DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 066 166

LI 003 797

AUTHOR

Harris, Michael H.

TITLE

Narrative Evaluation Report on the Kentucky Right to

Read Institute.

INSTITUTION

Kentucky Univ., Lexington. School of Library

Science.

PUB DATE

OD DAIL

NOTE

[72]
17p.; (0 References); Held at the University of Kentucky, College of Library Science, April 22,

072

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

*Adult Education; *Censorship; Cooperative Programs;

Educational Television; Evaluation; *Experimental

Programs: *Institutes (Training Programs); Librarians; Professional Continuing Education; *Reading Materials: State Programs: Teachers;

Televised Instruction

IDENTIFIERS

Kentucky: *Right to Read

ABSTRACT

· 新加州

The Kentucky Right to Read Institute was intended to present Kentucky librarians and other interested citizens with an introduction to the Right to Read concept and to stimulate thinking and discussion on ways in which libraries and schools might serve this important movement. Participants were educators, librarians, government officials, and private citizens. The program consisted of individual presentations and panel discussions, which were produced and video-taped by the University of Kentucky Television Services. The program was broadcast via the Kentucky Educational Television Network to eleven community colleges scattered across the state, and was viewed by participants on closed circuit television. The problems of producing an institute of this kind are discussed along with the advantages gained by the experimental program. (Author/NH)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROMTHE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT, POLYTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDU,
CATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Narrative Evaluation Report On The Kentucky Right to Read Institute

Held at

The University of Kentucky College of Library Science

April 22, 1972

Submitted by

Dr. Michael H. Harris
Director and
Associate Professor
College of Library Science
The University of Kentucky
Lexington, Kentucky 40506
Phone: 606 258-8657

262 800 I

Introduction

This institute was intended to present Kentucky librarians and other interested citizens with an introduction to the Right to Read concept and to stimulate thinking and discussion on ways in which libraries and schools in Kentucky might serve this important movement.

Participants were to be educators, librarians, government officials and interested citizens. There was no limitation on the number of people who could attend the institute, and there were no registration fees or tuition fees charged.

The program (see enclosed) consisted of individual presentations and panel discussions, which had been produced and video-taped by the University of Kentucky Television Services previous to the April 22 institute. On the 22nd of April the program was broadcast via the Kentucky Educational Television Network to eleven community colleges scattered across the Commonwealth where it was viewed by participants on closed circuit television.

At the conclusion of the televised portion of the program, the groups gathered at the various community colleges were given time to discuss the issues raised by the program. Each group was guided by a trained discussion leader. These discussion leaders, some twenty in number, were brought to Lexington for a one day training session prior to the institute.



Evaluation

In the past, librarians have often complained about the fact that institutes are hard to get to in a state as. wide as Kentucky. The argued that the drive to Lexington, from Paducah, for instance, was just too difficult to make for a one or two day institute. As a result of this dissatisfaction with institutes located in Lexington, and the recent completion of the Kentucky Education Television Network, we decided to try a new approach. We planned to prepare a concise and well illustrated program, which would be video-taped and broadcast to the various community colleges in the State via television. The particular merits of this approach would be that the participants would not have to travel long distances to a meeting, and many more people could be involved in small group discussions with their coworkers from the same part of the Commonwealth.

We soon found that our usual problems were multiplied by

11. For instance, we had to communicate with 11 community

college presidents in order to get space for the institute,

and the institute had to be rescheduled once in order to get

a date when space was available at all of the locations. Then

we had to train discussion leaders to handle the discussion

at the various locations and to provide us with an evaluation

of the institute once it had been held. For an example of one of those reports see the Appendix.

Then, of course, we were dealing with a new medium, television, and we found that the presentations had to be much more polished than they would normally be for presentation at the usual institute. This fact proved an advantage once the programs had been produced, since they were much better than they might have been otherwise. We also had to prepare visual aids to complement the presentations—all of this took much more time than we had anticipated.

A second real problem involved publicity—for not only did we circularize the library professional, but we also wanted to involve local citizens in the institute. As a result we had to coordinate publicity in some 12 different regions in the state through radio, television and the papers—again a much more complicated process than we had anticipated.

Some 300 people participated, and while this is a good deal more than we normally have at institutes held in Lexington, it fell somewhat short of the figure we had hoped for. We also encountered technical difficulties at one of the community colleges, and it did not receive the televised portion of the program. We had anticipated this eventuality however, and had provided the discussion leaders with copies of the scripts of

the presentations which they could read if anything went wrong.

The successes we think, far out weigh the few failures. Everyone who participated agreed that the convenience of traveling only a few miles to a program of this type was very appealing, and encouraged us to continue this type of institute. Furthermore, they noted that the presentations were as polished as any they had ever seen and we have had numerous inquiries about the tapes and their future use. The participants also liked the idea of being able to attend an institute where the group was small and made up of professionals and interested citizens from the same part of the State--people. with common problems.

A further advantage of our new approach to the institute is that it forced members of the faculty of the University of Kentucky to familiarize themselves with educational television as an instructional medium. This exposure should hold real benefits for the instructional program in the College. Then, we also will be able to use the tapes on the Right to Read movement in our classes at U.K.

REPRESENTATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

Conclusion

All in all we are satisfied with this experiment in continuing education. While attendance was less than we had hoped, the lessons learned in the process of planning the institute, and the reception afforded the institute itself, certainly were encouraging. We are convinced that this is an extremely promising way to take continuing education programs to the professional in the field, and we intend to try it again in the future. Certainly the televised institute will not replace the more conventional approach, but it appears to be a viable method for use in the shorter conferences.

It is difficult to assess at this point what impact the institute will have on the Right to Read movement in Kentucky. In certain areas, Action Councils were formed as a result of the Institute, while in others, very little seems to be happening. But certainly, this institute set the stage for further work in Kentucky by librarians and educators, and served nicely as a means of informing them of the importance, extent and nature of the Right to Read Movement.

APPENDIX

Contents

- 1. Announcement and Program for the Kentucky Right to Read Institute
- 2. Letter sent to discussion leaders following the training session.
- 3. Map of Kentucky with the Community Colleges which participated in the Institute marked
- 4. An example of the evaluations submitted by the Discussion Leaders
- 5. List of Discussion Leaders and Locations

divils HOV IN

4:00 p.m.

Conclusion of the Institute

PROGRAM April 22nd

and Current Status" "The Right to Read Movement: History Dr. Michael H. Harris, assistant professor 10:30 a.m. (EST)

11:00 a.m.

"The Right to Read-Prospects and Dr. Lawrence Allen, Dean-moderator Problems" Panel discussion

11:45 a.m.—Break for Lunch

1:30 p.m.

Kentucky Libraries" "The Right to Read Movement and

community colleges Small group discussions at the

U1S-SP 185 March 1972

2:15 p.m. Media Services, Louisville Public Schools Mrs. Rebecca Bingham, Director of

APRIL 22, 1972

PROGRAM

several discussion leaders.

The particular merits of this approach are long distances to a meeting, and many more that the participants do not have to travel reople can be involved in small group discussions with co-workers from the sume part of the state.

ional reform to Congress. In that message the

Richard M. Nixon issued a message on educa-

of March, 1970, President

On the third

is the "Right to Read." In discussing this

fleory the President said: The basic ability of

President introduced the concept now known

reading should be denied to no one, and the

deserve found in books and libraries should be

mailable to all. . .

The Right to Read movement, initiated by

Speakers and panelists will include:

and assistant professor, College of Library Dr. Michael H. Harris, Director of the Institute,

the stimulation of reading in order to enable

with the improvement of reading ability and

he President's speech, is concerned primarily

each individual to maximize his potential and capabilities. While many professional groups are concerned with this effort, librarians are

seem as unijor participants in any plan

encourrge the widespread use of books.

Mrs. Rebecca Bingham, Director of Media Services, Louisville Public Schools, and forner president of the Kentucky Library AssociaMrs. Joy Terhune, assistant professor, College of Library Science Dr. Lawrence Allen, Denn, College of Library

in conjunction with the U.S. Office of Education, is sponsoring a Right to Read Institute

Library Science at the University of Kentucky,

on April 22, 1972. This institute is intended to present Kentucky librarians and other interested citizens with an introduction to the Right to Read concept and to stimulate thinking

and discussion on ways in which libraries and

to the success of this important movement.

sovernment officials and interested citizens.

As a result of this belief, the College of

HOW YOU MAY PARTICIPATE

Simply fill out the enclosed application. Be sure to indicate at which location you will attend. No tuition or registration fees will be charged.

sentations and panel discussions, will originate at the University of Kentucky and will be At the conclusion of the televised portion, the be given time to discuss the issues raised by the broadcast via the Educational Television Net-The program, consisting of individual prework to 11 community colleges in Kentucky. groups gathered at each of the 11 colleges will program. Each group will be conducted by

KENLIGKK KICHL LO KEVD INSLILILE

are employed 🗀 Name of School or library system in which you

Anysville Community College

University of Mentucky-Texington Southess, Community Collegs. Somerser Community College. Prestonsburg Community College Paducah Community College

Exact room numbers will be sent to all those wishing to attend.

Please circle the location at which you will attend the Kentucky Right to Read Institute:

sefferson Community College Elizaberhtown Community College

possible. Simply place a stamp on the reverse side Please complete this form and return it as soon as

Pepkinsville Community College

Parard Community College

Ashland Community College

Henderson Community College

"linm bun

MEMORANDUM

TO: Discussion Leaders for the Kentucky Right to Read Institute

FROM: Mike Harris

As I noted on the phone, I intended to send along a few notes on steps you might follow when you are getting ready for the institute:

- (1) First, recruit someone to help you on the 22nd. You all know the other professionals in your area, and should be able to select someone to help without any trouble.
- (2) Second, publicize the meeting any way you can locally. Someone suggested informing local newspapers and radio and television media for coverage.
- (3) Check on a good place or places to have lunch on the 22nd. Those attending at each of the community colleges will appreciate advice on where to eat that day. It is also possible that you might want to arrange to have the meal delivered. This, of course, would require more organization on your part; i.e. taking orders, calling them in, collecting the money, etc., but would be more effective in keeping people there.
- (4) Check in with the Director's office at "your" community college to make sure that all is well with our arrangements. I would suggest a call around the 18-20th of April. If anything is wrong just call me collect at area code 606 #258-8657, and we will help get it all straightened out.
- (5) Think about the presentation, and consider ways in which you might lead the discussion at the conclusion of the formal programs.
- (6) Attend the institute on the 22nd. We would suggest that you arrive somewhat early—about 9:30-10:00 E.S.T. As we noted, the program will start down the line at about 10:35. They will send some color bars down about 5 minutes previous to the start of the program. We will ask the partici-

01



pants to try and arrive by 10:00 a.m.

(7) Prepare a few introductory remarks about the institute to present at the beginning of the morning. The day's program would look something like this:

10:00-10:30 E.S.T. Getting acquainted and settled 10:35-11:00 E.S.T. Mike Harris 11:00-11:45 E.S.T. Panel 11:45-12:30 E.S.T. (Option of discussion and/ or adjournment for lunch) 12:30- 1:30 E.S.T. Lunch 1:30- 2:15 E.S.T. Rebecca Bingham 2:15- 3:30 E.S.T. Discussion Groups (Flexible Timing) 3:30- 4:00 E.S.T. Program Evaluation by Participants

- (8) Prepare a short evaluation of the institutes effectiveness as an experiment in continuing education -- and report on what ideas the participants had on ways in which Kentucky librarians might get involved in the Right to Read movement.
 - (9) Questions you might ask at the end of the day:
 - (1) What were your general reactions to the day's program?
 - (2) What did you like most about the program?
 - (3) What did you like <u>least</u> about the program?
 - (4) What did you learn that you can put into action on your job, in your organizations, in your community?
 - (5) What other activities would you suggest as a follow-up to this program?

Enclosed also find copies of Rebecca's script and my script.

Good Luck,

pated in the Kentucky TY Colleges Which Read Institute CRITTENDEN ראסא 12 ઇ CALDWELL 91 WESSTER HENDERSON . 615 SNIXCON CHRISTIAN 19 262 SSF Madisoniile ACLEAN 7000 MUHLENSERG 31 ន 367 **569** 103 \$4 0 10 0 MANCOCK MOENTE 4 BRECKINRIDGE 77 27 MARIEM GRAYSON S6 2 **‡**: NOSNOMON FIX MEADE 8 89 PARREL 1121 369 128 S, O Fort Knox HART -6 100 68 5377 B 2 NOSHIFEL 5 CHIEN Q 4320 CLEHAM 747102 747102 ij S MARION SO 106 ADAIR 8 AFTIMS 67 ċ ÿ. BUSSELL \vec{z} 22 41575 888 BNAVA 707 LYCOLN 101 KENTON 463 ణ Livara, 99 632 37 CKCASTLE ; es 3 8 92 | BRACKEN ĕ AFILINA 65 8 TANK 60 3 XXOX 5 FLEMING CL₄ 169 <u>.</u> ## MARLAN MORGAN **6**2 27 97

מיים: מחחיי

TREASIMMULER, Principal REBECCA J. EARLS, Librarian JUANITA HAGAMAN, Secretary

PAUL G. BLAZER LIBRARY PAUL G. BLAZER SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

BLAZER BOULEVARD
ASHLAND, KENTUCKY 41101

April 26, 1972

To: Dr. Michael Harris From: Rebecca J. Earls

Re: Kentucky Right to Read Institute at Ashland Community College

First of all, the general reaction to the day was positive. The Institute must be termed successful in many, many respects.

ATTENDANCE: 24

1 superintendent	3	classroom teachers	0	college librarians
1 assistant superintendent	2	elementary librarians	3	public librarians
2 principals	9	secondary librarians	3	reading specialists

CONCEPT ITSELF:

The participants were extremely enthusiastic about being able to view and hear from authorities in the state of Kentucky without having to travel long distances. Their reaction to the TV medium was good and as a means of continuing educaton it is a powerful, creative, and challenging instructional agent for in-service programs.

TV PRESENTATION:

Technically the reception was excellent and the facilities at ACC were more than adequate. The material and the "stars" came across beautifully. When I saw that the audience was taking notes and gasping over the facts they were hearing I knew we were home free. Our viewers requested copies of the scripts and they are being mailed today.

CONTENT:

The assembled group in Ashland had no idea the reading problem nationally, state-wide, or locally was so severe. They were concerned and interested in obtaining facts and information to bass on to the community. The three presentations covered the field well, but it would have been good to have had some addresses to request material mentioned by the TV banel, such as the Right to Read kit, AASL publication on the Right to Read, etc.

DISCUSSIONS:

These were the biggest plus factor of the day. The morning discussions (after the panel) was the more fruitful of our two as many outstanding ideas were presented. We opened right up with a positive and negative reaction on volunteers and before we broke for lunch five people had volunteered to do story-hours for children at the Ashland Public Library this summer. Our librarian at the Public Library is going to form a "Friends of the Library" group and



REX J. MILLER, Principal REBECCA J. EARLS, Librarian JUANITA HAGAMAN, Secretary

.PAUL G. BLAZER LIBRARY

PAUL G. BLAZER SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

BLAZER BOULEVARD

ASHLAND, KENTUCKY 41101

-2.

most of the participants will attend that organizational meeting. A school superintendent in the group said he had been so impressed that he has decided on a new theme he'll discuss with P.T.A.'s next year. He said, "If we can be so interested in little league, booster clubs, and band parents, why can't we start a 30 minute story hour in each home, every night?" Formulation of an ACTION group for the Ashland area will be undertaken as a project of the Ashland area librarians' group which already neets monthly and plans for Right to Read activities will be made at a meeting in May. All participants at the Institute will be invited to that meeting.

The afternoon discussion was centered more on what could be done in the schools and the group was divided into two sections. The main problem as viewed by our groups was a lack of communication between teachers and librarians. Each librarian or reading specialist is charged with forming a Reading Management Team in his/her school. We feel parents need to be more involved and the public and school librarians offered their time to talk at P.T.A. meetings next year. As a means of reaching more people the school librarians in Ashland plan to have a monthly column in the Ashland paper to tell "how it is" with our school libraries. However, the biggest and best idea of all was that of giving every child a new outlook on reading by approaching him as an individual reader who needs to "love to learn" whether by print or media. Fewer restrictions and more outreach best sums up our direction. Less shifting and more sharing of responsibility is our goal.

FOLLOW-UP:

A sample of the cover letter being mailed with the scripts is enclosed. Julliette Bryson, librarian at the Ashland Public Library is using the Right to Read Institute as the subject of her weekly column soon. A summer story-hour for children will be starped by volunteers from this workshop. I will write up the results of this program next Fall. The ACTION committee will meet in May. Some activities to be discussed will be: reading discussion group at Scope Towers (retirement home housing serveral hundred persons), story hours at low income housing projects, and a definite pion of working with those enrolled in adult education programs to encourage reading the home by every family member. One of the most interesting ideas presented was that of having a national network program on reading (sort of like "Cover to Cover" for families). Sounds better that "What's My Line?", doesn't it?

IDEAS TO CONSTDER:

There should have been better publicity, much sooner, and more directive. We did involve the school authorities here, but it was a little too late. The mailing went to too small a group. A possible time change might have been better as it was a long day. Perhaps it



REX J. MILLER, Principal REBECCA J. EARLS, Librarian JUANITA HAGAMAN, Secretary

PAUL G. BLAZER LIBRARY

PAUL G. BLAZER SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

BLAZER BOULEVARD

ASHLAND, KENTUCKY 41101

-3-

could have started at 9 a.m. and run until I p.m. with TV coming straight through. And I was wrong---people do like hand-outs.

WILL YOU PLEASE WRITE:

These people were especially helpful to me and I would like for you to write to them personally if 'you have the time.

Mr. Henry Hughes, Reading Specialist, Ashland Community Collage.
He ensordinated the workshop at the college and had everything
set up including coffee and home-made cake. He presented the
opening remarks to the group and was the other discussion leader
in the afternoon. Henry was really a co-leader.

Mr. Robert Morriss, Director of Elementary Education, Ashland Independent Schools, 2601 Lexington Avenue, Ashland, Ky. 41101

Bob really made a great effort toward the success of this day. He prepared the printed programs we distributed at the meeting, sent letters to every teacher in the Ashland school system, and wrote to every area superintendent requesting that their teachers and librarins attend. He also helped Mr. Hughes and me organize our plans for the discussions.

You requested a short evaluation and this is the longest "short" I've ever seen, but you should hear how excited I am about our Sat. at ACC. I will not be here to see the buds blossom, but I am certain that the seeds are in the ground.

Sincerely,

Becky Earls

P.S. Congratulations on your week full of honors. I'm really looking forward to K.L.A. now!

Letter is cut by Mr. Morries -

April 18, 1972

MEMO:

TO: ALL TEACHERS, ALL PRINCIPALS, ALL LIBRARIANS, PTA LEADERS

FROM: CENTRAL OFFICE

SUBJECT: "KENTUCKY RIGHT TO READ INSTITUTE" - APRIL 22, 1972

On APRIL 22, 1972 from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. a "RIGHT RO READ INSTITUTE" will be held at the Ashland Community College, Room 301.

This institute is intended to present Kentucky librarians and other interested teachers and citizens with an introduction to the "RIGHT TO READ" concept and to stimulate thinking and discussion on ways in which libraries and schools in the state of Kentucky can contribute to the success of this important movement.

The program to be followed is:

10:00 AMRegistration	
10:15 AMIntroduction of KETV Presentation	
10:30 AM	·у
and Current Status" via KETV	
11:00 AM	
Problems" a panel discussion via	
KETV	
6	
12:00 NOON to 1:15 P.MBreak for lunch	

1:30 P.M....."The Right to Read Movement and
Kentucky Libraries" a talk via KETV
2:15 P.M.....Small Group Discussion among those
in attendance
4:00 P.M.....Conclusion of the Institute

It is hoped that <u>ALL</u> librarians will be able to attend this "Institute". Also, any other interested teachers and citizens who can attend are urged to do so.

للمستر للمستر للمستري والمراجع والمسترور والمراجع والمراع		g and the second se	
Location	Room Numbers	Discussion Leader (s)	
Ashland	301 and 302	Rebecca Earls	
Elizabethtown	157 and 159 Admin. Bldg.	Alma Hill	
Hazard	Library	Mrs. Don Ward	
Henderson	202	Martha Graham Vera Grinsted	
Hopkinsville	165 and 166	Esther Carter David Cumbee	
Jefferson	204 and 206 AB	Rebecca Bingham, Bill Garner, Eleanor Koon, Barbara Miller	
Maysville	Auditorium	Zada Parsley, Mike Averdick	
Paducah	111	Tom Sutherland, Bill Wade	
Prestonsburg	Pike 113	Caroline Hay	
Somerset	S114 (Stone Hall) Auditorium	Irene Broils	
Southeast	113	Gertrude Dale Dot Ray	
ERIC U.K.	245, 247 Classroom	Joy Terhune, Ruby Trower,	