DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 066 349   SO 001 749

AUTHOR   Jennings, M. Kent
TITLE   Political Learning in the Schools: An Overview and a
                Special View.
SPONS AGENCY   Danforth Foundation, St. Louis, Mo.; National Science
                Foundation, Washington, D.C.
PUB DATE   [71]
NOTE   35p.

EDRS PRICE   MF-$0.65 HC-$3.29
DESCRIPTORS   American Government (Course); *Civics; Curriculum
                Research; Literature Reviews; *Political Attitudes;
                *Political Socialization; Secondary Education;
                *Seniors; *Social Studies

ABSTRACT
                Major conceptual concerns guiding contemporary
political socialization research with children and adolescents are:
content of value orientations; nature of the political learning
process; sources or agents from which the young acquire their
orientations; the acquisition of political and non-political
values/skills; impingement of non-political personal characteristics
on the development of social and political value orientations;
temporal qualities of life cycle and generational variations; spatial
qualities of cross-nation and intra-national variations; and
implications of value formation and development for the political
system. In their desire to comprehend the modal, functional patterns
of political behavior in our society, most investigators have avoided
the more pathologic aspects of political socialization. The last half
of the paper focuses on high school seniors, their exposure to social
studies courses, and the impact of these courses on their political
orientations. The author concludes that until such changes in goals,
course content, pedagogical methods, timing of exposure, teacher
training, and school environmental factors are made, one must
continue to expect little contribution from the formal civics
curriculum in the political socialization of American pre-adults.
(Author/VLW)

Not for quotation or
attribution without
permission.

ED 066349

POLITICAL LEARNING IN THE SCHOOLS:
AN OVERVIEW AND A SPECIAL VIEW*

by
M. Kent Jennings
The University of Michigan

SØ 001 749

At least since the time of Plato educators and political leaders have been concerned with the problem of inculcating the young with the proper norms and practices of citizenship. Whether the polity be democratic or totalitarian, advanced or backward, established or emergent, the importance of political socialization on the functioning of the system cannot be denied, even though it may have different consequences. Despite its seeming importance, only in recent years have scholars begun the systematic investigation of political socialization and its effects. In this paper I will 1) briefly review the major perspectives and results from leading studies of children and adolescents; and 2) treat in some detail the nature and consequences of formal instruction at the secondary school level.

The Relevance and Concern of Political Socialization

Three major sources may be identified as contributing to the recent explosion of interest in political socialization. One stream of thought influencing heavily the precise aspects of study objectives flows from the substantial amount of research reporting on citizenship values and practices among American adults. On a national scale, much of the early work was carried out by the Survey Research Center of the University of Michigan (e.g., Campbell, Converse, Miller, and Stokes, 1960; Converse and Dupeux, 1962; Miller and Stokes, 1963). Additional reported research stemmed

directly from secondary analysis of data gathered by S.R.C. (e.g., Key,
1961; Milbrath, 1965; Lane, 1965). Still other major studies have plumbed
the depths of adult political behavior (e.g., Stouffer, 1955; Almond and
Verba, 1963; Matthews and Prothro, 1966).

These and related studies have been extremely useful in delineating
the political world of the adult. Various components of adult behavior--
sense of citizen obligation, belief in the efficacy of individual action,
cynicism toward politics, sophistication in comprehension of government,
ideological commitment or preference--can be specified with some confidence
because of their known contribution to adult behavior. It has become
apparent, however, that practical and theoretical questions about the
acquistion of political values and the development and employment of
political skills cannot be adequately answered on the basis of adult-level
data only. While the adult studies spotlight key political orientations
and provide useful benchmarks against which pre-adults may be compared,
they inform us very little about the wellsprings of such orientations.
Tapping these orientations at the pre-adult stage should permit us to com-
prehend in a much more significant way the formation of political values
and skills, and how this relates to the functioning of the political
system.

Another cluster of ideas leading to the objectives of political
socialization research emanates primarily from normative models of citizen-
ship behavior as embodied in various goals of the educational system and in
the political community at large. Although some disagreement exists about

the functional consequences of certain modes of citizen behavior--high par-
ticipation versus low participation for example--it is nevertheless true
that one of the abiding goals of both formal and informal civic education
has been to encourage and develop loyal, participative citizens and to
equip them with tools for making "rational" judgments (Patterson, 1960;
Fenton, 1967; Mehlinger, 1967). Indeed, it has been argued by theorists
that a key mode of maintaining political systems lies in the orderly trans-
mission of political orientations from generation to generation, the
development of commitment and support to the political community at a
relatively early stage in the individual's life span (Easton, 1965, 1966;
Almond and Coleman, 1960).

Research into learning phenomena associated with political education
has often focussed on how much and what was learned under given pedagogical
methods and formats. Studies at the college level seriously question the
ability of course content to alter greatly political values (Somit, et al.,
1958; Schick and Somit, 1963; Jacob, 1957), but it seems likely that these
effects would be much more in evidence among pre-college populations. For
example, in a quasi-experimental study of the effects of curriculum it was
found that both the course content and community-school characteristics had
an effect on the shaping of orientations (Litt, 1963). However, the con-
flicting conclusion of Easton and Hess (1962) that formal civic instruction
at the high school level had little impact on previously held values and
attitudes indicates that considerably more research is necessary before such
questions can be resolved. I will return to this general topic in the final
section of the paper.

The degree to which the schools and other agents succeed in meeting these goals of normative orientations--however ambiguously defined--is not at all clear, although a number of small-scale studies and experiments have been reported (e.g., Dimond, 1951; Edgar, 1951; Grambs, 1956; Wilson, 1938; Litt, 1963; Bond, 1962; Kemp, 1963; Lippit, 1960). Various pedagogic approaches and results have been summarized by Cox and Cousin (1965) for social studies instruction in general, and by Lunstrom (1965) with respect to the teaching of controversial topics. The conclusions of these studies are often hampered by their lack of systematic inquiry and generalizing power to broader universes of students. What is clearly needed is research which provides for generalization on a large scale, across many schools and families, and over a great variety of students.

A third set of interests which has precipitated a keen interest in political socialization lies in the study of political development, nation-building, and comparative politics. Scholars in these areas began to see part of the explanation for system growth, decay, and change as originating in the socialization of the young (e.g., Pye and Verba, 1965; Ward and Rustow, 1964; Geertz, 1963, Almond and Coleman, 1960; Almond and Verba, 1963). Although truly comparative studies of political socialization are still scarce, there is every prospect that this will be overcome in the years to come. Obviously, the more we learn about other political entities, the sharper becomes our grasp of the American scene.

Several common themes are found, in varying degrees, as one assays the recent and emerging scholarship in political socialization. To be specific, the study of political socialization may be subdivided into a number of

specific areas.[1] These seem to be the major conceptual concerns guiding contemporary research.

1. The content of the value orientations--a) the kinds of orientations, often expressed in the familiar triptych of affective, cognitive, and evaluative orientations; b) the objects learned about, e.g., governmental agents, laws, and norms of behavior, sanction systems, systemic relationships, and so forth.

2. The nature of the political learning process--e.g., rates of learning, continuities and discontinuities, direct and indirect processes, sources of initiative, levels of abstraction.

3. The sources or agents from which the young acquire their orientations, and the relative and differentiated impact of these agents, viz., the family, peers, secondary and societal groups, mass media, teachers, and curriculum. The complementary versus conflicting cues emitted from such agents is of special interest.

4. The acquisition of political compared with nonpolitical values and skills. For example, is the former sui generis or may it be described by more general theories. This is essentially a micro-level question of obvious relevance to the educational system.

---

[1] The following borrows from Jack Dennis, "Major Problems of Political Socialization Research," Midwest Journal of Political Science, 22 (February, 1968), 85-114.

5. The impingement of non-political <u>personal</u> <u>charac-</u>
<u>teristics</u> on the development of social and political value
orientatiohs--e.g., the mediating effects of social and
academic performance; the relationship of such central charac-
teristics as self esteem and ego strength to feelings of civic
competence; / personality development and identity crises as
they bear on value formation and change.

6. Temporal qualities of <u>life cycle</u> and <u>generational</u>
variations--e.g., the staging of cognitive and affective
structures; the permeability of early-formed values; the
nature of resocialization and desocialization; distinguishing
life cycle from generational differences; ascertaining the
roots of generational gaps; the impact of secular and exogenous
factors in changing the values of the young.

7. Spatial qualities of <u>cross-national</u> and <u>intra-national</u>
variations--e.g., comparisons between Western and non-Western
systems; true cultural differences versus artifactual differ-
ences; relative importance of value orientations in the scheme
of things for different systems; regional, subcultural, and
social strata variations within a system.

8. <u>Implications</u> of value formation and development for
the <u>political system</u>--e.g., functional and dysfunctional proper-
ties; contribution to stability and change; integrating and
divisive consequences; development of participation roles and
power allocations.

7

'Ideally I would be able to respond to each of these topics with an appropriate synopsis. The truth of the matter is that scholars are just now at the dawn of knowledge about political learning. Information is more complete in some areas than in others. The next section contains an abbreviated survey of American research. Fortunately, several more elaborate summaries, of both direct and indirect relevance, are available (Dawson, 1966; Patrick, 1967; Dennis, 1968; Wasby, 1966).

Recent Trends in Research

Scholarship in political socialization has followed a not uncommon path for newly developed fields. On the one hand stands the grand theorizing which rests on a fragile empirical base. This has been particularly true of what might be called the "systems theorists." Those people are basically interested in what keeps a system together. It is said that the acquisition of values and roles compatible with the needs and norms of the system is one such system maintenance device. In explicating inter-system differences, some scholars of comparative politics have been especially inclined to use differential patterns of value systems (and the learning thereof) as explanatory factors, even in the absence of systematic empirical evidence.

At the other end of the continuum is the literature which is characterized by some basic mapping of the empirical terrain. Since much of our former thinking about the origins of political values rested on hunches, conventional wisdom, myths, and occasional shrewd extrapolations, one of the first tasks was to gather and present basic descriptive data. Most

often--as suggested above--these data have dealt with phenomena found to be important in the political behavior of adults. Two major strands of research are apparent thus far. One features the developmental approach, wherein great stress is placed on the unfolding of value acquisition as the child matures; the sequential patterns assume prominence. Longitudinal and quasi-longitudinal study designs are most apt here, although virtually all research to date has been of the latter type.

The second mode of empirical work has focussed more on source or agent phenomena. Attention has been directed to conditions under which the child acquires certain orientations and the relative and differential contributions of these agents at different stages in the child's growth. Either static or time series studies are appropriate, with the former predominating thus far.

. Certainly the empirical work has not proceeded completely unguided by theoretical considerations, and the theory has not been untouched by data. But such theories as there are seem to be macro theories (paradigms is perhaps more apt) of political systems rather than micro or intermediate theories of political learning, political socialization, value formation and conflict, and so forth. As work continues and some of the basic mapping is completed, it is probable that more efforts will be made to meld theory and data, to develop more relevant theories, and to probe more complex substantive areas.

8A

If I were to fault the direction of research on elementary and second-ary school students at this early stage, it would stem from my belief that the more pathologic aspects of political socialization are being slighted. In an understandable desire to comprehend the modal, functional patterns of political behavior in our society, most investigators (present author included) have avoided some less pleasant aspects. I refer to such matters as the bruised socio-political personalities coming out of the family and the school; the nature of interpersonal, intergroup, and interracial strife; the indoctrination and practice of "anti-democratic" values; the presence of political alienation and estrangement; the stifling of self-actualization and self-fulfillment among the poor and not-so-poor; either excessive deference to or defiance of authority figures; and the recruitment and socialization of young criminals. Now I realize some of these terms are controversial and some do not have obvious, political content. Yet I see them all as having a bearing on the ultimate well-being of the political system and its members. Thus far political scientists have tended to leave such topics to other social scientists who do not have a primary interest in political socialization as such.

Following Hyman's <u>Political Socialization</u> (1959) and work which was commencing simultaneously, political scientists, sociologists, psychologists, and educators began to research the general terrain. Nevertheless, thorough studies dealing with the political socialization of adolescents are still extremely rare. In the realm of political values at least two of the investigations have questioned the democratic nature of the belief systems acquired by adolescents (Remmers, 1963; Pock, 1967). Furthermore, those students destined for elite positions in society were already more supportive of civil libertarian values than those with lesser prospects. But it has been shown, too, that high school students are, if anything, more trusting about parts of the governmental system and more "democratic" than are adults (Jennings, Kilpatrick, and Cummings, 1966; Jennings and Niemi, 1968; Jennings, 1966). It has been argued, and some evidence supports the argument, that political values do not serve as a fulcrum of adolescent rebellion in the American culture (Lane, 1959; Middleton and Putney, 1963; Maceoby, 1954; Easton and Hess, 1961; Jennings and Niemi, 1968).

Uncertainty exists about how much change in political orientations occurs during the high school years. A pilot study in the Chicago area suggested relatively little alteration in some values and practices from grades 9-12 (Hess and Torney, 1967; Easton and Hess, 1961). On the other hand there is little doubt that 12th graders have a greater storehouse of knowledge than do 9th graders. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that great strides in cognitive development occur during these years and that this is related to more sophisticated conceptions of the political community (Adelson and O'Neil, 1966).

Other approaches center on such factors as extracurricular affairs, the student culture, and compositional variables of the school. The important question of the impact of extracurricular activities was examined by Ziblatt (1965) with somewhat mixed findings concerning their relationship to political efficacy. Although the application of the "climate hypothesis" to political socialization is infrequent (an exception is Levin, 1961), the theoretical importance of this variable looms large. Coleman (1961) among others has demonstrated the utility of the "crowd" concept and the adolescent culture concept in studying the behavior of teen-agers. In a work of direct interest, Rosenberg (1962, 1965) reported that students with low self-esteem were not as concerned with public affairs as were students with high self-esteem.

Much has been written (e.g., Friedenberg, 1962; Kozol, 1967; Schrag, 1967; Patterson, 1960), about the deleterious effect of the authority and compliance system of the school on the development of citizenship norms and psychological well-being. For some observers such outcomes represent the major pathology of the schools, and far outweigh the impact of formal instruction. Although not supported by rigorous research, this is a provocative and serious thesis worth exploration. In a similar vein, the self-image of teachers and their handling of political materials suggests profound effects on those teen-agers under their charge (Zeigler, 1967; Jennings and Zeigler, 1967).

A major focus of adolescent socialization inquiries, primarily outside the political behavior domain, has been the family. Socio-economic status of the family has been frequently employed as an explanatory variable (Kohn, 1963; Coleman, 1961; Sewell, 1963; Remmers, 1963; Langton, 1967). There is, of course, a great amount of retrospective data of this type in political studies. On a more socio-psychological plane, several studies of pre-adult development have suggested the importance of affective and control structures as key components of value transmission, role-modelling, and personality development (e.g., Strauss, 1964; Becker, 1964; Elder, 1963; Douvan and Gold, 1966; Maccoby, 1954; Bronfenbrenner, 1961, 1962). Although these latter characteristics have not been utilized extensively in political studies, experience in other domains suggests their possible utility.

In the political area it has been shown that the direct transmission of values from parent to child is quite variable over a range of values, thus qualifying somewhat the contention that the family is all-powerful (Jennings and Niemi, 1968). A further elaboration is that mothers have a greater role than previously granted them in inculcating the young with political values (Jennings and Langton, 1968). Both political and socio-psychological characteristics of the family affect the rates of transmission and the differential influence of mothers versus fathers.

In contrast to the primarily fragmentary studies of political socialization among high school students, two studies of elementary school children have provided the foundation for initial generalizations about young c h i l d r e n. Greenstein (1965, 1960), in a quasi-longitudinal investigation, noted the early predominance of affective over cognitive

learning, the emergence of conspicious public officials as major objects first learned about, the seeming importance of the earliest-learned orientations for later behavior, and the social class and sex differences associated with political socialization.

From a much larger study, also employing a quasi-longitudinal design, Dennis, Easton, Hess, and Torney have made similar, but more conclusive findings (Easton and Hess, 1962; Easton and Dennis, 1965; Hess and Easton, 1962; Hess and Torney, 1967). It will be instructive to report the major findings of this study because they will probably be taken as the baseline for estimating the development of political ideas and information among elementary school children. The interpretation and explanation of the results will be different according to who is reporting them and evaluating them. There is, for example, a quite different emphasis coming out of the Hess and Torney version of the study (1967) and that by Easton and Dennis (1965, 1967). Nevertheless the descriptive information itself should remain relatively unequivocal.

The data for this study come from questionnaires administered to 12,000 white children, grades 2-8, located in 8 cities and 32 schools across the United States. Perhaps as good a way as any to summarize the developmental findings from the inquiry is to quote from the synopsis found in the volume by Hess and Torney (1967, pp. 91-92):

"The pattern of age changes during the elementary-school years is clear evidence that much of the process of political socialization occurs at the pre-high school level."

"The child's relationship to the country is established early and depends heavily on national symbols such as the flag and the Statue of Liberty. The child's attachment to the governmental system is achieved through attachment to personal figures, particularly the President. This feeling of positive regard is later transferred to institutions of the system as these objects become more clearly defined."

13

"Induction into a pattern of compliance with authority and law occurs
through visible authority figures--the President and the local policeman.
The young child believes that punishment is an inevitable consequence of
wrongdoing, but this view declines with age in favor of a more realistic
opinion."·

"Information about the rights of citizens and a consequent sense of
efficacy develop relatively late in the elementary-school years. The
basis for this emerging sense of efficacy is probably the implicit trust
that children have in the benevolence of government. However, many types
of influence are unfamiliar to the child.· He knows little about the role
of pressure groups in legislation and formation of policy and has a very
high opinion of the power of the individual citizen. Older children see
citizen involvement as important: this is matched by an increasing ten-
dency for children to engage in political activities as they grow older.
By the end of elementary school, most children have acquired some interest
in government and have participated in discussions about its policies."

"Increasingly with age, children see voting as the most central
feature of our governmental processes and recognize the citizen's obli-
gation to vote. Their understanding of the role of political parties in
elections is vague and tends to develop relatively late . . . . The
child's party preference most frequently matches his family's and is
apparently faciliated by the child's identification of favored candidates
as belonging to one party or the other. However, the majority of
children believe that firm commitment to a party should be deferred
until adulthood. The proportion of children who report that they would
vote independently of party affiliation is large and increases with age.
Children begin engaging in political activities, such as wearing cam-
paign buttons, in the early grades; the number of politically active
children increases through the eighth grade."

Hess and Torney go on to look at factors beyond grade advancement which

affect political learning in the child. These include the family versus the

school, religious affiliation and peer groups, social class and intelligence

and sex roles. Of central concern to educators is their conviction about

the primacy of the educational system in the socialization process:

"From the viewpoint of the totality of socialization into the
political system, these results indicate that the effectiveness of the
family in transmitting attitudes has been overestimated in previous
research. The family transmits preference for a political party, but in
most other areas its most effective role is to support other institutions
in teaching political information and orientations . . . . The school

apparently plays the largest part in teaching attitudes, conceptions, and beliefs about the operation of the political system. While it may be argued that the family contributes much to the socialization that goes into basic loyalty to the country, the school gives content, information, and concepts which expand and elaborate these early feelings of attachment." (p. 217)

That the elementary child changes considerably is undeniable on the basis of this inquiry. In this respect this study (and Greenstein's) has introduced a major revolution in our thinking about the formation of political values and skills. That all or most of the development observed in the elementary youngster is attributable to the school system per se is a more debatable point, and one exceedingly hard to demonstrate under any conditions, but especially so since the study was not designed to do this. At the very least, however, one can agree that much development does occur during grades 2-8, and that the school supplies a lot of the raw material of civic education.

High School Students and Exposure to the Social Studies

The remainder of this paper will consider one particular aspect of the education system-political socialization nexus as it occurs at the high school level. Rather than examine the developmental pattern, as in the elementary school studies, attention will be focussed on high school seniors, their exposure to social studies courses, and the impact of these courses on their political orientations. The reason for dwelling on the social studies courses lies in the formal civic education responsibilities vested in them and the inherent nature of the course content. While civic education undoubtedly occurs in non-social studies classes also (to say nothing of other parts of the school environment) it is patent that the major thrust of the civic

education curriculum rests in the social studies. Data to be utilized come

from a study conducted by the Survey Research Center in the spring of 1965.

Interviews were held with a national probability sample of 1669 high school

seniors (located in 97 schools), their parents, social studies teachers,

and school principals.

American educators have long given the social studies a prominence in

the secondary school not found in most other nations. A fairly basic

pattern was established in the early part of the twentieth century, a pattern

which has shown remarkable longevity. World History, American History, and

American Government or Problems of Democracy have been the stock in trade

for a number of decades. Yet new courses have crept into the curriculum and

new content has been latched on to old course titles. And for all the

uniformity, schools do differ in what they make available to their students

(Jennings, 1967).

Just how much social studies do the students receive and what kinds of

courses do they take? By taking the experiences of the high school seniors

as they are preparing to graduate we can construct an outline which will answer

those questions. During our interviews with the twelfth graders they indicated

which social studies courses they had actually taken during grades 10-12. We

allocated the courses into ten broad categorie as shown in Table 1. Most

of the categories are self-explanatory, but two need clarification. American

Problems includes the familiar Problems of Democracy course, plus such

occasional titles as Contemporary Problems, Social Problems, and Problems of

American Life. Specialized World History embraces courses outside the standard World History course as, for example, European History, Asian History, and World Cultures. A course title is not a foolproof guide to the content of the course, but there appears to be a general symmetry between course titles and the type of textbooks employed and topics covered.

Table 1 contains two types of information about social studies in the United States. The first column indicates the percentage of seniors who were attending schools where the various courses were part of the curriculum, either as a requirement or elective. There is a great range, with American History being ubiquitous and World History, American Government, and Economics being found in over three-fifths of the schools attended by the seniors. At a somewhat lower level are American Problems, specialized World History, Geography, and Sociology. Finally the "newcomers" of Psychology and International and Comparative Politics were available to less than one-fifth of the students.

Laid against these offerings are the percentages of students who had actually taken such courses (column 2). It is a rare student who gains his diploma without an encounter with American History. Beyond this subject, however, coverage is considerably less comprehensive, with World History, American Government-American Problems, and Economics exhibiting moderate strength. Of this latter group, Economics has probably shown the most gain during the post-World War II period. Sociology, though christened as the queen of the social sciences, is still seldom found in the high school

Table 1

A Comparison of Social Studies Offerings versus Exposures during
Grades 10-12, for a National Sample of High School Seniors

Course	Percent Attending A School Offering Course. (N = 1927)[a]	Percent Who Have Taken Course (N = 1927)
American History	98	98
World History (general)	80	53
American Government	62	43
Economics	65	30
American Problems	42	27
World History (specialized)	31	16
Sociology	30	12
Geography	30	06
Psychology	16	06
International and Comparative Politics	13	05

[a]This is a weighted N, made necessary by the unavoidable problem of
constructing a sampling frame based on varying degrees of precise school
enrollment figures.

student's repertory. This is even more true of Psychology--by all odds the

largest of the behavioral sciences at the professional and academic level.

What emphasis contemporary students receive on international relations and

world politics has to come through other courses, for there are precious few

students who take (or have available) courses specifically designed for this.

The low percentage for Geography is primarily a function of the fact that most

students now take Georgraphy during either the eighth or ninth grades.

In sum, what the high school student of the 60's was receiving in the way of social studies bore the heavy imprint of the traditional pattern. Whereas the college curriculum during the past decades was heavily infiltrated by the behavioral sciences, the secondary schools responded only very slowly to changing intellectual and practical interests. For the student going on to college, this gap may not be crucial because it can be bridged during the college years. Of more concern, are those not going further and those who have already left school. In essence, the dominant type of "social science" they will have had is History and American Government. Although trend data are not handy, it does appear that the classic configuration of course exposure is beginning to erode. And it is likely that the traditional courses are undergoing alteration, though surely not rapidly or vigorously enough to suit the critics of the traditional curriculum and the proponents of the "new" social studies (e.g., Fenton, 1967; Mehlinger, 1967; Gibson, 1967; Massialas and Cox, 1966; Oliver and Shaver, 1966).

Another way of approaching the diet of social studies is to look at the sheer volume of courses absorbed by the students. Overall the mean for our seniors was 3.08, and the mode was three courses (bottom row, Table 2). Clearly one cannot charge the American educational system with depriving its users of a goodly quantity of social studies. A question of immediate interest is whether there are any systematic differences among students in the number of courses they have taken. For example, do students in different parts of the country, in different types of schools, or from diverse families consume a heavier diet of social studies?

A number of prominent individual and school characteristics are crossed against course exposure in Table 2. There are, without question, some moderate differences. Students who happened to be living in the West and Midwest received a heavier dose than those in Northeast and South. In fact these regional differences are the most marked of all those presented. Quantity also increased according to the degree of metropolitanism in which the school was located, in public versus nonpublic schools, and in schools with narrower grade spans and smaller senior class sizes (latter not shown). The academic quality of the school, as measured by the proportion of students enrolled in college preparatory programs, bears a curvilinear relationship to the course exposure. While the mean is lowest among the students in the least academic schools--and rises at the next two brackets--it tapers off among students in the top category. Using mother's education as an indicator of social status suggests that the volume of social studies is not appreciably affected by status backgrounds. As suspected, the rates are virtually identical for male and female students, and differences between whites and non-whites are also small.

It is not my purpose to try to account for these various patterns. They are bound up in reasons of state requirements, available resources, professional norms, and intellectual history. What is significant in terms of political socialization is that accidents of location, over which the student has no control, do make a difference in his exposure to subject matter designed to shape him as a citizen. It is also apparent that school systems in certain locations and having certain properties assign different priorities. Whether varying amounts of social studies actually "make a difference" is not at issue here. The central point is that the potential for exerting a greater influence on the socialization of the young is differentially distributed.

Table 2

Number of Social Studies Courses Taken Grades 10-12 by a National Sample of High School Seniors, by Individual and School Characteristics

Characteristics	Number of Courses Taken				
	0-2	3	4+	Mean	N
	%	%	%		
Region					
West	15	47	38.	3.39	(330)
Midwest	25	39	36	3.29	(585)
Northeast	27	49	23	3.02	(464)
South	40	44	16	2.64	(548)
SMSA Type[a]					
Large	26	36	38	3.69	(449)
Medium	31	38	30	3.06	(662)
Non-SMSA	27	53	20	2.99	(816)
Type of School					
Public	26.	46	28	3.10	(1728)
Nonpublic	43	29	27	2.90	(199)
Span of Grades					
10-12	20	40	39	3.28	(545)
9-12	26	41	37	3.21	(693)
8-12+	36	50	14	2.76	(690)
Proportion College Prep					
60%+	29	39	32	3.08	(538)
50-59%	25	34	41	3.28	(391)
30-49%	24	50	24	3.05	(563)
0-29%	31	52	17	2.92	(435)
Mother's Education					
Elementary	26	50	24	3.03	(423)
Some High School	30	44	26	3.00	(358)
High School Grad	24	43	32	3.18	(512)
Some College or more	34	36	30	3.03	(455)
Sex					
Male	27	44	29	3.08	(995)
Female	29	44	27	3.05	(932)
Race					
White	29	43	28	3.06	(1728)
Negro	17	56	27	3.22	(199)
Grand Total	28	44	28	3.08	(1927)

[a] Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area.

To this stage we have dealt with all the social studies. For political

socialization inquiries it is defensible to restrict the courses to those in

the American Government-American Problems area. This is not because impor-

tant aspects of value and skill acquisition may not transpire in other social

studies courses. Rather, it is the American Government and Problems courses

that are directly oriented toward achieving these goals. These are the

classic "civic education" courses.

Schools offering American Government usually do not offer a Problems

course, and vice versa. Whereas the American Government courses focus

heavily on the forms, structures, backgrounds, and traditions of American

political life, the Problems courses emphasize a wider scope of socio-

political activities, are more contemporary in nature, and are typically

organized around major problems in American public life.. Because of the

different emphases and formats of the two courses, it has been advanced

that they will have differential effects.

What is the incidence of these courses among high school seniors?

Altogether 68% of the students had taken one or the other, with 43% having

had an American Government course and 27% a Problems course. As in the case

of the total number of social studies courses there are also differences in

the degree of exposure to civics courses. Perhaps the most striking variations

are by region and metropolitanism (Table 3, column 3). Great majorities of

the students in the West and Midwest, about two-thirds of the Southerners,

but slightly under two-fifths of the Northeastern residents had experienced

such a course. Similarly there is an inverse relationship between the

metropolitan configuration and course exposure--the more metropolitan the

area the less likely will the student have taken the course. Beyond these

spatial characteristics the only other distributions in Table 3 showing

much discrimination are those dealing with the academic calibre of the school

(in terms of college prep proportions). Students in schools at each extreme

less frequently took a civics course than those in the middle ranges.

Disguised in the overall frequencies are some contrasts between taking

American Government versus American Problems (Table 3, columns 1 and 2).

For example, Southern students took the Government course over the Problems

course at a ratio of about 5:1. Northeastern students, by contrast, were

much more exposed to the Problems than to the Government course, the ratio

being about 4:1. Similarly, public school pupils more often found themselves

in American Government whereas the nonpublic students were more frequently

in American Problems. A final illustration is that Negro students--primarily

because of their concentration in the South--had the Government course more

often than did whites, even though whites had a slightly higher overall

rate of exposure.

Again, it is not my desire to explicate these findings nor to impose a

multivariate form of analysis. It is sufficient to point out that even though

two-thirds of all students have taken a civics course during their last three

years of high school, the probability of such exposure is not randomly spread

throughout the country. Region, as a summary variable, appears to be the most

determinate factor. Since civics courses tend to be required if present in

the school curriculum, one must assume that the regional variations are func-

tions of state and school system practices rather than being indicative of

any special passion for or against the courses on the part of students.

Table 3.

Proportion of Students Who Have Taken American Government,
American Problems, or Either During Grades 10-12
by Individual and School Characteristics.

Characteristic	Percent Taking:			
	American Government	American Problems	Either One[a]	N
	%	%	%	
Region				
West	53	38	88	(330)
Midwest	58	34	85	(585)
South	52	11	65	(548)
Northeast	07	30	37	(464)
SMSA Type[b]				
Non-SMSA	47	30	75	(816)
Medium	48	20	67	(662)
Large	30	34	56	(449)
Type of School				
Public	46	25	68	(1728)
Nonpublic	25	44	63	(199)
Span of Grades				
10-12	48	30	71	(545)
9-12	38	26	64	(693)
8-12+	46	27	70	(690)
Proportion College Prep				
60%+	46	13	58	(538)
50-59%	40	42	78	(391)
30-49%	42	34	72	(563)
0-29%	45	23	66	(435)
Mother's Education				
Elementary	46	26	70	(423)
Some High School	40	31	69	(358)
High School Grad	46	29	72	(512)
Some College or more	39	24	61	(455)
Sex				
Male	44	25	67	(995)
Female	43	29	69	(932)
Race				
White	42	29	68	(1728)
Negro	51	14	63	(199)
Grand Total	43	27	68	(1927)

[a] Includes a very small proportion taking esoteric civics courses in addition to or instead of American Government and American Problems.

[b] Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area.

The Effects of Civics Courses

Now that the distribution of civics exposure has been described we can turn to the crucial question of whether it has an effect. That is, are a student's political values, cognitions, and skills affected by course exposure. In selecting the particular political orientations to be analyzed we attempted to touch on many of the consistent themes in the "civics" literature which are germane for political science. Rather than examine only one or two variables, we elected to pursue a wide variety so that the possible variations in effects might be uncovered.[2]

1. Political knowledge and sophistication.--For better or
   worse, performance on factual examinations is a prime
   way in which the success of a course and teacher is
   evaluated. Students were asked six questions dealing
   with recent and contemporary political events and per-
   sonalities. Another measure touching more directly on
   political sophistication, ascertained the students'
   perception of ideological differences between political
   parties.

2. Political interest.--A hallmark of the "shoulds" of
   political education in the United States is the shaping
   of citizens to take an active interest in political
   affairs. Although numerous studies of adults suggest
   that the schools and other socializing agents fall short
   of the goals envisioned by the authors of civics text-
   books, it is nevertheless possible that these achieve-
   ments would be even less impressive in the absence of
   intensive inculcation in the civics courses. Among
   many alternative measures of interest available in the
   interview protocols, we relied on the answers to a
   straightforward inquiry.

3. Spectator politicization.--A more direct measure of
   interest in political matters is the degree to which
   students consume political content in the mass media.
   If the civics curriculum spurs an interest in politics,
   it should be reflected in greater media consumption.
   Separate soundings were taken of the students' behavior
   vis-a-vis television, newspapers, and magazines.

---

[2]The next few pages represent an abridgement and some revision of work originally found in Langton and Jennings (1967).

4. Political discourse.--Even more dramatic evidence of the success of the civics experience would be an upsurge in the pre-adult's level of politically-tinged dialogue. In view of the fact that there are relatively few ways in which the high school senior can (or does) assume active political roles, the frequency of political conversations is not an improbable surrogate for forms of adult-level political activity. For our purposes the student's report of the frequency with which he discusses politics with his peers was used.

5. Political efficacy.--The belief that one can affect political outcomes is a vital element of political behavior, and Easton and Dennis (1967) have demonstrated the rising sense of efficacy as the child progresses through elementary school. Much of civic education's thrust is toward developing a sense of civic competence. Efficacy was measured by the students' responses to two items.

6. Political cynicism.--While trying to create interest in politics and a sense of efficacy, the civics curriculum almost inevitably tries to discourage feelings of mistrust and cynicism toward the government. Indeed, cynicism seems in part to be antithetical to a feeling of civic competence. A six-item scale was used to arrange the students on a political cynicism dimension.

7. Civic tolerance.--Considerable discussion exists in the citizenship literature on the necessity for inculcating norms of civic tolerance. Even though the curriculum materials and the teachers often fail to grapple with the complexities of these norms, a proper and necessary role of civics courses is seen as creating support for the "Bill of Rights;" due process, freedom of speech, recognition of legitimate diversity, and so forth. In order to probe the effect of exposure to civics courses on these types of beliefs, a three-item civic tolerance scale was devised.

8. Participative orientation.--Instilling a propensity toward participation in public life becomes especially evident as a civic education goal as the pre-adult approaches legal age. In particular, one might hypothesize that the participation ethic would displace a more basic and early-formed orientation such as loyalty to country. Responses to an open-ended question tapping the students' view of the "good citizen" form the basis of the participative-orientation measure.

One of the first points to be established in the analysis was that
scant differences emerged as a consequence of whether the student had taken
a more traditional American Government course or the more topically-
oriented, wider ranging American Problems course. Aside from rather meager
differences, students taking the two major types of courses were virtually
indistinguishable in terms of their political orientations. Knowing this,
we proceeded with some confidence to treat them (and those taking a
sprinkling of other courses) together and to focus our analysis primarily
on the amount of exposure, viz., none, one, or two courses during grades
10-12.

An overview of the results offers strikingly little support for the
impact of the curriculum. It is true that the direction of the findings is
generally consonant with the predictions advanced above. That is, the more
civics courses the student has had the more likely he was to be knowledgeable,
to be interested in politics, to expose himself to the political content of
the mass media, to have more political discourse, to feel more efficacious,
to espouse a participative (versus loyalty) orientation, and to show more
civic tolerance. The possible exception to the pattern was the curvilinear
relationship between course-taking and political cynicism. Thus, the claims
made for the importance of the civic education courses in the senior high
school are vindicated if one only considers the direction of the results.

However, it is perfectly obvious from the size of the correlations
that the magnitude of the relationships are extremely weak, in most instances
bordering on the trivial.[3] Taking even the highest correlation coefficient

---

[3]Two forms of analysis were used--1) contingency tables and 2) multiple
classification analysis, a technique having some properties of both multiple
regression and analysis of variance techniques but not requiring linearity
of regression.

generated means that only 1% of the variance in knowledge scores is explained

by the amount of course exposure. The critics' contention that course-

taking among older adolescents results in only incremental changes was

borne out with a vengeance. Indeed, the increments were so minuscle as to

raise serious questions about the utility of investing in government courses

in the senior high school, at least as these courses are presently con-

stituted.

Do these findings mean that the political orientations of pre-adults

are essentially refractory to change during the senior high school years?

This possibility cannot be easily dismissed. Certainly the pre-high school·

has already undergone, especially in the American context, several years of

intensive formal and informal political socialization. He may have developed,

by the time he reaches secondary school, a resistance to further formal

socialization at this stage in his life cycle. But there is also an alter-

native or additional explanation. If the course work represents information

redundancy, there is little reason to expect even modest alterations. By

redundancy we mean not only repetition of previous instruction, though there

is surely a surfeit of that. We mean also redundancy in the sense of

duplicating cues from other information sources, particularly the mass media,

formal organizations, and primary groups. Students not taking civics courses

are probably exposed to these other sources in approximately the same doses

as those enrolled in the courses. Assuming that this is the case, and that

the courses provide relatively few new inputs, the consequence would be lack

of differentiation between course takers and non-course takers.

It is certainly conceivable that these generally depressing findings would not hold for some portions of the high school senior population. For example, one might expect that a positive association between course exposure and political knowledge would be found only among students from less educated and less politicized families. This "sponge" theory maintains that children from more deprived families are less likely to be saturated with political knowledge and interest in the family environment; therefore, they are more likely to be affected by the civics curriculum when they enter high school. The counter-hypothesis is that it is the child from the more highly educated families who is most likely to have developed the minimal learning skills and sensitivity to politics which would allow him to respond to civics instruction.

Clearly, a number of other factors could affect the impact of the civics courses. Therefore, we performed our analysis while controlling for a number of variables of theoretical and practical interest. These included the quality of the school, the student's academic ability, number of history courses taken, sex, parental education, and parental politicization, and the student's basic interest in politics and public affairs. Without going into detail on the results, it is sufficient to say that the original simple relationships between civics exposure and the various political orientations were seldom altered. That is, regardless of the particular types of students being considered, the effects of course-taking were persistently negligible. On the assumption that other social studies courses might be related to

differing political orientations, we divided the students according to the
sheer number of all social studies courses to which they had been exposed
in grades 10-12. Again, the results revealed only a particle of difference
amongst the students so divided.

For these reasons it would be well to look at courses and teachers
which do not generate information redundancy. That is the virtue of examin-
ing the finer grain of teacher performance and course content. Another
strategy, and one which we adopted would be to look at subpopulations of
pre-adults in general. Less redundancy could be occasioned either by
infusion of new information where relatively little existed before, or by
information which conflicts with information coming from other sources.

Among the universe of subpopulations one could utilize, none is as
distinctive perhaps as that of the Negro minority. The unique situation
of Negroes in American social and political life and the dynamics now at
work have been well-documented. Because of cultural differences between
the White majority and the Negro minority, the frequent exclusion of
Negroes from socio-political life, the contemporary civil rights ferment,
and the less privileged position of Negroes in our society, it seems likely
that information redundancy would occur less often among the Negro pre-
adults.

When White and Negro students were observed separately, it became
clear that the curriculum exerted considerably more influence on the latter.
On several measures the effect was to move the Negro youths--especially
those from less-educated families--to a position more congruent with the

White youths and more in consonance with the usual goals of civic education in the United States. With respect to some quasi-participative measures, taking a civics course served to depress their performance, especially those from better-educated families. In virtually all instances the Negro students were much more affected by taking such courses than were the Whites, regardless of whether the results were positive or negative.

One explanation of the singular consequence of the curriculum upon Negro students is that information redundancy is lower for them than for White students. Because of cultural and social status differences, the Negro students are more likely to encounter new or conflicting perspectives and content. The more usual case for Whites is a further layering of familiar materials which, by and large, repeat the message from other past and contemporary sources. It is conceivable that other subpopulations of students are differentially affected by the curriculum; that variations in content and pedagogy lead to varying outcomes; or that there will be delayed consequences from course exposure.

In the main one is hard pressed to find evidence of any immediate course impact on the bulk of the students. The programmatic implications of this conclusion are forceful. If the educational system continues to invest sizable resources in government and civics courses at the secondary level--as seems most probable--there must be a radical restructuring of these courses in order for them to have any appreciable pay-off. Changes in goals, course content, pedagogical methods, timing of exposure, teacher training, and school environmental factors are all points of leverage. Until such changes come about, one must continue to expect little contribution from the formal civics curriculum in the political socialization of American pre-adults.

REFERENCES

Adelson, Joseph and Robert P. O'Neil. "The Growth of Political Ideas in Adolescence: The Sense of Community," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 4 (September, 1966), pp. 294-306.

Almond, Gabriel A. and James S. Coleman. The Politics of the Developing Areas. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1960.

Almond, Gabriel A. and Sidney Verba. The Civic Culture. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1963.

Becker, Wesley C. "Consequences of Different Kinds of Parental Discipline," in Hoffman and Hoffman (eds.), Review of Child Development, Vol. 1. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 1964, pp. 169-208.

Bond, Marjorie H. "Teenage Attitudes and Attitude Change as Measured by the Q-Technique," Journal of Educational Sociology, 36 (September, 1962), pp. 10-16.

Bronfenbrenner, Urie. "Some Familial Antecedents of Responsibility and Leadership in Adolescents," in Petrullo and Bass (eds.), Leadership and Interpersonal Behavior. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1961, pp. 237-89.

_____. "Patterns of Parent Behavior in the United States and Germany," International Social Science Journal, 14 (1962), pp. 488-506

Campbell, Angus, Philip E. Converse, Warren E. Miller, and Donald E. Stokes. The American Voter. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1960.

Coleman, James S. The Adolescent Society. New York: Free Press of Glencoe, 1961.

Converse, Philip and George Dupeaux. "Politicization of the Electorate in France and the United States," Public Opinion Quarterly, 26 (Spring, 1962), pp. 1-23.

Cox, C. Benjamin and Jack E. Cousins. "Teaching Social Studies in Secondary Schools and Colleges," in Byron G. Massialas and Frederick R. Smith (eds.), New Challenges in the Social Studies. Belmont, California: Wadsworth Publishing Company, Inc., 1965, pp. 89-120.

Dawson, Richard E. "Political Socialization," in James A. Robinson (ed.), Political Science Annual, Vol. One - 1966 (Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill Company, Inc., 1966), pp. 1-84.

References (continued

Diamond, Stanley E. <u>Schools and the Development of Good Citizens</u>. Detroit:
Wayne State University Press, 1953.

Douvan, Elizabeth and Martin Gold. "American Adolescence: Modal Patterns
of Bio-Social Changes," in Hoffman and Hoffman (eds.), <u>Review of
Child Development</u>, Vol. <u>2</u>. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 1966.

Easton, David. <u>A Framework for Political Analysis</u>. Englewood Cliffs:
Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1965.

_____. <u>A System Analysis of Political Life</u>. New York: Wiley
and Sons, 1966.

_____ and Jack Dennis. "The Child's Image of Government,"
<u>The Annals of the American Academy of Political Science and Social
Science</u>, 361 (September, 1965), pp. 40-57.

_____, _____. "The Child's Acquisition of Regime Norms:
Political Efficacy," <u>American Political Science Review</u>, 61 (March,
1967), pp. 25-38.

_____ and Robert Hess. "The Child's Political World," <u>Midwest
Journal of Political Science</u>, 6 (August, 1962), pp. 229-46.

_____, _____. "Youth and the Political System," in
S. M. Lipset and L. Lowenthal (eds.), <u>Culture and Social Character:
The Work of David Riesman Reviewed</u>. New York: Free Press of
Glencoe, 1961, pp. 226-51.

Edgar, Earl R. "Kansas Study of Education for Citizenship," <u>Phi Delta
Kappan</u> (December, 1951), pp. 175-92.

Elder, Glen H. "Parental Power Legitimation and Its Effects on the
Adolescent," <u>Sociometry</u>, 26 (March, 1963), pp. 55-65.

Friedenberg, Edgar Z. <u>The Vanishing Adolescent</u>. New York: Del, 1962.

_____. <u>Coming of Age in America</u>. New York: Vintage Books, 1963.

Geertz, Clifford (ed.). <u>Old Societies and New States</u>. New York: The Free
Press, 1963.

Gibson, John S. <u>New Frontiers in the Social Studies--1, 2</u>. New York:
Citation Press, 1967.

Grambs, Jean. "The Community and the Self-Governing Adolescent Group,"
<u>Journal of Educational Sociology</u>, 30 (October, 1956), pp. 94-105.

References (continued)

Greenstein, Fred I. "The Benevolent Leader: Children's Images of Political
    Authority," American Political Science Review, 54 (December, 1960),
    pp. 934-43.

_____. Children and Politics. New Haven: Yale University Press.
    1965.

Hess, Robert D. and David Easton. "The Role of the Elementary School in
    Political Socialization," School Review, 70 (1962), pp. 257-65.

_____ and Judith Torney. The Development of Political Attitudes
    in Children. Chicago: Aldine Press, 1967.

Hyman, Herbert H. Political Socialization. New York: Free Press of
    Glencoe, 1959.

Jacob, Philip E. Changing Values in College. New York: Harper, 1957.

Jennings, M. Kent. "Observations on the Study of Political Values among
    Pre-Adults" (mimeo, 1966).

_____. "Correlates of the Social Studies Curriculum," in
    Benjamin Cox and Byron Massialas (eds.), Social Studies in the United
    States. New York: Harcourt, Brace, and World, 1967.

_____, Franklin P. Kilpatrick, and Milton C. Cummings, Jr.
    "Trusted Leaders: Perceptions of Appointed Federal Officials,"
    Public Opinion Quarterly, 72 (Fall, 1966), pp. 86-101.

_____ and Richard Niemi. "The Transmission of Political Values
    from Parent to Child," American Political Science Review (March,
    1968).

_____ and Kenneth P. Langton. "Mothers versus Fathers: The
    Formation of Political Orientations among Pre-Adults" (mimeo, 1968).

_____ and Harmon Zeigler. "Political Expressivism among High
    School Teachers: The Intersection of Community and Occupational
    Norms" (mimeo, 1967, and scheduled to appear in a forthcoming
    collection edited by Roberta S. Sigel).

Kemp, C. Gratton. "Improvement of Critical Thinking in Relation to Open-
    Closed Belief Systems," Journal of Experimental Education, 31
    (Spring, 1963), pp. 321-25.

Key, V. O., Jr. Public Opinion and American Democracy. New York:
    Alfred A. Knopf, 1961.

33

References (continued)   4

Kohn, Melvin L. "Social Class and Parent-Child Relationships: An
    Interpretation," American Journal of Sociology, 68 (1963),
    pp. 471-480.

Kozol, Jonathan. Death at an Early Age. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1967.

Lane, Robert E. "The Politics of Consensus in an Age of Affluence,"
    American Political Science Review, 59 (December, 1965), pp. 874-95.

_____. "Fathers and Sons: Foundation of Political Belief,"
    American Sociological Review, 24 (August, 1959), pp. 502-11.

Langton, Kenneth P. "Peer Group and School and the Political Socialization
    Process," American Political Science Review, 61 (September, 1967),
    pp. 751-58.

_____ and M. Kent Jennings. "Political Socialization and the High
    School Civics Curriculum in the United States " (mimeo, and forthcoming
    in the American Political Science Review, September, 1968).

Levin, M. L. "Social Climates and Political Socialization," Public Opinion
    Quarterly, 25 (Winter, 1961), pp. 596-606.

Lippitt, Gordon L. (ed.). "Training for Political Participation," Journal
    of Social Issues, 16 (1960), entire issue.

Litt, Edgar. "Civic Education, Community Norms, and Political Indoctrina-
    tion," American Sociological Review, 28 (February, 1963), pp. 69-75.

Lunstrum, John P. "The Treatment of Controversial Issues in Social Studies
    Instruction," in Byron G. Massialas and Frederick R. Smith (eds.),
    New Challenges in the Social Studies. Belmont, California:
    Wadsworth Publishing Company, Inc., 1965.

Maccoby, Eleanor E., et al. "Youth and Political Change," Public Opinion
    Quarterly, 18 (Spring, 1954), pp. 23-39.

Massialas, Byron G. and C. Benjamin Cox. Inquiry in Social Studies.
    New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966.

Matthews, Donald and James Prothro. Negroes and the New Southern Politics.
    New York: Harcourt, Brace, and World, 1966.

Mehlinger, Howard. "The Study of American Political Behavior" (An occasional
    paper from the High School Curriculum Center in Government, Indiana
    University, mimeo, 1967).

Middleton, Russell and Snell Putney. "Political Expression of Adolescent
    Rebellion," American Journal of Sociology, 68 (March, 1963), pp. 527-35.

Milbrath, Lester. Political Participation. Chicago: Rand McNally, Inc.,
    1965.

References (continued)   5

Miller, Warren E. and Donald E. Stokes. "Constituency Influence in Congress,"
    American Political Science Review, 57 (March, 1963), pp. 45-56.

Oliver, Donald W. and James P. Shaver. Teaching Public Issues in the High
    School. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1966.

Patrick, John J. Political Socialization of American Youth: Implications
    for Secondary School Social Studies (Washington: National Council
    for the Social Studies, 1967).

Patterson, Franklin, et al. The Adolescent Citizen. New York: Free Press
    of Glencoe, 1960.

Pock, John D. Attitudes Toward Civil Liberties among High School Seniors
    (Office of Education Cooperative Research Project No. 5-8167, 1967).

Remmers, H. H. (ed.). Anti-Democratic Attitudes in American Schools.
    Evanston: Northwestern University Press, 1963.

Rosenberg, Morris. "Self Esteem and Concern with Public Affairs," Public
    Opinion Quarterly, 26 (Summer, 1962), pp. 201-11.

_____. Society and the Adolescent Self-Image. Princeton:
    Princeton University Press, 1965.

Schick, Marvin and Albert Somit. "The Failure to Teach Political Activity,"
    American Behavioral Scientist, 6 (January, 1963), pp. 5-8.

Schrag, Peter. Village School Downtown. Boston: Beacon Press, 1967.

Sewell, William H. "Some Recent Developments in Socialization Theory and
    Research," The Annals, 349 (September, 1961), pp. 63-81.

Somit, A., et al. "The Effect of the Introductory Political Science Course on
    Student Attitudes Toward Personal Political Participation," American
    Political Science Review, 12 (December, 1958), pp. 1129-32.

Stouffer, Samuel A. Communism, Conformity, and Civil Liberties. New York:
    Doubleday, 1955.

Strauss, Murray. "Power and Support Structure of the Family in Relation to
    Socialization," Journal of Marriage and the Family, 26 (August, 1964), pp. 318-26.

Ward, Robert and Dankwart Rustow (eds.). Political Modernization in Japan
    and Turkey. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1964.

Wasby, Stephen. "The Impact of the Family on Politics," The Family Life
    Coordinator (January, 1966), pp. 3-23.

Wilson, Howard E. Education for Citizenship (The Regents Inquiry). New York:
    McGraw-Hill, 1938.

Ziblatt, David. "High School Extracurricular Activities and Political
    Socialization," The Annals of the American Academy of Political Science
    and Social Science, 361 (September, 1965), pp. 20-31.