#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 064 817

EC 042 349

AUTHOR

Urie, Robert M.: And Others

TITLE

Student Aides for Handicapped College Students. Final

Report and Manual.

INSTITUTION SPONS AGENCY Saint Andrews Presbyterian Coll., Laurinburg, N.C. Social and Rehabilitation Service (DHEW), Washington,

D.C. Div. of Research and Demonstration Grants.

PUB DATE

Jul 71

NOTE

**>** 

ERIC

Full fext Provided by ERIC

171p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$6.58

DESCRIPTORS

Academic Achievement; \*College Students;

Demonstration Projects: \*Exceptional Child Research; Guidelines: \*Physically Handicapped: Statistical Data: \*Student Adjustment; Student Participation;

\*Student Volunteers

#### ABSTRACT

The stated purpose of the project was to demonstrate that the use of student aides to assist selected physically handicapped students in the college setting, in conjunction with special physical facilities and individual counseling sessions for both the physically handicapped and the student aides, would result in a more satisfactory academic, physical, psychological, social, and vocational adjustment for both groups than would have otherwise been expected. The first part of the report presented the overall study, while the second part consisted of a manual for student aides and administrators of similar programs. The sample consisted of 29 male handicapped, 32 male aides, 33 female handicapped, and 72 female aides. Results showed that participants, when compared to general college students at the college, made better academic records and had a lower attrition rate. Most participants were reported to have found meaningful vocational placement which was related to their measured vocational interest profiles. It was concluded that student aides appeared to make a positive contribution to the total educational process for physically handicapped students, and that the aide role had no negative effects on the aides' own progress. (CB/Author)

#### FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

# FOR HANDICAPPED COLLEGE STUDENTS



St. Andrews Presbyterian College Laurinburg, North Carolina

FINAL REPORT: Social And Rehabilitation Service Project No. 12-P-55156—4-05 (RD-2424-G) July 1, 1971



	Significant Findings for Rehabilitation and Social Service Workers
	Handicapped college students can be assisted effectively in their daily routines by student aides who are their peers.
<del>engara ana</del>	Handicapped students who are technically classified as quadriplegic will generally require adult attendant services in addition to student aides.
	Student aides, as a rule, should not be expected to assume the total nursing care needs of a severely handicapped peer in the educational setting.
	Typical dormitory facilities are not suitable for quadriplegic levels of disability; private bath facilities with additional space are essential.
	The college or university should establish a central coordinating office for rehabilitation-related services, providing counseling, supervision of student aides, information, and general assistance to the handicapped and their aides.
<del></del>	After the first semester of college experience, handicapped students should select their own aides rather than having administrative assignments of aides.
	The Strong Vocational Interest Blank and the Tennessee Self Concept Scale are useful tools in the identification of prospective aides.
***************************************	Prospective aides should have an active part in the decision-making process and should work closely with the handicapped students as aide assignments are being made.
	A mutual self-selection process between handicapped students and aides greatly reduces potential conflict between roommate pairs of this type.
***************************************	Handicapped students should be screened for admission through a summer trial program which coincides with the regular summer session term. They should be required to take regular college courses for credit during the trial period.
	The summer trial program should be made available to rising high school seniors with physical handicaps; those who are unsuccessful in the trial period will then have an additional year to make alternate plans.
	Service programs involving handicapped students and their aides should be administered on an individual basis insofar as possible; group labels and techniques tend to obscure essential individual needs.
480-May committee	Small colleges can provide a positive, constructive situation for handicapped students when policies are carefully developed in advance of actual enrollment of such students.

ERIC.

## STUDENT AIDES FOR HANDICAFPED COLLEGE STUDENTS

Final Report, The Use of Student Aides to the Physically Handicapped in Higher Education

July 1, 1971

St. Andrews Presbyterian College Laurinburg, North Carolina 28352

Robert M. Urie, Project Director

Major Contributors and Consultants

C. Dwight Auvenshine Rodger W. Decker

Mark L. Perkins

Rufus R. Hackney, Jr.

Douglas W. Schoeninger Alvin H. Smith

David B. Hawk Alice L. McKenzie

Wade O. Stalnaker Edwin E. Wheeler

Cover photo by John H. Campbell

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

This investigation was supported, in part, by Research and Demonstration Grant No. 12-P-55156/4-05 (RD-2424-G) from the Division of Research and Demonstration Grants, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, D. C. 20201



S

e de la companya de l

The second of process of process

The control of overview of the control of the contr

to utilize regional resources, and that personnel from private, state, regional, and federal agencies are able to work in harmony.

For the privilege of touching the lives of many young people previously doomed to educational stagnation the host of persons involved in this project are eternally grateful.

Rodger W. Decker Dean of Students

Laurinburg, North Carolina July 1, 1971

٧

The content transmitted tells by profess staff was an almost content to the city of the other data and the one hand but, on the other data and the content of the other data and the condition of the city of the

Operall study and the most significant results from it which seemed to name a direct bearing on the rehabilitation field. The second part of the report is a manual for student aides and administrators of programs of this type. That document is written for laymen who are involved in the depend day operation of a student aide program. Since the process to which student aides for handicapped students involves extremely believed in second part of a student aide program.

٥

Þ

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

every question of a day as one if is our hope, however, that this deport as a whole will seem on the value to those who read it.

kobert H. Urie

authburg, botth Jaronila

The contract angles of this particle and the contract angles of the contract and the contra

The control of the project, we are indepted to Miss Mary wither, who were belonal Commissioner of the Vocational Rehabilitation with the first one Hary demans and draddon Rowlands for the Mary Duke to the Louisiant one Circlet' Ready and Elil Crunk in the regional SRS of the Rocert M. Lassiter, State Director, succeeded by Claude A. Free, Assistant apperintendent for Vocational Rehabilitation, Raleigh, to the Harrison and L. L. Schurter, also in the state office; the Circlet Below Aces Mirector, Faul Edwards, Regional Supervisor, to we drawatt and tack Ammons, District Supervisors, Vocational Rehabilitation.

Make an endebted to Thomas K. White, Editor, The Journal of Applied Make Allitation Counseling, for permission to include material from that the report.

Locally, major contributions were made by many persons: Hugh washin, David Williams, and George Shannon, physicians; Mrs. Margaret Televis, who was a provisor of Aides: Ralph Hester, the first project director; Mrs. Nary English; Harold D. Babcock, Director of Physical Tast: Jeruse Todes and Jerala Griffin in physical education; the St.

0

9

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	page
Significant findings for rehabilitation and social service workers	i
Title page	ii
Foreword	iv
Preface	vi
Acknowledgments	
Table of contents	x
List of tables	
Abstract	
I. Introduction	
A. Background information on project	1
B. Statement of the problem	1
1. General and specific purposes of the project	6
2. Theoretical and practical considerations	6
and practical constderations	7
TOURT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	8
TOTO VALUE TELEVALUE	9
D. Description of setting	10
II. Methodology	
	13
A. Project program and professional staff	13
The program	13
2. The Starr	15
b. Population and sample	16
c. variables investigated	21
D. Data collection and analysis	23
1. Tests, scales, and other means of data collection	24
2. Statistical rechniques	26
	20
III. Results	27
A. Academic	28
B. Physical	
C. Psychological	30
1. Tennessee Self Concept Scale	34
2. Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory	34
3. ATTITUDE Toward Disable O. 11	<b>3</b> 5
U. 50010 Modical	38
E. Vocational	40
E. Vocational	43
IV. Discussion and Implications of Results	47



xi	pe	age
V. Summa	ary	51
Reference	es .,	95
Appendice	es	59
Α.		$\hat{0}_{\beta}$
В.	<u> </u>	62
C.	*	63
D.		
	students	65
Ε.	Tennessee Self Concept Scale	67
	Males	
	1. Aides and handicapped	67
	2. Aides and general college	68
	3. Handicapped and general college	69
	4. All project and general college	70
	5. Aides, pre and post	71
	6. Handicapped, pre and post	72
	<u>Females</u>	
	7. Aides and handicapped	73
	<del></del>	74
	8. Aides and general college	75 75
	9. Handicapped and general college	76
	10. All project and general college	
	11. Aides, pre and post	77
	12. Handicapped, pre and post	78
F.	Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory	79
	Males	
	1. Aides and handicapped	79
	2. Aides, pre and post	80
	3. Handicapped, pre and post	81
	<u>Females</u>	
	4. Aides and handicapped	82
	5. Aides, pre and post	83
		84
	6. Handicapped, pre and post	04
G.	Strong Vocational Interest Blank	85
	Men	
	1. Aides and handicapped (1938, Form M)	85
	2. Aides and general college (1938, Form M)	87
	3. Handicapped and general college (1938, Form M)	89
	4. All project and general college (1938, Form M)	91



Heir

	5. Aides and handicapped (1966, Firm 1399) 6. Aides and general college (1966, Form 1399) 7. Handicapped and general college (1966, Form 1399) 8. All project and general college (1966, Form 1399)
	Women
	9. Aides and handicapped (1946, form W) 10. Aides and general college (1946, form W) 11. Undicapped to remeral college (1946, form W) 12. All project and general college (1946, form W)
н.	The Effects of Peer Contact on Attitudes Toward Disabled College Students

## PART II

MANUAL: STUDENT AIDES FOR PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED COLLEGE STUDENTS



## LIST OF TABLES

		S.,	
•	Presnaan profile for class entering 1970		
<u>:</u> .	scholastic aptitude test scores, freshman class 1970	. 3	٠.
3 <b>.</b>	Academic data for freshmen 1970 and for all project participant	<b>3</b> ,	
4.	Retention data and grade point averages upon graduation for project participants	• .	9
	immary of disabilities census - spring 1971		
•	Attitudes toward disabled college students	•	
· •	Mean MMPI raw scores for high and low popularity groups		



#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this project was to demonstrate that the use of of theur aides to assist selected physically handicapped students, in : junction with special physical facilities and individual counseling sessions for both the physically handicapped and the student aides, would result in a more satisfactory academic, physical, psychological, Doise, and vocational adjustment for both groups than would have otherwise been expected. The sample group consisted of twenty-nine mase handicapped, thirty-two male aides, thirty-three female handicapped, and seventy-two female aides. While the obtained results were not directly attributable to the project structure as such, they were Bli essentially in the predicted directions. Participants, when compared to general college students at this institution, made better addemic records and had a lower attrition rate. Most participants rand meaningful vocational placement which was related to their measured vocational interest profiles. Student aides thus appeared to make a positive contribution to the total educational process for physically handicapped students and the aide role as such had no negative effects on the aides' own progress.



#### I. INTRODUCTION

## A. Background information on project:

ally disabled students is reflected in numerous public and private programs, but only recently has concerted effort been given to a most obvious barrier to such opportunities for the handicapped. Physical facility planning for the elimination of architectural barriers is not widespread. Progress is anticipated in this respect as a result of a lederal-level commitment toward providing ways and means for widespread reduction of such barriers.

St. Andrews Presbyterian College, through the foresight of its Board of Trustees, took action in the late 1950's to assure that architects for the new campus near Laurinburg, North Carolina, would plan physical facilities for maximum accessibility by wheelchair students. With limited guides and experiences, construction engineers provided for ramps, ground floor access throughout the campus, and several other conveniences with the wheelchair and crutch-bound student in mind. With each year, college officials found and eliminated additional barriers not identified at construction time.

With architectural barriers minimized as far as the handicapped student was concerned, other problems began to come into focus with the first arrival of wheelchair students on the new campus in 1961. Some services designed for the regular resident student created problems of a near-impossible nature for certain handicapped students. Some



programs designed for the regular resident student created similar problems for certain handicapped students. Interpersonal relations between the various student participants presented problems that had not been anticipated and some of which were yet to be sufficiently well identitied for problem solving.

The Dean of Admissions and the Dean of Students, two key college staff members in matters relating new students, recognized several problems which would need resolution if severely disabled students were to have a reasonable chance for successful experiences in the St.

Andrews residential college setting. Other problems, unfortunately, were not recognized in the pre-admission process.

Questions arose as to whether an otherwise able quadriplegic should be accepted, as to how the process of shampooing and caring for the hair of an upper spinal fusion case should be undertaken, as to how many wheelchair students could be accommodated in each sixteen-person suite, as to the extent that special medical care and supervision should be given paraplegics resulting from cord injuries, and as to numerous other questions of personal needs presented as real and imagined ones by the handicapped students.

Such questions prompted the two college officials to seek further insights from experienced and knowledgeable professionals in rehabilitation clinics and agencies, beginning in the spring of 1962. From that beginning to the present time, it has been assumed that certain handicapped students otherwise qualified would require some special services if they were to be admitted for pursuit of an undergraduate degree at St. Andrews. Yet, services, both as to their nature and their extent, would need to parallel a study undertaken along lines which would help



identify essential academic program adjustments, additional physical plant improvements, and such other influences as social interplay and vocational placement. Physical well-being, and essential Health Center Services, of course, were other considerations with which expert assistance would be needed as more and more students with neuromuscular restrictions applied for admission. How to better understand the special services and adjustments which would be needed, and how to secure such information with reasonable accuracy, were additional questions which confronted the two college staff members during 1962-64 with rather discouraging results.

It became obvious that financial and professional resources immediately available to the Deans were not sufficient to handle the increasing number of problems associated with admission and retention of handicapped students. It also appeared that it would be necessary to stop admitting such students. One additional attempt was made in an effort to gain the attention needed and to secure necessary financial support in the college's interest to continue in its service to handicapped students. The two Deans prepared for the College Development Cfficer a proposal for funds from private and public agencies which might provide the professional and financial assistance needed. During late spring of 1965, an introduction to Miss Mary Switzer, Commissioner of Vocational Rehabilitation Administration in Washington, was gained and her interest and support was exceeded only by her insights toward possibilities for both the study of and services to handicapped students at St. Andrews.

The purposes of this project initially adopted were as follows:



0

- To provide sociological observation of the physically handicapped.
- 2. To evaluate the use of the student aide as counselor assistant.
- 3. To develop and evaluate sociological documentations of the student aide program under supervision.

Following review by Washington staff members and the National Advisory Council, it was decided that the St. Andrews proposal should be approved as a pilot study primarily for planning purposes.

As the college moved toward the official implementation of the pilot study, the problem of timing such implementation to coincide with the authorized beginning date of November 1, 1965, was not recognized. For example, one fundamental procedure provided for summertime orientation conferences between the handicapped, their parents, and project officials. The assembly of a more companience personal and medical history had been planned as a pre-registration period undertaking. The selection of student aides, and their initial in-service training sessions with and without their handicpaped partners, needed to start prior to the opening of college. It even seemed desirable to do this before the regular orientation period in which all students participate prior to academic registration.

College officials designated to provide primary leadership for the Vocational Rehabilitation Administration-St. Andrews Presbyterian College project, once funding was approved, realized that some compromising and some adjustments would be necessary. Initial organizational steps had to be undertaken during the summer months preceding the date for which approval was anticipated. It was decided, however, to withhold initiation of student and professional staffing negotiations, clerical



routines, and project orientation details until mid-August; and then such decisions by college officials had to be somewhat tentative pending firm authorization of the November 1 beginning date. Yet it should be stated that enthusiasm for the project in the fall of 1965-66 was high and that reception to the basic ideas underlying the project by parents, students, and staff was very satisfactory.

As was expected, several changes in original plans became necessary as problems of staffing, orientation, and project programming got under way. The Project Director recommended that a Project Policy Committee, separate from but inclusive of certain project staff members, be established for continual review of basic policy and procedural changes. And at the suggestion of Washington officials, a Research Director was added to the project staff.

A Project Policy Committee was established by the President of St. Andrews to review and approve as appropriate such major changes in project plans and procedures which the staff leadership believed necessary.

Certain changes became necessary during the initial stages of the project. Some of these were (1) changes in the selection of student aides; (2) the method of reporting observations in the activities of daily living of the handicapped participants in the study; and, among other things to be identified later in this report, (3) the manner for handling referrals from student aides and primary consultants to whom handicapped participants were assigned.

The original project proposal provided for the study of student aides to "severely handicapped" students, and it was anticipated that such aides would be assigned as the roommate aide of each of the several handicapped selected for participation in the study. As pointed



Þ

out in greater detail elsewhere in this report, roommate assignments in most instances had already been confirmed when the decision to undertake the study was made. Changes in such assignments for purposes of the project were resisted in several instances by both prospective aides and handicapped students, and by the parents of some of the handicapped students. With some adjustments in assignments, twenty-eight student participants were identified. Of this number, thirteen had some degree of physical limitation. Agreements as to release of personal and medical data for purposes of the project were developed (Appendices A and B), and initial conferences and group discussions of project plans were undertaken.

The project leadership believed that student participants should be equally divided between four primary staff consultants for periodic conferences to facilitate review of reports from the students and for basic contact between project leaders and students in an advisor-advisee context. Cross referrals were provided for in order that the Vocational Guidance Consultant, for example, would be available to all project participants having interests or problems in vocational career decision-making. Such regular advisor-advisee assignments provided what was believed to be a reasonable work load for the primary staff consultants, and freedom was extended to student participants to refer to any consultant when desired.

#### B. Statement of the problem:

#### 1. General and specific purposes of the project:

The purpose of this research and demonstration project was to demonstrate that the use of student aides to assist selected physically



handicapped students, in conjunction with special physical facilities and individual counseling sessions for both the physically handicapped and the student at les, would result in a more satisfactory academic, psychological, social, physical, and vocational adjustment for the pionically foods apped and their aides than might have otherwise been expected. A further purpose of this project was to accurately define the large of such student aides for the benefit of this institution and others who might undertake a similar project.

## 2. Theoretical and practical considerations:

Theoretical and practical considerations were given to the following which relate to the basic purposes of the project.

- a. What effect would the use of student aides have upon the academic performance of physically handicapped students in higher education, as determined by grade point averages, instructors ratings, and the results of standardized tests? And, what effect would assisting as aides have upon the academic performance of such student aides, as determined by the same evaluation devices?
- b. What effect would the use of student aides have upon the physical adjustment of physically handicapped students in higher education, as indicated through regularly scheduled medical examinations and as evaluated by a continuing analysis of activities of daily living?

  And, what effect would assisting as aides have upon the physical well-being of students assisting as aides, as determined by the same evaluation devices.
- psychological adjustment of physically handicapped students in higher education, as measured by psychological tests, systematic observation,



H

and personal interviews: And, what effect would assisting as aides have upon the psychological status of those students assisting as aides, as a terrilinear by the same or terral.

- What effect would the use of student aides have upon the signature at letter of physically handicapped students in higher the atlet, as measured by sociemetric tests, systematic observation, and personal interviews. And, what effect would assisting as aides have upon the sociological status of students assisting as aides, as measured by similar evaluative techniques?
- thenges in vocational decision-making of physically handicapped students in higher education, as measured by tests of vocational preference, and revealed through personal interviews? And, what effect would assisting as aides have upon the vocational choices of such aides, as evaluated in a like manner?
- post-college vocational adjustment of physically handicapped students, as evaluated by follow-up studies regarding job performance and satistantion? And, what effect would assisting as aides have upon the post-college vocational involvements of such aides, as evaluated in a similar tashion?

#### 3. Anticipated usable results of the project:

- a. Contribute data on the place of student aides in a college program for the physically handicapped.
- b. Provide the kind of information that other schools of higher education may need for making decisions regarding the admission of and programming for physically handicapped students.



The past large teen tragically hypassed.

The state of our efforts more physically handicapped might

has been to be potential abilities that lie behind every

## reche di retevant literature:

section to the state been conducted concerning physically handifaction to describe a fixed personal Preference Schedule to disabled and produce the fixed statents and adults. These similar studies sugdescribed that certain personality characteristics could be identified at any considerabled splege students. Differences between the results of the two studies maggested, however, that the findings could not be

A contracted was obtained by Tucker (1964) at Kansas State Teachers College. The results of the research were published in a handbook which provides a convenient reference for college administrators as they deal with sanding a convenient. Tucker suggested a formal approach to the needs of such students and encouraged the formation of a Rehabilitation Computee on campus as a first step. This handbook also gave specific according as a structure health, physical facilities modifications, and capputers of equipment.

v

٠,٠

Another basic resource for dealing with handicapped college students to the property Rabalem (1962). He reviewed the problems and needs of property bandicapped students on a national basis and concluded that the matter was simply overlooked in most instances.

physical and creaty engaged in a complete modification of physical facilities in order to eliminate architectural barriers. The majority of Missouri also completed a major project which involved to architectural barriers as well as consideration of the total area is readicapped students.

nas given the Objectsity of Illinois a nationwide reputation. Wayne the property also developed a formal program dealing with the same

These were come of the more significant studies and projects which the contracted during the St. Andrews pilot study period. Unique to the larger, however, was the use of student aides as a formal approach to the ingresortain physical needs of handicapped students.

#### bescription or the setting:

Andrews Presbyterian College is a four-year, coeducational profider tal college in Laurinburg, North Carolina, established in 1958 by the Presbyterian Synod of North Carolina, which elects trustees of the College. St. Andrews is innovative in its programs and teaching; convemporary in its 820-acre campus and in its intention to provide maximum opportunity for independent and interdisciplinary study.



Christianity and Culture is an interdisciplinary program and combines and interrelates religion, history, philosophy, literature, the social sciences, and the arts. It seeks to develop an understanding of Christianity in its impact upon Western civilization and in its application to the problems of our times, to impart a knowledge of non-Western civilizations, and to kindle a real concern for moral and religious values.

The same careful planning and consultation with leading educators that preceded the Christianity and Culture program and, more recently, the science curricula, now is being brought to bear in a third area—an interdisciplinary approach to the social and behavioral sciences. The new program integrates study in such areas as economics, business administration, politics, psychology, and sociology.

The College is accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. It is an institutional member of the National Association of Schools of Music and the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education. It also holds membership in the North Carolina Association of Colleges and Universities.

One of the chief attractions of the College is its new, contemporary style campus situated on 820 acres of rolling land, most of which lies in the city limits. Leading educational consultants were employed to work with expert architects and landscape architects to translate Christian educational philosophy into modern construction. Design of the campus won for its architects a first-place citation in national competition.

Most of the campus buildings are grouped on the northern and southern banks of a 70-acre lake. The major buildings for academic work--



the library, the liberal arts building, the new science building, and the music building--are on the southern bank; facilities for student housing, recreation, and extracurricular activities are located on the northern side. A causewalk restricted to pedestrians links the two areas. All permanent buildings are linked to a central air-conditioning and heating system. Every building has ramps and other design features for students with physical limitations.



#### II. METHODOLOGY

## A. Project program and professional staff:

#### 1. The program:

The basic program consisted of the selection, assignment, and supervision of roommate aides for handicapped students. Full details on this process are provided in Part II, a manual for administrators of such a program. Briefly, the selection of aides evolved over a period of six years from an administrative act which proved unsatisfactory on the whole, to a mutual self-selection process between the handicapped and the prospective aides. The project office served as a clearinghouse where handicapped students could come in search of prospective roommates and where prospective aides could come and volunteer their services.

While the project office did serve in a legitimate administrative role to protect the interests of all the students involved, actual pairing of handicapped students and aides was eventually limited to new students who had no recourse. Thus as students came to know each other better during each additional semester of residence in college, they were increasingly able to make appropriate choices as to roommates.

Aides were supervised by a full-time person who was a registered nurse. This supervisor held conferences approximately once per month with the aides, usually on an individual basis. During the summer trial sessions, the contacts were essentially on a daily basis, later diminishing as the aide became more secure in his role. Handicapped students were seen on approximately the same schedule by the project director and



were also seen informally by the supervisor of aides in the dormitories. Only in this way could a reasonable amount of medically-related information be made available to the project staff. It should be seen primarily as preventive medicine practice, both in regard to physical problems such as pressure sores and respiratory infections, and for emotional problems as well. Frequently, the contact with an adult who had a personal and professional interest was sufficient to prevent a major problem from developing.

A summer trial program was initiated for all prospective handicapped students in which they had an opportunity to demonstrate their level of physical, academic, psychological, and social functioning on this campus. The session coincided with the regular summer school dates and each prospective handicapped student took one or more courses for credit during the trial period. A great majority of students who participated in the trial session were then accepted into the fall semester, and where there were exceptions the student himself typically arrived at the decision himself. He had evaluated himself in the actual college setting and could judge his own capabilities at the conclusion of the trial experience.

The applied research phase of the project involved note taking in the interviews, pre- and post-testing of all students with standard-ized tests and scales, sociogram analysis of dormitory suites, and the collection of monthly time sheets from all aides.

The service phase of the project covered a wide range of activities. Counseling was provided by the project director and supervisor of aides on a regular basis. In addition, many unscheduled sessions were held as the need arose. For example, interpersonal conflicts within the



dormitory suites as well as between roommates themselves frequently required additional counseling time.

Transportation was provided for handicapped students who had appointments in town at a distance of approximately three miles one way, for urological problems, emergencies, and routine checkups. General medical services were available on campus each morning during the doctor's daily visits. Prescriptions were generally taken to one of the local pharmacies.

Adapted physical education provided opportunities for the handicapped to earn the required graduation credits in physical education. Activities included bowling, swimming, billiards, table tennis, archery, basketball, rifle shooting, and automobile driver training.

Other services which were provided in connection with the project include a new, full-size school bus with a hydraulic loading elevator for wheelchairs; automatic doors at several strategic campus locations; and vocational placement counseling for graduates.

#### 2. The staff:

The full-time staff consisted of two professional persons: the project director and supervisor of aides. Part-time consultant and support personnel consisted of three M. D.'s (one of whom was a urologist); four on-campus consultants with doctoral degrees in physical education, higher educational administration, sociology, and psychology; and three off-campus consultants with doctoral degrees in psychology, vocational rehabilitation, and rehabilitation counselor-education, respectively. The off-campus consultants became known as the Research Evaluation Team and this group made at least two visits to the campus in each year of the project. Their function was to provide an



objective review of the ongoing program and to write specific recommendations for the benefit of the project staff. In addition, the team also participated in the writing and review of annual progress reports.

#### B. Population and sample:

The total universe from which the project participants were drawn theoretically includes all students in the nation with college potential. More specifically, the St. Andrews student body throughout the duration of the project consisted of 850-950 students, nearly equally divided between males and females, from the Eastern seaboard, and approximately half from the state of North Carolina. The project population consisted of twenty-nine male handicapped, thirty-two male aides, thirty-three female handicapped, and seventy-two female aides, for a total population of one hundred sixty-six students.

A modest rise in the overall academic standing of the students admitted each year was observed but the freshman profile for the class entering in 1970 is a good representation of the local population from which the project participants were drawn. Routine admission to the college is granted under the following circumstances: the applicant is in the upper half of his graduating class; has at least 900 on the Scholastic Aptitude Tests with no less than 400 on either part; has no "D" grades in English; and is recommended by his high school counselor.



Table 1

# FRESHMAN PROFILE FOR CLASS ENTERING 1970

## School Background

Public	Private	<u>Total</u>
174	53	227

## Class Rank

Decile	Number	Percent	
Top Decile 2nd Decile 3rd Decile 4th Decile 5th Decile 6th Decile 7th Decile 8th Decile 9th Decile Bottom Decile	35 32 33 21 27 32 18 19	16 14 15 10 12 14 8 8	
	_	2	



Table 2

SCHOLASTIC APTITUDE TEST SCORES
FRESHMAN CLASS 1970

	Me	en en	Won	ien
Score Intervals	SAT-V	SAT-M	SAT-V	SAT-M
750-800	1	0	0	0
700-749	1	1	0	0
650-699	5	5	3	3
600-649	9	17	9	8
550-599	23	24	16	15
500-549	22	20	24	19
450-499	21	24	18	29
400-449	15	16	20	19
350-399	12	7	13	10
300-349	6	1	5	4
250-299	1	1	0	1
200-249	0	0	0	0

## Mean Score

	<u>Verbal</u>	Math	Total
Male	521	573	1094
Female	494	482	976
Total Average	508	528	1035

Table 3

ACADEMIC DATA FOR FRESHMEN 1970

AND

FOR ALL PROJECT PARTICIPANTS, 1965-1970

Constant		
Groups	Male	Female
High School Average:		
Handicapped	86.04	88.62
Aides	84.84	87.61
Freshmen 1970	79.38	82.04
Scholastic Aptitude Tests (S.A.T.) Verbe	al:	
Handicapped	522	502
Aides	499	485
Freshmen 1970	521	494
Scholastic Aptitude Tests (S.A.T.) Math:	:	
Handicapped	499	470
Aides	511	575
Freshmen 1970	573	482
Scholastic Aptitude Tests (S.A.T.) Total	:	
Handicapped	1016	1045
Aides	1014	975
Freshmen 1970	1094	976

These data illustrate how closely the project participants over the six year period have represented the total student body of St. Andrews from an academic standpoint. This is true in spite of the fact that aides had to be accepted into the project as available and that no surplus of aides was ever accumulated in any given semester. The handicapped sample consisted of all such students who enrolled in the college even though they were routinely "phased out" of the project after they had demonstrated their ability to function independently and no longer required the services of an aide.

It would appear reasonable to generalize the findings from this project to a rather wide range of higher educational settings in view of the many common characteristics shared by college students in their various institutions. The St. Andrews sample which was studied in this project is fairly representative academically of the majority of average college students across the nation. It should be noted, however, with regard to socioeconomic background that St. Andrews draws relatively heavily from affluent, upper middle class families. Consequently, a proportionately high incidence of students come from private schools.

Initially, it was hoped that formal experimental and control groups could be utilized for study purposes but the nature of this applied research and demonstration did not permit that approach. It quickly became apparent that the project population represented the total student body in most significant respects and therefore the general college data provided a practical and meaningful control group. The second problem with a control group occurred in reference to the handicapped who required aide or attendant care regardless of their project status. Since it was felt that the aide-attendant care constituted the



single most important variable in the total setting, and since this service could not be withheld from a control group, that approach was deemed non-teasible. Still further, from a practical standpoint, all handicapped students had access to essentially the same total campus services regardless of their project status, thus making it unrealistic to consider a control group approach to the study. For example, all handicapped students utilized the counseling resources, the physical education program, the same barrier-free campus setting, and all of the many positive aspects of the project setting regardless of their particular status in the project as such.

#### C. Variables investigated:

The major variables investigated in this project were academic, psychological, social, physical, and vocational. A critical assumption was made and in the absence of a controlled experimental situation it must remain an assumption. Stated in two parts the assumption was, first, that handicapped students present a wide variety of deficits in the variables under study in comparison with their able-bodied peers and therefore would not be expected to perform or to achieve at the same level as their able-bodied peers; second, that aides would have a level of demand upon their time and energy which might detract from their own needs. The net implication of this two-part assumption was that if project participants maintained during college their previous personal and academic achievement, then one might assume that the experience was positive.

In view of the assumption stated above, the evaluation procedures were designed to assess the progress of project participants in



comparison with the general college population. In general, it was also assumed that any observed changes in the project participants would be roughly parallel to the changes which are concomitant with the educational-maturational process experienced by the majority of college students as they move through the four-year degree program.

The original hypotheses were submitted as follows:

- 1. It is hypothesized that the physically handicapped students who receive supervised assistance from student aides in conjunction with individual counseling sessions will show greater positive changes on measures of psychological and social adjustment and greater vocational adjustment, physical adjustment, and academic achievement than physically handicapped students who do not receive such assistance.
- 2. It is hypothesized that the students who serve as aides to the physically handicapped under supervision and in conjunction with individual counseling sessions will show greater positive changes on measures of psychological and social adjustment and greater vocational adjustment and academic achievement than students who do not render such services.

These two hypotheses were modified as indicated earlier, eliminating the control group which was implied by the phrases: "... physically handicapped students who do not receive such assistance" and "... students who do not render such services." These parts of the hypotheses were replaced with the statement: "... than would otherwise have been expected."

Various methods, as deemed appropriate by the project staff and Research Evaluation Team, were employed to evaluate and qualify the variables under investigation. In outline form, the variables and the



evaluation method are as follows: by groups--male, female, aide, and handicapped.

- 1. Academic status and progress: high school average upon enroll-ment at St. Andrews; Scholastic Aptitude Tests (SAT-CEEB); and grade point ratio (GFA) during college.
- 2. Physical status and changes: routine college health record upon admission (Appendix C); a supplementary physical health record (Appendix D); clinical observations by nurse, physical therapist, and doctors; and formal muscle tests for the handicapped.
- 3. Psychological status and changes: standardized tests and scales upon entrance into the project and when leaving the project for any reason; and clinical observation in individual counseling sessions.
- 4. Sociological or social status: sociograms from selected dormitory suites; clinical observations in counseling sessions.
- 5. Vocational matters: standardized vocational interest inventory; post-graduation rollow-up by mail and telephone.

## D. Data collection and analysis:

All of the above data, with the exception of entering high school average and S.A.T. scores, were gathered by the supervisor of aides and project director. Beginning or baseline data were usually obtained during the first week of the summer trial session or first week of the fall term. The testing was typically done in a group with all project participants coming together at one time in a classroom or cafeteria alcove after mealtime hours. Post-testing upon exit from the project by means of graduation, demonstrated independence (handicapped), or withdrawal from college was normally on an individual basis in one of



the project offices. Sociograms were administered in the dormitory suites under supervision of the sociological consultant.

## 1. Tests, scales, and other means of data collection:

- a. The academic data are self-explanatory, including the nationally recognized Scholastic Aptitude Tests of the College Entrance Examination Board, Princeton, New Jersey.
- b. Physical data were collected by means of the St. Andrews
  Health Record, Supplementary Physical Health Record for Physically
  Handicapped Students, and clinical observations and muscle tests of the usual type employed in rehabilitation settings.
- c. Psychological data were obtained with the following instruments:

## (1) Tennessee Self-Concept Scale:

- (a) Norms: The standardization group from which the norms were developed was a broad sample of 626 people. The sample included people from various parts of the country and age ranges from 12 to 58. There were approximately equal numbers of both sexes, both Negro and white subjects, representatives of all social, economic, and intellectual levels from 6th grade through the Ph. D. degree. Subjects were obtained from high school and college classes, employers at state institutions and various other sources.
- (b) Reliability: Data is based on test-retest with sixty college students over a two-week period and coefficients for separate scales range from .61 to .92. A shortened version of the Scale with psychiatric patients yielded a reliability coefficient of .88 for the total positive score.



- (c) Validity: Content validity is based on unanimous agreement by the judges that an item was classified correctly. As a discriminator between groups of psychiatric patients and non-patients, the Scale differentiates at the .001 level for most scales. Correlations with the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory are in directions that could be expected from the nature of the scores. Correlations with the Edwards Personal Preference Schedule are quite low except that extreme high and extreme low scores are close on both Instruments.
- (2) The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory is a widely used instrument for distinguishing normal from pathological populations. The research studies on the M.M.P.I. are too extensive for summary here.
- (3) Attitudes Toward Disabled College Students was administered to project participants near the beginning of each project year, with continuing participants given re-tests each year. Reference is made to an unpublished dissertation for technical data: Auvenshine, C. D., "Attitudes Toward Severely Disabled Students," University of Missouri, 1962.
- d. Sociological data were obtained from observations, interviews, and a locally developed sociogram consisting of four questions regarding most desired "friend," "confidant," "leader," and "most friendly."
- e. Vocational data were obtained by means of the Job Satisfaction Inventory (Johnson, 1955) but this yielded such scattered
  returns that final emphasis was given to telephone follow-up contacts
  with graduates. The Strong Vocational Interest Blank for Men and



Women has a well-established reputation in vocational psychology and needs no elaboration in this report.

## 2. Statistical techniques:

All data were key-punched on standard IBM cards and various appropriate tests of significance were computed. Overall, there were either t-tests or analysis of variance programs run among and between all groups: aide, handicapped, male, female, project, and general college.



## III. RESULTS

In this section we will present the major findings of the project. The presentation will follow the same general outline as given above in the discussion of variables to be investigated. Data will be given from each area in either tabular or narrative form, or both, whichever form is more appropriate to the material being presented. In those areas in which the data are too extensive for tabular summary in the body of this report, such detailed tables will be found in the appendix. This is particularly true for the extensive psychological test data and the many statistical comparisons which have been made between various groups of pjects in the project.

Academic data will be given first, followed by physical, psychological, sociological, and vocational data in that order of presentation.

In view of the large amount of data which the project has generated, this report does not attempt an exhaustive analysis at the technical level. Instead, we have attempted to keep the overall purposes of a research and demonstration program in view, and have addressed ourselves to a wider audience instead. At some points we have sacrificed research sophistication for more immediately useful results. No apology is made for the fact that individual human needs were given priority over research needs when a given situation required us to make a single choice. On the other hand, such choices were infrequently necessary and throughout the life of the project we were normally able to meet both the human and research needs at once.



### A. Academic:

In the earlier description of the project population, we indicated that these students generally represented the total student body of St. Andrews College. It should be noted that the project participants did present somewhat stronger high school averages than did the 1970 freshman class. On the other hand, the project males came to the college with lower Scholastic Aptitude Test scores, while the project females were higher than the 1970 freshmen on that measure. Table 4 illustrates the fact that all project participants had greater academic achievement than general college students, regardless of entering academic status. For example, upon graduation project participants had grade point averages ranging from a low of 2.55 for male aides to a high of 2.76 for female handicapped. These compare favorably with the overall 1971 graduate average of 2.52.

It is further noteworthy as a scholastic achievement of the project participants as a group that a considerably higher percentage graduated from college than did typical college students. Thus we find a range of 61 per cent for male handicapped to 85 per cent for male aides graduating from college. By way of contrast, the overall retention rate for the college is approximately 42-43 per cent.

In summary, the academic data which were obtained in this project indicate that participation in the project did not prevent such students from making significant scholastic progress. Overall, in fact, they exceeded their non-project peers in terms of academic achievement. While there is no evidence to suggest that project participation had any causal relationship to such achievement, we might speculate that some such relationship did exist. We might wonder, for example, if



Table 4

RETENTION DATA AND GRADE POINT AVERAGES UPON GRADUATION FOR PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Sulijeete	Total Number Withdrawn		Currently Enrolled*	Number Graduated	Percent Graduated**	G.P.A. Upon Gradua- tion
Handicapped						
Male	29	9	6	14	61%	2.63
Female	33	10	_5	18	648	2.76
Tota	L 62	19	11	32	63%	
Aides						
Male	32	4	6	22	85%	2.55
Female	72	19	13	40	68%	2.70
Total	104	23	19	62	73%	
All Subjects	166	42	30	94	69%	

<sup>\*</sup> Does not include prospective students for Fall Term, 1971.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Calculated after subtracting currently enrolled students from total number.

involvement in the project did, in fact, provide a direction or meaning to participants' lives which tended to facilitate rather than retard their academic progress.

## B. Physical:

All project participants had access to the college health center as students of the college. In addition, the project nurse and physicians maintained a close contact with the handicapped students. Special attention was given to health problems such as pressure sores and accidental injuries due to the absence of sensation. The project urologist was utilized regularly on referral by the nurse and physicians in each case in which a urinary tract infection was suspected. As a result of this routine surveillance, it was possible to prevent many critical physical health problems from developing.

Two students withdrew from college as a result of complications arising from pressure sores. One of these two later returned to finish her degree and then entered graduate school.

During the total project period there were four deaths among students who were, or had been, participants in the project at one time. Three of the students had enrolled in the college with progressive, congenital problems and were diagnosed as having essentially zero life expectancies prior to their arrival at the college. The fourth student had a critical cardiac condition and had undergone open heart surgery prior to enrollment. In each of these cases, the parents or guardians had been completely open and frank in their evaluation of the high risk involved with their son or daughter. They also indicated later, with no



reservations, that the brief college experience for their child had been a completely worthwhile experience in spite of the ultimate loss.

In the early phase of the project, the participants certied to use the health services to a greater extent than other college students. For example, project handicapped averaged 6.47 visits to the health center per academic year; aides, 3.14 visits; and regular students, 1.84 visits. This tendency was no longer present during the last year of the project, but the overall student population had increased the average number of visits to the health center to 2.47 for the 1970-71 academic year. The early phase statistic is apparently due to an extreme number of visits from one or two handicapped students who not only had unusual physical-medical maintenance problems, but also some possible psychological dependency traits.

In the beginning of the project, staff members had no formalized way of evaluating the physical condition or needs of a prospective handicapped student. Parents tended to minimize the actual physical care requirements of their son or daughter, making it quite difficult to obtain an accurate picture of the student's needs. This tendency was not so much a deliberate distortion of facts as it was a result of their own familiarity with the problem and inability to see it objectively. Neither can one discount the intense anxiety of parents and their real to give a handicapped offspring every opportunity for obtaining an education.

In addition to the regular college health record required of all prospective student: (Appendix C), a supplementary list of questions (Appendix D) was developed by the project staff. With this information in hand, the staff could evaluate a prospective student's level of



# Table 5 SUMMARY OF DISABILITIES CENSUS--SPRING 1971

Mobility		Students
Wheelchair:		
quadriplegics		11
paraplegics		10
Crutches		ı
Braces		2
Disabilities		
Polio		10
Accidents		6
Cerebral Discrder		7
Hearing Loss		3
Vision Limitations		3
Spina Bifida		ı
Muscular Dystrophy		3
Heart Disease		2
Diabetic		4
Congenital Deformities		1
Epileptic		4
Orthopedic Problem		19
Dyslexia		1
Allergies		13
Male, 48; Female, 31	total	79



functioning with a fairly high degree of accuracy. By this method, a preliminary advance decision could be made concerning an applicant's ability to function in this setting. Experience demonstrated over the years that such decisions were correct in the great majority of cases. Table 5 shows that a wide range of disability was still present on campus during the last project year.

The summer trial session was the final screening process from a physical standpoint. For the handicapped, this involved an individual evaluation by a physician and a physical therapist upon their arrival on campus. The final test was a more pragmatic one, however, in which the student found out for himself whether or not he was able to meet the physical demands of a regular course load and all related tasks.

In summary, we again refer to academic retention data as evidence for physical progress as well. Aides and handicapped both presented better academic records and graduation rates than did the general student body. Physically, in terms of project results, these records mean that the project structure provided adequate medical-physical coverage which, in turn, allowed the students to devote necessary time to study. The full implication of this statement can be understood only in reference to the acute physical needs which were experienced by the handicapped and the support given by their aides. Instead of using physical needs as excuses for below average production, project participants met their needs individually and in teams of handicapped-aide and then went on to better than average academic output. Finally, it should be noted that all handicapped students also met the physical education requirements of the institution through adapted activity programs rather than through lecture courses.



### C. Psychological:

1. Tennessee Self Concept Scale. These data are presented in Appendix E, with separate tables for males, females, aides, handicapped, and general college subjects. Local norms were developed for the instrument and the sex differences were sufficiently large so as to justify separate analysis. Handicapped norms are also available as a result of the project, the major point of difference with able-bodied being found in Column A scores, Physical Self. This score was consistently lower for the handicapped.

An overall impression gained from these data is that project participants, aide and handicapped of both sexes, tend to present a more healthy self-concept than that of the general college population. The project participants also scored significantly lower, on the whole, on the empirical scales: Defensive Positive, General Maladjustment, Psychosis, Personality Disorder, Neurosis, and Personality Integration.

Looking further, we note that certain specific differences exist between male groups on the Tennessee Self Concept Scale. The Row Total Variability score is lower for the handicapped than for general college males. This tends to indicate that the handicapped were more certain about their identity and that a closer correspondence prevailed between their actual behaviors and their identities as self-perceived. As noted above, the Physical Self score is typically lower for handicapped as opposed to the able-bodied. This is probably best understood as a reality factor in which the handicapped faces his physical limitations as such rather than interpreting the score as having a special psychological significance.



The general college male population tended to use a neutral response category more than the project participants did on this scale, possilly suggesting less certainty about themselves as a group. This also tends to indicate less congruence or consistency between their behaviors and how they perceive themselves. The aides as a group have higher Personality Disorder and Neurosis scores than the general male sample and this may be related to the aides' tendency to have a moralistic view of themselves—a compulsion to be in a helping role. On the pre—and post-testing comparisons, male aides did show a marked reduction in Row Total Variability and on the Psychosis scale.

Female aides have higher scores than their general college counterparts on Social Self and Personal Self and use less neutral response categories. The handicapped females have higher Personal Self scores than the aides and lower Defensive Positive scores.

This scale has suggested that the project participants on the whole tended to have a better personal adjustment and more accurate, congruent self-concepts than the general college population. In addition to this selection factor initially, the project participants also tended to show greater gains in a positive sense during their experience in the project than did their fellow students who were not directly associated with the study.

2. Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory. All project subjects were tested on the MMPI and these data are given in Appendix F. Usually the first testing was done as early as possible after the person entered the project. Whenever possible, a second testing was done at the time the person terminated in the project for any reason. The time



between initial testing and second testing varied considerably among the individual subjects. Usually several months intervened between the testings.

The pre- and post-test results were compared on all the MMPI scales for each of the four treatment groups--male, female, aide, and handicapped. Pre- and post-mean scores for each scale were compared by the t-test. Several differences were found which are statistically significant beyond the .05 level of significance.

For the combined project females (aide and handicapped), the Hs, Hy, and Mf scores changed significantly. The Hs and the Mf scores were higher on the post-test. The Hy score was lower on the post-test. This indicates that as a group the females become more sensitized and concerned about the body function, less "effeminate," and less inclined to use the disability as a defense mechanism by somatizing or converting their personal inadequacies to a debilitated body condition.

The female aides' scores changed only on the Hy scale. That was in a downward direction. Again, this might be interpreted as a lessening of the tendency to "convert" to a defective body part some other aspect of themselves which might be unacceptable as a defense mechanism.

The handicapped female group had lower scores on both Hy and Pd scales.

The lowering of Hy was interpreted above for the other two female groups.

The lowering of the Pd scores probably indicates a tendency toward less rebelliousness, less exploitation of others, and a willingness to get closer to other people emotionally.

Among the male handicapped, lower scores were obtained on Hy and Ma scales. The Hy has been interpreted above. The lowered Ma reflects a reduction in hypermanic activity, likely a function of "settling in" or making an adjustment to college life.



The male aides' scores changed on several scales, a lowering in each case except for K. That scale came up on the post-test indicating the need to present oneself in a favorable way, a kind of defensiveness.

The other changes, all in a downward direction, were on D, Hy, Pd, Pa, Pt, Ma, Si, and dependency. The lower D indicates less worry and depression. The Hy indicates less somatization, lower Pd indicates willingness to get involved more emotionally and intimately with other people, less rebelliousness, and less tendency to use others.

The lowered Pa indicates a lowered concern for what others think about one, less sensitivity to the feelings of others. The lowered Pt indicates a tendency toward greater flexibility or less rigidity. The lower Ma indicates the dropping out of some of the hyperactivity as a defense mechanism. The lower Si indicates a tendency toward less social introversion or more social outgoingness. The lowered dependency score indicates less dependency or more self-sufficiency on the part of the aides.

Some of the findings above might have been anticipated between preand post-testing. Most of the changes, if not all of them, could be
said to be in a favorable or healthy direction. Since there is no control group of non-project subjects it is impossible to attribute the
changes to the project. Probably some of the changes are attributable
to the project while others are a result of exposure to and interacting
in a college milieu and of the maturation and adjustment process. It is
interesting to note, too, that greater changes occurred for some groups
than for others. Also most change occurred on scales which pertain
either to anxiety and depression (and the methods used for their control) or to the process of relating to others. Likely this is because



those phenomena are most closely associated with the presence of a disability such as paraplegia or quadriplegia. Also, these are more transcent and subject to change as compared to scales which have to do with psychoses and personality disorders.

throughout the project as a means of examining basic attitudes toward the handicapped participants. In addition to a general college sample on this scale, all project participants were tested, including the handicapped. Higher scores on the scale indicate more favorable or positive attitudes toward the handicapped and females generally make higher scores than males although not in every instance. Table 6 below shows the rather wide range of scores which have been made by the several groups on this scale.

The most interesting finding with this scale is the declining scores over time made by all project aides, male and female. This "less favorable" attitude picture can hardly be taken at face value but should probably be interpreted as increased honesty. As the aides became more familiar with the handicapped as human beings they were increasingly willing to point out faults and to criticize the handicapped as friends. If this is the case, the less protective attitude is a positive by-product of the project experience.



Table 6
ATTITUDES TOWARD DISABLED COLLEGE STUDENTS

Group	Mean	S.D.
		discriberatura generalistando de casa de composito de la casa de composito de compo
General College Males (N=66)	74.44	21.26
General College Females (N=87)	79.10	18.43
Female Aides (N=63)		
rirst year	85.23	22.32
Second year	82.17	24.09
Third year	69.62	21.86
Male Aides (N=27)		
First year	89.64	17.88
Second year	84.54	19.59
Third year	81.75	16.86
emale Handicapped (N=34)		
First year	84.56	15.23
Second year	85.00	19.52
Third year	94.00	28.55
ale Handicapped (N=21)		
First year	83.62	19.82
Second year	77.11	18.66
Third year	68.50	36.61

### D. Sociological:

the complex interactions between handicapped and aide, project participants and general college students did not lend themselves to objective analysis. The more crucial incidents between individuals and groups certainly occurred in the privacy of dormitory rooms or in the many hours of informal social contact throughout the campus. Thus the project staff was faced with an exceedingly difficult task in the evaluation of sociological and social factors related to the study. In addition to clinical observation of individuals by staff members, oral reports from residence directors and faculty members, and similar means of gauging a student's total adjustment, a careful study of dormitory suites was made with a sociogram and the Minnesota Multiphasic Inventory. The results of that approach to the problem are reported in the following paragraphs.

The total group of handicapped, aides, males, and females were divided in two groups (approximately half of the subjects in each group), the most and least popular subjects according to the ratings received by their peers on the sociometric device. Then the high popularity and low popularity persons were compared on the MMPI scores within each of the four subject samples. Table 7 below includes the mean MMPI scores for the high and low groups. No test of significance was done due to the smallness of the N used in deriving the mean for some of the cells. However, some of the differences are great enough and consistent enough among categories that they seem to indicate some real differences. Because of the smallness of the N, any interpretation of this set of data must be a very conservative one.



The MMPI scores which best differentiated high and low groups were the D, Mf, Sc, Ma, Si and the Navran "Dependency" scale. The low groups were generally higher on D, Mf, Si, and Dependency. The high groups were higher on Sc and Ma. Assuming that these findings are real, the low popularity subjects as a group were more depressed, more withdrawn socially, and lacking in sex role identification to a greater extent. Also the low popularity aides, both male and female, expressed more dependency than the high group. On the other hand, the high popularity group for the male handicapped appeared to be more dependent than the low group. The high popularity group generally was more hypermanic and engaged in fantasy to a greater extent.

ERIC

Table 7

MEAN MMPI RAW SCORES FOR
HIGH AND LOW POPULARITY GROUPS

	L		F		K		Hs		D		Нy		>d	
	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	.o	Hi	is
Femále Handi-														
capped	3.1	4.6	4.6	3.6	15.5	16.9	6.0	5.4	18.5	19.5	21.2	21.2	16.2	1.5.4
Female Aides	2.7	4.3	5.4	6.1	14.4	16.9	7.0	5.9	15.8	21.4	22.4	23.7	15.1	15.0
Male Handi- capped	3.2	3.0	4.8	2.5	16.2	16.5	5.6	4.7	20.6	17.5	20.8	23.2	17.6	15.0
Male Aides	4.0	2.5	2	5	19.5	13.5	2.2	6.2	16.2	19.7	2 <b>3.</b> 5	22.5	13.7	<b>17.</b> 7

	Mf		Mf Pa		Pt		Sc		Ma		Si		Dep.	
	Hi	пo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo
Female Handi-														
capped	34.7	38.5	9.9	9.8	12.1	12.6	14.2	11.7	20.0	16.9	18.7	25.9	21.2	21.1
Female Aides	34.9	41.1	11.0	9.7	13.5	13.9	15.3	12.9	20.4	16.9	19.5	28.4	20.7	23.4
Male Handi- capped	26.2	27.5	8.8	8.2	12.6	8.7	15.4	7.7	18.8	16.5	24.8	21.0	16.2	10.1
Male Aides	24.0	29.2	9.7	9.5	6.7	16.2	3.7	17.0	16.2	20.2	13.7	20.2	15.7	22.2

Higher D score for low group except male Hc Mf higher for the low group

Sc higher for hi group except for M aides
Ma higher for hi group except for M aides
Si higher for all low groups except male Hc

Dependency scores higher for:
lo male aides
hi male handicapped
lo female aides



ر --

In submary, the cosmological data for all project participants, coupled with minical observation of their progress, tend to present a positive picture. It should be noted that nearly all elected at tent offices were held by handicapped students and by aides at some point during the rife of the project. Participation in the study did not isolate the students and disability as such did not prevent such students from having a fully rounded college experience.

### E. Vacational:

Project results in this area are of two general types: test profiles which are found in Appendix G and vocational placement information which will be reported in this section.

There are several points of difference on the Strong Vocational Interest Blank between handicapped and general college males. The handicapped males score higher on the occupational scales for banker, public administrator, sales manager, school superintendent, and social science teacher. They score lower than general college males on the architect, biologist, engineer, and physician scales. It appears that the handicapped tend to favor occupations which involve people in relationships which are relatively well structured and roles are clearly defined. They also appear to lean toward a somewhat aggressive and manipulative role in comparison to the general college males.

Handicapped females show fewer significant differences than males, with higher scores on English teacher and psychologist, lower scores on physical education teacher in high school. The handicapped females may be more introspective than the able-bodied females as a group.



when male aides are compared with the general college males, there are several distinct differences which suggest definite personality or temperare ment trends. Aides more frequently choose occupations which involve nurturing, service, one-to-one, and introspective relationships with others. They are more aesthetic and health sciences oriented than the general population and score higher in human relations occupations, social work, and physical therapy. In addition, they consistently avoid occupations which require considerable attention to detail or tasks which are "things oriented." Aides as a group are less aggressive in their human relationships, less dominant, less manipulative of people, and even less group minded on the whole. They avoid occupational roles which are sales oriented in any sense.

Female aides score higher than general college females on engineer, home economics teacher, nurse, occupational therapy, physical therapy, college and high school physical education teacher, physician, psychologist, social worker, and YWCA secretary. They score lower on buyer, life insurance saleswoman, office worker, and stenographer-secretary. There are similarities between the occupational preferences of the male and female aides with both emphasizing the health sciences and the non-aggressive, nurturing role. Female aides also reflect the same disinterest in details as do the male aides.

The vocational placement of project participants has been consistently good from the beginning. Information for the class of 1971 is not yet compiled and general alumni records are not complete. For project participants, however, through the class of 1970, there has been nearly 100 per cent vocational placement.



One handicapped female was not placed during the year following her graduation from St. Andrews. This student was a transfer to the latter deter two years of junior college. She had a marginal psychological adjustment and a marked dependency on her parents in comparison with the majority of handicapped students. According to project records, all other handicapped graduates had found some type of employment or, in the case of females, two were married and established as nomemakers.

The types of work found by handicapped graduates do not fit a pattern as such but tend to represent the occupations filled by the total graduating classes. Project handicapped are placed in accounting, data processing, news writer, teaching, medical technology, vocational rehabilitation counselor, retail sales, handicapped taxi service, and counselor for Goodwill Industries. Eight handicapped graduates went on to further education in the following fields: theology, philosophy, rehabilitation, law, religious education, and music education. Fxamination of the available alumni data for the total institution indicates that these various vocational placements closely parallel those of the general student body upon graduation.

Project aides have also pursued a wide variety of occupations, but on the whole their placements have reflected the interests reported earlier in reference to the Strong Vocational Interest Blank. In comparison to the general alumni, aides have more frequently found placement in the human relations and service oriented occupations. While available records do not permit statistical comparisons as such, the trend is quite clearly in support of the vocational interest profiles. In other words, predictions could be made with some confidence as to the types of employment to be chosen by aides upon their graduation. They



46 .

more frequently choose the ministry, medicine, teaching, coaching, health services, and counseling than do the general correge graduates. They more frequently reject accounting, sales, management and similar occupations than do the general college graduates. While these vocational findings are not particularly surprising in themselves, they do tend to authenticate the total project analyses.



# OV DIE LIEBEN WAND THE TO GREEN VERSIER RELIEVE

eliminate architectural barriers for students who had diff ... one modifies on campus. However, the findings of this project have ontributed greatly to a continuation of this concept and yet have shown the need to be continually alert to oversights in actual construction practices. For example, a new teaching auditorium was constructed during this project period and no internal ramps were included. As an outgrowth of the project there is continued evidence of changes in physical plant. Sliding doors have been installed in the Student Center, Physical Education Building, Library, Liberal Arts Building, and Science Building. Remodeling of bathroom facilities both within the dormitories and other buildings has occurred. In addition, placement of telephones, water fountains, and work desks reflect the needs of the physically handicapped. A second auditorium was remodeled and ramps and stations for wheelchairs were provided.

Since one of the unique factors of this project was the use of student aides, a major concern pertained to selection, retention, and instruction of these personnel. Aides were administratively chosen and regular, weekly orientation periods were conducted early in the program. It was discovered that this approach was not feasible and that it created an administrative-project-personnel conflict. Investigation of this difficulty revealed that a self-selection process between handicapped and aides is feasible and desirable. In other words,

insofar as possible, the project office served as a clearinghouse for aides and handicapped. The better morale of project participants appeared to be a direct influence to the self-selection process. We found this to be particularly true for female aides. Nevertheless, when more student-to-student selection was employed the quality of aides improved.

One observable aspect of the outcome of this project is the attitudes of non-handicapped students toward the handicap. The camaraderie on campus between these two groups is noticeably high. There is a cooperative spirit among all students to provide assistance when needed, whether the person is a designated aide or not. The effect upon attitudes toward handicapped students was demonstrated in a study by the Project Director and Alvin H. Smith (1970; Appendix H). A significant difference was found in attitudes toward the disabled among students who were exposed to the presence of such students and those who were not exposed. This difference, a change to a more positive attitude, was more prevalent among females than males.

One might have expected the following problem but mention of it is highly desirable. This problem has to do with mechanical housekeeping chores. Delicate equipment such as electric-drive wheelchairs require constant repairs--both major and minor. The only solution to this problem seems to be the provision of someone on campus who is skilled in such mechanical techniques.

The summer trial program was successful and is highly recommended as an integral part of the admissions program. While only two of ten summer-on-trial in 1970 were not granted admission, the total program was a success.



Andrews received throughout the United States caused a rash of applicants from physically bindicapped students who had been refused admission to other colleges. This made the admission selection of great import. It would be need possible to overcrowd the racilities and staff with too great a perpettion of handicapped students. A balance of special students to the total student population was observed.

After serious consideration concerning the use of physical facilities by the handreapped, it was decided that a maximum of approximately three per cent of the student body could be handicapped. This conclusion was based upon variables such as having only one handicapped student per dormitory suite, fire and safety hazards, available medical facilities, classroom congestion, and extra time demands upon professors. Ultimately, the philosophical foundation for having a quota was round in the desire to offer handicapped students a fully normal college experience without a rehabilitation or medical atmosphere which might be created by larger numbers of handicapped.

Adaptive Physical Education and Driver Training were highly successful in the program. This required cooperation of teaching personnel as well as state but this cooperation again supports attitudes change which occurs when the presence of an organized program for handicapped is present and the college community meets and works with all students on an individual basis.

In the original proposal it was recommended that formal counseling sessions be required for aides and handicapped. It was felt that additional personality problems would occur because of the special



conditions or the contact and are of andess. This practice was discontinued and yet a produce one are on was apparent. All these students needed was to be treated in terms of the individuality and not as a special group or a unique problem. Individual counseling was available should one desire to seek in a certice. Required weekly meatings also failed for the same readon. A special anademic, credit course was implemented in the opining, 1968, but did not produce the desired results. Once again, it appears that personal, one-to-one involvement provides the human nourishment needed.

The final result of this study appears to be the success rate of the handicapped, specifically as it relates to graduation. In addition, the placement of those who graduate is an important factor.

In conclusion, the demonstration of the use of student aides to provide assistance to physically mandicapped students in higher education was an overwhelming success. It appears to be feasible as well as desirable and the aides appear to have profited from the experience as well as those who were classified as handicapped.



When his a monotion of set i set i es for the handicapped students, it when his a monotions of set i es for the handicapped students, it when a set it is of the december it sabilities up to, and including, and including, and including the december satisfactory progress at this institution. At the same time, other stidents with physical limitations also made satistic. If you gives using random, volunteer stident help. It appears that word happed inducted are loss to function on an architecturally inductive the last without formal assistance in their activities of daily fixing. Assistance as such, however, appears to be an absolute deed for many stidents, even though it may be obtained on an individual carrelation of stident aide and adult acreditant satisface throughout the duration of this study.

Probably the angle most important principle which evolved from this study was he desirability of having a mutual self-selection process. Probably hardinapped and prospective aides. New students in their first silvesies had no be to for selecting an aide and administrative assignments were made as needed. All returning students after one semester, between, whose each other in this critical relationship. The majority or interpersonal conflicts were resolved in this way; the participants had invested in the relationship by making the original choice theoreties.

As a general rule, student aides should not be required to meet the



attendants a second fraction of student aids of two seconds and seconds and seconds adult attendant for early murning and seconds and seconds are seconds attendant satisfactory.

summer trial program who have the with regular summer school dates and the trial should also extend to a sometime tength of time. It is also desirable that them, but not a senior should be screened whenever possible, thereby giving them an additional year to make alternate plans or to receive further rewrapy in needed.

Levels and types or desarring to be served by an institution will largely determine what types it start and services will be required. A major policy decision print to the establishement of any program of services must be made in this repair. The basic issue is whether or not the institution proposed to accept and to serve any applicants who will require essentially total corsing care. The implications of this policy decision are white the other hand, regardless of the levels of disability to be served, a central office is desirable. A counselor-coordinator of services and a supervisor of aides are minimum staff needs. Such at track we read as a clearinghouse for handicapped students who need lides and at dents with financial need who are interested in receiving an aide assignment. When this approach is taken, handicapped students pay for aide services through the rehabilitation office rather than a along directly with their roommate-aide. This approach tends to radificate the numberate relationships for both parties.



د .'

Which is required by quadriplegic students. Provision should be made to private baths and more space in rooms for special equipment such as theyer fifts and extra wheelchairs.

atules, share is an important principle in dealing with handicarped atules, and their aides as described in this report. They require an essentially individual approach in all phases of the program and will typically ignore group tactics. Group meetings of aides or handicapped are seldom useful in a program of this type, but personal counseling and supervisory contacts will be utilized regularly.

#### REFERENCES

- Anthony, William A. The effects of contact on an individual's attiinde toward disabled persons. Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin, 1969, 12, 3, 168-171.
- Advenshine, C. D. The development of a scale for measuring attitudes roward severely disabled college students. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Missouri, 1962.
- Butcher, James N. (Ed.) MMPI: Research developments and clinical application. McGraw Hill, 1969.
- Sons, 1960.
- Condon, Margaret E. Ten year survey of physically handicapped students at the City College of New York. Personnel and Guidance Journal, 1957, 36.
- Dunn, Marilyn. Satisfaction with social relations ups of college students who are physically disabled. Unput shed doctoral disservation, University of Illinois, 1967
- Eber, Herbert W. Multivariate analysis of a vocational rehabilitation system. The Society of Multivariate Experimental Psychology, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama, 1966.
- Ferguson, George A. Statistical analysis in psychology and education.
  McGraw Hill Co., New York, New York, 1966.
- Fitts, William H. Tennessee Self Concept Scale Manual. Counselor Recordings and Tests, Nashville, 1965.
- Fitts and Hammer. The self-concept and delinquency. Marshall & Bruce Co., Nashville, Tennessee, 1969.
- Goldin, George, et al. Dependency and its implications for rehabilitation. Northeastern Studies and Vocational Rehabilitation, Boston, Massachusetts, April 1967, Monograph #1.
- Hathway and McKinley. Manual for the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory. The Psychological Corporation, New York, New York. Revised 1957.
- Homans, G. C. The human group. Harcourt Brace and World, New York, 1950.



68

- Insko, C. Theories of attitue change. Applieton-Century-Croits, New York, 1967.
- Johnson, G. An instrument is: the measureMent of job satisfaction. Personnel Psychology, 1988, 8, 200000
- Jordan, J. E. Attitudes toward edulation and physically disabled persons in eleven nations. Latin American Studies Center, Michigan State University, 1968.
- Lofquist, Lloyd H. (Ed.) rsychological research and rehabilitation. American Psychological Association, Washington, D. C., 1960.
- Mase, Darrell J., and Williams, Charles F. The assessment of college experience of severely handicapped individuals. University of Florida, 1964.
- Morrow, J. C. (Planning Director) final report of comprehensive statewide planning for vocational rehabilitation services in the state of North Carolina. Division of Vocational Rehabilitation and North Carolina Commission for the Blind, Raleigh, N. C., 1968.
- Muthard, John E., and Miller, Leonard A. The criteria problem in rehabilitation counseling. The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, 1966.
- Navran, Leslie. A rationally deprived MMP1 scale to measure dependency. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 18:3, 1954, p. 192.
- Rusalem, Herbert. Guiding the physically handicapped student. Columbia University, New York, 1962.
- Schuman, H., and Harding, J. Sympathetic identification with the underdog. The Public Opinion Quarterly, 1963, 27, pp. 230-241.
- Stone, J. Blair. The Edwards Personal Freference Schedule and physically disabled college students. Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin, V. 9, No. 1, September, 1965.
- Tucker, William V. Higher education and handicapped students. Kansas State Teachers College, 1964.
- Tucker, William V. The personality traits of physically disabled students as measured by the Edwards Personal Preference Schedule. Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin, V. 7, No. 3, March, 1964.
- Urie, Robert M., and Smith, Alvin H. The effects of peer contact on attitudes toward disabled college students. Journal of Applied Rehabilitation Counseling, I, 1970, 4, 24-32.
- Welsh and Dahlstrom. Basic readings on the MMPI in psychology and medicine. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1956.



- West, Devone R. A comparison of the psychological needs of disabled and nondisabled college students and adults. Lubbock, Texas, 1965 (Mimeographed).
- Wright, Beatrice A. Physical disability--a psychological approach. Harper, New York, 1960.
- Yuker, H. E., Block, J. R., and Younng, Janet. The measurement of attitudes foward disabled persons. Human Resources Study No. 7, Human Resources Foundation, Albertson, New York, 1966.

### APPENDICES

- A. Student Aide Agreement
- B. Physically Handicapped Student Agreement
- C. St. Andrews Student Health Examination Record
- D. Supplementary Physical Health Record for Handicapped Students
- E. Tennessee Self Concept Scale
- F. Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory
- G. Strong Vocational Interest Blank
- H. The Effects of Peer Contact on Attitudes Toward Disabled College Students



### APPENDIX A

REHABILITATION PROJECT  ST. ANDREWS PRESEYTERIAN COLLEGE  CLASS:  WORKSHIP:  The following statements constitute an understanding of the mutual responsibilities shared by the Rehabilitation Project Staff and the students who participate as aides to the handicapped.  1. Participation in the project will not require changes in your academic program except as otherwise might be appropriate outside the scope of the Rehabilitation Project.  2. Participation in the project commits you to serve the purposes of the project as most important in your routine, second, of course, to your academic and personal health considerations. Your relations to the project, the project staff; and other participants will be in keeping with guidelines approved by the professional staff. While you are expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways, you will not ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, intimate, or professional-type tasks unless approved by the project staff with your consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of Aides in conference with your handicapped partner. It is understood that your role may be subject to revision from time to time in conference with all parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual conferences with the Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project is primarily a study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, which at the sam time provides essential services for such students in residence at the College.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involves the knowledge of confidential information on the part of each participant. Such information is released only to authorized professional personnel for purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the workship shown above assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the judgment of the project Director for purposes of the study.  8. It is further understood t	REHABILITATION PROJECT  ST. ANDREWS PRESBYTERIAN COLLEGE  CLASS:  WORKSHIP:  The following statements constitute an understanding of the multies shared by the Rehabilitation Project Staff and the stude ipate as aides to the handicapped.  1. Participation in the project will not require changes program except as otherwise might be appropriate outsithe Rehabilitation Project.  2. Participation in the project commits you to serve the project as most important in your routine, second, of academic and personal health considerations. Your religions will with guidelines approved by the professional staff. We expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, professional-type tasks unless approved by the project consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of conference with your handicapped partner. It is unders role may be subject to revision from time to time in coall parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual conference Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, time provides essential services for such students in rolege of confidential information in the project involedge of confidential information on the part of each painformation is released only to authorized professional purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the work assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the jupicipate to additional fess or charges not currently unyour attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project project birector for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervision of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervision of the study.	8	STUDENT	AIDE AGREEMEN	T	NAME:	
WORKSHIP:  The following statements constitute an understanding of the mutual responsibilities shared by the Nehabilitation Project Staff and the students who participate as aides to the handicapped.  1. Participation in the project will not require changes in your academic program except as otherwise might be appropriate outside the scope of the Rehabilitation Project.  2. Participation in the project commits you to serve the purposes of the project as most important in your routine, second, of course, to your project, the project staff, and other participants will be in keeping with guidelines approved by the professional staff. While you are expected or assist the handicapped in a number of ways, you will not ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, intimate, or professional—type tasks unless approved by the project staff with your consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of Aides in conference with your handicapped partner. It is understood that your role may be subject to revision from time to time in conference with reproject project p	WORKSHIP:  The following statements constitute an understanding of the multitles shared by the Rehabilitation Project Staff and the stude ipate as aides to the handicapped.  1. Participation in the project will not require changes program except as otherwise might be appropriate outsithe Rehabilitation Project.  2. Participation in the project commits you to serve the project as most important in your routine, second, of academic and personal health considerations. Your relay project, the project staff, and other participants will with guidelines approved by the professional staff. We expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways professional-type tasks unless approved by the project consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of conference with your handicapped partner. It is unders role may be subject to revision from time to time in coall parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual confere Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, time provides essential services for such students in recollege.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involedge of confidential information on the part of each pain information is released only to authorized professional purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the work assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the juproject staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in thi obligated to additional fees or charges not currently un your attendance at \$1. Andrews. Services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervisor of Aides  Please return on the project will assure you of the work assuming the project participant.  Signature of Student Participant.	F	REHABIL	ITATION PROJEC	т	ME ADDRESS:	
The following statements constitute an understanding of the mutual responsibilities shared by the Rehabilitation Project Staff and the students who participate as aides to the handicapped.  1. Participation in the project will not require changes in your academic program except as otherwise might be appropriate outside the scope of the Rehabilitation Project.  2. Participation in the project commits you to serve the purposes of the project as most important in your routine, second, of course, to your academic and personal health considerations. Your relations to the project, the project staff, and other participants will be in keeping with guidelines approved by the professional staff. While you are expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways, you will not ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, intimate, or professional-type tasks unless approved by the project staff with your consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of Aides in conference with your handicapped partner. It is understood that your role may be subject to revision from time to time in conference with all parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual conferences with the Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project is primarily a study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, which at the sam time provides essential services for such students in residence at the College.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involves the knowlinformation is released only to authorized professional personnel for purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the workship shown above assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the Judgment of the project staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this study are not obligated to additional fees or charges net currently understood by provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and appro	The following statements constitute an understanding of the muities shared by the Rehabilitation Project Staff and the stude ipate as aides to the handicapped.  1. Participation in the project will not require changes program except as otherwise might be appropriate outsi the Rehabilitation Project.  2. Participation in the project commits you to serve the project as most important in your routine, second, of academic and personal health considerations. Your relieve the project staff, and other participants will expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways professional staff. We expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways professional-type tasks unless approved by the project consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of conference with your handicapped partner. It is unders role may be subject to revision from time to time in coall parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual conference project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, time provides essential services for such students in recollege.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involedge of confidential information on the part of each participation is released only to authorized professional purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the work assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the jupoject staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this obligated to additional fees or charges not currently understood that you as a services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Robert M. Urie Sudent Aide: Please return on the part of each participant.	ST. A	undrews	PRESBYTERIAN			
The following statements constitute an understanding of the mutual responsibilities shared by the Rehabilitation Project Staff and the students who participate as aides to the handicapped.  1. Participation in the project will not require changes in your academic program except as otherwise might be appropriate outside the scope of the Rehabilitation Project.  2. Participation in the project commits you to serve the purposes of the project as most important in your routine, second, of course, to your academic and personal health considerations. Your relations to the project, the project staff, and other participants will be in keeping with guidelines approved by the professional staff. While you are expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways, you will not ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, intimate, or professional-type tasks unless approved by the project staff with your consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of Aides in conference with your handicapped partner. It is understood that your role may be subject to revision from time to time in conference with all parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual conferences with the Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project is primarily a study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, which at the sam time provides essential services for such students in residence at the College.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involves the knowlinformation is released only to authorized professional personnel for purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the workship shown above assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the Judgment of the project staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this study are not obligated to additional fees or charges net currently understood by provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and appro	The following statements constitute an understanding of the muities shared by the Rehabilitation Project Staff and the stude ipate as aides to the handicapped.  1. Participation in the project will not require changes program except as otherwise might be appropriate outsi the Rehabilitation Project.  2. Participation in the project commits you to serve the project as most important in your routine, second, of academic and personal health considerations. Your relieved the project tase for any project, the project staff, and other participants will with guidelines approved by the professional staff. We expected to assist the handicapped in a number of way ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, is professional-type tasks unless approved by the project consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of conference with your handicapped partner. It is unders role may be subject to revision from time to time in coall parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual conference project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, time provides essential services for such students in recollege.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involedge of confidential information on the part of each participation is released only to authorized professional purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the work assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the jupicipate to additional fees or charges not currently unyour attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Robert M. Urie Student Aide: Please return on the part of each participant in the project birector of Aides  Student Aide: Please return on the part of the participant in the project bir					WORKSHIP:S	
the Rehabilitation Project.  2. Participation in the project commits you to serve the purposes of the project as most important in your routine, second, of course, to your academic and personal health considerations. Your relations to the project, the project staff, and other participants will be in keeping with guidelines approved by the professional staff. While you are expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways, you will not ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, intimate, or professional-type tasks unless approved by the project staff with your consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of Aides in conference with your handicapped partner. It is understood that your role may be subject to revision from time to time in conference with all parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual conferences with the Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project is primarily a study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, which at the sam college.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involves the knowledge of confidential information on the part of each participant. Such purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the workship shown above assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the judgment of the project taff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this study are not obligated to additional fees or charges not currently understood for your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project staff are to be provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and approved by the Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Robert M. Urie, Director	the Rehabilitation Project.  2. Participation in the project commits you to serve the project as most important in your routine, second, of academic and personal health considerations. Your religions are project, the project staff, and other participants will with guidelines approved by the professional staff. We expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, it professional-type tasks unless approved by the project consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of conference with your handicapped partner. It is unders role may be subject to revision from time to time in coall parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual confere Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, time provides essential services for such students in recollege.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involedge of confidential information on the part of each painformation is released only to authorized professional purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the work assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the juproject staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in thi obligated to additional fees or charges not currently un your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervisor of Aides  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervisor of Aides  Student Aide: Please return are remarked to the supervisor of Aides  Student Aide: Please return are remarked to the supervisor of Aides  Student Aide: Please return are remarked to the supervisor of Aides	The fities ipate	ollowin shared as aid	ng statements I by the Rehab les to the hand	constitute an unde ilitation Project dicapped.		l responsibil- who partic-
academic and personal health considerations. Your relations to the project, the project staff, and other participants will be in keeping with guidelines approved by the professional staff. While you are expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways, you will not ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, intimate, or professional-type tasks unless approved by the project staff with your consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of Aides in conference with your handicapped partner. It is understood that your role may be subject to revision from time to time in conference with all parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual conferences with the Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation project is primarily a study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, which at the sam college.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involves the knowledge of confidential information on the part of each participant. Such purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the workship shown above assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the judgment of the project staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this study are not obligated to additional fees or charges not currently understood for your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project staff are to be Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Farent or Guardia.  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Robert M. Urie, Director	academic and personal health considerations. Your reliproject, the project staff, and other participants will with guidelines approved by the professional staff. We expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, in professional-type tasks unless approved by the project consent.  3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of conference with your handicapped partner. It is unders role may be subject to revision from time to time in coall parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual confere Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, the provides essential services for such students in recollege.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involedge of confidential information on the part of each partinformation is released only to authorized professional purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the work assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the juproject staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this obligated to additional fees or charges not currently unyour attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Signature of Student Participant  Signature of Student Participant  Signature of Participant  Signature of Participant  Signature of Participant  Signature of Participant  Robert M. Urie Rehabilitation	1	• Part prog the	icipation in tram except as Rehabilitation	the project will no otherwise might be n Project.	ot require changes in appropriate outside	your academic the scope of
role may be subject to revision from time to time in conference with all parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual conferences with the Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project is primarily a study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, which at the sam College.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involves the knowledge of confidential information on the part of each participant. Such purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the workship shown above assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the judgment of the project staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this study are not obligated to additional fees or charges not currently understood for your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project staff are to be project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Signature of Parent or Guardia.  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Robert M. Urie, Director	role may be subject to revision from time to time in co all parties concerned.  4. You will also participate in regular individual confere Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.  5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, time provides essential services for such students in recollege.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involedge of confidential information on the part of each painformation is released only to authorized professional purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the work assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the juproject staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in thi obligated to additional fees or charges not currently un your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervisor of Aides  Robert M. Urie Rehabilitation  Robert M. Urie Rehabilitation	2	acad proj with expe ordi	emic and person ect, the projections apost cted to assist marily be required	onal health considerate staff, and other proved by the profest the handicapped in the han	erations. Your relations are participants will be sessional staff. While in a number of ways,	rse, to your ons to the in keeping you are you will not
5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project is primarily a study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, which at the sam college.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involves the knowledge of confidential information on the part of each participant. Such information is released only to authorized professional personnel for purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the workship shown above assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the judgment of the project staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this study are not obligated to additional fees or charges not currently understood for your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project staff are to be provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and approved by the Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Robert M. Urie, Director	5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, time provides essential services for such students in recollege.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involedge of confidential information on the part of each participation is released only to authorized professional purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the work assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the jumproject staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this obligated to additional fees or charges not currently un your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant Signature of Participant in the Supervisor of Aides Rehabilitation Date:	3.	role	may be subject	t to revision from	the Supervisor of Aid tner. It is understoo time to time in confe	les in od that your erence with
5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project is primarily a study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, which at the sam time provides essential services for such students in residence at the College.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involves the knowledge of confidential information on the part of each participant. Such information is released only to authorized professional personnel for purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the workship shown above assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the judgment of the project staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this study are not obligated to additional fees or charges not currently understood for your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project staff are to be project Director for purposes of the study.  8. Signature of Student Participant Signature of Parent or Guardia.  8. Signature of Student Participant Signature of Parent or Guardia.  8. Robert M. Urie, Director	5. It is further understood that the Rehabilitation Project study of the use of student aides for the handicapped, time provides essential services for such students in recollege.  6. It is understood that participation in the project involedge of confidential information on the part of each participation is released only to authorized professional purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the work assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the juproject staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this obligated to additional fees or charges not currently un your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervisor of Aides  Robert M. Urie Rehabilitation  te:	4.	You w Proje	vill also part: ect Director a	icipate in regular nd Supervisor of A	individual conference ides when required.	s with the
edge of confidential information in the project involves the knowl- information is released only to authorized professional personnel for purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the workship shown above assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the judgment of the project staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this study are not obligated to additional fees or charges not currently understood for your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project staff are to be provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and approved by the Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Robert M. Urie, Director	edge of confidential information on the part of each part information is released only to authorized professional purposes of the study.  7. Participation in the project will assure you of the work assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the juproject staff.  8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this obligated to additional fees or charges not currently un your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervisor of Aides  Robert M. Urie Rehabilitation  te:	5.	It is study	further under	stood that the Rel	habilitation Project i	s primarily a ch at the same dence at the
8. It is further understood that you as a participant in this study are not obligated to additional fees or charges not currently understood for your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project staff are to be provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and approved by the Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Robert M. Urie, Director	8. It is further understood that you as a participant in thi obligated to additional fees or charges not currently un your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervisor of Aides  Robert M. Urie Rehabilitation  te:	6.	edge infor	mation is rele	ased only to suth	in the project involved the part of each partic prized professional per	s the knowl- cipant. Such rsonnel for
your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project staff are to be provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and approved by the Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Robert M. Urie, Director	your attendance at St. Andrews. Services by the project provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and Project Director for purposes of the study.  Signature of Student Participant  Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervisor of Aides  Robert M. Urie Rehabilitation  te:	7.	Partic assum projec	cipation in th ing that your ct staff.	e project will ass performance is sat	ure you of the workshi isfactory in the judgm	ip shown above ment of the
Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Robert M. Urie, Director	Mrs. Alice McKenzie, R.N.  Supervisor of Aides  te:	8.	your a	ittendance at i	St. Andrews. Serv	ices by the project st	stood for
Supposition of allow	Supervisor of Aides  Rehabilitation  Student Aide: Please return one services	Sigi	nature	of Student Par	cticipant	Signature of Pare	nt or Guardian
	ttudent Aide: Please return one community	Mrs St	. Alice upervis	McKenzie, R.N or of Aides	V.	Robert M. Urie, D Rehabilitation Se	irector
ate:	Student Aide: Please return one con-	te: _	**************************************		,19		, 19

#### APPENDIX B

PHYS	ICALLY HANDICAPPED STUDENT AGREEM	ent name:
	REHABILITATION PROJECT	HOME ADDRESS:
S	r. Andrews Presbyterian College	CLASS:
The foities	The New April 1 and 1 an	understanding of the mutual responsibil- if and the students who participate in the
1.	Participation in the project will academic program except as other scope of the Rehabilitation Proj	I not require changes in any student's wise might be appropriate outside the ect.
2.	are directly responsible to the handicapped in a number of ways	ned by the Project Staff where needed and staff. Aides are expected to assist the but they will not ordinarily be required ntimate, or professional-type tasks unless th the aide's consent.
3,		ect consists of standardized testing in nd self-concept under direct supervision udent is required to participate in all
4.	Students will also participate in Project Director and Supervisor	n regular individual conferences with the of Aides when required.
5.		ion in the project involves the giving of part of each participant. Such information rofessional personnel for research
6.	stood for their attendance at St. and student aides are to be provi	ner understood that participants in this conal fees or charges not currently under-Andrews. Services by the project staff ded to the student participants without by the Project Director for purposes of
7.		istance is such personal items as bath- and care of hair is more appropriately se services are provided at the following.
	Adult attendant care for one princluding laundry service	hysically handicapped student,
	One 5 week Summer Term One regular semester, Fall o One Winter Term	\$100.00 r Spring \$300.00 \$100.00
	The college assumes responsibility supervision of adult attendants. administrative details including parts and Federal taxes.	y for the hiring, training, and The college also handles all payroll deductions for F.I.C.A. and
Mr	s. Alice McKenzie, R.N. Supervisor of Aides	Robert M. Urie, Director Rehabilitation Project
gnatu	re of Student Participant	Signature of Parent or Guardian
ite:	<del>-</del>	Date:



Check each disease you have had, giving approximate most recent date and whether or not the illness has required either

Have you ever been a patient in a hospital for any illness, injury, or operation?\_\_\_\_\_ If "Yes", list each and give dates: Do the effects of any illness, injury, or operation persist? If "Yes", list each and describe briefly what you are doing for

If you are disabled in any way, describe your handicap:

If your handicap will necessitate special consideration in college, explain:

List any drugs to which you are sensitive:\_\_\_\_\_ Are you covered by any accident, medical, or hospitalization insurance, either personally or in a group plan?

A. We hereby grant permission to the St. Andrews College Physician, or to a College Administrator with the advice of

a licensed medical doctor, to authorize emergency treatment or other medical or surgical care as may be deemed necessary for the health and well-being of the student with the understanding that the parent, guardian, or spouse will be notified as soon as practicable by the attending physician or other official who may be informed of the essential information with the understanding that the parent, guardian, or spouse will be notified as soon as practicable by the attending physician or other official who may be informed of the essential information with the understanding that the parent, guardian, or spouse will be notified as soon as practicable by the attending physician or other official who may be informed of the essential information.

----- Place of birth:

Bed/MD

Illness

\_\_\_Bronchitis

......Heart Trouble

....\_Epilepsy

\_\_\_Malaria

......Meningitis

\_\_\_\_Pneumonia

\_\_\_Sinusitis

\_\_\_\_Typhoid

\_\_\_\_Mumps, Full

Bed/MD

(Signature of Parent, Guardian, Spouse)

(Date)

(Usual Signature of Student) (Date) (Note to Student and Parent: A college medical or administrative official will endeavor to communicate notice of emergencies as described to the parent, guardian, or spouse before time of appropriate treatment; however, occasions do occur when a clear understanding of the need for early treatment or hospitalization is most important. College officials, therefore, need such understanding beforehand with you as outlined above).

B. We authorize, also, that annual or otherwise up-to-date immunizations as indicated be administered:

If "Yes", in whose name?\_\_\_\_\_ Wnat Insurance Company?\_\_\_\_ Part II. Medical Consent and Emergency Action Agreement for student and parent, guardian, or spouse:

- 1. The following required immunizations are included as a part of your own Medical Doctor's report under Part III in order that immunity will be established and maintained up-to-date: a. Small Pox; b. Poliomyelitis; and, c. Tetanus.
- 2. It is desired that the following be administered and maintained up-to-date. If you desire these immunizations to be administered by the College Physician, write-in "Administer" in the space provided for each immunization desired . . . otherwise, leave blank.
  - a. Influenza (annual immunization)\_\_\_\_

the accuracy of this record and its being fully up-to-date.

(Name and full address of your parent, guardian, or spouse . . . underline which

bed rest or attention by a physician during the past three years:

Bed/MD | Diness

.....Asthma

..... Diabetes

\_\_\_ Hay Fever

Kidney

\_\_\_\_Measles, Red

Mumps,

. ....Nervousness

----Rheumatism

\_\_\_\_ | \_\_ Tuberculosis

---Trouble

Part L Personal Data: Your date of birth:...

(Your name and address if different from that above)

\_\_\_Appendicitis

\_...\_Chickenpox

\_Goiter

..Hepatitis

Measles.

German

\_\_\_\_Poliomyelitis

\_\_\_Nephritis

\_\_\_Tonsilitis

. Mononucleosis

b. Typhoid (maintain up-to-date immunization)

mation which the parent, guardian, or spouse may request:

- c. Paratyphoid (maintain up-to-date immunization).\_\_\_\_\_
- 3. Is this student approved to give blood when he/she desires and when approved by a physician?\_\_\_\_\_

(Usual Signature of Student)

(Date)

(Signature of Parent. Guardian, Spouse)

(Date)

of form are completed, retu RESBYTERIAN COLLEGE, LAU Man 60 the DIR DIR ECTOR TOR OF

## APPENDIX C (Continued)

Age; Ht.:; Wt.:  Binocular Vision Corrected to  Distant Vision Near Vision  Right Right  Corrected to Corrected to  Left Left  Visual Fields (Confrontation):  Right: Normal Abnormal
Binocular Vision Corrected to Right Right Right Corrected to Right Normal Abnormal
Distant Vision Near Vision Right Right Right Corrected to Corrected to Corrected to Corrected to Corrected to Corrected to Right Abnormal Abnormal
Distant Vision Near Vision Right Right Right Corrected to Corrected to Corrected to Corrected to Corrected to Corrected to Right Abnormal Abnormal
Right
Corrected to Corrected to  Left Left  Corrected to Corrected to  Visual Fields (Confrontation):  Right: Normal Abnormal
Left Left  Corrected to Corrected to  Visual Fields (Confrontation):  Right: Normal Abnormal
Corrected to Corrected to Visual Fields (Confrontation):  Right: Normal Abnormal
Visual Fields (Confrontation):  Right: Normal Abnormal
Right: Normal Abnormal
_
Leit: Normai Policeman
id; Nodes
; Size
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
10 Declares
12. Rectum:
di
*
tein; Microscopic
; Hemoglobin
<b>):</b>
;
nmunity established:
health? If "No" (or if "Ye
health? If "No" (or if "Ye explain below:
lassification):
. 42 pa 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40
e paide Mallin de annue de la complè de la complet de la c
ilted with a Psychiatrist? (If not known, a
s) of consultation):
., 01
and the duration of continuance:
well-being of this student (use separate pag
, м.



When all parts of this examination report are complete, this form and any supplemental information believed relevant should be returned to the DIRECTOR OF ADMISSIONS. ST. ANDREWS PRESBYTERIAN COLLEGE, LAURINBURG, NORTH CAROLINA 28352.

Form: RS/ADL/68

APPENDIX D

Rehabilitation Services

St. Andrews Presbyterian College
Laurinburg, N. C. 28252

Attach full length photo or snapshot

### SUPPLEMENTARY PHYSICAL HEALTH RECORD FOR HANDICAPPED STUDENTS

## (FOR USE WITH THE REGULAR ST. ANDREWS STUDENT HEALTH EXAMINATION RECORD)

INSTRUCTIONS: Please fill in with black bell point pen. Information requested below is necessary and should be recorded in detail in order that the applicant for admission or re-admission to St. Andrews will be given every consideration by college officials. If there is doubt as to the significance or relevance of any specific item, such information should be entered on this form or added on a separate sheet.

Much time can be saved if you will have all avails data mailed to the Director of Admissions at St. Andrews	able information relative to your medical history and physical restoration www within the next few days.
Your Name:	Sex: Date of Birth:
Your Mailing Address:	
	" Date of disability:
	Det of weathing.
Present condition (Static, Improving, etc.):	
Hospital, clinic, or center at which most recently treate	ed (Name, city, state):
	bove place:
Hospital, clinic, or center, if different from above, which	th treated you initially:
Name of medical doctor in charge of your case at above	place of treatment:
If you attended a Physical Rehabilitation Center other t	than listed above, where, and under whose primary attention?
If you are on a program of therapy now, regardless of	how minor or extensive, describe in detail any medicine being used or
If you are receiving financial aid from the Division of Y	Vocational Rehabilitation, please give name and address of your counse-
lor:	vocational Renabilitation, please give name and address of your counse-
If you are under any medical supervision or treatment r	now, under whom, what type, and how often?
Describe the extent of your disability and explain any a routine:	appliances, mechanical, or supportive devices being used in your daily
Relate your general functional abilities as to the specific NOTE: If explanation of any question is needed, use add	questions below. Please give yes or no answers.
1. Are you completely independent in terms of phys	sical activity needs?
2. Will you need assistance with any daily or week!	
3. Do you depend upon a wheelchair most of the ti	
4. Do you use crutches on a regular or stand-by ba	
5. Do you have any hand, arm, or shoulder movement	
6. Do you have normal control of your bladder?	
<ul><li>7. Do you have normal control of your bowels?</li><li>8. Can you write class assignments or take notes at</li></ul>	
9. Can you type class assignments?	a relatively normal speed?
10. Do you have a tape recorder for your personal u	1907
11. Do you have speech problems of any kind?	
12. Do you have normal vision?	
18. Do you have normal hearing?	•
14. Can you approach and write on a classroom chair	
15. Can you work at a typical laboratory bench, han	
16. Do you have available from personal, family, rehi	abilitation agency, or other sources financial resources for payment of
personal aide or maid assistance if required in the	residential college setting?



#### APPENDIX D (Continued)

INSTRUCTIONS: In the space to the left of each numbered item, place a check mark only if the activity listed can be performed without physical assistance from another person. In the space to the right of each item, write in any explanatory comment which will be helpful in assessing the extent to which physical assistance may be needed.

Bed & Bedroom Activities (with or without prothesis):
1. Moving from place to place.
2. Roll to right and left sides.
4. Turn over and lie on abdomen.
5. Procure objects from night table.
6. Manage pillows and blankets.
7. Change linens and make up bed.
8. Move bed and turn mattress.
9. Rearrange furniture.
Personal Hygiene:
1. Brushing hair and teeth.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
3. Bathing in tub.
5. Toilet routine, including cleansing.
6. Check if no catheter, special pants needed; otherwise, explain on additional sheet.
Dressing Routine:
3. Stockings and shoes (on and off).
4. Braces and prosthesis (on and off).
5. Handling buttons and zippers.
6. Heavy outer coats (on and off).
Eating and Food Service through Cafeteria:
1. Selecting and securing food from counter.
2. Manage cafeteria tray going through line.
3. Using spoon.
4. Using fork.
5. Using knife.
6. Handling drinking glass or cup.
7. Return dishes/tray to wash window.
Independent Gait (Without prosthesis or support):
1. Run 50 yards without difficulty.
2. Walk 300 yards without difficulty.
8. Walk backwards without difficulty.
4. Open and close doors.
5. Climb and descend stairs without rails.
Use of Gait Equipment or Other Mechanical Support
1. Walk 300 yards without difficulty.
2. Walk backwards without difficulty.
3. Open and close doors.
4. Climb and descend stairs without rails.
5. Enter and exit from automobile.
Locomotion with Use of Wheelchair (if one is used):
1. Move from wheelchair to bed and reverse.
3. Propel chair forward 300 yards without difficulty.
4. Propel chair backward 10 feet and stop.
5. Lock and unlock wheelchair brakes.
6. Propel wheelchair into and out of toilet stall.
8. Propel wheelchair up and down ramp, stopping half way.
9. Operate elevator from wheelchair.
To St. Andrews College Officials: My parent/guardian and I authorize release of any and all medical information which may be needed at the request of a College Official in attempts to better serve my well-being and the welfare of the College. We hereby certify that the above information is a true and accurate record of the applicant's physical condition. We further understand that any misrepresentation on our part can result in the rejection of this application for admission to St. Andrews at any time.
Student's Signature Date Parent's/Guardian's Signature



Return this form and supporting papers to the Director of Admissions, St. Andrews Presbyterian College.

Appendix E-1
TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - MALE
AIDES AND HANDICAPPED

Score		.des	Handicapped			
pcore		36)		27)	Į	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	**************************************	
Self Criticism	38.31	4.76	36.81	4.87	-1.23	
T/F	1.06	0.36	1.09	0.25	0.27	
Net Conflict	-2.17	12.19	-0.15	13.10	0.63	
Total Conflict	29.72	8.88	29.78	6.78	0.03	
Total Positive	352.14	40.68	342.22	35.85	~1.02	
l-Identity	128.81	11.93	123.15	10.35	-1.99	
2-Self Satis.	109.94	17.81	106.41	17.46	-0.79	
3-Behavior	113.56	13.68	111.85	11.94	-0.79	
A-Physical Self	73.67	8.78	64.85	14.56	-3.00	
B-Moral-Ethical	69.44	9.47	67.22	10.57	-0.88	
C-Personal Self	66.08	10.29	66.07	10.07	-0.00	
D-Family Self	71.06	10.08	69.74	12.88	-0.46	
E-Social Self	69.61	13.40	68.26	14.84	-0.48	
Total Variability	43.72	11.88	44.85	12.90	0.36	
Col. Total V.	25.78	8.45	27.56	9.64	0.81	
Row Total V.	18.25	4.94	17.63	5.51	-0.47	
Distribution	121.50	29.86	114.63	29.08	-0.47	
<b>5</b> .	17.89	12.16	16,19	12.84	-0.54	
4	24.83	9.04	23.67	8.69		
3	16.42	10.42	21.22	8.69	-0.52 1.96	
2	18.64	7.76	17.07	7.26		
1	19.19	10.54	18.52	9.72	-0.82	
efensive Positive	54.92	12.44	54.89	14.60	-0.26	
Seneral Malad.	97.44	11.15	95.56	8.22	-0.01	
sychosis	44.75	6.10	47 <b>.</b> 89		-0.75 2.14	
ers. Disorder	73.44	14.00	71.93	5.41 10.78		
eurosis	85.00	12.78	86.52		-0.47	
ers. Integration	10.69	4.60	8.70	11.58 4.36	0.49 -1.75	

<sup>&</sup>quot;Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendix E-2
TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - MALE
AIDES AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Score		Aid <b>e</b> s (N=31)		General College . (N=28)	
2016	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	t
Self Criticism	38.35	5.11	38.61	5.40	0.19
T/F	1.07	0.39	0.99	0.28	-0.97
Net Conflict	-1.58	13.53	-1.46	14.31	0.03
Total Conflict	29.74	8.68	32.18	7.69	1.15
Total Positive	352.61	43.13	327.04	37.61	-2.44
l-Identity	128.55	12.91	121.68	12.90	-2.06
2-Self Satis.	110.32	18.59	98.89	14.43	-2.65 <sup>%</sup>
3-Behavior	113.84	14.38	107.18	13.66	-1.84
A-Physical Self	74.03	8.92	68.29	7.08	-2.75 <b>*</b>
B-Moral-Ethical	69.65	9.54	62.43	9.70	-2.90**
C-Personal Self	66.16	10.78	61.14	10.23	-1.85
D-Family Self	70.90	10.22	68.39	10.14	-0.95
E-Social Self	69.13	14.28	66.79	9.28	-0.75
Total Variability	43.23	11.63	50.43	14.30	2.15**
Col. Total V.	25.65	8.50	29.25	10.39	1.48
Row Total V.	17.90	4.66	21.18	6.36	2.29*
Distribution	122.61	30.78	109.93	23.76	-1.78
5	18.39	12.65	16.29	9.42	-0.73
4	24.13	9.02	24.21	8.61	0.04
3	16.19	10.41	22.96	9.95	2.57*
2	18.23	8.33	19.86	7.46	0.80
1	1.9.55	11.05	16.61	8.79	-1.14
Defensive Positive	55.45	13.32	46.93	11.93	-2.60**
General Malad.	97.10	11.67	93.29	11.57	-1.27
Psychosis	44.81	6.22	45.25	5.34	0.30
Pers. Disorder	73.74	14.44	65.57		-2.31**
Neurosis	85.10	13.61	78.61	11.10	-2.01 <b>*</b>
Pers. Integration	10.00	4.55	9.14	4.54	-0.73

<sup>&</sup>quot;Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendix E-3
FENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - MALE
HANDICAPPED AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Sabre		capped 21)	General College (N=28)		t.	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	North Marie (Marie) aparticus (Marie (Marie))	
Self Criticism	37.24	5.31	38.61	5.40	0.89	
T/F	1.10	0.26	0.99	0.28		
Net Conflict	1.43	13.22	-1.46	14.31	-1.45	
Total Conflict	30.48	7.24	32.18	7.69	-0.73 0.79	
Total Positive	340.19	35.13	327.04	37.61		
l-Identity	122.81	10.45	121.68	12.90	-1.26	
2-Self Satis.	105.05	17.85	98.89	14.43	-0.33	
3-Behavior	111.10	11.36	107.18	13.66	-1.35	
A-Physical Selt	64.62	15.64	68.29	7.08	-1.07	
B-Moral-Ethical	66.10	11.03	62.43	9.70	1.12	
C-Personal Self	65.62	10.31	61.14		-1.25	
D-Family Self	68.38	13.94	68.39	10.23 10.14	-1.53	
E-Social Self	67.62	15.94	66.79		0.00	
Total Variability	45.95	13.97	50.43	9.28	-0.23	
Col. Total V.	29.00	9.68	29.25	14.30	1.11	
Row Total V.	17.38	4.81		10.39	0.09	
Distribution	115.57	26.64	21.18 109.93	6.36	2.30	
5	16.90	11.88		23.76	-0.79	
4	23.14	8.53	16.29	9.42	-0.21	
3	21.24	8.02	24.21	8.6.1	0.44	
2	17.10	6.86	22.96	9.95	0.66	
1	18.29	9.03	19.86	7.46	1.34	
etensive Positive	53.95		16.61	8.79	-0.66	
eneral Malad.	95,57	14.33	46.93	11.93	-1.90	
sychosis	47.76	7.91	93.29	11.57	-0.78	
ers. Disorder	71.43	5.85	45.25	5.34	-1.58	
eurosis		10.30	65.57	12.82	-1.73	
ers. Integration	86.19	10.73	78.61	11.10	-2.42	
cro. incestacton	8.95	4.51	9.14	4.54	0.15	

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendix E-4

TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - MALE

ALL PROJECT AND GENERAL COLLEGE

inama		ject	General College (N=28)		t	
Score	(N=6 Mean	· S.D.	Mean	S.D.	•	
	riedii	0.0.	110 (41)	0.0.	<u> </u>	
Self Criticism	38.42	4.84	38.61	5.40	0.16	
T/F	1.02	0.30	0.99	0.28	-0.52	
Net Conflict	-3.82	13.54	-1.46	14.31	0.76	
Total Conflict	28.98	8.01	32.18	7.69	1.80	
Total Positive	356.85	34.93	327.04	37.61	-3.72*	
l-Identity	130.53	10.16	121.68	12.90	-3.57	
2-Self Satis.	111.45	16.53	98.89	14.43	-3.51	
3-Behavior	114,95	10.97	107.18	13.66	-2.93 <sup>*</sup>	
A-Physical Self	74.58	7.97	68.29	7.08	-3.64 <b>*</b>	
B-Moral-Ethical	69.17	9.11	62,43	9.70	-3.23*	
C-Personal Self	67.85	9.13	61.14	10.23	-3.16 <b></b> %	
D-Family Self	72.05	8.59	68.39	10.14	-1.79	
E-Social Self	71.97	11.03	66.79	9.28	-2.19 <b></b>	
Total Variability	42.74	12.52	50.43	14.30	2.62	
Col. Total V.	25.58	8.41	29.25	10.39	1.81	
Row Total V.	17.33	5.66	21.18	6.36	2.92 <b>*</b>	
Distribution	121.77	27.76	109.93	23.76	-1.98 <b>%</b>	
5	17.45	12.20	16.29	9.42	-0.46	
4	25.68	9.21	24.21	8.61	-0.72	
3	15.44	9.84	22.96	9.95	3.40*	
2	20.14	7.61	19.86	7.46	-0.16	
1	19.03	9.52	16.61	8.79	-1.16	
Defensive Positive	57.06	11.95	46.93	11.93	-3.78	
General Malad.	100.29	9.63	93.29	11.57	-3.05 <b></b> *	
Psychosis	42.89	6.14	45.25	5 , 34	1.78	
Pers. Disorder	74.11	13.60	65.57	12.82	-2.84*	
Neurosis	84.50	14.60	78.61	11.10	-1.92	
Pers. Integration	11.55	4.70	9.14	4.54	-2.30 <b>%</b>	

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level



Appendix E-5
TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - MALE
AIDES, PRE AND POST

Score	Pr (N=	re :13)	Post (N=13)			
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	;	
		**************************************				
Self Criticism	37,15	4.38	38.15	4.62	-0.58	
T/F	0.99	0.19	0.98	0.21	0.i7	
Net Conflict	-4.38	10.29	-5.15	15.73	0.15	
Total Conflict	25.77	6.51	27.77	6.92		
Total Positive	364.38	36.22	368.69	27.59	-0.78	
1-Identity	132.92	10.50	133.54	8.00	-0.35	
2-Self Satis.	112.77	15.83	117.23	14.85	-0.17	
3-Behavior	118.69	12.26	117.92	6.69	-0.76	
A-Physical Self	75.38	8.96	75.31	8.07	0.20	
B-Moral-Ethical	71.54	8.09	71.15		0.02	
C-Personal Self	69.62	9.82	71.85	7.34	0.13	
D-Family Self	72.69	9.03	74.77	6. <b>5</b> 2	-0.69	
E-Social Self	68.31	19.58	75.62	6.07	-0.70	
Total Variability	42.46	14.32	36.15	6.81	-1.28	
Col. Total V.	25.15	9.56	22.46	7.71	1.41	
Row Total V.	18.08	5.47	13.69	6.25	0.86	
Distribution	123.77	33.53	123.31	3.71	2.43	
5	18.08	14.80		30.04	0.04	
4	23.15	11.64	18.23	14.96	-0.03	
3	15.77	9.66	25.69	11.26	-0,58	
2	18.46	8.49	14.69	9.46	0.29	
1	20.69	11.22	21.00	8.89	-0.76	
Defensive Positive	58.92		19.62	9.74	0.27	
Seneral Malad.		11.87	61.77	10.77	-0.65	
sychosis	101.23 45.38	8.87	105.15	5.93	-1.34	
ers. Disorder		7.79	41.00	5.63	1.67	
eurosis	76.77	13.61	78.08	12.13		
ers. Integration	88.38	12.87	81.08	23.94	1.00	
ers. integration	11.92	4.35	12.23	5.54	-0.16	

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendix E-6
TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - MALE
HANDIJAPPED, PRE AND POST

Score		re :9)	Post (N=9)		t
50010	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
Self Criticism	37.22	6.36	36.33 ·	5.77	0.32
T/F	1.07	0.30	1.07	0.20	-0.03
Net Conflict	0.67	15.26	-1.78	8.89	0.42
Total Conflict	28.44	5.92	28.00	15.03	0.09
Total Positive	354.67	34,09	343.89	31.79	0.71
l-Identity	128.33	8.44	124.56	10.21	0.89
2-Self Satis.	112.56	12.68	109.89	10.94	0.49
3-Behavior	116.67	11.75	109.89	13.61	1.17
A-Physical Self	71.78	4.79	68.56	6.95	1.20
B-Moral-Ethical	70.67	8.67	67.22	8.18	0.89
C-Personal Self	69.22	7.68	68.78	7.90	0.13
D-Family Self	73.44	4.77	71.22	4.79	1.02
E-Social Self	72.33	9.55	68.33	8.57	0.96
Total Variability	38.89	5.58	40.78	10.81	-0.49
Col. Total V.	23.11	4.48	25.00	7.68	-0.67
Row Total V.	15.89	2.76	15.78	4.58	0.07
Distribution	118.11	29.23	101.44	29.32	1.25
5	16.11	13.96	12.56	11.76	0.60
4	23.78	9.18	27.89	9.33	-0.97
3	18.56	7.20	25.00	9.76	-1.6
2	17.44	9.13	20.78	8.47	-0.83
1	19.67	9.85	14.11	10.97	1.1
Defensive Positive	59.67	15.80	56.00	10.63	0.59
General Malad.	98.89	7.01	94.44	10.15	1.13
Psychosis	47.56	4.90	49.67	6.63	-0.8
Pers. Disorder	75.00	9.63	71.11	10.49	0.8
Neurosis	88.78	13.03	<b>8</b> 5.89	7.70	0.5
Pers. Integration	9.56	4.36	10.44	4.39	-0.4

Appendix E-7
TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - FEMALE
AIDES AND HANDICAPPED

Score		des 64)	Handic <b>a</b> pped (N=4 <b>0</b> )		
	Mean Mean	S.D.		- •	t
	11041	0.0.	Mean	S.D.	
Self Criticism	36.88	6.03	36.98	6.17	0.08
T/F	1.09	0.21	1.14	0.81	0.52
Net Conflict	0.55	9.42	-4.30	11.73	-2.33
Total Conflict	28.19	8.06	31.80	7.05	2.34
Total Positive	350.80	31.68	340.15	30.03	-1.71
l-Identity	128.38	10.75	123.53	12.45	-2.12
2-Self Satis.	107.05	15.76	104.75	12.43	
3-Behavior	115.53	10.20	111.55	9.57	-0.79 -1.99
A-Physical Self	70.64	7.91	64.28	5.34	-4.52
B-Moral-Ethical	70 <b>.67</b>	7.58	70.33	7.07	-0.23
C-Personal Self	66.09	8.60	64.75	7.12	-0.23
D-Family Self	71.92	8.17	71.25	10.54	-0.83
E-Social Self	71.55	7.53	69.53	8.10	-1.30
Total Variability	46.89	14.76	46.53	10.05	-0.14
Col. Total V.	28.22	11.05	27.20	7.83	-0.14
Row Total V.	18.41	5.58	19.35	5.39	0.85
Distribution	118.17	23.34	111.60	25.88	
5	17.03	9.18	14.88	9.08	-1.35
4	26.66	8.36	23.58	5.58	-1.18 -2.07
3	16.42	8.20	22.43	10.66	3.24
2	19.28	7.36	18.18	7.27	
1	17.84	9.16	18.00	8.17	-0.75
efensive Positive	55.78	11.93	50.33	8.80	0.09 -2.51
Seneral Malad.	98.06	9.37	95.95	9.54	-2.5I
sychosis	46.84	5.84	47 <b>.</b> 28	9.54 6.70	-1.12
ers. Disorder	75.56	10.68	73.13	11.42	0.35
eurosis	83.11	11.39	80.23	9.90	-1.11
ers. Integration	10.61	3 80	9.63	2.55	-1.33 -1.45

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendix E-8
TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - FEMALE

### AIDES AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Score	Aid <b>es</b> (N=62)			General College (N=42)	
2001 e	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	<u> </u>
Self Criticism	36.84	6.12	36.45	5.47	-0.33
T/F	1.09	0.21	1.06	0.27	-0.72
Net Conflict	0.58	9.52	-2.00	11.91	-1.23
Total Conflict	28.21	8.08	28.67	8.19	0.28
Total Positive	350.87	32.12	339.71	38.34	-1.61
1-Identity	128.39	10.87	125.07	11.68	-1.49
2-Self Satis.	107.13	16.00	103.00	16.52	-1.28
3-Behavior	115.52	10.36	111.38	12.61	-1.84
A-Physical Self	70.74	7.99	68.52	7.96	-1.40
B-Moral-Ethical	70.77	7.69	68 <b>.8</b> 6	8.73	-1.19
C-Personal Self	66.16	8.70	62.36	10.85	-1.99
D-Family Self	71.87	8.27	72.71	9.35	0.49
E-Social Self	71.40	7.61	67.90	10.58	-1.97
Total Variability	47.11	14.92	49.36	12.74	0.80
Col. Total V.	28.34	11.18	28.86	8.24	0.26
Row Total V.	18.50	5.65	20.50	7.33	1.58
Distribution	118,42	23.66	113.02	21.98	-1.18
. 5	17.19	9.28	16.79	8,20	-0.23
4	26.58	8.48	24.95	6.24	-1.07
3	16.48	8.31	21.21	8.97	2.77
2	18.97	7.24	19.60	6.73	0.45
1	18.08	9.21	17.45	8.21	-0.36
Defensive Positive	55.90	12.07	51.26	13.06	-1.87
General Malad.	97.85	9.43	94.95	11.04	-1.44
Psychosis	46.97	5.89	45.83	5.29	-1.01
Pers. Disorder	75.61	10.82	75.40	12.77	-0.09
Neurosis	83.21	11.45	80.64	12.63	-1.08
Pers. Integration	10.50	3.80	10.14	3.59	-0.48

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level



Appendix E-9
TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - FEMALE
HANDICAPPED AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Score		Handicapped (N=36)		General College (N=42)	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	C
Self Criticism	36.83	6.09	36.45	5.47	-0.29
T/F	. 02	0.27	1.06	0.27	0.60
Net Conflict	-3.17	11.82	-2.00	11.91	0.44
Total Conflict	31,56	7.52	28 <b>.67</b>	8.19	-1.62
Total Positive	339.89	29.37	3 <b>39.71</b>	38.34	-0.02
1-Identity	123.72	11.88	125.07	11.68	0.51
2-Self Satis.	104.44	12.03	103.00	16.52	-0.44
3-Behavior	111.39	9.80	111.38	12.61	-0.00
A-Physical Self	64,22	5.47	68.52	7.96	2.75
B-Moral-Ethical	70.33	7.41	68.86	8.73	-0.80
C-Personal Self	64.72	6.98	62.36	10.85	-1.13
D-Family Self	71.25	10.58	72.71	9.35	0.65
E-Social Self	6 <b>9.</b> 36	7.52	67.90	10.58	-0.69
Total Variability	46.00	9.76	49.36	12.74	1.30
Col. Total V.	26 <b>.7</b> 5	7.48	28 <b>.86</b>	8.24	1.18
Row Total V.	19.28	5.60	20.50	7.33	0.82
Distribution	110.28	25.38	113.02	21.98	0.52
5	14.33	9.24	16.79	8.20	1.25
4	23.94	5.86	24.95	6.24	0.74
3	22.75	10.43	21.21	8.97	-0.70
2	18.28	6.62	19.60	6.73	0.87
1	17.64	7.83	17.45	8.21	
efensive Positive	50.47	8.52	51.26	13.06	-0.10
eneral Malad.	95.67	9.27	94.95	11.04	0.31
sychosis	47.61	6.52	45.8 <b>3</b>		-0.31
ers. Disorder	72.92	11.89	75.40	5.29	-1.34
eurosis	80.28	9.89	80.64	12.77	0.89
ers. Integration	9.81	2.53	10.14	12.63 3.59	0.14 0.47

<sup>&</sup>quot;Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendix E-10

TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - FEMALE

ALL PROJECT AND GENERAL COLLEGE

	Prof	ject	General	College	
Score	-	105)		L26)	t
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
Self Criticism	36.97	6.05	36.47	5.53	-0.66
T/F	1.11	0.52	1.08	0.30	-0.49
Net Conflict	-1.29	10.53	-1.98	13.01	-0.44
Total Conflict	29.52	7.84	31.83	10.00	1.92
Total Positive	346.47	31.29	327.73	31.67	-4.51 <mark>*</mark>
l-Identity	126.44	11.58	122.22	10.51	-2.91 <sup>%</sup>
2-Self Satis.	106.10	14.49	99.69	15.10	-3.28
3-Behavior	113.90	10.12	105.82	10.80	-5.84 <sup>%</sup>
A-Physical Self	68.14	7.64	65.99	8.54	-2.00*
B-Moral-Ethical	70.47	7.36	67.28	6.87	-3.41
C-Personal Self	65.55	8.02	60.92	8.35	-4.28
D-Family Self	71.65	9.07	67.06	8.71	-3.92
E-Social Self	70.70	7.78	66.48	8.50	-3.91
Total Variability	46.65	13.07	50.78	14.60	2.25
Col. Total V.	27.78	9.87	30.37	10.34	1.94
Row Total V.	18.71	5.50	20.40	6.42	2.13
Distribution	115.28	24.61	109.06	21.83	-2.04 <sup>%</sup>
5	16.10	9.17	15.49	9.04	-0.51
4	25.55	7.54	25.75	7.97	0.19
3	18.87	9.68	23.03	8.91	3.41*
2	18.90	7.29	19.21	7.30	0.33
1	17.77	8.82	16.52	8.20	-1.12
Defensive Positive	53.61	11.08	49.44	11.35	-2.81
General Malad.	97.18	9.43	91.92	8.57	-4.45 <sup>%</sup>
Psychosis	47.04	6.13	48.58	6.23	1.89
Pers. Disorder	74.54	10.96	70.25	9.76	-3.15
Neurosis	81.95	10.85	78.25	10.95	-2.58*
Pers. Integration	10.23	3.38	9.44	3.55	-1.71

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level



Appendix E-11
TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - FEMALE
AIDES, PRE AND POST

Score	۲r : N :	e 27)		st 27)		
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	\$,D.	•	
			er er friede die bestehe der der der der der der der der der de	**************************************	COLUMN AND EAST PARTY PROPERTY COLUMN AND SERVICE SERVICES.	
Self Criticism	36.52	6.26	37.33	7.44	-0.44	
T/F	),09	0.22	1.10	0.20	-0,14	
Net Conflict	0,63	8.31	-0.41	11.79		
Total Conflict	28.81	7.80	26.85	10.35	0.38	
Total Positive	345,15	26,90	350.07	27.12	0.80	
1-Identity	128.07	9.98	128.37	7.79	-0.63	
2-Self Satis.	102.56	15,74	107.74		-0.12	
3-Behavior	114.74	8.04	113.96	13.65	-1.30	
A-Physical Self	69.85	7.21	70.67	11.60	0.29	
B-Moral-Ethical	68.33	7.39	69.04	6.10	-0.45	
C-Personal Seli	65.00	7.89	66.37	8.67	-0.32	
D-Family Self	71.63	7.39		7.87	-0.64	
E-Social Self	70.70	8.03	73.04	6.63	-0.75	
Total Variability	95.34	14.65	71.04	6.79	-0.17	
Col. Total V.	31.83		45.96	15.23	1.26	
Row Total V.	19.26	12.44	27.96	10.66	1.08	
Distribution	115.19	4.73	18.00	5.91	0.87	
5		21.47	114.15	24.65	0.17	
4	15.85	8.45	15.19	10.54	0.26	
3	25.74	7.70	27.19	9.18	-0.63	
2	17.04	7.61	18.15	8.93	-0.50	
1	19.70	6.59	19.78	6.68	-0.04	
<del>-</del>	17.74	7.19	16.67	8.51	0.51	
Defensive Positive	54.15	12.34	55.33	11.25	-0.37	
General Malad.	97.19	9.37	99.70	10.17	-0.96	
Psychosis	47.48	7.28	44.93	5.42	1.47	
Pers. Disorder	73.04	10.58	73.85	11.26	-0.28	
Veurosis	82.41	8.82	85.11	9.02	-1.13	
Pers. Integration	10.30	3.84	10.00	4.62	0.26	

· Appendix E-12 TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE - FEMALE HANDICAPPED, FRE AND FOST

Cuema	Pre (N:1		Fost (N=20)		t	
Score	Mean	\$.D.	Mean	S.D.	•	
		and the same of th	nday, Amilyanya sandaryanan na dii sandan airda vii andad dairir dha	والمرافقة والمرافقة والمرسودية والمرافقة والمرافقة والمرافقة والمرافقة والمرافقة والمرافقة والمرافقة والمرافقة	E-9-a-dyn ddan ei agalaina an dd	
Self Criticism	37.90	6.7:	39.05	5.24	-0.6	
r/f	1.04	0.27	1.00	0.18	0.5	
Net Conflict	-2.35	9,94	-3.80	8.67	0.5	
Total Conflict	29.85	5.95	31-00	6.21	-0.6	
Total Positive	348.65	27,72	342.15	34.03	0.6	
l-Identity	125,80	11.09	125,50	12.26	0,0	
2-Self Satis.	108.80	12.61	105.75	13.98	0.7	
3-Behavior	113.35	9.85	110.90	12.26	0.7	
A-Physical Self	65.65	5.30	66.00	7.38	-0.1	
B-Moral-Ethical	72.05	7.89	72.10	8.49	-0.0	
C-Personal Self	66.35	6.75	64.10	7.80	0.9	
D-Family Self	74.05	9.97	70.95	10.28	0.9	
E-Social Self	70.65	7.71	69.00	8.35	0.6	
Total Variability	45.80	9.61	49.45	9.83	-1.2	
Col. Total V.	25,90	6.96	29.05	6.89	-1.4	
Row Total V.	19.95	6.04	20.40	5.99	-0.2	
Distribution	115.15	27.53	115.60	30.01	-0.0	
5	16.05	9.28	16.95	9.78	-0.3	
4	22.70	6.08	23.10	7.17	-0.i	
3	21.30	10.43	21.40	12.41	-0.0	
2	17.00	7.80	17.90	8.64	-0.3	
1	18.95	9.27	18.65	9.99	0.1	
Defensive Positive	52.35	7.92	48.70	8.30	1.4	
General Malad.	96.80	8.73	94.85	9.75	0.6	
Psychosis	45.45	6.28	45.90	8.36	-0.2	
Pers. Disorder	73.70	13.77	75.60	9.91	-0.5	
Neurosis	82.80	9.00	80.00	10.88	0.9	
Pers. Integration	10.10	2.47	9.70	4.43	0.3	

Appendix i-1
MENNESTIA MULTIPERATE PERTINALITY (MENTER) - DAVE
MENNESTIA MULTIPERATE PERTINALITY (MENTER) - DAVE

Supplie		sides Hilbul		Haws: Capped (Neva)	
ىدىن يىلىدىنى دىنىدىن چىلىدىن دىنىدىن دارىيى يىلىدىن دارىيى دىنىدىن ئېلىدىن دىنىدىن يېلىدىن دىنىدىن يېلىدىن دى ئىدىن يىلىدىن يېلىدىن	Medi:	3. Ü	M- al.		nethindre of the distriction of the same of the
L	3,40	1.79	3 , 84	2.46	~0.74
F	5.00	<b>3.</b> 00	4.05	2.04	1.03
К	14.70	4.84	16.21	4,35	-1.07
Hypochondriasis	5.10	3.96	6.95	3.47	-1.68
Depression	19.10	5.90	18.58	<b>4.4</b> ⊥	0.33
Hysteria	22.53	4.38	23.32	4.90	-0.59
Psychopathic	17.27	4.96	17.16	3.6 <b>3</b>	0.08
Interest	30.03	5.42	26.58	5.36	2.21
aranoia	11.03	2.59	8.84	2.52	2.94
sychasthenia	13.93	8.16	11.37	4,39	1.26
chizophrenia	14.63	10.00	12.95	4.77	0.69
ypomania	19.17	3.74	18.63	4.54	0.46
ocial I. E.	21.93	11.23	23.16	6.1ì	-0.44
ependency	20.67	9.61	15.68	5.13	2.08

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendix F 2

MINNESOTA MULTIFHAGE DERSONALIES (1879) - 6 force

Albus, cku dhe tour

Score		ice (Mago)		it iv	:
	Mean	a.L.	ille ale		dostavida objetine v te = -m -
L	3.60	1.17	3.40	1.35	6.37
F	3.60	3.13	2,60	1.17	0.85
K	17.10	4.84	17.80	4.34	-0.35
Hypochondriasis	4.40	2.72	5,90	8.05	-0.59
Depression	16.80	3.01	15.20	1.99	1.43
Hysteria	23.60	2.72	17.50	9.31	2.10*
Psychopathic	16.00	3.59	13.50	3.10	1.71
Interest	30,50	5,93	29.30	5.98	0.46
Paranoia	10.20	2.39	8.90	1.97	lido
Psychasthenia	10.20	3.94	5.70	4.99	2.32*
Schizophrenia	9.60	6.38	5.10	3.57	1.97*
Hypomania	17.20	4.13	16.50	3.87	0.40
Social I. E.	16.50	4,50	£3 <b>,</b> 50	3,66	1.67
Dependency	18.10	4,43	13.80	5.35	2.02 <sup>%</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 lever



Appendix (-3
MINNESOTA MULTIFHABLE PERSONALITY PARTITIONS 1 114:1

HABRETALEBEL, Sub- Sub- 19:11

Score		/pe (14)	Priz r (N-4)		1.	
	Mean	Day Commencer of the co	Mean	S.D.	(.	
L	4.75	4.03	4.?5	4.03	0.19	
F	3.75	2.99	3.75	1.89	0.00	
K	18.50	7.94	19.75	6.40	-0.26	
Hypochondriasis	6.75	1.71	9.50	7.33	~0.88	
Depression	19.75	6.29	20.50	5.00	-0.20	
Hysteria	23.75	4.57	14.00	13.14	1.67	
Psychopathic	18.75	1 - 8 '	17.75	3.20	0.62	
Interest	25.75	4,79	24,25	4.11	0.51	
aranoia	9.50	1.00	11.00	4.24	-0.83	
Sychasthenia	12.00	5.83	13.00	10.61	-0.19	
Schizophrenia	13.75	6.13	8.75	4.57	1.39	
ypomania	19.00	3.56	13.50	4.65	2.11	
ocial I. E.	23.00	8.04	21.75	6.40	0.26	
ependency	16.75	4.99	16.75	4.50	0.00	

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendia 1-4
MINNESOTA MULTIPHASIC PERCONALI Y CONTRACT REPORTS

ACEDIA AREA BREADRALL AREAD

Score	Air (Na)	ies ,4)	Handi: (Ne.	rapped 547	•
	Mean	5. D.	Mean	S.D.	erter sarenamanni kabundani gibi — wis
L	3.48	2.12	3.85	186	-J. 55
F	4,J4	3.54	£4 , £45.\$	3.02	-0.1+
K	15 - 50	3 8.	15.91	3.77	-7,51
Hypochondriasis	5.63	3.90	5.71	3.98	-0.10
Depression	19.44	4.69	19.62	4.72	-0.18
Hysteria	22.86	4.48	22.21	4.55	Ů.69
Psychopathic	14.95	4.38	16.35	3.53	-1.61
Interest	38.08	5.09	38.32	5.22	-0.23
Paranoia	10.08	2,98	10.74	3,18	-1.02
Psychasthenia	13.20	6.78	13.21	0.86	-0.00
Schizophrenia	12.05	6.73	13.76	6.72	-1.21
Hypomania	18.80	3,41	18.44	5.32	0.41
Social I. E.	22.42	8.59	23.68	8.52	-0.70
Dependency	22.13	8.71	21.53	8.64	0.32

appendix :-5 MINNERS TA MODEL SHOW THEFT STORES AND INCOME AND PROPERTY.

A second to the second

Source. 3.38 2.15 1.21 2.44 4.54 4. UB 5.44 2.61

L 1.55 F 0.0 K 14.63 4.33 12.50 3,56 -0.62 Hypochondriasis .5.00 3.22 7.38 8.37 -1.09 Depression 19.25 4.03 17.50 5.67 0.94 Hysteria 21.31 4.55 **18** 05 7.55 1.51 Psychopathic 14.63 3.84 14.63 3.32 0.00 Interest 38.31 5.72 39.38 5.16 -0.56 Paranoia 9.63 1.67 4.81 2.40 -0.26 Psychasthenia 14.19 7.38 12.25 0.90 4.64 Schizophrenia 13.63 6.8/ 10.56 5.09 1.45 Hypomania 18.94 3,09 18.06 4.09 0.70 Social I. E. 23,56 8.25 23.38 8.24 0.07 Dependency 23.50 9.41 20.06 7.77 1.14

Sppsodix 1-6
MINNESOTA MUE FEERL , FEERL SALED TO SLUE With a control
HADD AreaD, Letterate

Score		िस - ७ -	:	t.	
		ES A	je 3°		Annilli annil - to
Į.	4.75	1.98	4.13	1.13	0.79
F	4,50	<b>3.</b> 30	3.75	٤.82	0.50
r'	19.25	2.55	17.63	6.67	1.29
Hypochondriasis	4,63	2.50	8.63	10.34	-1.14
Depression	21 25	8.15	19.38	5.21	0.75
Hysteria	23.25	3.58	16.88	8 - 1.7	2.16 <b>*</b>
Psychopathic	15,63	2.07	13.50	2.83	1.80
l <b>nter</b> est	39.50	4.57	42.75	a,40	-1.50
Paranoia	9.50	2.07	9.75	3.0+	-0.17
Psychasthenia	9 <b>. 8</b> 8	4.52	10.00	4.12	-0.06
Schizophrenia	10.63	5.34	1.0.25	5.04	0.15
Hypomania	17.13	ნ.58	15.63	4,4	0.55
Social I. E.	23.75	10.7%	26.63	1,13	-0.63
Dependency	15.63	6.21	22.50	6.41	-2.26*

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 tevel

Appendix G-1
STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN
1938, Form M

## AIDES AND HANDICAPPED

Occupation		ies =12)	Handicapped (N=9)		4.	
occupation	Mean	S.D.	·	•	t	
	nean	3,0,	Mean	S.D.		
Artist	3.25	2.14	4.00	2.55	-0.76	
Psychologist	3.25	2.09	3.89	2.47	-0.66	
Architect	2.67	2.02	3.89	2.67	-1.24	
Physician	4.25	2.63	4.22	2.99	0.02	
Psychiatrist	4.25	2.05	4.56	2.51	-0.32	
Dentist	3.17	1.85	3.22	2.39	-0.06	
Osteopath	4.67	2.06	4.00	2.12	0.75	
Veterinarian	3.33	2.02	2.44	1.94	1.04	
Mathematician	2.17	1.75	3.11	2.57	-1.04	
Engineer	3.00	1.91	2.78	2.82	0.22	
Physicist	1.92	2.02	2.44	3.00	-0.50	
Chemist	3.33	2.35	3.00	3.08	0.29	
Production Manager	4.08	1.93	3.00	0.71	1.61	
Farmer	4.25	1.76	4.11	1.90	0.18	
Carpenter	2.33	1.78	1.89	1.17	0.66	
Aviator	3.75	2.09	4.00	2.40	-0.26	
Army Officer	3.00	1.65	3.00	2.06	0.00	
Printer	4.25	1.66	4.78	1.72	-0.73	
Math-Science Teacher	4.25	1.86	4.22	1.92	0.03	
oc. Agric. Teacher	2.75	1.36	2.33	1.00	0.79	
Policeman	4.25	1.66	3.22	1.39	1.53	
forest Service Man	3.17	1.70	2.33	1.80	1.12	
MCA Physical Director	4.67	2.06	3.22	1.92	1.68	
Personnel Manager	3.50	1.62	3.11	2.09	0.50	
ocational Counselor	4.75	1.71	4.67	2.24	0.10	
Public Administrator	5.00	1.54	4.56	2.01	0.60	
MCA Secretary	3.33	2.19	3.11	2.15	0.24	
ocial Science Teacher	4.83	2.04	4.44	2.30	0.42	
ocial Worker	4.33	1.83	4.44	2.13	-0.13	
hysical Therapist	5.00	2.13	4.56	2.01	0.50	
ity School Supt.	2.67	1.92	2.89	2.15	-0.26	
inister	2.92	1.78	3.22	2.49	-0.34	



Appendix G-1 (Continued)

# STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN 1938, Form M

## AIDES AND HANDICAPPED

Occupation	Aid (N=	es 12)	Handicapped (N=9)		t	
occupation	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	·	
	<del> </del>		<del></del>			
Music Performer	4.33	2.27	5.56	2.01	-1.31	
Music Teacher	3.50	2.11	4.22	2.17	-0.79	
C.P.A. Partner	2.75	1.36	3.22	1.48	-0.78	
Senior C.P.A.	4.17	1.95	4.22	1.79	-0.07	
Junior Accountant	3.33	2.27	3.00	1.94	0.36	
Office Worker	4.33	2.23	4.33	2.60	0.00	
Purchasing Agent	3.33	1.78	3.00	2.24	0.39	
Banker	3.67	1.67	3.56	2.07	0.14	
Credit Manager	4.67	2.02	4.44	2.35	0.24	
Business Educ. Teacher	4.58	2.11	4.44	2.07	0.15	
Pharmacist	5.08	1.83	4.22	1.30	1.22	
Mortician	4.42	1.93	4.00	2.45	0.45	
Sales Manager	4.17	1.95	4.00	2.24	0.19	
Real Estate Slsmn.	5.17	1.47	5.11	2.15	0.07	
Life Insurance Slsmn.	4.75	1.82	4.22	2.33	0.61	
Advertising Man	4.33	1.78	5.33	1.32	-1.44	
Lawyer	4.00	1.81	4.44	1.67	-0.59	
Author-Journalist	4.17	1.99	5.00	1.73	-1.02	
President-Mfg. Concern	3.67	1.37	3.33	1.41	0.56	

Appendix G-2
STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN
1938, Form M

## AIDES AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Occupation	_	ies =12)		College		
	Mean	S.D.	Mean Mean	154) S.D.	t	
Artist	3.25	2.14	3.01	1.76	-0.44	
Psychologist	3.25	2.09	2.71	1.49	-1.19	
Architect	2.67	2.02	2.73	1.74	0.12	
Physician	4.25	2.63	3.43	2.07	-1.30	
Psychiatrist	4.25	2.05	2.95	1.62	-2.63	
Dentist	3.17	1.85	2.92	1.82	-0.46	
Osteopath	4.67	2.06	3.55	1.84		
Veterinarian	3.33	2.02	2.65	1.64	-2.02	
Mathematician	2.17	1.75	2.09	1.39	-1.38	
Engineer	3.00	1.91	2.99		-0.18	
Physicist	1.92	2.02	1.56	1.90	-0.01	
Chemist	3.33	2.35	2.85	1.58 2.02	-0.74	
Production Manager	4.08	1.93	3.73	1.50	-0.79	
Farmer	4.25	1.76	4.36		-0.77	
Carpenter	2.33	1.78	2.26	1.73	0.21	
Aviator	3.75	2.09	4.15	1.58 1.97	-0.16	
Army Officer	3.00	1.65	2.67		0.67	
Printer	4.25	1.66	4.32	1.75	-0.63	
Math-Science Teacher	4.25	1.86	3.54	1.48	0.15	
Voc. Agric. Teacher	2.75	1.36	2.45	1.71	-1.38	
Policeman	4.25	1.66		1.60	-0.64	
Forest Service Man	3.17	1.70	3.71	1.52	-1.18	
MCA Physical Director	4.67	2.06	2.48	1.73	-1.33	
Personnel Manager	3.50	1.62	3.22	1.89	-2.54	
ocational Counselor	4.75	1.71	3.13	1.80	-0.69	
ublic Administrator	5.00	1.54	3.85	1.83	-1.65	
MCA Secretary	3.33	2.19	4.00	1.65	-2.04	
Social Science Teacher	4.83		2.58	1.75	-1.41	
ocial Worker	4.33	2.04 1.83	3.94	2.02	-1.48	
hysical Therapist	5.00		3.38	1.86	-1.71	
ity School Supt.	2.67	2.13	3.81	1.86	-2.13*	
inister		1.92	2.32	1.56	-0.72	
inister	2.92	1.78	2.00	1.71	-1.7	



Appendix G-2 (Continued)

# STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN 1938, Form M

#### AIDES AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Occupation	Aides (N=12)		General College (N=154)		t	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	<u> </u>	
Music Performer	4.33	2.27	4.05	1.91	-0.50	
Music Teacher	3.50	2.11	2.99	1.94	-0.87	
C.P.A. Partner	2.75	1.36	3.08	1.49	0.76	
Senior C.P.A.	4.17	1.95	4.24	1.63	0.15	
Junior Accountant	3.33	2.27	3.17	1.60	-0.33	
Office Worker	4.33	2.23	4.30	1.75	-0.07	
Purchasing Agent	3.33	1.78	3.99	1.75	1.26	
Banker	3.67	1.67	3.97	1.64	0.62	
Credit Manager	4.67	2.02	4.40	1.80	-0.50	
Business Educ. Teacher	4.58	2.11	3.74	1.96	-1.43	
Pharmacist	5.08	1.83	4.29	1.68	-1.58	
Mortician	4.42	1.93	4.21	1.67	-0.42	
Sales Manager	4.3.7	1.95	4.44	1.72	0.53	
Real Estate Slsmn.	5.17	1.47	5.90	1.34	1.81	
Life Insurance Slsmn.	4.75	1.82	4.69	1.75	-0.11	
Advertising Man	4.33	1.78	4.63	1.65	0.60	
Lawyer	4.00	1.81	4.60	1.67	1.19	
Author-Journalist	4.17	1.99	4.30	1.61	0.27	
President-Mfg. Concern	3.67	1.37	4.02	1.59	0.75	

<sup>&</sup>quot;Significant at or beyond .05 level



Appendix G-3

STRONG TITATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN 1958, Form H

HAND LATED AND GENERAL THE THE

% upation	d <b>an</b> ul N	J <b>a</b> pp∺o £o	General Litese (N=15+)			
	Mear.	 			ź.	
		The second secon	6ean		Carlotter (and the second	
Aprist	÷ . 00	2 43	3.01	1.70	-).60	
Psychologist	. 89	ž - "	2.71	1.49	-2.24	
Architent	39	2 47	2.73	1,74	-1.90	
: by:lar	~ _2	2,99	3,43	2.07	-1,10	
Psychiatrist	- , B .	2 51	2.95	1.62	-2.80	
Jen ist	3 -2	2.39	2.92	1.82	-0,49	
√ eopath	- 00	2.12	3.55	1.84	-0.72	
e erinarian		ì.ý.	2.65	1.64	0.36	
Matnematician	3.11	2.57	2.09	1.39	-2.04*	
Sng (neer	: "\$	2.82	2.99	1.90	ŭ 32	
Physicist	2.44	3.00	1.56	1.58	-1.15	
'hemist	3 00	3.08	2.85	2.02	-0.21	
reduction Manager	3.00	0.1	3.73	1.50	1.46	
itme:	11	1.90	4,36	1.73	0.4 <sub>1</sub>	
.a.penter	1.89	1.17	2,26	1.58	0.70	
Aviator	<b>~.00</b>	2.40	4,15	1.97	0.22	
army Officer	3 30	2.06	2.67	1.75	-0.5	
ranter	- 8	1.72	4.32	1.48	-0.3c	
Mar - clence Teacher	34	1.92	3,54	1.71	-1.16	
voc. Agric. Teacher	2,33	1.00	2.45	1.60		
1.1.ceman	20 A	1.39	3,71	1.52	0.21	
mest Service Man	2 33	1.80	2.40	1.73	0. ಕನ	
MCE .hys.cal Director	- 22	1.92	3,22	1.73	<b>∪</b> ∠ ;	
ersonnel Manager	.11	2.09	3.13	1.80	~0.00 0.00	
Coational Counselor	→ 67	2.24	3.85		0,03	
Fulli: Administrator	· · 56	2.01	4.00	1.83 1.65	-1.29	
Mar ecretary		2.15	2,58		-0.98	
.ocial Science Teacher	-,44	2.30	3.94	1.75	-0.87	
i ial Worker	- ugh	2.13	3.38	2.02	-0.72	
hysical Therapist	÷ , 5':	2.13	3.81	1.86	-1.66	
City School Supt.	નું કુ	2.15		1.86	-1.18	
dinister	3.22	2.49	2.32	1.56	-1, U+	
	- · <b></b>	2 · 43	2.00	1.71	-2,04 <sup>8</sup>	

Appendix G-3 (Continued)

### STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN 1938, Form M

### HANDICAPPED AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Occupation	Handicapped (N=9)		General College (N=154)		t
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
Music Performer	5.56	2.01	4.05	1.91	-2.31
Music Teacher	4.22	2.17	2.99	1.94	-1.84
C.P.A. Partner	3.22	1.48	3.08	1.49	-0.27
Senior C.P.A.	4.22	1.79	4.24	1.63	0.03
Junior Accountant	3.00	1.94	3.17	1.60	0.30
Office Worker	4.33	2.60	4.30	1.75	-0.06
Purchasing Agent	3.00	2.24	3.99	1.75	1.63
Banker	3.56	2.07	3.97	1.64	0.73
Credit Manager	4.44	2.35	4.40	1.80	-0.08
Business Educ. Teacher	4,44	2.07	3.74	1.96	-1.05
Pharmacist	4.22	1.30	4.29	1.68	0.11
Mortician	4.00	2.45	4.21	1.67	0.36
Sales Manager	4.00	2.24	4.44	1.72	0.74
Real Estate Slsmn.	5,11	2.15	5,90	1.34	1.66
Life Insurance Slsmn.	4.22	2.33	4,69	1.75	0.78
Advertising Man	5.33	1.32	4.63	1.65	-1.26
Lawyer	4.44	1.67	4.60	1.67	0.27
Author-Journalist	5.00	1.73	4.30	1.61	-1.27
President-Mfg. Concern	3.33	1.41	4.02	1.59	1.27

<sup>\*</sup>bignificant at or beyond .05 level



Appendix G-4

# STRONG VICATIONAL INTEREST FROM A + MET 1938, Form M

ALL PROJECT AND GENERAL CULLEGE

who apation	£' 200 ° 7 N −		General	•••	
w apacidi		(21)	( N : 1		ι
Names and the first of the state of the stat	Mean	G.D.	Mean	S.D.	
Artise	3,5/	2.29	3.01	1.76	-1.32
Psychologist	3.52	2.23	2.71	1,49	-2.22
Apphitent	3.19	2.34	2.73	1.74	-1.10
Physician	4.24	2.72	3.43	2.07	-1.62
Psychiatrist	4.38	2,20	2.95	1.62	-3.63
Dentist	3.19	2.04	2.92	1.82	-0.64
Osteopath	4.38	2.06	3.55	1.84	-1.93
Veterinarian	2.95	1,99	2.65	1.64	-0.78
Mathematician	2.57	2.13	2.09	1.39	-1,39
Engineer	2.90	2.28	2,99	1.90	0.20
Physicist	2.14	2.43	1.56	1.58	-1.49
Chemist	3.19	2.62	2.85	2.02	-0.70
Production Manager	3,62	1.60	3.73	1.50	0.33
Farmer	4,19	1.78	4.36	1.73	0.41
Carpanter	2-14	1.53	2.26	1.58	0.32
8Viator	3.86	2.17	4.15	1.97	0.63
Army Cfficer	3.00	1.79	2.67	1.75	-0.81
Printer	4.48	1.66	4.32	1.48	-0.45
Math-Noienne Teacher	4,24	1.84	3.54	1.71	-1.75
Voc. Agric. Feacher	2,57	1.21	2.45	1.60	-0.34
Policeman	3.81	1.60	3.71	1.52	-0.27
Forest Service Man	2.81	1.75	2.48	1.73	-0.82
(MCA Physica) Director	4.05	2.09	3,22	1.89	-1.86
Hersonner Manager	3.33	1.80	3.13	1.80	-0.49
Vocational Counselor	4,71	1.90	3.85	1.83	-2.02**
Public Administrator	4.81	1.72	4.00	1.65	-2.11*
YMCA Secretary	3.24	2.12	2.58	1.75	-1.57
Social Science Teacher	4.67	2.11	3.94	2.02	-1.54
Social Worker	4.38	1 91	3.38	1.86	-2.30*
Physical Therapist	4.81	2.04	3.81	1.86	-2.30*
City School Supt.	2.76	1.97	2.32	1.56	-1.17
Minister	3,05	2.06	2.00	1.71	-2.58 <b>*</b>



Appendix G-4 (Continued)

# SIRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN 1938, Form M

ALL PROJECT AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Occupation	Project (N=21)		General College (N=154)		t
Occupation	Mean (N-	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	L
Music Fertormer	4.86	2.20	4,05	1.91	-1
Music Teacher	3.81	2.11	2,99	1.94	-
C.P.A. Fartner	2.95	1.40	3.08	1.49	•
Senior C.P.A.	4.19	1.83	4.24	1.63	0
Junior Accountant	3.19	2.09	3.17	1.60	-0.06
Office Worker	4.33	2.33	4.30	1.75	-0.08
Purchasing Agent	3.19	1.94	3.99	1.75	1.95
Banker	3.62	1.80	3.97	1.64	0.92
Credit Manager	4.57	2.11	4.40	1.80	-0.41
Business Educ. Teacher	4.52	2.04	3.74	1.96	-1.72
Pharmacist	4.71	1.65	4.29	1.68	-1.10
Mortician	4.24	2.12	4.21	1.67	-0.08
Sales Manager	4.10	2.02	4,44	1.72	0.85
keal Estate Slsmn.	5.14	1.74	5.90	1.34	2.34
Life Insurance Slsmn.	4.52	2.02	4.69	1.75	0.41
Advertising Man	4.76	1.64	4.63	1.65	-0.35
Lawyer	4.19	1.72	4.60	1.67	1.05
Author-Journalist	4.52	1.89	4.30	1.61	-0.59
President-Mfg. Concern	3.52	1.36	4.02	1.59	1.36

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level



Appendix C-5

### STRONG VOUATIONAL INTEREST BLANS - MEN 1966, Form Tag9

## AIDES AND HANDICAPPED

Occupation		des =28)		capped =16)	Ľ
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	s.D.	<b>;.</b>
					rante and amount of the state of
Denvist	3,29	i 66	(S. 17.75)	1 00	
Ústeoparn	4.32	1.56 1.72	2.69	1.89	1.15
Veterinarian	3.46	1.77	2.56	1.86	3.21*
Physician	4.75	1.86	3.25	1.84	0.38
Psychiatrist	4.29	2.02	2.56	2.10	3.64*
Psychologist	4.11	2.02	2.50	2.25	2.75*
Biclogist	3.86	2.10	2.75	1.98	2.13*
Architect	3.54		1.88	2.13	3.03*
Mathematician	2.64	2.15	2.44	1.71	1.76
Physicist	2.39	1.81	2.00	1.90	1.13
Chemist	2.96	1.97	1.56	1.82	1.40
Engineer	2.46	2.28	1.69	1.58	1.99*
Production Manager	3.32	1.99	1.56	1.21	1.65
Army Officer	2.79	2.04	3,00	0.97	0.59
Air force Officer	3.57	2.13	1.88	1.54	1.51
Carpenter	2.11	2.15	3.00	1.51	0.94
Forest Service Man	2.11	1.77	1.94	1.39	0.33
Farmer	3.79	1.91	2.25	1.84	-0.24
Math-Science Teacher		1.77	3.44	1.90	0.62
Printer	3.18	1.94	2.38	0.96	1.55
Policeman	3.07	1.46	4.75	1.73	-3.47*
Personnel Director	2.29	1.41	2.56	1.32	-0.65
Hablic Administrator	2.93	1.76	3.44	1.86	-0.91
Rehabilitation Counselor	4.29	1.72	4.63	1.96	-0.ôi
YMCA Secretary	4.57	1.77	4.63	2.13	-0.09
Social Worker	4.21	2.35	4.88	2.00	-0,96
Social Science Teacher	5.00	2.24	4.69	2.15	0.46
	4.18	2.00	5.56	1.86	-2.29 <b>*</b>
School Superintendent Minister	3.04	1.79	3.25	1.91	-0.38
Librarian	3.79	2.04	2.81	2.17	1.51
Artist	4.89	2.08	4.31	1.89	0.93
	4.11	1.89	3.69	1.54	0.76
Musician Performer	6.07	1.94	5.19	1.52	1.58
lusic Teacher	5.25	2.37	4.50	1.79	1.11



Appendix G-5 (Continued)

#### STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN 1966, Form T399

#### AIDES AND HANDICAPPED

Constant in	Aid	es 28)	Handicapped (N=16)		t	
Occupation	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	L	
Carried Land Control of Control o		<del></del>				
C.P.A. Owner	2.18	1.28	2.75	1.29	-1.44	
Senior C.P.A.	1.71	1.51	2.44	2.22	-1.31	
Accountant	2.04	1.95	3.00	2.16	-1.54	
Office Worker	2.64	1.91	4.19	2.01	-2.57	
Purchasing Agent	2.82	1.87	4.19	2.14	-2.25	
Banker	2.25	1.58	4.13	2.06	-3.44	
Pharmacist	3.18	1.83	3.69	1.82	-0.90	
Mortician	3.71	2.09	4.94	1.84	-1.97	
Sales Manager	2.75	1.86	4.88	2.09	-3.53	
Real Estate Slsmn.	4.32	2.04	5.88	1.45	-2.70	
Life Insurance Slsmn.	3.82	2.33	5.38	1.82	-2.32	
Advertising Man	4.00	2.00	5.38	1.54	-2.39 <sup>*</sup>	
Lawyer	4.25	2.07	5.13	1.59	-1.48	
Author-Journalist	4.68	1.79	5.00	1.46	-0.62	
President-Mfg. Concern	2.18	1.42	3.06	1.61	-1.92	
Credit Manager	3.25	2.22	4.31	2.02	-1.59	
Cham. of Com. Exec.	4.68	1.66	5.56	1.67	-1.72	
Physical Therapist	5.25	2.34	3.63	1.67	2.46	
Computer Programmer	3.93	2.37	3.00	1.86	1.36	
Business Educ. Teacher	3.29	2.05	4.31	2.06	-1.61	
Com. Rec. Admins.	4,32	2.14	4.38	2.06	-0.08	

<sup>&</sup>quot;Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendix G-6
SIRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN
1966, Frank T399

## AIDES AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Occupation		des		College		
o sampare ton	Mean	=28)		509)	τ	
	Hean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.		
Dentist	3.29	1.56	3.51	1.80	-0.66	
Osteopath	4.32	1.72	3.30	1.71	3.08*	
Veterinarian	3.46	1.77	3.32	1.52	0.50	
Physician	4.75	1.86	3.87	2.09	2.18*	
Psychiatrist	4.29	2.02	2.86	1.76	4.16*	
Psychologist	4.11	2.10	3.06	1.65	3.25*	
Biologist	3.86	2.10	2.84	1.92	2.73 <b>*</b>	
Architect	3,54	2.15	3.40	1.91	0.35	
Mathematician	2.64	1.81	2.36	1.66	0.86	
Physicist	2.39	1.97	2.08	1.69	0.94	
Chemist	2.96	2.28	2.64	1.94	0.86	
Engineer	2,46	1.99	2.59	1.77	-0.36	
Production Manager	3.32	2.04	3.07	1.57	0.80	
Army Officer	2.79	2.13	2.38	1.73	1.19	
Air Porce Officer	3.57	2.15	3.33	1.82	0.69	
Carpenter	2.11	1.77	2.33	1.69	-0.67	
Forest Service Man	2.11	1.91	1.92	1.47		
Farmer	3.79	1.77	3.98	1.70	0.64	
Math-Science Teacher	3.18	1.94	2.97	1.63	-0.58	
Frinter	3.07	1.46	4.11		0.64	
Policeman	2.29	1.41	2.11	1.73	-3.13 <sup>%</sup>	
Personnel Director	2.93	1.76	2.70	1.29	0.70	
Fublic Administrator	4.29	1.72		1.73	0.69	
Rehabilitation Counselor	4.57	1.77	3.43	1.89	2.36	
YMCA Secretary	4.21	2.35	3.72	1.86	2.37**	
Social Worker	5.00	2.24	4.20	2.11	0.02	
Social Science Teacher	4.18		3.85	2.17	2.74*	
School Superintendent	3.04	2.00	4.21	1.93	-0.09	
Minister	3.79	1.79	2.24	1.58	2.59	
Libracian	-	2.04	2.39	1.97	3.65	
Artist	4.89	2.08	4.10	1.91	2.14**	
Musician Performer	4.11	1.89	4.01	1.83	0.27	
Music Teacher	6.07	1.94	5.29	1.81	2.22	
ragic teacher.	5.25	2.37	4.03	2.00	3.12 <b>*</b>	

## Appendix G-6 (Continued)

### JERUNG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BEANK - MEN 1966, Form T399

#### AIDES AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Occupation	Aides (N=28)		General College (N=509)		t
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
C.F.A. Owner	2.18	1.28	2.87	1.41	-2.55
Senior '.F.A.	1.71	1.51	2.61	1.85	-2.52°
Accomtant	2.04	1.95	2.51.	1.75	-1.39
Office Worker	2.64	1.91	3.45	1.89	-2.21
Purchasing Agent	2.82	1.87	3.61	1.88	-2.17
Banker	2.25	1.58	3.17	1.67	-2.84
Pharmacist	3.18	1.83	3.48	1.63	-0.95
Mortician	3.71	2.09	4.24	1.58	-1.68
Sales Manager	2.75	1.86	3.80	1.85	-2.94
Real Estate Slamn.	4.32	2.04	5.38	1.55	-3.47
Life insurance Slsmn.	3.82	2.33	4.52	1.75	-2.02
Advertising Man	4.00	2.00	4.85	1.78	-2.47 <sup>1</sup>
Lawyer	4.25	2.07	4.77	1.71	-1.55
Author-Journalist	4.68	1.79	4.83	1.74	-0.45
President-Mfg. Concern	2.18	1.42	2.83	1.54	-2.20
Credit Marager	3,25	2.22	3.79	2.12	-1.32
Cham. or Com. Exec.	4.68	1.66	4.69	1.85	-0.03
Physical Therapist	5.25	2.34	4,06	1.96	3.09
Computer Programmer	3.93	2.37	3.59	1.94	0.89
Business Educ. Teacher	3.29	2.05	3.67	2.00	-0.98
Com. Rec. Admins.	4.32	2.14	3.71	2.08	1.52

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level



Appendix G-7
STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN 1966, Form T399

#### HANDICAPPED AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Gecupation		capped =16)		Colrege	
and the same of th	Mean	S.D.	Mean (N=:	509) S.D.	:.
					Miller als Taxanaders als reserves no non-Allagate Develops a nota a
Dentist	2.69	1.89	3.51	1.80	-1.81
Osteopath	2.56	1.86	3.30	1.71	-1.70
Veterinarian	3.25	1.84	3.32	1.52	-0.17
Physician	2.56	2.10	3.87	2.09	-2.47
Psychiatrist	2.50	2.25	2.86	1.76	-0.79
Psychologist	2.75	1.98	3.06	1.65	-0.73
Biologist	1.88	2.13	2.84	1.92	-1.96
Architect	2,44	1.71	3.40	1.91	-2.00
Mathematician	2.00	1.90	2.36	1.66	-0.86
Physicist	1.56	1.82	2.08	1.69	-1.21
Chemist	1,69	1.58	2.64	1.94	-1.21
Engineer	1.56	1.21	2.59	1.77	-2.30
Production Manager	3.00	0.97	3.07	1.57	-0.18
Army Officer	1.88	1.54	2.38	1.73	-1.16
Air Force Officer	3.00	1.51	3.33	1.73	-0.71
Carpenter	1.94	1.39	2.33	1.69	
Forest Service Man	2.25	1.84	1.92	1.47	-0.91
Farmer	3.44	1.90	3.98	1.70	0.88
Math-Science Teacher	2.38	0.96	2.97		-1.25
Printer	4.75	1.73	4.11	1.63	-1.47
Policeman	2.56	1.32	2.11	1.73	1.45
Personnel Director	3.44	1.86		1.29	1.38
ublic Administrator	4.63	1.96	2.70 3.43	1.73	1.68
Rehabilitation Counselor		2.13		1.89	2.50*
MCA Secretary	4.88	2.13	3.72	1.86	1.91
Social Worker	4.69		4.20	2.11	1.25
Social Science Teacher	5.56	2.15	3.85	2.17	1.53
School Superintendent	3.25	1.86	4.21	1.93	2.76*
linister	2.81	1.91	2.24	1.58	2.51*
ibrarian		2.17	2.39	1.97	0.85
artist	4.31	1.89	4.10	1.91	0.45
lusician Performer	3.69	1.54	4.01	1.83	-0.70
lusic Teacher	5.19	1.52	5.29	1.81	-0.22
derc reacher	4.50	1.79	4.03	2.00	0.93

(Continued)

Appendix G-7 (Continued)

## STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN 1966, Form T399

#### HANDICAPPED AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Occupation	Handic (N=	apped 16)	General (N=5	_	t
occupation	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
C.F.A. Owner	2.75	1.29	2.87	1.41	-0.34
Senior C.P.A.	2.44	2.22	2.61	1.85	-0.36
Accountant	3.00	2.16	2.51	1.75	1.10
Office Worker	4.19	2.01	3.45	1.89	1.53
Purchasing Agent	4.19	2.14	3.61	1.88	1.20
Banker	4.13	2.06	3.17	1.67	2.25
Pharmacist	3.69	1.82	3.48	1.63	0.50
Mortician	4.94	1.84	4.24	1.58	1.73
Sales Manager	4.88	2.09	3.80	1.85	2.28
Real Estate Slsmn.	5.88	1.45	5.38	1.55	1.26
Life Insurance Slsmn.	5.38	1.82	4.52	1.75	1.91
Advertising Man	5.38	1.54	4.85	1.78	1.16
Lawyer	5.13	1.59	4.77	1.71	0.82
Author-Journalist	5.00	1.46	4.83	1.74	0.38
President-Mfg. Concern	3.06	1.61	2.83	1.54	0.59
Credit Manager	4.31	2.02	3.79	2.12	0.97
Cham. of Com. Exec.	5.56	1.67	4.69	1.85	1.86
Physical Therapist	3.63	1.67	4.06	1.96	-0.89
Computer Programmer	3.00	1.86	3.59	1.94	-1.20
Business Educ. Teacher	4.31	2.06	3.67	2.00	1.28
Com. Rec. Admins.	4.38	2.06	3.71	2.08	1.27

<sup>&</sup>quot;Significant at or beyond .05 level



Appendix G-8

FORONG FORTIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN
..966, Fort T399

ALL ROTECT AND SENERAL COLLEGE

Mean  3.07 3.68 3.39 3.95 3.64 3.61 3.14 3.14	1.69 1.95 1.78 2.20 2.25 2.4	Mean  3.51 3.30 3.32 3.87	S.D. 1.80 1.71 1.52	-l.58 l.41
3.07 3.68 3.39 3.95 3.64 3.61 3.14	1.69 1.95 1.78 2.20 2.25	3.51 3.30 3.32 3.87	1.80 1.71	
3.68 3.39 3.95 3.64 3.61 3.14	1.95 1.78 2.20 2.25	3.30 3.32 3.87	1.71	
3.39 3.95 3.64 3.61 3.14	1.78 2.20 2.25	3.32 3.87	1.71	
3.95 3.64 3.61 3.14	2.20 2,25	3.87		
3.64 3.61 3.14	2,25	3.87		0.29
3.61 3.14			2.09	0.25
3.14		2.86	1,76	2.76
		3.06	65	2.11
3.14	2 37	2 : 84	. 32	0.98
	2.05	3.40	: 9;	-0.89
2.41	1.85	2.36	1 66	0.17
2.09	1.94	2.08	1.69	0.04
2.50	2.13	2.64	1.94	-0.45
2.14	1.79	2.59	1.77	-1.63
3.20	1.72	3.07	1.57	0.53
2.45				0.26
3.36				0.13
2.05				-1.07
				1.01
				-1.19
				-0.34 -1.59
				1.36
				i 53 3.3 <b>3</b> *
				2 9 <b>8</b> *
				75 3 <b>.</b> 05 <b>%</b>
				1.54
				3.49*
				3.36*
				1.94
			1.83	-0.20 1.63
	2.18	J • Z 9	1.81	
	2.45 3.36	2.45 3.36 1.94 2.05 1.63 2.16 1.87 3.66 1.80 2.89 1.69 3.68 1.75 2.39 1.75 3.11 1.79 4.41 1.80 4.45 4.59 1.88 4.45 2.23 4.89 2.19 4.68 3.11 1.82 3.43 2.12 4.68 3.75 1.83	2.45       1.97       2.38         3.36       1.94       3.33         2.05       1.63       2.33         2.16       1.87       1.92         3.66       1.80       3.98         2.89       1.69       2.97         3.68       1.75       4.11         2.39       1.37       2.11         3.11       1.79       2.70         4.41       1.80       3.43         4.59       1.88       3.72         4.45       2.23       4.20         4.89       2.19       3.85         4.68       2.04       4.21         3.43       2.12       2.39         4.68       2.01       4.10         3.95       1.76       4.01	2.45       1.97       2.38       1.73         3.36       1.94       3.33       1.82         2.05       1.63       2.33       1.69         2.16       1.87       1.92       1.47         3.66       1.80       3.98       1.70         2.89       1.69       2.97       1.63         3.68       1.75       4.11       1.73         2.39       1.37       2.11       1.29         3.11       1.79       2.70       1.73         4.41       1.80       3.43       1.89         4.59       1.88       3.72       1.86         4.45       2.23       4.20       2.11         4.89       2.19       3.85       2.17         4.68       2.04       4.21       1.93         3.11       1.82       2.24       1.58         3.43       2.12       2.39       1.97         4.68       2.01       4.10       1.91         4.68       2.01       4.10       1.91         3.95       1.76       4.01       1.83

(Continued)



Appendix G-8 (Continued)

## STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - MEN 1966, Form T399

#### ALL PROJECT AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Occupation	Proj (N=	ect 44)	General (N=5	t	
•	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
C.P.A. Owner	2.39	1.30	2.87	1.41	-2.21*
Senior C.P.A.	1.98	1.81	2.61	1.85	-2.18*
Accountant	2.39	2.06	2.51	1.75	-0.45
Office Worker	3.20	2.06	3.45	1.89	-0.83
Purchasing Agent	3.32	2.05	3.61	1.88	-0.99
Banker	2.93	1.9	3.17	1.67	-0.88
Pharmacist	3.36	1.82	3.48	1.63	-0.45
Mortician	4.16	2.07	4.24	1.58	-0.32
Sales Manager	3.52	2.13	3.80	1.85	-0.95
Real Estate Slsmn.	4.89	1.98	5.38	1.55	-1.99 <b>*</b>
Life Insurance Slsmn.	4.39	2.26	4.52	1.75	-0.48
Advertising Ma	4.50	1.35	4.85	1.78	-1.26
Lawyer	4.57	1.63	4.77	1.71	-0.74
Author-Journalist	4.80	1.66	4.83	1.74	-0.13
President-Mfg. oncern	2.50	1.50	2.83	1.54	-1.37
Credit Manager	3.64	2.19	3.79	2.12	-0.47
Cham. of Com. Exec.	5.00	1.70	4.69	1.85	1.07
Physical Therapist	4.66	2.24	4.06	1.96	1.91
Computer Programmer	3.59	2.22	3.59	1.94	-0.00
Business Educ. Teacher	3.66	2.09	3.67	2.00	-0.02
Com. Rec. Admins.	4.34	2.09	3.71	2.08	1.94

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level



Appendix G-9
STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - WOMEN
1946, Form W

#### AIDES AND HANDICAPPED

	Aid	les	Handiq	apped	
Occupation	( N =	72)		49)	t
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.J.	
Artist	4.21	1.96	4.14	1.91	0.18
Author	3.94	1.93	4.33	1.97	-1.06
Librarian	3.03	1.85	3.06	1.25	-0.11
English Teacher	2.57	1.84	3.14	1.90	-1.67
Social Worker	4.53	1.62	4.55	2.03	-0.07
Psychologist	2.88	1.65	2.67	1.59	0.67
Social Science Teacher	2.33	1.74	2.35	1.80	-0.04
YWCA Secretary	2.00	1.31	1.55	1.19	1.93
Lawyer	2.65	1.73	2.71	1.63	-0.20
Life Ins. Saleswoman	1.81	1.17	2.24	1.30	-1.95
Buyer	1.96	1.26	2.45	1.21	-2.15*
Business Educ. Teacher	2.57	1.66	2.92	1.78	-1.11
Office Worker	3.50	1.70	3.90	1.86	-1.22
Stenographer Secretary	3.79	1.82	4.73	1.55	-2.97 <b></b>
Housewife	4.58	1.55	4.76	1.53	-0.60
Elementary Teacher	4.24	1.73	4.33	1.95	-0.27
Home Economics Teacher	3.04	2.02	2.31	1.58	2.15*
Dietitian	3.03	1.62	2.76	1.61	0.91
Phys. Educ. TchrH. S.	3,58	1.63	2.57	1.04	3.86*
Phys. Educ. TchrCollege	2.64	1.61	1,41	1.00	4.77*
Occupational Therapist	4.47	2.20	3.20	1.50	3.52*
Physical Therapist	5.21	1.80	3.73	1.66	4.58
Nurse	3.57	1.63	2.63	1.54	3.19*
Math-Science Teacher	2.71	1.37	2.29	1.50	1.61
Dentist	2.58	1.34	2.18	1.38	1.60
Laboratory Technician	3.06	1.69	2.71	1.95	1.03
Physician	3.46	1.93	2.61	1.41	2.64
Music Performer	5.01	1.95	5.14	1.96	-0.36
Music Teacher	3.88	2.03	3.51	2.00	0.98
Engineer	2.40	1.70	1.76	1.49	2.17*

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendix G-10

STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - WOMEN
1946, Form W

#### AIDES AND GENERAL COLLEGE

Occupation	Aid	es 72)	General (N=6	_	t
Occupation	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
Artist	4.21	1.96	4.01	1.72	-0.90
Author	3.94	1.93	3.92	1.72	-0.11
Librarian	3.03	1.85	2.85	1.40	-0.98
English Teacher	2.57	1.84	2.37	1.60	-0.96
Social Worker	4.53	1.62	4.03	1.84	-2.18
Psychologist	2.88	1.65	2.08	1.41	-4.45%
Social Science Teacher	2.33	1.74	2.06	1.62	-1.33
YWCA Secretary	2.00	1.31	1.47	1.27	-3.33 <sup>*</sup>
Lawyer	2.65	1.73	2.70	1.69	0.23
Life Ins. Saleswoman	1.81	1.17	2.27	1.30	2.92
Buyer	1.96	1.26	2.76	1.53	4.29*
Business Educ. Teacher	2.57	1.66	2.95	1.69	1.81
Office Worker	3.50	1.70	4.15	1.76	2.95
Stenographer Secretary	3.79	1.82	4.85	1.69	4.97 <sup>8</sup>
Housewife	4.58	1.55	4.79	1.57	1.08
Elementary Teacher	4.24	1.73	4.28	1.82	0.18
Home Economics Teacher	3.04	2.02	2.47	1.62	-2.77
Dietitian	3.03	1.62	2.60	1.41	-2.36 <sup>8</sup>
Phys. Educ. TchrH. S.	3.58	1.63	3.19	1.45	-2.16
Phys. Educ. TchrCollege		1.61	1.73	1.44	-4.96
Occupational Therapist	4.47	2.20	3.17	1.76	-5.75 <sup>3</sup>
Physical Therapist	5.21	1.80	3.86	1.80	-6.00°
Nurse	3.57	1.63	2.74	1.57	-4.20°
Math-Science Teacher	2.71	1.37	2.35	1.47	-1.96
Dentist	2.58	1.34	2.28	1.41	-1,75
Laboratory Technician	3.06	1.69	2.70	1.69	-1.71
Physician	3.46	1.93	2.65	1.55	-4.09 <sup>3</sup>
Music Performer	5.01	1.95	4.68	1.77	-1.48
Music Teacher	3.88	2.03	3.48	2.01	-1.58
Engineer	2.40	1.70	1.87	1.48	-2.85 <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>quot;Significant at or beyond .05 level



Appendix G-11
STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - WOMEN 1946, form W

### HANDICAPPED AND GENERAL CULLEGE

Occupation		≎apped ≈49)	Gederal (Nat	Ochlage 202)	τ
	Mean	S - D.	Mean	S.D.	
Artist	4,14	) 31			
Author	4.33	1.91	4.01	1.72	-0.50
Librarian	۶.05 ع	1.97 1.25	3.92	1.72	-1.57
English Teacher	3.14	1.23	2.85	L.40	-1.02
Social Worker	4.55	2.03	2.37	1.60	-3.18
Psychologist	2.67	1.59	4.03	1.84	-1.88
Social Science Teacher	2.35	1.80	2.08	1.41	-2.82*
YWCA Secretary	1.55	1.60	2.06	1.62	-1.17
Lawyer	2.71		1.47	1.27	-0.43
Life Ins. Saleswoman	2.24	1,63	2.70	1.69	-0.05
Buyer	2.45	1.30 1.21	2.27	1.30	0.15
Business Educ. Teacher	2.92		2.76	1.53	1.40
Office Worker	3,90	1.78 1.86	2.95	1.69	0.13
Stenographer Secretary	4.73	1.55	4.15	1.76	0.95
Housewife	4.76	1.53	4.85	1.69	0,46
Elementary Teacher	4,33	1.35	4.79	1.57	0.17
Home Economics Teacher	2.31	1.58	4,28	1.82	-0.18
Dietitian	2.76	1.50	2.47	1,62	0.67
Phys. Educ. TchrH. S.	2.57	1.01	2.60	1.41	-0.71
Phys. Educ. TchrCollege		1.04	3.19	1.45	2.93*
Occupational Therapist	3.20	1.50	1.73	1.44	1.55
Physical Therapist	3.73		3.17	1.76	-0.12
Vurse	2.63	1.65	3.86	1.80	0.47
Math-Science Teacher	2.29	1.54 1.50	2.74	1.57	0.48
Dentist	2.18		2.35	1.47	0.30
Laboratory Technician	2.71	1.38	2.28	1.41	0.46
hysician	2.61	1.95	2.70	1.69	-0.07
Music Performer	5.14	1.41	2.65	1,55	0.16
Music Teacher	3.51	1.96	4.68	1.77	-1.73
Ingineer	1.76	2.00 1.49	3,48 1,87	2.31 1.48	-0.11 0.52

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at or beyond .05 level

Appendix G-12
STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK - WOMEN
1946, Form W

#### ALL PROJECT AND GENERAL CULLEGE

	Proj		General		
Occupation	(N=1		(N=6		ţ
	Mean	S.D.	<u>Mean</u>	S.D.	
Artist	4,18	1,94	4.01.	1.72	0,90
Author	4,10	1.95	3.92	1.72	1.02
Librarian	3.04	1,62	2.85	1.40	1,33
English Teacher	2.80	1.88	2,37	1.60	2.60
Social Worker	4.54	1.79	4.03	1-84	2.76
Psychologist	2.79	1.62	2.08	1.41	4.96°
Social Science Teacher	2.34	1.76	2.06	1.62	1.69
YWCA Secretary	1.82	1.28	1.47	1.27	2.74
Lawyer	2.68	1.68	2.70	1.69	-0.14
Life Ins. Saleswoman	1.98	1,24	2.27	1.30	-2.26
Buyer	2.16	1.26	2.76	1.53	-4.08°
Business Educ. Teacher	2.71	1.71	2.95	1.69	-1.42
Office Worker	3.66	1.77	4.15	1.76	-2.76°
Stenographer Secretary	4.17	1.77	4.85	1.69	-3.98
Housewife	4.65	1.54	4.79	1.57	-0.91
Elementary Teacher	4.27	1.82	4.28	1.82	-0.03
Home Economics Teacher	2.74	1.88	2.47	1.62	1.67
Dietitian	2.92	1.62	2.60	1.41	2.17
Phys. Educ. TchrH. S.	3.17	1.50	3.19	1.45	-0.11
	2.14	1.52	1.73	1.44	2.80
Occupational Therapist	3.96	2.04	3.17	1.76	4.36
Physical Therapist	4.61	1.88	3.86	1.80	4,15
Nurse	3.19	1.65	2.74	1.57	2.82
Math-Science Teacher	2.54	1.43	2.35	1.47	1.27
Dentist	2.42	1.36	2.28	1.41	1.02
Laboratory Technician	2.92	1.80	2.70	1.69	1.30
Physician	3.12	1.78	2.65	1.55	2.96
Music Performer	5.07	1.95	4.68	1.77	2.13
Music Teacher	3.73	2:02	3.48	2.01	1.24
Engineer	2.14	1.64	1.87	1.48	1.81

<sup>&</sup>quot;Significant at or beyond .05 level



#### Appendix H

THE EFFECTS OF PEER CONTACT ON ATTITUDES TOWARD DISABLED COLLEGE STUDENTS

Robert M. Urie Alvin H. Smith St. Andrews Presbyterian College

dents now applying for admission to colleges and universities. First, the improved medical and transportation techniques which have established a higher survival rate for battle casualties than in previous wars. Second, the steadily rising toll of automobile accidents has added significant numbers of individuals to the disabled student category. A North Carolina Vocational Rehabilitation Report (1968)\* provides estimates for the prevalence of disability in 1970 and 1975. Using two categories of disability, "Orthopedic deformity or impairment" and "Absence or amputation of limbs," the combined estimated prevalence of these conditions in North Carolina is 484,793 in 1970 and 511,632 in 1975. Of these numbers approximately twenty-five thousand are in the 18-34 age group. After making adjustments for a normal distribution of intelligence, these figures would still indicate the presence of a large number of handicapped individuals who may profit from higher education.

This relatively new minority group brings to the college campus some new problems for administrators, faculty, and student-peers. Among these problems is that of providing acceptance for the handicapped as individuals instead of treating them on the basis of disability stereotypes. Before college personnel can deal effectively with this type of student, there must be a heightened awareness of existing attitudes. Still further, personnel and students alike need to know more about the effects of prolonged exposure to disabled students. It is assumed that objective information in these areas will contribute to more frequent and more realistic opportunities for disabled students in higher education.

The basic underlying rationale for this study is stated in the hypothesis of Homans (1950) as follows: "If the frequency of interaction between two or more persons increases, the degree of their liking for one another will increase and vice versa." Each study reported below owes in some measure to this original principle and the current investigation is a refinement of the various applications which have been made by others. Specific attention is directed toward extent and type of interactions which are experienced by the able-bodied population under study.

<sup>\*</sup>This item and all other references cited in this article are included in the list on pages 55-57.



し、こと

#### 3 97: Last 1

reprisery purpose of this study was to determine how the attition of the moded of dents toward disabled peers are affected by
the count them in a residential college setting. The secondary
this study was to answer the following questions: (1) Does
extent of contact with disabled students have a functional relationship
to out of intitude change as measured by selected instruments?

The problem attitudes toward disabled students show greater positional relationship to the freehomen attitudes toward disabled students show greater positions.

The design camp study by Anthony (1969) examined the effects of constitution the disabled on attitudes of professional camp personnel. He will that start members with previous experience among the disabled had the first times than new state both before and after the experience jeried. He also reported more positive changes among new staff than previous staff as hypothesized and attributed the observed names to extents of contact with disabled persons. The present study was conserved with such changes among peers instead of counselor-child transparships, and in an educational setting as opposed to a summer

An international study among eleven nations by Jordan (1968) elemented artitudes toward education and physically disabled persons. Among several hypotheses tested on an international basis was one relating contact to attitudes. Jordan concluded that (1) Amount of that per se is more clearly predictive of attitude intensity when the attitude object is a personal rather than a conceptual one. (2) In the end of amount of contact per se is not predictive of favorable attitudes. (3) Perceived enjoyment and voluntariness of the contact are predictive of attitude favorableness. The hypothesis for favorableness of attitudes and contact with the disabled was supported at the .05 tester, but enjoyment of the contact seemed to be the more crucial factor. Subjects in Jordan's study do not represent the college age

Measuring attitudes toward disabled persons is found in a monograph by huker, Block, and Younng (1966). Their scale was used in the international study described above. These authors present reliability data and evidence for construct validity of the scale but also indicate that attitude studies have tended to yield contradictory results. It appears that most studies have failed to control the extent and type of contact which is under investigation. Other studies reported by the same authors deal with the attitude of disabled persons toward their own group rather than attitudes of the able-bodied as in the current study.

the tip identification with the Underdog," consisting of simple stories involving several ethnic groups. Evidence is given for existence of a limenal track sympathetic identification but with a low relationship to randard measures of prejudice. Although the study deals with



The lateres, the authors suggest that their instrument measures a line of the is related to many types of social "underdog." the curtain the relationship between attitudes as measured to reque with those measured by scales specifically or ented to disabled minority group.

A solution measuring attitudes toward disabled college stude...

The deap Auvenshine (1962) as a doctoral dissertation. The state of the students used in his study did not express the goattive or negative attitudes toward disabled students.

Expressed more favorable attitudes than males and increased against a largor among males tending toward more favorable attitudes.

The between various divisions of the institution such as education that the students are discussed as a largor and the students of the institution such as education and males are to the small number of respondents in some division and attitudes in this study, there is also need for investigation of attitudes and overt behavior.

subjects: The subjects for this study consisted of 96 male lemale freshmen at St. Andrews College (the total 1969 entering except for disabled students and their roommates). A random of 43 freshmen, 20 male and 23 female from Catawba College legals of its similarity to St. Andrews as reported in the 10th of American Universities and Colleges, American Council on Primary criteria included college size, size of freshman in 1969, average S.A.T. scores, percentage of freshmen from upper Acaduating class, and denominational control. The absence of eastudents was the final criterion for the selection of Catawba control institution. A major uncontrolled variable was total for each institution, with St. Andrews being approximately one gollars per year higher than Catawba.

#### Research Design:

- (1) the design of this study was a pretest-treatment, post-test model.
- (7) The intervening treatment variable was contact with disabled students for eleven weeks beginning with the opening of Fall Term, 1969 at St. Andrews.
- (3) The control group was randomly selected from the freshman class at Catawba College.
- (%) A self-report measure of previous contact with disabled students was used as a means of determining previous contact with such students.

ERIC Full Toxic Provided by ERIC

118

108

#### Hypotheses To Be Tested:

- There will be no statistically significant difference between pre-test scores for the three scales.
- 2. There will be a statistically significant difference between pre-test and post-test scores on the three scales for St. Andrews males and females.
- 3. There will be a statistically significant difference for the three scales on post-test scores for Catawba Males and Females and St. Andrews Presbyterian College Males and Females.



Comparison Of Groups In The Two Colleges For The "Attitude Toward Disabled Persons Scale" TABLE I

				in the second se
a1787			(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5	11. 12. 12. 13. 13. 14.
	N	2000年	DEU14" :	
Males ile-lest - Frevious con	(£)	1000		
awra Males Fre-lest - M	IJ: [→	77.86.	9. 1. 6.	
Males	η	١.	) : ( ; ; ;	
tawba Males Post-Test - N	1.5	75.933		
remales	ထ		, ,	
Pre-Test - N	15			
remales Post-Test -	1	624.45	100	
Calawba remaies Post-Test - No Previous Contact	15	82.267	15	(7
St. Andrews Pres. Coll. Males - Fre-Test		1		
revious contact	30	76.500	() () () () ()	(1
St. Andrews Pres. Coll. Males - Pre-Test			2 200 - 04	U
o Previous Contact	99	72 530		
St. Andrews Pres. Coll. Males Post Test		• 1	18.0805	2
revious Contact	30	70 700	C	
St. Andrews Pres. Coll. Males Post-Test		001.67	6C/7.0T	12
o Previous Contact	y	010 37		
St. Andrews Pres. Coll. Females Pre-Test	2	070.07	17.325/	თ
Previous Contact	30	83 833	000	
St. Andrews Pres. Coll. Females Pre-Test		• 1	10.0033	57
No Previous Contact	87	78 621		(,
St. Andrews Pres. Coil. Females Post-Test		120.07	6 / OC • OT	07
ᄱᅵ	30	000 28	CC 00	(
St. Andrews Pres. Coll. Females Post-Test		007.00	7200.07	GT.
No Previous Contact	87	83,241	: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :	P.
		- i · )	3 C++++	, t

Summary of Duncan Range Test for .05 Level of Significance 70.00 72.54 72.87 74.00 74.43 75.93 76.13 76.50 76.82 78.62 78.93 79.70

いっとう いっとう いっちゅう とうこう できているいってんきょう まくている とうかいきゅう はのできる いっちょう かいまる なる まっちのできる にはなるのではない はいないのじ

83.63 87.20 82.27 83.24

J

TABLE II Comparison Of Groups In The Two Colleges For The "Underdog" Scale

Z	MEANS	STANDARD DEVIATIONS	RANK ORDER OF MEANS
5	27.200	7.1554	12
.15	25.133	6.0812	<del>ن</del>
+	25.000	10.1325	ħ
15	23.267	5.9578	1
8	29,000	4.8990	16
15	27.867	6.3004	15
7	26.286	8.2808	0.1
15	26.400	5.4090	11
30	23.900	5.1752	2
66	25.712	5.4171	8
30	24.367	5.9796	3
66	25.515	6.3638	6
30	26.067	6.0452	9
87	27.345	5.9703	13
30	25.700	5.6515	7
87		6.4074	t. 
	N 5 15 15 15 15 15 7 7 15 30 30 30 30	ME 27. 25. 25. 26. 27. 26. 27. 27. 28. 29. 29. 29. 29. 29. 29. 29. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20	MEANS D 27.200 25.133 25.000 23.267 29.000 27.867 26.286 25.712 24.367 26.067 27.345

23.27 23.90 (4.37 25.00 25.14 25.52 25.70 25.71 26.07 26.29 26.40 27.20 27.35 27.74 27.87 29.00

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Comparison Of Groups In The Two Colleges For The Auvenshine "Attitude Toward Severely Disabled Students" Scale TABLE III

Summary of Duncan Range Test For .05 Level of Significance 63.25 69.80 72.26 73.27 74.10 74.44 77.69 79.10 79.11 80.07 83.20 85.14 86.07 86.52 88.27 35.27

*خ*.

: :

the control of the streption that have and to be desired to the streption that have and to be a control of the streption that have and to be a control of the streption of the have all the howeld be come as a second of the streption of the strep

of areas both measuring and the Underdog scale is not of their thiat take hear by as south non-dues it indicate the same kind of cange that the other two scales are indicating. As can be seen from the previous tables, the remaies who have had previous contact show a mean gain of about a points on the ATDP scale and a mean gain of approximately 7 points on the ATDCS scale. For females who have had previous contact the gain is roughly 4 points on the ATDP scale and roughly 2 points for the ATDCS scale. From this it is possible to one, lude that the AIDP scale is a somewhat more sensitive scale to the ATDCS scale, except for students who the had no previous contact with disabled persons. As can be seen tion the tables, the Catawba females even with no contact with disabled and meanth of their campus, do show a slight gain, but it is not nearly the itematic change that the St. Andrews females show. Therefore it is the state to the tude from this study that eleven weeks of exposure to a or purchasive number of mandicapped students on a college campus does The war artifude of temales considerably toward those students whether he has they have had previous contact with disabled students.

the a corlege that does not have disabled students it is entirely positive that there is some gain as a result of overall growth or transplant the attitudes as the result of time without distinct explant to handle appeal students.

the the lates that show the greatest amount of differences for females that the same kind of difference. As a matter of fact, their states generally go down or remain approximately the same over a period of the very weeks. For those students who are in contact with the same of a college campus there is a gain but it is not



Augmenter abbreviated ATDP.

Adjant liter supply whatel Alber.

in the realm of significance. The two groups of males are actually very close together in respect to their attitudes toward disabled persons when they enter tollege, but is somewhat different after some exposure to reall tapped students on campus. This of course can be due to a wardery of factors. One is, that there are less handicapped students on the 5t. Andrews Campus who are males and as a consequence, males do not have nearly as much social contact with these handicapped students as temate in. There is also the possible factor that makes are apt to be more physically active while a nandicapped student is not able to participate in many types of sports activity. Thus, the males will not be exposed in the same way as females are exposed to the handicapped students.

#### CONCLUSIONS:

it may with safety be concluded from this study that eleven weeks of longact with handicapped students will significantly change female artitudes towards those students, whether or not they have had previous contact with handicapped students. It may also be concluded for this study at least, that males do not receive the same benefit from exposure to handi apped students that females do, and that males do not tend to change in attitude as much. It may also be concluded from this study that the Affitude Toward Disabled Persons scale and the ATDCS scale are differentiating considerably better to changes in attitude toward handicapped students than is the Underdog scale. There are obviously some suggestions for further research that need to be made. What kind of contact are these students actually getting in their first eleven weeks of offege. Is it indeed the social contact between the male and female differences that has been observed as a result of this study or are there possibly other contacts in which these students engage that simply are not tested by the instruments that were used as a part of this study. There is also a need for clarity of definition in regard to the attitudes which are actually being measured. Apparently the ATDP scale and the ATDCS scale are somewhat different in the type of measurement which they are doing but they also show a considerable number of similarities as a result of this study.



# **URIE & McKENZIE**

## **MANUAL**

## STUDENT AIDES **FOR HANDICAPPED COLLEGE STUDENTS**



St. Andrews Presbyterian College Laurinburg, North Carolina

#### MANUAL

Student Aides to the Physically Handicapped

in

Higher Education

by

Robert M. Urie, Project Director

Alice L. McKenzie, R. N., Supervisor of Aides

St. Andrews Presbyterian College Laurinburg, North Carolina 28352

July 1, 1971

This investigation was supported, in part, by Research and Demonstration Grant No. 12-P-55156/4/05 (RD-2424-G) from the Division of Research and Demonstration Grants, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, D. C. 20201



Þ

#### **PREFACE**

Þ

ERIC\*

This manual for aides is the second part of the Final Report for a project conducted under a Research and Demonstration Grant,

No. 12-P-55156/4/05, from the Division of Research and Demonstration

Grants, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Department of Health,

Education, and Welfare, Washington, D. C. Part I may be obtained from

St. Andrews Presbyterian College, Laurinburg, North Carolina. This

manual, on the other hand, is being made available in separate form

for those who may not have need for the full background of the project,

but who are primarily interested in the aide role as such.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

																													p	age
Preface .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
Table of (	Cont	ten	ts	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
Introducti																														
Job Descri																														
Checklist																														
Selection																														
Contractur																														
Training a	nd	Su	per	rvi	si	or.	ı c	f	A	ide	<b>e</b> S	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	21
Medically																														
Psychologi																														
ocational/																														
Conclusion																														
References																														



#### LIST OF TABLES

		pa	age
1.	Tennessee Selr Concept Scale - Male Aides	•	28
2.	Tennessee Self Concept Scale - Female Aides	•	29
3.	Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory - Male and Female		
	Aides	•	30
٠.	Attitudes Toward Disabled College Students		31
·	Strong Vocational Interest Blank - Male Aides and General		
	College Males	•	33
ì •	Strong Vocational Interest Blank - Female Aides and General		
	College Females	•	35



#### INTRODUCTOR

The purpose of this manual is to provide practical information concerning the use of student aides to physically handicapped college students. As a practical document, it runs the risk of oversimplification in what has proven to be a highly sensitive and complex area of human relations. At the same time, it does seem feasible to orfer an outline of what has been learned about this relationship between handicapped college students and those persons who are committed to helping them on a regular basis.

The observations which are reported in this manual were all made at one campus location, St. Andrews Presbyterian College, Laurinburg, North Carolina. During the course of a research and demonstration project, many insights were gained in regard to the feasibility of using student peers in the aide relationship. While these observations and irsights cid arise from this particular situation, it is our belief that a wider, general application of them is justifiable. Therefore, this document has been carefully edited so as to be useful in any higher educational setting in which handicapped college students and their aides are involved.

Again, the emphasis is simply on usefulness and feasibility, with no pretense of infallibility being made. All of the following is from the "riring line" of direct, personal observation and is in no way offered as a theoretically consistent or derinitive series of statements. The suggestions which are made come from day-to-day experiences



6

as they happened, followed by staff and student evaluation of those events.

A successful program requires completely open communication between aides, staff, and the handicapped; therefore, this manual is addressed to that multiple audience. Certain parts may have more relevance for one group than another, but all aspects of it are of importance to the total group.

A job leading . or disability in the day. variations of disarie; which is the second percent and is required depends on Legge 11 bits and a second of some 12 is a single type of aide is needed by the control of a discussion of abundance of the control her own hair; while around the same to ded when the handidapped cannot perform any patricount of the conference of period try. It appears that college student peers of the court will be the expected to assume the latter level of services on a respect, on its rounts from the college or larger community can be suplicated on an nourcy basis for evening and murning attendant serve es at talk cat be descarter, as this manual, "attendant" will have precisely that incended connotation, that is, services of an extremely personal mater , to students who require essentially total nursing care every country and evening. Aides, on the other hand, are understood as thing threat peers who are companions, helpers, wheelchair passers, reservices; but who function as personal care alreadent; only under emergency conditions.

arrange for every handi appeal of the control only two exceptions: personal care as related to attendance appeal and are additity that the candicapped individual is capable of performing numbers. Scrops of judgment are most likely to occur in the canter even during attribution; periods

8

in which the aide and handlagged become acquainted and learn to communicate honestly with each other. Typically, a new aide will be too "zealous" and will tend to other assistance when it is not needed. Over a period or time, the aide learns to recognize the functional capabilities of the handicapped roommate and is increasingly able to distinguish genuine needs from those requests which represent merely "convenience" as opposed to necessity for the handicapped.

A female aide said in these words:

My role as an aide is as that of a friend. As a result, my job, if you desire to designate it as such, is not really an obligation or duty but a situation in which I do a lot of favors. Of course this varies, not only according to the sex of the roommate but also to the extent of his or her handicap. I would say that a more than satisfactory relation is one where all of the necessities are taken care of, in consideration of the idiosyncrasies and schedules of both people involved.

Apart from the "duty" as such there are some definite feelings I have towards being an aide. The strongest rule I have is to more than willingly do what my roommate cannot absolutely do but not to cater to him or her as if they were a helpless puppy. College is probably their first long stay away from home and all of the comforts of life. Consequently they must learn to do as much as they are able so that they will know what they are capable of doing when they move to a less sheltered situation. As an aide one must not become the center about which the roommate revolves and vice versa.

It also helps a great deal if the aide has empathy, not pity, towards situations the roommate may face. Adjusting for anyone can present problems. An open ear with a lot of understanding goes a long way.

Then a male aide wrote:

A college education can be the most essential experience a person could have. But classroom education is not but maybe one-half of the experience; campus life and free time, if



laboratory of learning how to like the role of reasons, a college experience in the control of t

The aide works as a bridge to pover over the gaps, and to equalize the handicapped student; to help him rit in as normally as anyone else, but treating him as anyone else, only considering any physical impossibilities. The aide is not to treat the handicapped student as a sympathy case. If the handicapped student is to fit in, and has any particular hangups, complexes, etc., the aide should of course consider them but should not give in. 1 believe the role of an aide to be effective should be very cut and dried, and nothing more. A person sees himself as others see him and if a person such as the handicapped student has any particular hangups, the best thing is to ignore them, and continue treating this person as you would anyone else and watch for the change.

perms of the ande-handicapped relationship, without we have the golden more detailed background reasoning which has led to jurtichian dealer ments, the following shecklist of basic principles is othered:

- 1. Directed to administrators of programs, narrows, super results of aides:
- a. Insofar as possible, let the handicapped students themselves nominate prospective aides whom they would like to have for
  roommates.
- b. Be aware that some students might profess a wellingness and desire to be aides but are, in fact, so involved with sports or other extracurricular activities that they have insurficient time for the needs of a handicapped student.
- ulty, and students as being basically mature and stable individuals.
- d. Aides should have high average or better scatteric records so as to be free from excessive study demands which would preclude adequate aide services.
- e. Aides should have an extended personal conserence with the Supervisor of Aides in order to review the special situation of each handicapped before accepting the aide role.
- f. Generally, it the disability is a progressor andition, the aide should be aware of this fact and thereby be alarted to symptoms of deterioration.



#### 1 1 1 1 1 prospective aides:

- and learned as much as you can be and about one assistance of a control of the second assistance of a control of the control o
- The to think of your relactionarity with the naudicapped of Friend.
- with the result of the medical state of the mendical ped as they with the set of the mendical ped as they with the set of the mendical ped as they will be set of the mendical that he is capable of becoming.
- d. Do not hesitate to ask for instructions from the handicapped himself if you are not sure of how to proceed with a move or other assistance. Remember, he knows more about his needs than anyone else.
- e. Be prepared to offer more companionship and scrvices during the first few days of orientation on campus, later reducing three daths becomes more familiar with the campus and his needs become access.
- as one make aide frankly stated his view, "Being an aide has had a great deal or introduce upon me. It has provided me with an income, contined by actions, tested my patience, and most of a'll it has introduced me to some of the greatest people I've ever met. Being an aide has been give and take, but I feel I've received much more than I've given."

Internal control of the compaction of the control of the prospective of the control of the contr

Parameters generally should not be allowed to participate in this startity. The its because the adjustment femands are greater during the resimant cost char in later cears. The overall drop-out rate from coltege libed is greater for treshmen toan in later years and this factor to it and to the resk of establishing an alie-handicapped relationship among the during the research over any issue or disagreement. It is seen the creater to recomplicate to love his aide part way among the semination for a handicapped student to love his aide part way among the semination of an and temporal student to love his aide part way among the seminations.

thandicapped is not applicable to tex bandicapped students because they work a decrease only periodal acquaintances in the student body.

137

Typically, there in the a contrat office where such assignments are made and where in erested of themse may nome to volunteer their services. This office is variously known as a rehabilitation services office, special our toes, hand happer of ident services, disabled students office, in the close.

When it is necessary for aides to be assigned administratively rather than by the marder redt selection process, there are a number of basin factors to constant. Among these are financial need, academic ability, inverest in persons as such, general reputation among students, faculty, and stail as a person of integrity; and a sincere desire to become involved in an aide-handicapped relationship. Where a program of services to the hand capped has existed for some time, there will tend to be a declars number of students who volunt it can the aide assignments. In a large university setting, this group constitutes a labor pool from which aides can be selected as needed. In smaller schools, or in institutions where a program is just being started, it will be necessary to rely on nominations from many sources. Faculty, staff, student groups, tinancias and cirios, and similar sources can provide names of prospectile aides. This approach should probably be temporary, however, with intreasing resignie upon the mitual self-selection process as the program becomes established.

A final suggestion is in reference to a summer trial session for prospective aides and handicapped alike. This will be reviewed taken under the Transing and Supervision section, but it also has relevance for the Selection of sides. During the trial session which corresponds to the dates of the regular conlege summer sessions,



14

the actual college environment at the environment of the conclusion of the treatment of the result of the result of the matter of the near the conclusion of the treatment of the near the conclusion to the Fall Seam. The near the contract of proceed is an actual original desirable approach to the seam of the add treatment of prospective and who will also continue out the environment of the contract of the factory in the judgment of practs and the conditing of recomments who receive the aide services.

#### CONTRACTURAL assaudEMENTE

relationship between side-mandicapped, start, parents, and art concerned. This approach tends to reduce conflicts and misunderstandings which may arise between any of the involved parties. For example, a well-meaning prospective aide might say, "I will help anyway and the money is not important at ali"; but later under the pressure of academic work and the increasing demands of the handicapped roommate, the aide then says, "Well, this is not exactly what I had in mind." While it is apparent that a good aide-handicapped relationship cannot be prescribed or committed to writing, a basic written agreement on minimal expectations is essential.

A more detailed agreement may be appropriate in programs where grant funds are involved than in cases involving only the family and the institution. An illustration of this more detailed type of agreement which might be used in a state or federally funded program of services to the handroapped is snown on the next page.

ERIC

## Student Aide Agreement REHABILITATION SERVICES AT COLLEGE OR UNIVERSITY X

Name	of Aide:	Amount of Workship: \$
lome	Address:	Semester or Term:
		Campus Box No.

The following statements constitute an understanding of the mutual responsibilities shared by the Rehabilitation Services staff and the students who participate as aides to the handicapped.

- your academic program except as otherwise might be appropriate outside the scope of the Rehabilitation Project.
- purposes of the project as most important in your routine, second, of course, to your academic and personal health considerations. Your relations to the project, the project staff, and other participants will be in keeping with guidelines approved by the professional staff. While you are expected to assist the handicapped in a number of ways, you will not ordinarily be required to perform extremely personal, intimate, or professional-type tasks unless approved by the project staff with your consent.
- 3. Your specific duties are assigned by the Supervisor of Aides in conference with your handicapped partner. It is understood that your role may be subject to revision from time to time in conference with all parties concerned.



- 4. You will also participate in regular individual conferences with the Project Director and Supervisor of Aides when required.
- 5. It is understood that participation in the project involves the knowledge of confidential information on the part of each participant. Such information is released only to authorized professional personnel for purposes of the study.
- 6. Participation in the project will assure you of the work-ship shown above assuming that your performance is satisfactory in the judgment of the project staff.
- 7. It is further understood that you as a participant in this study are not obligated to additional fees or charges not currently understood for your attendance at College or University X. Services by the project staff are to be provided to you without charge when and as scheduled and approved by the Project Director for purposes of the study.

Signature of Student Participant	Signature of Parent or Guardian
Signature of	Signature of Director
Supervisor of Aides	Rehabilitation Services

Date

In those situations where all of the workship funds come directly from the handicapped student's family as opposed to a state or teneral grant, a simpler agreement will be adequate. It is still important that all parties involved read and sign the agreement so as to world any misunderstanding. The use of an agreement also facilitates the absence of such an approach, handicapped students and aides alike would be left in the awkward position of "bargaining" with each other the futerpersonal services. A formalized program provides a neutral party through which negotiations can be made without direct conflict or expairnessment for the students themselves. Such a program also tends to provide a more uniform and equitable scale of compensation and expected services. A brief form of an agreement to be used in the mest page.

## Student Aide Agreement

## REHABILITATION SERVICES AT COLLEGE OR UNIVERSITY X

Name of Aide:	mount of Workship: \$
Home Address: S	emester or Term:
C	ampus Box No.
As an aide to a handicapped st	udent, I agree to carry out my
responsibilities to the best of my abili-	ty and to consult regularly with
the Supervisor of Aides on all aspects of	f my work. I understand that
specific details of this assignment canno	ot be provided until I have
become fully acquainted with my handicapp	ped roommate and the special
circumstances involved. At the same time	e, I do feel that I have suffi-
cient understanding of the aide vole to e	enable me to make this decision
to accept this responsibility. I underst	tand that my workship will not
pe forfeited should my handicapped roomma	ate have to leave school for any
reason during the term. I also understan	nd that I may forfeit part or
all of my workship should my performance	prove to be unsatisfactory in
he combined judgment of my roommate and	the Supervisor of Aides.
Signature of Student Participant Si	gnature of Parent or Guardian
Signature of	Signature of Director
Supervisor of Aides	Rehabilitation Services

Date

The actual amount of workships to be paid will vary from one locality to another in keeping with the prevailing local economic situation. The scale of workships is wages should be adjusted to each individual institutional setting, based on the local wage scales and in proportion to local staff judgment as to the needs of each handicapped student.

For one full academic year or approximately nine months:

- 1. Minimum assianment l hour per day
- 2. Average assignment 1 1/2 hours per day
- 3. Max\*mum assignment 2 hours per day

It is advisable to assign two aides at the average level of one and one-half hours per day to one severely handicapped student whose needs require as much as three hours per day of aide service. This division of responsibility relieves any one aide of carrying an unreasonably heavy load or physical and psychological support. It also makes it feasible for one aide to be gone from campus on a weekend without seriously jeopardizing the status of his handicapped partner. Normally, the second aide will have a room adjacent to the handicapped, while the first aide is actually his roommate.

Actual decisions as to the level of assignment required in each instance are made by the staff and are inevitably somewhat subjective in nature. Experience with the process of evaluation and assigning of aides does increase the accuracy and appropriateness of the assignments over a period of time. The assignments are also subject to review by all parties concerned, normally at the end of each semester.

## TRAINING AND SUPERVISION OF AIDES

The training of an aide begins in a persona, interview with the supervisor of aides, during which the prospective aide is encouraged to ask questions and to share his concerns about the role. This interview will normally have reference to a particular handicapped student and therefore it is possible to make specific statements about his needs. Following this interview, the training is largely "on-the-job" training in the dormitory, with the handicapped student functioning as the primary instructor. When this training is carried out in a summer session, it is always on a probationary basis and the aide continues his assignment into the rall term only upon satisfactory completion of the summer trial period.

The summer trial period itself is designed to evaluate both the prospective aide and handicapped prior to admission to the regular fall term. It poincides with the summer school calendar and is repeated for each session that is offered. During this trial session which is required of all new prospective handicapped students, regular college courses are taken for credit by aides and handicapped alike. It provides an opportunity for both groups of students to become oriented to each other and to the campus itself; the latter is particularly important for the handicapped. During the trial session, the supervisor of aides is in daily contact with all of the aides and their handicapped partners. Questions are handled as they arise, techniques are worked out in the rooms and baths, and all concerns are reviewed as they occur.



22

At the conclusion of the trial period in a summer, in ton A five or six weeks, the prospective and a sable to note a read-date decision concerning his future involved. The observation the properties and the process in the same instances, aides will rind that this relatively brief persons of the is all they are writing to give to the role. Among the many legislimate reasons why an aide will choose not to continue his role are: Laux of time to study, to socialize; feeling uncomfortable about close personal involvement with the handroapped; normal friction and its agreements between any roommates; and simply not being previously aware of the actual requirements of the role.

It appears that during the probationary or trial period, most aides will require almost daily counseling or supervisory contacts with a knowledgeable staff person. The supervisor of aides, typically a registered nurse, will be in the best position to often this service as needed.

Following is a series of actual statements made by experienced aides concerning their role, with direct feedback from the supervisor of aides:

- 1. Aide...........Aides need to know the Limitations of the handicapped, so the aide will know how much the handicapped can do for himself, his extent of motion, muscle strength, and similar reality factors.
- Supervisor....I think this is true and this would vary with each individual. This information can be given in andividual conferences with the aldes after actual assignments have been made.
- S......... I think this would depend a lot upon the consideration of roommates for each other and the degree of disability involved



ABeing an aide is a vest responsible to the contraction of
S
A
S
AAn aide gains a great sense of achievement.
S
A
SThis may be true but it would vary with the handicapped, also as to how well the handicapped has accepted his disability. This matter of feeling low at times of course applies to any roommate.
AAides need to be more familiar with the problems of power chairs.
SPower chairs may have more problems than manual chairs but this service requires highly specialized training and skills and should not be attempted by most aides.
ASometimes it is hard for an aide and his handicapped partner to get to know each other or to communicate.
SThis might be true, especially for someone who has not had contact with the handicapped prior to serving as an aide. You should try to be honest with each other and the sconer you try to communicate the better. If this is a continuing problem for you, consult the supervisor for assistance.
ALet the handicapped do what they man do, then help if needed.



	The handicapped needs to be as independent as possible and can often learn to do more than he would have learned as a child at home.
A	An aide should have a healthy mental outlook; don't be too protective or let it get you down.
	and minima from any college student!

#### MEDICALLY RELATED CONCERNS

Definition of terms used related to the Handicapped:

Paraplegia - paralysis of lower portion of the body and of both legs

Quadriplegia - paralysis affecting all four limbs

Hemiplegia - paralysis of only one half of the body

(arm and leg)

Congenital - occurring during fetal life

Traumatic - caused by or relating to an injury

Ambulatory - able to walk

Ileal Bladder - creation of a surgical passage through

the abdomen for urine

Knowing some of the medical concerns can simplify living with or helping a handicapped. These are not things to confuse or frighten anyone but to help better understand conditions and day-to-day experiences.

Anyone that is paralyzed as a result of disease will have sensation, those as a result of an accident or some type of trauma will have no sensation (can feel no pain, heat or cold). It is most important in this type to be careful about burns from hot water pipes, bath water, etc. One should also be very cautious about objects that could cause bruises or cuts. A pressure area from sitting or bed rest would not be known unless careful observation is done on a regular basis. Someone with full sensation does not require this close and regular observation because pain will be the first symptom.



Į

Loss of bowel and bladder control is another condition seen in congenital and traumatic handicapped. This can be a problem if extreme care is not taken with all appliances used. Cleanliness cannot be stressed too much. Failure to keep all items cleaned daily can result in an offensive odor.

While most handicapped are aware of their needs and try to take reasonably good care of self, some are very careless. In someone obese it is important to at least try to reduce the caloric intake. Various conditions need adequate fluids, although sometimes a handicap will limit fluids to avoid too frequent bathroom stops. Rest to some handicaps is an essential part of the day's routine. Extra time taken in routine activities along with regular college work can be too tiring for some, especially a quadriplegic. Late hours at night several times a week with no rest periods can result in physical exhaustion and illness.

It is wise that any illness in handicaps be reported to the Health Center immediately. Even though this may seem useless, a simple cold can develop into a much more serious condition if treatment is not started early. This is especially true of a quad or anyone with respiratory difficulty.

### PSYCHOLOGICAL PROFILES

Many interested persons will ask about the use of psychological tests in the selection of aides. It is quite logical to assume that aides will have certain psychological characteristics which will appear on standardized test profiles. For example, one might expect that aides would be more sensitive, better adjusted, or generally in better mental health than the average college student. On the other hand, persons from the psychological disciplines might anticipate finding a different adjustment among aides.

In reality, student aides will typically appear as fully normal and average people in all respects when psychological test profiles are examined. The following tables illustrate this fact using the Tennessee Self Concept Scale by William Fitts. The standardization group shown on each table is taken from the test manual and the group is composed of people from various parts of the country and it also includes a wide age span, male and female, as well as racial and ethnic representation.

Table 1
TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE
MALE AIDES

	Male (N=			Standardization Group (N=626)		
Score	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.		
Self Criticism	38.35	4.94	35.54	6.70		
T, F	1,03	.30	1.03	0.29		
Net Conflict	-2.14	17.93	-4.91	13.0		
Total Conflict	28.77	8.28	30.10	8.2.		
Total Positive	361.80	56.96	345.57	30.70		
1-Identity	129.65	11.87	127.10	9.9		
2-Self Satis.	110.97	16.95	103.67	13.79		
3-Behavior	114.45	11.79	115.01	11.2		
A-Physical Self	73.92	8.96	71.78	7.6		
B-Moral-Ethical	69.03	9.15	70.33	8.7		
C-Personal Self	67.47	9.47	64.55	7.4		
D-Family Self	72.05	8.59	70.83	8.4		
E-Social Self	71.70	11.02	68.14	7.8		
lotal Variability	42.71	12.44	48.53	12.4		
Col. Total V.	25.53	8.32	29.03	9.1		
Row Fotal V.	17.35	5.70	19.60	5.7		
Distribution	121.21	27.80	120.44	24.1		
5	17.30	12.19	18.11	9.2		
4	25.86	9.15	24.36	7.5		
3	15.50	9.83	18.03	8.8		
	20.39	7.86	18.85	7.9		
2 1	18.68	9.60	20.63	9.0		
Defensive Positive	57.03	11.96	54.40	12.3		
General Malad	99.88	10.12	98.80	9.1		
Fsychosis	43,30	6,87	46.10	6.4		
Pers. Disorder	73.82	13.80	76.39	11.7		
Meurosis	84.15	14.74	84.31	11.1		
Fers. Integration	11.42	4.84	10.42	3.8		

Table 2
TENNESSEE SELF CONCEPT SCALE
FEMALE AIDES

Score		e Aides N=61)		Standardization Group (N=626)		
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D		
Self Criticism	36.51	6.00	35.54	6.70		
T/F	1.08	0.21	1.03	0.29		
Net Conflict	1.63	10.13	-4.91	13.03		
Total Conflict	27.97	7.85	30.10	8.23		
Total Positive	351.62	31.80	345.57	30.70		
l-Identity	128.46	10.93	127.10	9.96		
2-Self Satis.	107,44	15.88	103.67	13.79		
3-Behavior	115.89	10.29	115.01	11.22		
A-Physical Self	70.93	7.89	71.78	7.67		
B-Moral-Ethical	71.13	7.36	70.33	8.70		
C-Personal Self	66.34	8.66	64.55	7.4]		
D-Family Self	71.77	8.35	70.83	8.43		
E-Social Self	71.52	7.52	68.14	7.86		
Total Variability	46.92	14.61	48.53	12.42		
Col. Total V.	28.26	11.18	29.03	9.12		
Row Total V.	18.38	5.67	19.60			
Distribution	118.36	23.17	120.44	5.76 24.19		
5	17.69	8.89	18.11			
4	26.84	8.31	24.36	9.24		
3	16.13	8.20	18.03	7.55		
2	19.28	7.43	18.85	8.89		
L	18.37	8.96		7.99		
Defensive Positive	56.31	11.73	20.63	9.01		
General Malad.	97.89		54.40	12.38		
Psychosis	47.38	9.52	98.80	9.15		
Pers. Disorder	76.08	6.02	46.10	6.49		
leurosis	83.46	10.53	76.39	11.72		
Pers. Integration	10.62	11.37 3.79	84.31 10.42	11.10 3.88		

Table 3

MINNESOTA MULTIPHASIC PERSONALITY INVENTORY

MALE AND FEMALE AIDES

Score		Aides 66)	Female Aides (N=63)		
ocor e	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
L	3.44	1.64	2.40	1.35	
F	4.39	3.38	4.22	2.44	
K	15.39	4.83	15.60	3.03	
Hypo <b>cho</b> ndri <b>a</b> sis	5.03	4.67	9.90	9.86	
Depression	18.20	5.21	17.20	6.21	
Hysteria	21.82	5.63	16.30	9.12	
Psychopathic	16.52	4.77	15.20	3.39	
Interest	29.96	5.51	38.70	5.38	
Paranoia	10.62	2.60	9.70	2.36	
Psychasthenia	12.08	7.80	12.20	3.61	
Schizophrenia	12.50	9.32	11.50	3.63	
Hypomania	18.67	3.88	18.80	3.61	
Social I. E.	20.09	10.46	22.70	7.48	
Dependency	19.09	9.03	18.70	5.64	

Another scale, the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory, also yields profiles for male and female aides which are well within the normal and expected limits for college students. These profiles are illustrated above in table 3.



Table 4
ATTITUDES TOWARD DISABLED COLLEGE STUDENTS

Group	Mean	S.D.
General College Males, no previous contact with handicapped (N=68)	74.44	21.26
General College Females, no previous contact with handicapped (N=87)	79.10	18.43
Female Aides (N=63)		
First year of work as aides	85.23	22.32
Second year	82.17	24.09
Third year	69.62	21.86
Male Aldes (N=27)		
First year of work as aides	89,64	17.88
Second year	84.54	19.59
Third year	81.75	16.86

dents scale (C. D. Auvenshine, unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Missouri, 1962) indicate more positive and accepting attitudes. Table 4 reports scores obtained by various groups of students, including a general college sample. Females consistently earn

higher scores than males and beginning aides of both sexes earn higher scores than non-aides. It is interesting to note that aides tend to earn lower scores with each passing year of work as aides. This trend is probably best interpreted as an outcome of increased honesty and familiarity with disability; the willingness to state negative feelings about the handicapped rather than protecting them from the truth.



Table 5

STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK

MALE AIDES AND GENERAL COLLEGE MALES

Occupation	Aides (N=28)		General College (N=509)		
	Mean	S.D.	Mean		t
		0101	riedii	S.D.	
Accountant	2.04	1.95	2.51	1.75	-1.39
Advertising Man	4.00	2.00	4.85	1.78	-2.47
Air Force Officer	3.57	2.15	3.33	1.82	0.69
Architect	3.54	2.15	3.40	1.91	0.05
Army Officer	2.79	2.13	2.38	1.73	1 19
Artist	4.11	1.89	4.01	1.83	0.27
Author-Journalist	4.68	1.79	4.83	1.74	-0.45
Banker	2.25	1.58	3.17	1.67	-2.84
hiologist	3.86	2.10	2.84	1.92	2.73
Business Educ. Teacher	3.29	2.05	3.67	2.00	-0.98
C.P.A. Owner	2.18	1.28	2.87	1.41	-2.55
Senior C.P.A.	1.71	1.51	2.61	1.85	-2.52**
Carpenter	2.11	1.77	2.33	1.69	-0.67
Cham. of Com. Exec.	4.68	1.66	4.69	1.85	-0.03
Chemist	2.96	2.28	2.64	1.94	0.86
Computer Programmer	3.93	2.37	3.59	1.94	0.89
Com. Rec Admins.	4.32	2.14	3.71	2.08	1.52
medit Manager	3.25	2.22	3.79	2.12	-1.32
entist	3.29	1.56	3.51	1.80	-0.66
agines:	2.46	1.99	2.59	1.77	-0.36
armer	3.79	1.77	3.98	1.70	-0.58
meur Gervice Man	2.11	1.91	1.92	1.47	0.64
awyar -	4.25	2.07	4.77	1.71	-1.55
ibraria)	4,89	2.08	4.10	1.91	2.14
ire insurance bismu.	3.82	2.33	4.52	1.75	-2.02*
ath-Coleage Teacher	3.18	1.94	2.97	1.63	0.64
atheratician	2.64	1.81	2.36	1.66	0.86
lalyter	3.79	2.04	2.39	1.97	3.65
opri can	3.71	2.09	4.24	1.58	-1.68
usio leagner	5.25	2.37	4.03	2.00	3.12
us clair Penformer	6.07	1.94	5.29	1.81	2.22
itice worker	2.64	1.91	3.45	1.89	-2.21
AP-Opath	4.32	1.72	3.30	1.71	3.08*

(Continued)

Table 5 (Continued)
STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK
MALE Aldes AND GENERAL COLLEGE MALES

·	Aides (N÷28)		General College (N=509)		t
Secupation	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
rd ambas er sale. Men salersseminendren den retrestere den den den den en den retreste den den den den den den den den den de					
Personnel Director	2.93	1.76	2,70	1.73	0.69
harmacist	3.18	1.83	3.48	1.63	-0.95
hysical Therapist	5.25	2.34	4.06	1.96	3.09
'nysician	4.75	1.86	3.87	2.09	2.18
hysicist	2.39	1.97	2.08	1.69	0.94
oliceman	2.29	1.41	2.11	1.29	0.70
resident-Mrg. Concern	2.18	1.42	2.83	1.54	-2.20
rinter	3.07	1.46	4.11	1.73	-3.13
noduction Manager	3.32	2.04	3.07	1.57	0.80
sveniatrist	4.29	2.02	2.86	1.76	4.16
rsychologist	4.11	2.10	3.06	1.65	3.25
ublic Administrator	4.29	1.72	3.43	1.89	2.36
furonasing Agent	2.82	1.87	3.61	1.88	-2.17
eal Estate Slsmn.	4.32	2.04	5.38	1.55	-3.47
enabilitation Counselor	4.57	1.77	3.72	1.86	2.37
ales Manager	2.75	1.86	3.80	1.85	-2.94
Erool Superintendent	3.04	1.79	2.24	1.58	2.59
ocial Science Teacher	4.18	2.00	4.21	1.93	-0.09
Jaal Worker	5.00	2.24	3.85	2.17	2.74
/eteriparian	3.46	1.77	3.32	1.52	0.50
MOA Secretary	4.21	2.35	4,20	2.11	0.02

Anysician, and Rehabilitation Counselor. Table 5 illustrates the areas on which make student aides differ from general college males on the like. In addition to some obscure differences, it is not surprising to note that aides are higher on the scales for Physical Therapist,

Table 6
STRONG VOCATIONAL INTEREST BLANK
FEMALE ATDES AND GENERAL COLLEGE FEMALES

Occupation	Aides (N=72)		General College (N=602)			
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	t	
		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	, ter Man sede sag (. esp. 1 <del>1)</del> ar till sege i de <u>s sterres s</u> ege <sub>ren</sub> e.			
Artist	4.21	1.96	4.01	1.72	-0.90	
Author	3.94	1.93	3.92	1.72	-0.11	
Business Educ Teacher	2.57	1.66	2.95	1.69	1.81	
Buyer	1.96	1.26	2.76	1.53	4.29	
Denrist	2.58	1.34	2.28	1.41	-1.75	
Dietitian	3.03	1.62	- 2.60	1.41	-2.36	
Elementary Teacher	4,24	1.73	4.28	1.82	0.18	
Engineer -	2-40	1.70	1.87	1.48	-2.85	
English leacher	2.57	1.84	2.37	1.60	-0.96	
Home Economics Teacher	3.04	2.02	2.47	1.62	-2.77°	
Housewife	4.58	1.55	4.79	1.57	1,08	
haboratory Technician	3.06	1.69	2.70	1.69	-1.71	
Lawyer	2.65	1.73	2.70	1.69	0.23	
Librarian	3.03	1.85	2.85	1.40	-0.98	
ife Ins. Saleswoman	1 - 81	1.17	2.27	1.30	2.92	
Marb-Science Teacher	2.71	1.37	2.35	1.47	-1.96	
Ausic Teacher	3.88	2.03	3.48	2.01	-1.58	
Masician Performer	5.01	1.95	4.68	1.77	-1.48	
ourse -	3.57	1.63	2,74	1.57	-4.20 ×	
scopational Theraplet	4.47	2.20	3.17	1.76	-5.75 <sup>*</sup>	
Milice Worker	3.50	1.70	4.15	1.76	2.95	
bys. Educ FohrCollege	2.64	1,61	1.73	1.44	4.96	
hys. Educ. TohrH. S.	3 - 58	1.63	3.19	1.45	-2.16	
hysical Therapist	5.21	1.80	3.86	1.80	-6.00	
hysician	3,46	1.93	2.65	1.55	-4.09	
sychologist	2.88	1.65	2.08	1,41	-4.45*	
outal Science Teacher	2.33	1.74	2.06	1.62	-1.33	
ocial Worker	4.53	1.62	4.03	1.84	-2.18	
tenographer Secretary	3.79	1.82	4.85	1.69	4.97	
WCA Secretary	2.00	1.31	1.47	1,27	-3.33 <b>*</b>	

The occupational and Physical likerapist states appear to identify female aides from among a general college female sample.

#### CONCLUSION

We have reviewed the role of the aide and have suggested some specific ways in which the relationship with a handicapped roommate might be facilitated. The process of mutual self-selection was described and emphasized as being the most efficient way of bringing handicapped students and prospective aides together. Psychological and vocational factors were presented as they relate to the role of aides and comparisons with general college students were presented. But in addition to this administrative view of the process, the picture is not complete without hearing the actual words of the aide himself. Therefore, we have presented a complete verbatim interview below in which the experienced male aide discusses his role with the project director. In the interview, the aide's statements are identified by "S"; the interviewer, by "C".

### The Interview

- C This is \_\_\_\_\_\_, senior. \_\_\_\_\_\_ is graduating and has been an aide for only one year. What can you share with us that might be interesting or valuable over the years, what have your reactions been to the handicapped, and so forth?
- S Well, I guess my reactions started really way back when I was a freshman. I was really surprised at the number of handicapped students that were here on campus. I had been informed before I came that the campus was equipped for it, but I was not prepared to see as many handicaps as I did. First of all, I thought it was sort of strange because I had never been around handicapped people to this extent. However, I rearned, after a very short while, that I had become totally unaware of their handicap. They were just people. They were rolling around in wheelchairs and walking around on crutches, but it really didn't matter. Some of them you liked and some of them you didn't like.



- C What started out to be somewhat of a forbidding situation sort of dissolved right before your eyes.
- S Right. It became no situation at all, just normal. This is not a very good way to state it but that is as well as I can put it. As time went on, first of all I noticed the change within myself and then I became interested in rooming with a handicapped person to see what this would be like. And so you know, last summer I roomed with \_\_\_\_\_, and we had a great time. Of course I had to help him in and out of the shower, sometimes with his toilet habits, getting up off the commode, but otherwise it was just a good friendship, which has, even though we don't room together any more, we are still friends. Very good friends, I think. As far as I am concerned now, the way it has helped me most is when I see a handicapped person, outside of St. Andrews, there is no, well, it used to be a sort of self-conscious prejudice against them, but this is gone, I am happy to say. I have benefited that way.
- C It is a two-way process certainly--you helped \_\_\_\_ and the whole experience helped you.
- S And of course you know was a bit self-conscious about his handicap and he would make apologies for the way his feet are deformed, so forth and so on, this was at the beginning but as time went on-well when he said things like that, I would either ignore him completely or make some crack about it--and as time went on he became unconscious, I think. He was not always worried about what I was thinking about him being deformed. That way we both benefited and he did especially, I think.
- C Are you saying that perhaps you were not ready for this role when you first came to St. Andrews?
- S My freshman year I was completely unprepared for seeing the number of handicapped students that were here. The first week I felt sort of like I was in a giant hospital because I had only one class outside of the conservatory and in that one class there were about five or six handicapped students in that class. So the first week when I walked in it was strange. I had been brought up in a home where--where a sort of subtle prejudice was introduced, nothing blatant at all, where you have these people who just rage about the racial situation -- then there are homes where there is an attempt at understanding prejudice--well this is the type of home where I was brought up in where handicapped--well I had seen a number of handicapped people because of the nature of my mother's work. She works out in the county. She is Home Economics Extension Agent for county so she goes to a lot of places where in several homes the grandmother was a complete invalid, the only thing that she could use maybe were her hands. One woman I remember particular, was paralyzed from the waist down and so I was -- even though there was a respect there, there was more of the attitude these people are to be pitied. This is the kind of concept 1 came to St. Andrews with, but now I hope that is completely changed, because they are not to be pitied.



- C That would not be accepted here. Is that what you mean? That pity would not be accepted here?
- S Yes, in a positive sense. It is a very hard thing to put my finger on.
- C Or maybe understanding.
- S Well, that is a better word.
- C What does that mean to you?
- S I understand and I have sympathy for them in that they cannot help what has heppened to them, but this in no way makes me feel that they are any less of a creative individual than I am.
- C In other words, it is a physical limitation, period.
- S Right.
- C Where before it was the focus, perhaps, of the relationship?
- S Right. And before It had--the whole thing was over-shadowed with maybe they aren't as much of a person as I am both mentally as well as physically.
- C In other words, there was some spilling over from the physical to the creative?
- S It was dehumanizing on my part where as now this is not the case.
- C Do you recall specific encounters you have had at St. Andrews that really socked it to you in this area?
- S Yes, I do. I remember two right off the bat--I may remember another one later on. One was with--when I first became acquainted with

  . She was coming out of the L. A. and she was commenting very casually about having trouble opening the door. I don't remember exactly what her comment was but it was something to the effect that "I would open that old door if I had strong arms," and that was a statement that sort of made me aware in a new way of her as a person. I can't explain it really well, but let me go ahead. The second one was \_\_\_\_\_, and it was the first time that I had met her. She was coming out of the Student Center upstairs, and somebody opened the door for her, and she was in a big hurry and she said, "Thanks so much for opening the door; if you hadn't I would have broken the damn thing," and she was gone. Then after that I learned that she was a terrific person. I think it was \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_ who were severely handicapped that made me have more respect and understanding for handicapped people.
- C In these instances you probably thought, "My God, that's a human being in there."



- S Edebruy.
- C And before take were but "it" above tere.
- S This is not templetely the log, but port of.
- C And following these inchances, you were no lorger shie to keep them in a delumenized category:
- S As it they trulky dece trying to day, "I'll show you."
- C Now aid this make you bear
- I think sometimes we want to place people beneath us to make ourselves reel more secure. But as time went on I was really glad that this had happened and it made me realize what a real flaw I had and what an attitude I had. But I have been pretty much of a snob toward people who were somehow less fortunate than I in any respect, economically, socially, or anything.
- C is it like you had a plano pupis of your own and suddenly the little rascal runs through a phrase better than you ever will?
- 5 Pight.
- C You would probably say, "My gosn, this is a new light." You evidently have been open to learning all this and so forth; you haven't fought it but responded to what's been coming out at you. You are not the same person as you were four years ago in these areas.
- I That's true, especially in these areas. I guess I have learned very early that you have got to adapt to what's--but you know it has not been a painted adaptation.
- U Well, I hear this over and over again and in some areas I can almost predict what an aide will say following his experience but I realize that it is different for each individual and I am wondering this, have you learned things that perhaps you would never have learned or successfully avoided all your life, first of all?
- A If I had not been exposed to handicapped students and the program for the Penabicitation I could have successfully avoided it all of my line, I think, or a good part of my life any sort of really meaningful encounter with them. By meaningful I mean the type of things where these is a ewo-way exchange in which I have described rature, where we both learned, but I feel like I have gained more understanding than they have. I don't know if I answered both parts of your questions.
- Compared to the state of the party. The next step would be to make some court of maloo judgment on it. I am inclined to do



# FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

·• (...

the form of the first of the first of the second supplies the first of the first of

The continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of the continuity ment of

indes areas was purhaps a bit

ia ... That beems somewhat above

The second of th

•

.

the second of the second only because I think the second out the s

The second of the second of the second arening on a little bit--

Control of the Contro

the control of students though the control of the c

in the content of the content words, as you worked to take the content of the content words.

•

The Highest Control of the Control o

and the household.



a glastian to the others.

The drive, due to the handides he was the ground and a sur-Wall storm. There was one lady a trew who as have a to the was the only one handwar and displayed the

itia e sice i

The first time unconscious or otherwise, here it is, and it is in your case you responded and you learned and grew.

The students I have seen the same thing. Now this is distributed that say to the handicapped students they come in contact the there are some that have been completely repulsive to some the, would have nothing to do with them and would make an upper to avoid them. And then there have been others, like the was very unique in many ways.

1 i i i i

really was. Of course there is -- think that is whether or not the so-called "normal" students are facility in some to pect with the handicapped students. I think the line they are.

the light. I think they have to be able to identify in some case it was humor, case it was humor, the case it was humor, and this type of thing just so that the other students are are (I don't know whether I am saying this exactly lient. That he is a creative individual and equal in many case. Have I said very much, I am not sure?

The state of the second of the

Description of the see many people natural asymptotical section.

entry unhappy in they are, wouldn't the??



4.

ा - धाःसः

O - And interestingly enough, a couple of the key endounters that you never had take with the more beverely handscapped, therefore it is to a degree the degadicaty that facilitates the relationship?

S - No, it isn't. No, it hasn't been in my case; let me put it this way.

The polyco think that might be a universal rule on the campus? Or is that just coincidence?

8 - I would say that was pretty much universal.

I - I am interrupting your thinking a little bit. What are the redeeming qualities in you as a student and as an aide to the handicapped?

What are the key channels that are opened, or open the doors from the rimst place?

3 - 1 am not sure I understand the question.

C - how does one person fail to make the human contact?

S - I see. The least I would say to begin with is a person's unwillingness to adjust to the student where handicapped students are creative members of a community. I would say that one person in a hundred does that, if that many. He avoids confrontation for that reason.

U - OF.

the second one hould maybe--well this puts a lot of emphasis on the secons, on the handicapped persons. But I think that--well I am just thinking or the instance now--that the handicapped person was an unattractive person and was unattractive in all aspects, personality, physically, everything. And if this is the case then I think the avoiding contact and confrontation--

C - fou are thinking of all of the negative features. Maybe you would some out of in through the positive ones that do facilitate communication and general human contact. You have already said humor and I guess that must be pretty basic, isn't it?

the rest examples of adjustment psychologically, emotionally, and everywhere to her handroap and she did not let it bother her or it did not be see our around other people that it was bothering her. She talked and if, one was as intelligent or more intelligent than some of these bouters, on this campus, she did not try to be splashy about what she had be also were out. But when you got into a conversation with her you realized it was on two levels. You realized on the level of friends, and on the level of capabilities. All I can say is in my conversation with her it remains a supletely unaware of her handicap. It was just not



Correctly a sense. I haven't answered your question insuffy but I i.u...

្រុម ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្ ប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្រធានប្

described in the least they are their very and the second the least they are they are their end of the least they are they are the sheltered in the least the least the least the least the like hids praying, or all the least they have the latter than the least the le

. the because you believe it, not because

of the dept. The substitution of the end of

or dating where present, you know it.

precenting necessary. I would say that most of the interest of the seemed to be very insecure when the

The state of the state of anything particular you would like to strengthed talks

. •

the latest that is a supplied to be a supplied with this program, as a supplied to the problems or the problem

the first the root to complain. I am speaking specific to a complain to a thave never set.

The state of the personally, would you feel free to bring to the handicapped that personally, would you feel free to bring the state of the personally and the personally of the personally and the personally are the personally and the personally are the personally and the personally are the persona

The sum of the limit of the directly -- well i had bet emput it this way.

The sum of th

The student body in this

while the stage of the property of the particular parties of the bandicapped stu-

The second section of the Control of

the state of the second of the

of the theory of the control of the state of

1 3 1 . . .

the second of th

; so in with the stated goals ; so in with the stated goals ; so in wearing academic and socially so

.

dense, it is a subject of think students graduating from the community wherever the bling conditions. This is part of the harder with wars and wounded veterans the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of negative ones and there.

The second of th



>

students. I really don't know another school that has the program that this one has.

- These sort of things and it gets to be quite impressive after a while. There is so much of this consistency telling of what you have learned and what you have gained, it is simply not you have been someone's aide.
- No, it goes much, much deeper than being an aide.
- 2 It is really the beginning.
- S Yes, it is. I think of an aide as sort of a nurse and the relationthip that is developed goes so much beyond this sort of patient relationship that it is just fantastic.
- C I think you have even said it is more than an average friendship.
- S Yes, that is true.
- C Even more than that.
- S Just having some student's friendship that I really cherish. I am not sure that this would have ever been possible from my standpoint if I had not come to St. Andrews-----TAPE ENDS.

in the second of the second of

or a march complete content and Administration of the Committee of t

Dec di purleye di Les distinat dissents 

og disk bladen bladen bladen. Disk bladen bladen

. .