applies to an A.A. degree and upon completion of the two-year child care program sequence, trainees also receive a certificate in the special area. (Trainees in special programs such as Model Cities

0  
8  
9  
5  
0  
S  
E

day care training receive course credit.) The U offers academic credit toward a B.S. in home economics, as well as certification in early childhood and preschool teaching. Trainees in HSLDP receive academic credit from the senior college that is applicable toward a degree. They also receive a certificate of completion.

Program Administration

- (B/23-24) All of the programs reviewed are connected directly with an educational institution. Three of the programs, HS<sub>1</sub>, and HS<sub>2</sub>, and VT are part of the administrative structure of school districts and are indirectly linked to their state's department of education (vocational education, home economics division). Four HSLDP, U, FDCP, JC are attached to or part of institutions of higher education. Two of these are in state-supported institutions. The FDCP is under the auspices of a private, two-year upper-division college. The junior-college program is a part of a larger junior-college system within a major city.
- (B/35-36) The direct line of responsibility upward from the training program within the institution varies. The director of the HS<sub>1</sub> reports directly to the principal. In the HS<sub>2</sub> program, the principal in each school has direct responsibility for supervising the staff and day-to-day operations, but the coordinator for home economics has overall responsibility for the development and maintenance of all programs in the instructional area. The JC program is housed in the human services subdivision and is operated at a department level on five different campuses, with department chairmen and curriculum coordinators in each location. All programs have some cooperative arrangements with the sponsoring institution. These vary in degree and type. The most common arrangements are the use of facilities for the program or sharing of administrative services.
- (B/32)

Funds

Although the interviews were designed to obtain information about costs and funding for training programs, accurate and detailed information was obtained for only the HS<sub>1</sub> and JC programs. The per-pupil cost for fifteen students for the first year of operation of the HS<sub>1</sub> (Fiscal Year 70-71) was \$2,267.00. This figure is inflated due to the costs involved in buying and equipping a laboratory facility, which was estimated at \$22,300.00. The estimated per-pupil cost for the second year of operation is \$787.00. Excluding the cost of the facilities, personnel costs for this program are 88% of the total.

There was no information about the overall costs of the JC program, but some information about two JC special projects, a Head Start supplemental training program and a Model Cities day care training program, was available. For 282 students in the two-semester Head Start supplemental training program, which involved individual course work, the average per-pupil cost for the fiscal year 1970-71 is \$156.00. Excluding the cost of participants' support the per-pupil cost is approximately \$98.00; personnel costs is 98% of this total. For the Model Cities day care training program, the average cost per pupil -- for 50 pupils in a seven month program, with one session each week -- is \$1,026.00. Excluding participants' support funds, the total per-pupil cost is \$977.00. Personnel is 96% of the total cost. Facilities cost the Head Start supplementary project \$780 (2% of the total cost) and the Model Cities project \$560 (1% of the total cost).

For the other programs, the specific budget categories are not available in the breakdowns needed for this analysis. The FDCP includes both the college students and the family day care mothers and does not show a separate breakdown for each group. The HS<sub>2</sub> and the U programs are parts of total school budgets. Neither

contains breakdowns for the specific training programs being reviewed.

- (B/91) The direct costs to students for their participation in the programs varies too. The only costs to students in the HS programs are for travel, living expenses, and so on. For the VT there is a fee of \$25 per-quarter, plus the expenses of books and other supplies. In the JC program, the fee is \$5 to \$10 per semester, plus books and supplies. Tuition for the U program is \$254 per semester; tuition for the HSLDP is waived by the university. Since the FDCP mothers do not receive college credit for their training, they are not enrolled formally in the college and do not pay any college fees.
- (B/92) Most programs supply or help students to find financial assistance when necessary. A few scholarships are available to VT students from the National Defense Act, Veterans' Administration loans, and Vocation Rehabilitation grants; and some part-time employment, also, is available. In both JC and Vt many participants are employed, particularly in the evening program. The U program offers partial scholarships and some student loans and part-time employment. Head Start pays all academic and living expenses, plus \$75 per week for trainee and child-support. Family day care mothers receive \$10 per month for participation in the project and student assistants receive an hourly rate for field work. For nearly all the programs, there are also work-study funds available.
- (B/27-28) The source of funds for these programs heavily reflect federal involvement in training. Federal funding falls into three categories: funds to cover all or portions of the cost of training, funds for training special population (such as Model Cities residents or current Head Start employees), and funds to train people for particular occupations (trainers, administrators, care providers,
- (B/29)

and others). Funding mechanisms take different forms: Funds may be given to institutions to develop child-development or child care curricula, which would be made part of the general curricula of vocational programs, in home economics classes, or in teacher training; funds may also go to the child care delivery system and be used by the delivery system to pay for training programs; or funds may be given to institutions to develop special local training programs, such as the HSLDP.

Two of the programs, HSLDP and FDCP, are 100% federally funded. (B/27-28)

HS<sub>1</sub> is an exemplary program of the home economics division of its state's department of vocational education; federal and state sources provided 75% of the funds for the first year of HS<sub>1</sub> and will provide 50% of the second year. HS<sub>2</sub> and VT receive federal and state funds, but the local school district provides the facilities. The Head Start supplementary training and the Model Cities programs, under the auspices of the junior college, are 100% federally funded, while the other junior college training programs were state-local mix.

Most of the programs also receive some in-kind contributions. These (B/31) include cooperation among staff members and programs in different areas or departments within a larger educational structure, work-study salaries, salaries for work in community programs, observation settings, space, materials, and the waiver of student tuition.

#### Initiation of Training Programs

Initiating the programs involved several steps. Generally, the (B/7-8) interest of specific individuals was stimulated through information (B/13-14) about program possibilities, either through seminars or through contacts with someone who was informed and was interested in developing programs as a part of the offerings within a particular institution. In general, the institutions supported the efforts

- (B/15) of various individuals to develop programs; but some programs did have problems finding facilities for observation and participation and stimulating interest in the community or administration.
- (B/16-17)
- (B/11-12) The levels for which people are trained in the various program reflect the over-all missions of the educational institutions, (i.e., high schools do not train head teachers for child care settings but, rather, train aides). As soon as funds were made available planning was usually undertaken by a single person interested in the specific program in conjunction with either the local administration of the education institution or in cooperation with the home economics division of the state's department of vocational education.
- (B/18) The time needed for planning and implementation ranged from a few weeks to a year. The HS<sub>1</sub> program took approximately one year from the time the teacher became interested until the first class was offered. HS<sub>2</sub> took approximately six months, both the post-secondary programs three months. JC, HSLDP, and FDCP required only a month to be implemented. The U program began 30 years ago, and no record exists of how long its implementation took.

Program Development

- (B/6)
- (B/18) At the time of the interviews, programs varied in the length of time they had been in operation, in phase of development, and possibilities for expansion. Two programs, HS<sub>1</sub> and FDCP, had just completed their first years of operation. The FDCP was funded as a three-year demonstration program, while HS<sub>1</sub> -- initially developed as a state vocational education exemplary program -- would continue as an ongoing instructional program in one high school. The HSLDP was to phase out at the end of the 1970-71 fiscal year after four years of operation. The U program had been in existence for 30 years, and had modified its function over that period. The other

programs, HS<sub>2</sub>, VT, and JC, all of which had been in operation from four to six years, represented ongoing and expanding instructional programs.

To increase the number of students in programs, programs (HS<sub>2</sub>, VT, JC, U, FDCP) have expanded in various ways: (a) by modifying the scheduling, e.g., including night programs (VT - JC); (b) by increasing the number of identical instructional programs in other location (HS<sub>2</sub>); or (c) by increasing the number of participation or internship settings (U - FDCP, VT, HS<sub>2</sub>). Head Start is the only program where student enrollment has remained constant.

Directors mentioned several obstacles to the expansion of their current programs: lack of administrative support, lack of facilities for observation and participation, and insufficient staff for expansion. Four of the programs indicated that expansion would not be difficult, but this seems to be associated with more fully developed programs. The major needs seem to be a lack of additional resources to provide practical experiences for students and insufficient funds for expansion. Only one program indicated that technical factors or expertise were a prerequisite for expansion.

#### Staff Selection & Characteristics

The program directors of HSLDP & FDCP, which were designed to include in-service training, are responsible for staff selection. In other programs, the program director shares joint responsibility for staff selection with a representative of the system of which the program is a part.

At the high school level, staff generally had been trained in home economics classes. For post-secondary and other programs, the staff was prepared primarily by study in the areas of early childhood education or child development. The majority of staff with

preparation early childhood development have B.A. degrees or beyond, with most of them at the M.A. level. Three programs included staff with training in elementary education.

- (B/46) With few exceptions, nearly all of the training staff had worked  
(B/45) with children at least three years, and the majority had over five  
(B/47) years of experience with children. If staff members were working  
in the areas of child development or student supervision and had  
not had training in early childhood, they were required to take  
special training. With the exception of HS<sub>1</sub> and the U program,  
all training programs provided some form of regular staff in-service  
training. Most frequently this was done through staff meetings,  
and occasionally through attendance at conferences, workshops,  
or lectures by specialists in various fields.

Staffing Patterns

- (B/39) Staffing patterns appear to be determined by several factors:  
(B/41) the "desirable" student-teacher ratio for the type of activity  
or method used in training; the number and differentiation in  
program components; and the phase of development of the program.

In the U and JC programs, the student-teacher ratio is larger than for other programs. JC indicated that a ratio of one instructor for about 35 students is common in regular classroom instruction, but varies according to the activity and needs of the trainees. The student-teacher ratio at U varies from large lecture classes to smaller, when observation and laboratory groups. The U director indicated that student-teacher ratio is not the prime determiner of staffing pattern, since the number of trainees is increasing and training staff is decreasing; The primary factor seems to be the background of the staff, either research or teacher training.

When a laboratory facility directly under the auspices of a program is used for training experiences, and simultaneously integrated with daily instruction -- as in HS<sub>1</sub>, HS<sub>2</sub>, and VT -- the basic staffing pattern is about one teacher for 15 trainees. In both HS<sub>1</sub> and HS<sub>2</sub>, one teacher supervises 15 children in the lab setting and also has instructional responsibility for 15 trainees.

Initially staffing patterns are a reflection of the student-teacher ratio necessary for basic instruction. With the addition of an integrated laboratory program the ratio increases. When community settings are used for participation or internship -- as in U, HSLDP, VT, and HS<sub>2</sub> -- a higher ratio is required and there is a greater differentiation in supervisory functions and basic instructional roles. In HS<sub>2</sub>, with the addition of the second-year component (internship), an additional staff person is needed to supervise the 15 trainees in the field. One director (VT) recommended that one field supervisor be available for 10 interns. In HSLDP three part-time supervisors are needed for about 22 to 25 students for field supervision and trainee follow-up. In the Model Cities day care training program, which uses four training specialists to supervise 50 trainees in their own employment settings, the ratio is one teacher to 12 or 13 trainees. The FDCP represents a special approach -- integrating field experience for college students with basic instruction for FDC mothers. The seven student assistants provide the release time for 22 family day care mothers to attend instructional meetings. Here the ratio is about one student to three family day care mothers.

In the initial implementation of training programs both the instructional functions and staff roles are less apt to be differentiated from other functions (administration, student supervision; recruitment; followup; direction of laboratory program; community relations). With program development and expansion, staff roles (B/35-36)

and patterning tend to become more differentiated. Need for additional staff seems to be related to supporting functions rather than primary instruction. Thus staffing needs relate to community relations, research activities, field supervision, administration, curriculum specialists, and follow-up staff.

- (B/40) When asked what changes should be made in staff selection, make-up, and policy, all of the training programs directors cited the need for additional staff. The specific roles for additional staff varied from obtaining a qualified nursery school teacher for the laboratory center to adding curriculum specialists and staff persons in writing research, and community work. There were two general reasons for the addition of staff. One was to enable the teachers or program directors to spend more time on instruction and in student conferences. The other related to the development of new program components which required specialists to more specialized personnel: such as the social worker, a curriculum specialist, or a community liaison.
- (B/100-101) Several of the directors (HS, VT, JC) cited the quality of staff as the most essential element of a high-quality training program. Specific criteria offered were training as teacher or director with previous work in nursery school, dedication, practical orientation, understanding of subject matter, and knowledge of the field with ability to relate to different types of people. Other factors related to program quality are related to program content and management. Consideration of the qualities or competency teachers need and ways to help develop them was mentioned as the most essential element by the U. The family day care program suggested ongoing supervision and feedback to prevent and remove distortions. The leadership development program indicated that autonomy is most essential to high-quality programs.

Student Selection and Services

Students are recruited primarily through personal contacts, word of mouth, catalog listings, and public announcements. It is up to the students to determine whether they want to enter the programs -- with the exception of the Head Start Leadership Development program, in which students are selected by the Head Start regional training officer.

Most programs had no further entrance requirements than meeting the criteria for the institution in which the training program was located. Three programs, JC, U and HSLDP, had no direct control over the selection of students. In HS<sub>1</sub>, HS<sub>2</sub>, VT, and FDCP, initial selection was based on interviews with a committee, teachers, instructors, or program directors. The characteristics looked for in the selection of students in the other programs are that they like children and people, have an interest in early childhood education or child care as a career, are warm, outgoing and alert, and have a desire to be significant in the child's life. The family day care program selected students on the basis of attitudes, goals and interests in working in the community.

In response to a question concerning the most useful and valid criteria for selecting students, program directors said that they considered interest in children and other people, experience with children, ability to get along with the staff as well as the children, and such "indications of responsibility" as attendance records to be important factors -- although some directors indicated that they thought there was no way to predict which applicant would be a good choice. The U program director suggested a combined approach to facilitate student understanding of some skills and qualities needed in the teacher role and to help students decide whether or not they want to continue in the program. The HSLDP director indicated that students for their program could be selected if

the program personnel knew the center priorities; HSLDP program personnel preferred committed and involved people who hadn't had any training. The FDCP director indicated that openness, "intuitiveness" based on knowledge not chance, and the assumption of responsibility were the most useful and valid criteria; she did not rely on socioeconomic or age variables, and felt they were inadequate as predictors of a student's potential.

- (B/87-88) Program administrations were asked the advantages and disadvantages of working with the students in their programs. Some of their responses are: making opportunities not otherwise accessible for their students, changes in students' self concepts, and preparation for both work and parenthood. Two of the directors indicated that the staff found the students satisfying to work with.

In answer to a question about the disadvantages of working with the students, three project directors mentioned that some students' lack of interest or skill in academic areas -- reading, discussing, writing, and researching independently -- was a hindrance to classroom activity. Various forms of lack of maturity -- inattentiveness, inexperience with children, "high-school behavior" -- were mentioned, respectively, by directors in the U, HS, and VT programs.

- (B/89) To build on the advantages and counter the disadvantages of the types of students in their various programs, directors said that they tried to see that more time was spent covering the content of the courses, using various approaches, and stressing individual initiative and responsibility. Two of the programs, HSLDP, and FDCP, based on their content on individual needs, backgrounds, skills and interests. The U does not really take student characteristics into consideration. It expects that the students will adjust to the program rather than the program being altered to meet

their needs; one exception to this is that the student-teaching experience can be lengthened for those who need additional time or experience.

When directors were asked what factors influenced enrollment, four (B/90) of them (HS<sub>2</sub>, VT, JC, and HSLDP) specified job related objectives of trainees and the related higher earnings that go to people who have completed training; three cited students' interest in children.

Some of the reasons why students did not complete programs were, (B/90) according to the directors, need for income for family needs, family problems such as babysitters or illness, and lack of interest.

Students are usually evaluated through written tests, self-evaluations, and teacher observations. Four of the programs (HS<sub>2</sub>, VT, JC, and HSLDP) also reported the use of performance tests. Other evaluation procedures include evaluation by the placement agency or review of students' papers, logs, or projects. (B/93)

Desired changes in student evaluations include more time for teacher supervision, observation, and discussion with students, (B/94) as well as better tools and methods, such as television. Three directors also expressed the need for competency-based evaluations. (B/98-99) The responsibility of trainers for job placement is informal in all of the programs visited. Students may be referred to jobs, position notices may be posted, interviews may be arranged, and/or recommendations may be made to employers. Although the director of HS<sub>1</sub> did not feel that job placement was a formal responsibility of the program, this was an area of concern in that program. Other program directors indicated the need for more formal job placement and information for students. It was suggested that a national system for child care worker placement be developed for persons trained in early childhood education and child care.

(B/96-97) Although there is little official follow-up of students on completion, all of the programs reported that their trainees were obtaining jobs in occupations related to their training. HSLDP and FDCP train only persons already employed in the field. Even in the three programs in which follow-up was required, (HS<sub>1</sub>, HS<sub>2</sub>, VT) follow-up information is minimal. In HS<sub>1</sub> only a postcard was sent to the students about employment. Individual teachers were expected to do the follow-up each year for five years for HS<sub>2</sub> trainees. In the VT the program director kept information about jobs, promotions, and salaries.

The only program with a formal, planned follow-up supervision is HSLDP. The training staff visits centers of the trainees after the completion of the six-week session; during the visit students present special projects. There is also a yearly follow-up training session and workshop on the campus for all graduates of the training sessions. Two of the programs that did not have any formal follow-up, JC and U, did not consider any changes necessary. Other programs, however, would like to have more formal and extensive follow-up training.

Content and Training Programs

(B/50-51) The initial curricula in most of the programs were developed by the program directors. Additional and specific course content was prepared in conjunction with the teachers in the program.

(B/48-49) In the more formal pre-service programs, such as HS, JC, VT, and U, student input is limited. In (HSLDP and FDCP) trainee evaluations of the program and their interests are taken into consideration in planning. Curricula for two of the programs, HS<sub>1</sub> and VT, are largely influenced by the participation of the directors of these programs in special institutes on child care and guidance. In two programs (JC and VT) curriculum takes into account state licensing guidelines for personnel in development of program content.

All but HS<sub>2</sub> and FDCP follow specific curriculum models for early childhood education. The two-year junior college developed their own model since there were no packaged models available for the A.A. level when their programs began. Others also worked on developing their own models or altering them to meet specific needs. (B/56-57)

In general, programs seem to cover the subject areas of child growth, development, guidance, and discipline -- although some programs (HS and JC) do not include special problems of children, such as the handicapped, the gifted, or those with cultural differences. All of the programs cover materials and methods for use with children. (B/54-55)

Administrative tasks such as child care center operations, record-keeping, office procedures, and food services are not included in several of the programs (U, FDCP, HS, JC). Other areas less apt to be covered in the training programs are small-group dynamics, theory and philosophy of learning, parent counseling, community relations, social services, basic education, and orientation to employment.

Except for the family day care program, all programs either include academic and communication skills as part of the program or enroll students in a general education program at the same time they are participating in the training program. In the JC and U programs, most work is in general education, not in course work specifically related to child care occupations. In the four-year program, for instance, only six courses (20 credits out of 124 required) are specifically related to preschool education. (B/52-53) (B/9-10)

The programs vary in the amount of flexibility in course sequence. About half of them follow the same sequence each year or session. (B/58)

In the other half, students do not always follow the same sequence; requirements may be identified, and then each student organizes his own schedule.

- (B/52-53) Students in all programs have supervised experiences with children, although the amount of time and the proportion relative to the total programs varies. In HS<sub>1</sub> and HS<sub>2</sub>, participation accounts for 60% (two hours per day, five days per week for nine months) and 80% (two to three hours per day, five days per week for 18 months). In the VT program, students work six weeks in the laboratory center during the first year, with three quarters of full-time internship during the second year. Both U and JC include 16 weeks of student teaching and intership, which accounts for 12% and 25% of the time in the programs. The participants in HSLDP spend three hours per day and five days per week working with children -- about 33% of the six-week session. The remainder of time in the programs is devoted to lectures, class discussion, media presentation, independent study, and observation.
- (C/17-18) During students' participation, all the programs provide opportunities for planning activities, working directly with children, supervising groups, and assisting the teacher. They also have opportunities for planning with the head teacher, but few have opportunities for planning independently or for participating in staff training. They are also less apt to have experience in planning meals. Most students have daily informal contacts with parents, make home visits, and attend parent meetings and conferences.
- (C/16) All students participate in at least one setting, but they may observe in a wider range of programs. All of the programs except FDCP have a demonstration or a laboratory program under the auspices of the training program. In addition, all of the programs use community settings for observation and student participation.
- (B/59)
- (B/62-63)
- (B/64-65)

The criteria for selection of centers outside of the laboratory (B/67-68) setting seem to be the experiences that such a setting offers students (the amount of responsibility and meaningful work in which the student could be involved), the program availability and location, the cooperation of the program director, an outstanding teaching staff in some area, and variation in children available with whom students could work. Children in the training programs are usually between three and five or six years old. Children under three are enrolled in two programs, VT and FDCP; six- to twelve-year olds are also cared for in FDCP.

The range of child development services offered by programs in (B/64-65) which trainees participated is generally limited to educational activities and nutritional programs. Educational programs for the children are included in all of the training settings, and in most of them meals are served. Other services that are available in some training settings are health and social services, family activities, and parent education. The HSLDP includes all of these services, while the JC laboratory setting has only an educational component for the children.

Programs that trainees may observe or in which they may participate (C/16) are Model Cities day care, afternoon or evening programs in (B/66) community schools, public schools, centers for exceptional children (such as the mentally retarded or emotionally disturbed), franchise programs, Montessori programs, parent co-ops, a college children's school, and participation with family day care mothers in their homes and other community settings. These settings have been identified either through the program director's general knowledge of and contact with the community or through centers requesting a child care program trainee.

- (B/69-70) The assignment of students to and observation of students in community settings is done by the training staff or in conjunction with a placement specialist. In addition four of the programs provide informal orientation for the cooperating staff. The training staff may or may not plan the specific activities that the student undertakes in the training setting. However, they do plan the general kinds of activities in which students should have experiences. The teacher or employer in that setting generally helps to plan and to supervise student activities. The teacher or employer also helps in the grading and evaluation of student participation.
- (B/74) Students in all but the JC and U programs had opportunities for on-the-job training. Some students receive credit for work time in HS, HSLDP, and FDCP; no credit is given for work time at the U, and few credits at the post-secondary level and junior college. The U program reported few opportunities for on-the-job training, internship, credit for work time, opportunities to mix with different career level, community activities, career counseling, follow-up training, or specialization. On the other hand, HSLDP reports many opportunities for each of these experiences for their students. In other programs students may have many opportunities for some of the areas but limited opportunities for others.
- (B/75-76) When directors were questioned about changes to improve the participation component, they suggested expansion of facilities to accommodate more children in the participation program or the availability of more adequate space. Other suggestions were that programs work with a wider range of children, that students be included for pre-parent education not just for occupational training, and that students participate earlier with children. Nearly all of the directors indicated the need for changes in student supervision and evaluation. There is a need for more time and staff to

provide better supervision and/or to offer planning conferences and feedback for the students. FDCP indicated the need for changing the screening procedures to select more responsible students who would attend classes and complete assignments.

In relation to the community settings, the desire was expressed for more students to see different settings and for persons to work with community programs to establish better rapport with cooperating teachers. Other aspects that would be changed to improve the participation component are earlier exposure to the requirements of the teacher role, more contact with parents, and more realistic hours -- with a night laboratory for use in student training as well as a service for evening students with children. The two programs that do not have observation facilities (HS<sub>1</sub> and JC) plan to include them in the future.

Other Information About Training Programs

(B/103-104)

When asked the strengths and weakness of their own programs, directors of pre-service programs said that the direct experience with children and its integration with practical classwork is a primary strength. Changes these directors suggested are also related to participation -- earlier experiences with children and more opportunities for participation. Three programs also indicated the need for more staff interaction with and supervision of students during and following training. Other changes suggested are more involvement of students with parents, more emphasis on obtaining jobs, and joint planning of programs by staff and students. The U program director cited the need for models for teacher education and the desirability of focusing on those rather than on the children's curriculum. Skills and competencies need to be specified and then units need to be developed to teach these.

Specific ways for possible expansion suggested by directors are: (B/105-106)

the development of summer, night, or short courses; expansion of the kinds of courses available to include such areas as nutrition, health, family day care, and pre-parent education for all interested students; and earlier exposure to the teacher roles through earlier courses.

- (B/107-108  
-109)  
(B/110) A series of questions concerning the suggestions for planning a national day care program were included in the interview. Some pitfalls that should be avoided in the planning and the implementation of a training program on a national basis are: equating the length of the program or the number of hours with the quality of training; limiting training for all staff to colleges or universities; limiting availability of sufficient training staff, of laboratory or demonstration programs, political influences, attempting to train one person to do all things and/or attempting to train in a limited amount of time. It was also suggested that the training should take place in community settings, that trainers be provided with at least 6 weeks of intensive training and that the training be formal, not just in-service. A need was indicated for some specific standards and guidelines and to have these published or developing training programs. It was also suggested that training of teachers and other child care personnel be done in settings linked with vocational-technical schools, thus enabling college or university programs to direct their efforts towards the training of trainers and educational coordinators for training programs.

#### Trainee Interviews

Interviews were obtained for former or current trainees in all programs but HS<sub>2</sub>. The interview schedule is given in Appendix D. Appendix C contains a summary of the trainees' responses.

- (C/5) Although the characteristics of the trainees vary considerably,  
(C/7) there are many areas of commonality in their responses. Personal

contact with program staff, directors, counselors, or co-workers (C/3) seems to be the primary source of initial information about the programs. Only in the HSLDP, with its formalized recruitment and selection procedures, did participants know about other training programs. In that particular case, the other training program about which the trainees had some knowledge was another Head Start Leadership Development Program. For the JC participants interviewed, the initial impetus to seek additional training in the early childhood education field came from work in the summer Head Start program.

With the decision to start the training, two participants are (C/6) launching careers in fields different from their previous work. (C/3) Both previously held office or clerical jobs. For the U, HSLDP, (C/33) and FDCP students, participation represents changes in focus in their career interests. The HS<sub>1</sub> student noted that she thought the child care training course would be more beneficial than others she might take. In assessing what part of the training program is most useful, comments generally referred to the child development content, or to the practical experiences with children, community, or family day care mothers. Comments on the most interesting aspects of the program were of three general types: working with children; methods and activities for children; or interpersonal and social relations. Content mentioned as least useful generally related to specialized content areas either not perceived by the trainee as relevant to desired or current occupational role, or not practically related to work with children. Particular course work on special education also was seen as least interesting. Basic nutrition, or family relations, which rated as least useful, were also mentioned as least interesting.

The students emphasized a lack of interest and career factors as (C/4) reasons for not completing training. The HS<sub>1</sub> student alluded to

(C/31) the fact that one student found that she was not interested in working with children; the VT student, too, suggested that students drop out because they are uncomfortable working with children. The U student suggested that drop-outs find that child care is not what they want to do, either because of the low pay or the career limitations in early childhood education. Leaving the program because of interest factors apparently corresponds to students' lack of experience with children prior to entering the program. In HS<sub>1</sub>, VT, and U, most students had not had experience working with children. A range of perceived benefits were associated with the training program, and these were not restricted to occupational or career spheres. The students in the U and FDCP both mentioned benefits related to having a family and child-rearing. Specifically, the FDCP student said that she would think realistically about the number of children she would like to have. She considered exposure to the family day care homes a most realistic and natural experience. Other trainees mentioned changes in attitudes toward children. The FDCP mother stated that the program created more of an interest in taking care of children.

The motivational effects of participation in the training program were mentioned by the HSLDP participants, especially in terms of continuing education. This outcome was clearly exemplified in the case of the participant who had completed the JC program. She had also completed a B.A. degree and was entering a masters degree program in early childhood development. One member of the HSLDP summed up the benefits of the program by stating that it "opens up doors you thought were closed to you."

(C/34) All of the trainees interviewed indicated that the programs could be improved by increasing the amount of contact with children or parents, or suggested more integration of course content with practical experience. Several trainees thought that the practical

experience should be more varied; for instance, that more visits should be made to family day care homes or different kinds of programs. Less frequently, trainees mentioned need for changes or additions to the curriculum, such as program planning, preschool curriculum, or independent study.

In regard to desired changes in the training program, trainees were concerned primarily with experience with children and the integration of classroom content with field work. One trainee wanted transportation made available to the children in the laboratory center. Trainees in the Head Start program suggested expanding the program to other groups such as high school students or others before they start working. The family day care mother suggested more frequent meetings with the total group of day care mothers, and the continuation of the child development course.

The trainees who had completed their training and were entering the job market indicated that job opportunities were limited. Many graduates have not been able to find jobs, particularly trainees from HS, JC, and U programs. The employment factor is not relevant for HSLDP, FDCP, or some night trainees in the post-secondary programs, since many are currently employed in child care-related fields; the major issue for these graduates is the opportunity for job mobility.

As to desirable employment settings participants in four programs made specific reference to attitudes or characteristics of staff or supervisor. Two respondents indicated that they would prefer to work in either a new program just starting or in a place where they could make improvements and contributions. The HSLDP participants considered their current laboratory school settings desirable. Only one trainee indicated a preference for working with children in the infant-through-toddler age range; this was the

- (C/15) only trainee who actually had experience with infants in the practicum. Others preferred three- to five-year-olds or kindergarten children.
- (C/32) When those interviewed mentioned settings for employment, the programs were usually public-sponsored -- public school, Model Cities, or Head Start programs. Both the JC and U students indicated that salaries are too low in private day care. The HS<sub>1</sub> student, who was from a rural area, mentioned that the salaries in a day care program in the nearby metropolitan areas are too low to make relocation feasible for her.

**INDEX TO PROGRAM DIRECTOR DATA SUMMARY**

<u>Question</u>	<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
General Goals of Program	O/22a	B/2-3
Primary Objective	O/22b	B/4-5
How Goals Have Changed	O/23a-b	B/4-5
Length of Operation	W/3	B/6
Months/Year in Operation	W/4	B/6
Times/Year Offered	W/5	B/6
When Student May Enter	W/6	B/6
Time to Completion	W/7	B/6
Why Did Program Come About	O/4	B/7-8
What Credit, Degree Received	W/8	B/9-10
Program Designed to Train	W/9	B/9-10
Emphasis	W/10	B/9-10
Why Train For This Level	O/3	B/11-12
Plan & Implementing Activities	O/5a	B/13-14
Who Involved/Plan & Implementing	O/6	B/15
Same People	O/7	B/15
Problems in Plan & Implementing	O/8	B/16-17
Time to Plan & Implement	O/56	B/18
Maximum Capacity of Program	O/9	B/18
Present Student Enrollment	W/44	B/19
Enrollment Last Year	W/45	B/19
How Many Next Enrollment	W/46	B/19
Number Can Accommodate	W/47	B/19
Number of Students/Class	W/25	B/19
Hard to Expand Training	O/40	B/20-21
How Expand Present Program	O/41	B/22
What is Administrative Structure	O/10	B/23-24
Budget & Cost Categories	W/12	B/25-26
Changes Since Start of Program	W/13	B/25-26
Source of Funds	W/11	B/27-28

<u>Question</u>	<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
Funding Authorization	0/11	B/27-28
Requirements for Use of Funds	0/12	B/29
Priorities in Additional Funds	0/13	B/30
In-Kind Contributions	0/14	B/31
Program Self-Contained	0/15	B/32
Institutional Resources	0/16	B/32
Arrangements w/Other Agencies	0/17	B/33-34
What are Your Responsibilities	0/2	B/35-36
Who Selects Staff	0/18-W/24	B/37-38
Qualifications for Teachers	0/20	B/37-38
How Staff Pattern Determined	0/19	B/39
Changes in Staff	0/21	B/40
Staff Function & Full/Part-time	W/18	B/41
Number on Training Staff	W/17	B/42
Laboratory Program Staff	W/37	B/43
Staff Educational Preparation	W/19	B/44
Staff Training in Early Childhood	W/20	B/45
Special Training Required	W/21	B/45
Level of Experience w/Children	W/22	B/46
Kind/Service Training for Staff	W/23	B/47
How Present Curriculum Decided	0/25	B/48-49
Sources To Develop Curriculum	0/26	B/50-51
% Time on Various Activities	W/26	B/52-53
Subject Areas in Curriculum	W/27	B/54-55
Early Childhood Model	W/31	B/56-57
Gaps in Materials	W/30	B/56-57
Courses in Same Sequence	W/28	B/58
Program Same as Last Year	W/29	B/58
Demonstration/Lab Program	W/34	B/59
Lab Program Operating Time	W/36	B/59

<u>Question</u>	<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
Use of Community Programs	W/35	B/59
Facilities for Training Program	W/15	B/60-61
Same/Different Than Last Year	W/16	B/60-61
Settings Used for Observation	W/38	B/62-63
How Do Settings Differ	O/27	B/64-65
No./Settings For Each Student	W/3	B/64-65
How Identify Outside Settings	O/28	B/66
Basis for Using Program	O/29	B/67-68
Program Role in Outside Settings	O/30	B/69-70
Role of Teacher in Lab Setting	W/57	B/71
Student Role in Participation	W/40	B/72
Student Attendance in Meetings	40/C	B/73
Student Work with Demonst. Center	W/41	B/73
Interaction With Parent	W/42	B/73
Student Opportunities	W/61	B/74
How Improve Participation	O/31-W/43	W/75-76
Entrance Requirements/Training	W/50	B/77-78
How Select Students for Program	W/51	B/77-78
Decision on Selection Criteria	O/32	B/79-80
Student Qualities in Selection	W/52	B/81-82
Other Selection Criteria	W/53	B/81-82
Most Valid Criteria in Selection	O/32	B/81-82
How to Recruit New Students	W/48	B/83
Recruitment Process Past Year	W/49	B/84
Typical Student in Training	W/54	B/85-86
Pros/Cons of These Qualities	O/33	B/87-88
Student Strengths/Weaknesses	O/34a	B/87-88
How These are Considered	O/34b	B-89
Factors Affecting Enrollment	W/55	B/90
Why Students Drop Out	W/62	B/90

<u>Question</u>	<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
Estimated Student Expenses	W/63	B/91
Financial Help for Students	W/64	B/92
Student Evaluation Procedures	W/56	B/93
Desired Changes in Evaluation	0/35	B/94
No./Students Upon Completion	W/58	B/95
Changes in Follow-up Activity	0/36	B/96-97
Responsibility for Job Placement	W/59	B/98-99
Current Placement Activity	W/60	B/98-99
Changes in Placement Activity	0/37	B/98-99
Essential in Quality Program	0/24	B/100-101
Rating of Program Characteristics	W/65	B/102
Comparison of Program	W/32-0/38	B/103-104
Changes in Total Training Program	W/33-0/39	B/105-106
Planning National Training Program	0/42	B/107-108- 109
Pitfalls To Avoid in Planning	0/42b	B-110
Other Issues To Consider	0/43	B/110

**INDEX TO STUDENT INTERVIEW DATA SUMMARY**

<u>Question</u>	<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
Kind of job training for?	1	C/2
Kinds of jobs other students	2	C/2
How did you find out about program?	3	C/3
Knowledge of other programs?	4	C/3
Why enter this program?	5	C/3
Length of time in program?	6	C/4
Why other students leave program?	7	C/4
Interviewee educational level	8	C/5
Other students educational level	9	C/5
Interviewee prior work experience	33	C/6
Interviewee experience with children	15a	C/6
Particular groups/age of children	15b	C/6
Other students work experience	34	C/7
Other students experience with children	16	C/7
Student costs to participate in program	10	C/8
Amount paid for various items	11	C/8
Difficulty meeting expenses	12	C/9
Availability & Type of Financial aid	13	C/9
Salary for work in program	14	C/9
Interviewee work during training	35	C/10
Other students work during training	37	C/10
Interviewee course work description	17	C/11-12
Other students schedule	18	C/11-12
Time spent in various activities	19	C/13-14
Other special activities	19 & 22	C/13-14
Description of observation experience	20	C/15
Description of participation experience	21	C/15
Settings for observation/participation	23	C/16

<u>Question</u>	<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
Differences in Settings	24	C/17-18
Tasks/Responsibilities in participation	25	C/19-20
Activity changes in different settings	26	C/21
Activity changes in particular setting	27	C/21
Time with staff during participation	28	C/22-23
Participation in staff meetings/training	30	C/24-25
Trainee involvement with parents	29	C/24-25
Areas and types of student evaluation	31	C/26
Interviewee activity & plans after training	36,39,41a	C/27-28
Other students activity & plans	37,40	C/29-30
How programs has (will) help	41b	C/31
Desirable employment setting	38a	C/32
Characteristics of children prefered	38b	C/32
Parts of program: useful-not useful	42a-b	C/33
Part of Program: interesting-not interesting	42c-d	C/33
Parts of Program that could be better	43a	C/34
Part of program that were left out	43b	C/34
What changes in program	43c	C/35-36
Other trainees suggestions for change	32	C/35-36

**APPENDIX B: PROGRAM DIRECTOR INTERVIEW AND**  
**QUESTIONNAIRE DATA SUMMARY**

The summary of program director data obtained from both interviews and the written questionnaires are presented in the following tables according to type of program -- e.g., high school family day care.

The numbers in parentheses after the question indicate the number of the item in the questionnaire (Appendix D). The letter preceding the number indicates whether the information was obtained from the interview (I) or from the written questionnaire (W). The sequence of questions, however, does not necessarily correspond to the order of the questionnaire since the responses are grouped by topic.

In summarizing the interview data every attempt was made to retain the intent and content of the response. For purposes of length and clarity it was not always possible to retain the respondents' exact words.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What are the General Goals of the Training Program? (0-22a)

	<u>Training for job/ occupation</u>	<u>Career Development/ Continuing Education</u>	<u>Teaching Principles of Child Development</u>	<u>Enhancing Self-Concept.</u>	<u>Other:</u>
<u>High School</u>					
<u>1 year</u>	H.S. students to be aides and to work with children			Somewhat to be good mothers.  Education for the children in lab center	
<u>B-2 High School</u>			Encourage to go on for further education/ on home econ. skills and knowledge.	Raise goals & ideas about life work because many disadvantaged.	Regardless of whether use for wage earnings training to help them be better mothers.
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	<u>2 year</u>	Prepare students for entry level jobs based on home econ. skills and knowledge.		Train as many students as we are capable of, to work in jobs that provide service to young children.	

Program Director Data Summary (con't.)

What are the General Goals of the Training Program? (0-22a)						
		Training for job/ occupation	Career Development/ continuing education	Teaching Principles of Child Develop.	Enhancing Self- Concept.	Other
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>2 year</u>	Originally to prepare for jobs in day care and child care related.	Now more emphasis on this.	Other staff emphasize concepts of child development/and research; cognitive especially Piaget.	Some would see this as objective related to outcome.	
<u>University</u>	<u>4 year</u>	For some staff, emphasis is on training for teachers in pre-schools/kindergarten.		Better human beings to deal with themselves and children skillfully.	Emphasis on quality, not jobs.	
	<u>Head Start LDP</u>					
	<u>6 weeks</u>					
<u>Family Day Care</u>						
	<u>1 year</u>					

**Research:**  
 Investigate whether family day care was a viable form of day care; to provide community experience for students.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What is Considered Primary/  
Priority Objective? (0-22b)

How Have Goals Changed in Relation To: (0-23a-b)

		Past:	Future:	
<u>High School</u>	<u>1 year</u>	Primary objective is training  Basically the same, although within the community more emphasis on pro- grams being for children rather than training for students.	Establish cooperative arrangements with area voc. ed. school, so other students might use facility perhaps have adult classes at night.  Open program for summer and have aides operative	
	<u>2 year</u>	Student outcomes related to jobs and continuing education	Focus now more on the possibility for students continuing with higher education & ensuring course credit for that purpose.	Would like child development lab not just for occupational training, but for pre-parent education open to other students in H.S.
	<u>B-4</u>			Follow-up training for graduates on the job dealing with program Planning, special ed.

Post-Secondary  
2 year Training

Increased program capacity and  
opportunity for specialization,  
e.g., special education. Now  
have internships in this.

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

<u>What is Considered Primary/ Priority Objective? (0-22b)</u>	<u>How Have Goals Changed in Relation To: (0-23a-b)</u>
<u>Jr. College</u>	
<u>2 year</u>	
Teaching principles of child development. Professional competency.	More emphasis on ensuring academic credit as desired student outcome, and continuing education.
<u>University</u>	
<u>4 year</u>	
No consensus about objectives; varies with staff	Initial emphasis on helping women to be "better mothers"; until recently focus on early childhood education/training. Currently Dept. emphasizing research/child development rather than teacher training.
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	
<u>6 weeks</u>	Learning experience for students; emphasis on quality, not jobs.
	Focus has changed from "in-service" training; originally considered "intensive training"; found that could get potential leaders, so now emphasis on career development. Further emphasis on the adult individual rather than teaching specifics of working with children, e.g., use of "play dough."
<u>Family Day Care</u>	
<u>1 year</u>	Continue with same; but more emphasis on enhancing quality of care given to children in family day care.
	Long range: develop guidelines so family day care mothers can help other mothers in the community, and improve quality.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

	Length of Operation (W-3)	Months/Year in Operation (W-4)	Times/Year Offered (W-5)	When Student May Enter (W-6)	Time to Completion (W-7)
<u>High School</u>					
<u>1 year</u>	1 year	9 months	Once	Once in the fall semester	9 months
<u>2 year</u>	5 years	9 months	Once	Once in the fall quarter sequences, with summer vacation.	2 years: 2-nine month sequences, with summer vacation.
<u>Post-Secondary</u>					
<u>2 year</u>	4 years, 3 months	12 months	Beginning courses offered 4 times/year.	Beginning of each quarter	Fulltime student: 8 qtrs; assistant, 4 qtrs; aide. Night program: perhaps 12 quarters.
<u>B-6</u>	6 years	12 months including summer sessions	Beginning of each semester courses ongoing	Beginning of each semester	Full-time: 2 yrs. 1 year certificate available. Night program: length varies depending on course load.
<u>Jr. College</u>					
<u>2 year</u>	30 years	9 months with occasional summer sessions	Beginning of each semester; continuous offerings.	Beginning of each semester	4 yrs. (8 semesters) with two years emphasizing teacher training.
<u>University</u>					
<u>4 year</u>	4 years	12 months	5 six week sessions per year.	Beginning of each session.	6 to 8 weeks.
<u>Head Start LDP</u>					
<u>6 weeks</u>	1 year	9 months	Beginning of each semester; Twice	Beginning of each semester.	9 months
<u>Family Day Care</u>					
<u>1 year</u>	1 year	9 months	Beginning of each semester; Twice	Beginning of each semester.	9 months

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined  
 Why Did The Training Program Come About? (0-4)

Need for training	Need for workers	Individual Interest/ initiative	Program Expansion	Other
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Institute discussed need for trained aide level personnel in day care	Teacher dir. has taken courses in child dev.	Attended 6 wk. summer institute on child care training	
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Short-term course to meet licensing requirements. Experience w/short-term adult program suggested need for more indepth training.	Earlier adult program pointed to need for more personnel in centers.	Initial stimulus from child dev. consultant for public schools, in conjunction with State licensing.	Expansion in Public Schools. Based on Vocational-Edu. legislation to emphasize size occupational training based on home ec. skills.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Developed in part from short-term upgrading training. Workers in community recognized need for more training.		Initially based on interest of child development consultant.	Part of State Dept. of Ed. Voc. Ed.: Home Econ. Program development to emphasize occupations in child care.

Program Director Data Summary (con't.)

Why Did The Training Program Come About? (0-4)

	Need for training	Need for Workers	Individual Interest/ Initiative	Program Expansion	Other
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Initial request from Dept. of Child & Family Serv. (licensing) for training to match requirements of two yrs. training for director & group leaders in day care.		Other staff member interest initially based on attendance at conference. Current director expanded.		Need for occupationally oriented courses in additional instructional areas.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>		Initially developed to meet needs of Univ. women; to have exp.w/ children, to be better mothers. Until 5 yr. ago was one of two programs in State which lead to certification.	30 yrs. ago program began by Dept. Chrm/ preschool lab. director.	Current program may evolve because of new certification program for Preschool certification.	
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>		Developed in response to OEO guidelines for 8 wk. intensive training of Head Start employees now emphasis more on career development.			Based on earlier work in day care by instructional staff & interest of staff and college pres.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>					Wanted to further investigate alternative to the large group care of children.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<b>On Completion What Credit, Degree Received (N-8)</b>	<b>Program Designed to Train (N-9)</b>	<b>Emphasis (N-10)</b>
<b>High School</b> <u>1 year</u>	Two (2) high school credits applicable to H.S. diploma  Certificate of completion in child care course	Aides  Nursery School Aide Day Care Center Aide Teacher Aide
<b>B-9</b> <u>2 year</u>	Ninety credit hours applicable to H.S. diploma	Aides  Paraprofessional
<b>Post-Secondary</b> <u>2 year</u>	Certificate of completion of Child Care course	Aides Assistant Aides (1 year)  Assistant (2 year)

Eight quarters @ 336 hours/quarter

Program Director Data Summary (con't.)

	Credit Received (continued)	(W-8)	Program Designed to Train (W-9)	Emphasis (W-10)
<u>Jr. College</u>	Academic credit: applicable to A.A. degree General education = 34 credit hours Child Care related = <u>38 credit hours</u> Total = <u>72 credit hours</u>		Assistant	Aide/Assistant teacher
	Certificate of completion in special area: Preschool education Other areas: Residential Child Care			
	Teacher Aid: Elementary Education			
<u>University</u>	Academic credit leading to B.S. degree in Home Economics and eligible State Nursery School Teaching Certification and/or University Teaching Certificate.	Teacher	Teachers for preschool and/or Kindergarten	
<u>4 year</u>				
<u>Preschool/Kindergarten</u>		<u>Child Develop/Preschool</u>		
Gen. Req.	44-47 credits	<u>44-47 credits</u>		
Electives:	30-34	20-25		
Professional:	<u>29</u>	<u>39</u>		
Total	<u>124 credits</u>	<u>124 credits</u>		
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	Six (6) academic credits			
<u>6 weeks</u>	3 credits: student teaching (160 hours) 3 credits: early childhood education (124 hours)			
	Applicable to B.A. or M.A. Certificate of completion			
<u>Family Day Care</u>	Students: academic credit applicable to B.A. or M.A. 2-3 credits: Practicum in FDC Home 3 credits: seminar	Teachers/Teacher Coordinators	N. A.	
<u>1 year</u>	Family Day Care Mother: certificate of completion for child development course.	N. A.	N. A.	

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

Why Did You Decide to Train People For a Particular Level? (aide, assistant, etc.): (0-3)

Appropriate to Institutional Mission/ Capability	Student Demand/ Needs/Characteristics	Community Demand	Other
<u>High School</u> 1 year	In high school could train aides; Head teacher level would require 4 yr. degree.	Head teachers in centers or public schools would need trained people as aides.	
<u>High School</u> 2 year	Could not train professional teacher at high school level; train aide/paraprofessional in high school.  Occupational emphasis was a natural extension of home economics curriculum already long established.	Recognized need for trained personnel.	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	Four-year degree people are not hired in centers: too expensive. Train at two-year level.	Initially focused on assistant level because entering students would be at this level;  Many requests by employed kindergarten teachers & day care workers for program at night.	Job responsibility suggests level to train for.  Called "assistant" bec./ stigma attached to "aide" label.

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

**Why Did You Decide to Train People for a Particular Level? (aide, assistant, etc.): (U-3)**

<b><u>Jr. College</u></b>	<b><u>Appropriate to Institutional Mission/ Capability</u></b>	<b><u>Student Demand/ Needs/ Characteristics</u></b>	<b><u>Community Demand</u></b>	<b><u>Other</u></b>
<u>2 year</u>	Because a 2 yr./community college train at 2 yr. college level; training involved with number of levels.	Training may apply to various job levels: aide/teacher/director depending on student experience and maturity.	recent emphasis on preschool level teachers because of certification requirements, and program for Early Childhood Educ.	accidental
<u>University</u>	<u>4 year</u>	all types of teacher training, this sub-dept. involved with preschool and kindergarten.	partially determined by needs of individuals referred to program.	
	<u>Head Start LDP</u>	determined by Administrative decision in response to RFP		
	<u>Family Day Care</u>	part of college program in teacher training;	students needed experience in community; needs of day care mothers.	

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**Activities Involved in Planning & Implementing Program: (0-5a)**

<b>Funding &amp; Proposal</b>	<b>Identifying Need/ Interest</b>	<b>Hiring Staff</b>
<b>High School</b> 1 year	Contact with State Dept. of Ed.; Voc. Ed. regarding exemplary program status, & funding; School Board approval.	Contact with various groups in community, school board, & superintendent. Parent interests assessed by mail questionnaire & discussed w/h.s. students.
<b>High School</b> 2 year	Home ec. coord. work w/ state Dept. of Ed., on initially funding; wrote proposal.	School principal, teacher, & school administration has interest. Earlier work with adult short term course indicated need.
<b>Post-Secondary</b> 2 year	Advance planning done by Dept. of Ed. & Voc. Tech. Sch. Dir. prior to hiring of Dept. Chm.	Based on recognized need for more in-depth training, & students' requests.
<b>Jr. College</b> 2 year	Through community college Pres. & Dean.	Request from licensing dept. & instruction staff of college.
<b>University</b> 4 year	Initial program developed over 30 years data on implementation not available.	
<b>Head Start LDP</b> 6 weeks	B-13 Grant announcement from OEO; University Adm. staff & Dept. of Ed. staff wrote proposal.	OEO and Head Start assessed need in centers; University responded.
<b>Family Day Care</b> 1 year	College pres. & staff member identified funds; Current director wrote proposal.	Assess community interest in contact with family day care mothers.
		Current director hired to carry out program proposal; Was part of instructional staff at college.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<u>Activities Involved In Planning &amp; Implementing Program:</u> (0-5a)	
<u>Facilities</u>	<u>Student Recruitment/Selection</u>
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Prepared presentation for h.s. home ec. classes Informal application & interview with teacher/director.
<u>High School</u> 2 year	First program in renovated home ec. class; later ones in specially designed facilities.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	Planned renovation of facility in elementary school; Prog. Dirc. contacted h.s. counselors, visit hs.; gave presentation. Interview students; School counselor partially.
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	Initially those classrooms located in the jr. college. after two yr. had own lab. centers (3)
<u>University</u> 4 year	Currently use Dept. preschool on Univ. campus Begin use of community centers 3 years ago.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks	Use University campus school: 1/2 for Head-start plus known community centers; Dorm space, classroom provided.
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	Located storefront in community.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

Who Was Involved in Planning - Implementing Program? (0-6) Same People? (0-7)

Administration	Institutional &/or Program Staff	Agencies/Other People	Same/Different People
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Local school dist. superintendent State Dept. of Educ., Voc.Ed., Home Econ. div.	Home economics teacher as pro- gram director	Mainly the same super- intendent & teacher/ director
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Initial planning & impl. by child devel. consultant & Home Ec. Coordin.	Principal & teacher in school.	Mainly consultant & home ec. coordinator; spin-off to principal & teacher.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u> B-15	Long range planning by State Dept. of Education, Voc. Ed., Home Ec.	Voc Tech Director Dept. Chrm/director with child devel. consultant	Implementation mainly by Child Development Dept. chrm/as program director.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Support and interest from Pres. & Dean	Various faculty developed/input for courses.	State Dept. Child & Family Services: Licensing Director
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Not known other than Dept. Chrm. & Director of preschool	Currently program staff with Deans determine program.	Some continuity, but constant staff turnover.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u> C-10	University adm. staff & Dept. Chrm. in writing proposal.	Dept. staff, & program staff in developing curr. Staff does re- current planning	Regional training officers & center directors select & refer students.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	College Pres. & adm. staff made funding arrange- ments and hired director.	Current director did proposal & actual development of program.	Students w/staff did canvassing of neighbor- hood for day care mothers.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

Problems in Program: Planning & Implementation (0-8)

High School  
1 year

Curriculum: figuring out how to provide practical experience for students.  
Participation Setting: none available in community

Community Interest: General lack of interest initially.

Children for Program: parents not willing to bring their children

Other: afternoon scheduling for children; or not? Transportation for children.

B-16  
51

Funds: for meals for preschool children not available to school system; now charge  
fee for meals. 50¢/day.

Post-Secondary  
2 year.

Administration: too difficult to be director of lab center and dept. chm.  
Children for Program: couldn't get enough children because of time of year.

Program Director Data Summary (con't)

Problems in Program: Planning & Implementation

(0-8)

Jr. College  
2 year

Curriculum: centers for participation; good observation facilities

Administration: recognition of need for program on other affiliated college campus

Staff: need someone with time to work w/community agency, students, plan for needs of immediate group; setting up practicums

University  
4 year

Administration: definitely a problem, little direction provided

Students: students are caught between staff with different goals.

Other: program objectives are particular to staff members; vague; differences in new and older staff.

Head Start LDP  
6 weeks

Students: addressing total range of students: varied job, academic; experiential backgrounds.

Other: Could not anticipate certain student problems, such as personal, emotional, or health.

Family Day Care  
1 year

Staff: need more staff for legwork in community

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

How Long to Plan And Implement (0-56)	Maximum Capacity of Program (0-9)	Opened at Full Capacity?	How Long to Reach Capacity?
<u>High School</u> 1 year	1 yr. from attendance at Institute, summer, 1969-fall, 1970.	Yes, 15 students with labora- tory center licensed for 15 children. Not at capacity in number of preschoolers.	Initially opened at this level.
<u>High School</u> 2 year	About 6 months, Spring-August 1966.	No. began with one school: 1 teacher per 15 students. Expanded to total of 9 schools; with 1/15 students/15 pre- school children.	Opened in 1966 with 1 school; 1971 have 9 schools.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	3 months: June-Sept. 1967	No. began with 25 students: Now have 80 day students & 120 night students.	Opened in 1967. In 1971 would be possible to have more.
<u>B-18</u> <u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	Student entered Sept. open lab. Dec. 1967	No. opened with 2-4 classes, which was capacity at the time. Ex- panded to 5 jr. college cam- pus: about 1500-2000 students.	Enlarged over 6 yrs. Could expand to other campuses. Max. would be deter- mined by job market & nat'l. interest in day care, & saturation level of field.
<u>University</u> 4 year	One month after conference: Initial course (1) in Sept. 1964; Feb. 1965 further developed courses; 1967 developed own day care facilities.	No. opened with 2-4 classes, which was capacity at the time. Ex- panded to 5 jr. college cam- pus: about 1500-2000 students.	May be beyond cap.; Have set lim. at current 50 srs. & 60 yrs. bec. of prob- lems in placements for practicum & staff decrease in 3½ people: Replacements (2) will div. time between grad. & undergrad. work.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks	Implementation time unknown.	Yes. 20-25 students per session. Began with this number/session.	Max. because of difficulty in adequate placement & supervision during student teaching.
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	one month. July-August, 1970.	No. Began with 7 students and 22 family day care mothers.	In 2nd year will have 9 students and 30-32 family day care mothers.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

Present Student Enrollment (W-44)	Enrollment Last Year (W-45)	How Many Entering At Next Enrollment (W-46)	Number Can Accommodate (W-47)	Number of Students/Class (W-25)
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	15	no program last year.	13 students Fall 1972	13-15 year 15 students total not more than 3-4 in lab.work at one time.
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	300	225 approx.	225 Fall 1972	500 15 students, 15 preschoolers in each lab.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	B-19	80 daytime 120 nighttime	50 25 Fall quarter	About 25 entering students per quarter, usually finish with 20. Classroom instruction size about 18 students.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	57	1500 includes 282 Head Start Suppl.	1200 200 students Training students	200/quarter Varies according to type of class. Max. about 35-39 per teacher.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	143	123 Total 53 seniors 60 juniors 30 sophomore	Not able to tell 45 seniors 50 juniors 28 sophomores	Unknown, without new staff, program may be over-enrolled.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>		110 for Fy 1970-71	None, program is discontinuing in present form.	22-25 20-25 per session: average 22 students.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Day Care Mother Students	no program last year. 20 7	30-32 Fall 1972 9	30-32 Small group meetings with Family day care mothers have about 4-5. Students meet as total group weekly. 9

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

How Difficult to Expand to Train More People? Different Level? (0-40)

High School 1 year	GENERAL ASSESSMENT	CONSTRAINTS/PREREQUISITES			Facilities	Programming
		Administrative Support	Funds	Staff		
Could train for supervisory level				More exper- ience needed by teacher/ director.		
Difficult to in number of students over 15.				Space limits no. of chil- dren for stu- dents to work with	Students would not have enough time/ hours with children.	
B-20 57	High School 2 year	No difficulty	School system has interest	Main prob- lem is money staff	Could hire number of labs. and participation settings.	
		Expand to other schools.				
		To increase no. of students/lab.			Would need another teacher.	Would perhaps group preschoolers into 2 groups
					to accomodate students & chil- dren.	
	Post-Secondary 2 year	Not too difficult based on experience.				
					Would need additional supervisory staff.	Would require larger lab. for more children & students.

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

<u>How difficult to Expand (con't) (040)</u>		<u>CONSTRAINTS/PREREQUISITES</u>		
<u>GENERAL ASSESSMENT</u>	<u>Administrative Support</u>	<u>Funds</u>	<u>Staff</u>	<u>Facilities Programming</u>
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	No difficulty. Have the know-how	Some problems in recognition of needs related to starting this kind of program.	Only need money . . . Problem only in that have expanded beyond possibilities & priorities of program.	Could hire staff, etc.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Unlikely to expand in areas of under-grad. or in-service training	Would depend on the commitment of deans.	Current staff limited	Major problem in placement of students in practicum.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Difficult to have more than 25 student/session.		Supervision for practicum	Problem in placement of student teachers.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Would not be difficult			40 weeks for training; also need 12 wks for planning & field follow-up.  Would need more staff.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<u>How Would You Go About Expanding Your Present Program? (0-41)</u>		<u>Expand Curriculum/Program</u>	<u>Operations</u>	<u>Add Staff</u>	<u>Facilities</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>High School</u>	<u>1 year</u>	Possibly cooperate with voc. school in use of facilities.	Would need to have another staff member to assist w/ summer program.	Increase lab. space to bring in more children.	Would need more children in lab; two groups of 3/4 yrs.	
<u>High School</u>	<u>2 years</u>	Perhaps develop parent ed. for all students interested.	Potential for year round operation (4 qtr. rather than 3)	Have additional person to help other districts/ in lab. center: schools. Dev. observation facility.	Group children into two groups in the lab.	
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	<u>2 year</u>	Currently doing this, with recent night prog. & aide level.	Would like to extend lab. for evening classes.	Curriculum spec. & more supervisory staff.	Lab size increase for 100 children better observation facilities, play space.	Over 5 yrs. graduate 100 aide, 100 assist. per yr. to meet community need.
<u>B-22</u>	<u>Jr. College</u>	Based on core curriculum for child development, add courses for specific occupations.	Earlier exposure to teacher role thru courses at soph. level.	Would hire director/staff	Get classroom space. Would like own observation facilities	Recruitment no difficulty: just advertise availability.
<u>University</u>	<u>4 year</u>		Go to other institution.			
	<u>Head Start LDPP</u>		Extend to 8 wks.	Would need more staff.		More follow-up; change program role to one of monitoring function.
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>	Various changes currently incorporated into next yr. proposal. Continue child course for family day care mothers.	Form committee & organization of others. Include loan fund for equip. & facilities.	Will have 9 students. 5 family day care mothers will act as "field faculty" to help recruit/train new mothers.		

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

What is the Administrative Structure of the Program (0-10)			
	GOVERNMENTAL		INSTITUTIONAL
Federal	State	Local	
<u>High School</u> 1 year	State Dept. of Education, Vocational Education, Home Econ. Div.	Independent school district, under local school board & School Superintendent.	Principal, teacher/director in home economics occupational
<u>High School</u> 2 year	State Dept. of Education, Vocational Education, Home Economics.	City School System with 5 districts each with area superintendent; school board.	Coordinator for home economics responsible for 90 teachers in all areas of home ec. curriculum: child care & child development is part; in 9 schools.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	State Dept. of Education, Vocational Education, Home Econ- omics Div.	City School System Vocation/technical school, post- secondary.	Director of Area Vocational School with Chairman of Child Development Dept. as head of child care training and instructional courses.

Program Director Data Summary (con't.)

What is the Administrative Structure of the Program (0-10)

	GOVERNMENTAL			INSTITUTIONAL
	Federal	State	Local	
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	Included as special projects are Pub. Ser. careers; Model Cities training Headstart supple. training.	State Board of Higher Education; State Jr. College Board.	Public community college: Jr. College District. (City) operates on 7 major campuses. Dean for each campus. Board of trustees.	VP for Career Programs w/Human Services on sub-division; Child Care operates at a dept. level on 5 campuses, w/dept. chm. & curriculum coordinators. On one campus part of total human service curriculum program. Director of Institute/Dean of one campus responsible.
<u>University</u> 4 year		Public State University	One campus of the University.	In College of Agr. & Life Sciences: School of Family Resources & Consumer Sciences. 4 depts/adm. by Dir. & Assoc. Dean. 2 major area in the Dept of Home Management & Family Living: Child dev. & Preschool teaching; Preschool & Kindergarten Education. With dept. chm. & instructional staff.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks			State University	Under the auspices of Dept. of Education, Dept. Chm. as Program Director with Assistant Dir./supervisor; instructors/super./ & lab. teachers.
			Regional training officers for three states coordinate, the training region. Shifting to regional administration.	
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year			Direct responsibility to Federal level Encompasses three states as the training region. Shifting to regional administration.	Under the auspices of private 2 year upper division college. College President, and program director w/ assist director and staff.
				Supplementary training: EPDA: early childhood.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

Program Budget & Cost Categories (W-12)			With Changes Since Start of Program (W-13)		
Total	Salaries	Facilities	Equipment & Supplies	Operating Expenses	Participant Support
<u>High School</u> 1 year	\$34,000	\$10,300	\$19,500 *	\$ 4,500 2,800: equip. 1,700: suppl.	--- --- ---
	100%	28%	57% decrease same to \$500	13% decrease same to \$500	--- --- ---
			<p>* House bought by school district; not counted as part of program budget.</p>		
<u>High School</u> 2 year			\$12-15,000 to build/ equip. one; 8 lab. centers	\$45,000 (state local)	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	\$200,000	\$120,000 60% Increase	\$20,000 10% Increase	\$40,000 20%	\$20,000 10% Increase

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

<u>Program Budget &amp; Cost Categories (W-12)</u>			<u>With Changes Since Start of Program (W-13)</u>		
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Salaries</u>	<u>Facilities</u>	<u>Equipment &amp; Supplies</u>	<u>Other:</u>
				<u>Operating Expenses</u>	<u>Participant Support</u>
<u>Total Program:</u> Data Not Available	Child Care Program area part of total college budget for various campuses.				
<u>Special Projects</u>					
<u>Head Start Supplementary:</u> (n=282)					
\$43,858	\$16,000	\$780	\$195	\$12,000*	\$6,000*
	36%	1.7%	.4%	27.4%	13.7%
				Tuition to senior college	Course lectures
<u>Model Cities Day Care (n=50)</u>					
\$51,288	\$46,910	\$560	\$1,708	\$303	—
	91.5%	1.09%	3.3%	.6%	
<u>University</u>	<u>Data Not Available</u>	<u>Approx. 60% total</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>None</u>
<u>4 year</u>	All Increase				—
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>\$246,000 (approx.) (n=125)</u>	<u>\$68,000 28%</u>	<u>\$13,000* 5.3%</u>	<u>\$4,300 1.7%</u>	<u>\$167,000 68%</u>
<u>6 weeks</u>		Increase			
				*includes overhead and direct costs.	
			Decrease		
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>\$73,000 1 year</u>	<u>\$31,000 Fixed budget:</u>	<u>\$3,000 4.1%</u>	<u>\$2,000 3.0%</u>	<u>\$9,800 40%</u>
		No change (22 FDC mothers 7 students.)			

**61**

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

## **Funding Authorization (0-11)**

<u>Federal</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>	<u>Tuition</u>	<u>Other</u>	
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	100% Federal:State:Local Mix First year: 75% Federal & State Second year: 50% Federal & State	25% school district 50% school district	None	Minimal charge to parents for children in lab center: \$2.50/wk/	Under Vocational Education Amend., 1968. Exemplary State program: Occupational Home Ec.
					(Federal:State:Local ratio, 1:5:7)
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	100% Federal:State:Local Mix 50% Federal/State Funds through State Dept. Ed. supplement teacher salary & equipment	50% School system furnishes facilities basis teacher salary some supplies	None	Minimal charge for meals for children in lab centers.	Vocational Education Amendments, 1968. Basic Grants to States Occupational Home Economics
					ESEA, 1967 Model Cities Demonstration Act 1966 Economic Opportunity Act.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	100% Federal:State:Local		Minimal	Student internship in 8th qtr. paid by various centers.	Vocation Education, Amendment 1968 Grants to States Occupational Home Economics.
					50% Federal/State funds supplement teacher salaries and equipment. School facilities

Program Director Data Summary (cont.)

Sources of Funds (W-11)					Funding Authorization (0-11)
	Federal	State	Local	Tuition	Other
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	100% Federal: <u>Basic occupational training program receives unspecified portion from State/Federal through St. Dept. of Vocational Education.</u>	Local	Mix Local tax money.	Student fee minimal.	Vocational Education Amendment, 1968: Basic Grants to States.
	100% Federal: <u>Special Projects: Model Cities, Head Start Training.</u>				Economic Opportunity Act. Model Cities.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	100% Federal: <u>Proportion of each source unknown; Departmental funds allocated through University. State Vocational Education, Home Econ. would be part.</u>	Local	Mix Student tuition combined with other Federal/ State/Local funds.		Vocational Education Amendment, 1968, with other.
				University waives tuition.	Economic Opportunity Act: Training Money.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>				100% Federal funding	Social Security Act, 1967: Title IV Research and Demonstration Grant.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>				100% Federal funding	

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

Requirements for Use of Funds (0-12)						
Occupational Category	Staffing	Course Content	Student Characteristics	Evaluation & Reporting	Administrative Approval	Other
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Occupational home econ.	Supplement teacher salary & part equip.		Student follow-up	State Dept. Educ. Voc. Ed.	50% Local 50% State-Fed
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Occupational home ec.	Supplement teacher salary		Student follow-up		
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Occupational home econ.		NYC funds: poverty level		. Director Voc Tech school . State Dept. Ed. Voc. Ed.	
<u>B-29 Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Various; training ends in employment			Residents: Model Cities, Head Start employees. Teacher aides under Board of Educ. Employee early childhood M.C. Day Care.		
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	None					
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>				employees Head Start	1/year Yearly	follow OEO general program guidelines trainee subsidy.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>						1 yr. for 3 years submit proposal & carry out.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

If Available What Priorities in Allocating Additional Federal Funds (0-13)

Student	Work/Study	Faculty	Facilities	Scholarships	Loans	Grants	Other
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>		First most applicable to H.S. level	Second	----- These do not apply to high school program -----			
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>		First main prob- lem for program	Second	Third		also need money for films and books	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>		Second	First, for supervision during practicum field placement; a limiting factor in expansion	Third, for exceptional students			
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>					First	Second	
					would not like training programs part of large universities. Need pro- grams developed to meet trainees need rather than confined in university structure		
							for more LDP on campus, to serve the people at the college; increase programs for those who are not being included.
							Second, for student to learn while being paid
							First Family day care mothers

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What In-Kind Contributions Do You Receive For Your Program (0-14)					
Staff Time	Facilities	Participation Settings	Student Salaries	Other	
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Lab center bought by school district not considered part of program budget.			Minimal Materials & Piano	
<u>High School</u> 2 year	Cooperating teachers in Community settings.	Program in community for participation.	Work-study salaries		
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	interns staff lab. center cooperating teachers	Community programs pay students in last qtr.	Well-baby clinic provides health care to some children.		
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	some space	some student salaries	no major contributions.		
<u>University</u> 4 year	Cooperating teachers	programs in community for participation			
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks			student tuition waived by University		
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	University staff give lectures; cooperating teachers in participation settings.	programs in community for participation			Consultation from director of children's school at college on curriculum for children in family day care.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

Is Program Self Contained? (0-15)	Institutional Resources Incorporated (0-16)				Materials & Equipment	Other
	Administrative Assistance	Instructional Staff	Course Work	Facilities		
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Yes, instructional program	Yes, superintendent relations of school board.	Students take other course work concurrently.	Yes, Library; Lab. center	Yes, support staff; speech therapist, psychologist	
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes, instructional program	Yes, staff personnel services for teaching staff	Yes, students take other h.s. courses concurrently	Yes	Yes	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes, self-contained instructional program - lab. has indep. budget.	Yes, admissions, bookkeeping, registration	Yes, library classrooms at Voc-Tech;	Yes, counseling services, student testing.		
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	No - part of program of City Colleges	Yes, all resources of college				
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	No	Yes, Departmental and University	Yes, various	Yes, Educ. dept & others; Students take courses in Ed. dept & others.	Yes, Class-rooms, lab. center, Library, etc.	Yes
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Yes	Yes, Dept. & Univ. staff as speakers	Yes, Dept. & Univ. staff as speakers	Yes, all incl. dorm space for students library; lab school		
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Yes	Yes, budgeting/financial	Yes, All project staff are part of faculty of college; other staff used as speakers.	Yes, childrens school; library courses	Yes, childrens school; library	Yes

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

What Cooperative Arrangements Do You Have With Other Agencies/Institutions? (0-17)			
Participation Settings	Instructional Staff	Curriculum	
<u>High School</u> 1 year			
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year			
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year			
<u>University</u> 4 year			
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks			
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year			

**B-33**

88

Public elem. schools  
Model Cities, EO Agency  
Centers, Community  
Schools

During last year students  
work in various settings,

day care/nursery schools,  
Head Start program,  
public schools

public schools; day care  
centers; observe in  
childrens Hospital

5 slots in coop nursery  
school 2 day wk for child-  
ren in family day care.  
22 Family Day Care Homes

Cooperating teachers in  
outside participation  
settings.

Cooperating teachers in  
participation & internship  
4 spec. in special ed. from  
public school system

cooperating teachers in  
community participating  
settings.

in participation settings,  
e.g. public schools

trying to coordinate  
with state college &  
voc. tech on credits  
and courses.

Developing preschool  
training curriculum with  
secondary school system  
advisory committee.  
consultation on develop-  
ment. Local schools  
recognize courses and  
credits.

Independent study course:  
Student works in child  
related community program.

University Dept. of Educ:  
course credit. Presentations  
by various community organ-  
izations/agencies.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What Cooperative Arrangements Do You Have With Other Agencies/Institutions? (O-17)

Student/Salary Employ.	Student Selection	Services	Other
<u>High School</u> 1 year advisory committee member in welfare dept. make suggestions for placements;		advisory committee input and recommendations. Various groups represented.	
<u>High School</u> 2 year			
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year B-34	Interns paid in last qtr. by community centers; Some programs used for internships hire students.	health services for children; take referrals from clinic into program lab. under certain circumstances.	
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	Some students have work/study	Incorporate projects such as Model cities Head Start send students.	
<u>University</u> 4 year			RTOs send students to program
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks			
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year			Homemaker Service Public Library, Family Mental Health Clinic, Health Dept., Licensing Dept.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<b>What Are Your Responsibilities: (0-2)</b>					
	<b>Administration</b>	<b>Coordination</b>	<b>Supervision</b>	<b>Instructional</b>	<b>Lab/Demonstration Component</b>
<b>High School</b> <u>1 year</u>	entire program scheduling with high school program.	Staff (1); students and children in lab. center	teaching in regular home ec. & students in child care class; lab; nursery school	direct lab. center; selected children & run nursery.	
<b>High School</b> <u>2 year</u>	some	State Dept. for funding and expansion	primary - entire program	work with teacher in-service training	coordinate home ec. program assignments.
<b>Post-Secondary</b> <u>2 year</u>	total Dept of Child Development	with Voc-Tech. School Admin.	student; lab staff, other instructional staff	in-service for lab. staff; previously courses for students.	Administrative; not operation.
<b>Jr. College</b> <u>2 year</u>	budget; staff hiring	instructional areas in total programs.	special projects; entire program including child care.		
<b>University</b> <u>4 year</u>	on committee for dept.-budget; Dean & Adm. responsible	within dept & with Education Dept. in University.	Students		undergraduate students in program: Primary; 1/4 time during academic year directs 4-C training project.
<b>Head Start LDP</b> <u>6 weeks</u>	budgeting; pay participants reporting	training settings; Students in training regional & federal settings	Students		
<b>Family Day Care</b> <u>1 year</u>	budget; reporting, staff hiring.	w/college ADM; student & FDCM	students & staff		project - students & family day care mothers

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<b>What are Your Responsibilities: (0-2)</b>		<b>Student Recruitment/ Selection</b>	<b>Program/Curriculum Development</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>	<b>Public Relations/ Community Activities</b>
<b>High School</b>	<b>1 year</b>	presentation to high school classes and interviews.	initial & continuing for child care course	students in course.	parents, school board, general community.
<b>High School</b>	<b>2 year</b>	done by individual school, teachers	major identifies teacher; works with principal and area superintendent.	total program in 9 schools	4-C; local boards; state committee; prof. org. GAEYC. Community centers directors and job development.
<b>Post-Secondary</b>	<b>2 year</b>	Minimal recruitment & selection.	primary; training and preschool. develop & implement Child Develop. Dept. All areas in Dept. of Child Development	with training staff.	Community relations.
<b>B-36</b>			Dept. of Child Development		
<b>Jr. College</b>	<b>2 year</b>	Minimal recruitment; no selection	ongoing and new instructional areas in general instructional areas, staff does specifics.	entire program including child care	teachers, directors, participation settings.
<b>University</b>	<b>4 year</b>		5 courses and departmental courses in preschool and early childhood ed.	student evaluation	student evaluation; conferences; written work; field work evaluation; follow-up at local center.
<b>Head Start LDP</b>	<b>6 weeks</b>		content initially through response; course content.		identify FDCM; in community, community resources and observation sites.
<b>Family Day Care</b>	<b>1 year</b>	from student applicants word of mouth; written announcements	all phases; general course content to cover with students and day care mothers.	Student evaluation	

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<b>Responsibility for Selecting Staff (0-18) (W-24)</b>	<b>What Qualifications/Characteristics Looked For In Teacher Selection? (0-20)</b>
<b>High School 1 year</b>	<p>Primarily school principal, approved by local school board, Advisory committee recommendations.</p> <p>exper w/young children; in hiring aide . couldnt find anyone with experience.</p> <p>accept what students doing; teacher allows students responsibility with children.</p>
<b>High School 2 year</b>	<p>School system personnel director with consultation with program consultant</p> <p>Vocational degree preferably home ec, most MA Child Dev'l or early childhood; some BA: those with basic home ec. (family life, nutrition etc) have had better success than those with just early childhood education.</p>
<b>Post-Secondary 2 year.</b>	<p>good child devl would like some good day care people, but hard to find with child directly</p> <p>relates well to students; teacher will share children with students.</p> <p>good demonstration teacher to get skills across to students. Young teacher with lots of energy</p>

**Program Director Data Summary (con't)**

<b>Responsibility for Selecting Staff (0-18) (W-24)</b>		<b>What Qualifications/Characteristics Looked For In Teacher Selection? (J-20)</b>				
		<b>Education/Academic Experience</b>	<b>Prior Experience</b>	<b>Attitudes/Qualities</b>		
<b>Jr. College</b>	Program Director is Dean of one campus; consent of college pres. Advisory committee & staff recommend people	Certain personal qualifications and general academic requirements (un-specified)	Work/practical experience in early childhood or related e.g. home ec., health, nutrition	Commitment to the field; warm, flexible	Appropriate behavior in context	Other
<b>University</b>	Dean of School (Family Resources & Consumer Services) within University & departmental executive committee	<u>Current Criteria:</u> Ph.D. and Publications <u>Desirable:</u> training as teacher trainer	Experience in teacher training rather than in prog. for children; Exp. with parent control & community involvement	Interest in research	Bright	
<b>4 year</b>			Competency, but not just academic background; people they've trained in program	Sensitivity		
<b>Head Start LDP</b>	Program Director; Univ. Dept. of Edu. informal approval		Varied backgrounds in staff; experience in community members of local community			
<b>Family Day Care</b>			"Open" and flexible; very open to criticism from students	Common sense & ability to work with people		
<b>1 year</b>	Program Director		Worked with different kinds of people, part of "community": knows language or ethnic group, e.g. Spanish speaking, black			

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

How Is Your Staffing Pattern Determined (0-19)					
	Teacher:Student ratio	Program Content	Program Components	Other	
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Ratio 1/15 Student / 15 Children	Necessary for supervision of students & preschoolers in lab.		Number of hours teacher/director could be in lab. center.	
<u>High School</u> 2 year	Basic 1:15; Maximum 30-35 (2 groups, $\frac{1}{2}$ day each)	Start-up only 1 teacher; with 2nd yr. component need (1) additional 2 teachers for intern supervision.			
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	Voc. Ed. specifies 1:12 minimum to offer a course	Special Education Content	Supervision; Administration; Instruction; Lab. program.		
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	Gen. class instruct. 1:35-39 per course	Whatever appro- priate for activity	Varied needs of special projects; e.g. supervision		
<u>University</u> 4 year	Unknown: student numbers increasing with staff currently decreasing.		Needs of program & students, participation & follow-up.		
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks					
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	Enough students to allow for release time for day care mothers. Initially 7 students: 22 family day care mothers. Next year approx. 10:30/32.	Program needs such as research/writing tasks and community contact work.	Need to balance high cost of personnel with student/Family Day Mother numbers.		

**Program Directors Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**What Changes In Staff Selection, Make-up, Policy? (0-21)**

<u>Administrative policy</u>	<u>Staff Additions/Background</u>	<u>Role/Task Differentiation</u>
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Hire fully qualified nursery school teacher for lab. center	Teacher/director would prefer to spend more time on basic home ec. instruction; Student supervision; program coordination.
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Need paraprofessional in lab's to help with children.	Teachers in lab. would have more time for student conferences, etc.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Add curriculum specialist; More supervisory staff to work with students; Would like social worker.	Curriculum specialists to work with staff on developing curriculum & do staff in-service training now done by Program Director. Social worker in lab. for intake; work with parents; Staff prob. now done by lab. manager.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Greater freedom to draw on staff resources throughout college as in development of new components.	All program components need more staff; need full-time staff person for community type work with students.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Entire program might be better part of adult ed. or home ec. educ. his would have implications for staff development.	Balance in research and teacher training emphasis in staff.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>		More staff for longer, in-depth course.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Will add one staff person for research & writing; one other for community work, half-time.	Research and writing currently done by project director would be assumed by additional staff member.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What is the Make-up of Current Instructional Program Staff by Function & Full/Part Time: (W-18)

		Administrator		Teacher/ Instructor		Other Education Specialists		Support Personnel		Social Service		Auxillary	
		Full Part	Full Part	Full Part	Full Part	Full Part	Full Part	Full Part	Full Part	Full Part	Full Part	Full Part	Full Part
<u>High School</u>	<u>1 year</u>	-	-	1	1 (teacher aide)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>High School</u>	<u>2 year</u>	1	-	13	-	1	-	-	-	*available in school	-	-	-
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	<u>2 year</u>	1	-	10	-	-	-	1 (counselor)	-	1 (Sec.) (Coun.) 1 (Maid)	-	-	-
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>2 year</u>	2	1	14	5	-	-	-	-	*available as part of full college service	-	-	-
<u>University</u>	<u>4 year</u>	1	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	*use full resources of the university	-	-	-
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>	1	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	*available, but not specified	-	1 (sec.)	-
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>	1	2	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

\*Number and level unspecified.

Program Directory Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

		Number On Child Care Training Staff (W-17)				Future			
		Last Year		Present		Next Year		Full Part	
		Full*	Part	Full	Part	Full	Part	Full	Part
<u>High School</u>		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<u>1 year</u>									
<u>High School</u>		13		13		17		25-50	
<u>2 year</u>									
<u>Post-Secondary</u>		11		11		13		13	
<u>2 year</u>									
<u>Jr. College</u>		Same		15	5	?			
<u>2 year</u>									
<u>University</u>		4 (0)**	2 (2)	3 (2)	2	2 (4)	2	4	2-3
<u>4 year</u>									
<u>Head Start LDP</u>		3		3	5	4			
<u>6 weeks</u>									
<u>Family Day Care</u>		3	0	1	2	4			
<u>1 year</u>									

\*Full refers to full-time instructional staff. Part to part-time.

\*\*First number refers to staff for course or field experience related to teacher training.

Number in ( ) refers to staff in child development and research.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

Laboratory Program Staff: (W-37)								
	Administrators		Assistant Teachers		Auxiliary Personnel		Support Staff	Other
	Full	Part	Full	Part	Full	Part	Social Service	
<u>High School</u>	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	
<u>1 year</u>								
<u>High School</u>	1	-	1	-	-	-	Available at each school	**(F) 1 intern supervisor per school; some responsible for 2 schools.
<u>2 year</u>								
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	1	-	4	-	3	-	3	1
<u>2 year</u>								
<u>B-43</u>	1	-	3	-	2	-	1	-
<u>Jr. College</u>								
<u>4 year</u>	1	-	2	-	-	2	2	**(P) Secretary serves total department custodial staff is part of total university
<u>6 weeks</u>	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	
<u>Family Day Care</u>								
<u>1 year</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	(P) 22 family day care mothers

\*Full Refers to full-time laboratory staff; Part to part-time staff.  
\*\*(F) or (P) refers to full-time or part-time staff.

Program Directory Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

Extent of Educational Preparation by Field (W-19)

		Elementary Education						Home Economics						Other	
		Number	A.A.	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D.	Adv.	A.A.	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D.	Adv.	Number	Level/ Field	
<u>High School</u>															
<u>1 year</u>															
<u>High School</u>		1	X												
<u>2 year</u>															
<u>Post-Secondary</u>		4	1	1	1	1		2	2			4	3	1	M.A. Special Education
<u>2 year</u>															
<u>Jr. College</u>		13	3	10				5	5						5 M.A. (Field not specified)
<u>2 year</u>															
<u>University*</u>		2													
<u>4 year</u>															
<u>Head Start LDP</u>															
<u>6 weeks</u>		7	1	4	1	1									
<u>Family Day Care</u>		2													
<u>1 year</u>															
Total		29													8
															16
															8

\*Other staff preparation not specified.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

Staff in Early Childhood Ed. & Preschool Practice Teaching (W-20)		With No Training in Early Childhood, Special Training Required (W-21)	
Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time	Part-Time
<u>High School</u>			
<u>1 year</u>	0	0	Yes Child Development Special Workshops
<u>High School</u>			
<u>2 year</u>	13		Yes Refresher courses for those who have been out of field
<u>Post-Secondary</u>			
<u>2 year</u>	11		Yes Child Development Observation and Methods Student teaching at pre- school levels Special workshops
<u>B-45</u>			
<u>Jr. College</u>			
<u>2 year</u>	10		None They do not teach this content unless background is early childhood
<u>University</u>			
<u>4 year</u>	3	1	Child Development
<u>Head Start LDP</u>			
<u>6 weeks</u>	2	5	Not needed
<u>Family Day Care</u>			
<u>1 year</u>	1	2	Not needed

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**Staff Level of Experience With Children: (W-22)**

Title/Role	Level of Experience			
	6 months or less	6 months / 2 years	3 to 5 years	Over 5 years
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Teacher/Director 1	Teacher aide 1		
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Teachers all			
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Training Instructors Lab. Teachers 2		2 1	1
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Instructors Day Care Staff all 2			
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Head teacher/instructor Instructor Specialist 1 (a) 1 (c)			1 (a) 1 (a) (b)
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Director Lecturer/Supervisors Project Assistant Lab. Center Teachers 1 3 1 2 (d)			1 3 1 2 (d)
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Director Assistant Director 1 2			

- (a) indicates nursery school
- (b) indicates day care
- (c) education director, high school cooperative
- (d) indicates Head Start center

**Program Directory Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**What Kind of Service Training Do You Provide for Your Staff? (W-23)**

	None	By Program Sup/ Director	Program Consultants	College Univers. sponsors	Lectures by Specialists	Staff discussion groups	After- hours class	Other
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	No formal	on-the-job for teacher assistant			occasional	regular	occasional	occasional workshops conferences
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>					occasional	regular	occasional	occasional occasional conferences
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>				in-service trained all staff initially	occasional	regular staff meetings	occasional	occasional conferences
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>						regular staff meeting	rarely	
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>								
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>					regular		regular staff meetings	regular
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>					regular		regular staff meetings	

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

How Present Curriculum Determined (0-25)		Program Staff	Institutional Staff/Consultants
Administrative direction	Program Director		
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	High School principal	General content areas; amount of participation time.	Initially by first teacher committee later developed more. 1970 adapted state guide to system use; Univ. Consultant and school system consultant.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>		Home ec. coordinator primarily responsible for overall development.	Courses for interns vary with instructor; Used 4 instructors for as do activities for special education content. children in lab center.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	B-48	Determined for interns initially; as Dept. Chm. general responsibility.	Individual instructors determine specifics of course.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>		Initially hired to expand curriculum and courses.	mostly
		General occupational orientation; child development; specified for special projects.	
		Some; increasing amount of administrative direction.	
			Director & Staff. modify for each session.
			Developed most content based on students/mothers' need/problems/ interest.
			Brought together materials; determined class & participation time sequence; had general plan of areas to cover.
			Initially profs. in Ed. Dept. condensed regular Sr. College program in early child ed. to short-term course.
			Consultant-director of Children's School in programming for child in FDC.

Program Directory Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

	How Present Curriculum Determined Student Input.	(0-25) Special Workshops Conferences	Community/ Committee
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	in particular areas or problems that would arise	largely influence of participation in 6 wk. institute.	
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>		teachers; consultant from univ; curriculum guide	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>		initially from Institute-child care and guidance 1967.	
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>		Currently working curriculum related to task requirement, faculty	
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>		informal community input thru professional groups, agencies.	No community involvement.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>		Student evaluations of program -- incorporate changes, focus, etc. while participating in session determine topics, visits, etc.	Community committee develops idea, has input.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>			

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

In Developing Content in Curriculum What Kind of Sources: (0-26)

Existing Programs	Curriculum Guides	Articles/Texts Handouts	People	Other
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Mainly written guides developed by participants at 6 wk. inst. also one for local area high schools in home, etc.	Various materials on child development, activities w/children; employment in child care; used text: <u>The Nursery School</u> .	Input from school principal	Films Teacher workshops
<u>B-50</u> <u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Developed general curriculum guide at statewide conference; further revised by local system to suit 2 year program.	Various texts; and teacher prepared materials	Initially teacher for 1st course; General direction from Home Ec. coordinator & Child Dev. consultants; Univ. curriculum specialist	Various teacher workshops; Conferences
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Based mainly on earlier work in preparing high school program curriculum in other state; General guide also from 1967 Institute, <u>Child Care &amp; Guidance: A Post-High School Curriculum</u> .	Use many handouts, articles; Various texts according to student reading level	Input from advisory committee; Consultant in child devel. and instructional spec. for particular content: exceptional children	

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

<u>In Developing Content in Curriculum</u>		<u>What Kind of Sources:</u>	<u>(0-26)</u>
<u>Existing Programs</u>	<u>Curriculum Guides</u>	<u>Articles/Texts</u>	
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	<u>In early stages</u> looked at other programs.	<u>Handouts</u> Teacher prepared materials	<u>People</u> Mainly individual faculty; people in community; Licens.
			<u>Other</u> Teacher/faculty workshops Community; Licens. Advisory group for each curriculum area.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>		<u>Some</u> Mainly teacher developed content, materials.	<u>Note:</u> refers to particular course or joint course; not total program
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Overall curriculum based on University teacher training/ child development; Dept. of Curriculum & Instruction, Ed. Psych.	Headstart prepared materials, handbooks, articles on poverty, etc.	Specific content based on current training, staff development. Back-ground from early childhood Dept. chm. & Dept. of Ed. staff.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	A great deal of literature on existing programs; research & demonstration efforts in day care/training/ programs for infants	Materials mostly in handout form; research articles; magazine articles.	Consultant from college preschool program help with curriculum/activity Community with children in day care homes.
			<u>Use licensing standards.</u>
			Main source has been ideas by program staff & director

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

Percentage of Time on Various Activities (W-26)						
	Media Lectures	Class Presentation	Participation Discussion	Internship/ Observation in child care	Independent practice teaching	Other Study
<u>High School</u>						
<u>1 year</u>	10%	3%	17%	5%	60%	
<u>High School</u>						
<u>2 year</u>	25%	25%	17%	25%	25%	33%
						50%
					(1 qtr. divided into activities as above)	(2 qtrs. on rotating basis)
						(3 qtrs.)
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	5%	15%	10%	10%	10%	50%
<u>2 year.</u>						

Program Directory Data Summary (con't)

		Percentage of Time on Various Activities (W-26)							
		Lectures	Media Presentation	Class Discussion	Observation	Participation in child care	Internship/ practice teaching	Independent study	Other
Jr. College	2 year	20%	10%	30%	10%	----- 20% -----	----- 20% -----	----- 20% -----	----- 20% -----

<u>University</u>						
4 year	*Course 1	10%	30%	20%	30%	70%
	Course 2					
	Course 3	30%				
	Course 4			50%	5%	
	Course 5	75%		25%	25%	

\* % time devoted within individual course; Course work specific to preschool/kindergarten teacher training.  
\*\*curriculum lab.

<u>Head Start LDP</u>						
6 weeks	30%	4%	30%	2%	30%	4%

<u>Family Day Care</u>						
1 year	Day Care Mother	50% (small group meetings 1/month)	50% (work with student in home)	33%	66%	

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What Subject Area Covered in The Curriculum (W-27)

<u>Areas</u>	<u>High School</u> 1 year	<u>High School</u> 2 year	<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	<u>University</u> 4 year	<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks	<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year
Child Growth & Development	•	•	•	•	-	•	•
Child Management	•	-	•	•	•	•	•
Guidance & Discipline	•	•	•	•	*	*	•
Physical Development	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Nutrition	•	•	•	-	-	-	•
Health & Safety	•	•	•	-	-	-	•
Learning Theories & Philosophy	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Handicapped Children	•	-	-	-	-	-	•
Gifted	•	-	-	-	-	-	•
Cultural Differences	•	-	-	-	-	-	•
Music	•	-	-	-	-	-	•
Art	•	-	-	-	-	-	•
Science	•	-	-	-	-	-	•
Language Arts	•	-	-	-	-	-	•
Math	•	-	-	-	-	-	•

**Curriculum Planning**

**Audio-Visual Materials**

**Child Center Operations**

**Records & Office  
Proc.**

**Food Services**

**Small Group Dynamics**

**Parent Counseling**

**Community Relations**

**Social Services**

**Basic Education**

**Employment Orientation**

\*Yes, through other department.

\*\*Yes, as needed.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

Specific Curriculum of Early Childhood Model (W-31)      GAPS IN MATERIALS (W-30)			
Which one	Why	Why Not	
<u>High School</u>			
<u>1 year</u>	Curriculum dev. 1969 at EPDA Inst. on child care aid training at Iowa State. Had helped develop it & was familiar with it; students working w/children every day & in class each day was good.	Children's relationship with parents. Employment guidance in child care.	Need to work w/preschool specialist in certain specifics: (1) Child language (2) Use of teaching machines (3) Team concept
<u>High School</u>	No specific model	We need to dev. materials for special cultural groups	We are attempting to dev. our own.
<u>2 year</u>			
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	Yes, Combination of High School training and and USOE curriculum model.	Materials written for low reading level Material related to training for day care	
<u>2 year</u>			

**Program Director Data Summary (cont'd)**

<u>Specific Curriculum of Early Childhood Model</u>		<u>(W-31)</u>	<u>GAPS IN MATERIALS</u>	<u>(W-20)</u>
<u>Which one</u>	<u>Why</u>	<u>'Why not'</u>		
<u>Jr. College</u>	No - developed our own model	There were no packaged models for AA level when our program started	Materials for black children in a non-disadvantaged setting.	
<u>University</u>	Yes - Teacher Education model	This can focus on ways to teach teachers rather than a particular model	Administration of full-day group program. Parent control of programs.	
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	Yes, cognitive development open structure Piagetian British Infant School	Best meets child's intellectual, social, emotional, physical development.	None	
<u>Family Day Care</u>	No specific model		There is very little written on family day care, therefore reading materials had to be developed from other existing material.	

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

	Are Courses Presented In Same Sequence (W-28)	Program Same As Last Year (W-29)			Number of courses	Scope	Course Content	Requirements
		Same	Expand	Expand				
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	No	Same	Expand	Expand	Same	Expand	Expand	Same
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes, varies if group needs it	Same	Expand	Expand	Same	Expand	Expand	Same
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes	Expand	Expand	Same	Same	Same	Same	Same
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	No, Students do not always follow the same sequence of courses	Expand	Expand	Expand	Same	Expand	Expand	Same
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	No, Requirements identified; sequence for most courses is flexible and each student plans his/her own schedule	Fewer	Fewer Same	Same	Same	Same	Same	Same
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Yes	Same	Same	Same	NA	NA	NA	NA
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

B-58  
23

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

Have Demonstration/Lab. Program Under Auspices Of Training Program? (W-34)	Demonstration Program Used the Same Months As Instructional Program? (W-36)	Do You Use Programs In Community For Observation/ Student Participation? (W-35)
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Yes.	Yes. Lab. begins after one month of initial instruction.
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes.	Yes. Children in lab. come after 1st qtr. Students spend initial time on instruction.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes.	Yes. Full-year operation.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes.	Yes. This applies primarily to students who are employed in centers during training/or practicum.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Yes. University Nursery School	Yes.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Yes. University Campus School	Yes. Full-year operation.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Yes.	Yes.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What Facilities for Training Program (W-15)

		Same/Different than Last Year (W-16)							
		Lab/ Dem. Center	Outdoor Play Area	Kitchen	Observation Facilities	Classrooms	Other Classrooms	Other Settings	Other Office Space
<u>High School</u>	<u>1 year</u>								
Present:	1	1	1	1	--	x	1	x	
Proposed:	x	x	x	x	--	x	1		
Future:	x	x	x	x	x	x	1		
<u>B-60</u>	<u>2 year</u>								
Present:	9	—	1	1	1	1	2		
Proposed:	2	—	each lab.	center	—	—			
Future:									
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	<u>2 year</u>								
Present:	1	--	x	2	1	3	x	Several Voc-Tech. campus.	
Proposed:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Future:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	

On campus      Varied Settings In Community

No, have moved from temp. facilities in elementary school to Atlanta Area Tech. Campus.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined		What Facilities for Training Program (W-15)		Same/Different Than Last Year (W-16)	
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>2 year</u>	<u>Lab/ Dem. Center</u>	<u>Outdoor Play area</u>	<u>Office Space</u>	<u>Yes.</u>
Present:	3	X	X	—	X
Proposed:	—	—	—	—	—
Future:	—	—	—	X	—
<u>University</u>	<u>4 year</u>				
Present:	1	X	X	1	X
Proposed:	X	X	?	—	—
Future:	X	X	?	—	—
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>				
Present:	2	X	X	1	X
Proposed:	2	X	X	X	1
Future:	—	—	—	—	—
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>				
Present:	—	—	—	—	—
Proposed:	—	—	—	—	—
Future:	—	—	—	—	—

B-61  
6

Program Directory Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

#### Different Types of Settings Used for Observation/Participation by Number,

### Age of Children, and Services: (W-38)

TRAINING PROGRAM		DAY CARE CENTERS (Family Day Care)		NURSERY SCHOOLS (Headstart)		ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (K-1st grade)	
Number of Centers	Age of Children	Number of Centers	Age of Children	Number of Centers	Age of Children	Number of Centers	Age of Children
High School 1 year	1 3-5 yrs.						
		Services:		Services:		Services:	
		Educational Program					
High School 2 year	9 3-5 yrs. (15/1ab)						
		Services:		Services:		Services:	
		Varies: 1-2yrs. 3-5yrs.				Varies 5-6yrs. Kind./ 1st grade	
Post-Secondary 2 year	1 1-2 yrs. 3-5 yrs.						
		Services:		Services:		Services:	
		Educational Program Meal Service Health Service Social Service		Health Service Social Service Family Activity Parent Education		Educational Program Parent Education	
		Services:		Services:		Services:	
		Educational Program Meal Service Health Service Social Service		Educational Program Meal Service		Educational Program Health Service	

Program Director Data Summary (con't.)

TRAINING PROGRAM LAB CENTER				DAY CARE CENTERS (Family Day Care)		NURSERY SCHOOLS (Headstart)		ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS (K-1st grade)	
Number of Centers	Age of Children	Number of Centers	Age of Children	Number of Centers	Age of Children	Number of Centers	Age of Children	Number of Centers	Age of Children
Jr. College <u>2 year</u>	3	3-5yrs.							
University <u>4 year</u>	1	3-5 yrs.	4	3-5 yrs.	5-7	3-5 yrs.	6-8	Kind. 5 yrs.	
Head Start LDP <u>6 weeks</u>	2	3-5 yrs.	6	3-5 yrs.	12	3-5 yrs.			
Family Day Care <u>1 year</u>	22	1-2 yrs. 3-6 yrs. 6-12 yrs.							

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

	<b>In What Ways Do the Settings Differ? (0-27)</b>	<b>In How Many of the Settings Does Each Student Observe? Participate? (W-3)</b>
<b>High School</b> <u>1 year</u>	Students do interview with parents and observe siblings. Various short observations done in sunday school, participation in lab center only.	one: participate lab. center only
<b>High School</b> <u>2 year</u>	Program auspices: participation in Model Cities extended day program, or afternoon/evening at the Community School, public schools. First yr. in the lab. center with pre- school group, but different groups are brought in for observ. Students make visits to programs like Montessori, more responsibility in lab. settings.	All types.
<b>Post-Secondary</b> <u>2 year</u>	4 centers for mentally retarded; 2 centers for emotionally disturbed, several (3-4) franchise operations; others vary. Students have 3 qts. internship each in different setting, but 1 quarter spent in the lab. center and 2 qts. in the community.	3 different participation settings during 2nd year.

Program Director Data Summary (con't.)

		In What Ways Do the Settings Differ (0-27)	In How Many of the Settings Does Each Student Observe? Participate? (W-3)
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>2 year</u>	Practicum for 1 semester in one of three program day care centers; Students that are working in centers take practicum in their own program settings.	One program demonstration center, 5 days p/wk., 4 hr./day for a semester.
<u>University</u>	<u>4 year</u>	Staffing differences as well as quality of program and supervision observations made in lab. school and other community settings.	Observes in 2, participates in 2
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>	Field visits to Montessori, Lab center focuses public day care or preschool programs. Curriculum varies for settings.	5 different settings: combined observations in community settings w/ on-going student participation assignment.
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>	Students observe various programs in community: parent coop, detention facility, College children's school; participate with many family day care mothers in the homes. See different numbers, varied ages . . . rarely school age because work in the mornings. Family Day Care mothers work in own homes - some attend parent coop. center with children.	During 1 month work in 3 hrs./in day care homes: 1 each week for 2 mornings/wk.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

How Do You Identify Outside Settings. (0-28)

Operate own/ Institutional	General Knowlege of Community	Community Survey	Center Program Contacts Staff	Students Identify	Personal Contact
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Have own facility;			with teacher in Kindergarten in placing 2 students	
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Have 9 lab. centers: 1/ school			Preschool specialist with school system makes outside arrangements	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Have own lab center	Program director knows settings		Centers request involvement	
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Have 3 lab. centers used			Students working in community train in own center.	
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Have Dept. preschool 1 ab.	Know directors in most centers/settings		Used to ask them; now we have them contact us if interested.	
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Use campus preschool	Initially professors in planning; now staff knows community.			
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Use day care homes in community	Some day care mothers call project.	Select cites for 1 time visits/obser.	Program dir. went to local stores, busin. to have them spread word about project. Word of mouth, basically	

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

**Under What Basis Do You Use a Program for Student Participation (0-29)**

Program Director/ Teacher Characteristics	Experience for student	Characteristics of children	Supervision
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	director cooperative and tried different things	allow students to take responsibility with children and activities.	training director able to observe student and children.
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>		quality of experience heavy enrollment so work is needed	quality of supervision.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>		teacher/director allows different age levels; and encourages student type where student will do best; and use best talents. Materials for students to work with; so can have opportunity to learn.	
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>		Variety of tasks, activities.	Serving preschool or kindergarten.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>		will relinquish responsibility to students; cooperating teacher has been in center/setting for 1 semester.	provide supervision
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>		handling children; discipline w/children	age groups other than those working with in local center.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>		student that is meaningful. Supplement to work in local center.	provide experience in community.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

On What Basis Do You Use a Program for Student Participation: (0-29)			
Program Availability and Location	Current/Potential Employment Setting	Work/Study/Student Pay	Other
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	reasonable distance from high school.	provide work-study pay e.g. EOA, model cities, community school.	Regular, long-term commitment of program.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	may have to be taken into consideration; had to drop some locations cause students can't get there.	consider this during 8th qtr only placement for potential future employment.	during 8th qtr only in this a partial criteria
<u>B-68</u> <u>2 year</u>			student interest centers that provide more than just custodial care.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>			students currently employed may take practicum on the job.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>			did not use outside programs until 3 yrs. ago; had to increase no. of participation setting to increase no. students.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>			
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>			located in general area of college and project storefront.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What is Program Role in Relation to Outside Participation Settings: (0-30)

Orientation for cooperating staff	Student Assignment	Specify tasks Activities	On-site Observation/ Evaluation	Other:
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	only placed two students in first yr. w/ kindergarten for 1 sem.: not formal part of program.	Do not plan specific activity; want cooperating teacher to know the general kinds of activities student should do.	Would want to evaluate student w/ cooperating teacher; have opportunity to observe student.	
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Initially home ec. coord. makes contact w/area superintendent; further arrangements thru individual principal & teachers.	Home ec. coord. responsible for overall assignments; Pre-school specialist makes specific contacts, arrangements with outside settings during 2nd yr.	Cooperating teacher & visits from intern supervisor.	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Visit center talk with director; 1 meeting about what should be provided for students, goals, etc.	Progr. director outlines covering types of tasks experiences student should have.	Staff member visits student on-site.	Concurrent seminar based on student participation in the internship. Arrange for students currently employed in centers to take 1 qtr in lab. center.

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

**What is Program Role in Relation to Outside Participation Settings (0-30)**

Orientation for Cooperating Staff	Student Assignment	Specify Tasks/Activity	On-site Observation/Evaluation	Other
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	Placement in one of three program lab. centers.	Students employed in child care settings while in training perform regular jobs.	Employed students (Headstart, Model Cities) receive supervision from training staff on-site.	Employed students have concurrent in-service seminar site.
<u>University</u> 4 year	Teacher/director informed about what is expected.	General outline prepared of tasks & general experiences.	Weekly meeting with cooperating teacher, student & training supervisor.	
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks	Place students in outside settings & campus lab. school.	Place students in outside settings & campus lab. school.	Periodic observation by staff on site; Conference with student.	Concurrent daily course- work to supplement participation experience
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	Scheduling each student for several homes.	Determined by individual day care mother; students responsible for written log on work & events in the day care home.	No. on-site observation of student/day care mother.	Obtain feedback from students during weekly class on issues from day care mothers in problems formally during monthly meetings.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

In A Laboratory Setting Does The Critic Teacher/Employer (W-57)

	Plan Student Activity	Supervise Student Activity	Report To Training Staff	Grade or Evaluate
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes	Yes	--	Yes (with intern supervisor)
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes.	Yes	Yes	Yes
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes -- -- participates in -- -- conference & grading
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes -- -- participates in -- -- meetings & grading
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

Student Role in Participation				(W-40)				PLANNING WITH:				TASKS ACTIVITY.			
PLANNING FOR:				Head Teacher	Training Staff	Independently.		Creative Activities	Assists Teacher	Supervise Groups					
Activities w. Children	Meals	Other		Yes	—	—		Yes	Yes	Yes					
<u>High School</u>			<u>1 year</u>	Yes	—	—		—	—	—					
<u>High School</u>			<u>2 year</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes all		—	—	—		Yes	Yes	Yes	
<u>Post-Secondary</u>			<u>2 year</u>	Yes	—	—		—	—	—		Yes	Yes	Yes	
<u>Jr. College</u>			<u>2 year</u>	Yes	Yes	—		Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
<u>University</u>			<u>4 year</u>	Yes	—	Yes		—	—	—		Yes	Yes	Yes	
<u>Head Start LDP</u>			<u>6 weeks</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
<u>Family Day Care</u>			<u>1 year</u>	Yes	Yes	—		—	—	—		Yes	Yes	Yes	
			Day Care Mother	Yes	—	—		—	—	—					
			Student												

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

		Plan For Students to Work With Demonst. Center Staff Other Than in Assigned Class? (W-41)		Plan For Students to Work With Demonst. Center Staff With Parent: (W-42)	
Staff	Parent	In-Service			
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Required	No	Daily informal contacts Home visits	Daily informal contacts Home visits	
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Required	Optional	Daily informal contacts Home visits Parent conferences	Daily informal contacts Home visits Parent meetings Parent conferences	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Required	Required	No	Daily informal contacts Home visits Parent meetings Parent conferences	
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>		Required	No	Daily informal contacts	
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Required	Required	Yes, director Yes, teacher	Daily informal contacts Home visits Parent meetings Parent conferences	
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Required	Required	Yes, Director Yes, Consultant Yes, Cook, maintenance Yes, Nurse	Daily informal contacts Home visits Parent meetings Parent conferences	
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Required	Required*	Optional	Interaction with day care mothers planned, no parental contact. Informal with parent.	
Day Care Mother:	Required	Optional	Optional	No	

\*Indicates planned interaction with day care mother,  
not parents of children in day care.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

		What Opportunities Do Students Have For:		(W-61)		Community Activities		Career Counseling		Follow-up-Training & Specialization	
On-the-job Training	Internship Program	Credit for Work Time	Career Levels	Mix with other Career Levels	Mix with other Career Levels	few	few	few	few	few	few
<u>High School</u> 1 year	many	---	many	few	few	few	few	few	few	few	few
<u>High School</u> 2 year	many	many	many	few	few	few	few	many	many	many	many
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	few	many	few	many	many	many	many	many	many	many	many
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	many	---	---	few	many	many	many	many	many	few	---
<u>University</u> 4 year	few	none	none	few	few	few	few	few	few	none	---
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks	many	many	many	many	many	many	many	many	many	many	many
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	many	many	many	many	many	many	many	many	many	---	---

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**How Would You Change The Participation Component to Improve It? (0-31; W-43)**

Facilities	Experience w/ Children	Student Supervision/ Evaluation	Relation to Community Settings	Other:
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Scheduling has worked out fairly well, so students perform range of tasks / activities;	More time for teacher/director to spend in student instruction & supervision.	More opportunity needed for students to see different setting, thus widen experience.	More contact with parents
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Need more children in lab with different characteristics for students to work with	Extend to pre-parent education for other students, not just occupation-training.	Home econ. program coord. wants more interaction with lab. teachers, intern supervisors, students.	On-site teachers / supervisors want more time for planning / conference with students on the job.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Would like own child development laboratory	Increase lab. center to 100 children (infant-5yrs.), w/two groups each of 3 & 4 yr. olds to enhance observation space, outdoor grounds w/separate play areas	Use of T.V. & filming of child-student interaction for student feedback and self-assessment.	Realistic hours with night lab. (9:30 p.m.) for student training & use by evening students with children.  Need to have student/child interaction earlier in program.

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

**How Would You Change The Participation Component to Improve it? (0-31; W-43)**

Facilities	Experience w/children	Student Supervision/ Evaluation	Relation to Community Settings	Other:
<u>Jr. College</u>				
<u>2 year</u>	More space to increase no. of children, thus number of students in participation		Need person to coordinate with agencies; arrange participation in community.	Earlier exposure to requirements of teacher role; for what to expect / provide insight
<u>University</u>			Considered a strength of the program. Need staff to help build student skill in self-evaluation & team evaluation.	Difficulty in finding enough placements for student teaching. Add training sessions cooperating centers/ orienting to purpose of program, materials, etc.
<u>4 year</u>				In some cases establish better rapport with cooperating center/teachers.
<u>Head Start I.D.P.</u>				
<u>6 weeks</u>				
<u>Family Day Care</u>				
<u>1 year</u>				Basic approach: Wait for student to have significant experience in settings

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What are the Entrance Requirements For Your Training Program? (W-50)				How Do You Go About Selecting Students For Your Program? (W-51)			
Admission to School/College		Educational Level/Degree	Other	No Selection	Written Application	Interview	Trial period
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>		H.S. junior or senior prefer Sr.		Application indicating interest & class schedule	teacher & teacher	student may change classes within two weeks	
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>		H.S. 10th. or 11th. grade		General appl. form	teacher & staff committee in each school		
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>		General admission to school	H.S. Diploma	Interest test given to all students; referred by Guidance Counselor.	Written form	Interview with program director	Varies

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

What are the Entrance Requirements For Your Training Program (W-50)				How Do You Go About Selecting Students For Your Program? (W-51)		
Jr. College	School/College	Educational Level/Degree	Other	No Selection	Written Application	Interview
<u>2 year</u>	None	Admission Specific to college; to the Open admis. program		No selection; student self-selection; unless required by employer to participate.		
<u>University</u>				No selection other than Univ. entrance		
<u>4 year</u>	Not for specific training	Only Univ. entrance requirements				
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>	None Specific to training program		All employees in Head-start eligible.	Center by training program; done by RTO & Career Devel. Committee	Direct. sends form to RTO.
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>	Day Care Student	Mother None	Attending college	Sensitivity to other people espec Minority People	review info on people to include wide range of mothers with program director

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

Decision About Which Selection Criteria: (0-32)

	No Control in Selection	Student self-selection/Interest	Student Characteristics	Potential Benefits	Other Factors
<u>High School</u>					
<u>1 year</u>		Initially students indicate interest in course	Prefer seniors who will need employment; students who didn't know what they wanted to do later on. Mainly students interest.	Wanted students something of interest & importance to them.	Course fits into schedule and requirements.
<u>High School</u>					
<u>2 year</u>		Initially students indicate interest		Capable of learning. Stability: mental & emotional	Attendance record (indicates responsibility)
<u>Post-Secondary</u>					
<u>2 year</u>		Open admission to vocational school	Guidance counselor does initial screening on basis of student interest; gives interest & skills test, and refers to program.	Director look for some surface things: overweight, coordination, speech defects; alert, warm, outgoing.	

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

**Decision About Which Selection Criteria: (0-32)**

<b>Jr. College</b>	<b>Student self-selection</b>	<b>Student Characteristics</b>	<b>Potential Benefits</b>	<b>Other Factors</b>
<u>Open admission to college</u>	Students decide which instructional program they want to enter.			Center priorities; who attended before; opportunity for student career mobility; number of students that session can accommodate.
<u>Some referred from special programs: Head-start; Model Cities</u>				
<u>University</u>				
<u>4 year</u>	Students decide instructional program they want to enter.			
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	Program has no control; RTO selects & refers to program.		Benefits to trainee and center are considered in referral.	
<u>Family Day Care</u>				Mainly student & family day care mother interest in program.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<b>Special Characteristics Looked For in Selection of Students (W-52)</b>	<b>Other Selection Criteria? Which Factors Restrict the Entrance of "Qualified Students" (W-53)</b>	<b>Most Useful and Valid Criteria For Student Selection (O-32)</b>
<u>High School</u>  <u>1 year</u>  Liking of people & children.	Students may be restricted because of need to take other required courses.	ones who do best job are interested in children & other people some experience with children
<u>High School</u>  <u>2 year</u>  Interest in child care or early childhood educa- tion as a career.	None	Transportation & income to live on while in training.
<u>Post-Secondary</u>  <u>2 year</u>  Warm, outgoing, alert; Genuine interest in children; a keen desire to be significant in child's life.		no way to tell. consider staff relations as well as relate to children. attendance records indicate some responsibility taken

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<u>Special Characteristics Looked For in Selection of Students (W-52)</u>	<u>Other Selection Criteria? Which Factors Restrict the Entrance of "Qualified Students" (W-53)</u>	<u>Most Useful and Valid Criteria For Student Selection (0-32)</u>
<u>Jr. College 2 year</u>	No selection process	None
<u>University 4 year</u>	No selection process	None
<u>Head Start LDP 6 weeks</u>	No control over selection	No control over selection
<u>Family Day Care 1 year</u>		
<u>EDC Mothers</u>	Attitudes, goals, interest in working in the community	None
<u>Students</u>	Openness & intuitiveness based on knowledge, not chance; Responsibil- ity assumed.	

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**How To Recruit New Students (W-48)**

	<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>
<u>Personal Contact/ Public Speaking</u>	X	-	X	-	X	-	X
<u>Mouth of Friends</u>	X	X	-	X	-	-	-
<u>Recruiters</u>	X	X	-	X	-	-	-
<u>Admissions</u>	X	X	-	X	-	-	-
<u>Counselors</u>	X	X	-	X	-	-	-
<u>Alumni</u>	X	X	-	X	-	-	-
<u>Catalog</u>	X	X	-	X	-	-	-
<u>Posters</u>	X	X	-	X	-	-	-
<u>Advertising</u>	X	X	-	X	-	-	-
<u>Other</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Program Announcements</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

In Looking At Recruitment Process Over the Year About How Many? (W-49)

	Inquiries	Applications	Well qualified Applicants	Offered Admission	Enrolled	Completed Course
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	10	17	8	17	15	15
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>		Done thru individual school	---	---	---	---
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	120	100	---	90	80	60
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	B-84	Hundreds	Open entrance application	No specific required	---	---
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>		No way of knowing	No formal inquiries made thru univ. admis- sions, School admission; any staff member	---	---	---
<u>Head Start LP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>		---	100	110	110	110
<u>Family Day Care</u>						
<u>1 year</u>		Varies	7	7	7	6
<u>Students</u>	16	---	---	---	22	22
<u>Day Care Nother</u>	68 (Identified)	---	---	---	22	22

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**Profile of Students Typically Enrolled in Training Program. (W-54)**

<u>Age/Sex</u>	<u>Educational Preparation</u>	<u>Work Experience</u>	<u>Employed in Child Care</u>	<u>Socio-Economic</u>	<u>Geographic</u>	<u>Ethnic/Racial</u>
----------------	--------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------	----------------------

<u>High School 1 year</u>	Female: 17 yr.	11th-12th grade High School	Some restaurant work. House-keeping jobs	No just babysitting	Lower-middle	Rural
---------------------------	----------------	--------------------------------	--	---------------------	--------------	-------

<u>High School 2 year</u>	Female: 17 yr.	High School 10th-11th. grades	na.	No	Low	Inner City
---------------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	-----	----	-----	------------

<u>Post-Secondary 2 year</u>	98% Female: 17-35 yrs.	High school grad. some	about 1/2 work regularly w/young children	about 25%	50% below \$4000/yr.	Metropolitan 95%
------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	---	-----------	----------------------	------------------

Program Director Data Summary (con't.)

Profile of Students Typically Enrolled in Training Program (W-54)					
<u>Jr. College</u>	Educational Preparation		Work Experience	Employed in Child Care	Socio-Economic
	Age/Sex	Preparation			Geographic
2 year	Female 18-middle age	High School High School grads some college, College grads.	Usually work exp. in another area/or current employed in child care area	Yes, majority	Low Inner city Ghetto
University	Female 6 male: 18-21 yrs.	H.S. Grads Some College/ Jr. College	More volunteer; few have work experience	Almost none	All Levels; mainly middle/ upper middle
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	6 weeks	Female: 32 yrs.	2 yrs. with preschool children; Headstart	Yes, currently with Headstart	Poverty level; (or recent) urban & rural
<u>Family Day Care</u>	1 year	All female: 20-29 - 3 30-39 - 7 40-49 - 5 50-59 - 5 60-69 - 2	N.A.	In family day care: average 10 from 0-4 yrs. 8: 4-8 yrs 3: 10-20 yrs.	Currently provide family day care lower middle 2 very poor 3 middle class
Day Care*	Mother	2 male 5 female 20-30 - 5 40-50 - 2	Some college; college grads	Preschool employment; nurse; community worker; own children	17: working Local class/ community lower middle 2 very poor 3 middle class
Students				Not currently	1 affluent State and 3 working outside class 2 Blacks 5 Mex. Am. 5 Caucasian 4 Caucasian

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

What Advantages/Disadvantages to Training Students With These Characteristics? (0-33)		What are Student Strengths/Weaknesses? (0-34a)	
Advantages	Disadvantages	Strengths	Weaknesses
<b>High School 1 year</b>	<p>Program very important to students, makes them feel good; Students enjoy program; have opportunity to find out what teachers are really like;</p> <p>Students fun to be with from teaching perspective, source of satisfaction.</p>	<p>Not academic type students; don't like to read very much, so spend time repeating content; takes them a bit longer.</p>	<p>Because other disinterest in other aspects of school.</p> <p>Before entry students have little opportunity for recreational or creative experiences.</p> <p>General lack of understanding of principles of child care, have been subject to misinformation.</p>
<b>High School 2 year</b>	<p>Because of younger age the program has dual benefits: training applicable to them as potential parents &amp; wage earners.</p> <p>Good age to start training in terms of work life potential; Will be able to go farther with training. Working with a group that may not have had opportunity, but this program may provide.</p>	<p>Have to let students participate in experiences they have missed before they can provide these experiences for young children.</p>	<p>Related to age/maturity: students still have some "high school" behavior left; inattentiveness.</p>
<b>Post-Secondary 2 year</b>	Helps to have high school diploma because it indicates they have potential to finish something, that they are not "failures"/drop-outs.	Variation in academic, reading skills. (Use varied materials, texts to handle this)	

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

What Advantages/Disadvantages to Training Students With These Characteristics? (0-33)		What Are Student Strengths/Weaknesses (0-34a)	
Advantages	Disadvantages	Strengths	Weaknesses
<b>Jr. College 2 year</b>	<p>The staff, faculty, and director would not be interested in working with other types of groups.</p> <p>Staff enjoys it &amp; results in staying with program.</p>	<p>Communications skills: formal e.g. writing, reading, etc.</p> <p>Many lack confidence</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No particular strengths or weaknesses -</li> <li>but much variety</li> </ul>

**University**  
4 year

College students tend to be young & naive about families, parent/child relationships, community influences, etc.

**Head Start LDP**  
6 weeks

Many fascinating differences.

Student strength comes from dealing with a demanding way of life:  
mainly poverty.  
Personal quality of warmth & acceptance.

**Family Day Care**  
1 year

Need more experience in community; real world experience.

Some students' learning styles require structured course...some lack curiosity.  
Some lack responsibility work not turned in some cases.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

How Are These Considered in the Program? (0-34b)

High School  
1 year

Spend additional time covering reading related content.

High School  
2 year

Provide many stimulating experiences through working with children. Academic skills (reading) may be taught through work in children's literature. Length of program permits time for student to accumulate experiences needed. Program experience oriented, with emphasis on providing college accepted credits.

Post-Secondary  
2 year

Stress individual responsibility; do have attendance requirement, but don't ask for excuses; after six (6) absences student may be dropped from program. Have try-out period for assessing students difficulty. Varied reading materials used depending on academic skills of students.

Jr. College  
2 year

Have basic courses in academic skills: for review or remedial work specifically in formal communications (writing, reading) Courses for credit to permit further training in outer institutions. Students have option of audit or credit upon completion. Courses or special projects may extend length, assignment time.

University  
4 year

Not considered. Students must adjust to program rather than program being adjusted to their needs. Exception: student teaching experience lengthened for those who need additional time/experience.

Head Start LDP  
6 weeks

Program based on individual needs, background, skills, interests; Topics and assignments (student project, paper,) determined by students. The degree of depth, academic orientation dependent on students level. Opportunity to be in new environment, exposure to new ideas, varied interaction with people is provided.

Family Day Care  
1 year

Topics by family day care mothers determined by needs and interests. Child development evening course developed at f.d.c.m. request, as well as changes in program proposal.

Student  
Emphasis on student input & initiative in program. Program provides content, experience related to students' need for work in community and practicum in home-based care.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

	<u>Factors Influencing Enrollment (W-55)</u>	<u>Why Students Drop Out? (W-62)</u>
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Students enjoy the freedom of the course, and that it is a special program.	During initial year, no drop-outs.
<u>High School</u> 2 year	Interest in children; Prospect of wage earning.	Pregnancy; Need more income.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	More jobs available for trained people; Salaries are better; Desire to learn more about children.	In 1st qtr. just trying out program; 2nd qtr. have observation, find a lot of work; In 3rd qtr. program recommends some leave: lack responsibility (attendance) or motivation, academic or language skills
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	Interest in work with children; Upgrading themselves; Some employers require: Model Cities.	Economic or family difficulties. Upon completion of segment of interest to student they leave.
<u>University</u> 4 year	Unknown.	Many reasons; Financial reasons is currently a major one.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks	Opportunity for career development and awareness of need for training.	Illness, or babysitting problems; (usually at the very beginning).
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	Interest in community; Money.	Only 1 student dropped out after 1st semester, due to family illness and need to support family.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What are Estimated Student Expenses to Continue in Program? (W-63)

Tuition	Fees	Books / Supplies	Board / Room	Travel / Transportation	Personal Spending	Other
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	None	---	---	---	---	---
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	None	---	---	---	---	---
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Free	2.00/qtr.	25.00/qtr.	---	---	---
B-91	Jr. College <u>2 year</u>	Free	\$5-10./ Semester	\$25-50/ Semester	---	---
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	\$254.00/sem. : University	---	---	---	---	---
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Waived by University	---	provided by program	provided during 6 wk. sessions on campus.	Depends on individual: location of student, teaching placement; weekend visits home, etc.	---
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Family Day Care Mother	---	---	---	---	\$10/month provided by project to cover transp. costs.
Student						55.00/unit or \$220.00

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What Financial Assistance is Available to Students? (W-64)

	Scholarships Full/Partial	Work/ Study	Loans	Grants	Employment
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	None	None			
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	None	Some NYC. funds for interns			
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Few partial scholarships	Some	Few Defense Few Loans	Voc. Rehab.	Part-Time under MTA Many full-time employed
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>			Many		Most students employed full-time
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Some Partial scholarships	Some	Some student loans		Some part-time employment
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>				Headstart pays for all academic expenses Plus \$75/ wk and child support	
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Family Day Care Mother Student				Through project grant: 2.50/hr. (5 students) for 12 hrs/wk.
					family day care mothers \$10./month for participation in project.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<b>Kind of Student Evaluation Procedures Used:</b>		(W-56)			
<b>Written Tests</b>	<b>Performance Tests</b>	<b>Teacher Observation</b>	<b>Teacher daily log</b>	<b>Student self-evaluation</b>	<b>Other</b>
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Yes	---	Yes	Yes	Yes
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	---
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes Agency evaluation
<u>B-93 Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes	Yes	---	---	---
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Yes	---	Yes	Yes	Yes paper, student log, projects
<u>Head Start LD</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes	---	Yes Papers; special project in local center.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	---	Yes	Yes	Yes	Group discussion; student daily log;

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

		<b>What Changes Desired in Student Evaluation? (0-35)</b>	<b>Student self-evaluation</b>
<b>Teacher Supervision/ Observation</b>	<b>Evaluation Tools/Methods</b>	<b>Emphasis on Competency</b>	
<b>High School</b> <u>1 year</u>	More time for teacher to do observation of students.	Need better recording formats; looking for checklists.	More by students.
<b>High School</b> <u>2 year</u>	On-site teacher wants more time to plan w/ student, discuss/feed-back during participation.	Program to evaluate high school student to exempt from post-high school curriculum; reduce repetition in area of competencies.	
<b>Post-Secondary</b> <u>2 year</u>	during internship, once/visit by training super. 1 day observ. & discussion.	Use of T.V. for student feedback	Initial evaluation of competency; some students (from High School or employed) don't need 2 yr. program because of prior courses and/or experience.
<b>B-94 Jr. College</b> <u>2 year</u>		Use of T.V. for student feedback	
<b>University</b> <u>4 year</u>		Tools for self-evaluation & goal setting which are self-assessing; better screening procedures/ways for students to decide about teaching. explore early use of simulation; trying things in own courses.	Develop teacher models based on skills & competency
<b>HeadStart LDP</b> <u>6 weeks</u>		No changes: already varied: & complete	Would like students to identify own areas for improvement.
<b>Family Day Care</b> <u>1 year</u>		No changes: Use comprehensive form provided by college; individual conferences, group evaluations/ discussion.	

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<b>Number of Students Upon Completion (W-58)</b>						
	<u>Work in related occupation</u>	<u>Work un-related to training</u>	<u>Education full-time</u>	<u>Education part-time</u>	<u>Marry</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Yes	Yes	---	Yes	Yes	---
<u>High School *</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes 17%	Yes 19%	Yes 40%	---	Yes 17%	2% unemployed 5% unknown
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	100%	---	---	---	Yes	Yes open own day care
<u>B-95 Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Yes Majority	---	---	Yes Many	---	---
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Yes 75%	---	Yes 25%	Yes 25%	---	---
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Yes 100%	---	---	---	---	---
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Yes day care mothers	---	---	---	---	---

\*Based on follow-up of first 100 students, 1967-69.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**Changes in Student Follow-Up After Completion: (0-36)**

<b>Current Activity</b>	<b>Kinds of Changes</b>	
<b>High School</b> <u>1 year</u>	Required followup done by teacher; just send out post card on employment.	Would like more than just post-card. Might be a questionnaire covering employment; information / value of program in relation to raising children; or care of other children; how it helped in obtaining jobs; what they learned or didn't learn.
		Would like to follow-up on preschool children that participated in laboratory center program to see possible benefits; Might contact kindergarten teacher about this, and see if there are difficulties.
<b>High School</b> <u>2 year</u>	Individual teachers required to do yearly follow-up over 5 year period. Usually by phone or mail.	Would like to have a coordinator for high school and above to take this over. Would permit more accurate record of graduates, what they are doing and follow-up.
<b>Post-Secondary</b> <u>2 year</u>	Program director keeps data on jobs, promotions, salaries.	Recently learned that Vocational School job placement office has formal responsibility for follow-up. Dept. Chm./Program Director has just initiated an Alumni Association as an informal effort to maintain contacts and follow-up.

Program Director Data Summary (con't.)

Changes in Follow-up Activity (C-36)		Kinds of Changes
Jr. College 2 year	Current Activity Informal	None
University 4 year		None
Head Start LDP 6 weeks		Formal. Staff visit to local centers after completion of 6 week session; student presents special project; yearly follow-up training & workshop given on campus for all graduates.
Family Day Care 1 year		Perhaps will do something. Family day care mothers from first year program will hopefully take part in the continuing program, participate in development of a day care mothers' organization.
	Family Day Care Mothers	None
	Students	None

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<u>Program Responsibility For Job Placement (W-59)</u>	<u>Nature of Current Placement Activity (W-60)</u>	<u>What Changes in Job Placement Activity Plans for Implementing (O-37)</u>
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Informal  Assist student with writing applications; inquiring in various centers about employment. One member of Adv. Committee offers suggestions.	Do not feel that it is formal respon. Perhaps increase contacts in areas that have child care programs; Do more calling/ contacting programs for openings. Would like better placement outcome for students, since there is much interest.
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Informal  Supervise preparation of resume sheet; Arrange for interview with school system (instructional aides); annual follow-up for 5 years.	Need a placement guide with information on students, type of training & eval. of training recommendation as to type of job should have. Making a start thru school system personnel with person assigned for employment of para-professionals. June grad. have applications, so on file. When new centers open, record of employees available.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Informal in relation to instructional program.	Just learned that Vocational School job placement office has formal responsibility.  Dept. Chrm/prog. Director assumes informal respons. Keeps list of calls from centers who want to hire; Indirect placement activity occurs when student placed in last qtr. for internship and are paid by employers. Students are often hired formally as a result.

**Program Director Data Summary; (con't.)**

<u>Program Responsibility</u>	<u>Nature of Current Placement Activity (W-60)</u>	<u>What Changes in Job Placement Activity? Plans For Implementing(0-37)</u>
<u>For Job Placement(W-59)</u>		
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Informal Posting notices of jobs & passing on job inform; recommendations to employers.	Since cannot guarantee job to students would prefer to have person hired and then sent for training; Program emphasis is giving course credit as an outcome rather than job placement.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Informal in reference to instructional staff.	School placement service available. Students seek help from individual staff members. Dept. posts current job information.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Informal	Not responsible; Make recommendations and referrals on request.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	None specific to project.	Refer when opportunity arises; recommendations always available. Not applicable to project

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

<u>Most Essential for High Quality Training Program (0-24)</u>		<u>Program Approach/ Philosophy</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>Staff Skills</u>	<u>Staff Attitudes/ Characteristics</u>		
<u>High School</u>	well-trained teacher or director	previous work in nursery school	
<u>1 year</u>			

High School  
2 year

dedicated personnel;  
willing to help  
student achieve  
highest potential

Post-Secondary  
2 year

well-trained  
staff; leader-  
ship for staff

- administrative commitment
- support for what you are trying to do
- involvement in community

Program Director Data Summary (con't.)

Most Essential For High Quality Training Program (0-24)

		Staff Attitudes / Characteristics	Program Approach / Philosophy
<u>Staff Skills</u>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• good staff in general, both teacher and aides, entire program depends on staff; the staff is the model.</li> <li>• qualified staff; w/ practical orientation, understanding of subject matter; knows something about field</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ability of staff to relate to different types of students/people</li> <li>• Training that is directly related to skills/activity to be performed; not entirely academic but include "why" actual practice and participation.</li> </ul>
<u>University</u>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some consideration of qualities or competencies teachers must have and ways to help them develop rather than "imitation" approach</li> </ul>
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>2 year</u>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (autonomy in setting program has to be in university where you can function separately; provide student different role/life for a period of time, e.g. learning environment</li> </ul>

Family Day Care  
1 year

- Ongoing supervision and feedback to prevent and remove distortions

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**Rating of Program Characteristics in Relation to Expectations (W-65)**

<u>FACILITIES</u>	<u>STAFF</u>	<u>STUDENTS</u>	<u>RAPPORT</u>	<u>OUTCOMES</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
<u>Facilities</u>	<u>Full-Time</u>	<u>Entering</u>	<u>Student Performance</u>	<u>Student/Student</u>	<u>Needs</u>
<u>High School</u>	<u>Part-Time</u>	<u>Consultant</u>	<u>Admistr./Faculty/</u>	<u>Job Placement of</u>	<u>Quality of Learning.</u>
<u>1 year</u>	<u>4 year</u>	<u>Student</u>	<u>Student/Faculty/</u>	<u>Meeting Student</u>	<u>Experience of Learning.</u>
<u>B-102</u>	<u>2 year</u>	<u>2 year</u>	<u>2 year</u>	<u>2 year</u>	<u>2 year</u>
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	<u>2 year</u>	<u>2 year</u>	<u>2 year</u>	<u>2 year</u>	<u>2 year</u>
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>1 year</u>	<u>1 year</u>	<u>1 year</u>	<u>1 year</u>	<u>1 year</u>
<u>University</u>	<u>4 year</u>	<u>4 year</u>	<u>4 year</u>	<u>4 year</u>	<u>4 year</u>
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>	<u>4 weeks</u>	<u>4 weeks</u>	<u>4 weeks</u>	<u>4 weeks</u>
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>	<u>1 year</u>	<u>1 year</u>	<u>1 year</u>	<u>1 year</u>

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**How Would You Compare Your Program And Approach to Other Programs That You Are Familiar With? (W-32) (O-38)**

<b>STRENGTHS</b>	<b>WEAKNESS</b>	<b>OTHER</b>
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Class schedule allowed for coordination each day...integration of class time w/practicum. Students more aware of child development & how to deal with problems related to children.	A lot of the teachers' time was spent on this one program.  Not too familiar with other programs, probably good
<u>High School</u> 2 year	More opportunity to work w/children. More action oriented. More opportunity for on-the-job trng. have extensive lab. and participation for students; Other programs do not. Program more complicated; longer in duration: both hours/day and months/yr.	Lack of conference time w/on-the-job training supervisors.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	Internship on job, Real live day care model. other programs in state are using them as model for Voc-Tech.	Facility very make-shift, Curriculum is weakest point.  Haven't had time to see other programs in operation; and very little written

**Program Director Data Summary (con't.)**

**How Would You Compare Your Program and Approach to Other Programs That You Are Familiar With? (W-32)ach to Other (0-38)**

<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>Strengths</u>	<u>Weakness</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>2 year</u>	Stronger on professional emphasis & practitioner orientation	Students need more opportunity for direct participation.	
<u>University</u>		Don't have approach agreed upon, Dept. has shifted from one extreme to another in staff background, from preschool to research. Don't identify goals for students; don't know or agree on outcomes; guidance limited to individual point of view; Focus on programs for middle class children, not enough on other groups.	
<u>Head Start LDP</u>		General lack of direction & coordinating own resources; Don't know jobs we are educating for.	
<u>Family Day Care</u>		Most skillful & humane	Unique--there are other ways of training parents.
<u>1 year</u>			Experimental project; doesn't apply really.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

What Changes in Total Training Program?			(W-33)	(O-39)
Program Components	Staff	Curriculum	Program Operations Length	Laboratory Demonstration Facilities Other
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>			More involvement of student with parent. Student/parent visit earlier in course. More emphasis on obtaining job is needed.	More financial support for paying students during participation.
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>			More staff time for working with students. More conference time for students with on-the-job supervision. A paraprofessional in the lab, so that a teacher could spend more time observing and evaluating students.	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	expand in several ways	more for <u>supervision</u> and Curriculum.	Need more work in program planning; working together, because work w/many disadvantaged students.	Nightime lab. center increase to 100 children for students.

Program Director Data Summary (con't.)

What Changes in Total Training Program?			(W-33)	(0-39)	
Program Components	Staff	Curriculum	Program Operations Length	Laboratory Demonstration Facilities	Other
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year					
<u>University</u> 4 year	develop 2 models; one competency based; student negotiation; one based on research, test out.	prefer to focus on teacher education models rather than children's curriculum; test out teacher training models; on specifying skills and competencies, then develop units to teach this.	outcome for teacher: learn methods for screening: children's needs; rather than applying program taught in college.	More time nec. to implement philosophy, The "How/Why" at least 8 wks. on campus, more visiting program followup.	More questioning day care homes & a deeper investigation of issues on the part of the student.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks		more staff for visits off campus;	would require more money then.		
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year		more courses for FDC mothers as they have requested			

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

How Would You Go About Planning National Day Care Training Program: (0-42)	
Resources for Planning & Development:	
Standards	Expertise
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Educational requirements should be part of licensing. This would stimulate people to get training.
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	Type of training, etc. will depend on whether you want competency vs. rapid expansion.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Some Federal agency must be involved in developing standards for the kind of training.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Start with idea of what you want at a professional level; Set-up guidelines for positions;
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Initial experience in Head Start should be considered.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

How Would You Go About Planning National Day Care Training Programs (0-42)

	Training Program Curriculum/Content:
<u>High School</u> <u>2 year</u>	At adult level could provide 60 hrs. short-term and have rapid day care expansion; if competency is concern then train at high school level in 2 yr. prog. Especially disadvantaged who need time for the experience.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Will need some kind of formal training; not just in-service.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	For trainers provide 6 weeks intensive training; they would provide basic training to others. Integrate work with children with intensive training/classroom work; very basic would be attitudes/orientation to early childhood and child development. Training program content would not vary too much on the type of day care program; directors, teachers, aides training would be basically the same, but varied according to prior experience, competency; use of team training concept; do need to consider programs for school age.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Apprenticeship type programs linked with technical schools; college programs directed toward trainers/educational coordinators.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Training of the Leadership Development type model extended to other groups.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	College level trainers/educators should have updating experience/field work in community. Perhaps every 3 years take 3 months actually working in child care or community. Need to consider the type of programs suitable for different communities, that problems vary according to region, location. Family day care as a delivery system should not be ignored in developing training.

**Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined**

**How Would You Go About Planning National Day Care Training Program: (0-42)**

<b>Who to Train</b>	<b>Role</b>	<b>Settings</b>
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Would need administrators, teachers, aides.	High School or post-secondary good time.
<u>High School</u> 2 year	Begin with younger students who can start out at own level of competency, don't have to repeat, duplicate.  Post-Secondary 2 year	Implies entry level, so can either go on for further training, or begin job; Training staff would rely on paraprofessionals in lab. settings to supervise children; Teachers would do student instruct/ supervision.  ---
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	Depends on community, but select those who most closely meet criteria. Train those with most experience first: current nursery/other school teacher, directors; those with 4 yr. degree.	Those with most experience become trainers for other positions. Draw on staff of Jr. and 4 yr. ---
<u>University</u> 4 year		Directors & Educational coordinators; other staff.
<u>Head Start LPD</u> 6 weeks	In addition to those already employed in child care, Head-start, include more teachers, and those just hired/not employed.	---
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	Use trainers/ educators currently working in college programs; could also use family day care mothers.	Family day care mothers as trainers.
		Start developing training at colleges that have career oriented courses.

Program Director Data Summary: Written & Oral Combined

In Planning/Providing Training What Pitfalls  
Would You Wish to Avoid? (0-42b)

What Other Issues to Consider? (0-43)

High School  
1 year

Equating the length of training with or the number of hours with quality training.

Jr. College  
2 year

B-110  
125

Real issue: "What is good day care?"  
No consensus: Some think it is custodial care; others say "stimulating experience"; others say "structured instructional program for children."

Post-Secondary  
2 year

Mistake not to have a laboratory/demonstration center under auspices of training program; allows the needed control to provide experience for students.

University  
4 year

125

Not to draw on professional expertise should be avoided. Avoid political influence. Need to consider 'what is the purpose of day care.'

Training by courses. Limiting training for all staff to Universities. Lack of continuous on-the-job training for all employees all the time.

Head Start LDP  
6 weeks

Process of student selection, need to consider the criteria and who selects.

Family Day Care  
1 year

Would not train in college or university; Need more contact with community; Head Start taught a lot; cannot train one person to be all things, and in two weeks.

Student should have opportunity to know about/to see various early childhood model. With fanatic commitment to diverse models, training becomes fragmented, discontinuous type of in-service training.

**APPENDIX C: STUDENT INTERVIEW DATA SUMMARY**

A summary of students' responses to interview questions are presented in the following table.

Responses are summarized according to program types. For the Family Day Care Program, the responses of the family day care mother and the student are given separately. The responses of the three student/staff members interviewed in Head Start Program are combined in the summary. No student information was gathered from the two-year high school program.

In summarizing the data obtained from transcription, every attempt was made to retain the intent and content of the response although it was not always possible to retain the exact wording used by the respondent.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

What kind of job or specific field are you training for? (1)		What jobs are other students training for? (2)	
Aide	Assistant	Teacher	Other
High School: 1 year in nursery school/public school		babysitting	Same type or don't work in area just trying out or generally interested.
Post-Secondary 2 Year	Public school	private day care center	Same types of jobs; Some specialize with mentally retarded/ learning disability.
Jr. College: 2 Year		Head Start Private day care	Various job levels/ settings; Night students generally employed in child care/ preschool/ other jobs.
University: 4 Year		Preschool/ Kindergarten in public school	Teachers Preschool/ Kindergarten teachers.
C-2	Head Start Leadership Development Program 6-8 weeks ***	Head Start 1 staff	Jobs ranging from aide-director; 90% are teacher related; 10% cook, social worker, etc.
		Head Start 2 staff	preschool/older levels preschool/older levels
Family Day Care; **** 1 Year Student		Day care Mother	Currently operating All family day care operators. family day care home
			*** Interview with former trainees **** Interviews were conducted currently part of training program with family day care mother staff: at time of training were and with student assistant.
			**At time of entry in to Jr. College Program wanted to be teacher in Head Start or Private day care. Completed AA and went on for B.A. Currently entering M.S. program.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

	How did you find out about program? (3)	Did you know of other programs like this (4)	Why did you decide to start this program? (5)
High School:			
1 Year	Program director recruiting in high school classes	No	Like children, and thought the course would be more beneficial than others; Could go to work, otherwise would have to go to continue at another school.
Post-Secondary:			
2 Year	Coworker mentioned; requested information	No	Liked children; dissatisfied with current job; had GI benefits, so wouldn't have to work.
Jr. College:			
2 Year	Summer job with Head Start	No	During vacation from regular job; Enjoyed Head Start summer work (1965); Wanted to work with children professionally so needed to go back to school.
University			
4 Year	Program advisor in university	No	Changed from elementary education because wanted to work with younger children; didn't know what else to do.
Head Start LDP			
6-8 weeks	Regional training officer contacts director	Yes, other LDPS in other region	Decision made by local center director whether staff needs training; then asks if person wants to go.
Family Day Care			
1 Year	Day Care Mother: Friend in neighborhood	No	Would be interesting
Day Care Mother: Staff contacted			
Student			
	Program director in other course; asked other students.	Not same kind, but Jr. College training in program.	Liked staff members; interested

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

		Have/do students leave the program? Why? (7) (See Director Written #62)	
<u>High School</u>	<u>How long were you/have you been in program?</u> (6)	No. All stayed. Trial period for 2 weeks for student to change.	
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	<u>2 yrs; end of final quarter</u>	Yes. Two-three left before second year. Uncomfortable with children; Have baby	
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>Completed 2 yr. Program going part-time at night; 4 yrs. for completion 9/65-6/69</u>	No data	
<u>University</u>	<u>Completed; transferred into program in Jr. year 2 yrs. specifically in preschool/child devel.</u>	Yes. Not really what want to do; Frustrated with teachers, courses; Found out about bad pay, or limited in what you can do.	
<u>C-4</u>	<u>Completed 6 weeks on campus</u>	No. Not during training session	
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>	No data	
Day Care Mother	Started meeting in December-June Others started October 1970		
Student	Entered January 1971-June 1971 will continue in Fall 1971- Other students begin Fall 1970 finish June 1971.	Yes. One to take good job.	

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

		At what educational level do other students enter? (9)			
		High School	College	High School	College
		Other			
<u>High School</u>	<u>1 year</u>	Beginning of Senior year.		Beginning Jr. or Senior; mostly Jr. Recent H.S. grad.	
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	<u>2 year</u>	H. S. Grad; Secr training in WACs out of school 5 years.			
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>2 year</u>	Grad & Secretarial training	Completed Bible College; out of school 13 years.	H. S. Grad.	
<u>C</u>	<u>4 year</u>	H. S. Grad	Transfer from other school; entered Jr. yr.		
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>		Grad. Elem Ed.		
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>				
<u>Day Care Mother</u>					
<u>Student</u>			Transfer from other school; mid-year junior		

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

		Before entry into program, what kind of experience with children?	Any particular age/or special groups? (15b)
		Job related	Other
<u>High School</u>	<u>1 year</u>	Dishwashing in nursing home; selling snacks in theater; babysitting	Occasional babysitting Mainly 2-5 year olds; no groups experience
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	<u>2 year</u>	After H.S. took 2 yrs. in Women's Army Corp; Trained as secretary, Worked in Post Office 3 yr.	no formal experience Oldest child: No Took care of siblings while mother worked; Own family;
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>2 year</u>	Secretary in Insurance office 13 years.	Headstart during summer as aide (1965) Preschool, group setting disadvantaged
<u>University</u>	<u>4 year</u>	Secretary in University 6 years; full-time summer; part-time during school.	Occasional babysitting No
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>	Agriculture Extension: 4-H 17 years in Church sponsored day care center.	All participants employed in H.Start * elementary ed. * 4-H school age * Church center for preschool children.
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>	20 years in family day care.	20 years family day care mother
<u>Student</u>		Teaching swimming; babysitting	Mainly Preschool Infants; preschool & 5-8 yrs. Taught swimming to children who Children's School were afraid of water Well-Baby Clinic

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Other students: Before entry. What kind of work experience? (34)		Other students: What kind of experience with children before entry? (16)	
		Job Related	Other
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Odd jobs; waitressing, babysitting	Some occasional babysitting	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	In night program many employed currently in preschool nursery/day care.	Some have Head Start work in preschool; Most day students have no prior experience.	Some have child development course in high school
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Some employed in Model City Day care; Head Start; preschool; Varies	Some with Head Start experience others continue employment preschool settings and take classes.	Many have own families
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Varies: office work; waitress; 90% work in University office jobs.	Most have no prior experience.	
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Varies: All participants in training sessions currently employed in local Head Start	Currently employed in Head Start centers prior experience varies.	Many have own families
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>			
<u>Day care Mother</u>	Provide family day care.	All currently providing family day care services.	Many have own families
<u>Student</u>	Head Start; Job Corps; others odd jobs.	Varies: Head Start preschool.	All have had practicals working with preschool children at the college.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

		What does/did it cost you to participate in the program? (10)				How much do you pay for? (11)			
		Tuition	Books	Materials	Transportation				
<u>High School:</u>									
<u>    1 Year</u>	No cost	none	provided	provided	not necessary				
<u>Post-Secondary</u>									
<u>    2 Year</u>	\$21.00/quarter tuition	\$21/qtr.	minimal	none	public transportation				
<u>Jr. College</u>									
<u>    2 year</u>	Minimal; part of college tuition	\$5/8 cred. hrs. \$10/more semester than 8 credit hours.	\$25-30/ \$10/more semester than 8 credit hours.	none					
<u>University:</u>									
<u>    4 year</u>	Nothing specific Part of college tuition Indirect cost; if have to quit job during 8 wk. student teaching	\$250/semester	50-100/ semester.	none		During student teaching 8 weeks			
<u>Head Start DDP</u>									
<u>    6-8 weeks</u>	Nothing; Stipend provided; Indirect cost due to 6 wk. salary loss.	Tuition waived	provided	provided		Public trans. to participation setting paid out of stipend. Weekend commuting to local community.			
<u>Family Day Care</u>									
<u>    Day Care Mother</u>	No cost								
Student	Nothing specific; part of college tuition	\$50/unit/ semester course is 3 units per semester	Minimal; Instructor provides most	Minimal cost: homes within walking distance					

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

		Difficulty finding money for these expenses? (12)	Is financial aid available to people in the program (13)	Do you receive salary for work (participation) in program (14)
<u>High School</u>	<u>1 year</u>	Not applicable	No	No
<u>Post Secondary</u>	<u>2 year</u>	No. Initially worked then had GI Bill.	Yes. Work-study; GI Bill.	Community centers pay only during last quarter during internship; aide salary: \$3.70/hr. Employed students receive salary from center during time in lab. center.
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>2 year</u>	No. Worked full-time during day.	Yes. Loan, Scholarships through Headstart; State scholarships. Not directly from program.	Students doing participation in center where employed receive salary..others none.
<u>University</u>	<u>4 year</u>	No. worked half-time during school	Yes. Loans through University or Home Econ.; Not for Preschool directly.	No
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>	No. \$75/week stipend + \$15/child	Yes. Stipend from HSLDP	Yes. Stipend for participation during 6 weeks program.
<u>Family Day Care</u>				
Day Care Mother	Not applicable		Not applicable	Yes. \$10.00/month.
Student	No		Yes. Through college but not specifically through project.	Yes

157 C-9  
154

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

During training program do/did you work?		During training program; other students work? (37)
<u>High School</u>	No	No
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	Yes, first 6 months worked in post office at night.	Yes, some on work study after school; night program employed in various fields full-time/part time.
<u>Jr. College</u>	Yes, Full-time in insurance office (3 yrs) 1 yr. in day care center. school at night.	Night program students employed in various areas; some full-time/part-time don't know about day students.
<u>University</u>	Yes. Sec. in Univ. Dept. $\frac{1}{2}$ time When student teaching worked 5-9 pm.	Most work in Univ.
<u>C-10</u>		
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	No. Not during 6 week training session; all employed in Head Start centers.	No. Released from jobs during training; all employed in Head Start.
<u>Family Day Care</u>		
<u>Day Care Mother</u>	Providing day care in home	
<u>Student</u>	Some babysitting	

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Course work: Describe your class schedule (17)			Other students have the same kind of schedules? If not, How did they differ? (18)	
Child Care Training	Other Course Work:	related:	Child Care Training	Other Course Work
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Child Care Training 3 hours/day: 5 days a week. 1 hour each of class; working with children; preparation of activities	1st English; Social Studies, office practice; 2nd office Machines Family Living, Foods.	Same 3 hrs/day.	Took courses in high school curriculum.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	1st year; mostly class work/observation/participate in lab center; 2nd year; 3 qtrs. practicum in agency/lab center.	Concurrent courses in other depts.	Generally the same 2 groups of 18 students.	
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	Initially general education: and child development requirements. 6-10 credit hrs. at night/semester 1 semester Practicum in center/6 credit hrs	Students schedules vary - some courses and practicum. If not working in a pre-school setting spend less time with children.		
<u>University</u> 4 year	Initially general University requirements. Last 2 yrs. more child development & methods. Complete program with 1 semester student teaching.	Concurrent course; work in other depts. Most courses not child development related: Family econ; Family relations.	Take course work in other depts. concurrently.	Take course work required courses when want to, or available.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

<u>Course work: Describe your class schedule (17)</u>	<u>Other students have the same kind of schedules? If not, How did they differ? (18)</u>
<u>Child Care Training</u> related:	<u>Child Care Training</u> <u>Other Course Work</u> related:
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	All trainees have some basic activities & content go through 6 weeks as a group.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>	Group of 4-6 FDCM all meet at same time at storefront.  <u>Day Care Mother</u> 2 days month. 1 day with student- 1 day month meeting with small group of family day care mothers. 8 had special course in child development at night.
<u>Student</u>	Monday-work in home with family day care mother: each student has 3 homes. Wed. relieve mother and work on own in a.m. afternoon class discussion -  other courses con- currently: Infant- mother relationship; work in children's school; well-baby clinic; research course; seminar on individual differences

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Time spent doing the following things? (19)				
Classroom Instruction by teacher	Observation of children	Participation in child care setting	Independent study	Other/Special activities (Combined 19 & 22)
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	1 hr. day/ five days/ wk. for the school year.	Incorporated into participation time at the center.	1 hr. day or maybe two hrs/day: five days/wk for entire school year.	Usually 1 hr. of preparation time some use of resource library; some homework.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Varied	Varied during 1st yr. classes would have observation times.	2nd year total participation: 1st. yr. last six weeks in lab center.	Some field trips with children, Visits to other settings for observation.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Unless working in Headstart, Model cities, etc. spend most time in class/discussion seminar.	1 semester course	1 semester practicum: 5 days wk/4 hr/day.	
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Most of time 90 % Other about 10 %	1 semester course	1 semester; 8 wks. each. 1 in public school 1 in nursery school	Special problems course: Student selects area related to children and works in community. worked on committee to design children's park.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

		<u>Time Spent doing the following things? (19)</u>			
		<u>Classroom Instruction</u>	<u>Observation of children by teacher</u>	<u>Participation in child care setting</u>	<u>Independent study</u>
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>	About $\frac{1}{2}$ day for 6 weeks	1 week emphasis on observation; visits over 6 weeks. to settings.	$\frac{1}{2}$ day in morning over 6 weeks.	Varies according to student interest.
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>				With student once a month for meeting. A few large group activities with all students, FDCM children.
<u>Day Care Mother</u>		1 day per mo. attend small group meetings voluntary involvement. In evening child development course by project staff.			1 morning/month. Attend meeting with family day care mothers.
<u>Student</u>			$\frac{1}{2}$ day week with students and staff.	2 times a week in family day care home.	Visits to community centers; services. Field trips with FDCM, children: 300 & Library.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

	In the training program, describe observation experience (20)	Kind of experience participating in a Child/Care Educational setting (21)
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Lab center setting only; Observe various developmental aspects of child. Assess child on types of tasks; follow child over time and record performance changes. Home visits	Continuous participation in lab center only. In charge of various aspects of program during a week: snacks, art activity.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Observation in varies settings. observations related to various courses, creative activity; child development. record various behavior, activities of one child.	1st year: 6 wks. in lab center under supervision; arrange field trips activities; 2nd year: Participation in 3 settings: lab, and community settings.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	1 formal observation course in varied settings observe and record child behavior;	1 semester at end of course, either in centers run by program, or in centers where employed. Includes once a week seminar and preparation of special project. Supervision
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	1 formal course; assignment varies widely; observe teacher, children, and characteristics, Assigned one setting preschool lab/community setting.	1 semester; 2 settings: kindergarten and preschool; supervision.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Visit different centers in community see various models; 1st week is primarily observation in centers.	$\frac{1}{2}$ day in one center for 6 weeks. Supervision.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>		Operate family day care homes;
<u>Day Care Mother</u> <u>Student</u>	Not formal; kept daily log of experience in homes.	Assisting family day care mother; $\frac{1}{2}$ day take over in family day care home; Work in several homes, no supervision by staff.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Where did you observe or participate? (23)	
Training laboratory program center	elementary school
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Continuous during yr in center under auspices of program
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	1 quarter in 2nd year. 1st yr: 6 wks, and frequent observations related to course work: Visit to MR center; hospital day care center.
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	employed as aide in lab center during practicum.
<u>University</u> 4 year	Observation 1 morning wk. University preschool center Student teaching 8 wk.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks	8 weeks student teaching in kindergarten
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	In community Head Start;
<u>Day Care Mother</u>	Some go to center 2 days / week with children from family day care home.
<u>Student</u>	One time visits to community centers. Weekly participation in family day care homes.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

How do the settings or situations for observation or participation differ? (24)			
Staff	Age of special characteristics of children	Full-day operation vs. part-day	Other
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Two centers in urban area: one had college students training other had older women.	Both were centers for 3-5 years.	work 2 hr. day with children in lab.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	More staff in Model Cities. Only one teacher in kindergarten	Observe all ages: 1st yr. 6 weeks in lab with 3 yr. olds  2nd year: <u>Model City</u> . 4 yr olds <u>Kindergarten</u> . 5 yrs <u>Lab Center</u> infants	Model cities: 6 hr day. Kindergarten full-day, 2 groups $\frac{1}{2}$ day each.
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year		Range of age 2-5 in different groups.	Day students participate $\frac{1}{2}$ day; others work full time if employed.
<u>University</u> 4 year			Team teaching for 2-3 yr. olds.
		Kindergarten: 5-6 yr. More children-2 groups of 25. Lab school: 4 yr. Fewer children in preschool (18) 30% had some special problems.	Content and activities differ by age level; older ones go a lot further. Facilities differ in public school vs. nursery school.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

How do the settings or situations for observation or participation differ? (24)

<u>Staff</u>	<u>Age of special characteristics of children</u>	<u>Full-day operation vs. part-day</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks	Participated one setting: Some team teaching; use of paraprofessional teacher handling of children;	All preschool: Age varies; some age graded; some classes all boys.	Visit centers using different models; program for handicapped. Director, Social workers, cooks, work in and visit more than one center.
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year		Age range in different homes; some emotionally disturbed, handicapped; infants and preschool	Range of activities performed by family day care mother. Licensed and un-licensed homes.

**STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

**TASKS/RESPONSIBILITIES DURING YOUR PARTICIPATION IN CHILD CARE SETTING: (25)**

Planning	Activity with Children	Maintenance Tasks
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	with teacher: Daily program play activities & educational activity; Supervise play; Present educational activity lessons: stories, art.	Preparation of materials, visual aides. Clerical: review papers, typing. Record keeping: Observation of children, recording. Food preparation: rotating assignment weekly.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	In Kindergarten: teacher did most of day/week planning with suggestions for specifics from student: educational activity & creative play. Supervise creative play; Present lessons and creative activities.	In Model city program: set up meals.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 years</u>	Plan daily activity and units under director supervision.	Wide range of creative activity and lesson with children: music, stories. Prepare lesson and unit plans.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Weekly program plan with teacher and daily discussion of activities, lessons.	Assigned observations. Wide range of activity with children: lessons, creative activity. Presentation and supervision. Prepare weekly lesson plans; Prepare materials & set-up Notes to parents, parent conferences.

**STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

**TASK/RESPONSIBILITY DURING PARTICIPATION IN CHILD CARE SETTING: (25)**

	<u>Planning</u>	<u>Activity with Children</u>	<u>Maintenance Tasks</u>
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks	Full responsibility for weekly planning of program.	Present creative activity and educational tasks.  Supervise activity, play	Do medical check on children  Set-up material/activity.  Supervision of meals.
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year		As provider of day care supervise full range of activity with children.  Occasional trips & errands in community.	Some mothers do elaborate meal planning and preparation.
<u>Day Care Mother</u>	Little formal planning other than general schedule for meals, naps, time for play.		Generally assist family day care mothers in all types of tasks involving children;  Activity unstructured.
<u>Student</u>	No formal planning for tasks in day care homes.		Food preparation and supervision of meals.  Recording events of participation in daily log.  Indoor play; outdoor play, and walks in neighborhood.  Creative activity and educationally-oriented activity as occasion arises.  Bathing & dressing children.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

How activity changes in  
different settings: (26)

How activity changed in  
particular setting: (27)

High School  
1 year

Participate in one setting only.

Post-Secondary  
2 year

In Model Cities worked with children  
4 yrs. alone for one hour 7-8:00  
responsibility greater in kinder-  
garten because less staff - kinder-  
garten teacher did planning; no meals  
in kindergarten.

Jr. College  
2 year

Only participate in one setting.

Employed during practicum as aide.  
Worked as teacher with 4-5 year olds.  
Responsible for children and student  
teachers; did more student observa-  
tion, let student plan, discussion  
with student.

University  
4 year

More responsibility in kindergarten;  
sometimes alone with children; in lab  
school teacher always there; more  
structure activity and lessons.

Head Start LDP  
6 weeks

Student works in one setting.

Family Day Care  
1 year

Day Care Mother

Yes, somewhat depends on Day Care  
home; some mothers have more struct-  
ural activity. Some don't cook meals,  
but children bring lunch; some homes  
student works with emotionally disturbed;  
in some homes interact more with day care  
mother.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

DURING PARTICIPATION HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU SPEND WITH: (28)

	Program Director	Training Supervisor	Head Teacher/Teacher	Other
<u>High School</u> 1 year	2 hrs/day in lab. center under her supervision	same as prog. director	same as program director	students working in lab. during a.m. are supervised by assistant teacher.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 years		periodic observation evaluation by visiting supervisor on training program staff when working in community programs.	Kindergarten daily with 1 cooperating teacher.  In lab. center: mostly with Infant section. Head teacher.	
			In model city: all time with head teacher, and teacher.	also with assistant teacher.
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year		Periodic observation and discussion with training supervisor & director	may be working with a head teacher in some cases.	Once week meeting and discussion with training staff/practice teachers.
<u>University</u> 4 year		Periodic observation by training supervisor.	Kindergarten teacher daily discussion and classroom supervision.	Most time in lab. center with assist. teacher.
			In lab. center super- vision and discussion daily with head teacher.	Some interaction with specialists: speech therapist; psychologist.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

		How activity changes in different settings: (26)	How activity changed in particular setting: (27)
<u>High School</u>	<u>1 year</u>	Participate in one setting only.	In kindergarten left alone with children.
<u>Post-Secondary</u>	<u>2 year</u>	In Model Cities worked with children 4 yrs. alone for one hour 7-8:00 responsibility greater in kindergarten because less staff - kindergarten teacher did planning; no meals in kindergarten.	Employed during Practicum as aide. Worked as teacher with 4-5 year olds. Responsible for children and student teachers; did more student observation, let student plan, discussion with student.
<u>Jr. College</u>	<u>2 year</u>	Only participate in one setting.	In kindergarten increase responsibility over the week, then take over.
<u>University</u>	<u>4 year</u>	More responsibility in kindergarten; sometimes alone with children; in lab school teacher always there; more structure activity and lessons.	Gradually take over more activity after 1st. week.
<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>	Student works in one setting.	
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>		
<u>Day Care Mother</u>			Yes, somewhat depends on Day Care home; some mothers have more structural activity. Some don't cook meals, but children bring lunch; some homes student works with emotionally disturbed; in some homes interact more with day care mother.
<u>Student</u>			

**STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

**DURING PARTICIPATION HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU SPEND WITH: (28)**

	Program Director	Training Supervisor	Head Teacher/Teacher	Other
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	2 hrs/day in lab. center under her supervision	same as prog. director	same as program director	students working in lab. during a.m. are supervised by assistant teacher.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 years</u>		periodic observation evaluation by visiting supervisor on training program staff when working in community programs.	Kindergarten daily with 1 cooperating teacher.	In lab. center: mostly with Infant section. Head teacher.
				In model city: all time with head teacher, and teacher.
				also with assistant teacher.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>		Periodic observation and discussion with training supervisor & director	may be working with a head teacher in some cases.	Once week meeting and discussion with training staff/practice teachers.
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>		Periodic observation by training supervisor.	Kindergarten teacher daily discussion and classroom supervision.	In lab. center super- vision and discussion daily with head teacher.
				Most time in Lab. center with assist. teacher.
				Some interaction with specialists: speech therapist; psychologist.

**STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

DURING PARTICIPATION HOW MUCH TIME WITH: (28)

	<u>Program Director</u>	<u>Training Supervisor</u>	<u>Head Teacher/Teacher</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	periodic visits/ observation by training program staff.	Most time with coop- erating teacher in community center while working 1/2 day.		Interact with entire staff in the setting: e.g. other teachers, nurse.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>		small group of day care mothers once a month.		
<u>Day Care Mother</u>	Meet one day month with director/ and staff.		Work with student 1 morning/month in home.	Work with family day care mothers 1 morning/ week.
<u>Student</u>		Class session 1 day (3 hr.) week with prog. dir. & staff.		Class discussion with all students 3 hr. week.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

		Participation in staff meetings/in-service training in child care program: (30)			Involvement with parents in the child care program: (29)		
	Attend	Type	Required	Parent Program	Other	Required	
<u>High School</u>							
1 year	Not part of lab. center program	Depends on the availability community setting.	Workshops	No. Attend when available, appropriate.	Not part of program.	Minimal: when parents pick-up children.	No.
						Home-Visit (1) Yes.	
<u>Post-Secondary</u>							
2 year	Yes, Worked as aide in lab.	In-Service	Yes.	Not part of lab. program	Informal contact.	Informal contact.	No.
<u>Jr. College</u>							
2 year	Yes, Worked as aide in lab.	In-Service	Yes.	Not part of lab. program	Informal	Informal	No.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Participation in staff meetings/in-service training in child care program: (30)

Involvement with parents in the child care program: (29)

<u>Attend</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Required</u>	<u>Parent Program</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Required</u>
<u>University</u> 4 year	Yes, but depends on setting. Did not have in-service program.	Staff meetings	Yes, part of student teaching	Not in Kindergarten: only attend PTA; Minimal	Parent conferences Yes.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks			Any staff meetings/in-service work that is available in setting.	Most centers have parent program; Attend parent meetings, activities	Parent volunteers in classroom try to go to two meetings Yes.
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year				Meet once month with staff & other day care mothers	No formal program No formal contact daily by individual FDCN.
<u>Day Care Mother</u>	Yes				No contact with parents No parent program in family day care;
<u>Student</u>	Yes		Participate in meetings with staff & FDCM, as time permits.		

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

In what areas were students evaluated? How? (31)			
Course work or content	Observation	Participation	
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Quiz on reading during class time. Cumulative grades every 9 weeks.	By program director during first 9 weeks.	By program director on various things.
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	reading; written assignments.	written assignments in various classes.	Cooperating teacher and supervisory teacher.
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	Individual course grades	Written assignments	Training supervisor and input from teacher.
<u>University</u> 4 year	Term papers. Some self-evaluation, teacher conference.	Graded on written reports.	Teacher and training supervisor - consider lesson plans, progress, observe with children.
<u>Head Start LDP</u> 6 weeks		Records on children	Program staff observation centers. Students do program evaluation of participation settings.
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	Write term paper or student determined topic. Final project that is presented in local center.		
<u>Student</u>	Class discussion	Daily log on work in Family day care homes.	Feed back from family day care mothers.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Activity & Plans after Training (36, 39, 41a)

		EMPLOYMENT		EDUCATION	
	Immediately	Future	Immediately	Future	Future
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Completion: June 1971 Temporary Employment: washing dishes in nursing home.  Applied for teacher aide in public school; because no preschools in area.	Would like to be nursery school teacher or food service manager in hotel/nursing home.  Will try work in public school for 1 yr. to see if she likes it.		Might consider going back to school after 1 year of working.	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Completion of program: Sept. 1971. Hired as assistant teacher to work with infant section in the laboratory center.			Might take courses at local or State college, in child development	
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Worked half-time as Sec. in early childhood organization while earning B.A.		Wants to work part-time in Head Start as teacher while getting M.S.	2 yr. program for A.A. completed June 1969; continued B.A. program in early childhood; completed B.A. June 1971.	Sept. 1971 beginning M.A. in early child- hood will complete in 2 yrs. going half time.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Activity & Plans after Training (36, 39, 41a)

EMPLOYMENT		EDUCATION	
Immediately	Future	Immediately	Future
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Completion: June 1971; Temporary Employment: sec. on 4-C Project in Early Childhood Ed. Dept.	Probably won't work in preschool/kinder.	Might go to grad. school in educ. adm.; may be retail- ing.
	Applied for Kindergarten teacher position with public schools; many applied, so not good chance.		
<u>Head Start I.D.P.</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Returned to local Head Start centers: completed over 2 years ago.	Summer 1971 program phased out; staff disbanded.	All interested in training program staff: assuming teacher/ 2 teachers in lab. center, director position & 1 training supervisor. With program 2-4 yrs. All will work full/ part time in field.
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>			
	Day Care Mother Continue to operate family day care home.	Would want very much to continue in Fall '72 pro- gram.	
<u>Student</u>	At end of 1 project year working tempor- arily: hand crafts	Original interest in Library Science/ com- bined with young child- ren. Not sure.	Continue with project until Jan. 1972. Complete college undergraduate work.
			May continue for teaching credential/ grad. work in spec- ial education.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Activity Upon Completion of Training Program: Other Students (Combined #37 and 40)

	<u>Employment</u>	<u>Education</u>
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	Juniors: temporary employment full & part-time waitress work; some babysitting  Senior: Temporary employment; one will work in factory; one looking for job in Head Start or teacher aide in public school.  Only 2-3 will work with children because to get job required relocating in the city; pay not enough to support living in city.	Will return to high school to complete senior year  Two graduates going to vocational school in clerical occupations
<u>Post-Secondary</u> <u>2 year</u>	Graduates mainly will be hired by Public school operated preschool centers where they have done internships. They will be "group leaders" or teachers. One graduate will be at Mental Retardation Center.	One may go on to college.
<u>Jr. College</u> <u>2 year</u>	Some continue employment in day care centers/ Head Start. Others hired in Model Cities or Public Schools; not many in private centers because of low salary.	Some students continue at 4 year level; 3-4 from night program went on. Probably more day students continue.  Many not able to find jobs in day care.

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Activity Upon Completion of Training Program: Other Students (Combined #37 and 40) (Continued)

	<u>Employment</u>	<u>Education</u>
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	<p>2 graduates have jobs: teacher in coop. nursery school; teacher in 5th grade in private school.</p> <p>All applied for positions with public school system; currently no jobs available/experienced teachers given priority/salary too low.</p>	
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	<p>At time of training, all employed in Head Start and return to local centers.</p> <p>Some promoted in their particular centers; others change jobs and work in other preschool settings.</p>	<p>Some return to campus for follow-up training the next year.</p>
<u>Family Day Care</u>		
Day Care Mother	<p>Currently provide family day care. Five participants will function as "field faculty" in the 1971-72 program: identify and help train new family day care mothers.</p>	<p>On a voluntary basis, mothers may continue to participate in monthly meetings and in evening child development course taught by training project staff.</p>
Students	<p>One will continue with project on a work-study basis.</p>	<p>Continue with other course work at college; after graduation from college, most go on to get teacher credential at same college or transfer.</p>

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

		How Program will help: (Potential benefits) (41b)		
Personal	Occupational	Education	Other	
<u>High School</u> <u>1 year</u>	for other student, found she wasn't able to get along with children - didn't like children - she found this out.	if hadn't taken training, wouldn't have been qualified to apply for teacher aide - other girl has chance to work in kindergarten.	learned to know what children are about... to like children.	
<u>University</u> <u>4 year</u>	Help if have children; Won't help unless given new out look on children.	economic situation gets better; no jobs.		
<u>Head Start LDP</u> <u>6 weeks</u>	Learned that she needs to learn more; helps you to identify weaknesses, to develop program; provided confidence to work with other people.	All got positions as program staff because of work in training program; provided experience and competency in working with children. Motivates people to continue in education.	Helps people to really get involved, opens up doors you thought were closed to you.	
<u>Family Day Care</u> <u>1 year</u>			Nice to know ladies can refer people to each other if they have an opening.	Learned about activities for criteria, equipment.
<u>Day Care Mother</u>	Created more of an interest in taking care of children.			different from exposure to children in lab school or well-baby clinic-- most honest and natural setting.
<u>Student</u>	Will think realistically about number of children to have.			

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

If looking for employment in a Child Care / Development Program: (38)

- a. What would you consider a desirable situation? (staff, program characteristics, salary)

High School

1 year  
Direct work with children; being an aide to a teacher; taking over classes, supervising; being outside with children; and doing odd jobs for a teacher. \$1.50-\$2.00/hr.

Post-Secondary

2 year  
Place where could make improvements; work in place where people weren't really trained; place where can make contributions, changes, start out on right track;

Jr. College

2 year  
Work in center where not too much structure in curriculum for children, where people genuinely interested in children. Enough staff for no. of children, perhaps small center; starting out.

University

4 year  
Want to be kindergarten teacher in public school; look for principal or supervisor that had free ideas; autonomy to do what want; if upper elementary, would like team teaching, in kindergarten rather be alone.

Lead Start LDP

6 weeks  
Like current lab school situation.

Family Day Care

1 year  
Home atmosphere for children; clean; most important that child have a chance to play.

Student

Nursery school; people working with would be most important; salary not important.

\* Question Variation: "What would you consider to be a good family day care setting?"

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

What parts of the training program: (42)

	a. Particularly useful?	b. Least useful?	c. Most interesting?	d. least interesting?
<u>High School</u> 1 year	Just being around children, learning how to approach and understanding children is most important; getting along with people you work with.	No. Every part useful.	Working with children trying to figure out why the child did this, said that; trying to understand child, so you know what to do for him.	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year	Guild development: Child from birth to 9 yrs. Most everything useful.	Special groups not too useful; because did not want to work with special children. MR, deaf.	Creative activity	Nutrition - Very important but not exciting.
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year	All useful; but most was practicum - work with children.		Everything of interest.	
<u>University</u> 4 year	Student teaching Observations/Method Spec. course work in common unity.	Nutrition course, family econ, family relations.	Best courses in education and psychology; most interesting were methods, day care and kindergarten.	Home ec. courses.
<u>Lead Start LDP</u> 6-8 weeks	In general program provides good background in preschool ed; solid foundation for teaching preschool.			
<u>Family Day Care</u> 1 year	Talking to other family day care mothers & exchange of ideas - learning about child development.			Other mothers exchanging ideas.
<u>Day Care: Other</u>				
<u>Student</u>	Being in homes & seeing differences; advance work by staff developed good foundation for student FDCN relationships.	Recording in daily log.	Differences in the people: FDCN, children, reactions to students, meeting; Watch mothers gain confidence.	

STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

What parts of the training program: (43a-b)

a. Could be better?

High School  
1 year

More involvement with parents;  
have parents discuss problems with  
teacher, observe children.

Post-Secondary  
2 year

Nothing - but could have worked with  
children earlier; still need to know  
background.

Jr. College  
2 year

More interaction between classroom &  
day care centers.

University  
4 year

Practical aspects; should have more  
experience with children; curriculum  
should be better.

Head Start LD  
6 weeks

Not enough participation settings;  
some centers are not best for students.

Family Day Care  
1 year

Day Care Mother

Would like more time for meetings;  
discussing children and exchanging  
ideas.

Student

More emphasis on day care mother as  
individual; focus on individual outside  
interests, cooking, handrafts, music, etc.  
Students should all start in Sept.  
2 entered in Jan. Need time to  
familiarize self with home. Wanted  
to go into homes more. Time between  
visits too great.

### STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

What Part of The Training Program Would You Change? (How/Why) (43-C)	As Part of The Program Do Students Take Suggestions For Changes? What Kind?(32)
<u>High School</u> 1 year Provide transportation for children to lab center; some cannot attend because of transportation problem.	Throughout year; students suggested activity areas & scheduling arrangements.
School cannot pay for trans. bcc./ people complain of cost; but would be good for children to come & help program.	
<u>Post-Secondary</u> 2 year Practical application; in nutrition course more cooking/preparation of menu, relate to class lecture, why important.	In some courses: students determine discussion topics; raise problems.
<u>Jr. College</u> 2 year Participation/work in center settings earlier in program: would make classwork more meaningful & provide better experience.	Perhaps work 3 days in field/ 2 days in classroom to achieve interaction: Nutrition example of area that needs to have relation to center program.
<u>University</u> 4 year Change course work: more independent study; more discussion of various kinds of program, e.g. day care; present new issues in early childhood; more field work & more variation in programs that are participation settings; should go to every type of program.	Yes. Omit some courses: Family relations; family economics; general econ.; Want specific child nutrition course; more practical work/labs.; more independent study/ reading on own; more community work; more involvement in student evaluation/ grading.

**STUDENT INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

What Part of The Training Program Would You Change? (How/Why) (43-C)

As Part of The Program Do Students Take Suggestions For Changes? What Kind? (32)

<u>Head Start LDP</u>	<u>6 weeks</u>	<u>Longer sessions: need more time for participants to adjust/and get oriented.</u>	<u>Yes. Informally and at end of each session students provide feedback.</u>
		<u>Made available to high school/others before start working, not after.</u>	<u>Like small group discussions; More opportunity to see different early childhood ed. models: Montessori, etc. Suggestions are incorporated into each session.</u>
<u>Family Day Care</u>	<u>1 year</u>	<u>More meetings with total group of day care mothers, not just small group.</u>	<u>Yes. Suggested changes in proposal for coming year. FDCM requested course in child development that was taught during first year, and that will continue.</u>
		<u>Student</u>  <u>None really, because changes are being incorporated in next year program..still flexible.. much consideration has been given to all people involved during the planning.</u>	<u>Yes. Scheduling next year will change from Mon./Wed. to Tues./Thurs. Handiman will be hired to do repairs &amp; make equipment for family day care mothers, at student request.</u>

**APPENDIX D: INTERVIEW SCHEDULES**

This appendix contains the interview schedule and the written questionnaire for program directors and the interview schedule for students.

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Interviewee \_\_\_\_\_

CHILD CARE TRAINING STUDY

DIRECTOR ORAL INTERVIEW

1. What is your formal title? \_\_\_\_\_

2. What are your responsibilities?

- Administration (budget, staff hiring)
- Supervision/Coordination
- Instructional
- Curriculum Development
- Student recruitment
- Student selection
- Laboratory/demonstration center
- Public relations/community activity.
- Program development
- Evaluation
- Other

3. (You indicate that you train people for \_\_\_\_\_ X \_\_\_\_\_ positions)

Why did you decide to train people for that level?

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**PROGRAM PLANNING & IMPLEMENTATION:**

4. Can you tell me why your present child care training came about?

- . Need for training
- . Need for workers
- . Individual interest and initiative
- . Part of program expansion in institution or project
- . Other

5a. What activities were involved in planning and implementing the program?

- . Identifying need
- . Program objectives
- . Developing curriculum
- . Staff hiring
- . Facilities
- . Students

5b. How long did it take?

6. Who was involved?

- . Community committees
- . Administration
- . Program staff
- . Service providers
- . Other agencies/institutions
- . Others

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

7. Were the same people involved in implementation as in the planning phase?

If not, who was involved?

8. What did you see as the problems involved in planning and implementing the program?

- Facilities
- Curriculum
- Staff
- Students
- Administration
- Funds
- Others

9a. What do you consider to be the maximum capacity of your program?

9b. Did your program open at full capacity?

9c. If not, how long did (or will) it take to reach full capacity?

10. What is the administrative structure of your program? (Organizational hierarchy; E.G. school district, independent organization.)

(Now I'd like to ask you some questions about your funding and budget arrangements.)

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

11. For Federal, State and local funding, on what basis are funds authorized?

- . Social Security, Title IV-A.
- . Vocational - Education
- . Economic Opportunity Act
- . State/Local
- . Other

12. Are there particular requirements for the use of funds available to you?

- . Student characteristics
- . Program content
- . Staff
- . Program evaluation
- . Reporting
- . Others

13. In your opinion, if additional Federal Funds were made available for the training of child care occupations, in what priority should these funds be allocated?

More scholarships \_\_\_\_\_ Work/Study Program \_\_\_\_\_

More loans \_\_\_\_\_ More Faculty \_\_\_\_\_

More grants \_\_\_\_\_ More Facilities \_\_\_\_\_

Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

14. What in-kind contributions do you receive for your program?

Type

From whom?

- Materials and equipment
- Staff Time
- Participation settings
- Student Salary
- Facilities

15. Is the child care training program a self contained activity?

16. If not, what resources within the institution do you incorporate into your program?

- Administrative
- Departmental resources
- Instructional Staff
- Course Work
- Facilities

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

17. What kind of cooperative arrangement do you have with other agencies or institutions? With whom?

Type of Arrangements

With Whom?

Observation and Participation Settings

Staff

Consultation

Student salary

Student employment

Instructional program

Curriculum

Services

Student selection/recruitment

Other

(With whom: e.g., day care centers, public schools, Health dept; advisory board.)

18. Who has the responsibility for selection and hiring of staff for your program?

What is their role?

Program Director

Program Supervisor/Administrator

Advisory Committee

Local school board

Board of Education

Agency Personnel director

Other

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

19. How is your staffing pattern determined?

Basis of student-teacher ratio

Hours per day

Course content

Program Components

Other

20. In recommending or selecting teachers, what special qualifications do you look for?

Educational/Academic

Attitudes

Prior Experience

Other

21. What kind of changes would you like to see in the staff selection, staff make-up, or staff policy?

PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS:

Goals

22a. What are the general goals for the child care training program?

Training for specific job

Teaching attitudes, principles of child development/care

Provision of child care/development program to community families

Others

22b. What is the primary objective, or priority in your program?

**Interview #** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date** \_\_\_\_\_

**23. How have you modified your goals:**

- a. Since beginning of program
- b. In relation to the future?

**24. What do you think is most essential for a high quality training program?**

**CURRICULUM:**

**25. How did you determine your present curriculum?**

Administrative direction

Institutional Staff

Program Director

Individual program staff

Community/Committee involvement

Consultants

Student participation

Employer input/influence

Other

Interview# \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

26. In developing your program content and curriculum, what kinds of sources did you rely on?

Curriculum guides

Existing programs

Printed materials

Various program guidelines

People

Other

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

27. How do the observation/participation settings differ? For example, other characteristics of children, philosophy, staff composition; curriculum for the children, sponsor.

<u>TYPE OF SETTING</u>	<u>PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS</u>	<u>CHILDREN</u>
Training program		
Demonstration Center		
Day Care Center		
Nursery School		
Elementary School		
Other		

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

28. If you are placing students in child care settings, how do you identify these programs?

29. On what basis do you decide to use a child care program for observation and/or participation?

30. What is your role of training program in relation to outside training settings?

- . Place students
- . Coordination with child care staff, employer
- . Determine student program in setting
- . Supervise student in training setting
- . Evaluate student in setting

31. How would you change the participation part of your program to improve it?

STUDENT SELECTION & CHARACTERISTICS:

(Now I'd like to consider your student selection procedures and student characteristics.)

32a. In determining the selection criteria, how did you decide on particular characteristics?

32b. What do you consider the most useful and valid criteria for selecting students into the program?

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

33. What are the advantages or disadvantages to working with or training people with the characteristics you have indicates?

- . Age
- . Socio-economic
- . Education & experience
- . Other

34a. What strengths and/or weaknesses do you find among your students?

34b. How do you take these factors/characteristics considered in your program?

EVALUATION AND FOLLOW-UP PROCEDURES:

35a. In terms of evaluating the student, either in the instructional setting or during participation, in what ways would you like to change your procedures or methods?

35b. Do you have plans for implementing these ideas?

36a. What about student follow-up after completion of the program?

36b. Do you have plans for implementing these ideas?

37a. In regard to informal/formal job placement, in what ways would you like to change your procedures?

37b. Do you have any plans for implementing these ideas?

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

(Now let's consider your whole training program.)

38. How would you compare your program and approach to other alternative programs you are familiar with?

Strengths

---

---

---

Weaknesses

---

---

---

39. What changes would you like to see in your total training program?

PROGRAM EXPANSION:

- 40a. How difficult do you think it would be to expand your present program to train more people, or to train them for a higher level position?

- 40b. Why do you think so?

41. How would you go about expanding your present program?

NATIONAL PROGRAM:

- 42a. "If you were assigned to plan a nation-wide child care training program, how would you do it?"

- 42b. What pitfalls would you want to avoid in planning a nation-wide training program?

43. What other issues do you feel should be considered?

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Interviewer \_\_\_\_\_

CHILD CARE TRAINING STUDY

As you know, the training of day care and early childhood education personnel has been receiving increased attention. Many plans and programs are being studied to find some answers to the problems posed. For that reason, we would like to know some of the details of your program. The questions are divided into five (5) parts: program operation; staff; curriculum; observation and participation; and students. Your answers will be of great help in studying the alternatives.

PART I: PROGRAM OPERATIONS

1. Name/division/school or dept. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

City/state \_\_\_\_\_

2. Type of institution:  
a. Elementary or secondary school \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Vocational school \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Two-year college or technical institution \_\_\_\_\_  
d. Four-year college or university \_\_\_\_\_  
e. Other educational agency \_\_\_\_\_  
f. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

3. How long has the child care training program of which you are a part been training students in child care occupations?

Years \_\_\_\_\_ Months \_\_\_\_\_

4. How many months per year does your program operate?

- a. Twelve months \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Nine months \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

5. How many times a year is the program offered?

- a. Once \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Twice \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

6. When may students enter the program?

- a. Anytime \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Once in the fall \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Beginning of each quarter \_\_\_\_\_  
d. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

7. From student entrance to completion, how long is the program designed to take?

- a. Nine months \_\_\_\_\_  
b. One year \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Two years \_\_\_\_\_  
d. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

8. On completion of a program sequence, what kind of academic credit, degree or certificate does a student receive?

- a. None \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Some academic credit \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Certificate (diploma) \_\_\_\_\_  
d. Associate Arts (A.A.) \_\_\_\_\_  
e. Bachelor of Arts (B.A.) \_\_\_\_\_  
f. Master of Arts (M.A.) \_\_\_\_\_  
g. Doctorate (Ph.D. or Ed.D.) \_\_\_\_\_

9. Is your program designed to train people as:

- a. Aides \_\_\_\_\_ e. Administrators \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Assistants \_\_\_\_\_ f. Educational specialists \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Teachers \_\_\_\_\_ g. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_  
d. Resource teacher/coordinators \_\_\_\_\_

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

10. If you emphasize training of particular career levels, which ones do you emphasize and in what order of importance?

a. \_\_\_\_\_  
b. \_\_\_\_\_  
c. \_\_\_\_\_  
d. \_\_\_\_\_

11. What sources of funds support your child care training program?

Percent

a. Student tuition \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Federal/local/state funding \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Private foundation, agencies \_\_\_\_\_  
d. Other grants \_\_\_\_\_  
e. Other loans \_\_\_\_\_  
f. Other sources (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

12. What is the total budget for your child care training program?

Amount \$ _____	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Dollars</u>
a. What percent goes for salaries	_____	_____
b. What percent goes for facilities	_____	_____
c. What percent goes for equipment and supplies	_____	_____
d. What percent goes for other operating expenses	_____	_____
e. What percent goes for participant support	_____	_____
f. Other (specify)	_____	_____

13. How have your expenditures for the above program cost categories changed since the start of the program?

a. Salaries  
b. Facilities  
c. Equipment and supplies  
d. Operating expenses  
e. Participant support  
f. Other (specify)

14. What in-kind contributions do you receive for your program?

a. Volunteer staff time \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Use of space \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Equipment \_\_\_\_\_  
d. Student salaries \_\_\_\_\_  
e. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

15. What facilities for day care training do you have at present? What is proposed for next year? What do you see as future needs?

	<u>Present</u>	<u>Proposed</u>	<u>Future</u>
a. Number of classrooms	_____	_____	_____
b. Library or resource center	_____	_____	_____
c. Observation facility	_____	_____	_____
d. Laboratory or demonstration facility	_____	_____	_____
e. includes outdoor play area	_____	_____	_____
f. includes kitchen	_____	_____	_____
g. Other (specify) _____	_____	_____	_____
h. Other (specify) _____	_____	_____	_____

16. Are your present facilities the same this year as last year?

If not, what are the differences?  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Why were changes made? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**PART II: INSTRUCTIONAL STAFF**

17. What is the present total number of your child care training staff? \_\_\_\_\_  
How many were on staff last year? \_\_\_\_\_

What total is proposed for next year? \_\_\_\_\_

What total do you see for future needs? \_\_\_\_\_

18. What is the make-up of the current instructional program staff by function and by full-time and part-time personnel?

	<u>Full-Time</u>	<u>Part-Time</u>
a. Administrators	_____	_____
b. Teachers/instructors	_____	_____
c. Other education specialists (consultants, special education, etc.)	_____	_____
d. Support personnel (educational media, librarian, student services)	_____	_____
e. Social service personnel (psychology, medical, social work)	_____	_____
f. Auxiliary personnel (office, fiscal, food service, custodial)	_____	_____
g. Other	_____	_____

19. What is the extent of educational preparation for each of those categories of staff? (Record number of people at each level in each field.)

<u>Field of Study</u>	<u>AA</u>	<u>BA</u>	<u>MA</u>	<u>Advanced</u>	<u>PhD</u>	<u>Other Training (specify)</u>
Early childhood development	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Elementary education	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Secondary education	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Home economics	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

20. How many of your staff have had early childhood education including supervised practice teaching at the pre-school level?

a. Full-time staff \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Part-time staff \_\_\_\_\_

21. Of those who have not had early childhood education, did you require that they have some special training?

a. No special training required \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Some course work in child development \_\_\_\_\_  
c. At least one course in observation and methods \_\_\_\_\_  
d. Student teaching at pre-school level \_\_\_\_\_  
e. Special workshops \_\_\_\_\_  
f. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

22. What is the level of staff experience in working with children (e.g., nursery school, headstart, elementary, day care)?

<u>Title or Role</u>	<u>Less than 6 months</u>	<u>6 months to 2 years</u>	<u>3-5 years</u>	<u>Over 5 years</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date \_\_\_\_\_

23. What kinds of in-service training do you provide for your staff?

	<u>Regular</u>	<u>Occasional</u>	<u>Rare</u>
a. By program supervisor	_____	_____	_____
b. By program consultants	_____	_____	_____
c. By college/university sponsors	_____	_____	_____
d. Lectures by specialists	_____	_____	_____
e. Staff discussion groups	_____	_____	_____
f. After-hours classes	_____	_____	_____
g. None	_____	_____	_____
b. Other (specify) _____	_____	_____	_____

24. How are members of the teaching staff selected?

a. By department records, director, supervisor	_____
b. By local school board	_____
c. By board of education, regents or trustees	_____
d. By policy advisory committee	_____
e. By institution or agency personnel director	_____
f. Other (specify) _____	_____

25. What is the average number of students in a class session? \_\_\_\_\_

PART III: CURRICULUM

26. In the operation of your training program, roughly what percentage of time is spent on each of the following activities?

a. Lectures	_____
b. Media presentation	_____
c. Class discussion	_____
d. Observation	_____
e. Participation in child care	_____
f. Internship/practice teaching	_____
g. Independent study	_____
b. Other (specify) _____	_____

27. What subject areas are covered in your child care curriculum?

Child growth and development	Physical development	Learning theories and philosophy
Child management	Handicapped children	Parent counseling
Guidance and discipline	Gifted	Audio-visual materials
Curriculum planning	Cultural differences	Community relations
Methods and materials for use with children	Health and safety	Social services
Music	Nutrition	Basic education
Art	Child center operations	Employment orientation
Science	Records and office procedures	
Language Arts	Food services	
Math	Small group dynamics	

28. a. Is the same sequence of courses presented each time the program is offered? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
 b. If not, how does the course offering change? \_\_\_\_\_

29. Is your program the same as it was last year?

	<u>Fewer/Decreased</u>	<u>Same</u>	<u>More/Expanded</u>
a. Number of courses offered	_____	_____	_____
b. Scope of curriculum	_____	_____	_____
c. Course content	_____	_____	_____
d. Course requirements for students	_____	_____	_____

## **Interview \_\_\_\_\_**

Date \_\_\_\_\_

30. Where do you find the biggest gaps in the availability of materials or resources? \_\_\_\_\_

---

—

During program development, did you favor a specific packaged curriculum or early childhood model?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

a. If yes, which one(s)?

b. Why were these preferred?

If you did not favor a specific packaged curriculum or early childhood model, what were your reasons?

32. How would you compare your curriculum and approach to other alternative programs you are familiar with?

### **Strengths**

### Weaknesses

33. What changes would you like to see in your curriculum?

#### PART IV: OBSERVATION AND PARTICIPATION PROGRAM

36. Do you have a demonstration or laboratory program under the auspices of this program in which students observe or participate?

**Yes** \_\_\_\_\_ **No** \_\_\_\_\_

**35. Do you use programs in the community for observation and/or participation?**

**Yes** \_\_\_\_\_ **No** \_\_\_\_\_

36. Is the demonstration program used the same months of the year as the instructional program?

**Yes** \_\_\_\_\_ **No** \_\_\_\_\_

37. What is the make-up of the laboratory program staff (demonstration center) by function and by full and part-time personnel?

	<u>Full-time</u>	<u>Part-time</u>
a. Administrators	_____	_____
b. Teachers	_____	_____
c. Assistant teachers	_____	_____
d. Other education specialists	_____	_____
e. Support personnel	_____	_____
f. Social service personnel	_____	_____
g. Auxiliary personnel (office, food service, custodial)	_____	_____

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

38. What different types of settings do you use for student observation and participation? For each different type of setting indicate the number, program components, and age of children included.

TYPE	NUMBER	ACTIVITIES/SERVICES						AGE OF CHILDREN					
		A. Educational activities	B. Health service	C. Health service	D. Social service	E. Family activities	F. Parent education	G. Other (specify)	A. Infant - 2 yrs.	B. 3-5 yrs.	C. 6-8 yrs.	D. 9-12 yrs.	E. 12-16 yrs.
a. Training program demonstration center													
b. Day care center													
c. Nursery school													
d. Elementary school													
grade level (specify)													
grade level													
e. Junior high school													
f. Other													

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

39. In how many of the settings does each student observe and/or participate?

- a. One \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Two \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

40. What is the student role in the demonstration program?

- |  |                              |
|--|------------------------------|
| e. Planning for: Activities for children | Planning with: Head teachers |
| Mesle                                    | Training program             |
| Other                                    | staff                        |
|  | Independently                |
- b. Participation in activities:  
Presents educational activities \_\_\_\_\_  
Assists teachers with activities \_\_\_\_\_  
Supervises children in large groups \_\_\_\_\_  
Supervises children in small groups \_\_\_\_\_
  - c. Participation in meetings:

Required      Optional

- Staff \_\_\_\_\_
- Parent \_\_\_\_\_
- In-service training \_\_\_\_\_

41. Is there a plan for the student to work with demonstration center staff other than in her assigned classroom? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_.

- a. Director \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Social worker \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Consultants \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Cook, maintenance \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Nurse \_\_\_\_\_
- f. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

42. What interaction with parents is planned for the student?

- a. Daily informal contacts \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Home visits \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Parent meetings \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Parent conferences \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

43. What changes would you make in the demonstration part of your training program to improve it?

---

---

44. How many students are presently enrolled in your child care program? \_\_\_\_\_

45. How many students were enrolled last year? \_\_\_\_\_

46. How many entering students do you expect at the next enrollment period? \_\_\_\_\_

47. How many can you accommodate? \_\_\_\_\_

48. How do you recruit new students for child care?

- |                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| a. Catalogs _____               | f. Word of mouth _____                        |
| b. Posters _____                | g. Personal contact/<br>public speaking _____ |
| c. Advertising _____            | h. Work with guidance<br>counselors _____     |
| d. Recruiters _____             | i. Alumni _____                               |
| e. Admissions<br>officers _____ | j. Publicity program<br>announcements _____   |
- k. Other (specify)
-

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

PART V: STUDENTS

49. Looking at your recruiting process during the year, about how many

- a. Inquiries do you receive? \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Completed applications? \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Applicants are well qualified? \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Are offered admission? \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Applicants are enrolled? \_\_\_\_\_
- f. Will complete the course of study? \_\_\_\_\_

50. What are the entrance requirements for your training program?

- a. None \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Prior work experience \_\_\_\_\_  
(specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Age \_\_\_\_\_  
(specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Income level \_\_\_\_\_  
(specify) \_\_\_\_\_

- e. Particular courses \_\_\_\_\_  
(specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- f. Geographic area \_\_\_\_\_  
(specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- g. Written test scores \_\_\_\_\_  
(specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- h. Degree or diploma \_\_\_\_\_  
(specify) \_\_\_\_\_

- i. Other \_\_\_\_\_  
(specify) \_\_\_\_\_

51. How do you go about selecting students/trainees for your program?

- a. Written application form \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Interview \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Try-out period \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Other \_\_\_\_\_

Please describe. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

52. What special characteristics, if any, do you look for in selecting students (e.g., attitudes, goals, skills, personal qualities)? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

53. What other selection criteria do you use? Which circumstantial factors restrict the entrance of "qualified" students into the program (e.g., lack of training settings in day care, transportation)? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

54. What is the profile of the students you typically enroll?

a. Age and sex: \_\_\_\_\_

b. Academic preparation:

High school \_\_\_\_\_  
High school graduates \_\_\_\_\_  
Other \_\_\_\_\_

Some college/jr. college transfers \_\_\_\_\_  
College graduates \_\_\_\_\_

c. Work experience: \_\_\_\_\_

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

d. By economic level: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

e. Geographic areas: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

f. Ethnic-racial background: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

g. Currently employed in child care: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

55. What factors do you think influence students to enroll in your child development program?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

56. What kind of student evaluation procedures do you use?

- a. Written tests \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Performance tests \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Teacher observation of student \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Teacher daily log on student \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Student self-evaluation \_\_\_\_\_
- f. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

57. In a laboratory setting does the employer/critic teacher:

- a. Plan student activities in program? \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Supervise student activities? \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Report to training staff? \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Grade or evaluate student? \_\_\_\_\_

58. Upon completion of the training program, how many students:

- a. Work in child care related occupations? \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Work in areas unrelated to training? \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Continue education full-time? \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Work and continue education part-time? \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Marry? \_\_\_\_\_
- f. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

59. After students have completed course, what responsibility does the program take for job placement?

None \_\_\_\_\_ Formal \_\_\_\_\_ Informal \_\_\_\_\_

60. What is the nature of the job placement activity/assistance?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

61. What opportunities do your students have for:

	Many	Few	None
a. On-the-job training	_____	_____	_____
b. Internship programs	_____	_____	_____
c. Receiving credit for work time	_____	_____	_____
d. Mixing with people at other career levels	_____	_____	_____
e. Participating in community activities	_____	_____	_____
f. Career counseling and guidance	_____	_____	_____
g. Follow-up training and specialization	_____	_____	_____

Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

62. What factors do you think cause students to drop out of your program? At what stages of their studies?

---

---

---

63. What are the estimated student expenses to continue in your program?

a. Tuition	\$ _____	\$ _____
b. Fees	_____	_____
c. Books and supplies	_____	_____
d. Room and board	_____	_____
e. Travel or transportation	_____	_____
f. Personal spending	_____	_____
g. Other (specify) _____	_____	_____

Totals \$ \_\_\_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_

64. What financial assistance is available to students in your program?

	Many	Some	Few
a. Full scholarships	_____	_____	_____
b. Partial scholarships	_____	_____	_____
c. Educational opportunity grants	_____	_____	_____
d. Work-study program	_____	_____	_____
e. National defense student loans	_____	_____	_____
f. Other grants (specify) _____	_____	_____	_____
g. Other loans (specify) _____	_____	_____	_____
h. Part-time employment	_____	_____	_____
i. None	_____	_____	_____

65. How would you rate the following characteristics in relation to your expectations for your program?

Make a rating for each characteristic using the scale from 1 to 5. The number (1) indicates "below expectations" and (5) indicates "exceeding expectations".

a. Quality of learning experience provided	1 2 3 4 5
b. Quality of full-time teaching staff	1 2 3 4 5
c. Quality of part-time teaching staff	1 2 3 4 5
d. Quality of consultants	1 2 3 4 5
e. Quality of entering students	1 2 3 4 5
f. Quality of facilities	1 2 3 4 5
g. Rapport among students	1 2 3 4 5
h. Administration-faculty-student rapport	1 2 3 4 5
i. Quality of student performance in program	1 2 3 4 5
j. Placement of students after completion of training	1 2 3 4 5
k. Meeting student needs and interests	1 2 3 4 5

## CHILD CARE TRAINING STUDY

### STUDENT INTERVIEW

#### Introduction:

Before we begin, perhaps I should tell you more about the purpose of the interview. We are interested in finding out about different kinds of training programs for people to work with children. We are visiting training programs in high schools, junior colleges, and other kinds of programs.

We are talking with both students and training staff to find out about how their program works. The information that we are asking students will be confidential, so we won't be using your name. And the director or teacher will not be seeing the responses you give to the questions.

#### Procedure:

During the interview I am going to be using a tape recorder. That is simply because of the difficulty in accurately writing down what you say. Later I will listen to the tape and record only what is relevant to the questions. Also, there may be things that come up during our interview that are not part of the questionnaire, but that would be important to consider in our study.

Now I will ask you questions, and you merely tell me the information. If you have any questions or comments as we go through, let me know.

Now do you have any questions about what we are doing before we start? O.K. First, what kind of job or occupation are you training for?

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

CHILD CARE TRAINING STUDY

STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE

PROGRAM \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

AGE \_\_\_\_\_

GRADE LEVEL \_\_\_\_\_

1. What kind of job or specific field are you training for?

- a. Aide
- b. Assistant
- c. Teacher
- d. Other:

2. What type of jobs are other students training for?

3. How did you happen to find out about this program?

- a. From other students in the program \_\_\_\_\_
- b. From a counselor \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Program Director \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Teacher \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Someone else \_\_\_\_\_
- f. By requesting information \_\_\_\_\_
- g. Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

4. Did you know about other programs like this?

If yes, which ones?

5. Why did you decide to start this program?

6. How long have you been or were you in the program?

7. Have any students left ( dropped out ) of the program?

If so, why?

8. At what educational level did you enter?

9. At what educational level do other students enter?

**STUDENT EXPENSES & SUPPORT:**

10. What does it cost you to participate in the training program?

11. How much do you pay for:

- a. Transportation
- b. Books
- c. Materials
- d. Tuition
- e. Other

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

12. Is it hard to find the money for these?

13. Do you receive any salary for your work (participation) in the program?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

14. Is financial aid available for people in the program?

#### BACKGROUND OF STUDENT

15a. Before you started in the program, What kind of experience did you have with children?

15b. Any particular age/special group?

16. What kind of experience did other students have working with children?

#### COURSE WORK

Now I'd like to know about your course work and schedule.

17. Would you please describe your class schedule? If you have just completed the training program, then briefly tell me about your last schedule. How did it differ from earlier ones?

18. Do other students have the same kind of schedules? If not, how did their schedules differ from yours?

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**METHOD AND ACTIVITIES**

Now I'd like to find out the kind of different activities you were involved in.

19. About how much time do (did) you spend doing the following things:

Classroom instruction by teacher \_\_\_\_\_

Observation of children \_\_\_\_\_

Participation in child care setting \_\_\_\_\_

Independent study \_\_\_\_\_

Special activities \_\_\_\_\_

Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

**PARTICIPATION & OBSERVATION EXPERIENCE:**

Now I'd like to discuss more about your experience with children in observation and participation.

20. In the training program, what kind of experience do you have in observing children?

21. What kind of experience do you have participating in a child care/ educational setting?

22. What other opportunities were there for working with children?

Any special activities?

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

OBSERVATION/PARTICIPATION SETTINGS:

23. Where did you observe or participate?

- a. Training Program Laboratory Center \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Community Day Care \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Public School \_\_\_\_\_

24. How did/do the settings or situations for observation or participation differ?

- a. Staff
- b. Age or special characteristics of children
- c. Full-day operation vs. part-day
- d. Other:

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

25. What did you do during your participation in the child care setting?  
( What were your responsibilities?)

a. Activities with children:

1. Supervise play activities
2. Present educational activities
3. Other:

b. Maintenance Tasks:

1. Food Preparations
2. Clerical
3. Record Keeping
4. Other

c. Planning:

1. Meals
2. Play Activities
3. Some Lessons
4. Daily Program
5. Other

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

26. Did your activity or responsibilities change in different settings?

How?

27. How do your activities change as you worked in a particular program?

a. More on your own \_\_\_\_\_

b. Do more difficult things \_\_\_\_\_

c. More staff instruction \_\_\_\_\_

28. In the participation or observation part of your training, how much

time do you spend with:

a. Director or child program

b. Head teacher

c. Teacher(s)

d. Consultant: Health, Social work

e. Assistants

f. Others (Specify)

29a. Is there a parent program in the child care setting?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

29b. What kind of involvement with parents?

29c. Is it required?

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

30a. Do you participate in staff meetings or in-service training for program staff of the child care program?

30b. What kind?

30c. Is it required?

**STUDENT EVALUATION:**

31a. How are (were) students evaluated or graded in the program?

31b. In what areas?

Observation

Participation

Course work or content

Other:

32a. As part of the program, do students make suggestions for changes in the program?

32b. What kinds of things?

**WORK EXPERIENCE - EMPLOYMENT:**

Now I'd like to find out about your work experience before you entered the program.

33. What kind of work experience did you have before you began this program? (Most recent to least).

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

34. What about other students; what kinds of work did they have?

35. During the training program, (did) are you working?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Full-time \_\_\_\_\_ Part-time \_\_\_\_\_ What field?

Days/Week \_\_\_\_\_ Hours/Day \_\_\_\_\_

36. Are you working now?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Full-time \_\_\_\_\_ Part-time \_\_\_\_\_ What field?

Days/Week \_\_\_\_\_ Hours/Day \_\_\_\_\_

37. Are other students working now?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ What field? \_\_\_\_\_

NO \_\_\_\_\_ What are they doing? \_\_\_\_\_

Full-time work \_\_\_\_\_ Part-time \_\_\_\_\_

38. If you were (are) looking for employment in a child care/development program,

a. What would you consider a desirable situation? (Staff, Program characteristics, salary?)

b. What kind of children would you like to work with? (Age, other characteristics?)

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

39. When you finish(ed) the program what will/did you do?

a. Education:

Are you going to school? Where? Why? What?

b. Employment:

Are you going to work?

Do you have a job?

40. Do you know what other students do?

a. Education:

b. Employment:

41a. What do you think you will be doing in the future?

41b. How do you think what you have learned in this program will help?

1. Personal:

2. Occupational:

3. Education:

4. Other than child care/development related:

42. What parts of the training program would you consider:

a. Particularly useful?

b. Least useful?

c. Most interesting?

d. Least interesting?

S. Interview # \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

43. What part of the training program do you think:

- a. Could be better?
- b. Was left out?
- c. Would you change?