ABSTRACT
         The 20-25 participants of Pilot C of Phase II of the
Social Service Aide Project, a program of exemplary education for the
career development of paraprofessionals in social and/or human
services, were selected to attend an 8-week seminar at Central YMCA
College, Chicago, which stressed an interdisciplinary approach to
introductory college-level work and provided 3 hours credit each
(total of 12 hours credit) for Communications (English 101),
Introduction to Psychology (Psychology 201), Group Process
(Philosophy 101), and Techniques of Organization and Decision Making
(Psychology 150). Evaluation was accomplished by three questionnaires
administered to the participants, and evaluative comments by staff
and students are included in the report. An interpretive analysis
section compares the YMCA's former and present employee
classification plan to that of the human services career ladder
developed by Career Options, which is available as VT 012 533. Phase
I of this project is available as ED 032 062 (RIE, May 1970), and
related documents are available as VT 012 531-012 533, and VT 012 535
in this issue. (SB)

ED047138

Phase II Final Report

PILOT "C" CURRICULUM
EVALUATION AND INTERPRETIVE ANALYSIS

Project No. 7-0329
Grant No. OEG-0-8-0-70329-3694 (085)
SOCIAL SERVICE AIDE PROJECT
For the Training and Education of
Paraprofessionals

September 30, 1970

Career Options Research and Development
-A Special Project of
the Young Men's Christian Association
19 South La Salle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603

1

Phase II Final Report

PILOT "C" EVALUATION

Prepared by Jeanette Hall

Project No. 7-0329
Grant No. OEG-0-8-070329-3694(085)
SOCIAL SERVICE AIDE PROJECT
For the Training and Education
of Paraprofessionals

September 30, 1970

Career Options Research and Development
-A Special Project of
the Young Men's Christian Association
19 South La Salle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Background

About Phase I of SSAP:

During Phase I of SSAP four Chicago area community colleges
(Kennedy - King, Chicago City College; Central YMCA Community
College; and Thornton Community College), cooperatively developed
a career oriented curriculum in the human service field. It
was intended to be a career development program that would secure
entry positions with realistic career ladders in the field of
human services.

About Pilot "C"

In an attempt to demonstrate and evaluate a human service core
curriculum, as researched and proposed in Phase I of the Social
Service Aide Project, Career Options developed Pilot "C". It pro-
vided a budget of $5,000 for a test period of eight weeks in July
and August, 1970.

The YMCA of Metropolitan Chicago agreed to provide the study popu-
lation on released-time. The idea simply, was to utilize 1) The
YMCA-NTL Network to establish a channel through which community
Professionals could be certified as YMCA Professionals in human
services, e.g. group work, street work, counseling, etc. 2) The
YMCA Community College to establish a system of accreditation of
the courses utilized from the core curriculum.

A meeting was held with the YMCA-NTL Coordinating Committee (an
affiliate of the National Training Laboratory of National Education
Association) to present the proposal and seek their approval and
cooperation in its further development and implementation. Sub-
sequently a task force was formed to work with Career Options.
Task Force members represented a cross section of YMCA Personnel:
John Bouseman, Dean, YMCA Community College; Levertt King, Director,
South Shore YMCA and Chairman of YMCA-NTL Coordinating Committee;
Henry Blackwell, Jobs Now Project; Ruth Gallinot, YMCA Community
College; and Wardell Haywood, Vice-President, YMCA of Metropolitan
Chicago. A total of six meetings were held with the task force
before the date of June 29th was set for the implementation of
Pilot "C". (This date coincided with the YMCA Community College's
summer schedule.)

During the course of these meetings the Task Force worked to
develop and refine the following areas for Pilot "C":

1. Spell out the method of training;
2. Develop a grading and assessment system for participants;
3. Identify more specifically the areas of responsibility for
   the YMCA-NTL Network and the YMCA Community College as they
   related to the actual training, certification and accreditation;

3

4. Documented evidence of need through profiles;
5. Set up meeting with John Casey, YMCA Personnel & Staff Development office - inviting him to join in future Task Force meetings;
6. Specify course responsibilities with regard to outlines (syllabi);
7. Identify outside resources.

Due to the limitations in time and budget the Task Force agreed that the best course would be to select participants from the Near South District. (That district had cooperated during Phase I by allowing SSAP staff to conduct job task analysis in their Centers).

A special meeting was requested with William Wethers, Near South District Director; Charles Curry, Executive Director of Youth Action; Theadore Evans, Executive Director Auburn YMCA; Effie Peters, Executive Director Chatham YMCA; and Levertt King, Executive Director South Shore YMCA. At that meeting the refined Pilot "C" concept paper was presented. We found the Near South District administrative personnel were willing to cooperate with our staff. They agreed to supply 20-25 people on released time to participate in the pilot project.

With the help of John Bouseman, CORD Staff were introduced to Dr. J.P. Dave department head and instructor at the college. Dr. Dave suggested that we look at the recently developed Quest Seminar at the college as a format to be used in Pilot "C". It was our belief that the Seminar method was in fact a prime example of "exemplary education".

The last steps to be taken for the implementation of the pilot project were two meetings with the Seminar staff, for the planning and designing of the eight week seminar. Included in these meetings was a trainer from the YMCA-NTL Network. As a result of those meetings the schedule was:

WEEK I

During the first week of the seminar, we attempted to accomplish several important goals;
  1) orientation to the concerns and methods of the seminar;
  2) opening ourselves up to each other in initial contacts;
  3) introduction of human relations as a basic concern and method;
  4) development of a sense of what we want to put into and get out of the seminar as individuals and as groups;
  5) completion of necessary paperwork.

A key component of these first four sessions was the use of techniques developed in connection with human relations laboratories.

General Format for the Remainder of the Seminar

The normal weekly schedule for the seminar was alternated between two different kinds of processes:

1) CORE GROUPS (Tuesday and Thursday)

These sessions broaden the human relations direction of the first
week. We discussed a variety of materials and developed other
activities to illuminate the issues of personal growth, identity,
and community.

2) WORKSHOPS (Monday and Wednesday)

On these days, the resources of the two core groups were combined.
The uses included:

    a) regularly scheduled workshops in each of the disciplines
       of the seminar;
    b) student-initiated workshops;
    c) individual contacts on projects between instructors and
       students and working sessions among students;
    d) unencumbered conversation.

The workshop schedule was posted each Monday morning. Anyone
who wanted to initiate workshops, bring in speakers, show movies,
play games,etc., they could add their event to the schedule, re-
questing staff help if desired or needed.

Addendum: Staff meetings were held on Thursday afternoons, after
the seminar session. If students had any concerns that they felt
the staff should deal with, they felt free to join.

Weekend Sessions

Weekend sessions were not scheduled in advance of the start of the
Seminar. Instructors felt that the process was extremely useful
only when used at the right time. Students were told to anticipate
devoting at least one weekend to the Seminar.

From the core curriculum we selected four courses to be tested:
Communications, Introduction to Psychology, Group Process, and Techni-
ques of Organization and Decision Making. Participants received three
credit hours for each course making a total of twelve credit hours
for the Seminar. The courses appeared on each transcript as:
English 101, Psychology 201, Philosophy 101, and Psychology 150.

About the Seminar

The Seminar was an interdisciplinary approach to introductory college-
level work that was relevant to the needs of mature students who missed
college or in some cases high school. It was held in the Central YMCA
College, located on the edge of Chicago's Loop.

In the Seminar, self-discovery was the goal;the disciplines were merely
tools in the process. Self, your relation to others, psychological,
cultural, and ideological situations, were the real subject matter of
the Seminar.

"How do you grade an individual's personal growth and self discovery?"
That was the question facing the instructors. It was their belief that
there is no way to grade those factors. Yet, it would be irresponsible
to send students into further academic experiences without the rudi-
mentary knowledge implied on their transcripts.

Therefore minimal requirements were established: selected readings,
papers, research projects, etc., for each of the four courses.
If these requirements were unmet, the students received an incomplete;
if they were met, they negotiated the grade with the instructor in-
volved in a particular course. This was felt to be a variant on the
pass-fail system.

Course Requirements

Requirements for Psychology 201 (Introduction to Psychology)

In the seminar Psychology 201 is not traditionally taught both content-
wise and methodwise. Those who wanted to cover the traditional course
content could do it by going through any standard general psychology
textbook (Munn, Morgan, Hillgard, Hebb, and Lindgren to name a few
well-known textbook authors). The staff ordered two basic textbooks
that could be bought at Economy Book Store (171 West Madison).
Those books were:
  1. Human Behavior: A Program for Self-Instruction edited by Malpass
     and others. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co. 1965, paperback.
  2. Psychology and Life by Floyd Ruch. Glenview, Illinois: Scott
     Foresman.

If students wanted to cover the traditional course content, it was
their responsibility to go through the textbooks. Students were en-
couraged to share their knowledge with others. They were encouraged
to get any help they needed from instructors.

The book that was required for everyone to purchase and study was
Encounter: Issues of Human Concern edited by Robert Gutherie.
Menlo Park, California; Cummings Publishing Co. Inc. 1970, paperback.
It was used as a core book.

In addition to this core book, each student was required to work on
a special project in psychology which ranged from reading and dis-
cussing a book to doing some in-class and out-of-class research work.
They could deal with a particular topic in depth (love, anxiety, sex,
drugs, alienation, freedom, creativity to name a few) or study one
psychologist in depth (Freud, Fromm, Rogers, Maslow, Skinner to name
some) or to interpret a book from a psychological point of view (The
Stranger, Siddharthe, Giovanni's Room, Gandhi's Autobiography to name
some) to do some research (documentary or empirical). Instructors
requested a written proposal as to what each student was going to
do for the special project (deadline: before the end of the third week).

Students were asked to meet with instructors individually or in groups
during the second and third week to share their ideas for the special
project.

English 101 Requirements

A short conference was held with each student during the first two
weeks of the seminar to discuss their strengths and weaknesses in writing
and to devise a plan of work in writing during the semester. The material
for this discussion was a writing sample done during the first week
of class plus any other material the student would like to bring in.

If a student already wrote as well as he needed, the projects for
psychology and philosophy plus relevant parts of the journal fulfilled
their writing requirements.

Minimal Requirements for Philosophy 101

The one requirement was for students to come to grip
philosophical issues centering on one of the following terms or
categories:

Freedom	Knowledge
Identity	Human Nature
God	Community
Love	Morality
Ecology and whole system	

In order to do this cooperatively and easily, they followed this
schedule:

1. On Wednesday of the 2nd week (July 8), they turned in a
paper giving the terms they wanted to work on with reasons for
selecting that particular term. These were returned with suggested
readings on them.

2. On Wednesday of the 4th week (July 22nd) students turned in a
paper telling what problems, if any, they were having with a topic,
and what issues they wanted to follow up on. These were returned
with suggestions for answering these questions.

3. On Wednesday of the 6th week (August 5th), students turned in an
outline or draft of the topic.

4. The final version of the philosophical experiment was turned in
on August 20th.

The only criterion for this paper was the use of philosophical tools
to get at the topic and thus show understanding of what philosophy
is good for; norms and specific content were open.

The instructor was available for individual discussion on these projects
on workshop days.

Minimal Requirements for Psychology 150 (Human Relations)

1. The success of the seminar as a whole, and especially of the
human relations component, depended on the attendance and participation
of all members of the groups. In order to achieve this, students
were asked that they be at every session unless insuperable problems
kept them away; and that they attempt to contribute to what was going
on in whatever way possible.

2. Every participant in the seminar (instructors included) kept an
on-going record of what was happening in the seminar and of their
feelings and reflections about these events. There was no strict
form that the journal should take.

The total process was open to as much involvement by students as the
students were willing to accept. The seminar depended on the students.
They had to choose to learn for the work to be successful. It was
education by communication and confrontation, action as well as
reflection.

Methods:

Both traditional and innovative classroom methods were utilized. The
four-day schedule alternated between workshops and "core groups".
In workshops, students worked individually, in groups, with or without
an instructor. Sometimes the workshops were used for individual con-
ferences on a specific topic or area of concern. Some students used
their workshops for conducting research. It was not unusual to see
two students discussing a topic over a tape recorder microphone.
The workshop was also used for presentation by instructors; this
generally led to a group discussion.

Core groups were less traditionally oriented. Emphasis was placed
on human relations techniques. These ranged from theoretical inputs
and process observations by instructors to sensitivity groups.

During the first week of classes, the group members were introduced
to these techniques and at the same time began to build the community
it was hoped they would become.

Several small groups of students set about the task of forming
"Seminar Goals":

Seminar Goals:

Broadening the limits of what we can give and receive both in relation
to ourselves and in relation to others: becoming more open;

Experimenting with the kind of community we would like to live in and
developing a philosophy of life which expresses this;

Relating internal relationships to external (outside world) relation-
ships: both ways. Specifically understanding the psychology of power
structures that are imposed upon us and developing ways of dealing

constructively and/or destructively with it.

One intensive 24-hour marathon was held, the aim was to further develop the sense and feeling of community. Some of the human relations techniques aimed at personal and interpersonal growth. Others were more task oriented and concerened with topics such as group decision making and problem solving. Both verbal and non-verbal techniques were used.

At the beginning of the seminar, everyone was asked to keep a journal to record both classroom events and perceptions and feelings about those events. This was useful for sharpening writing skills.

Another technique utilized was role-playing, with both student-and instructor -selected reading material. "The Zoo Story" by Edward Albee provided discussion of psychological and idealogical points of view through student interaction within the play's characters.

Seminar Staff Evaluation

Before beginning the Seminar Staff's evaluation, presented here are three autobiographies given to the students the first day. The evaluation by staff are excerpts from tape recordings.

Namaste! (greetings)

I am Jagdish Premshander Dave or J.P., your psychology instructor.

I am a product of at least two cultures - Indian and American, primarily Indian, secondarily American.

I like to be with people. I am deeply interested in exploring, discovering and appreciating behaviors and experiences from a humanistic point of view. I am greatly interested in relating myself to others and sharing mutual experiences.

In the seminar, I represent the discipline of psychology. I will funcuion as a resource person for Psychology 201 (Introduction to Psychology). I would like to probe and peruse individually and in groups some of our basic concerns that are integral parts of our growth and development.

I love music, philosophy, literature, and nature. I enjoy singing. Meditation is another thing close to my heart. I would like to conduct meditation sessions for the seminar community.

What does bother me? Lack of concern for others, lack of personal commitment, phoniness (and at times American English as a foreign language!).

Autobiography - Diane Franklin

Biographical trivia

Born in Cambridge, Mass. in 1945. Lived in Boston until 1966, then came
to Chicago where I've lived since. Got a B.A. in Social Relations from
Radcliff College in 1966 and have been a student in Psychology Department of
the University of Chicago since 1967. Just took a leave of absence from
U. of C. and decided to postpone writing my Ph.D. thesis to do more
exciting things - like teach at Central. Have been at CYCC since Fall,
1968 - what a trip!

Things I know something about and other interests

Academic background in Psychology, especially social psych. I also
know something about other areas of psychology and some of the other social
sciences (also biology and chemistry). Also have resources in human
relations - am an intern in the YMCA-NTL training network.

Am interested in cities, especially the people in them. Also urban problems.
I like architecture and am fascinated by Chicago's.

I like music, ranging from blues and rock to classical. Also arts and
crafts type things, sewing etc.

Have been involved in various political groups concerning peace,
pollution, civil rights, the anti-hunger campaign, women's liberation,
etc.

Am interested in experiments in education.

Things I like

People caring, sharing, questioning, and growing.

Things that bug me

People whose world is only big enough for one, violence, hostility without
basis.

> Am willing to answer questions, try to
> help with problems, share my resources,
> etc.

JACKIE REDDY'S AUTOBIOGRAPHY (at least the part that seems relevant right now)

I came to Chicago four summers ago from California. At that time I had
just graduated from the University of Santa Clare (a Jesuit not a state,
school) with a major in English and minor in philosophy.

Since coming to Chicago I have spent a year working as a secretary at
Billings Hospital, a year getting my master's degree in English at the
Univ. of Chicago, a year teaching writing -- Circle Campus, and finally
this past year doing seminars at Central.

My function now is mainly to teach writing. This is quite ironic since
up until the time I started teaching it, I regarded writing as THE most
painful and frustrating thing in the world to do. I still have problems
expressing myself on paper, but the more time I spend in the seminars,
the easier it becomes.

English is by no means my only interest. I was a pre-med major for my
first two years in college. I spent my third year studing German and
other assorted subjects (including Life) in Vienna. I've also spent
a summer wroking on a Peace-Corps like project in the mountains of
Mexico. I like to do all kinds of outdoor things--tennis, skiing,
swimming, hiking as well as indoor stuff--music, making candles, painting,
sewing, cooking good food. Sometimes I get restless in the seminar... I
don't like to sit around and do nothing when we could be doing a lot....
so make use of me.

If there's something you want to know about me that I didn't tell you,
just ASK!

Staff Evaluations

"Is there a consensus among staff on ways of dealing with any problems? Are there leadership roles?"

"We probably had more successes the first part of the semester. More communication among ourselves, then we had at any other seminar. We tried. And quite often there was consensus. The problem is not so much consensus when we actually dealt out a problem, as it was simply not dealing out problems. The times we realized that we were doing something wrong and the times we were not faking or confused. That had to be faced up to. Once we faced up to this, sometimes it was very difficult, but we would reach some kind of consensus. I remember one case in particular that was extremely painful. The time when Bob came back after the first time we asked him not to come back. There have been consensus when we'd sought it and faced up to the need."

"Sometimes we have different ideological positions. We can't always agree on doing everything the same way. But I think we make consensus about doing something. We make a decision to do something even though all of us are not in total agreement. But I don't feel that everything we do is a consensus."

"When you're all together in the seminar and something's happening, what happens if there are different ways of dealing with it? Have there been conversations beforehand that'll make it easier on the people who can now understant what to do in that circumstance or had there been talking about the possibility of this kind of problem coming up and then being able to deal with it together?"

"I think that what's happening is that we in the process of doing this seminar, have gotten more experience to get together so that we work more as a team; if a problem comes up in a classroom situation, I think generally one of us can start dealing with it. Most of the time, we'll come in and either support that or if it needs to be brought out we probably can bring it out and discuss the problem, but I think that, for the most part, we've been handling any kind of disagreemant."

"If I start something and put myself out on a limb, someone else is going to come in and do what they think is right to help. So that helps me a lot because I know that they understand what I'm trying to do or at least try to understand."

"There's one thing, I've been working in the seminar for more than a year and looking back I find that there was none of the togetherness among the members of the staff which were brought out over the past eight weeks, some times in front of the students or sometimes in staff meeting. I feel a lot of togetherness and I get a kind of feeling that, there's a lot of support coming from us for each other in most of the situations. I think there's a kind of concern and acceptance on the part of each of us. It gives me this kind of feeling. I don't have to worry about that kind of thing. You are together. This is a pretty strong feeling I have gotten this time.

"I'd like to say that -- I just want to reemphasize that we're working pretty well together. How we react to situations when they come up. We haven't completely developed tools to be able to anticipate things, to deal with them before they become critical, to help things progress at stages where it's not natural to want to help them progress. .We sometimes let each other down I think, and I think that that's the weakness we have to deal with. It's just a matter of beginning now we've sort of caught up with how to deal with the immediate situations. Now it's a question of being able to anticipate, to be able to do a better job of screening people where they have real problems in the seminar and stuff like that. We haven't dealt. We haven't been successful. And I think we will be. It seems to me that we're getting better and better as we go along."

"Sometimes I think we have difficulty over some ideological differences which today are not ideological, in my mind. It's simply another side of the situation."

"You know-relating to what he said, about the grade thing. 1 was involved in that kind of situation. It seems to me that it's more or less related to the screening thing, you know, that we brought out before. We take any student that comes to the seminar, where you don't have to work, and you get twelve credits or fifteen credits at times; and that depresses me very much, because of the very basic idea of the seminar which allows you to be free and use the freedom in a very positive and constructive way. The thing that disturbs me is that it is used as the kind of situation where you don't have to do anything and you get twelve or fifteen credits and most of the time you get good grades at the same time. I think that i's one of the problems that is related to grades in my mind. The other kind of problem that is related to grades is again an outcome of frustration, like if students do not show up for class, and if they don't participate and if they are not involved, then how can they evaluate themselves?"

"What effect has the absence of the professional trainer from the network had on staff or participants?"

"Well we talked about that. I don't know. One thing I would like very much and that is to have somebody there who could have been evaluating. A chance for me to develop my own skill by having a professional trainer. Somebody who knows more than I do, saying these things are good and these things are bad. I can find out my own in talking to other people but I would have appreciated, someone with a variety of skills, somebody who knew more in many areas."

"To put the word professional on your forehead by a combination of sheer grip and also a sort of mutual support which we talked about earlier. We've gotten through a lot of stuff and to some of us, pretty well. I, for one, don't want to get this thing so far advanced, professional training idea,

that it's not translatable into context other than the context what we're in. I want the kinds of methods that we've developed to have broad range and not to be dependent on the presence or the absence of a particular qualified person? I want us to be able to share what we do."

"What is desired for yourself through work in this Seminar?"

"I want to be rid of a whole bunch of old stuff that I want to destroy as thoroughly and completely as I can, at least for as many people as I can; old systems, a system of beliefs that become more than belief systems... and I move to do it."

"I have a lot of negative feelings about the society in general because of barriers that people build for themselves with other people and the seminar seems to me to be a place in which people can break down some of those barriers, particularly racial and age barriers, which I think are the two most predominant ones out there. I feel that we haven't been totally successful in breaking this down. I feel that some of those have been broken down between two individuals, but that as a group it hasn't been as successful as I would like to see it. I felt that I wished I knew more about how to help people do those kinds of things. One thing that the seminar has done for me which, I don't think was the goal in the first place but has turned out to be a good thing, is that it's killed a personal vaccum that I've had recently in my life in terms of finding people that I felt comfortable in relating to; I found that I'm eager to come to someone, and I really enjoy it, I like spending time with them and that I find it very easy in many ways to relate to people and to myself."

"Another goal for me, I guess, was to develop my own skills and to feel that I had in some ways become more aware of the things that I can do right than I was before. I guess it means that I have, and somewhere I feel, that I have been totally successful in learning as much about my weaknesses as I would like to. I feel in a way that people have been very uncritical of me, both staff and the students, the whole semester, and I don't think that I can think of a single time that I was jumped on for doing something by students.("What about time with...?") No, I didn't feel jumped on. I felt that she was expressing her feelings about being pushed, but I didn't feel that she was directly after me because of something bad that I did. I think it was just the whole issue. I feel that one of the things that I haven't learned as much as I would like to is about the negative kinds of things."

"The seminar is a kind of realization to some extent of the dream I have been cherishing in my life since I started teaching. The seminar to me means one of the milestones in my life and I look back, and say my goodness, I started teaching as a teacher where I thought the best thing in the world was to lecture; and arrest the attention of forty or fifty or a hundred students, for one or two hours, and get a lot of praise. From that stage which was the earlier stage to this stage I'm reminded of a script from India which means the teacher says nothing bysaying nothing, and the students learn by resolving their conflicts themselves."

"That's the best teaching and that has been in my mind for a long time
I think that the seminar offers me a kind of good opportunity for me to
learn more about myself and realize some of the things that I have cher-
ished very deeply in my life, such as how can we make education meaningful
and relevant to individuals. How can the people whom we call students and
teachers get really involved in the process of learning so that it be-
comes their education, it does not become somebody elses education, it
becomes his own education and the involvement implies discovery of inter-
ests, goals, potentials, strengths, limitations, working on them so that
I feel all the time that I am educating myself, I am learning, I am not
being taught by somebody. That's the basis of the seminar as far as I
am concerned. I think involvement is a very important work for me which
implies involvement in the process of learning and also involvement with
others like how can I relate myself to others and develop a kind of com-
munity, a loving community, rather than simply doing my thing, in a kind
of isolated situation. How can I be responsive to me as to others, that
kind of education. That's an overall goal as far as the seminar is con-
cerned."

"I am struggling hard in my mind as to how we can make students under-
stand that the traditional material that we are supposed to cover in
general psych or social psych or personalities and so on, are more or less
given in text books and are not the most important things in life. There's
grain of truth, how can I relate myself to the things that are in the book,
or how can I relate myself to my own experiences and the experiences of
others and learn from these things rather than simply covering a particular
course material. And that's why, if you go to the requirements that I
have and respond to my own conflicts by giving an opportunity to somebody
that might have that kind of significance, I would like to learn the basic
thing from this course in general psych, so that I don't have to suffer,
if I go from this point to another point."

"But there are other things besides this that are more important from one
specific point of view; like what kind of psychological data I can collect
about myself which I can use for my own emotional development. How can I
understand others -- what kind of psychological data I can collect from
others that I can use for my own emotional development? What is the value
of disclosing myself, you know... social acts of this taking, for example,
how do I know about myself by taking some risk and also encouraging others
to take risks? These kinds of things are more important to me in terms of
the realization of the goals. I think it's a hard battle to fight, both
for me as it is for anybody involved in this process. I don't think there
are easy answers to these kind of problems, because I have certain rules
which I have developed. I am fighting, I have been fighting. The students
have probably a greater battle to fight, because they are still young, and
certain things might have influence on them. So these are the kinds of
problems we are going to face, but I think its the risk that's really
taken because it is very involved -- has been very involved."

"Going back to the skill question now -- the communication question -- I
had a very interesting discussion today with Ed and I learned several
things from him today which to some extent, aren't social questions. I
don't know how applicable to others this is but as an introspection. He
tole me that he had never been able to learn effectively, from books or
from just writing. And I said how have you learned so far -- what is the
most effective way of learning. He said, when somebody talks to him on

a person-to-person basis, he gets a lot out of it because there is inter-
action and it's always relevant, because when we talk to somebody, if it's
irrelevant the guy will simply walk out, and this is never the way with
the book, because the book is there and you can never argue with the book
-- the book will never be relevant to you because it's a book and you don't
have a chance to interact and react to each other, and he told me that one
of the books that he read, Siddhartha, he could relate himself to that
book and then he said he would like to have more communication with him-
self as far as that book is concerned. Now I consider this as one of the
ways of developing interest in reading and at the same time developing
communication skills. Besides, he was really interested in talking about
himself -- he was free -- he was feeling free to relate himself to me and
I was feeling the same way towards himself."

"We were really understanding each at a very deep level, which I consider
a good kind of skill. Number two, the other kind of skill is about Bill
who is also in the seminar. One of the brightest things that I learned
about him in the seminar was when brought out that this is the first time
in his life that he always comes to class, you know, on his own, and stays
all the way until 1:15. He would like to stay but has to work and that's
why he leaves at 1:15 and this is the first time that he has been reading
books and writing and developing those kinds of skills that we are talking
about. We want the people to identify their interest -- what they are
interested in -- what is more significant or important to them and use the
various sources like books, other sources to respond to their interests and
respond to something that is very meaningful to them. We could really de-
velop skills in a way that is more helpful than, you know, asking somebody
all right, write five pages of a composition on something or we are going
to read five books during the week."

"I wonder though whether perhaps some of that hasn't worked for you be-
cause of your own particular skills in relating to people. I found --
you know I've had conversations with people and I've had some things
come out but not those kinds of things. I find it hard a number of times.
I've asked does anyone want help in anything and very few people will say yes.
And I find it difficult to just sit down and initiate the kinds of con-
versations which turn out to be productive as the ones you've had -- or at
least the two that you've described. And so I'm not sure that it's any
particular thing -- maybe there's something about your ability to listen."

"In response to you, in both the situations, the Ed situation and the
Bill situation, I took the initiative because I felt like taking the initia-
tive. In the case of Bill, I said "Well, Bill, it seems to me that you are
interested in doing something -- let's talk about it. Don't look upon me
like a teacher who is asking you to do this thing with him. Let's really
talk." I knew beforehand that he was interested in drugs. In the case of
Ed, I had such a strong urge today, to relate myself to him -- very strong
feeling and I couldn't stop it and I just put my arm around his shoulders
-- I'm going to talk to him today, and he was very happy about it. In fact,
he was kind of waiting as though it were for me. The point that you brought
out, that individual conferences coming out of our own concern and interest

really get to people an opportunity to open up. Peculiar probably to school is that somebody will come and ask them to do something but I think we do it in a somewhat different way than in the traditional way, because we are really concerned about the individual and you are too, I'm pretty sure."

"For me the most important thing we haven't learned to demonstrate yet is that you can learn from everything. Now, we still haven't gotten to the point where people are so keen that even when something doesn't work, they pick up on it and they use it; instead, people still have a failure and feel bad. But they can learn from everything and I think this is one of the things about potential that we don't realize. It's always there and you can always do that. I think it's beginning to happen, now people are beginning to realize that."

"I think the real difference, too, in listening skills in my other course, when people could not hear what I said and they went right on and I went back and asked them had they heard and they said no and the contrast was really brought out very sharply for me at that point because that kind of thing rarely happens in the seminar now -- People are very conscious of listening and hearing. And people are more reluctant to interrupt each other than they are in other kinds of classroom situations and I think even than they were earlier."

"I think that's a point that I had not thought of. You use the word listening skill in a kind of a vague general way, like there are listening tapes, good listening still, but that's one kind of listening. But, the kind of listening that Diane has been talking about is more or less listening to the person, and getting in touch with his feelings as to what he really wants to say and how can I help him by listening to him -- that kind of listening. This I think is very different from the kind of listening we usually talk about."

"The other kind of skills that I think I have seen more of this time than any other time in the seminar is interviewing people: there are two or three projects going on in the seminar and people interview on the tape recorders making use of audio/visual aids much more than it has been done before. The tape recorder is used by the students this time than it has ever been used by the students before. It is such kind of skills that Diane talked about, like writing questionnaires, analyzing the data, using a variety of techniques of collecting data -- these kinds of skills in my mind are great skills for tutors who have never been exposed to this before."

"The fact that people are learning more and more to develop the skills, asking for help when they need it. I think that part of it grows out of this kind of workshop experience but I'm seeing it a little bit more in the human relations kind of experience where people feel more free to ask for what they want or to express their needs. I feel that this is in a way something which didn't go far enough. I certainly would have liked to see people feel much more free than they seem to be about expressing their needs and asking for help. But I feel like for some people it isn't easy to do that."

Student Evaluation

The Seminar class was a thoroughly heterogenous group, with a population of
2/3 male, 2/3 Black, a broad range of ages, backgrounds and experiences.
They were required to take the Comparative Guidance and Placement Program
Test (CGP). The results held no bearing on a students' acceptance into
the Seminar. Students were also given copies of the Syllabus: a guide for
what they would be dealing with.

Seminar I: Search for Identity
Syllabus

I. Introduction

The only good way for us as instructors to begin our presentation of
this seminar to you is to tell you some of the reasons why we are in-
volved. These are value-judgments which we share or are willing to
work with; they represent our concerns and committments for the real
"subject matter" of this course - you as individuals and the whole
group of us as a potential community. But you should not accept these
statements without question. They are texts for reflection and dis-
cussion.

A) Education
Education should be liberation rather than indoctrination.

There are no answers in the back of the book to the questions
which are important. Education should consist of real human
experiences and reflection on those experiences. Real learning
takes place when it matters to us, when the questions (and answers,
if there are any) make a difference in our lives.

Education should be experimental; it should involve risks, and
the possibility of creating something new. If we want to learn,
we have to be willing to change and to grow.

This kind of education deals with ends as well as means, values
as well as techniques. Knowing "how" without knowing "why" is
meaningless. But nothing is sacred; we should be free to challenge
any values, and able to accept challenges to our own values as
possibilities for growth.

Teaching is obsolete. We have to help each other learn; and
anyone who is not in the educational process to learn is in
the wrong place, no matter how many degrees he has.

B) Identity
A man's quest for identity is his attempt to take his destiny in
his own hands. It is self-love, in the best sense of the word.

The paradox of identity is that a man discovers himself and creates
himself at the same time. I can be what I want to be; but what
I want to be depends on who I am. I can only be free if I am true
to myself but I am free to change.

If someone says "I found my identity" there's nothing to say
in return. If he's right, nothing we say will make any difference.
If he's wrong, he's going to have to find out for himself. When
we admit that we are searching we can talk to each other.

In an unchanging world, identity could be fixed, rigid, and closed.
We could "make up our minds" once and for all. But our world is
changing, evolving so fast that it seems that we live in perpetual
revolution; so our identities must be fluid, flexible, open to
new experiences and new meanings. In our world, living without
growing is death.

C)   Community
      We can not search for identity in private. We discover ourselves
      in and through each other; and what we make of ourselves is what
      we choose to be in relation to each other.

      If you know me, you will know the kind of community that I will
      live and die for.

      We live in a world that teaches us (mainly) how to "get ours".
      The future of the world depends on something different; the
      ability of men to learn how to involve themselves in satisfying
      and creative relationships with each other and to find ways to
      build the kinds of communities that will sustain those relation-
      ships. What we need, therefore, are situations in which we can
      experiment freely with the process of creating such communities-
      free associations of learning, growing individuals. This is
      what education has to be about.

      There is no magical way to create a community. Love and trust
      are useless without committment and discipline; we have to <u>learn</u>
      how to receive and to give. But this is the kind of knowledge
      worth fighting for.

II. Some general objectives and purposes of the seminar.

What should a seminar called Search for Identity accomplish? On the
basis of the values we have been formulating, some goals might be:

  1) developing individual freedom and integrity;
  2) understanding and accepting ourselves;
  3) understanding each other and the ways in which we want to relate
     to each other;
  4) growing in the ability to communicate both feelings and thoughts;
  5) building a community among the members of the seminar which will
     support and nurture individual growth and help us learn new ways
     of living with other people;
  6) developing as individuals (and perhaps as a group) committments
     and values which we can carry beyond the seminar into the rest
     of our education and the rest of our lives;

7) discovering techniques for implementing these values and
   committments among ourselves and in other situations;
8) learning what the various disciplines in the seminar (English,
   Psychology, Philosophy, Human Relations) mean in themselves and
   how they relate to the quest for identity;
9) creating new kinds of education - new uses of materials,
   new kinds of personal involvement, new kinds of instructor-
   student relationships, etc. - which are related to these goals.

Resources
This is primarily a list of the books we have put on order at Economy
Bookstore, 171 W. Madison. There are many other books which we know
to be relevant, and many you may want to suggest. We can have parts
of books duplicated. We can also use tapes, movies, etc.

Books on order: (all paperback)

American Dream & Zoo Story	by Edward Albee
Giovanni's Room	by James Baldwin
Siddhartha	by Herman Hesse
Gandhi's Autobiography	
Soul On Ice	by Eldridge Cleaver
Walden II	by B.F. Skinner
Gandhi's Truth	by Erik Erikson
Freedom to Learn	by Carl Rogers
Great Dialogues of Plato	
Encounters: Issues of Human Concern	

The main resource, of course, is ourselves - and the time we have to
"spend" together.

The student evaluation to be used in this report are excerpts from
tape recordings dealing with normal and abnormal behavior in the
Seminar.

Student Evaluation

"From your own concept of normality have you observed any abnormalities within the class, within the seminar, either personally with individuals or group abnormalities, and if so, would you express these?"

"As far as what all these people have been doing, their kind of behavior, it seems to me that most of them are exhibiting what I might call sub-normal behavior as opposed to completely abnormal. I think there have been a couple of people here who have been, well, I can't say deranged because that's a very strong word, but it seems like a couple of people actually needed certain kinds of psychotherapy beyond this kind of session. It seems generally the people were coming out of their own environments, backgrounds, whatever, but I can't classify it as something abnormal."

"Would you describe your definition of the difference between abnormal and sub-normal, and describe the particular actions of people you considered either abnormal or sub-normal, and why you think this exists?"

"Sub-normal, I would think generally says that a person's behavior is not directly perfect. Perfect in a sense that a 'normal' would be a kind of direction, a goal to be gotten to. Perfect behavior would be the kind of behavior that a person would try to reach or try to get to and that would involve things that you mentioned before --- 'coping'. To be able to completely cope with situations. Being very conscious and aware of what's going on so much so that you're conscious of yourself, you're conscious of what's going on with other people, you're conscious of impressions, you're conscious of how to deal with all these things. And sub-normal would mean to me then people who cannot quite deal this way. People who don't cope completely. People who aren't conscious of what they're doing. This I guess would generally be 99.9% of the population. Abnormal then would be a marked defraction from all of this so that the person is actually mentally retarded or diseased --- a percentage of the sub-normals. A person who is actually needing real psychotherapy. Sub-normal would be the general population, normal would be to me the person or those people who have a kind of ability to cope, the ability to be able to handle situations. So that, generally then, the people here, I think, exhibit sub-normal behavior. There have been, one or two whom I would consider abnormal. I think Jeff was going through all kinds of paranoid strange things. As far as I am concerned, he was not really getting the kind of help he needed here. The kinds of help he needed would have come best from all kinds of professional people, over a long period of time. Generally for the people here, it's been behavior that's their own kind of coping behavior, which is trying to be kind of normal, like trying to be conscious, trying to be coping, trying to be able to handle situations.

21

"I'll deal with healthy versus unhealthy. For me, this is a crucial
issue. It's much more crucial than normal versus abnormal. For me,
health means the ability to grow and sickness means that which in-
terferes with growth. Sickness, psychological sickness, I'm not
sure I even like the phrase, psychological sickness or whatever,
but the kinds of pathologies that you find in psychology are essen-
tially vicious circles instead of a person being in a position where
he can develop and change and learn and respond; he gets into a
vicious circle where he hurts himself one way in order to correct
from hurting himself that way, he hurts himself another way. And
because he feels bad about himself he wants other people to feel
bad about him and then he feels worse about himself and because
he needs a drug at a particular time, he decides that he needs it
forever. And so he eventually does need it forever because he
keeps doing more and more, but these are all vicious circles. I
think that there are some examples of people who manifest sort of
pure forms of vicious circle kind of behavior. Some of these .
people are that way, generally and have been fighting against it in
the seminar. Some of them have been that way and are still that
way. The case of Bob is a good substantial sort of demonstration
point anyway. It involves a guy trying to deal out a set of pro-
blems which are so big for him that he constantly takes the
easy way out from them and then, therefore, feels bad about him-
self and intensifies his problems more and doesn't seem to
finish things. But there have been times during the seminar
that I felt Bob was really trying to face up to things and
then he'd sort of drift back, trying to deal. You can't tell
utimately about the answer to this kind of question unless you
find out what happens. And in the long run, the long run is
what tells you. The long run is what gives you the clue as to
whether a person is acting in a way conducive to his health or
in a way that perpetuates his problems. Jeff sometimes appears
to me to be perpetuating his problems by complicating them."

"About the only thing person I could call abnormal would be Steve.
He's a different kind of instructor, someone I never encountered
before. His ideas on teaching, methods he uses. It bothered me
at times because I was looking for him to change back into the
teacher I identify with. I asked myself was I going to learn
anything in here, what he said about things worried me until
I got to know him and I began to feel that he was actually here
learning with us."

"When I think of normality, I just think of what would be normal
for my behavior and so, if I spoke about what's normal, what I
think is abnormal for someone else, I really mean what's abnormal
for me."

"I would think that fear of one's fellows or judgment of yourself
would be abnormal because if you accept yourself you can be upset
that somebody would not be too pleased with what you were doing or
saying or it can bother you for a minute; but it will not upset
your judgment of yourself. So that I'd say that a real fear of
what people would think of you or holding back from other people,
afraid to relate to other people for fear of being hurt could be
considered, I would consider this an abnormality."

"I find it hard to deal with the question except I've tried to
define the problem. I am very much against the use of the word
normal as a value term. As a value term it runs contrary to all
my assumptions because normal means standard or average or
something like that in the usual definition. It means what's
acceptable, and I have found in teaching that, given that de-
finition, being normal is not a value at all, it's much more
value to be abnormal. At least it's a starting point because
being normal means being prohibited from serious concern and
commitment. Because those things on the average in this society
are against the norm. I'm against the norm. I'm abnormal so
I don't use it as a value judgement in that kind of way. I
can't. I can say normal, it means such and such and I can do
something like quoting David Riessman to talk about other direct
matter, talking about what happens in the suburbs or some kind
of fuzzy generalizations about what most Americans do and they
say, this is abnormal, this is not normal, in that light. And
in that light the whole seminar is not normal. And people who
try normal behavior in the seminar are seen as less valuable.
So I suppose the question I've got to ask you before I go on
is are you talking normal in the sense of opposed to the
average or are you talking about normal in the sense of some-
thing which is pathological in some dangerous sort of way.
I mean abnormal, obviously."

"Not necessarily in the pathological sense and I don't mean
normal as the Babbitt type of normal because it wouldn't be
my idea of what would be good, prehaps, more the psychological
definition of normal. In a sense, it would be, my own definition.
A mentally sort of healthy person who would be able to cope with
their environment and situations, that has a fairly healthy
self-respect and respect for other individuals. Who also has,
and I think I'd kind of go to Carl Rogers there, a kind of super-
consciousness or awareness of one's self, one's surroundings
and what is happening to one and his surroundings."

"Well, right now, I'm going through re-evaluating my own values too;
it's kind of hard to say exactly what is normal but I can say for
me someone like Dewey, little Dewey's defensiveness would be ab-
normal for me, maybe it's normal for him, again."

"Just how would you feel, I mean you feel it would be abnormal for
you, but do you feel there's anything abnormal in it just as be-
havior? The question is not so much what's abnormal for you so
much as what would be a standard of normality, more or less. Do
you feel that this would be abnormal, his defensiveness -- in
just normal behavior? How do you feel about it?"

"I think that maybe any severe extreme would be abnormal. Like
if you're defensive about anything that's even said to the extent
that you won't even hear it, you're just busy defending yourself
then, for me, that would be abnormal. I'd be unhappy. Maybe
I'm saying that normality is what I would want to be rather than
what I am, talking about my ideals and what would be abnormal
for what my ideals are, rather than what I am."

"Would you want to elaborate on that any more, from the aspect
of Dewey, his own defensiveness -- or have you found it much in
other particular individuals or have you found any other traits
or actions -- particular actions -- that you would consider
abnormal?"

"I found the same kind of defensiveness in Jeff, except that I think
he's going through -- maybe it's not defensiveness, maybe it's just
like a trick kind of game, you know where he's testing, testing;
and testing's normal but when all you're ever doing is testing and
you never pick up on a relationship where you're involved -- I
think that's sort of abnormal for me or for what I'd want to be.
I don't think that I'd test to that extent. Or if I do, its a
lot more subtle. What else. I think worrying can be sort of
abnormal when it's really severe. Diane worries a lot, but I
really appreciate her concern.   I don't know if that's abnormal.
She doesn't do it really to the extent that I'm uncomfortable
with it, but Dewey and Jeff really make me uncomfortable. And
they really make me uncomfortable when they get that defensive
and tricky."

"I think Ralph generates an awful lot of social pressure, where
everyone gets self-conscious, mostly the black students about
how they should react. Social pressures are all over the whole
group, too. But that's normal."

"I think maybe Bill's reactions , lot of the times seem abnormal
to me just simply because he won't react. He'll just sit there
and sit there and then, all of a sudden, he'll come out with a
burst of something, anger, and when he hasn't been contributing,
and that bothers me. That would be abnormal for me. Well,
sometimes that's normal but I think when it's to that extent
where you're holding it in and holding it in then all of a
sudden -- poom."

"Do you feel that particular holding back has been a characteristic of many of the students in this class and if so, could you describe which ones and why you particularly think this would be abnormal."

"A lot of people haven't. There've only been about five or six who really have opened up at least a little about their feelings and maybe their own personal backgrounds; whereas, people can't -- maybe they're just afraid to be known. Like that incident that happened to me when Dewey kept generalizing, saying, 'some people, some people,' and I said what do you mean by some people? Then he jumped on me -- you're afraid of yourself, you're, you, you, you, Then Dana jumped in on that -- like she felt that I was ripping people apart and putting them on an analysis. People I guess they're afraid of being understood. It's kind of scary I guess but I'd like it. Because if everyone knew what everyone else was thinking it would be so nice, but I guess people just don't want to let go. I think that's abnormal. Well, if normality is what usually occurs, then it's normal because it's everywhere; but, it's so unsatisfying."

"We can also approach this from a different way. Now, Freud was once asked what his concept of normality was. And they expected a long lengthy answer and what they got was -- the ability to love and to work. We all have our own concepts of normality according to our own cultural backgrounds and subjective or objective models. We also have -- especially in something like the seminar, we've been exposed to such unusual circumstances that I think it's set most of us thinking and we've, perhaps, evolved slightly different methods or concepts of normality. I have. So why don't you take it from what you say would be just a basic idea of your own normality and then continue again with what you would consider to be abnormal that's appeared in the seminar.

"When I usually think of normality, I think of what would usually occur with the most amount of people, the norm. And I think the same thing with me -- what would usually occur with me would be normal and then something different for me would be abnormal -- let's see what would be abnormal for me -- like if in the middle of a happy discussion, all of a sudden I'd start crying. That would be abnormal for me. But then, I can think of abnormal or normal and what I would want to be abnormal -- for normal being good, I want to be pleasent, have an equilibrium, maybe that isn't normal -- maybe that doesn't usually occur. They're different -- if you're asking me like value questions -- like what I thin is right and wrong, it's different from what I think is normal. Normal I would think would be what usually occurs and maybe I would consider myself an abnormal person. Yeh, at least I have a definition now. There's a personal normality and societal normality and taking our seminar, like a society of people -- the normality would be to shut off and not to con-tribute and to complain -- that's what the normality has been. It's been the usual thing with our group and, then, there's been a small amount of people who have contributed and that's like abnormal in our seminar. To have really been contributing

and expressing your feelings about yourself and everyone els. And
it's normal in our seminar not to get too involved -- to stay cool,
to be able to laugh and be defensive."

"Well, this is perhaps a leading question. I don't know if it's
too valid in an interview like this, but what about those that
have expressed feelings but really basically, hostilely, every-
time they express them."

"In our seminar that's been normal -- to defensively express your
feelings. I don't know if that means that they want to have their
feelings dealt with, you know. That's the normal to just be
defensive, that is expressing a feeling but it's expressing it in
a way like -- 'don't get near me' kind of feeling -- like I feel
this way but don't get near me. Don't deal with me -- I want you
to pay attention to me, but don't get near the problem. And that's
been pretty normal. Does that answer it?"

"I'd really like the societal norm to come together with my personal
norm. Where everyone would want what I want for normality has been
like abnormal in the group. Pretty abnormal. There've been about,
I guess, five or six people who've wanted the same things, I guess."

"Well express exactly then what you would want as a norm in the group."

"In the group, I'd want as a norm -- for a personality norm -- well
I don't suppose that would be good -- if everyone has the same one.
But, really being honest with ourselves, and then being able to
express it honestly with the group would be beautiful. It's
rarely happened. Honesty has been pretty abnormal, I guess in the
group."

"Are there any particular cases of that, that you can recall so
far in the seminar?"

"Yes, especially Dewey and Jeff have been super dishonest with
themselves in my opinion." "Why do you think so? What is abnormal
about it?"

"Again, when I said that I felt it's abnormal, I'm talking about it
would be abnormal for me to get that defensive, unless I was being
attacked. But like no one has really been super attacked. It's
been like little subtle things that aren't really that bad, to me.
Even when I did get super attacked, it was something that I could
deal with honestly and I shouldn't have even felt attacked. That
was my own personal hangup, feeling hurt when I should have been
able to look at it objectively."

"Do you feel that the attack was at all abnormal the way it happened?"

"It's been normal in our classroom. Well, it is an attack in its defensive way, like don't get near me, I'll attack you. Just don't come past my fence. But that time it got a little extensive, I guess -- intense."

"What would you think, in the people you've already mentioned, such as Jeff, Dewey, Dana, and Bill -- you can take one of them or you can go ahead with all of them. As a responsive human being who's been doing some psychological reading or from your own feelings -- what do you think would cause them to react in this manner which you consider to be abnormal -- both as you express it or as I understand it -- both personally and societally."

"I think we've all gone through different environments and our values are pretty different. Or maybe some of us feel more guilty about our values than others or about our personal feelings and we want to hide them more and more afraid of them being known. And I suppose living in the suburbs or living in the city, being Black, being white, being Jewish, being Catholic, any kind of a different background would create a different kind of normality figure, of normality feelings and personality behaviors. I don't really know from any books because I don't read a lot, but then even in the suburbs, people have different specific normality things but I suppose generally, they're the same culturally. And Dana's brought in different ones from where she's been and I've brought in different ones from where I've been, you've brought in different ones. There hasn't been much, you know, I suppose we all brought in our own and they should be able to get together, but I suppose some people go through really, really rugged environments where the walls are just built up so thick. And I guess that's what would differentiate the feelings about it. But, if you can get past that and look at it on a general scale, like, past your cultural background and then think of what you would rather have for your own values, then that shouldn't even matter; but, I suppose it does."

At the completion of the Seminar Students were asked to evaluate
their Seminar experiences through the use of three questionnaires.
The following are samplings of responses from those questionnaires.
(See Appendicies A and B for the complete questionnaires.)

Question: What had you expected from the Seminar?

Responses: I expected my problems and needs to be dealt with, as
            well as, to deal with the problems and needs of others
            in the class. I also hoped that we would become a community.

            Debates, actions, acceptance of each other, prehaps
            not really really understanding but being sensitive.

            I wasn't sure what to expect.

            I expected to learn more about myself and how I react
            to different people in different situations. I wanted
            the group to give me honest feedback.

Question: In what ways were your expectation not fulfilled?

Responses: We were not a working community.

            I didn't get as much feedback as I would have liked.

            I failed in some ways.

            My needs were ignored.

Question: What was the most useful course during the Seminar?
           (English, Psychology, etc.)

Responses: Psychology - Carl Rogers writings made me feel that
            I was really understanding myself.

            Human Relations - Now I relate to people a lot more freely.

            Communications - I was able to get information and help
            with other papers I was writing.

            For me all the courses were combined as one, to work
            together, I really could not separate one and say it
            was the most valuable.

Question: How could you apply your Seminar skills and knowledge to
           every day life?

Responses: Maintain my openness.

              I can understand, listen, be more sensitive, and
              relate to others feelings better.

              I can get along with people a little better than I
              could before.

              I can apply some of the techniques we've learned in
              the group I work with.

NEGATIVE POINTS:

We have encountered numerous problems, some we have been able to work
through, others are waiting to be tackled.

One of our answered questions is whether or not employed and unemployed
students can effectively reach common goals in the Seminar. As a
participant-observer, in my opinion, effective integration of these
two groups of students is improbable. I found that students who are
employed full-time, who have responsibilities for not only themselves
but for families, as well, who are attending classes on released-time
place greater value on their classroom time than the unemployed, living
with parents, kind of students. The employed student feels a necessity
for having some kind of credentials for employment mobility and are
anxious to speed up the process.

The seminar schedule for the eight week period was four days per week,
four hours per day. This presented a problem in gaining released-time.
It hindered enrollment, partly because of the summer season and agency
activities, but also, Center Directors felt that they could not afford
that much released-time. Our solution is to adjust the on going
schedule to the needs of YMCA employers by extending the schedule,
two days per week, two hours per day. As expressed during the
evaluation interviews, there is mixed emotions as to the need for an
experienced trainer. In my opinion, there is that need, for giving
feedback to instructors on their methods and techniques in human
relations. It would be helpful in spotting troubled students, students
who need psychological help.

The problem of people not knowing how to use freedom,involving many feeling
that they have not in fact ever experienced freedom. The seminar
structure gives students the feedom to learn as much or, in some
cases as little, as they choose. This deviates so far from the old
system of education that it becomes frustrating for many students.
The instructors are left with the question because there are so
many differences in an individual's tolerance of frustration.

Another problem is that we are so used to playing games that we don't
know how to act without them, yet game-playing is contrary to openness
and honesty. We are accustomed to dealing with others competitively
rather than cooperatively.

The Seminar accepts any student and this lack of screening has presented
a number of problems. A student with serious emotional problems had
to be asked to leave the Seminar, after professional help was found
for him. Students who refuse to accept a new method of learning and
teaching became frustrated because they were unwilling to give up
the old beliefs.

POSITIVE POINTS:

There is a continued striving for more openness and freedom. The
relationships begun in the Seminar have continued at its conclusion.
People became freer to relate to each other on a human basis. The
Seminar was a testing ground for new behavior patterns with personal
respect for roles. Students and instructors had an opportunity to
rid themselves of previous orientations. For everyone involved this
was a test of patience, and perserverance. Lastly, students began
to see education as both meaningful and interesting.

FUTURE PLANS:

Pilot "C" will have a testing period from January to September 1971,
during Phase III. We will seek participants from the entire YMCA
of Metropolitan Chicago rather than limit participation to one specific
district. Eligibility standards: YMCA employee, little or no college
background, sincere interest and concern for better positions in the
human services.

To accommodate District and Center Directors on released-time a
new schedule for classes has been worked out: two days per week,
two hours per day; for each eleven week period and testing at least
eight additional courses from SSAP-I core curriculum. These will
be selected from the following:

  Orientation to Social Service
  Community Resources
  Communications II
  Human Growth and Development
  Abnormal Psychology
  American Ethnic Groups
  Social Problems
  Human Biology
  Practicum
  Sociology

We will again utilize the Central YMCA Community College Seminar for
both testing of courses and teaching staff.

YMCA-NTL trainers will be asked to work with the Seminar Staff and
assist in the supervision of the practicum training.

Participants will be assigned, in pairs, for practicum training, to
a Center or Project. This training will cover services and operations
of the Center or Project, e.g., membership, program design, promotion,
and interpretation, budget preparation, record keeping and work with
a selected group of people utilizing its services.

Acknowledgements

John Bouseman, Dean, Central YMCA Community College

Dr. J.P. Dave, Instructor, YMCA Community College

Steve Andrews, Instructor, YMCA Community College

Diane Franklin, Instructor, YMCA Community College

Jackie Reddy, Instructor, YMCA Community College

Participant Questionnaire

Please answer questions as briefly as possible. Extra space is provided on the back of the sheets for elaboration

SECTION I

1.   What had you expected from the Seminar?

2.   To what extent were your expectations fulfilled?
      Completely____To a large extene____To some extent_____
      Comment:

3.   In what ways were your expectations not fulfilled?

4.   Are you glad you participated in this Seminar?
      very glad___glad___not sure____sorry___very sorry____
      Comment:

5.   Would you prefer to have been in another kind of class?
      Comment:

SECTION II

The following evaluation concerns how your perceptions and feelings
about the group have changed since the beginning of the semester.
Circle the number that best expresses how you see it. 1-a lot less;
2-less; 3-no change; 4-more; 5-a lot more

Acceptance of each other	]2345
Cocern for others	]2345
Friendliness among group members	12345
Freedom to express oneself to others	12345
Lover for each other	12345
Sincerity among group members	12345
A true understanding of each other	12345
Support of each others thoughts, feelings, beliefs	12345
Rejection of other members of the group	12345
Hostility shown toward other members of the group	12345
Fear among members of certain individuals	12345
Anxiety about members or situations	12345
Frustration among the group	12345
Interest shown by members of the group	12345
Involvement from members of the group	12345
Creativity	12345
Selfishness among members of the group	12345
Conflict within the group	12345
Understanding and acceptance of group goals	12345
Serious work attitude	12345

The following evaluation concerns changes in your perceptions and feelings
about yourself. Circle the number that best expresses how you have
changed since the beginning of the semester
1-a lot less; 2-less; 3-no change; 4-more; 5-a lot more

Acceptance of yourself	12345
Understanding of yourself	12345
Frustration	12345
Anxiety about yourself	12345
Rejection by others	12345
Involvement	12345
Contribution to your own and others welfare	12345
Concern for yourself and for others	12345
Friendliness on your part toward others	12345
Hostility on your part toward others	12345
Love for yourself and for others	12345
Freedom with yourself in the group	12345
Dependence on others	12345
Sense of or use of your imagination	12345
Sense of your own creativity	12345
Sincerity on your part	12345
Understanding and acceptance of group goals	12345
Serious work attitudes	12345

Looking back over the GROUP evaluation list which category do you
think is the most important for the welfare of the group? Why do
you feel this way?

Can you describe how this change came about in the group?

Looking back over the PERSONAL evaluationlist, which category do
you consider to be the most important to you, as an individual?
Why do you feel this way?

Can you describe how this change came about? What contributed to
the change in you?

SECTION III

1. To what extent did the Seminar help you in devleoping a basic
    understanding in Psychology, Philosophy, English, Human Relations?
    (1-very little; 2-little; 3-not sure; 4-some; 5-greatly)

Psychology   12345

Philsosphy   12345

English   12345

Human Relations   12345

2. To what extent would you feel adequate to participate in a traditionally
    structured, competitive kind of class: to a great extent_____to some
    extent_____to a small extent____

    3. To what extent has the Seminar helped you to develop the following
        communication skills   (1-very little; 2-a little; 3-not sure;
        4-some; 5-a lot)

        Reading   12345
        Writing   12345
        Listening   12345
        Expressing yourself verbally in a group   12345

4. In what ways did the Seminar help you the most?

5. In what ways did the Seminar help you the least?

6. Prior to the Seminar, how did you feel about college education?
   pleasant_____exciting_____meaningful_____unpleasant_____boring_____

7. How do you feel now about college education?
   pleasnat_____exciting____meaningful_____unpleasant___boring____

8. List those things you liked most about the Seminar:

9. List those things you liked least:

10. If you had a choice, would you want to be in this kind of
    Seminar next semester?

11. What suggestions would you offer for improving the Seminar?

12; Additional comments:

SEMINAR QUESTIONNAIRE - I

SCALE: 1   2   4   5

    (low)   (high)

Rate yourself using the above scale. Respond to each question concerning
Community / Group and Personal needs, by putting the appropriate number
for yourself in the space before the question.

____ 1. How much responsibility did I feel to the Community?

____ 2. How well was I listened to in the Community?

____ 3. How much did the community respond to my needs by acting?

____ 4. To what degree did I influence people in the Community

       without being put down?

____ 5. To what extent was I recognized in my Community?

____ 6. To what extent were these experiences helpful in my

       everyday situations?

____ 7. To what degree did I feel the "we-feeling" in my Community?

____ 8. Did I deal with personal confrontation deffectively?

____ 9. To what degree did I inflence the Community?

____ 10. To what degree was I sensitive to needs and feelings of

       individual Community members?

____ 11. To what extent did I respond to individual needs of my

       group members?

____ 12.To what degree did I trust the Community to deal with my feelings?

SEMINAR QUESTIONNAIRE - II

1. What was the most significant event for you during the Seminar?
   (Describe the event, your reactions to it, your feelings about it)

   a. What was the least significant? (Describe)

2. What was the most useful course during the Seminar? (English, Psychology, etc.)
   (Describe your reactions to it, your feelings about it)

   a. What was the least? (Describe)

3. How could you apply your Seminar skills and knowledge to everyday life.

Name_____

For Discussion

Feel free to write, doodle, draw, fold, spindle, anything you like
to do with this page --- just simply create something which you
think represents the Seminar experience. Please remember to write
your name.

Phase II Final Report

INTERPRETIVE
ANALYSIS OF YMCA PROFESSIONAL
CERTIFICATION

Prepared by Jeanette Hall

Project No. 7-0329
Grant No. OEG-0-8-070329-3694 (085)
SOCIAL SERVICE AIDE PROJECT
For the Training and Education
of Paraprofessionals

September 30, 1970

Career Options Research and Development
-A Special Project of
the Young Men's Christian Association
19 South La Salle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
Office of Education
Bureau of Research

The National Council of YMCAs adopted a new employee classification
plan in 1969. Under this present system Community Professionals
(street workers, counsellors, etc.) have a more clearly defined
position within the organization's structure. However, this system
does not represent a career ladder that allows for employee mobility
with the least amount of time and expense, nor the achievement of
comprehensive knowledge, skills, and know-how.

This paper will make a comparison of the YMCA's former and present
employee classification plan to that of the human services career
ladder developed by Career Options.

For additional information, see Phase II Final Report on Career
Ladders and Core Curriculum.

F O R M E R	P R E S E N T	P I L O T "C"	L I F E/W O R K E X P .
		Professional: Doctor's Degree Master's Degree	6 yrs. of progressive experience with Equiva-lency Examination.
Group 4: Secretaries. Persons employed full time to deal with program, policy or admn. have served acceptably at least 2 yrs. and completed "thirty semester hrs" academic requirement or establishing eq-uivalent in grad. study, and have been certified by the Board of Certification	Senior Directors: Staff members wo have completed a 2 yr. period of demonstrated competence as a Director; (1) if a college grad. one of these: (a) 3 units of YMCA Career Development Program (b) a grad. de-gree (either before or after employment) and the first unit of the Career Development Program (APPD) (c) 15 semester hrs. of study in a relevant discipline, and 2 Career Development Units. (2) if not a college grad. (former staff associate) (a) completion of 3 units of Career Development program (b) completion of at least 15 semester hrs. of relevant college study (c) 2 additional years of satisfactory service as a YMCA Director (total of 4 yrs.).		

F O R M E R	P R E S E N T	P I L O T "C"	L I F E,.. O R K E X P.
oup 3: Junior Sec-taries. Persons ployed full-time to al with YMCA policy, ogram or admn., alified by college ad. or educational uivalent, church mbership or equiva-nt statement of uith, and a certi-.cate of good health.) not fully meet re-iirements of service id education for .assification as :oup 4.	Directors: Staff member employed full time in program or admn., who have a college degree or 120 semester hrs. of acceptable college work, or staff members similarly employed who have completed: (1) 6 yrs. of successful em-ployment as Staff Ass-ociate (less one yr. for each year of college completed, (2) 3 units of YMCA Career Develop-ment Program sponsored by N tional Board of YMCA's, (3) a success-ful performance appra-isal conducted by a member of General Agency staff (region/ Nat'l).	Technologist II: Bachelor's Degree	' 5 yrs. of progressive exper.ence with Equivalency Examination.
roup 2: Instructors, rogram Leaders, dministrative Assis-ants. May be full r part-time. Do not eet requirements for ecretaryship (i.e. roup 3 or 4).	Staff Associates: Staff members employed full time in program and administrative positions who do not meet the qual fications for listing as YMCA Direc-tors, or who may be in the process of meeting these requirements.	Technologist I: 3rd yr. college certificate	4 yrs. of progressive experience with Equiva-lency Examination.
Group 1: Clerical, Maintenance, Food Service and similar employees, not re-lated to conduct-ing and supervis-ing program. Do not meet require-ments for Groups 2, 3, or 4.	General Employees: Related to essential operational functions, such as clerical, main-tenance, food service, janitors, engineers	Technician II: Associate in Arts Degree Technician I: 1st year college certificate. Trainee: High School or less.	3 yrs. of progressive experience with Equiva-lency Examination. 2 yrs. of progressive experience with Equiva-lency Examination. 1 yr. of training be-fore taking Qualifiy-ing Examination for Technician I position.

43

ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Under both YMCA classification plans, Group 1 and General employees are
clerical and food service personnel who are locked in dead-end jobs.
Females are generally clerical and food service employees, and are re-
stricted to these jobs under both systems. There is no system so far
for better positions or testing for possible skill recognition.

Staff Associates under the present plans are still faced with problems
of Group 2 employees under the former plan, being restricted to a formal
educational system without alternative routes for acquiring skills,
knowledge, and know-how.

Employees who are Directors are seemingly given a choice of routes
to further advancement, but a close look shows that there is very
little difference from them and the former Group 3. They are people
with college degrees or a great number of credit hours. These posi-
tion are virtually filled by degreed persons. After six years of suc-
cessful employment, there is no system for skill recognition that would
allow for immediate mobility for either non-degreed or degreed personnel.

Senior Directors (or under the former plan, Secretaries) are still the
few executives who are given the greatest career benefits within the or-
ganization, allowing the "status system," which is based on academic
achievement rather than life work experience and education, to continue
to function.

Career Options proposes that the YMCA of Metropolitan Chicago utilize
Pilot "C" on a limited scale for testing the reality of career advance-
ment within and without the organization.