DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 049 948 24 SE 011 239

AUTHCF Harty, Harold

TITLE Statistical Report and Observations on Attitudes
Toward S-APA Installation from Fourth and Fifth

 ${\tt Grade \ Teachers \ in \ ERIE's \ Pilot \ Schools \ Prior \ to \ an}$

Initial Workshop Session.

INSTITUTION Eastern Regional Inst. for Education, Syracuse, N.Y. SPONS AGENCY Cffice of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Bureau

cf Research.

BUREAU NO ER-6-1440 PUE DATE Jan 70

NCTE 77p.; First draft

EDFS PRICE EDRS Price MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS Elementary School Science, *Elementary School

Teachers, Evaluation, Grade 4, Grade 5, Inservice

Programs, *Teacher Attitudes

IDENTIFIERS Eastern Regional Institute for Education, Science (A

Process Approach)

AESTRACT

Studied were attitudes of 54 fourth and fifth-grade teachers from 21 New York and Pennsylvania pilot schools prior to an initial summer workshop on Science-A Process Approach (AAAS) prior to installation of the AAAS during the 1969-70 school year. A questionnaire was mailed to each teacher. Data and conclusions were reported with regard to installation variables; AAAS curriculum characteristics; consultant functions and utilization; and student achievement. Results indicate clder, experienced teachers and those with graduate work were most tamiliar with Science-A Process Approach and were most favorably impressed by the program. Mean values indicated New York teachers spent more time teaching science and subgrouped pupils for individualized instruction than did Pennsylvania teachers. Teachers with one to three years experience utilized manipulative equipment more regularly than other groups. Approximately 80 percent of the teachers from both states felt that skipping exercises was a disadvantage to students. Teachers from ages 31 to 40 tended to be the most concerned about student achievement. (JM)



EASTERN REGIONAL INSTITUTE FOR EDUCATION

11. 6-19-50 11. 67 55

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF FDUCATION THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

"Statistical Report and Observations on Attitudes Toward

S-APA Installation from Fourth and Fifth Grade

Teachers in ERIE's Pilot Schools Prior to an

Initial Workshop Session"

(First Draft)

Prepared By: Harold Harty January, 1970

TABLE OF CONTENTS

											page
INSTALLATION VARIABLES		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
Table #1 to Table #40											
CHARACTERISTICS OF STARA CURRICULUM	,										
CHARACTERISTICS OF S-APA CURRICULUM	1 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	31
Table #41 to Table #64											
CONSULTING DUNCTIONS AND UNITED THE											, -
CONSULTANT FUNCTIONS AND UTILIZATION	N .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	45
Table #65 to Table #92											
S-APA AND STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	62
Table #93 to Table #96											
APPENDIX											ii



Prior to a preservice workshop held at Ithaca College, Ithaca, New York, during August, 1969, the enclosed document entitled "Ithaca College Science Workshop Information FORM" was mailed to fourth and fifth grade teachers of the Eastern Regional Institute for Education's (ERIE) twenty-one pilot schools. The pilot schools are geographically distributed throughout the states of New York and Pennsylvania. These schools have completed their second year of installing <u>S-APA</u>, which had been taught in grades kindergarten through three. The schools, their locations, and ERIE code numbers are as follows:

Code No.	<u>School</u>	Location
01	F. S. Banford Elementary School	Canton, N. Y.
02	Cedar Road Elementary School	E. Northport, N. Y.
03	Cortland Campus School	Cortland, N. Y.
04	Maple Elementary School	Williamsville, N. Y.
05	Nathaniel Rochester School #3	Rochester, N. Y.
06	General E. S. Otis School #30	Rochester, N. Y.
07	C. C. Ring Elementary School	Jamestown, N. Υ.
08	Rosedale Elementary School	White Plains, N. Y.
09	Calvin Smith Elementary School	Painted Post, N. Y.
10	Ticonderoga Elementary School	Ticonderoga, N. Y.
11	Trumansburg Elementary School	Trumansburg, N. Y.
12	Westmere Elementary School	Albany, N. Y.
15	Blessed Sacrament School	Syracuse, N. Y.
20	J. Henry Cochran Elementary	Williamsport, Penna.
21	Fairview Elementary School	Fairview, Penna.
22	Wellsboro Elementary School	Wellsboro, Penna.
23	Abraham Lincoln Elementary	Pittsburgh, Penna.
24	Overlook Elementary School	Pittsburgh, Penna.
25	Shannock Valley Elementary	Rural Valley, Penna.
26	Washington Elementary School	Shamokin, Penna.
29	St. Cyril of Alexandria School	Pittsburgh, Penna.

The primary purpose of the questionnaire was to ascertain the attitudes of these pilot school teachers prior to $\underline{S-APA}$ installation in the fourth and fifth grades. A point worth mentioning, is that many of the teachers responding to the questionnaire have been physically present in a $\underline{S-APA}$ -oriented



atmosphere for the past two years. Although most, if not all, teachers approached the questions as candidly as possible, many preconceived notions may or may not have unconsciously biased their responses. The collected data have their foundations in the responses to the items on the questionnaire. The focal point of the discussion will be answers and comments to questions one through nineteen. The report is based on the responses of 54 pilot school teachers. As in any questionnaire-data gathering endeavor, many of the items are not answered, such is the case here.

The data represent a summary of the teachers' responses to the questions asked, 'ignified by their mean numerical response on a one to seven continuum. The data have also been tabulated under four categories:

- (1) States
- (2) Age
- (3) Years of Experience
- (4) Highest Degree Received

There were 31 teachers from New York State and 23 teachers from Pennsylvania; there were 32 teachers between ages (twenty-one to thirty), 8 teachers between ages (thirty-one to forty), 13 teachers between ages (forty-one to fifty), 0 teachers between ages (fifty-one to sixty), and one teacher whose age was classified sixty-one plus; there were 10 teachers with zero years experience, 13 teachers with one to three years experience, 20 teachers with four to ten years experience, 7 teachers with eleven to twenty years experience, and 4 teachers with twenty-plus years experience; and finally there were 38 teachers with bachelor's degrees; 10 teachers with master's degrees, and 6 teachers with a master's-plus.



When the teachers were asked, "Right now, the degree to which I understand the nature and objectives of the elementary school science curricula known as Science--A Process Approach is:", they responded on the following continuum:

Have extensive 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Know nothing knowledge about
$$$\textsc{S-APA}$$$

A mean numerical response of 4.8 with a standard deviation of 1.4 reflects average knowledge and understanding concerning the nature and objectives of Science--A Process Approach among the fourth and fifth grade teachers. Looking at the data by states finds:

<u>Table #1</u>:

Frequencies & Percentages per Continuum Interval Respondents														
Respondence	1	%	2	%	_3_	%	4	%	5	_ %	6	%	_7_	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	1	5%_	1	5%	7	39%	3	14%	6	27%	4	18%
N. Y. Educators	_ 0	0%	3	9%	3	9%	9	28%	5	16%	10	31%	2	6%
All Educators	0	0%	4	7%	4	7%	16	30%	8	15%	16	30%	6	11%

The data reveal both Pennsylvania and New York educators being approximately equal with respect to familiarity and unfamiliarity of <u>S-APA</u>. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:



Table #2:

	Fr	equen	<u>ci</u> e	es & P	erc	enta	ges	per C	ont	inuur	n I1	nterva	1	
Age Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4_	_ %	5_	_%_	6	%	7_	%
Ages (21 to 30)	0	0%	1	3%	3	9%	12	34%	5	14%	11	32%_	3	9%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	2	33%	1	17%	1	17%	1	17%
Ages (41 to 50)	0	0%	2	16%	1	8%	2	16%	2	16%	3	25%	2	16%
Ages (51 to 60)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%_	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%

The data indicate that teachers between thirty-one and fifty years of age are the most familiar with <u>S-APA</u>. A point worth mentioning is the unfamiliarity of <u>S-APA</u> among the youngest group of teachers (21 to 30). One would think this group to be the most familiar because of rather recent methods courses in elementary science. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #3:

	Fre	equen	cies	s & P	erc	entag	es_	per C	ont	inuum	In	terva	1	
Years of Experience Groupings	1	%	2_	%	3	%	4	%	5_	%	6	%	7	%
(0 years)	0	0%	0_	୦0%	2	15%	2	15%	3	23%	3	23%	3	23%_
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	7	67%	0	0%	3	27%	0	0%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	3	16%	0	0%	5	26%	2	11%	8	42%	1_	5%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	2	28%	2	28%	1	14%	1	14%
(20 plus years)	0	0%	0_	0%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	1_	25%	1	25%



The data reflect consistent thinking with that exhibited in Table #2. Teachers with four to ten years experience are the most familiar with S-APA, whereas those with no experience are the least familiar. Perhaps one of the reasons for unfamiliarity is the lack of exposure, not being physically present in a S-APA-oriented environment for the past two years. Scrutinization of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #4:

Highest Degree	Fr	equer	cie	s & P	erc	entag	es p	er C	ont	inuum	_In	terva	1	
Received	1	%	2_	%	3	%	4	<u>%</u>	5	%	6	%	7_	%
B.S. or B.A.	0	0%	1	3%	3	8%	14_	35%	7	18%	13	33%	2	5%
M.Sor M.A.	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	2	28%	1_	14%

These data reveal a very interesting and significant piece of information. Teachers with master's degrees and/or advanced graduate work are much more familiar with S-APA than those with bachelor's degrees only. Inferentially speaking, graduate work contributes to S-APA exposure. It is quite possible that S-APA and other "new" elementary science programs are not taught during undergraduate methods courses because of time and scheduling considerations. These data tend to reinforce the need and continuance of ERIE's Regional Action Network of college professors. Perhaps their exposure and involvement as S-APA consultants may hopefully change philosophies and methodologies governing undergraduate elementary science methods courses.



When the educators were questioned as to how impressed they presently were with $\underline{S-APA}$ as an elementary school science program, they responded on the following continuum:

A mean numerical response of 2.8 was a standard deviation of 1.2 reflects that the teachers are rather favorably impressed with Science--A Process
Approach. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #5:

Pagnondonto	Fre	quen	cie	s & I	erc	enta	ges	per C	ont	inuum	In	terva	1	
Respondents	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	_5	%	6_	_%	_7	%
Penna. Educators	1_	5%	10	50%	5	25%	1	5%	2	10%	0	0%	1	5%
New York Educators	3	7%	12	29%	5	12%	10	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
All Educators	4	8%	22	44%	10	20%	11	22%	2	4%	0	0%	1	2%

The data indicate, percentage-wise, that Pennsylvania teachers are more impressed with $\underline{S-APA}$ than New York teachers. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:



Table #6:

	Fr	equer	ncie	s & P	erc	entag	es	per C	on t	Lnuum	In	terva	1	
Age Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	_5	%	6	*	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	2	6%	15	47%	5	16%	8	25%_	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%
Ages (41 to 50)	1	8%	6	50%	5	¥2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Ages (51 to 60)		-	_	_	_	1	-	-	_	_	_		-	_
Ages (61 plus)	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

The data reveal that teachers (ages 41 to 50) are the most imprinted and the youngest group (ages 21-30) the least imprinted by <u>S-APA</u>. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #7:

						-1								
Years of Experience Groupings	Fre	equen %	cie: 2	s & P %	ero	ntag %	es 4	per C	onti 5	nuum %	Int	erva %	1 7_	%
		·									1			
(0 years)	0	0%	6	60%	1	10%	3	30%	_ 0 _	0%	į O	0%	0_	0%
(1 to 3 years)	1	9%	4	36%	4	36%	1	9%	1	9%	0	0%	(0%
(4 to 10 years)	1_	6%	9	50%		11%	5	28%	1	6%	0	0%	Ú	0%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	3	43%	1	14%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%
(20 plus years)	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%



The data reflect consistency of thinking among teachers within all years-of-experience groupings concerning impressiveness of <u>S-APA</u>. Scrutinization of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #8:

Highest Degree	Fr	equei	ncie	s & P	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	inuum	Int	erva	1	
Received	1	_%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%_	7 _	%
B.S. or B.A.	1	3%	18	47%	7	18%	10	26%	1_	3%	0	0%	1	3%_
M.S. or M.A.	ο	0%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%
M.S.+ or M.A.+	1	17%	2	33%	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

The data exhibit very little contrariety among the teachers. Those teachers with graduate study are slightly more impressed than those with a bachelor's degree only. Once again, the amount of exposure to <u>S-APA</u> is an important variable.

When the teachers were asked to estimate the number of minutes they spent in teaching science per week to their class last year (1968-69), their responses were grouped into the following categories:

- (A) Zero to forty minutes
- (B) Forty-one to eighty minutes
- (C) Eighty-one to one hundred twenty minutes
- (d) One hundred twenty minutes plus

The mean time spent per week by all teachers was 114 minutes with a standard deviation of 81.33. The range for the time distribution was 0 minutes to



300 minutes. This mean appears rather high; but one must remember the "middle-school-concept," where things are departmentalized allowing for lengthy mandated science time. The extremely large range represents eleven teachers who could not find time to teach science. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #9:

	Tir	ne Spent	On Tead	ching So	cience	per Wee	<u>-k</u>	•
Respondents	(0- mir	-40) nutes	(4] mir	L-80) nutes	(81- min	120) utes	(12 min	0 ⁺) utes
	f	%	f	1 %	f	%	f	%
Penna. Educators	7	58%	1	8%	3	25%	1	8%
N. Y. Educators	1	4%	5	18%	12	43%	10	36%
All Educators	8	20%	6	15%	15_	38%	11	28%

The data indicate that New York teachers spend much more time on the teaching of science than Pennsylvania teachers in the fourth and fifth grades.

Most New York teachers spend from eighty-one to one hundred twenty minutes per week, whereas most Pennsylvania teachers spend from zero to forty minutes per week. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:



Table #10:

	Time	Spent	On Te	aching	Scien	ce per We	ek_	
Age Groupings	(0-40) minutes		1 '	1-80) nutes	1 '	1-120) nutes	1	0 ⁺) utes
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
Ages (21 to 30)	6	19%	3	9%	<u>5</u>	16%	18	56%
Ages (31 to 40)	2	33%	0	0%	3	50%	1_	17%
Ages (41 to 50)	0	0%	3	25%	6	50%	3	25%
Ages (51 to 60)	-	_		-	-	_		-
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%

The data imply that the youngest group of teachers (ages 21 to 30) spend the most time on teaching science per week, whereas the group (ages 31 to 40) spend the least amount of time. Perhaps the youngest group have not matured to the point where they value the teaching of reading as deserving the greatest time allotment in the elementary curriculum! Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #11:

Years of Experience	Tim	e Spent	On Te	aching S	cience	per Week	<u> </u>	
Groupings	٠.	40) utes	, ,	-80) utes		-120) u t es		0 ⁺) utes
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
(0 years)	5	50%	1	10%	2	20%	2	20%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	0_	0%	3	27%	8	73%
(4 to 10 years)	2	11%	2	11%	3	16%	12_	63%
(11 to 20 years)	1	14%	1	14%	5	71%	0	0%
(20 plus years)	0 0%		2	50%	2	50%	0	0%

The data denote that teachers with one to three years of experience spend the most time teaching science. Nearly three-fourths of the teachers within this grouping utilize more than 120 minutes per week for science instruction. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #12:

Highest Degree	Time Spent On Teaching Science Per Week											
Received	1 '	-40) nutes		80) lutes	,	-120) utes	(12 min	0 ⁺) utes				
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%				
B.S. or B.A.	7	18%	4	10%	9	27%	18	47%				
M.S. or M.A.	1	33%	1_	33%	1	33%	0	0%				
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	0	0%	0	0%	3	43%	4	57 <u>%</u>				

The data reflect that teachers with a master's degree - plus allocate the most time for science teaching. These data appear inconsistent with those found in Table #11 (years of experience groupings), where teachers with one to three years spend the most time; but, however during recent years many beginning teachers pursue graduate work and/or master's degrees very early in their careers.

When the educators were asked, "Considering the need to teach reading, arithmetic, composition, art, etc., as well as science to children,

I feel that the time devoted to teaching science in my school building



is presently:" they were to check one of the responses below:

- (A) Entirely too much time given to science
- (B) A little too much time given to science
- (C) Just the right amount of time given to science
- (D) Not quite enough time given to science
- (E) Entirely too little time given to science

Looking at the data by states:

Table #13:

Respondents				Res	ponses	-	·		·	
RESPONDENCE	1	irely Much	Little Too Much		ı	Right unt	Not Eno	Quite ugh		irely Little
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	0	0%	2	22%	6	67%	1	11%
New York Educators	1	4%	4	15%	19	70%	3	1%	0	0%
All Educators	1	3%	4	11%	21	58%	9	25%	1	3%_

The data indicate that the greatest percent of Pennsylvania teachers feels not quite enough time is devoted to teaching science, whereas the greatest percent of New York teachers feel the right amount of time is presently devoted to science. As a point of interest, nineteen percent of the New York teachers signified that entirely too much or a little too much time is devoted to teaching science. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:



Table #14:

	Responses												
Age Groupings	E .	rely Much	1	ttle Much		Right ount	*	t Quite	•	irely Little			
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%			
Ages (21 to 30)	0	0%	1	3%	21	72%	5	17%	1	3%			
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	1_	20%	4	80%	0_	0%	0	0%_			
Ages (41 to 50)	1	8%	2	17%	6	50%	3	25%	0	0%			
Ages (51 to 60)					_	_	-			_			
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%_	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%			

The data reveal that greatest percent of teacher response within three of the age groupings (ages 21 to 50) falls in "the-right-amount" category.

Very few responses fell into the "entirely-too-much" category (1) or the entirely too little category (1). Teachers, ages thirty-one to forty, recorded the highest percentage-response into "the right-amount" category.

Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #15:

Years of Experience	Responses													
Groupings	l	irely Much		ttle Much	j.	Right nount		t Quite nough		tirely Little				
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%				
(0 years)	0	0%	1	17%	3	50%	2	33%	0	0%				
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	0	0%	8	73%	2	18%	1	9%_				
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	0	0%	16	89%	2	11%	0	0%				
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	2	29%	4	57%	1	14%	0	0%				
(20 plus years)	1	25%	1	25%	O	0%	2	50%	0	0%				

The data are rather consistent with those found in Table #14. The greatest percent of teacher response within the five years-of-experience groupings falls into the "right amount" category. Only one response within the five groups fell into 'the entirely-too-much" category and the "entirely-too-little" category. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work possessed by teachers finds:

Table #16:

Highest Degree			-		Re	sponses				_
Received		irely Much	Little Too Mu c h			e Right mount	ł	Quite ough	i	irely Little
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
B.S. or R.A.	0	0%	3	9%	23	70%	6	18%	1	3%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	11	33%	0	0%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	0_	0%	0	0%

Once again the data signify the greatest percent of teacher response falling into the "right-amount" category. Reiteration of a previously mentioned point may shed some light on why there was such a congruous response by the teachers in last four tables. Most, if not all, of the teachers have been physically present in a school building where the <u>S-APA</u> atmosphere has prevailed during past two years. As a result of such, many schools had to make extensive time-scheduling adjustments which caused the "right amount" of time concept to come to the forefront.

When the educators were questioned as to what degree do they employ



subgrouping of pupils within their classroom for purposes of "individual" instruction, they responded on the following continuum:

Only subgrouping 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Subgroup every on occasion for day in all some special curricular areas learning activity

A mean numerical response of 3.9 with a standard deviation of 1.6 implies that teachers do an average amount of subgrouping during their daily teaching routine. Much of this subgrouping, as indicated verbally by the teachers, occurs as a result of their reading programs. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #17:

Frequencies & Percentages per Continuum Interval Respondents														
kespondents	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	57
Penna. Educators	3	16%	1	5%	7	37%	4	21%	1	5%	2	10%	1	5%
N. Y. Educators	1	3%	5	17%	2	7%	10	34%	4	14%	7	24%	1	3%
All Educators	4	8%	6	12%	9	18%	14	28%	5	10%	9	18%	2	4%

The data indicate that New York teachers subgroup their pupils within the classroom for the purpose of individualized instruction more often than Pennsylvania teachers. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:



Table #18:

		Frequ	enc	cies 8	. Pe	rcent	age	s per	Со	ntinu	um	Inter	va1	
Age Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	0	0%	3	9%	6	19%	9	28%	3	9%	9	28%	2	6%
Ages (31 to 40)	1	20%	0	0%	2	40%	1_	20%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%
Ages (41 to 50)	3	25%	2	17%	3	25%	3	25%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%
Agès (51 to 60)	_		-	-		_		-	-	-	_	_	_	_
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

The data represent the youngest group of teachers as being the greatest subgroupers of children. The group (ages 41 to 50) of teachers subgroups the least often, only subgrouping on occasion for some special learning activity. The youngest group of teachers are probably more familiar with the "subgroup-concept" because of its prominent position in the hierarchy of preferences found in undergraduate elementary methods courses during the last ten years. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #19:

	F	reque	nci	es &	Per	centa	ges	per	Con	tinuu	m I	nterv	<u>a1</u>	
Years of Experience Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
(0 years)	0	0%	0	0%	3	33%	3	33%	1	11%	2	22%	0	0%
(1 to 3 years)	1	9%	2	18%	2	18%	3	27%	0	0%	1	9%	2	18%
(4 to 10 years)	1	5%	1	5%	3	16%	4	21%	4	21%	6	32%	0	0%
(11 to 20 years)	1	14%	2	29%	1	14%	3	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
(20 plus years)	1	25%	1	25%	1	.25%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	_0%_



The data reveal that teachers with four to 10 years experience exhibit the highest frequency of subgrouping in all elementary curricular areas. Teachers with eleven to twenty years subgroup the least frequent. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #20:

Highest Deems	F	reque	ncy	& Pe	rce	ntage	es p	er Co	nti	nuum	Int	erval	-	
Highest Degree Received	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	9/	6	_ %	7_	%
B.S. or B.A.	2	5%	3	8%	9	24%	12	32%	3	8%	6	16%	2	5%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	1_	33%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%_	1	33%	0	0%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	1	14%	1	14%	0	0%	1	14%	2	29%	2	29%	0	0%

The data reflect that teachers with master's degrees and/or graduate course work tend to subgroup more regular than teachers with a bachelor's degree only. Additional professional course work in elementary education appears to facilitate "subgroup concept" implementation.

When the educators were asked, "To what extent will you be introducing other new curricula programs in your room during the coming academic year - do not include <u>S-APA?"</u>, they were to check one of the responses below:

- (A) No new curriculum program Interval One
- (B) One new curriculum program Interval Two
- (C) Two new curriculum programs Interval Three
- (D) Three new curriculum programs Interval Four
- (E) Four or more new curriculum programs Interval Five



The following was a stated operational definition of a new curriculum program: A new program could be in any curricula area, and would demand a minimum of one additional hour per week of planning time to be effective in your class. A mean numerical response of 1.9 with a standard deviation of 0.9 signifies that all teachers, in the most generalized sense, will be teaching one new curriculum program along with Science--A Process Approach. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #21:

	Number of Other New Curricula Programs													
Respondents	No New Programs		One New Program		Two New Programs		Three Progr		Four of					
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%				
Penna. Educators	6	24%	8	32%	1	44.%	2	8%	0	0%				
N. Y. Educators	11	39%	13_	46%	4	14%	0	0%	0_	0%				
All Educators	17	38%	21	47%	5	11%	2	4%	0	0%				

The data denote that New York Educators will be installing in our pilot schools more new curricular programs than Pennsylvania educators. No schools will be implementing four or more new programs along with <u>S-APA</u>. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:



<u>Table #22:</u>

Age Groupings	<u>]</u>	Number	of O	ther N	ew Cu	rricul	a Pro	grams		
inge Gloupings	5	New grams		New gram	Two Prog	New rams	l	e New rams	Four o New Pr	r More ograms
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
Ages (21 to 30)	13	43%	12	40%	4	13%	1	3%	0	0%
Ages (31 to 40)	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%
Ages (4 1 to 50)	2	18%	8	73%	11	9%	0	0%	0	0%
Ages (51 to 60)		-	_				-	_	-	
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	1_	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

The data indicate little as to whom, by age groupings, will be teaching the most new curricular programs. As a rule, when schools adopt new programs, they usually include several grade levels and many teachers in their plans. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #23:

	. —				 -	ł				
Years of		Numb	er of	Other	New	Curric	ula P	rograms	<u>3</u>	
Experience	!	New rams	One Prog		Two Prog	New rams	1	e New rams	t	or More cograms
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
(0 years)	<u>ز</u>	62%	2	25%	1	12%	0	0%	0	0%
(1 to 3 years)	5	45%	6	55%	0	0%	0	0%	00	0%
(4 to 10 years)	5	28%	9	50%	3_	17%	1	6%	0	0%
(11 to 20 years)	1	17%	3	50%	1_	17%	1	17%	0	0%
(20 plus years)	1	33%	2	67%	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%



Once again, the data indicate little. The data is rather consistent to those found in Table #22. Generally speaking, teachers with eleven to twenty years experience appear to be the busiest group. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers find:

Table #24:

	Ī	lumber	of Ot	her N	lew Cu	rricul	a Pro	grams		
Highest Degree Received	- ·	New rams	1	New gram	Two Prog	New grams	Thre Prog	e New rams	Four or New Pro	
	f	f % f % f % f %								%
B.S. or B.A.	14	41%	16	47%	2	6%	2	6%	Q	0%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%_	2_	67%	1	33%	0	_0%	0	0%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	2	29%	3	43%	2_	29%_	0	_0%	o	0%

The data reveal that teachers with some graduate work will be participating in more new curricular programs than teachers with bachelor's degrees only. Exposure to these programs during graduate course work may have been one of the contributing factors which led to their adoption. Whether the adoption of many other new curricular programs will or will not interfere with the S-APA installation, is to be seen.

When the educators were asked, "To what extent were <u>you</u> involved in the selection of the <u>S-APA</u> program for your school?", they responded on the following continuum:

No participation 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Was directly in selection involved in selection



The responses to this question were extremely interesting. A mean numerical response of 1.7 with a standard deviation of 1.6 tends to indicate that a "goodly" number of teachers had little or no participation in the selection of the $\underline{S-APA}$ program. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #25:

Page and and a	F	reque	ncie	es &	Pero	enta	ıges	per	Cont	inuu	m In	terv	al	
Respondents	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
Penna. Educators	15	88%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	12%
N. Y. Educators	24	78%	1	3%	3	9%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%
All Educators	39	81%	1	2%	3	7%	1	2%	0_	0%	0	0%	4	8%

The data imply that teachers from both states had little or no participation in the selection of the $\underline{S-APA}$ program. Teachers from New York State were slightly more involved than their Pennsylvania counterparts. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #26:

	<u>F</u>	reque	nci	Les ix	Per	centa	ges	per	Con	inuu	ım Ir	iterv	al	
Age Groupings	1	%	2		3	%	4	%	5	%	ö	%	7	%
(Ages (21 to 30)	28	90%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0	0	0%	0	0%	2	7%
Ages (31 to 40)	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Ages (41 to 50)	9	75%	0	0%	0	0%	1_	8%	0	0%	0	0%	2	17%
Ages (51 to 60)		-					_	_		_	_	-	_	_
Ages (61 plus)	υ	0%	1	100%	_0	0%	0	0%	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%



The data denote that teachers within the age group (31-40) played the greatest role in the selection of the <u>S-APA</u> program. Perhaps this is the group that many administrators feel is the most pliant and adaptable. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

<u>Table #27:</u>

V		Frequ	enc	ies a	ind	Perce	nta	ges p	er (Conti	nuum	Int	erv	al
Years of Exper- ience Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
(O years)	8	89%	0	0%	0	0%	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%
(1 to 3 years)	10	91%	0	0%	0	0%_	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%
(4 to 10 years)	15	83%	0	0%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%
(11 to 20 years)	4	67%	0	0%	1	16%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
(20 plus years)	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%

The data reflect that teachers with eleven or more years of experience were more directly involved in the selection than those with fewer years experience. Teachers with zero to three years experience had very little to say about the selection of <u>S-APA</u>. Scrutinization of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:



Table #28:

Highest Degree		Frequ	enci	.es &	Per	cent	age	s per	Cor	tinu	um 1	nter	val	
Received	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
B.S. or B.A.	30	86%	0	0%	3	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%
M.S. or M.A.	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%_	1	32%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	6	86%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%

The data reveal that teachers possessing a master's degree only, participated more in the selection of <u>S-APA</u> than the other two groups. A point worth mentioning is, why the highly sophisticated teachers with a master's - plus were not involved more with the selection process? It will be very interesting to see, if lack of teacher participation in the selection process is a deterring variable in the light of installation success.

In sequel to the previous question, the educators were asked to respond on the following continuum to: "To what extent was <u>any teacher</u> you know involved in the selection of the <u>S-APA</u> program for your school?"

No teacher	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Teachers were
participation								directly in-
in selection								volved in
								selection

The teachers were to respond on the continuum according to their perceptions concerning the involvement of other teachers in the selection process from the same building. A mean numerical response of 3.8 with a standard deviation of 2.1 indicates that the teachers perceive some of their colleagues as having passable involvement in the <u>S-APA</u> selection procedure. Looking at the data by states finds:



Table #29:

Respondents		Frequ	enci	es 8	. Pe	rcent	age	s per	Cor	ıtinu	ıum	Inter	val	
Respondents	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
Penna. Educators	7	<u>54%</u>	0	0%	1_	7%	1	7%	_0	0%	2	15%	2	15%
N. Y. Educators	6	23%	2	7%	3	12%	5	19%	_2	7%	_5_	19%	3	12%
All Educators	13	33%	2	5%	4	10%	6	15%	2	5%	7	18%	5	13%

The data tell us that teachers in New York perceive their colleagues as being more involved in the selection process than their Pennsylvania counterparts. Their perceptions as indicated by the percentages, are slightly more favorable concerning teacher involvement in the selection procedure. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #30:

!	F	reque	nci	es &	Per	centa	ges	per	Con	tinuu	m]	Interv	<u>a1</u>	
Age Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	7	29%	1	4%	3	13%	5	20%	1	4%	5	20%	2_	8%
Ages (31 to 40)	2	50%	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%
Ages (41 to 50)	4	40%	ı	10%	1	10%	1	1.0%	0	0%	1	10%	2	20%
Ages (51 to 60)	_		-	-	1	-	_	_	_	_	-		-	
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%

The data signify that many more teachers in all age groupings are participating in the selection of S-APA than were indicated in Table #26.



Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #31:

Years of Exper-	Fr	equen	cie	s & P	erc	entag	es	per (ont	inuum	ı In	terva	1	
ience Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
(0 years)	2	29%	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	0	0%	1	14%	2	29%
(1 to 3 years)	2	25%	1	13%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	4	50%	0	0%
(4 to 10 years)	7	47%	0	0%	2	13%	3	20%	1	6%	0	0%	2	13%
(11 to 20 years)	1	17%	0	0%	1_	16%	1	17%	1	16%	1	16%	1	17%
(20 plus years)	1	34%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%

The data once again elicit favorable trends concerning greater teacher involvement in the selection process. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #32:

High and Daniel	Fr	equen	cies	& P	erc	entag	es	per C	onti	nuum	In	terva	1	
Highest Degree Received	1	%	2	, ,	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
B.S. or B.A.	10	36%	1	3%	3	11%	4	14%	2	7%	5	18%	3	11%
M.S. or M.A.	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
M.S.+ or M.A.+	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	1_	20%	2	40%

The data continue to reflect more involvement of teachers directly in the S-APA selection procedure than previously indicated in Table #28. Teachers with a master's plus are seen participating more, whereas in Table #28



those with a master's degree only were the most actively involved. A more intensive study comparing the responses of the educators, to "the extent to which they, the teachers, were involved in the selection of the <u>S-APA</u> program" and "the extent to which any teachers they know were involved in the selection of the <u>S-APA</u> program," may prove fruitful both statistically and inferentially.

When the teachers were asked, "Does your district have a curriculum organization that includes teachers in the group that screens and selects new curricula programs?", their responses were indicated in a positive-negative fashion. Looking at the data by states finds:

<u>Table 33</u>:

Respondents	Y	'es	N	'o
	Frequencies	Percentages	Frequencies	Percentages
Penna. Educators	17	85%	3	15%
N. Y. Educators	24	88%	3	12%
All Educators	41	87%	6	13%

The data tell us that teachers from both states have district-curriculum selection organizations, where teachers are considerably involved with the choosing of new curricula programs. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:



Table #34:

Age Groupings	Y	'es	N	 [o
	Frequencies	Percentages	Frequencies	Percentages
Ages (21 to 30)	25	89%	3	11%
Ages (31 to 40)	5	100%	00	0%
Ages (41 to 50)	9	75%	3	25%
Ages (51 to 60)	_	-		_
Ages (60 plus)	1	100%	0	0%

The data represent teachers from all age groupings signifying that their district has some type of curriculum selection organization, where teachers screen and select new curricula programs. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #35:

Years of Exper-	Y	es	No					
ience Groupings	Frequencies	Percentages	Frequencies	Percentages				
(0 years)	7	86%	1	14%				
(1 to 3 years)	10	91%	11	9%				
(4 to 10 years)	15	88%	2	12%				
(11 to 20 years)	6	85%	1	15%				
(20 plus years)	3	75%	1	25%				



The data, once again, reveal that teachers from all categories answer quite positively in relation to their district possessing a curriculaselecting organization. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #36:

Highest Degree	Y	'es	No				
Received	Frequencies	Percentages	Frequencies	Percentages			
B.S. or B.A.	31	91%	3	9%			
M.S. or M.A.	1	33%	2	67%			
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	.7	100%	0	0%			

The data continue to exhibit the fore-mentioned trend, where teachers signify their districts having a teacher-involved-curricula-selecting organization.

Perhaps a more direct and significant question asked to the educators was: "Have you ever participated in one of these selection groups?" Their responses were of the yes-no type. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #37:

Respondents	Y	es	N	0
	Frequencies	Percentages	brequencies	Percentages
Penna. Educators	4	29%	15	79%
N. Y. Educators	12	42%	16	58%
All Educators	16	34%	31	66%



The data denote that teachers from both states, percentage-wise, have participated very little on one of these curricula selection groups.

Teachers from New York State have been considerably more involved than their Pennsylvania counterparts. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #38:

Age Groupings	Y	es	No					
	Frequencies	Percentages	Frequencies	Percentages				
Ages (21 to 30)	8	25%	24	75%				
Ages (31 to 40)	3	60%	2	40%				
Ages (41 to 50)	4	44%	5	56%				
Ages (51 to 60)	-	-						
Ages (61 plus)	1	100%	0	0%				

The data indicate that teachers have not participated as much as anticipated, when thinking in retrospect to their responses to the previous question.

Teachers (ages, twenty-one to thirty) and teachers (ages, forty-one to fifty) were not extensively involved on selection committees, whereas those (ages, thirty-one to forty) signified they were slightly involved. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:



<u>Table #39</u>:

Years of Exper-	У	les	No				
ience Groupings	Frequencies	Frequencies	Percentages				
(0 years)	0	0%	10	100%			
(1 to 3 years)	2	20%	8	80%			
(4 to 10 years)	8	44%	10	56%			
(11 to 20 years)	5	71%	2	29%			
(20 plus years)	1	_50%	11	50%			

The data reflect, once again, the teachers personally having very little involvement on curricula selection committees. Teachers with eleven to twenty years experience, however, have participated more extensively than any other group. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #40:

Highest Degree	У	'es	No				
Received	Frequencies	Percentages	Frequencies	Percentages			
B.S. or B.A.	9	25%	27	75%			
M.S. or M.A.	1	33%	2	67%			
M.S.+ or M.A.+	5	83%	1	17%			

The data reveal that the degree of participation of a teacher is directly proportional to the amount of schooling possessed by a teacher. The less



schooled teachers participate less, whereas those with a goodly amount of sophistication participate more. All in all, it appears that the most important criterion for participation on a district-wide curricula selection committee is pretentious wisdom obtained by way of formal graduate course work.

The next six questions posed to the teachers are concerned with specific characteristics of the <u>S-APA</u> program and process education in general. When the educators were asked, "To what extent have you utilized manipulative materials and equipment with children while teaching any curricular programs?", they responded on the following continuum:

Seldom use 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Use manipulamanipulative equipment
equipment
curricular
areas

A mean numerical response of 4.5 with a standard deviation of 1.4 tends to indicate slightly above-averageness on the part of teachers concerning the manipulation of materials and equipment with children while teaching other curricular programs. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #41:

Respondents	Fre	Frequencies & Percentages per Continuum Interval												
Respondencs	1	%	2	%	_3_	%	4	%	_5	<u>%</u>	6	_%	7	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	1	5%	3	16%	5	26%	7_	37%	2	11%	_1	5%
N. Y. Educators	1	3%	1	3%	4	14%	9	31%	4	14%	8	28%	2	7%
All Educators	1_1_	2%	2	4%	7	15%	14	29%	11	22%	10	21%	3	6%



The data represent teachers from both states utilizing manipulative materials and equipment with approximately the same degree of frequency. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #42:

	Fre	quen	cies	8 & F	erc	enta	ges	per (Cont	inuum	In	terva	1	
Age Groupings	1	<u>%</u>	_2_	_%	3_	<u>%</u> _	4	%	_5	%	_6	%	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	0_	0%	1	3%	4	13%	10	32%	10	32%	6	19%	0	0%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	1	25%
Ages (41 to 50)	1	8%	1	8%	2	17%	4	33%	0	0%	2	17%	2	17%
Ages (51 to 60)		_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_			_	_
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%

The data denote that teachers (ages, thirty-one to forty), as indicated by seventy-five percent of their responses in the last two intervals, use manipulative equipment quite frequently in all curricular areas.

Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #43:

Y	Fr	equer	ncie	s & F	erc	entag	്രട	per C	ont	inuum	In	terva	1	
Years of Exper- ience Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
(0 years)	0	0%	1	13%	2	25%	1_	13%	3	38%	1	13%	0	0%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	4	36%	5	45%	1	9%	0	0%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	υ	0%	3_	16%	7	37%	2_	11%	5	26%	2	11%
(11 to 20 years)	1	17%	0	0%	1	17%	2	33%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%
(20 plus years)	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	G	0%	1	25%	1	25%	1	25%



The data reflect that teachers with one to three years experience utilize manipulative equipment more regular than those in other groups. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #44:

Highest Degree	Fr	equen	cies	s & P	erc	entag	es.	per (Cont	inuum	In	terva	1	
Received	1	%	2	%	3_	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7_	%
B.S. or B.A.	0	0%	2	6%	7	21%	7	21%	10	29%	7	21%	1	3%
M.S. or M.A.	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	57%	0	0%	2	29%	1	14%

The data exemplify a direct relationship between the amount of academic preparation a teacher possesses and the degree to which he utilizes manipulative materials and equipment daily in all curricular areas. The quantity of academic preparation is directly proportional to the amount of utilization of manipulative materials and equipment.

Current thinking in curriculum development, as it may be, focuses on the establishment of behavioral objectives for each program. When confronted with the question, "Have you ever used a program that incorporated behaviorally stated objectives, but do not count <u>S-APA</u>?", the teachers responded on the following continuum:

No program 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Many programs More than four



A mean numerical response of 2.9 with a standard deviation 1.7 reflects that teachers, for the most part, have not used or have used only a few programs that incorporated behaviorally stated objectives. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #45:

Page and on to	Fr	equen	cie	s & P	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	inuum	Int	erva	1	
Respondents	1.	%_	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	_%	7	%
Penna. Educators	6	29%	3	16%	4	19%	3	14%	4	19%	0	0%	1	5%
N. Y. Educators	10	34%	5	17%	5	17%	5	17%	3	10%	0	0%	1	3%
All Educators	16	32%	8	16%	9	18%	8	16%	7	14%	0	0%_	2	4%

The data indicate that Pennsylvania teachers have used slightly more behaviorally-stated-objectives programs than New York teachers. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #46:

Ace Groupines	Fr	equer	cie	s & I	erc	entag	ges_	per C	on	tinuur	n Int	erva	1	
Age Groupings	1	<u>%_</u>	2	%	_3_	%	4	%	5	%	6	_%	7	<u></u> %
Ages (21 to 30)	7	22%	4	13%	8	25%	6_	19%	6	19%	0	0%	1	3%
Ages (31 to 49)	2	33%	2	33%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0.%	0	0%	1	17%
Ages (41 to 50)	7	64%	2	18%	1	9%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Ages (51 to 60)	_	_	_	· -	_	_	_	-	_	1	_	_	_	-
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%



The data reveal that teachers (ages, twenty-one to thirty) possess the most experience with behavioral-objective-stated programs. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #47:

	Fr	equer	ncie	s and	l Pe	rcent	age	s per	Сс	ntin	uum	Inter	val	
Years of Exper- ience Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
(0 years)	5	50%	2	20%	1	10%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
(1 to 3 years)	2	18%	1	9%	3	27%	1	9%	3	27%	0	0%	1	9%
(4 to 10 years)	5	26%	3	16%	4	21%	4	21%	3	16%	_0	0%	0	0%
(11 to 20 years)	3	43%	1	14%	1.	14%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%
(20 plus years)	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%

The data reflect that teachers with one to three years experience have used more programs emphasizing behavioral objectives than those of any other group. One teacher within this group has utilized more than four programs. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #48:

Highest Degree	Fr	equer	cie	s & P	erc	entag	es	per (Cont	inuum	Int	erva	1	-
Received	1	%	2_	%	3	_%_	_4	%	5	%	6_	%	7	%
B.S. or B.A.	9	25%	7	19%	7	19%	7	19%	4	11%	0	0%	2	5%
M.S. or M.A.	3	75%	0	G%	0	~%	0	0%	1_	25%	0	0%	0_	0%
M.S.+ or M.A.+	3	43%	0_	0%	2	29%	1	14%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%



The data tell us something different than what was anticipated. Teachers with a bachelor's degree have participated in the teaching of more behavioral objective oriented programs than teachers with more advanced graduate study. All in all, pilot school teachers have a moderate exposure and command of behavioral objectives.

Closely akin to the previous question, "To what extent do you plan the activities of your class in terms of behaviorally stated objectives?", was asked of the educators. Their responses were recorded on the following continuum:

Do you have 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Always write time to write behavioral objectives 5 6 7 Always write or identify behavioral objectives

A mean numerical response of 3.9 with a standard deviation of 1.3 indicates that teachers do not have or have very little time to write behavioral objectives for their classroom activities. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #49:

Respondents	Fre	quen	cie	s & P	erc	enta	ges	per (ont	inuum	In	terva	1	
Respondents	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%_	6	%	7_	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	3_	16%	5	26%	5	26%	4	21%	2	11%	0	0%
N. Y. Educators	1	3%	5	17%	3	10%	11	38%	4	14%	4	14%	1	3%
All Educators	1	2%	8	17%	8	17%	16	33%	8	17%	6	13%	1	2%



the data tend to reflect that Pennsylvania educators find slightly more time to write or identify behavioral objectives for their daily teaching and learning activities than their New York counterparts. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #50:

Age Groupings	Fre	equen	cie	s & F	erc	enta	ges	per (Cont	inuu	n In	terva	1	
Age Groupings	1	_ %	2	%_	3	. %	4	%	5	%	6	% _	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	0	0%	4	13%	5	16%	11	35%	6	19%	5	16%	0	0%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%_
Ages (41 to 50)	1_1_	8%	2	17%	3	25%	3	25%	2	17%	0	0%	1	100%
Ages (51 to 60)		-	_		1	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%

The data exhibit much consistency among the age groups with no one group showing any strong tendency toward always writing or identifying behavioral objectives for daily classroom activities. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #51:

	Fr	equen	cie	s & I	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	inuum	In	terva	1	
Years of Exper- ience Groupings	_ 1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5_	<u>%</u>	6	%	7	%
(0 years)	_ 0	0%	2	25%	1	13%	1	13%	2	25%	2	25%	0	0%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	1	9%	3	27%	5	45%	1	9%	1	9%	0	0%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	2	10%	3	16%	7	16%	4	21%	3	16%	0	0%
(11 to 20 years)	1	17%	1	17%	1	17%	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%
(20 plus years)	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%



The data reveal unusual findings. Teachers without any previous teaching experience exhibit the greatest tendency to write or identify behavioral objectives for everyday learning situations. Perhaps this paradoxical insight is the result of this group's most recent student teaching experience, where one is usually under constant supervision by college education department personnel. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #52:

Highest Degree	Fr	equer	ncie	s & P	erc	enta	ges	per C	ont	inuum	In	terva	11	
Received	1	%_	2	_ %	3	%_	4	%	5	%	6_	%	7	%
B.S. or B.A.	0	0%	7	21%	7	21%	10	29%	5	15%	5	15%	0	0%
M.S. or M.A.	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%
M.S.+ or M.A.+	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	4	57%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%

The data, once again, exhibit much consistency among the three groups with no one group showing any sharp tendency toward always writing or identifying behavioral objectives when planning daily activities.

Process education has been receiving a new focus in American Education. Researchers like Robert Gagne and Jerome Bruner have been talking about the necessity of developing process centered curricula. When the pilot school teachers were asked, "According to the best of your knowledge, process education is more like:", they responded on the following continuum:

Time proven 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Intellectual skills knowledge needed needed by children by children



A mean numerical response of 5.0 with a standard deviation of 1.6 indicates that the teachers, as a group, are rather cognizant of the functions associated with process education. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #53:

Respondents	Fre	quer	cie	s & I	Perc	enta	ges	per (ont	<u>inu</u> um	In	iterva	a1 _.	
Respondencs	1	%	_2_	_ %	3	%_	4	%_	5	%	6_	%	7_	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	2	10%	3_	15%	5	25%	3	15%	5	25%	2	10%
New York Educators	1	3%	2	7%	1	3%	8	27%	3	10%	6	20%	9	30%
All Educators	1	2%	4	8%	4	8%	13	26%	6	12%	1	22%	11	22%

The data reveal that New York teachers are slightly more aware of the provinces of process education than Pennsylvania teachers. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #54:

Age Groupings	Fr	equer	ıcie	s & I	Perc	entag	ges	per (ont	inuu	n In	terva	1	-
Age Groupings	1	%	2_	%	3	%	4	%	5	%_	_6	%	7	<u>%</u>
Ages (21 to 30)	0	0%	2	6%	3	9%	8	24%	5	15%	10_	30%	5	15%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	3	50%	1	17%	0	0%	1	17%
Ages (41 to 50)	1	10%	2	20%	0	0%	2	20%	0	0%	1	10%	4	40%
Ages (51 to 60)	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	С	0%	1	100%



The data reflect that teachers (ages, twenty-one to thirty) are the most informed group concerning the operations of process education. This is probably a result of their most recent formal schooling. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #55:

	Fr	equer	ncie	s and	i Pe	rcent	age	s per	Co	ntinu	ıum	Inter	val	
Years of Exper- ience Groupings	1	<u>%</u>	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	<u>%</u> _	6	%	_7	%
(O years)	0	0%	2	18%	3	27%	1	9%	2	18%	1	9%	2	18%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	1	9%	1	9%	2	18%	2	18%	4	36%	1	9%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	39%	1	6%	5	28%	5	28%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	50%	1	17%	0	0%	2	33%
(20 plus years)	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%

The data identify those teachers with four to twenty-years of teaching experience as the group being most familiar with the faculties of process education. Perhaps this is accountable to the fact that these teachers may have had recent graduate course work or exposure via professional journals. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #56:

Highest Degree	Fre	quen	cies	& F	erc	entag	es	per (Cont	inuu	n In	terva	1	
Received	1	<u>%</u>	2	%	3	_%_	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
B.S. or B.A.	0	0%	3	8%	4	11%	7	19%	6	16%	11	30%	6	16%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	3	50%

The data imply that the cognizance of process education exhibited by teachers is directly proportional to the pursuance of advanced study in the field of education.

When the teachers were asked, "How important do you think it is that children receive <u>S-APA</u> exercises in planned, hierarchical sequence rather than receiving ten exercises one year, skip twelve exercises, and then start off in the syllabus for the next higher grade level in September?", their responses were recorded on the following continuum:

Skipping many 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Skipping exercises exercises is a great disadvannot a disadvantage tage to the students

A mean numerical response of 5.3 with a standard deviation of 1.6 indicates that teachers feel skipping many exercises puts students to somewhat of a dicadvantage. Most teachers, because of their physical presence in a <u>S-APA</u>-oriented environment for the past two years, are quite aware of the trails and tribulations associated with not teaching the exercises in a planned, hierarchical sequence. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #57:

Respondents	Fre	quen	cie	s & I	erce	ntag	es	per C	ont	inuur	n I	nterv	7 <u>al</u>	
kespondenes	1	%	2	%	3_	%_	4	%	5	%	6	<u>%_</u> _	_ 7	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	3	14%	0	0%	4	19%	2	10%	9	43%	3	14%
N. Y. Educators	0	0%	1	3%	2	6%	5	17%	5	17%	4	13%	12	41%
All Educators	0	0%	4	8%	2	4%	9	18%	_7	14%	13	26%	1.5	30%



The data tell us that teachers from both states are rather consistent in their thinking. Approximately eighty percent of the teachers from both states Seels that skipping exercises is a definite disadvantage to the students. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #58:

Age Groupings	Fre	equer	ncies	s & I	erc	entap	es	per (Cont	inuur	n In	terva	1	_
nge of outpings	1_1_	_%_	_2	%_	3_	%	4	%	_5_	%	6	%	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	0	0%	3	9%	2	6%	5	16%	5	16%	9	28%	8	25%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	2	33%	3	50%
Ages (41 to 50)	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	2	18%	2	18%	2	18%	4	36%
Ages (51 to 60)	-	-	-	-	-	-		~	_	-		-		
Ages (61 pius)	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	_0	0%	0	0%

The data denote that teachers (ages, thirty-one to forty) are the most concerned with skipping exercises and the disadvantages it creates for students. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #59:

Years of Exper-	Fre	equer	ncie	s & I	erce	entag	çes	per C	ont	inuu	n In	terv	<u>al</u>	
ience Groupings	1	%	_2	_%	3	%	_4	% _	5	%_	_ 6	%	7	_ %
(0 years)	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	2	17%	6	50%	3	25%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	2	18%	4	36%	2	18%	2	18%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	16%	0	0%	5	26%	11	58%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	1	14%	1	14%	3	43%
(20 plus years)	0	0%	1	25%	0	9%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%



The data reveal that teachers within all years-of-experience categories feel skipping exercises is a considerable hindrance to student development. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #60:

Highest Degree	Fre	quen	cies	5 & I	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	inuu	m In	terv	<u>al</u>	
Received	1_	_%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5_	<u>%</u>	6	%_	7_	%
B.S. or B.A.	0_	0%	_3	8%	1	3%	4	11%	6	17%	12	33%	10	28%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3	75%
M.S. or M.A.	0_	0%	0	0%	1	14%	3	43%	1	14%	1	14%	1	14%

The data continue to elicit teachers' attitudes toward the importance of planning and teaching <u>S-APA</u> exercises in a hierarchical sequence. Aside from the many administrative and social ills related to skipping exercises, the data reflect that teachers really believe skipping exercises will hinder student development. Whether they put into practice what they believe and feel, remains to be seen.

When the educators asked, "During your week of inservice training many ideas and techniques will be introduced to you: Do you feel that inservice training is a necessary prerequisite for effective use of a curriculum program?", they responded on the following continuum:

Completely 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Completely unnecessary



A mean numerical response of 6.3 with a standard deviation of 1.1 indicates that teachers feel inservice training for $\underline{S-APA}$ is a must, if the installation effort is to be a success. Of all the continua questions asked, the response to this one is the most positive or favorable (1 to 7 continuum: mean = 6.3). Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #61:

Respondents	Fre	equen	cie	s & P	erce	ntag	es	per (Conti	nuu	n In	tenva	<u>al</u>	
kespondents	1_1_	_%	2	%	3	%	4	<u>%</u>	_5	<u>%</u>	6_	%	7	%
Penna. Educators	0	_0%	1	5%	0	0%	3	14%	0	0%	7	32%	11_	50%
N. Y. Edu tors	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	1	3%	10	31%	19.	59%
All Educators	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	_5_	9%	1	2%	17	31%	30	56%

The data reflect that teachers from both states agree that workshop training is imperative for effective use of the curriculum program. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #62:

Acc Crownings	Fre	quen	cies	s &]	Perce	entag	ges	per (Cont	inuu	m Iz	iterva	<u>a1</u>	
Age Groupings	1_	<u>%</u>	_2	%	3_	%	4	<u>%</u>	5	%	6	%	_ 7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	_0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	11%	1	3%	15	43%	15	43%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	5	83%
Ages (41 to 50)	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	1	8%	С	0%	2	17%	8	67%
Ages (51 to 60)				-	_	_	-	-	_	-	1	-	_	-
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	C	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%



The data tell us that teachers within all age groupings believe inservice training will introduce them to many new ideas and techniques. The older teachers really perceive themselves needing inservice training as indicated by the rather high percentages at the extreme right of the continuum. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #63:

	Fre	quen	cies	& P	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	inuum	<u>In</u>	terva	1	
Years of Exper- ience Groupings	1	%	2	_%	_3	%	4	%_	5	%	6	%	7	%
(0 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	6	46%	6	46%
(1 to 3 years)	0_	0%	1	9%	0	0%	1	9%	1	9%	5	45%	3	27%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	0	0%	2	11%	3	16%	6	3 2 %	4	21%	4	21%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1_	14%	6	86%
(20 plus years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%

The data reveal, once again, that teachers within all groups feel workshop training is completely necessary. Teachers with eleven to twenty years of teaching experience exhibit the greatest need to be introduced to new ideas and techniques. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #64:

Highest Degree Received	Fre	equer	cie	s & I	erce	entag	es	per C	Conti	.nuuı	n In	terva	<u> 1</u>	
	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%_	5	%	6	%	7	%
B.S. or B.A.	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	3	8%	1	3%	15	38%	19	49%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	0	0%	_0	0%	0	0%	0_	0%	2	50%	2	50%
M.S.+ or M.A.+	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1.4%	0	0%	0	0%	6_	86%



Once again the data denote a great need for inservice training. Teachers with advanced degrees tend to favor workshop training as more necessary than those with a bachelor's degree only. Perhaps those with graduate work have not had recent courses in elementary science.

The next seven questions posed to the teachers are concerned with consultant service and utilization. When the educators were asked, "Have you ever utilized the help of an outside consultant?", they responded on the following continuum:

A mean numerical response of 3.6 with a standard deviation 1.9 indicates that the teachers as a group have had some consultant service; but whether they maximally utilized it, cannot be ascertained. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #65:

Respondents	Fr	equer	cie	s & P	erc	entag	ges	per (Cont	inuum	ı In	terva	<u>.1</u>	
	1	%_	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	_ %
Penna. Educators	0	0%	2_	9%	3	14%	1	5%	5	23%	7	32%	4	18%
N. Y. Educators	6	20%	6_	20%	2	7%	5	17%	6	20%	0	0%	5	17%
All Educators	6	12%	8	15%	5	10%	6	12%	11	21%	7	13%	9	17%



The data represent Pennsylvania teachers having had more outside consultant service than their New York counterparts. Nine teachers signified they had utilized outside consultant service more than ten times per year. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #66:

Ass Craunings	Fr	equer	cie	s & P	erc	entag	es	per (Cont	inuun	ıInt	erva	11	
Age Groupings	1	<u>%</u>	_2	%	3	%	4	%	5_	%	6	%	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	7	22%	7	22%	0	0%	3	9%	12	38%	0_	0%	3	9%
Ages (31 to 40)	1	17%	2	33%	0	0%	1	17%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%
Ages (41 to 50)	3	25%	0	0%	2	17%	3	25%	1	8%	1	8%	2	17%
Ages (51 to 60)	_	_	_	_	-	-		1	-	_	_	_	_	-
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

The data reflect that teachers (ages, forty-one to fifty) possess the most past experience with the utilization of outside consultants. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table_#67:

Years of Exper-	Fr	equen	cie	s & P	erc	entag	ges	per C	ont	inuun	ı Int	terva	<u>.1</u>	
ience Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
(O years)	5	50%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	0	0%	1	10%
(1 to 3 years)	1	9%	3	27%	0	0%	1	9%	4	36%	0	0%	2	18%
(4 to 10 years)	4	21%	2	11%	1	5%	2	11%	9	47%	1_	5%	0	0%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	3	43%	1	14%	0	0%	1	14%
(20 plus years)	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	1	25%



The data reveal that teachers with eleven to twenty years service have had the most experience with the utilization of outside consultation. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #68:

Highest Degree	Fr	equer	ncie	s & E	erc	entag	ges	per (Cont	inuun	In	terva	1s	
Received	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	_ %
B.S. or B.A.	10	27%	8	22%	0	0%	4	11%	12	32%	1	3%	2	5%
M.S. or M.A.	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	1_	14%	3	43%	0	0%	1	14%

The data imply that teachers possessing a masters-plus tend to have had more of an exposure to consultant service. Perhaps, if one may infer, there appears to be a relationship between those teachers (ages, forty-one to fifty), those with eleven to twenty years experience and those with a masters-plus!

When the educators were asked, "To what degree of importance do you feel a consultant should assist the teacher in utilization of equipment and guides?", they responded on the following continuum:

Unimportant 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Very important

A mean of 5.3 with a standard deviation of 1.5 indicates that teachers feel assisting in the utilization of equipment and guides as being important, but not very important. Looking at the data by states finds:



Table #69:

Respondents	Fre	quer	cie	s & F	erc	entag	es	per Co	ont	inuun	ı In	terva	a <u>1</u>	:
Respondents	1	%_	2_	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	1	5%	3	14%	2	9%	5	23%	5	23%	6	27%
N. Y. Educators	1	3%	1	3%	1	3%	5	16%	6	19%	7	23%	10	32%
All Educators	1	2%	2	4%	4	7%	7	13%	11	21%	12	22%	16	30%

The data reflect that New York educators value assistance in the utilization of equipment and guides more than Pennsylvania teachers. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #70:

	Fre	quen	cies	& I	erc	entag	es	per (Cont	inuur	n Ir	nterva	1	
Age Groupings	1_	_%	2	%	3_	%	4_	%	5	%	_6	%	7	<u>%</u>
Ages (21 to 30)	1	3%_	1	3%	4	12%	_5	15%	10	29%	6	18%	7_	21%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	1	17%	4	67%
Ages (41 to 50)	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	1	8%	1	8%	4	33%	5	42%
Ages (51 to 60)	_	_		-		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Ages (61 plus)	0_	0%	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	_1	100%	0	0%

The data denote that teachers (ages, thirty-one to fifty) feel the help from a consultant concerning the use of equipment and guides as rather important by the indication of percentages in the last three intervals. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:



Table #71:

Years of Exper-	Fre	equer	ncie	s & I	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	inuun	ı In	terva	1	
ience Groupings	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	_5	%	6	%	7	%
(O years)	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	2	17%	2	17%	3	25%	4	33%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	1_	9%	2	18%	1_	9%	5	45%	1	9%	1	9%
(4 to 10 years)	1	5%	1	5%	1	5%	2	11%	4	21%	4	21%	6	32%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	2	29%	3	43%
(20 plus years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	2	50%

The data tell us that teachers within two groupings, those with one to three years experience and those with four to ten years experience value the aid of a consultant, when utilizing equipment and guides, as an important function. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course wor! of teachers finds:

Table #72:

Highest Degree	Fre	quer	cie	s & I	erc	entag	es	per (Cont	<u>inaur</u>	n In	terva	<u>al</u>	
Received	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	_ 7	%
B.S. or B.A.	1	3%	1	3%	3	8%	4	10%	12	31%	8	21%	10_	26%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	4	57 <u>%</u>

The data reveal that teachers, regardless of how much formal training they possess, feel this consultant function to be rather important.



When the teachers were asked, "To what degree of importance do you feel a consultant should demonstrate <u>S-APA</u> instruction to children?", they responded on the following continuum:

Unimportant 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Very important

A mean numerical response of 5.2 with a standard deviation 1.4 indicates that teachers value this function as rather important, but not very important. Of All the functions mentioned, the demonstration of <u>S-APA</u> instruction to children had the lowest mean numerical response. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #73:

Respondents	Fre	equer	cies	s & I	erc	entag	es_	per (Cont	inuu	m In	terva	11	
Respondents	1	%	2	%	3	<u>%</u>	4	_ %	5_	%_	6	%_	7	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	1	5%	3	14%	1	5%	6	27%	5	22%	6_	27%
N. Y. Educators	0	0%	0	0%	4	13%	4	13%	8	26%	7	23%	8	26%
All Educators	0	0%	1	2%	7	13%	5_	9%	14	25%	12	23%	14	26%

The data reveal that teachers from New York State favor demonstration lessons slightly more than their Pennsylvania counterparts. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:



<u>Table #74:</u>

	Fre	quer	cie	es &	Per	centa	ges	s per	Con	t i nuv	ım I	nterv	7 <u>a1</u>	
Age Groupings	1	_%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5_	%	6	%	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	0	0%	1	3%	6	18%	3	9%	9	26%	8	24%	7	21%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	1	17%	3	50%
Ages (41 to 50)	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	2	17%	2	17%	3	25%	4	33%
Ages (51 to 60)	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

The data represent that teachers (ages, thirty-one to forty) value the consultant demonstrating <u>S-APA</u> instruction to children as being very important; whereas the other teachers think it is just rather important. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #75:

	Fre	equer	ncies	& I	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	inuun	ı Ir	iterva	1	_
Years of Exper- ience Groupings	1	_%_	2	%_	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
(0 years)	0_	0%	1_	8%	2	17%	0	0%	1	8%	_3	25%	5	42%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	1	9%	7	64%	2	18%	0	0%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	0	0%	3	16%	2	11%	4	21%	5	26%	5	2 <u>ó</u> %
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	0_	0%	1	14%	2	29%	_1	14%	1	14%	2	29%
(20 plus years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	Ţ	25%	2	50%



The data reflect that teachers with four to ten years experience value the teaching of demonstration lessons as being slightly more important than teachers within other groups. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table_#76:

Highest Degree	Fre	equen	cies	s & P	erc	entag	ges	per (Cont	j.nuun	ı In	terva	11	
Received	1_	%	2	_%	3	%_	4_	%	5_	%	6	%_	7	_%
B.S. or B.A.	0	0%	1	3%	5	13%	3	8%	10	26%	9	23%	11	28%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	3	43%	1	14%	1	14%

The data reveal that teachers regardless of the amount of formal academic preparation feel the teaching of demonstration lessons is rather important. Eleven teachers with bachelor's degrees only signified this consultant function to be very important.

When the educators were asked, "To what degree of importance do you feel a consultant should evaluate the effect of the <u>S-APA</u> curriculum upon student achievement?", they responded on the following continuum:

Unimportant 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Very important

A mean numerical response of 5.3 with a standard deviation of 1.5 indicates that teachers feel evaluation of the effect of the <u>S-APA</u> program upon student achievement is a rather important consultant function. Looking at the data by states finds:



Table #77:

Respondents	Fr	equer	ncie	s &	Per	centa	ges	per	Con	tinuu	m I	nterv	a1	-
Respondence	1	%	2	_ %	3	%	4	%	_5	%	6	%	7	%
Penna. Educators	5	24%	3	14%	0	0%	3	14%	9	43%	1_	5%	0	0%
N. Y. Educators	ົວ	0%	0	0%	5	16%	3	10%	5	16%	7	23%	11	35%
All Educators	5	10%	3	6%	5	10%	6	12%	14	27%	8	15%	11	21%

The data imply that New York teachers appraise more highly the consultant function of evaluating the effect of the <u>S-APA</u> program upon student achievement than their Pennsylvania counterparts. Five Pennsylvania teachers signified this consultant function to be totally unimportant. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #78:

A G	Fre	quen	cies	& F	e∵c	entag	es p	er C	ont	inuum	In	terva	1	·
Age Groupings	_1_	%	2	%	3	%	4	_%	5	%	6	%	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	0	0%_	2	6%	7	21%	3	8%	6_	18%	8	24%	8	24%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	0	0%	9	0%	0	0%	2	33%	2	33%	2	33%
Ages (41 to 50)	0	0%	0	0%	0	C%	1	8%	2	17%	4	33%	5	42%
Ages (51 to 60)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_
Ages (61 plus)	0_	0%	0_	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%

The data reveal that teachers (ages, thirty-one to forty) feel this consultant function to be rather important, whereas those (ages, twenty-one to thirty) consider it to be less important a furtion. Examination of the



data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #79:

Years of Exper-	Fre	equer	ncie	s & F	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	inuum	In	iterva	1	
ience Groupings	1	%	2	_ %	3	%_	4	%	5	%	_6	%_	_7_	%
(O years)	0	0%	0	0%	2	17%	0	0%	2	17%	3	25%	5	42%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	1	9%	5	45%	3	27%	1	9%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	1	5%	5	26%	2	11%	2	11%	5	26%	4	21%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	1	13%	2	25%	4	50%
(20 plus years)	0	0%	1	25%	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	2	50%

The data reflect that teachers with eleven to twenty years service value the consultant evaluating the effect of the <u>S-APA</u> curriculum upon student achievement more than in any other experience group. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #80:

Highest Degree	Fre	quer	cie	s & I	erc	entag	ges	per (Cont	inuur	n In	terva	als	
Received	1	%	2	%	_3_	%	4_	%	5_	_ %	6	%	7	%
B.S. or B.A.	0	0%	2	5%	5	13%	2	5%	9	23%	10	26%	11	28%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	1	14%	3	43%	1	14%



The data denote that teachers regardless of the amount of formal academic preparation assess the functioning of a consultant as an evaluation of the effect of the <u>S-APA</u> program upon student achievement to be rather important.

When the educators were asked, "To what degree of importance do you feel a consultant should observe the classroom teacher, analyze and constructively discuss teacher performance during a mutual sharing session?", they responded on the following continuum:

Unimportant 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Very important

A mean numerical response of 5.5 with a standard deviation of 1.6 indicates that teachers tend to deem classroom observation and an analysis of teacher performance during a mutual sharing session as being very important. This consultant function received one of the highest ratings by the teachers. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #81:

Respondents	<u>F</u> re	equer	cies	s & E	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	inuur	n In	terv	<u>al</u>	_
Respondencs	1_	%	2	%	3_	%	4	%	5	%	_6	%	_7_	_%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	1	5%	1	5%	3	1 4%	2	9%	_6	27%	9	41%
N. Y. Educators	0	0%	. 0	0%	6	19%	3	10%	3	10%	7	23%	12	39%
All Educators	0	0%	1	2%	7	13%	6	11%	5	9%	13	25%	21	39%



The data tell us that Pennsylvania teachers value more highly this consultant function than do New York teachers. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #82:

	Fre	equer	cies	s & P	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	inuum	ı Ir	nterva	11	
Age Groupings	1	%_	2	_ %	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	0	0%	1	3%	4	12%	5	15%	2	6%	9	26%	13	38%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	3	50%
Ages (41 to 50)	0	0%	0	0%	2	17%	1	8%	3	25%	1	8%	5	42%
Ages (51 to 60)	-		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%

The data reveal that teachers (ages, thirty-one to forty) appraise the consultant function of classroom observation and an analysis of teacher performance during a mutual sharing session as being rather important, when compared to the other age groupings. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #83:

	Fre	quen	cies	3 & F	erc	entag	es	per (ont	inuun	ı In	terva	1	
Years of Exper- ience Groupings	1_	<u>%</u>	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6_	%	7	%
(0 years)	0_	0%	0	0%	1_	8%	1	8%	0	0%	4	33%	6	50%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	18%	2	18%	4	36%	3	27%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	0	0%	3	16%	2	11%	4	21%	5	26%	5	26%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	2	29%	4	57%
(20 plus years)	О	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%



The data reflect that teachers within all groupings feel the utilization of this consultant function to be rather important. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #84:

Highest Degree	Fre	quer	cies	s & F	erc	entag	es	per C	ont	<u>inu</u> uı	n In	terva	ıls	
Received	1	%	2	%_	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	_ %	7	%
B.S. or B.A.	0	0%	1	3%	5_	13%	4	_10%	3	_8%	12	31%	14	36%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	5	71%

The data tend to exhibit tendencies where those teachers with more formal academic preparation deem more important the consultant function of class-room observation and an analysis of teacher performance during a mutual sharing session.

When the educators were asked, "To what degree of importance do you feel a consultant should interpret the program to various administrators, parents, visitors, and PTA's?", they responded on the following continuum:

Unimportant 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Very important

A mean numerical response of 5.3 with a standard deviation of 1.7 indicates that teachers value the interpretation of <u>S-APA</u> to various administrators, parents, visitors, and PTA's as rather important, but not very important. Looking at the data by states finds:



Table #85:

Respondents	Fr	equer	cies	s & P	erce	ntag	es	per C	ont	inuu	n In	terva	<u>a 1</u>	_
Respondencs	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	<u>%</u>	7	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	9%	5	23%	7	32%	8	36%
N. Y. Educators	3	10%	1	3%	1	3%	6	19%	4	13%	7	23%	9	29%
All Educators	3	6%	1	2%	1	2%	8	15%	9	17%	14	26%	17	32%

The data represent Pennsylvania teachers assessing much higher the interpretation of $\underline{S-APA}$ to various administrators, parents, visitors, and PTA's by the consultant than their New York counterparts. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

<u>Table #86</u>:

A	Fr	equer	ncies	: & I	erc	entag	es	per (ont	inuun	ı In	ter <u>v</u> a	<u>a 1</u>	
Age Groupings	1	%	2	_%_	3	%	_4	%	5	%	6	_ % _	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	1	3%	1	3%	1	3%	5	15%	6	18%	9	26%	11	33%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	5	83%
Ages (41 to 50)	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	2	17%	3	25%	4	33%	1	8%
Ages (51 to 60)	_	_		-	-		_	-	-		_	_	_	_
Ages (61 plus)	0_	0%	0	0%	0_	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	_0	0%

The data reveal that teachers (ages, thirty-one to forty) value this consultant function very important, whereas some teachers (ages, thirty-one to



forty) value this consultant function very important, whereas some teachers (ages, forty-one to fifty) signify it as being totally unimportant. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #87:

	Fr	equer	cies	8 E	erc	entag	es	per (Cont	inuun	n Ir	iterva	11	
Years of Exper- ience Groupings	1	%	2	%	3_	%	4	%	_ 5	_ % _	6	<u>%_</u>	7	%_
(0 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	17%	1	8%	3	25%	6	50%_
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	2	18%	5	45%	3	27%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	1	5%	5	26%	2	11%	2	11%	5	26%	4	21%
(11 to 20 years)	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	2	29%	2	29%
(20 plus years)	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%

The data reflect that all teachers deem interpretation of <u>S-APA</u> to others rather important, except two teachers with eleven to twenty-plus years of service who signify it as being totally unimportant. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table_#88:

Highest Degree	Fr	equer	cies	& F	erc	entag	es	per (ont	inuu	n In	terva	<u>.ī</u>	
Received	1	%	2	%	3	%	4	%_	5	%	6	%	_7_	_ %
B.S. or B.A.	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	4	10%	9	23%	11	28%	14	36%
M.S. or M.A.	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%
M.S. or M.A.	1	14%	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	0	0%	2	29%	2	29%



The data imply that teachers with advanced degrees and/or graduate course work do not value this consultant function as highly as those teachers with a bachelor's degree only.

When the educators were asked, "To what degree of importance do you feel a consultant should work with a small group of children in the classroom to evaluate the suitability and contribution of a given <u>S-APA</u> exercise?", they responded on the following continuum:

Unimportant 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Very important

A mean numerical response of 5.5 with a standard deviation of 1.2 indicates that teachers feel the consultant working with small groups of children in the classroom as being very important. Of all the functions mentioned, this received the highest mean numerical response, thus attaining top priority among the teachers. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #89:

Respondents	Fre	equen	cies	& P	erc	entag	es	per (Cont	inuu	n In	terva	<u> 1</u>	
<u>kespondenes</u>	1_1_	%	_2	%	3	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	14%	6	27%	7	32%	6	27%
N. Y. Educators	0	0%	0	0%	3	10%	5	16%	6	19%	8	26%	9	29%
All Educators	0	0%	0	0%	3	6%	8	15%	12	23%	1.5	28%	15	28%

The data reveal that Pennsylvania teachers value more highly this consultant function than do New York teachers. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:



Table #90:

	Fre	eque	ncie	s & F	ero	entag	es	per	Cont	inuur	ı In	terva	1	
Age Groupings	1	%_	2	%	3	%%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	0_	0%	0	0%	2	6%	6	18%	10	29%	9	26%	7	21%
Ages (31 to 40)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	4	67%
Ages (41 to 50)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	16%	2	16%	4	33%	4	33%
Ages (51 to 60)	-	_	•	_	-	_	_		_		-		_	_
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	_0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

The data reflect that teachers (ages, thirty-one to forty) assess the consultant working with small groups of children as being very important. Many teachers signified this as indicated by the overwhelming appearance of scores in the seventh or last interval. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

<u>Table</u> #91:

Years of Exper-	Fre	quen	cies	s & E	erc	entag	es	per (ont	inuum	ı In	terva	1	
ience Groupings	1	%	2	<u>%_</u>	_ 3_	%	4	%_	_ 5	%	6	%	7	%
(O years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%_	1	8%	2	16%	3	24%	6	49%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	0_	0%	0	0%	2	18%	3	27%	5	45%	1	9%_
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	1	5%	5	26%	2	11%	1	5%	2	11%	8	42%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	2	29%	3	43%
(20 plus years)	0_	0%	0	0%	1_	25%	0	0%	_1	25%	1	25%	1	25%



The data denote that teachers within all groups rate this consultant function as rather important but not very important. Teachers with one to three years experience deem this service the least important when comparing them to the other groups. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #92:

Highest Degree	Fre	equen	cies	& P	erc	entag	es_	per	Cont	inuu	n In	terva	<u> 1</u>	
Received	1	, %	2	<u>%</u>	3	%	4	%_	5	%	6	%	7	%
B.S. or B.A.	0_	C%	0	0%	1_	3%	5_	13%	11_	28%	11	28%	11	28%
M.S. or M.A.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%
M.S. ⁺ or M.A. ⁺	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	1	14%	3	43%	1	14%

The data tell us that teachers within all categories do not consider the consultant working with a small group of children in the classroom to evaluate the suitability and contribution of a given <u>S-APA</u> exercise as too important an activity. Graph #1 has been provided for a general review and overview of the mean numerical response of all teachers toward the six previously mentioned consultant functions.

Finally, when the educators were asked, "To what extent do you feel it important to know the improvement in student achievement in <u>S-APA</u> during the year?", they responded on the following continuum:

Unimportant 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Very important



ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

Continuum Preferences

A mean numerical response of 6.2 with a standard deviation of 1.2 indicates that teachers are extremely concerned with knowing the improvement of student achievement in <u>S-APA</u>. Looking at the data by states finds:

Table #93:

Para de la constanta	Fre	quer	ncies	& E	Perce	entag	ges p	er C	ont	inuu	n In	terva	11	
Respondents	1_	_%_	_2	%	3	_%	4_	%	5	%	_ 6	%	7	%
Penna. Educators	0	0%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%	2	9%	5	23%	13	59%
N. Y. Educators	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	9.%	4	13%	9	28%	16	50%
All Educators	0	0%	1	2%	1	2%	3	6%	6	11%	1.4	26%	29	54%

The data tell us that teachers from both states tend to agree as to the importance of knowing how $\underline{S-APA}$ may improve student achievement. Inspection of the data by age groupings finds:

Table #94:

Acc Crownings	Fre	quen	cies	8 & I	erc	entag	es j	per (Cont	inuun	ı In	terva	11	
Age Groupings	1	%	2	%	3_	%	4	%	5	%	6	%	7	%
Ages (21 to 30)	0	0%	1	3%	0_	0%	2	6%	5	14%	8	23%	19	54%
Ages (31 to 40)	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	5	83%
Ages (41 to 50)	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	1	8%	1	8%	5	42%	4	33%
Ages (51 to 60)	1		-	-	_	-	-		_	-	1	-	-	_
Ages (61 plus)	0	0%	0_	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%



The data reflect that teachers (ages, thirty-one to forty) tend to be the most concerned about <u>S-APA</u> and student achievement. Examination of the data by number of teaching-years-experience finds:

Table #95:

Years of Exper-	Fre	equen	cies	& P	erce	ntag	ges	per (ont	inuun	ı Ir	terva	1	
ience Groupings	1	_ %	_2 }	%	_3	%	4	%	5	%	_6	%	7	_ %
(0 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	15%	4	31%	7	54%
(1 to 3 years)	0	0%	0	0%	1_	9%	1	9%	0	0%	2	18%	7	64%
(4 to 10 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0_	0%	1	25%	3	75%
(11 to 20 years)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	5	63%	2	25%
(20 years plus)	0	0%	0	0%	_0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%

The data reveal that teachers with four to ten years of service are the most concerned, whereas those teachers with eleven to twenty years of experience are the least concerned. Only twenty-five percent of the teachers in the least-concerned group signified student achievement as being very important. Analysis of the data by academic degrees and/or graduate course work of teachers finds:

Table #96:

Highest Degree	Fre	quen	cies	5 & F	erce	entag	es	per (Cont	inuu	n In	terv	e.1	
ReReceived	1_	%	2	%	_3_	%	4	%	5	%	6	_ %	7_	%
B.S. or B.A.	0	0%	1	3%	1	3%	1	3%	4	1.0%	10	25%	23_	58%
M.S. or M.A.	0	9%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3	75%
M S.+ or M.A.+	0	υ%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	2	29%	2	29%	1	14%

The data denote little as what group feels most strong about <u>S-APA</u> and student achievement. Those teachers with a master's plus certainly do not consider it too important as indicated by only fourteen percent response in the seventh interval. Those teachers with a master's only tend to favor the importance of knowing about the improvement of student achievement because of the S-APA program.

The points elaborated upon and the data tables presented in this report are only a few of many that can be inferred from the multitude of existing possibilities. An <u>appendix</u> has been provided for those who wish to pursue a more thorough or comprehensive study.

#



APPENDIX



Questionnaire

"Ithaca College Science Workshop Information Form"



				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							<u> </u>
					EXAM	MPLE I	TEM A				
As a	a site for	a wor	kshop	, Ithac	ca Coll	lege is	s :				
bear	remely utiful and piring		1 (2	3	4	5	6		ninspin nd drab	
: 1		a bea ree t	utifu	l and i	inspiri	ing wor	kshop	site.	Many	partio	um. "Two" cipants will A. Ethaca College
											s should respond
rela	ative to the	eir c	lassro	oom, ar	nd admi	inistra	ators	relati	ive to	their b	ouilding or district
1.		ntary									ectives of cocess Approach
exte know	ensive vledge ut <u>SAPA</u>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Know nothin about	_	
2.	From what elementar						you	been i	impress	ed with	SAPA as an
	y orably ressed	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		y avorabl ressed	-у
3.	Please es your clas								in teacl	hing so	cience <u>per week</u> to
4.		on, a	rt, et	tc., as	s well	as sci	ence	to chi	lldren,	I feel	ing, arithmetic, that the time
		a li just not	ttle the quite	too mud too mud right a enough	ch time amount n time	e giver of tim given	n to s ne giv to so	cience en to ier <i>:</i> e.	science	e •	
5.	To what depurposes o						ng of	pupils	in yo	ur clas	ssroom for
	Only subgroon occasion special leactivity.	n for	some	1	2	<u>,</u> 9	4	_. 5	6	7	Subgroup every day in all curricular areas.



6.	To what extent will you be introducing other new curricula programs in your room during the coming academic year. Do not include SAPA.
	 1 - No new curriculum program * 2 - 1 new curriculum program 3 - 2 new curriculum programs 4 - 3 new curriculum programs 5 - 4 or more new curriculum programs
	* A new program could be in any curricula area, and would demand a minimum of one additional hour per week of planning time to be effective in your class.
2.	To what extent have you participated in the selection of any of the new programs (non SAPA) you are going to use next year (if any). (Please leave blank if no new programs are being installed.)
	No participation - Program was selected 1 2 3 5 6 7 in planning and implementing
8.	To what extent were you involved in the selection of the SAPA program for your school?
	No participation Was directly involved in selection 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 in selection
9.	To what extent was any teacher you know involved in the selection of the SAPA program for your school?
	No teacher Teachers were directly participation in 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 involved in selection selection
10.	Does your district have a curriculum organization that includes teachers in the group that screens and selects new curricula programs?
	Yes No
11.	Have you ever participated in one of these selection groups?
	Y.es No
12.	To what extent have you utilized manipulative materials and equipment with children while teaching any curricular programs?
O ^N	Seldom use manipulative 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 equipment daily



equipment.

in all curricular areas.

13.		rent thinking avioral objec					ıt focı	uses (on th	e es	tablishment of
	a)	Have you eve objectives?					corpora	ated b	ehav	iora	lly stated
		No program	1	2	3	4	5	6			programs than 4
	ъ)	To what exte					ties o	of you	ır cl	ass	in terms of
		Do not have time to writ behavioral objectives	e 1	2	3	4	5	6		7	Always write or identify oehavioral objectives
14.	Res	cess educatio earchers like necessity of	Rober	t Gagne	and 3	Jerome	Brune	r have	e bee		
	Acc	ording to the	best	of you	know!	ledge,	proces	ss edi	ıcati	on i	s more like:
	kno	e proven wledge needed child r en	. 1	2	3	4	5	6	5	7	Intellectual skills needed by children.
15.	Hav	e you ever ut	ilized	the he	elp of	an "oı	ıtside	consi	ıltan	t"?	
	Nev	er	1	2	3	4	5	6	5	7	Many times More than 10 times per year
16.		onsultant pro k the degree									ch of the following,
	a)	Assist teach	er in	utiliza	ation o	of equi	ipment	and g	guide	:s:	
		Unimportant	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Ver	y Important
	ъ)	Demonstratio	n of S	APA ins	structi	ion wi	th chi	ldren	:		
		Unimportant	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Ver	y Important
	c)	Evaluate eff	e c t of	the S	APA cu	rricul	ım upo	n stu	dent	a c hi	evement:
		Unimportant	1	2	3	4	.5	6	7	Ver	y Important
	d)	Observe clas							tivel	y di	scuss teacher
		Unimportant	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Ver	y Important

						0116 20	lminist	rators		rente	s. visito		
e)	Interpret	the	prog	ram to	vari	Lous ac		·····	, p	110,10,	,	rs, PTA:	
	Unimporta	an t	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7 Ve	ery Impor	tant	
f)	Work with suitabílí curri c ulu	ty an	id coi	ntribu									,
	Unimporta	nt	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Ve	ery Impor	tant	
ease 1	list any ot	her f	funct;	ions y	70u fe	el the	consu	ıltant	shou	ıld se	erve.		
	Unimporta	 int 1	L	2	3	4	5	6	7	Ve:	ry Import	ant	
int	ring your w troduced to erequisite	you:	Do	you f	eel t	hat ir	servi	e trai	ning	g is a			
int pre Com	troduced to	for e	Do	you f tive u	eel t se of	chat in E a cun	riculu	e trai m prog	ning	g is a			
int pre Com unn	troduced to erequisite mpletely	for e	Do effec 2	you f tive u 3 feel i	eel to see of	chat in a cur	servic	e trai	ning gram	g is a	a necessa Letely ssary	ry	
int pre Com unn To ach	troduced to erequisite mpletely necessary what exter	for e	Do effec 2	you f tive u 3 feel i	eel tise of	chat in a cur	servic	ee trai	ining gram?	g is and a complete of the com	a necessa Letely ssary	tudent	
int pre Com unn To ach Uni How pla ski	troduced to erequisite mpletely necessary what exten	for e 1 at do in SAE 2 do y carchi cises,	you: 2 you: 2 you thical, and	you ftive was seen as the sequence of the sequ	Teel to the second seco	chat in a curation of the contant cont	to kr	ee trai	ning gram?	g is a complete of the complet	ietely ssary ment in s Important	etudent ses in one year,	de



Computer Printout



```
. KEPT
IEF2851
            SYSI.FORTLIB
            VOL SER MOS= SUIRIT.
IEF2851
            SYS69319.T17310C.RFC65.A89058.LDAUSET
                                                              DELETED
IEF2851
            VOL SER NOS= SUCCO6.
1EF2851
            SYS69215.T1721CC.RFC69.489058.GCSET
                                                             . PASSEC
IEF2851
IEF285I
            VOL SER NOS= SUOCO6.
            SYS£5319.1173100.SFC69.489058.R0000004
                                                              CELETED
IEF2851
IEF2851
            VOL SER NOS= .
            SYS1.UT1
                                                             . KEPT
IEF2851
IFF285I
            VOL SER NOS= SU2R17.
IEF236I ALLOC. FOR A89058
IEF2371 PGM=#.DD ON 130
IEF2371 FTC1FC01 DN
                      080
IEF2371 FTC2FC01 ON
                      CEI
IEF2371 FT03FC01 ON C82
TEF2271 SYSPLOTE ON C83
TOTAL
               AVERAGE
                           ST.DEV.
                             1.372
229.
               4.771
136.
               2.833
                             1.226
147.
               3.063
                             1.040
               3.229
                             C.627
155.
185.
               3.854
                             1.624
 92.
               1.917
                             C.895
 82.
                             1.624
               1.768
               3.833
                             2.056
184.
 89.
               1.854
                             C.357
               1.396
                             C.494
 67.
               4.500
                             1.353
216.
               2.938
                             1.656
141.
               3.875
                             1.347
186.
               5.000
                             1,624
240.
                             1.876
               3. £ C 4
173.
               5.333
                             1.534
256.
               5.271
                             1.395
253.
               5.313
                             1.532
255.
               5.500
                             1.557
: 264.
               5.252
                             1.663
254.
               5.542
                             1.202
266.
                             1.144
301.
               €.271
296.
               6.167
                             1.191
237.
               5.267
                             1.601
```

