DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 047 902   RE 003 356

AUTHOR   Summers, Edward G.
TITLE   Toward a Research Definition of Reading.
PUB DATE   Aug 70
NOTE   13p.; Summary of an address to the conference of the
                Canadian Council of Teachers of English, Winnipeg,
                Manitoba, Aug. 19-22, 1970

EDRS PRICE   EDRS Price MF-$0.65 HC-$3.29
DESCRIPTORS   Conceptual Schemes, Definitions, Educational
                Strategies, Information Theory, *Models, *Reading
                Processes, *Reading Research, *Research Methodolcgy,
                Research Tools, Systems Analysis, *Theories

ABSTRACT
                Recent attempts at defining reading are grouped into
studies which report on or speculate about some aspect of the reading
process, attempt to generate broad theories or models, or attempt to
develop particular models cr partial models on the basis of research.
Six issues and concerns are then stated which appear as recurrent
needs in literature: (1) a more precise definition of reading
behaviors; (2) clearer distincticns among process, language
development, and pedagogical aspects of reading; (3) a more effective
means of locating and structuring research; (4) more rigorously
scientific conduct and evaluation of research; (5) greater
interdisciplinary research efforts; and (6) better coordinated
program research. One effort to meet some of these needs was the
3-year project Application of the Convergence Technique to Basic
Studies of the Reading Process. The report continues by outlining the
convergence technique and its use in a five-phase program. The phases
consist of (1) a comprehensive review and evaluation of research; (2)
evaluating, expanding, or refuting the models defined by phase 1; (3)
developing an instructional system; (4) delivering the system to
classrooms; and (5) implementing the system nationwide. The report
concludes with an operational definition of reading behavior. A
bibliography is included. (MS)

TOWARD A RESEARCH DEFINITION OF READING**

DR. EDWARD G. SUMMERS
FACULTY OF EDUCATION
UNIV. OF BR. COLUMBIA
VANCOUVER
BRITISH COLUMBIA
CANADA

Edward G. Summers
University of British Columbia

When I was invited to prepare an address for the CCTE convention it was
indicated that considerable interest had been expressed in having a session on
the problem of definition in reading. This interest is probably a result of the
fact that although the teaching of reading is one of the major activities of the
school, the phenomenon itself is still poorly understood. We are still asking
ourselves "Exactly what is reading?" and much yet remains to be done in developing
a comprehensive theory of the underlying processes involved in reading. I was
invited to focus on whatever aspects of the topic of definition in reading were
of interest to me. At that time a major research effort was nearing completion
titled, Application of the Convergence Technique to Basic Studies of the Reading
Process (9). One of the thorny problems in that project was the whole matter of
definition in reading and the relation between improved knowledge of the basic
components of the reading process and more effective instruction in reading. The
project attempted to outline some of the breakthrough activities needed to fill in
the fundamental gaps that exist in our conceptual knowledge of reading. A part of
this task was the explication of a definition of reading which could serve as a
basis for improved empirical examination of reading behavior. Having been involved
in the project I thought it might be of particular interest to this group if I
spoke on the topic "Toward A Research Definition of Reading" and describe that
project and some of the expected results.

By way of introduction I thought it might prove useful to set out some
current issues and concerns related to improving our understanding of reading. I
have used as my source for these issues and concerns the published research and
discussion in the field. *** When examining the extant literature related to
discussions of reading as a researchable phenomenon, one is always struck by the
remarkable diversity and quantity of research which somehow has something to say

---

** Summary of an address presented at the Third Annual Conference of the Canadian
Council of Teachers of English, August 19-22, 1970. Winnipeg, Manitoba

*** The list of references includes some of the most useful recent publications which
consider research on various aspects of the reading process, language development and
reading, the teaching of reading, theory and model building in reading, the status
of reading achievement, and discussions related to other aspects of our current know-
ledge of the state of the art on reading as a researchable phenomenon.

about reading. Many sources have dealt with the quantity of research available
and projects are now underway which I feel will go far in ordering our house in
reading research. I have recently been involved in an effort which provides for
more systematic identification, acquisition, organization, dissemination ar¹
analysis of the research literature in reading. In trying to arrive at over-
riding issues and concerns I found it useful to group reports into three broad
types as follows.

TYPE A reports give results or speculation related to some specific aspect
of the reading process. An obvious example would be a report on the role
of the grapheme/phoneme correspondence (or phoneme/grapheme correspondence
as some people prefer to define it) in the teaching of early reading. Another
would be the definition and sequencing of cognitive skills in the reading
process as the reader moves from a very literal understanding of what is
read to utilization of what are broadly termed higher level comprehension
skills. A final example might be an examination of the use of the paired
associate model from psychology in the examination of the learning of letter
sound correspondences.

TYPE B reports are those which attempt some broader theorizing and model
building working with a number of variables in attempting to define the
reading process. Goodman's report (11) explicating reading behavior as a
"psycholinguistic guessing game" is an example. Holmes (16) suggested the
controversial sub strata factor theory as a model of the reading process.
Gray (12), and later Robinson (25), developed a verbal descriptive model
to serve as a communication vehicle in explicating some of the teaching
aspects of reading. Finally, Carroll's (4) model suggesting reading as
"talk written down", also represents this type of report.

TYPE C reports look across individual research studies and attempts to
develop particular models or partial models of reading. They concentrate on
the state of the art in model building or theorizing about the reading process
and very often evaluate the existing knowledge and its short comings. A
recent paper by Jenkinson (18) titled "Sources of Knowledge for Theories of
Reading" falls into this category. Clymer's (6) yearbook chapter "What is
Reading: Some Current Concepts" and Strang's (31) oft quoted address "The
Reading Process and Its Ramifications" are also examples. The most extensive

example to date is the report of the research project mentioned previously
which examines the use of the Convergence Technique as a strategy for
improving research on the reading process.

A mass of research can be identified which relates to this three-fold
classification. The following six areas represent issues and concerns which con-
sistently appear in the literature.

1. In attempting to adequately define, research and understand reading as a
   phenomenon one has to begin with a consideration of the reading process
   - the sequence of identifiable observable and covert behaviors which make
   up the reading act. Existing statements of "What is Reading?" and specifi-
   cations of the components of the process, are based on admittedly incomplete
   and often inadequate research. Basic research on the nature of the reading
   process could answer many of the perplexing questions in the field. Greater
   understanding of the process and its operation in individuals who are good
   readers, as well as those in difficulty, could lead to advances in the dev-
   elopment of diagnostic procedures, reading instruction, and remediation of
   difficulties. One of the crucial needs is a more precise definition of
   reading behavior - a definition which allows for the examination of both
   the observable and covert responses present in the reading act. A better
   understanding of the process should also clear up much of the confusion and
   lead to development of more effective measuring instruments. Current general
   survey and diagnostic instruments leave much to be desired as true diagnostic
   tools which lead to prescriptions for teaching.

2. Clearer distinction including definitions needs to be made between the process
   of reading, language development as it relates to reading, and the pedagogical
   aspects of learning to read. The interaction between the three also needs
   to be more clearly understood to provide better direction to future research
   in reading.

3. Existing reading research is voluminous, fragmented and often unstructured
   in terms of underlying theory. Better effort is needed to locate reading
   related research within the traditional educational literature and from those
   disciplines outside education producing research which has some bearing on
   our understanding of reading. More effective tools should be developed to
   evaluate and synthesize existing and future research.

More rigorous scientific activity is needed in conducting reading research
and evaluating the results. Such research also needs to be systematically

coordinated and developed through integrated theory and model building activities.

5. Greater interdisciplinary effort is needed in developing future research. The "invisible college" within the academic community with interests in reading and related areas needs to be identified and encouraged to communicate in conducting and evaluating research.

6. Although individual projects are certainly a valid part of the research process there is a need for well coordinated program type research to generate the multiplicity of studies needed to answer the overriding questions in the field. It is doubtful that any one individual or institution has the expertise or resources to conduct all the needed research.

Other generalizations or concerns could be identified in this cursory examination of the state of the art in reading but these examples will serve to illustrate the kinds of issues considered in generating the objectives of the convergence project. One more comment needs to be added. Most of the above issues and concerns exist within the broader oft expressed concern for the inadequate job that is now being done in teaching reading. Great concern is being expressed for the number of poor readers throughout the school population and our seeming inability to provide the type of program that will insure that all can read. The situation is felt to be so bad that we are currently mounting what will be a national effort to determine what is necessary in the way of research and development to insure that every student that comes to school can expect to receive instruction which will maximize his reading achievement throughout those school years and into adulthood. Although estimates of the magnitude of the problem vary there is increasing concensus that something must be done. This need has been formalized in a growing number of activities and projects labelled the "Right to Read" effort (14). The convergence project is one part of this larger effort to develop a truly effective literacy delivery system for education.

The activities of the convergence project took place over a three year span and involved more than 500 individuals as direct participants, reactors or advisors. As stated in the final report, five conditions generated the activities of the project. (9, p. 3).

1. Improvement in reading instruction seems to have reached a plateau.
2. Differing methods for teaching reading do not produce significantly different results.
3. A broadly accepted model of reading, showing its constituent elements and their interactions does not exist.
4. Summaries of research on reading indicate that most of the research in the field has been done in a manner that prohibits synthesis.
5. Previous attempts to concentrate emphasis on reading, undertaken on the part of funding agencies, have produced proposals for research on parts of the problem with little hope for cumulative resolution of the total problem.

    In looking at past failures in developing a more coordinated attack on the problem of reading, a decision was made to utilize a management strategy which might lead to more productive results. The Convergence Technique (3), described by Carrese and Baker, was evaluated and finally selected as the procedure to be followed. The technique had been successfully used by the National Institute of Health, the National Cancer Institute, and in other projects. The technique utilizes systems analysis and an interdisciplinary team to develop the proposed research plan. The developed research program is systematically monitored and evaluated and also contains specifications for an information system. The Convergence Technique consists of:

    (1) A planning session which initially delineates:

        (a) The goal to be achieved by the program
        (b) The subobjectives necessary for the achievement of that goal.
        (c) The sequence in which those subobjectives logically move to the goal.
        (d) The research needed to achieve each subobjective.
        (e) The criteria which must be met in order to conclude that each subobjective has been achieved.

    (2) A diagram, called a Convergence Chart, which displays the five elements listed above.

    (3) The use of the Convergence Chart in program management for decisions on:
        (a) Specific research projects to be undertaken
        (b) Movement to the next phase

    (4) Updating the possible revision of the Convergence Chart on the basis of information generated as the research program progresses.

The application of the Convergence Technique to research on the reading process was conducted through a USOE contract with Phi Delta Kappa directed by Dr. William Gephart of PDK.

In developing the reading application two interdisciplinary planning teams, and an interdisciplinary team which tackled the problem of definition, were used. In addition, countless individuals served in reaction and consultant roles. Complete data on the deliberations of the three groups is available in the project report. A five phase program for reading was developer' as a result of the planning team activities.

Phase I - Preresearch Activities (Literature searches and
goal refinement work).
Phase II - Instructional System Component Research, Development
and independent confirmation activities.
Phase III - Instructional System Assembly and Test
Phase IV - Delivery System Development and Test
Phase V - Implementation Strategy Assessment

The ultimate goal of the convergence plan is to specify the activities and research necessary to insure that every child is able to read well enough by age 10 so that he can become a competent reader as an adult. This goal is based on the assumption that the competent adult reader acquires the basic components for reading success by the time the fourth or fifth grade is completed. Exact specification of these basic components is a major activity of the project.

The Phase I activities consist of a comprehensive review and evaluation of the research literature centered around the 'dentification and analysis of models, partial models and definitions related to the reading process, learning to read, and language development as it relates to reading. This phase will involve analysis of thousands of research activities. A special research profile technique will be used in this evaluation. These are the three agreed upon areas which represent the most pressing need in basic reading research. The following definitions have been developed to guide the activities of this phase (34).

Reading Process: The collection of real events which occur in a
reader while he is engaged in reading behaviors. These events
probably include physiological ones (neural, biochemical, etc),
psychological ones (cognitive, perceptual, affective, etc.).

Reading behaviors are covert responses to written verbal
language; they are indicated by overt performances which
could not have occurred without the covert responses.

Learning-to-Read Process: The collection of real events which
occur in an individual in response to formal reading instruction,
informal reading instruction (e.g. random exposure to written
verbal language in the environment), and other classes of stimuli
necessary (but not now specifiable) to the development of reading
abilities.

Other Language Development Related to Reading: The acquisition of
speaking, listening, and writing abilities during the acquisition
of reading abilities. In general, children are able to speak and
to listen before they come to school and before they become able to
read and write. Reading and writing are generally taught in the
schools and as reading and writing ability develops, they begin to
affect the student's ability to speak and to listen. This inter-
action is developmental throughout a person's life but most notice-
able in the elementary and early secondary grades.

Model: A model is a representation of a phenomenon which displays
the identifiable elements of the phenomenon and the relationships
among those elements, and the processes through which those elements
interact. Models serve three general purposes: (a) to explain what
a complex phenomenon is; (b) to describe how the phenomenon works;
and (c) to provide the basis for predicting the changes which will
occur in one element of the phenomenon when changes are made in one
or more other elements.

Partial Model: Models may be partial in either or both of two senses:
(a) they may attempt to represent only a part of their target phen-
omenon; or (b) they may be only partially developed, given successful
prediction as described in (c) above as the criterion for complete
development.

Existing models, partial models and definitions are to be identified, described
and merged where feasible.

Another Phase I activity consist of a comprehensive analysis of existing

instructional practices and outcomes in reading and an objective definition of
the current status of reading achievement. The status survey will identify in-
structional methods and analyze, experiment with and modify them to come up with
those that can reasonably be expected to be effective under various school
situations and conditions.

A third Phase I activity is the development of a criterion referenced
measure of literacy competency. The criterion based test, as opposed to the more
common norm referenced instrument, will allow measurement of mastery of skills the
researchers expect to identify as prerequisite to functional adult reading. Such
instruments would indicate the skills a child has learned and those he has yet
to master. The three Phase I activities just described have been funded, based
on competitive proposals, and their preliminary results should be available by the
summer of 1971. In essence, by then we can expect to have: (1) some tentative
models to explain what happens in the reading process, what the learning sequences
are, and how language development relates to reading development; (2) a good
beginning on the development of a more adequate criterion literacy measure; and
(3) a sort of Baedeker of instructional methods in reading pinpointing some which
are particularly valid and reasonably effective in specific circumstances. In
addition, an accurate estimate of the current status of reading achievement will
be obtained. These Phase I activities are all in prelude to the next four phases
in the convergence plan. The plan itself is really a vehicle for modifying the
original plan as it develops based on feedback from the information and evaluation
cycles.

In Phase II research will be conducted to expand or refute the tentative
reading process, learning to read and language development models of Phase I.
Prototype instructional materials and methods, based on the models, will emerge in
this step to be tested in experimental situations. At this time certain of the
programs identified in Phase I will also undergo experimentation to determine their
usefulness with an eye toward development of an overall effective instructional
system for reading.

Phase III will involve further work on the instructional system using the
results of Phase I and II. Phase IV, delivery of the literacy system, involves
moving from the laboratory and limited experimental situations to the classroom on
a large scale. A proven instructional system should emerge which will have com-
ts that can be adapted to meet the needs of any school system in providing

effective reading instruction.   In Phase V, the implementation stage, nation-
wide adoption of the system is contemplated. Many tough issues will have to be
faced at this juncture - admittedly some distance in the future. To summarize,
three activities related to analysis, synthesis, description and criterion devel-
opment are ncw under way. At this point support is being developed to move into
the remaining four phases at the proper time. The total implementation could take
as few as five years or as long as twenty depending on the concensus developed and
the allocation of resources.

At the conclusion of the first planning team activity it was decided that a
definition of reading was needed which would serve as a basis for further research
in reading. Consequently, the definition group was formed. In the opinion of the
definition group a research program should be based on an "operational definition"
of reading - a definition which by its nature assigns meaning to constructs or
variables by specifying the activities or operations needed to measure the construct
or variable. The following definition was developed. (9, pp.12-15).

READING BEHAVIORS are covert responses to verbal written
                         (1)   (2)   (4)   (5)

language.   These covert responses are indicated by
   (3)   (7)

overt performance which could not have occurred without the
   (6)   (8)

covert responses to the written language.

   (1) Covert responses. Acts or actions to a motive force that
        is hidden from observation. Physiological and psychological
        processing that is unobservable given current methodology is
        included as are the mental events and patterns of events that
        presumably mediate overt behaviors.

   (2) Plurality of covert responses. In an effort to be explicit,
        emphasis is given to the idea that a variety of responses
        occur. This plurality is further emphasized through the
        pluralization of the term "reading behaviors".

   (3) Language. The words and the methods of combining them used
        and understood by a considerable community and established by
        long usage.

   (4) Verbal Language. This adjective is included to emphasize that
        the language in question is one of words. This adjective excludes

artistic, musical, and number languages from the definition.

(5) Written verbal language. Verbal language can be produced in either of two forms, visual or oral. The inclusion of the adjective "written" is intended to include language that is recorded in visual form and to exclude language that is oral.

(6) Overt performance. This term includes actions or activities that are observable with or without instrumentation.

(7) Indicated. A logical connection between the overt performance and the covert response is implied through the verb "indicated". The definition implies through this term that the observation of the overt performance shall be taken as evidence of the existence of covert responses.

(3) Could not have happened without. This bit of redundancy is included and emphasized to highlight the need for scientifically sound empirical evaluation which establishes that the overt performance is related to and/or caused by reading and not by other factors.

The definition provides an important operational base for reading research. It suggests that in conducting reading research one must: (1) identify those directly observable items, actions or events that are correlates of the covert responses; (2) determine with scientific conclusiveness the dependence of the overt performance on the covert responses; and (3) develop valid scales for quantifying those overt performances.

In conclusion we might again refer to some of the concerns and needs relative to reading which were indicated earlier. The long range research and development products emanating from the application of the Convergence Technique could provide better answers to many areas of concern in reading. Optimistically, this planning effort could be termed a major breakthrough. Even to those less sanguine it would be recognized that it could go far in improving the present state of the art and moving toward the day when every child who enters school can expect to be exposed to a program which will maximize his reading potential. A society which demands much of its youth should do no less than provide such :ruction in the critical area of reading development.

REFERENCES

1. Bond, Guy L. and R. Dykstra, <u>Coordinating Center for First Grade Reading Instruction Program</u>, Final Report, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Project X001, Minneapolis, Minnesota, University of Minnesota, 1967.

2. Calkins, Eloise O. (Ed), <u>Reading Forum: A Collection of Reference Papers Concerned with Reading Disability</u>, National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Bethesda, Md. 1970.

3. Carrese, Louis M. and Carl G. Baker, "The Convergence Technique: A Method for the Planning and Programming of Research Efforts", <u>Management Science</u>, Vol. 13, No. 8, April 1967.

4. Carroll, J.B., "The Analysis of Reading Instruction: Perspectives from Psychology and Linguistics", E.R. Hilgard (Ed) <u>Theories of Learning and Instruction</u> 63rd Yearbook, Part I, National Society for the Study of Education, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1964.

5. Chall, Jeanne S., <u>Learning to Read: The Great Debate</u>, New York, McGraw-Hill, 1967.

6. Clymer, Theodore, "What is Reading? Some Current Concepts", in Helen M. Robinson (Ed) <u>Innovation and Change in Reading Instruction</u>, 67th Yearbook, National Society for the Study of Education, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968.

7. Farr, Roger, <u>Reading: What Can Be Measured?</u>, Newark, Delaware, International Reading Association, 1970.

8. Farr, Roger, <u>Measurement and Evaluation of Reading</u>, Harcourt Brace and World, Inc. New York, 1970.

9. Gephart, William J., <u>Application of the Convergence Technique to Basic Studies of the Reading Process</u>. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education Research Report, Project No. 8-0737, 1970. (ED 037 587).

10. Gibson, E. J., "Learning to Read", <u>Science</u>, 148, 1066-1072, 1965.

11. Goodman, Kenneth S., "Reading: A Psycholinguistic Guessing Game", <u>Journal of the Reading Specialist</u>, 4, 126-135 (May, 1967).

12. Gray, William S., "The Major Aspects of Reading", in Helen M. Robinson (Ed) <u>Sequential Development of Reading Abilities</u>, Supplementary Educational Monographs, No. 90, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1960.

13. Gunderson, Doris V., (Ed)<u>Language and Reading</u>, Washington: Center for Applied Linguistics, 1970.

14. Hamblet, Julia E., "The Right to Read: A Progress Report", Paper presented at the 20th Annual Meeting, National Reading Conference, St. Petersburg, Fla. 1970.

15. Harris, T.L., "Reading" in R.L. Ebel (Ed) Encyclopedia of Educational Research, Fourth Edition, 1969.

16. Holmes, Jack A., "Basic Assumptions Underlying the Substrata-Factor Theory", Reading Research Quarterly, 1, 4-28, 1965.

17. Holmes, J.A., "Theoretical Models and Trends Toward More Basic Research in Reading", Review of Educational Research, 34, 127-155, 1964.

18. Jenkinson, Marion D., "Sources of Knowledge for Theories of Reading", Journal of Reading Behavior, 1:1, 11-29, (Winter), 1969.

19. Kavanagh, James F. (Ed), The Reading Process, Proceedings of the Conference on Communicating by Language, The Reading Process, Feb. 11-13, 1968. Bethesda, Md. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Washington: Superintendent of Documents 1968.

20. Kingston, Albert J., "The Use of Models in Research in Reading", in J.A. Figurel (Ed) Reading and Inquiry, International Reading Association, 1965.

21. Kingston, Albert J., "Areas of Confusion in the Development of a Science of Reading", The Psychology of Reading Behavior, 18th Yearbook of the National Reading Conference, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, National Reading Conference, Marquette University, 1969.

22. Levin, Harry, "Reading Research: What, Why and For Whom?", Elementary English, 43:2, 138-147 (February), 1966.

23. National School Public Relations Association, Reading Crisis: The Problem and Suggested Solutions, Washington, 1970.

24. Penney, Monte and Richard B. Adams, "The Developing Climate for Reading Research: Programs Vs. Projects", Multidisciplinary Aspects of College-Adult Reading, 17th Yearbook of the National Reading Conference, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, National Reading Conference, Marquette University, 1968.

25. Robinson, Helen, "The Major Aspects of Reading", in H. Alan Robinson (Ed), Reading: Seventy-Five Years of Progress, Supplementary Educational Monographs, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1966.

26. Russell, David H., Fea, Henry, R., "Research on Teaching Reading", Handbook of Research on Teaching, N.L. Gage (Ed), Rand McNally and Co. Chicago, 1963.

27. Singer, Harry and Robert B. Ruddell (Eds), Theoretical Models and Processes of Reading, International Reading Association, Newark, Delaware, 1970.

28. Smith, Carl B., Barbara Carter, and Gloria Dapper, Treating Reading Difficulties, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Center for Educational Communication, Washington, 1970.

29. Smith, Frank and George A. Miller (Eds), The Genesis of Language, Cambridge, The MIT Press, 1966.

30. Smith, Nila B. (Ed), Current Issues in Reading, International Reading Association, Newark, Delaware, 1969.

31. Strang, Ruth, "The Reading Process and Its Ramifications", Invitational Addresses, International Reading Association, Newark, Delaware, 1965.

32. Strang, Ruth, Reading Diagnosis and Remediation, International Reading Association, Newark, Delaware, 1968.

33. Wiener, Morton and Ward Cromer, "Reading and Reading Difficulty: A Conceptual Analysis", Harvard Educational Review, 37:4, 620-643 (Fall), 1967.

34. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, U.S. Office of Education, NCERD, DESR, BSB, "Request for Proposals - Targeted R and D Program on Reading", Washington, 1970.

35. Report of the Secretary's (HEW) National Advisory Committee on Dyslexia and Related Disorders, Reading Disorders in the U.S., U.S. Department of Health Education and Welfare, August, 1969.