DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 061 730   EM 009 725

AUTHOR   Grunig, James E.
TITLE   Organizational Communication and Social Action.
PUB DATE   19 Apr 72
NOTE   25p.; Paper presented at the International
                Communication Association Annual Meeting (Atlanta,
                Georgia, April 19-22, 1972)

EDRS PRICE   MF-$0.65 HC-$3.29
DESCRIPTORS   Adoption (Ideas); Behavior Change; *Change Agents;
                *Changing Attitudes; *Communication (Thought
                Transfer); Community Change; Group Structure;
                Organizational Change; *Poverty Research; *Social
                Change

ABSTRACT
                Communication researchers have studied the role of
the change agent -- the professional helper who stimulates change at
the individual, group, organizational, or community level. Few
recognize, however, that the change agent is a member of a formal
organization whose norms and values often diverge from those of the
clientele system. This paper attempts to conceptualize the nature of
communication between two systems whose norms and values diverge from
one another and to determine the possible effects such communication
can achieve. The concepts developed are applied to the problem of
auditing the effectiveness of an organization's communication with
its clientele. Research evidence from studies conducted in Colombia
show the importance of the organization in determining the behavior
of change agents. Evidence is presented to show the nature of
communication between organization and clientele by anti-poverty
agencies in the United States and the nature of inter-organizational
communication within a community. Finally, a theory of inter-system
communication is developed and concepts and measuring devices are
presented for auditing the effectiveness of extra-organizational
communication. (Author/JK)

ORGANIZATIONAL COMMUNICATION AND SOCIAL ACTION

by

James E. Grunig
Department of Journalism
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland 20742

1

ORGANIZATIONAL COMMUNICATION AND SOCIAL ACTION
by James E. Grunig
Department of Journalism
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland 20742
No. of Pages: 19
Member of Division IV

Communication researchers have long been interested in the role
of the change agent--the professional helper who stimulates change at
the individual, group, organizational, or community level. Few
recognize, however, that the change agent is a member of a formal
organization whose norms and values often diverge from those of the
clientele system. The purpose of this paper is to conceptualize the
nature of communication between two systems whose norms and values
diverge from one another and to determine the possible effects such
communication can achieve. The concepts developed are then applied to
the problem of auditing the effectiveness of an organization's
communication with its clientele. Although the evidence presented is
drawn from studies of governmental and other agencies dealing with a
low-income clientele, the implications should extend to any extra-
organizational communication--such as public relations, labor relations,
or marketing--with a public or clientele with interests divergent
from those of the organization.

      Research evidence is presented from studies conducted by the
author and others in Colombia to show the importance of the
organization in determining the behavior of change agents. Evidence
is then presented to show the nature of organization-clientele
communication by anti-poverty agencies in the United States and the
nature of inter-organizational communication within a community.
Finally, a theory of inter-system communication is developed and
concepts and measuring devices are presented for auditing the effec-
tiveness of extra-organizational communication. Effectiveness is
defined as the amount of learning the organization does from its
clientele.

James E. Grunig
Department of Journalism
202 Journalism Bldg.
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland 20742

Americans have long been concerned with the fate of the disadvantaged
and the poverty stricken. Social scientists have reflected this concern
by studying means of stimulating individual and social changes that would
improve the lot of the disadvantaged. Communications scholars have been
at the forefront of this research, since communication has generally been
assumed to be a necessary if not sufficient condition for change.

Rural sociolists were the first to study social action--in an effort
to stimulate the diffusion of innovations in rural communities. (see, eg.,
Lionberger, 1960; Rogers, 1962) Other social action studies concerned
charity drives, school bond issues, and hospital construction, among others.
During the late 1950's and the 1960's great emphasis was placed on stimulat-
ing change in underdeveloped countries. And with the Great Society came
concern for domestic anti-poverty efforts.

A common concept in most social action research has been the change
agent, the professional helper who stimulates change at the individual,
group, organizational, or community level (Lippitt et al., 1958).
Usually such research yields a series of steps that the change agent
should take if he is to be successful (e.g, Bettinghaus, 1968, Ch. 11) or
for the training of change agents (Lippitt et al., 1958, Ch. 11). Most
studies conclude that the change agent can only help the client system to
recognize its problems and help it to channel and process information
relevant to those problems (Westley, 1966, 1970).

What has occurred to few people, however, is that a change agent is

almost always a member of a formal organization--be it an agricultural
extension agency, economic development agency, or anti-poverty or community
action agency. These organizations are theiselves social systems with
roles, norms, values, and communication channels that influence the
behavior of the change agent. Seldom, then, is he capable of being the
disinterested, empathic individual that change agent research shows he
must be. Quite frequently he is the product of a system with norms and
values that diverge from those of the clientele system. Rarely, therefore,
is he able to effect a lasting change within that clientele system.

My purpose here will be to conceptualize the nature of communication
between two systems--in this case a formal organization and its clientele--
and the possible effects that such communication can achieve. Given an
understanding of these effects we should be able to audit the effective-
ness of an organization's communication with its clientele. Although the
evidence presented here will be drawn from studies of communication with
a low-income clientele, the implications should extend to any extra-
organizational communication--such as public relations, labor relations,
or marketing--to a public or clientele with interests divergent from those
of the organization.

The Importance of the Organization

Five years ago I began a two-year research project in Colombia
designed to determine the role of communication in the development process.
My principal hypothesis was that the existing literature generated by

Daniel Lerner, Everett Rogers and many others had overstated the impact of communication on national and economic development (one type of social change). I believed communication complements structural change and does not initiate such change. If opportunities do not exist, communication cannot create them. But when structural change makes opportunities available, then communication can extend these opportunities by making more people aware of them. (Grunig, 1971).

This premise was supported empirically, first in a study of large landowners (Grunig, 1969a, 1969b) and then of peasants (Grunig, 1969c, 1971). It has also been substantiated in studies by Brown (1970), Fett (1970), and Whiting (1971). I studied the issue at the individual level using decision making and information seeking concepts. What I found was that an individual who (1) has opportunities available and (2) possesses the ability to recognize alternatives, (3) seeks information relevant to those opportunities. The majority of individuals in an underdeveloped country such as Colombia have few alternatives available; thus few of them seek information (from the mass media or social action agencies).

This research showed that a key structural block cutting off opportunities was the inability (or unwillingness) of social action agencies and the mass media to produce information situationally relevant to the clientele. Opportunitees became unbearable risks to these individuals because of the absence of relevant information.

The media and action agencies could not provide needed information

because they were always staffed by individuals from upper- and upper-
middle class primary reference groups--groups with a vested interest in
the status quo, a different set of values from those of the clientele,
and an inability to empathize with the clientele.

Community studies by Haney (1969) and Drake (1971), both in Colombia,
document this elite domination of social action agencies. Felstehausen
(1971) has also compiled a good deal of evidence showing elite domination
of Colombian communities.

My research resulted in recommendations for what a social action
agency and its change agent should do if the clientele are to be
presented with opportunites (and possibly changed as a result). But I
realized that no change agent would ever take these steps because the
steps were not consistent with the value systems of the formal
organizations and reference groups of which the change agent was a part.

Organization-Clientele Communication by Anti-Poverty Agencies

Thus far I have presented evidence about the nature of organization-
clientele communication only for an underdeveloped country. The same
kind of situation is by no means rare in the United States. Several
years ago Janowitz and Delany (1957) showed that administrators in upper
levels of a public bureaucracy had more knowledge of the perspectives of
the general citizenry and its voluntary organizations than they did of
the clientele. The converse was true for lower-level bureaucrats. Given
the common finding that upward communication is biased in favor of the

6

superior's expectations then we could conclude that the information that flows to top administrators does not adequately reflect client needs.

Lipsky's (1969) study of "street-level bureaucrats" shows that the clientele does not serve as a primary reference group for policemen, welfare workers, lower court judges and teachers. It is not that street-level bureaucrats are unresponsive, he concludes. It is that they are "responsive to constellations of reference groups which have excluded client-centered interests."

Greenberg and Dervin's (1970) review of the literature on communication by the urban poor shows that few low-income individuals mention the "caretaker" (minister, case worker, teacher, or lawyer) as a primary source of information. The reason:

> The professional caretaker and the extablishment to
> which he is attached are often viewed with suspicion
> and hostility. It is believed that the social service
> agencies simply attempt to get their clients to
> adjust to the status quo. The law, police, and
> government agencies are viewed as exploiters of the
> low-income community. (p. 100)

Miller et al. (1970) say social action agencies "cream the poor," i.e., choose to serve only the best-off of the low-income clientele. "Persons who make and administer organizational policy select and process applicants on the basis of how they fit their own and the organization's needs and outlook."

In a participant-observer study of three Pennsylvania cities, Pollitt (1972) found that business interests dominated community action

7

committees and generally thwarted community action programs. He explains the process as a group of "haves" looking down on the "have-nots" as children struggling to be like themselves. Thus the belief that the poor cannot manage their lives but need help in doing so.

In a study of the suburban Washington, D.C. jurisdiction of Montgomery County, Maryland, (Grunig, 1972), I found that community decision makers could communicate more easily with middle class opponents of low-income housing and understand the opponents demands on the political system better than they could communicate with and understand poor people. Thus, the demands of the opponents could more easily be serviced than those of the proponents.

Organizational Interaction Within a Community

Thus far this discussion has proceeded in terms of change agent-individual communication (individual to individual communication) and organization-clientele communication (organization to individual communication). But clients as individuals never exist in isolation. So we need to extend the discussion to communication of the social action agency with other organizations and social groups within a community setting.

Political scientists have accumulated an extensive body of literature on community decision making processes which is useful for our purposes here. An organization can be defined as an open system

processing inputs and distributing outputs (Katz & Kahn, 1966). Such a
definition indicates that we need to know who is providing the inputs
and who is receiving the outputs from a social action agency.

In the recent study mentioned above (Grunig, 1972), I traced the
communication exchange between community social action agencies, business
and government employers, and voluntary organizations on the question of
low-income housing in the upper income suburban community of Montgomery
County, Maryland. I found communication to be circular--flowing between
organizations which saw the housing problem in the same way. Organiza-
tions which communicated more frequently with one another were also more
likely to understand each other than organizations they did not communicate
with.

The poor made few communication inputs into this decision process.
Most "liberal" organizations attempting to represent the poor had
difficulty organizing the poor into membership. As a result the
membership of these organizations was basically white and middle class.

Understanding of the poor was also minimal. Leaders of the
organizations representing the poor generally believed the poor would
favor housing programs. The poor, on the other hand, were more
suspicious of such programs than they were receptive.

Communication inputs into the system generally were a distortion of
the needs and desires of the poor. But, as pointed out above, communica-
tion inputs from middle class groups were accurately transmitted and well
understood.

At this time outputs to the poor have been minimal—efforts to provide low-cost housing have been mostly token. The shortage of housing efforts seems to have resulted from what Baratz and Bachrach (1970) call a "non-decision." In their words, a non-decision is:

> . . . a decision that results in suppression or thwarting of a latent or manifest challenge to the values or interests of the decision-maker. To be more nearly explicit, nondecision-making is a means by which demands for change in the existing allocation of benefits and privileges in the community can be suffocated before they are even voiced; or kept covert; or killed before they gain access to the relevant decision-making areas; or, failing all these things, maimed or destroyed in the decision-implementing stage of the policy process. (p. 44)

Communication, in the Montgomery County case, led to a non-decision because it substituted for action. Although communication about the need for low-income housing was circular, it was also extensive. Communication can be defined as a process of stopping during the decision process. Thus when everyone pressing for housing programs is stopped, decision makers feel no need to make a decision and implement a program.

Baratz and Bachrach used a case study of black organizations in Baltimore to demonstrate the existence of non-decisions. Prior to 1965, they say:

> The channel of communications was one-way, not two-way, from the agencies to the poor. Indeed, the agencies were a potent instrument for stifling grievances, in that "uncooperative" and "undeserving" clients could be, and probably were, denied service (force) or threatened with its denial (power).

> Nor were there other avenues for the poor to air
> their grievances and gain redress. The poor had no
> access to the newspapers or radio and TV stations,
> and the news media apparently saw no point in becom-
> ing self-appointed champions of the down-trodden. (pp. 69-70)

.In 1966 and 1967, black leaders used conflict to gain access to

"key centers of decision-making." But non-decisions kept them from

becoming successful:

> Nondecisions on behalf of the white majority in the
> city reinforced the bias against the black poor.
> The latter's potential adversaries--landlords,
> employers, bureaucrats, politicians--often found it
> in their own interest to avoid being drawn into con-
> flict, relying instead for protection of their
> interests upon established institutions and
> procedures. (p. 80)

Only when blacks gained "power resources" were they able to

effectively counter competing political groups:

> . . . federal anti-poverty programs backed with
> federal funds and federal endorsement on the prin-
> ciples of maximum feasible participation have played
> a central role in the black drive for political
> access." (p. 102)

. In two recent papers, Stone (1969, 1971) shows a similar non-

decision making process taking place during Atlanta's urban renewal.

He says (1969, p. 15):

> In the formulation and implementation of policy,
> officials are most responsive to those group
> spokesman regarded as reasonable, community-minded
> and trustworthy. Consequently, an advantage,
> especially in early involvement in policy planning,
> accrues to those who are known at City Hall and who
> can be dealt with in personal and informal terms.
> A group spokesman not part of the established order

>thus faces a dilemma. If there is no protest, no
>open display of power, then he may be ignored. If
>there is protest, then he runs the risk of being
>regarded as irresponsible.

Stone (1971, p. 52) also applies Mancur Olson's (1965) concept of

small and cohesive groups vs. large and diffuse groups. Large property

holders in Atlanta were small and cohesive, hence more effective in

communicating their desires; people of lower socio-economic strata

were large and diffuse, hence less effective.

A Theory of Inter-System Communication

Thus far, it has been shown that social action organizations have

difficulty communicating with their clientele because individuals in

the agencies share the values of reference groups other than the

clientele. Within a community, communication inputs (information) are more

readily understood and less easily ignored when they come from groups

representing these reference groups than when the information comes

from low-income clientele. And frequently no information at all comes

from the clientele. Hence change agents within these organizations

cannot really empathize with the clientele--which the agents must do if

they are to help the clientele recognize its problems and if they are to

provide information relevant to those problems.

The next step is to show in theoretical terms why communication

between social systems with competing norms and values is so difficult

and then to derive conclusions to show how to facilitate such

communication.

Westley (1966, 1970, 1971) theorizes that communication has the same functions at all system levels--individual, interpersonal, group, and society. Cognitive balance theories hold that messages either change attitudes or are rejected or distorted by the attitudes. Westley believes the norms and values of a social system serve much the same functions as attitudes:

> Information from outside the system keeps it (the
> ∴system) informed as to changes requiring adjustment,
> and such information often is carried by public
> communication channels. But this information must
> be processed to test for its congruence with existing
> states; if hopelessly incongruent, it will be
> modified to make a mutual adjustment to the existing
> culture. (1971, p. 738).

In other words, communication has two functions: to (1) maintain consensus or to (2) seek a new consensus. According to Westley, the roles which constitute a social system are interdependent, so that a change in one role necessitates a change in the entire system. Thus, information which contradicts existing values must either change the system or be rejected or distorted. He adds that public communications media most often serve the consensus-seeking function, interpersonal channels the consensus-maintaining function.

I prefer to call these functions the "social change" and "status quo" functions. These concepts subsume Lasswell's (1948) three functions of communication: 1) surveillance of the environment, 2) correlation of parts of society to the environment, and 3) transmission of the social heritage between generations. Schramm (1964, p. 126) shortens these to

the watchman, decision-maker and teacher functions. To me, the
surveillance function is the social change function, the correlation
and transmission functions, the status quo function. The correlation
function maintains a status quo in the present, the transmission function
extends it into the future.

Thayer (1968, p. 33) lists two "basic functions" of communication:
1) adaptation--when we are "communicated-to" by our environment "to
adapt to it and maneuver our way through it" (i.e., the social change
function) and 2) maintenance--when we "communicate-to some living aspect
of the environment (such as a person) in such a way that we establish,
maintain, exploit, or alter the relationship of that person to us"
(i.e., the status quo function).

Thayer's description of these functions adds one important element
to the theory here--that communication takes the form of information
giving when the status quo is threatened, information seeking when
social change takes place.

The concept of opinion leader fits well with this formulation,
especially as re-conceptualized by Bostian (1970) and Westley (1966).
Information from outside the system flows to the opinion leader.
Followers seek information from the opinion leader because they expect
him to have the information. He then seeks information from outside
because he knows followers expect him to be informed--i.e., social
change information has utility for him (Atkin, 1970). But he transmits

information, not influence (although possession of information can make him influential in another sense). He is influenced mutually by other members of the system and his function is to translate social change information to make it congruent with the values of the system (the status quo function).

Early sociologists (e.g., Cooley, 1929) thought that the consensus in a system would inevitably be completely determined in a democratic fashion. But certain individuals or groups have more authority and power than others. Consensus is developed through bargaining and trading, but only those with power resources have anything to bargain and trade. Hence consensus is generally skewed in the direction of those with the most power. This is especially true in formal organizations where a "dominant coalition" has varying degrees of power (Thompson, 1967, p. 143). Communication is often a means of consolidating power between those with similar problems, so information seldom flows to and from those with no power. We might add, though, that the ability to communicate can be a source of power.

Westley (1971, p. 727) reports that recent studies show opinion leaders to be of higher status than followers. Thus we could conclude that opinion leaders are members of and are influenced by the dominant coalition of the system. Opinion leaders monitor outside information and protect the coalition from new information damaging to the status quo. They are gatekeepers, much like media gatekeepers (see, e.g., Dimmick, 1969).

Given these functions of communication within a system, the next
step is to conceptualize the nature of communication between two
systems. Since any one system is an element in progressively larger
systems, intersystem communication should take place much like inter-
personal communication. Information flows easily between systems which
have similar status quo values and only with difficulty between those
with different status quo values. The amount of power one system
possesses vis-a-vis another also determines the extent to which it is
"communicated-to" by other systems.

In a community, for example, component systems--such as the poor--
which share few problems with other component systems and which have
little power generally would have difficulty making communication
inputs to the community decision process. If social action agencies
reflect the status quo of the larger community system, then they will
have difficulty communicating to a clientele which does not reflect the
status quo. To use other terms, the needs of the non-status quo
group will be subjected to a non-decision.

Auditing the Effectiveness of Extra-Organizational Communication

The theory constructed above shows the inherent difficulties of
communications between conflicting systems. As a result of this
difficulty, social action agencies typically audit their success by
determining the number of clients which they have persuaded to accept
their services (which generally requires acceptance of the agencies'
value systems). In essence, communication is audited in terms of the

status quo function of communications--in Thayer's terms, the extent
to which the communication "establishes, maintains, exploits, or alters
the relationship of that person to us."

What I will propose here is that we measure communication
effectiveness in terms of the extent to which the organization exercises
the social change function--social change for the organization, not
for the clientele. We need to measure the extent to which the
organization understands the clientele's view of what the situation is
and what ought to be done about it. And in instances when the clientele
does not itself understand its situation, we need to measure the
extent to which the organization can think through the clientele's
situation from the standpoint of the needs and values of the clientele,
and then bring in new information which would help clients to better
evaluate consequences facing them.

This brings us to the theme of this convention, communication and
learning. Communication is most effective when it is part of a
symbiotic relationship (Thayer, 1968, p. 82). This means that both the
organization and its clientele must learn from each other. But the
organization must learn from its clientele before the clientele can
effectively learn from the organization. In Boulding's (1956, p. 28)
terms: "The incoming messages only modify the outgoing messages as
they succeed in modifying the image."

What we mean, in other words, is that the effectiveness of organization-clientele communication should be measured by the degree of empathy the organization has with the clientele. Chaffee and McLeod's (e.g., McLeod, 1971) studies of coorientation give us some theoretical tools for determining several concepts of empathy--accuracy, understanding, agreement. Wackman (1969) has discussed the problems of measuring coorientational accuracy (empathy). Auer (1970) has also used several standard tests to measure the empathy of advertising copywriters. Many of these tests could be applied to key communicators in a social action agency.

So far, however, this advice differs little from that conventionally given change agents. But recognition that the change agent is an integral part of an organization adds a new dimension. Perrow (1970) holds that altering the structure of an organization is a more effective means of altering the behavior of organization members than educating them would be.

The role of the communication researcher, then, is to determine the organizational structure that will best facilitate empathy with and learning from the clientele. A recent study of mine, yet unpublished, illustrates one kind of structure that facilitates communication. During the summer of 1971, I conducted a case study of the Community Development Department of Prince Georges County, Maryland--a suburb of Washington, D.C., which has substantial low-income segment. The agency

was set up to seek and administer federal anti-poverty funds. It
currently has OEO and Model Cities funds, among others.

I had expected that communication within the organization would
flow most readily between individuals who shared the same conception
of the most important social problem which the agency should solve.
I also expected that community aids working daily with the clientele
would have the greatest understanding of the clientele and this under-
standing would decrease higher up in the organization--in line with
the Janowitz and Delany (1957) findings discussed above. In other
words key administrators would have the poorest conception of the
social problem as seen by the clientele.

Neither of these hypotheses were supported by the data. Nearly
all individuals interviewed from the organization could accurately
predict the views of the clientele. And within the organization,
communication flowed as often between individuals with different
problem conceptions as between those with the same conceptions.

Significantly, however, there was a good deal of difference between
blacks and whites in the organization. Blacks felt more congruent with
the low-income clientele. Both saw the social problem in terms of
symptoms--poor housing, poor services. Whites understood the poor but
saw the problem differently themselves--in terms of underlying causes,
employment difficulties of the poor.

Blacks also communicated more often with the clientele, believed themselves members of lower SES levels, and lived in subdivisions with a substantial low-income component. Nevertheless, within the organization communication took place as often between races as within races.

The important structural element was the mixture of races within the organization. Blacks were found at all levels, and the top administrator is a black. Whites were concentrated in administrative positions but some were found at lower levels. What happened was that individuals with different reference group attachments were mixed within the organization, forcing a mixture of communication inputs. Conflict was not non-existent, but all organization members were subjected to communication inputs representing reference groups of the clientele. This reinforces Guetzkow's (1965, p. 550) statement that, "The greater the extent to which an external environment provides multiple bases for the origination of communication, the greater the differentiation of perceptions within the organization."

Whites in the organization added another important dimension: detachment from the emotion of the situation. Blacks could channel the needs of the poor into the organization, whites could apply logic to the situation, which many of the blacks were too personally involved to do.

Finally, we need to look at one other element of structure that affects communication--that of the power of the clientele vis-a-vis

the organization. Thompson (1967; p. 137) states that the preferences
of the organization's "dominant coalition" are constrained when the
clientele is able to pressure for a policy outcome which the coalition
might not prefer. This was evident in the Prince Georges County study.
Each federally funded program was advised by a citizen board, and
these boards could effectively force inputs upon the organization.
Conflict often occurs, but we are trying to facilitate communication
across systems, and articulation of conflicting values can be expected
to lead to conflict.

Many of these boards represent middle-class blacks rather than
the poor, and this blunts the effectiveness of the boards. The problem
is that although the need to organize the clientele to pressure the
organization is recognized, it is difficult for an organization to
organize a group to pressure itself.

A few principles can now be given in summary. Organization-
clientele communication should be audited in terms of the learning
which the organization does, the extent of understanding or empathy
which organization members have with the clientele. If this measure
shows inadequate communication, the structure of the organization
should be changed. One case of successful structure has been described
here. But situations differ and only experimentation can yield the
most effective structure.

REFERENCES CITED

Atkin, Charles K. (1970), "Communicatory Utility and News Information
    Seeking," Paper presented to the Theory and Methodology Division,
    Association for Education in Journalism, 1970.

Auer, Emma (1970), "The Function of Empathy as Represented in
    Transactional Models of Communication: A Test of Professional
    and Student Advertising Communicators," Paper presented to the
    Advertising Division, Association for Education in Journalism,
    Washington, D. C.

Baratz, Morton S. and Peter Bachrach (1970), Power and Poverty: Theory
    & Practice (New York: Oxford University Press).

Bettinghaus, Erwin P. (1968), Persuasive Communication (New York: Holt,
    Rinehart and Winston).

Bostian, Lloyd R. (1970), "The Two-Step Flow Theory: Cross-Cultural
    Implications," Journalism Quarterly 47: 109-117.

Boulding, Kenneth E. (1956), The Image (Ann Arbor: University of
    Michigan Press).

Brown, Marion R. (1970), "Communication and Agricultural Development:
    A Field Experiment," Journalism Quarterly 47: 725-734.

Cooley, Charles H. (1929), Human Organization (New York: Charles
    Scribners Sons).

Dimmick, John (1969), "The Gatekeeper: An Institutional Analysis,"
    Paper presented to the Association for Education in Journalism,
    Berkeley, Calif.

Drake, George F., "Elites and Voluntary Organizations: A Study of
    Community Power in Manizales," Ph.D. Dissertation, University
    of Wisconsin.

Felstehausen, Herman (1971), "Conceptual Limits of Development
    Communications Theory," Paper presented to the International
    Division, Association for Education in Journalism, Columbia,
    South Carolina.

Fett, John H. (1970), "Content Analysis of the Agricultural News
    Published in the Newspapers of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil," Paper
    presented to the International Division, Association for Education
    in Journalism, Washington, D.C.

Greenberg, Bradley S. and Brenda Dervin (1970), Use of the Mass Media
    by the Urban Poor (New York: Praeger Special Studies).

Grunig, James E. (1969a), "Economic Decision-Making and Entrepreneurship
    Among Colombia Latifundistas," Inter-American Economic Affairs 23
    (Summer): 21-46.

Grunig, James E. (1969b), "Information and Decision Making in Economic
    Development," Journalism Quarterly 46: 565-575.

Grunig, James E. (1969c), "The Minifundio Problem in Colombia:
    Development Alternatives," Inter-American Economic Affairs 23
    (Winter): 3-23.

Grunig, James E. (1971), "Communication and the Economic Decision-
    Making Processes of Colombian Peasants," Economic Development
    and Cultural Change 19: 580-597.

Grunig, James E. (1972), "Communication and Community Decisions on the
    Problems of the Poor," Journal of Communication 22 (March), in
    process.

Guetzkow, Harold (1965), "Communications in Organizations," in James G.
    March (ed.), Handbook of Organizations (Chicago: Rand McNally):
    534-573.

Haney, Emil B., Jr. (1971), "The Economic Reorganization of Minifunda
    in a Highland Community of Colombia," Ph.D. Dissertation,
    University of Wisconsin.

Janowitz, Morris and William Delany (1957), "The Bureaucrat and the
    Public: A Study of Informational Perspectives," Administrative
    Science Quarterly 2: 141-162.

Katz, Daniel and Robert L. Kahn (1966), The Social Psychology of
    Organizations (New York: John Wiley & Sons).

Lasswell, Harold D. (1948), "The Structure and Function of Communica-
    tion in Society," in Wilbur Schramm (ed.), Mass Communications
    2nd ed., 1960: 117-130.

Lionberger, Herbert F. (1960), Adoption of New Ideas and Practices
    (Ames: Iowa State University Press).

Lippitt, Ronald, Jeanne Watson, and Bruce Westley (1958), The Dynamics of Planned Change (New York: Harcourt, Brace & World).

Lipsky, Michael (1969), "Toward a Theory of Street-Level Bureaucracy," Paper presented to the American Political Science Association, New York.

McLeod, Jack M. (1971), "Issues and Strategies in Coorientational Research," Paper presented to the Theory and Methodology Division, Association for Education in Journalism, Columbia, South Carolina.

Miller, S. M., Pamela Roby and Alwine A. do Vos van Steenwijk (1970), "Creaming the Poor," Transaction (June): 39-45.

Olson, Mancur, Jr. (1965), The Logic of Collective Action (Cambridge: Harvard University Press).

Perrow, Charles (1970), Organizational Analysis: A Sociological View (Belmont, Calif.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.).

Pollitt, Michael (1972), Ph.D. Dissertation, Pennsylvania State University.

Rogers, Everett M. (1962), Diffusion of Innovations (Glencoe, Ill.: The Free Press).

Schramm, Wilbur (1964), Mass Media and National Development (Stanford, Calif: Stanford University Press).

Stone, Clarence N. (1969), "Overt and Latent Issues in the Urban Renewal Program of Atlanta: A Response to the Pluralist Critique of Non-Decisionmaking," Paper presented to the American Political Science Association, New York.

Stone, Clarence N. (1971), "Demand Processing, System Bias, and Policy Formation in Atlanta's Urban Renewal Program," Unpublished paper, Bureau of Governmental Research, University of Maryland.

Thayer, Lee (1968), Communication and Communication Systems (Homewood, Ill.: Richard D. Irwin).

Thompson, James D. (1967), Organizations in Action (New York: McGraw-Hill).

Wackman, Daniel B. (1969), "A Proposal for a New Measure of Coorientation Accuracy or Empathy," Paper presented to the Theory and Methodology Division, Association for Education in Journalism, Berkeley, Calif.

Westley, Bruce H. (1966), "The Functions of Public Communication in the Process of Social Change," Prepared for the AID-MSU Seminar on Communication and Change, East Lansing, Mich., April 4-7.

Westley, Bruce B. (1970), "Communication Theory and General Systems Theory: Implications for Planned Change," Public Opinion Quarterly 34: 446.

Westley, Bruce H. (1971), "Communication and Social Change," American Behavioral Scientist 14: 719-743.

Whiting, Gordon C. (1971), "The Development Role of Mass Media in Afghanistan," Paper presented to the International Division, Association for Education in Journalism, Columbia, South Carolina.