DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 044 526 80 VT 012 070

AUTHOR Baldwin, Thomas S.

TITLE The Development of Achievement Measures for Trade

and Technical Education. Pinal Report.

INSTITUTION Illinois Univ., Urbana.

Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Bureau SPONS AGENCY

of Research.

BUREAU NO BR-5-1319 PUB DATE Sep 70

OEG-0-8-051319-3626-085 GRANT

NOTE 109p.

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF-\$0.50 HC-\$5.35

DESCRIPTORS *Achievement Tests, Comparative Analysis,

> *Measurement Instruments, Post Secondary Education, *Program Evaluation, *Psychometrics, Statistical Analysis, Student Ability, Test Construction, *Test

Selection, Trade and Industrial Education, Vocational Education

ABSTRACT

A void exists between the rapid growth of post-high school trade and technical education and the development of educational management tools for that area. Hoping to help fill this void, this research effort concentrated on the development of psychometric instruments useful in measuring achievements. Trade and technical areas involved in the study include electrical installation and maintenance, machinists, electronics, and data processing. The tests developed for these and other areas provide objective, reliable, and valid indices of the level of proficiency achieved by students and will provide teachers, administrators, and researchers with a tool for more effective management of their programs. Although the tests are not included in this report for security reasons, the information does include (1) detailed test specifications, (2) descriptions of the samples, (3) reliability of subtests, (4) intercorrelations of subtests, (5) correlations with other standardized measures of achievement, norms, and other psychometric data. Also for each curriculum, detailed information is presented on the test specifications, sample, and psychometric characteristics of both the experimental and final forms of the test. (Author/JS)



FINAL REPORT Project No. 5-1319 Grant No. OEG-0-8-051319-3626 (085)

THE DEVELOPMENT OF ACHIEVEMENT MEASURES FOR TRADE AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION

Thomas S. Baldwin University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois

September 1970

U 8 CLªARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION
B WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEF REPRODUCED
EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR
ORGANIZATION CHOIGHAINING IT POINTS OF
VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARIVE REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

The research reported herein was performed pursuant to a grant with the Office of Education, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Contractors undertaking such projects under Government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their professional judgment in the conduct of the project. Points of view or opinions stated do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Office of Education position or policy.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education Bureau of Research



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The success of any major research undertaking to a great extent depends upon the availability of competent and motivated staff. While the research reported on here is no exception to this rule, it is exceptional in that few projects have enjoyed the benefits of such a highly motivated and competent staff. To the extent that this project makes a contribution to vocational and technical education it has been through the efforts of the individuals listed below. All of them were formally affiliated with the project and generously contributed their time, advice, and scholarly assistance to make the project possible.

ASSISTANT PROJECT DIRECTOR: Charles F. Ward

RESEARCH ASSOCIATES: Bruce H. Kemelgor, James B. Jones

GRADUATE ASSISTANTS:

University of Illinois: Wayne Becker, Robert Harris, Jarrel Hoffer, Richard Swanson, Conard White

North Carolina State University: Kersey Antia, William Ballenger Stanley Epstein, David Friedman, Chris Hau, Robert Markman, Lloyd Spaulding, Thomas Tuttle, Thomas Weiss

SECRETARIES:

University of Illinois: Rose Watts

North Carolina State University: Linda Baker, Mabel Rountree

FACULTY:

University of Illinois: Dr. George Frost

North Carolina State University: Dr. John Coster, Dr. Clyde Johnson

Many other individuals, too numerous to list individually, also made significant contributions to this project. These included members of the staff of participating institutions, members of item writing committees, members of state departments of education, and others. To all of these, much credit and appreciation is due for their assistance.

Thomas S. Baldwin, Ph.D. Principal Investigator



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		PAGE
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	RATIONALE	3
III	PROCEDURES	7
IV	DATA PROCESSING	21
V	ELECTRONICS	35
VI	AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS	45
VII	MACHINIST	55
VIII	AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING AND REFRIGERATION	65
IX	ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE	75
x	RADIO AND TELEVISION SERVICING	85
ХI	DIAGNOSTIC PERFORMANCE MEASURES	95
	APPENDIX	101



LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER IV	DATA PROCESSING	PAGE
IV-1	Test Specifications	23
IV-2	First Year Description of Sample	25
IV-3	Experimental Tests Description and Reliabilities	25
IV-4	Form A-Experimental Test Intercorrelations and	
	Factor Loadings	26
IV-5	Form B-Experimental Test Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	27
IV-6	Business-Second Year Description of Sample	28
IV-7	Scientific-Second Year Description of Sample	28
IV-8	Scientific-Final Test Psychometric Characteristics	
IV-9	Scientific-Final Test Subtest Intercorrelations	29
IV-10	Business-Final Test Psychometric Characteristics	30
IV-11	Business-Final Test Subtest Intercorrelations	30
IV-12	Business-Subtests Ray Score Conversions	31
IV-13	Business-Total Test Raw Score Conversion	32
IV-14	Scientific-Subtests Raw Score Conversions	33
IV-15	Scientific-Total Test Raw Score Conversions	34
CHAPTER V	ELECTRONICS	
V-1	Test Specifications	36
V-2	First Year Description of Sample	38
V-3	Experimental Tests Description and Reliabilities	38
V-4	Form A-Experimental Test	
	Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	39
V-5	Form B-Experimental Test	
	Intercorrelations and Factor Loudings	40
V-6	Second Year Description of Sample	41
V-7	Final Test Psychometric Characteristics	42
V-8	Final Test Subtest Intercorrelations	43
V-9	Subtests Raw Score Conversions	43
V-10	Total Test Raw Score Conversions	44
CHAPTER VI	AUTOMOTIVE HECHANICS	
VI-1	Test Specifications	46
V1-2	First Year Description of Sample	48
V1-3	Experimental Tests Description and Reliabilities	. 48
VI-4	Form A-dxperimental Test	
	Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	49
V1-5	Form B-Experimental Test	
	Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	50
V1-6	Second Year Description of Sample	51
VI-7	Final Test Psychometric Characteristics	52
V1_0	Pinal Trat Cubtuat Intercountables	6.5



VI-9	Subtests Raw Score Conversions	53
VI-10	Total Test Raw Score Conversions	56
CHAPTER VII	MACHINIST	
1177. 1	Manh Caradidanhiana	- 4
VII-1	Test Specifications	56
VXI-2	First Year Description of Sample	57
VII-3	Experimental Tests Description and Reliabilities	57
VII-4	Form A-Experimental Test Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	
VII-5	Form B-Experimental Test	58
VII3	Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	59
VII-6	Second Year Description of Sample	60
VII-7	Final Test Psychometric Characteristics	61
VII-8	Final Test Subtest Intercorrelations	6:
VII-9	Subtests Raw Score Conversions	62
	Total Test Raw Score Conversions	63
	10102 1001 10010 0011/0101010	•
CHAPTER VIII	AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING, AND REFRIGERATION	
VIII-1	Test Specifications	66
VIII2	First Year Description of Sample	69
V1173	Experimental Tests Description and Reliabilities	69
	Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	70
	Second Year Description of Sample	71
	Final Test Psychometric Characteristics	72
	Final Test Subtest Intercorrelations	72
	Subtests Raw Score Conversions	73
V111-9	Total Test Raw Score Conversions	74
CHAPTEP, IX	ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE	
1X-1	Test Specifications	76
1X-2	First Year Description of Sample	78
IX-3	Experimental Testa Description and Reliabilities	78
IX-4	Form A-Experimental Test	, ,
	Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	79
1X-5	Form B-Experimental Test	
	Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	80
1X-6	Second Year Description of Sample	81
1X-7	Final Test Psychometric Characteristics	82
1X-8	Final Test Subtest Intercorrelations	82
1 X-9	Subtests Raw Score Conversions	83
IX-10	Total Test Raw Score Conversions	84
CHAPTER X	RADIO-TELEVISION SERVICING	
X-1	Test Specifications	86
x-2	First Year Description of Sample	A7



X3	Experimental Tests Description and Reliabilities	88
X-4	Form A-Experimental Test	
	Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	89
X-5	Form B-Experimental Test	
	Intercorrelations and Factor Loadings	90
X~6	Second Year Description of Sample	91
X-7	Final Test Psychometric Characteristics	92
X-8	Final Test Subtest Intercorrelations	92
X-9	Subtests Ray Score Conversions	93
V-1Λ	Total Test Ray Score Conversions	94



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

No segment of education has grown so rapidly in the last few decades as that representing the post high school sub-baccalaureate level. This segment of education, which encompasses many different types of programs offered in junior colleges, community colleges, technical institutes, etc., is widely recognized as being one of the most appropriate solutions to many of our societal problems. Regardless of the type of institution operating at this level one common thread found in virtually all of them is emphasis on trade and technical education. This widespread emphasis is implicit recognition of the fact that such programs have great potential for the economic growth of this country.

While this nationwide emphasis on post high school trade and technical education is fulfilling an important need, the maximum utility of the system has not been achieved by the very fact of its rapid growth. Many of the "tools" of educational management that are well known and widely used at the elementary, secondary, and college level have evolved with these levels of education over a long period of time. The rapid growth of post high school trade and technical education, however, has resulted in a lag in the development of educational management tools appropriate for that level.

It is toward the development of one such tool, standardized achievement tests, that this research was directed. The widespread use in other segments of education of standardized psychometric instruments for assessing student potential, interests, achievement, etc., is widely known. One has only to look at the phenomenal growth of organizations such as Educational Testing Service over the last twenty-five years to realize the heavy reliance that educators place on psychometric instruments for use in operating their programs. The present research was undertaken to provide psychological tests, similar to those that have been so highly useful in other areas of education, to some segments of post high school trade and technical education.

Hore specifically, this research dealt with the development of psychometric instruments for measuring achievement in selected trade and technical education programs at the post high school level. The trade areas selected for study included: electrical installation and maintenance; radio and television servicing, air conditioning, heating and refrigeration; automotive mechanics; and machinists. The technical areas selected for study were electronics and data processing. These tests provide objective, reliable, and vaid indices of the level of proficiency achieved by students and will provide teachers, administrators, and researchers with a tool for more effective management of their educational programs.



The present technical report covers the entire period of research and presents the rationale, procedures, and psychometric results for each of the areas mentioned above. Since the utility of the instruments developed during the course of this research program depends upon adequate test security, the instruments themselves are not included with this report. These instruments, which have been published separately, will be made available on an operational basis to interested educational institutions. However, the present report presents all of the technical information necessary for an institution to determine the appropriateness of the instruments for their curricula. This information includes detailed test specifications, descriptions of the samples, reliability of subtests, intercorrelations of subtests, correlations with other standardized measures of achievement, norms, and other psychometric data. The tests produced under this grant are listed below.

Achievement Test for Machinist
Auditory Achievement Test for Machinist
Achievement Test for Radio and Television Servicing
Visual Diagnostic Test for Television Servicing
Achievement Test for Air Conditioning, Heating and Refrigeration
Achievement Test for Automotive Mechanics
Auditory Achievement Test for Automotive Mechanics
Achievement Test for Electrical Installation and Maintenance
Achievement Test for Data Processing Technology - Business
Achievement Test for Data Processing Technology - Grientific
Achievement Test for Electronics Technology

This report is organized in several chapters. Chapter II deals with the overall program rationale and provides information on the decisions which were reached in the early part of the program regarding the selection of curriculum areas for study, selection of the environment in which the research would be conducted, and other information pertaining to the overall research program.

Chapter III deals with the procedures used in conducting this research and again is applicable to all curricula. Details are given regarding advisory committees, consultants, staff composition, item-writing committees, etc. Further detail is provided on how the various curricula were analyzed, weighted according to importance, and how items were generated, tested, and selected for inclusion in the final instruments.

Each of the chapters IV through X deals with the specific curriculum with which this research was concerned. For each curriculum, detailed information is presented on the test specifications, sample, and psychometric characteristics of both the experimental and final forms of the test. It is in these chapters that a potential user of the instruments will find detailed information which should be useful in making a decision regarding the appropriateness of the instrument for his particular purposes.



CHAPTER II

RATIONALE

A. SELECTION OF ENVIRONMENT

In 1956 the North Carolina General Assembly anacted linguislation providing for a statewide system of "industrial education counteres," the purpose of which was to provide both high school and post high school students training in vocational and technical education. By the fall of 1963 twenty such institutions had been established encreas the state and were serving the full-time equivalent of \$3,568 satisfacts.11

In 1963 the North Carolina General Assembly enacted linguishattion which created a system of "community colleges, technical institutes, and industrial education centers" which provided for the acception off new institutions of each type and also provided that praviously containlished industrial education centers could evolve into receptival iirstitutes or community colleges as the need arose. 2 By the ffall off 1966 the system consisted of 47 separate institutions, thirteen off which were comprehensive community colleges offering college thransfer, vocational, technical, and adult education programs. The remaining 34 institutions were technical institutes offering the same programs as the community colleges except that they did not offer the collings transfer program. These institutions, in the fall of 1966, emodilist a full-time equivalency of 28,250 students, the majority of which were enrolled in vocational and technical programs. 3 By the field of 1968 total enrollment had increased to a full-time equivalency off 45,902, of which 66 per cent was in occupational education. The iinstitutions then numbered fifty.

Because of the rapid growth noted above and with emphasis upon the development of quality in occupational programs, the state off North Carolina is an acknowledged leader in the field of occupational education in the southeastern United States and has gained a matiboral reputation because of its efforts. For these reasons it was discilled to rely heavily upon the North Carolina system in the development off achievement measures. This reliance included both students to take the tests and instructors to serve as subject-matter specialists in the development of test outlines and the construction of a padd off test items from which tests could be made.

An additional reason for reliance upon the North Carchina system was the presence of a well-developed and well-organized



^{1.} Enrollment data obtained from Julian Wingfield, Supervisor off
Statistical Service, N.C. Dept. of Comm. Colleges, Raisigh, N.C.

^{2.} North Carolina, General Statutes of North Carolina, Chapter ILEDA

^{3.} Wingfield, op. cit.

^{4.} Ibid.

curriculum laboratory which has played a great role in the development of occupational curricula for implementation in the system. To this time the curriculum laboratory has developed curricula for approximately 49 technical and 43 trade programs.

In the development of occupational curricula for the North Carolina system, the curriculum laboratory relies upon (1) a well-trained staff of curriculum specialists, (2) industrial representatives, and (3) administrators and instructors from institutions within the system.

The procedure followed in the development of a new curriculum is as follows. Once the need for a particular program has been established (through local and/or state surveys), the curriculum laboratory appoints an advisory committee consisting of representatives of industries which are potential employers, administrators from institutions which are likely to offer the new curriculum, and instructors or other personnel who may have special knowledge of the problem. Representatives from industry include both management and labor and are chosen for their knowledge in the field. Oftentimes, representatives from the Employment Security Commission and the North Carolina Department of Labor also serve on the advisory committees.

The advisory committee, working under the guidance of a curriculum specialist, develops a basic curriculum for the program which includes general course descriptions and time allocation for various courses. Next, the curriculum laboratory examines the curriculum to make sure that the civic and liberal objectives as well as the technical objectives are met. After revisions have been made, subject-matter specialists are called upon to develop course outlines and related instructional materials. Finally, the curriculum is published for use in the system where the need for such a curriculum can be demonstrated.

A block of courses which are considered to be either institutional or student electives is built into each curriculum. These electives, usually 10 to 20 per cent of the curriculum, may be used by a particular institution to develop courses to meet the needs of industries within their local service areas.

B. CURRICULUM AREAS

The selection of curricula for which achievement measures were developed was based mainly upon three criteria. The first criterion was the extent to which the curriculum laboratory of the North Carolina Department of Community Colleges and Technical Institutes had developed curriculum objectives, curriculum outlines, course objectives, course outlines, and related materials for a particular curriculum. The second criterion was the extent to which these developed curricula had been



^{1. &}lt;u>Technical and Trade Curriculums Manual</u>, Curriculum Laboratory, N. C. Dept. of Community Colleges, Raleigh, N. C.

implemented throughout the system. The third criterion was gross system enrollment in a given curriculum. Using these criteria and considering the amount of work which could be accomplished with a given number of professional personnel and a fixed budget, seven curriculum areas, including two technologies and five trades, were selected for the development of achievement measures. The two technologies selected were (1) data processing and (2) electronics; the five trades were (1) air conditioning, heating, and refrigeration, (2) automotive mechanics, (3) electrical installation and maintenance, (4) machinist, and (5) radio and television servicing.

C. TYPE OF SAMPLE

Although, as noted above, the expertise of the North Carolina system was heavily relied upon, it was recognized by the staff that other states should be included in both the development of test instruments and the sampling of students. It was further recognized that two distinct approaches to occupational training prevail in the various Some states place great emphasis upon occupational training at the high school level through comprehensive high schools or vocationaltechnical high schools. Other states (particularly in the South) have not been successful in implementing such programs at the high school level and have turned to post high school institutions to accomplish occupational training. Finally, a subdifference in the post high school category exists in that some states have post high school technical institutes which offer only occupational training, whereas some states have comprehensive community colleges which also offer college transfer, general and basic adult education. Some states even combine both approaches.

It was decided by the project staff that each of the aforementioned approaches should be represented in the testing sample, but because of the heavy reliance upon post high school personnel, the prevalance of the post high school approach to occupational training in the South, and a limited budget, it was decided that the post high school approach would receive more emphasis. On this basis and for the initial testing effort conducted in the spring of 1967, all institutions offering curricula in which achievement measures were developed in both the North Carolina system of technical institutes and community colleges and the South Carolina system of technical education centers were asked to participate. Also, all technical colleges and vocational-technical high schools in Connecticut were asked to participate. Additionally, an institution in California, one in Colorado, one in Wisconsin, several in Georgia, one in Delaware, and institutions in three counties in New Jersey were asked to participate in the initial effort. These additional institutions represented both high school and post high school approaches to occupational training.

The institutions in California, Colorado, and Wisconsin declined to participate for various reasons. The Connecticut technical colleges agreed to participate, but the vocational-technical high schools declined on the grounds that they were already participating in a



similar testing effort being conducted by another university. On the basis of these contacts, the first year's testing included six states with the number of students and institutions indicated below:

State	Institutions	Students
Connecticut	4	187
Delaware	1	43
Georgia	1	77
New Jersey	3	121
North Carolina	31	778
South Carolina	9	378
Total		

For the second year's testing, all participants in the first year's effort were asked to participate. In addition, other institutions in Georgia, institutions in the Virginia community college system, and certain vocational-technical high schools in Pennsylvania were asked to participate. On this basis, the second year's testing sample was as follows:

State	Institutions	Students
Connecticut	4	170
Delaware	1	55
Georgia	10	341
New Jersey	2	80
North Carolina	32	696
Pennsylvania	2	138
South Carolina	<u>v</u>	473
Virginia	1	39



CHAPTER III

PROCEDURES

A. ADVISORY COMMITTEE

To insure that the overall program design and plans for its implementation were sound, and to facilitate communication among both educators and researchers concerned with the goals of the investigation, an advisory committee was formed. Members of this committee were selected specifically to represent both academic research interests and the interests of those more closely associated with the type of training that was the subject of the project investigations. The committee met periodically as a group during early stages of the research and individual members were consulted throughout the research on matters related to their field of specilization. Members of the committee were:

Durwin M. Hanson, Professor and Head, Department of Industrial Education, North Carolina State University

Joseph T. Nerden, Professor, Department of Industrial Education, North Carolina State University

Monroe C. Neff, Assistant Director, North Carolina Department of Community Colleges, Kead, Division of Vocational and Technical Education

Ivan E. Valentine, Assistant Director, North Carolina Department of Community Colleges, Head, Division of Vocational and Technical Education

Anthony J. Bevacqua, Coordinator of Curriculum Laboratory, North Carolina Department of Community Colleges

William H. Denton, Guidance Specialist, North Carolina Department of Community Colleges

Edward T. Brown, Supervisor of Research, North Carolina Department of Community Colleges

B. CONSULTANTS

In order to provide for a continuing assessment of the program by experts who were associated with neither the program nor the institution in which the research would be conducted, a panel of consultants was established. The panel consisted of authorities in the fields of psychology, education, and test development. Members of the panel provided individual consultation throughout the course of the project. The following individuals served as consultants on the project:



Dr. Rupert N. Evans, Dean, College of Education University of Illinois

Dr. Robert F. Lockman, American Psychological Association, Washington, D. C.

Dr. Norman E. Stander, Research Psychologist, American Public Health Service, New York

Dr. Jacob Stern, Associate Professor of Education Michigan State University $^{\rm l}$

Dr. Bert Westbrook, Associate Professor of Psychology, North Carolina State University

C. PROJECT STAFF

Project staff members were needed who had backgrounds in both a subject-matter area as well as psychological measurement of education. The various staff members were recruited from North Carolina State University and other educational institutions. All members of the final staff had a background in either psychology or education, and at least one member had a background in each of the seven curricula.

All staff members were provided an orientation to the various participating institutions and with the curriculum laboratory. These orientation meetings aided in developing a close working relationship between the institutions and provided insight into the curriculum development. Each staff member was thus made more familiar with the areas in which the project would be working and was better able to coordinate the various activities of the item-writing committees, test administration, etc.

D. ITEM-WRITING COMMITTEES

Item-writing committees were formed for each subject-matter area for which an achievement test was to be constructed. Each committee consisted of five or six subject-matter specialists and at least one member of the project staff at North Carolina State University who was familiar both with the subject matter and with the technical procedures of test development. These subject-matter specialists were instructors in several of the institutions in which testing was to be conducted, and were primarily from institutions in North Carolina. However, in some instances, instructors were included from institutions in other participating states to insure a broad perspective.

It was important to insure that the most recent concepts within each field were covered by the tests. Therefore, only instructors who were currently engaged in teaching a given subject were used as subject-matter specialists. The fact that these instructors also attended workshops and institutes in their subject area aided in the development of comprehensive and current test instruments.



Now at the University of Illinois

E. ANALYSIS OF CONTENT UNITS

Each of the instructors who was serving on an item-writing committee was visited, and the objectives and operating procedures of the research project were outlined. Using a curriculum developed by the North Carolina Curriculum Laboratory each instructor was asked to do a complete analysis of that portion of the curriculum that he considered his trade or technical specialty. When the analyses were completed, meetings were held with these instructors in each of the seven technical or trade curricula included in the project. One of the major purposes of these meetings was to arrive at a consensus concerning the curriculum analysis.

When a consensus was reached, an outline was designed to serve as a guide in the development of the achievement tests. The final outline in each curriculum was subdivided into as many different areas as the committee members felt necessary to represent what they considered to be independent areas of instruction. Each independent area was then further subdivided to detail the various elements of the curriculum contained therein. The breakdown was used to insure that items for each item-pool would be truly representative of all aspects of that curriculum.

F. WEIGHTING OF CONTENT UNITS

In developing achievement tests that were truly representative of the cognitive learning experience, it was recognized that the subject matter was covered in varying degrees. That is, a certain amount of time is allocated to cover each aspect of the curriculum and an assessment of the resulting learning would have to reflect this emphasis.

The members of each committee were asked to arrive at a mutually acceptable outline of the percentage of time they felt was devoted to each subdivision within the curriculum. This percentage allocation reflected the varying degrees of emphasis that were placed on teaching the different parts of the subject-matter area. Such an outline was used to develop a comprehensive pool of test items that was not only representative of the material covered, but also of the amount of time spent teaching each aspect of the material.

G. LEVELS OF ITEM COMPLEXITY

Aside from insuring that all phases of the curriculum would be examined, the need for a way of conceptualizing the objectives of the various programs in behavioral terms became increasingly apparent. At the most general level, such a conceptualization provided the educators with a means of focusing their attention on the psychological processes used by the students to learn the materal in each curriculum.

The Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, The Classification of Educational Goals, Handbook I: Cognitive Domain (Bloom, et al.) was



examined since it represents the most elaborate attempt to use this basic approach. The project staff spent considerable time attempting to apply this system of classification to the curricula under study. It was found that considerable confusion existed among the industrial education teachers who were not familiar enough with education theory to use this system. It was therefore concluded that such a broad conceptulization of behavior was not a useful tool to provide subject-matter specialists.

A system that was more easily understood and insured a coverage of the levels of cognitive functioning appropriate for the students to be tested, was therefore developed. It evolved from a modification of Bloom's Taxonomy and consisted of four levels of classification. These four levels are: Knowledge, Understanding, Application of Knowledge, and Application of Understanding. Operational definitions of these four levels were developed for use and are presented below.

Knowledge Items of a test which measure knowledge require the repetition of responses that have been or should have been practiced in learning experiences prior to the time of taking the test. After learning, memory is the major requisite to correct performance.

Understanding Items of a test which measure understanding require responses in addition to those previously practiced and learned. The additional responses are likely to be interpretations, translations, summarizations, analyses, detection of similarities, detection of differences, etc. Items at this level do not set tasks which require solutions other than explorations in meaning.

Application of Knowledge Items of a test which measure application of knowledge require the use of previously learned responses in the solution of problems set by the items. At this level the problems are not new having been experienced by the testee before to the extent that responses that are necessary to find solutions are more or less routine.

Application of Understanding Solutions to problems in application of understanding require responses of the understanding level. At least one element of the problem is new to the testee. The newness might appear in either the conditions of the problem or in the solution required; that is, a new, novel solution might be required.

The various committee members met collectively several times to insure that all concerned with writing test items were in complete understanding of this classification system. Thus, when items were being written the instructors were aware that for each category on the curriculum outline the possibility existed that learning could be assessed at four conceptual levels.



H. ITEM GENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT

When they were well acquainted with the behavioral taxonomy that was to be used, the various curriculum item-writing committees met to outline the procedures for building a test-item pool. Since each instructor had one or more areas which was considered his specialty, it was decided that the best approach was to have each man write actual test items which they felt would adequately measure the student's acquisition of all relevant material in that curriculum area.

As the items were developed they were sent to the project staff. The items were then edited and evaluated in terms of grammatical correctness, format consistency, and adherence to accepted principles of test construction. The instructors wrote items in proportion to the degree of emphasis placed on the various subdivisions as agreed upon by the committees.

The item pool for each curriculum area was reproduced in booklet form to aid in evaluation. The various committees met periodically during the first year to review the items with regard to both their adequacy in attempting to measure student learning and to decide at which level on the taxonomic hierarchy each item should be placed. Many such meetings occurred as the various item pools began approaching six to seven hundred items each.

Each item was examined and discussed by the committee members. Only those that appeared to be satisfactory were actually chosen for inclusion in the experimental forms of the tests. In most instances, the final pool of acceptable items for each curriculum area totaled over six hundred.

I. DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

With a pool of items constructed to represent each topic into which each curriculum had been divided, and at the same time to be representative of the four different levels of learning agreed upon by the staff, the compilation of the tests was begun. In order to examine a maximum number of items, it was decided that two experimental forms of each test would be developed.

The various item-writing committees compiled two separate tests from the pool of acceptable items. Aside from insuring that the tests reflected approximately the degree of emphasis depicted in the test specifications, special attention was devoted to the aspect of cognitive behavior measurement. That is, each content category of the specifications received approximately the same number of knowledge items, understanding items, etc. In this way each of the forms was made the parallel of the other.

Tests in the two technologies and in the five trade areas were developed, reproduced, assembled, and made ready for administration. In



each of the seven curricula, with the exception of air conditioning, heating and refrigeration, two forms of each paper and pencil test were developed. After much consideration, it was the opinion of the staff that in the air conditioning, heating and refrigeration curriculum insufficient test material was available to develop two forms of the test. Therefore, all efforts in that curriculum were devoted to the development of one form of the test.

J. USE OF REFERENCE TESTS

Much thought and discussion was directed toward the best methodology for the evaluation of data gathered during the administration of the experimental achievement tests. It was decided that a complete item analysis for each item on each test should be done. This analysis included an evaluation of an item's relationship to the total score of the test, the total score on the subtest to which it belonged, and to all other sustests on the test. Item difficulty and effectiveness of distractors was also examined. A factor analysis was also done on each test in order to determine the actual number of factors being measured. In order to identify the factors isolated through factor analysis, it was decided that several short tests which measure relatively pure factors of intelligence should be administered along with the achievement tests. A thorough review of tests available led to the selection of a battery of research instruments titled "Reference Tests for Cognitive Factors." These tests were developed by Educational Testing Service in cooperation with the U. S. Office of Naval Research. Some of the most reputable people in testing research participated in the development of the reference kit which was designed for use in research studies. Each test in the kit was designed to be as pure as possible a measure of a single factor in the cognitive area. The kit contained tests which measured twenty-four different cognitive factors, and the tests were relatively short, ranging from three to twenty minutes in length. The project staff, in consultation with subject-matter specialists and other consultants, selected from five to eight of these tests for administration with each of our achievement tests. Tests were selected based upon their expert judgment that the cognitive factors measured by the respective tests contributed to achievement in a given trade or technology.

K. TEST ADMINISTRATION

With the completion of the selection of various reference tests, and with commitments from a number of institutions agreeing to participate, plans were finalized for the initial test administration. A schedule was set up which allowed for two days to be spent at each school. This was necessary since the total testing time, i.e., achievement tests and reference tests, was six hours. Three hours were scheduled for each day.

In the spring of 1967 administration was devoted almost exclusively to the electronics technology and data processing curricula



as the termination of two-year programs in these areas occurred at this time. Samples from the various states ranged from a very small percentage of the testable population to practically the entire testable population.

The following procedure was used in administering the tests. Each curriculum area had two forms of the achievement test and several selected reference tests to be given. Half of the sample to be tested at each institution received form A and the other half form B. The achievement tests were designed such that approximately half the test was given on one day and the remainder on the next. Students also took the reference tests, which were given in a specified sequence, for each curriculum. This equence was the rank order of judged importance of the reference tests for the particular curriculum. In that way, if for some reason the administration of all reference tests was impossible at a certain institution, at least those reference tests given would be the ones deemed most important.

In certain instances, it was found that some state's institutions were also having their trade curricula graduating at the end of the spring quarter. Therefore, provisions were made to examine these students at the same time that technology testing was being accomplished. Most of the spring trade testing was conducted in vocational-technical high schools in states which have separate post high school institutions for technical training.

Scheduling for the majority of the trade testing was during the summer months. The vast majority of trade training in North and South Carolina is done on a four quarter, one year, post high school basis. Students enter in the fall and are graduated in late August.

Test administration in the five trade areas was scheduled and conducted in much the same way as was testing in the two technologies. Two days were allotted us by each participating institution, with three hours each day being devoted to the testing program. Both the achievement tests and various reference tests for each curricula were administered; however, vocational students in many participating institutions were also scheduled to take various performance tests which were given at a different time.

A number of institutions were able to schedule an additional three hours of testing time for the administration of the performance tests. These tests, which are described in detail in the Appendix, attempted to measure directly the level of noncognitive performance attained. The behaviors measured were psychomotor or perceptual behaviors which were thought to contribute to a student's ability to perform the job for which he was being trained.

In order to insure that the administration of these tests was the same for everyone sampled, testing teams were organized and staff members were assigned to one or more of the performance tests. The test administrators were thus able to become very familiar with the test(s) assigned them, insuring that subjects received the tests under standardized conditions.



Some of the more complex performance tests, i.e., automotive electric and diagnostic scope tests, the radio-television diagnostic test, etc., were administered by individuals who were technically competent in the area. This proved valuable in that the testing devices were not always in good operative condition due to the many miles that they had to be transported. Most of the time, a technical adjustment would render the set operative again.

The performance tests were well received by both instructors and students. The latter were especially interested in the "doing" aspect of these tests in that they were able to "work with their hands."

L. EXPERIMENTAL TEST ANALYSIS

The primary objective of this research was to develop tests which were representative samplings of essential materials of the course of instruction. The relationship between test performance and an external criterion of performance was not therefore a major comsideration. As is true of most achievement tests, the appropriate concept for validity in this project was content validity and this was insured by the use of experts in the field of instruction as described earlier.

All experimental pencil and paper achievement test scoring was performed on an IBM-1230 test scoring machine, but all the reference tests and performance tests required hand scoring. Since each student generated from five to ten tests that required hand scoring, it is conservatively estimated that over ten thousand tests were hand scoring.

Analysis of the 'ta generated by the testing program was done by computer. Computer analysis of the experimental tests included means and standard deviations for all subtests, all performance tests, and all reference tests. Split half reliabilities, item difficulty, biserial correlations for each item, response count for each distractor both above and below the mean were provided. Output also included subtest intercorrelations for all subtests, performance, and reference tests. As this output was received, correlation matrices were computed and factor analyzed. Test revisions were based on all this information.

With the completion of the computer analysis, results were made available to each of the participating institutions. The information presented included:

- 1. A raw score and a percentile score for each student by subtest.
- 2. An institution raw score mean and percentile mean for each subtest.
- 3. Raw score means and standard deviations for the entire sample.



- 4. Raw score and percentile means, by subtest, for each participating state.
- 5. A profile chart which depicted the institution's percentille standing by subject.
- 6. An outline covering the test or tests taken by the satisficates in a particular institution.

All of the information was provided for both Form A or B. Allso involution were the results of the performance tests, with each subject is results based upon the entire sample that took a particular test. Redishibilities of all of the achievement subtests, reference tests, and performance tests were also made available.

M. TEST REVISION

Based upon the analyses discussed above, selection off items for inclusion in the final form of each test was begun. Several members of the original item-writing committees were invited to participate in the revision of the test for each curriculum.

In general, items were selected that had high bisarial ordereficients and that had moderate difficulty indeces. An item difficulty of from .30 to .70 means that from 30 to 70 per cent of these situation was answering the item got it correct. The most preferable situation was to have high biserial correlations with difficulty indeces in the moderate rather than extreme range.

Most of the committees discovered that there were emough literas to meet these criteria so that additional new items were mut required. In some cases a distractor had to be rewritten, or the stem off a questilian reworded, but the majority of acceptable items required no revision.

The committee working on the machinist test decided to have prometate the Safety subtest into the other subtests, selecting those litems that were acceptable and applying them to the other content subtests. This procedure was also followed by the automotive committee.

The committee revising the air conditioning, theating and refinigeration test decided to include those acceptable items ffrom the
Fundamentals subtest in the other subtests where applicable. The radiotelevision committee decided to reduce the number of subtests from nine
to seven, incorporating several concept areas into broader of assistivations.

The area that underwent the most extensive revision was dista processing. Administration of the data processing achievement thesiss revealed several preliminary weaknesses of the instruments. Riest, the test used FORTRAN as the major programming language. Administration of the test in the various states revealed that some institutions uses



COBOL as the primary language. Another weakness noted was that the emphasis upon accounting was insufficient for the business majors while the emphasis upon mathematics was too heavy for them but appropriate for the scientific majors. To remedy these weaknesses, a committee of data processing instructors from the various states sampled was formed. This committee had representatives from Connecticut, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina technical education programs, and one member who was a computer programmer at the North Carolina State University Computing Center. It was the recommendation of this committee that separate subtests for business and scientific options be developed for mathematics and accounting and that subtests in both the FORTRAN and COBOL computer languages be developed to give each participating institution a language option. These suggestions were accepted, and a major revision based on these recommendations was undertaken.

All of the revised achievement tests were designed for a four-hour administration. Reference tests were not administered as part of the testing in the second year.

N. STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Results of a number of statistical analyses are reported in subsequent chapters for each test developed under this program. The following is a brief explanation of the statistical results reported and is provided so that the reader who is unfamiliar with them can interpret the results. The following references are also provided and will permit the reader to inquire in greater detail into the several concepts discussed.

Ghiselli, Edwin B. Theory of Psychological Measurement, McGraw-Hill, New York: 1964

Guilford, J. P. Psychometric Methods, McGraw-Hill, New York: 1954

Harman, Harry H. Modern Factor Analysis, University of Chicago Press, Chicago: 1967

Reliability The reliability of a test is an index of the consistency with which the test measures whatever it measures. In other words, will the test give the same results if used more than once? Yest reliability is usually expressed as a reliability (correlation) coefficient which can range from 0.0 to 1.0. Zero indicates that the test is totally unreliable and gives entirely different results on repeated use. A coefficient of 1.0 indicates that the test is perfectly reliable and gives exactly the same results on repeated use.

Among the most common procedures for estimating the reliability of a test are "test-retest," the "alternate forms," and the "internal consistency" method. All three methods rely on deriving two sets of scores from the same test administered to the same subjects for the purpose of obtaining a reliability coefficient. The "test-retest" method arrives at two scores by testing the subjects on two different



occasions. The score on the first administration of the test is correlated with the score on the second administration. Two parallel forms of the same test are administered to the subjects when the "alternate forms" method is used. Scores on these tests are then correlated. The "internal consistency" method relies on one administration of one form of the test and is thus economical in terms of administering time. The Kuder-Richardson method of internal consistency was used in this project since the assumptions underlying this method could be met easily. This method, which is really a series of formulas which vary in terms of the assumptions that can be made about the data, is based on the internal consistency of the test items. This approach allows the reliability estimate to be based on item statistics and at the same time hold computation to a minimum.

Correlation Analysis The correlation between two variables (e.g., test scores or item scores) is a measure of the correlationship between them. The correlation is expressed as a coefficient ranging from -1.0 to +1.0. A coefficient of -1.0 signifies a perfect negative correlation and exists when two variables are inversly proportional, i.e., when the value of one variable increases, the value of the other decreases proportionally. A coefficient of +1.0 indicates a perfect positive correlation and exists when two variables are directly proportional, i.e., when one variable increases, the other increases proportionally. Zero correlation exists when there is no relation between the two variables.

Correlation coefficients are often grouped together in a matrix which presents all possible intercorrelations between the several variables. Usually only one-half of the matrix is presented since the correlation between variable X and variable Y is equal to that between variable Y and variable X. Elements on the main diagonal are sometimes omitted as the correlation of a variable with itself is +1.0.

Factor Analysis The correlation matrix is the first step in factor analysis. Once a correlation matrix has been computed, it is sometimes desirable to investigate the underlying dimensions which the variables in the matrix have in common. Often the variables may overlap with two or more variables measuring the same attribute while others may be measuring attributes which are entirely separate. The purpose of factor analysis is to break down the correlation matrix into the separate dimensions or factors which represent the attributes measured by the original variables.

The factor matrix is composed of rows equal to the number of variables and columns equal to the number of extracted factors. The coefficients or factor loadings range from -1.0 to +1.0 and represent the correlation between the variable and the particular factor. The variables can be identified with the factors by inspecting the factor loadings. All variables with large loadings on a factor can be identified as measuring the attribute represented by the factor in question. The communality, (h²), is an indication of the proportion



of variance which a given variable has in common with other tests in the battery.

Skewness and Kurtosis The normal frequency distribution of scores is one which is symetrical with most scores falling in the middle of the scale around the mean. Fewer and fewer scores are found as the distance from the central point is increased with very few extremely low and high scores. A skewed distribution is one in which the highest frequency of scores is not in the center of the distribution but lies to the right or left. This type of distribution could result from an overly-easy or difficult test where most individuals received either high or low scores. The degree of skewness is expressed as coefficient of skewness. A coefficient of zero represents a symetrical distribution. Positive coefficients indicate that the highest frequency of scores fall in the lower range to the left of the central region of the scale. Negative coefficients indicate that the largest frequency of scores fall in the upper region of the scale.

Kurtosis refers to the degree of "flatness" of the frequency distribution. Flatness results when the scores are spread out evenly over the entire range. When a distribution is "flatter" than normal it is termed platykurtic. A leptokurtic frequency distribution, on the other hand, is one in which the scores tend to pile up in one region of the scale resulting in a more "peaked" curve than normal. The coefficient of kurtosis ranges from high positive values, indication a leptokurtic distribution, to high negative values representing a platykurtic distribution. A normal distribution has a coefficient of kurtosis equal to zero.

Norms Test scores have more meaning if they are referenced to the distribution of scores earned by a number of individuals. This referencing is accomplished by sampling the population of individuals for which the test was prepared. Test scores from this sample are analyzed and the mean, or measure of central tendency, and variance or spread of the scores, are computed. An individual's test score can then be compared to the results of this information. The adequacy of the norms is dependent on the number of cases involved and the representativeness of the sample to the total population selected.

Test scores that are referenced to a distribution of scores are usually reported in percentile rank or standard scores. The percentile rank of a score is the percentage of persons in the reference group who earn lower scores. Therefore, if a person falls at the 70th percentile, he has scored better than 70 per cent of the people taking the test.

Standard scores are based on mathematical characteristics of the distribution--the mean and standard deviation. The mean of the distribution is a measure of the central tendency and is equal to the sum of the individual scores divided by the number of scores. The standard deviation of a distribution is a measure of the spread of



scores about the mean. A distribution, therefore, can be described by its mean and standard deviation. Raw scores can be transformed to a new distribution with a known mean and standard deviation. One subtransformed distribution has a mean of 500 and a standard deviation of 100. It is this distribution that was used for tests reported in this report.

CHAPTER IV

DATA PROCESSING

A. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

Tables IV-1 through IV-5 present test specifications, descriptions of the sample and detailed psychometric characteristics for the experimental Data Processing tests. Table IV-1, Test Specifications, presents the breakdown of course content which the item-writing committee developed. This table indicates the number of items considered necessary to sample each content area and also reflects the level of cognition necessary to master the particular content, i.e., knowledge, understanding, application of knowledge, or application of understanding. It should be noted that the number of items in both the experimental and final tests do not necessarily correspond to those in the table. The same relative emphasis was maintained in both forms of the test however. It should also be noted that subtests do not always correspond to major headings of the outline since other considerations were taken into account in grouping items into subtests.

Samples from the various states, reflected in Table IV-2, range from a very small percentage of the testable population to practically the entire testable population. In the states of Connecticut, North Carolina, a i South Carolina practically all students scheduled to graduate at the end of the spring quarter or semester were tested. In North Carolina two of the four institutions offering Data Processing graduated their students at the end of the winter quarter. Since the achievement tests were not ready for administration at that time, over half of the testable sample was lost in that state.

From Table IV-3 it can be seen that reliabilities of experimental subtests range from .54 to .79. Tables IV-4 and IV-5 present the intercorrelations and factor analysis results for form A and B respectively. It can be seen that factor 1, which accounts for 27 per cent of the common variance, explains most of the correlation among the achievement subtests. Subtest 1, Systems Concepts, and Subtest II, Applications, have high loadings on factor 1 while Subtest III, Mathematics and Statistics, and the Ship Destination and Symbol Production reference test, have moderate loadings on this factor. Subtest III and IV have their highest loadings on factor 4 and none of the reference tests have high loadings on this factor. The distinction between factors 1 and 4 appears to be that factor 1 is more related to the logic involved in data processing while factor 4 is more quantitative in nature, although this is not supported as strongly by reference test loadings as would be expected.

The factor analysis of form B shows that factor 1 does not measure achievement in Data Processing. Factor 2, which accounts for



approximately 22 per cent of the variance, appears to explain most of the correlation among the achievement subtests. This factor appears to be the same as factor 1 for form A. None of the reference tests load on factor 2. Factor 4, which accounts for 16 per cent of the variance, again appears to be a quantitative factor with a high loading on Subtest IV, Accounting, and high loadings on the reference test Subtraction-Multiplication and Number Comparison. The two analyses agree fairly closely, the major difference being that Subtest III has its highest loading on the numerical factor in form A whereas it has its highest loading on the logic factor in form B.

B. FINAL TEST

The description of the sample for the Data Processing-Scientific and Business tests are presented in Tables IV-6 and IV-7. Psychometric characteristics of these tests are presented in Tables IV-8 through IV-11. It can be seen from Table IV-8 that the Data Processing-Scientific subtests have reliabilities ranging from .62 to .95, with a total test reliability of .97. It can also be seen from this table that skewness is negligible for all the subtests, although Subtest II and the total test are somewhat platykurtic. From the intercorrelations in Table IV-9 it can be seen that the tests share about the same degree of common variance and only one common factor appears to be measured by those subtests. (Factor analyses were not computed for final tests since no reference tests were administered.)

It can be seen from Table IV-10 that reliabilities for the Data Processing-Business range from .66 to .88 for subtests with a total test reliability of .92. It can also be seen that Subtest II, Programming Language, is negatively skewed and considerably leptokurtic, as is the total test. A comparison of Table IV-11 with Table IV-9 shows that the intercorrelations among the subtests for Data Processing-Business are more heterogeneous than for Data Processing-Scientific. For example, the relationship between achievement on Subtest I and III for Business students was not nearly as strong as it was for Scientific students.

Norms for converting raw scores to percentiles, and standard scores with a mean of 500 and a standard deviation of 100, are presented in Table IV-12 for subtests and Table IV-13 for total test scores for Data Processing-Business, and in Tables IV-14 and IV-15 for Data Processing-Scientific.



Table IV-1 DATA PROCESSING Test Specifications

		CURRICULUM CONTENT AREA	K	U	A _k	A _u
ı.	DAT	A PROCESSING SYSTEMS CONCEPTS	-			
	Α.	Computer language and program aids				
		1. FORTRAN	6	2	3	
		2. COBOL	3	_	•	
		3. Symbolic	4	4		
		4. Programming aids	3	i	1	
		5. Machine language	ĭ	4	•	
	В.	Unit record	12			
		Input/output	8	9 5		
	C.		6	í		
		 Tape (paper and mag) Card 	11	1		
			1.0	,	•	
		3. Printer		4	1	
		4. Magnetic ink character recognition	3			
	_	5. Optic devices	1	1		
	D.	Memory	3	5		
		1. Core	1	1		
		2. Disc	1	1	·	,
		3. Drum		1		
	E.	Computer logic	1	3		
		 High/low, equal, compare 	2	1		
		2. Sense switch				
	F.	Arithmetic unit	2			
		1. Tables				
		2. Registers	1			
	G.	History and development	7			
! •	MATHE	MATICS				
	A.	Algebra				
		1. Basic algebra		12	1	
		2. Linear equations		6	3	
		3. Matrix theory	3	3	4	
		4. Quadratics	ī	_	3	2
	В.	Numbering systems	_		•	_
	٠.	1. Conversion (current systems)	1		7	3
		2. Operations	-		7	
	c.	Statistics			•	
	0.	1. Measurement of central tendency				
			2	•		
		and dispersion	2 1	2		
		2. Correlation	1	2		
		 Test of hypothesis (X², t-test, ANOV) 		1	3	
	D.	Logical math				
		1. Boolean expressions			1	2
					2	
		2. Logic diagrams and circuits			_	
				1	•	ĭ
		3. Truth tables		1 2	_	1 2
	E. F.		2	1 2 1	5 1	3 1 2 2



			K	ប	A _k	A _u
III.	APP	LICATIONS				
	A.		1	1		
		1. Payroll		1	1	
		Sub-ledgers (AR&P, inventory	2	8		
	В.	Scientific Management	1			
		1. Linear programming and critical path	1		8	2
		2. Real-time	1		1	
		3. Tele-processing				
		4. Multi-processing				
	С.		13	8	1	1
		1. Data analysis		4	7	10
		2. Probability		8	12	6
	D.			2		
		1. Total system concept		1	1	
		2. Feasibility study				
		3. Decision tables	1	2		
IV.	ACC	OUNTING				
	A.	Cost accounting				
		 Cost systems-job, process and 				
		standard	3			
		 Elements of cost - material, 				
		labor, and overhead		6		
		3. Inventories - FIFO, LIFO, and average	2			
		4. Variance analysis		1	1	
	В.	Corporation accounting				
		1. Financial statements - balance sheet,				
		P & L, and capital	3	3		
		2. Assets - ledger accounts	2			
		3. Liabilities - ledger accounts		1		
		4. Capital - ledger accounts	4	3		
		5. Ratios - ledger accounts	1			
	C.	•				
		1. Accounting equation - A = L+P	2			
		2. Accounting cycle	_	_		
		a. Account	3	2		
		b. Trial balance	_	_		
		c. Journal and ledgers	1	1		
	_	d. Periodic summary	1	3		
	D.		_			
		1. Youchet system	1			
		2. Payroll				
		3. Taxes				
		4. Capital structure - partnership	_		_	
		vs corporation	2	1	2	

Table IV-2

DATA PROCESSING First Year

Description of Sample

State	No. of Institutions	No. of Students
Connecticut	4	73
Georgia	1	16
North Carolina	17	44
South Carolina	6	46
	28	179

Table IY-3

DATA PROCESSING Experimental Tests

Description and Reliabilities

	Subtest	Time Limit (min)	Form A	Form B	Form A	Form B
1	Data Processing System	s-				-
	Concepts	50	60	60	.73	.79
11	Data Processing System	5-				
	Applications	90	60	60	.72	.79
III	Mathematics and Statis	tics 75	50	50	.76	.65
IV	Accounting	25	30	30	.65	.54
	Total	240	<u>30</u> 200	<u>30</u> 200		



Table IV-4
INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR
DAIA PROCESSING

Experimental Test - Form A

Reference Test Factor Loadings A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S			1		Intercorrelations	orrela	lons		1							
RA RC II RB Sh 1 2 3 4 18 23 -03 18 21 21 72 27 -16 25 10 04 15 04 21 25 78 -08 22 03 13 25 10 29 32 21 42 26 -01 60 16 25 13 18 03 34 14 11 22 78 13 34 -04 45 22 12 41 64 -12 -09 13 34 11 26 34 00 68 19	Subtest	test					Refe		Test			•	Sactor	Load	8	
18 23 -03 18 21 21 25 78 -16 25 10 04 15 04 21 25 78 -08 22 03 12 25 10 29 32 21 42 26 -01 60 16 25 13 18 03 34 14 11 22 78 13 34 22 12 41 64 -12 -09 13 45 34 11 26 34 00 68 19 14 45 34 11 -03 80 09 18 15 11 26 36 -09 13 87 02 16 45 34 11 -09 13 18 17 24 12 -09 13 18 18 45 34 11 00 88 25 18 47 36 22 25 27.5 27.5	ачь 111 11 1	A1	Αī		ачѕ	HS	МВЛ	ЯС	lnī	ME	4S		2	3	1 1	h ²
10 04 15 04 21 25 78 -08 22 03 12 25 10 29 32 21 42 26 -01 60 13 34 -04 45 22 12 41 64 -12 -09 - 02 46 15 11 26 34 00 68 19 - 18 45 34 11 -03 80 09 18 - 19 24 08 -09 13 87 02 - 24 12 -01 79 13 18 - 25 33 47 36 -42 - 44 04 28 25 Cum Z Var	39 46 27 3	46 27 3	27 3	m	9	18	23	-03	18	21	21	72	27	-16	25	89
25 10 29 32 21 42 26 -01 60 26 25 13 18 03 34 14 11 22 78 29 34 -04 45 22 12 41 64 -12 -09 20 46 15 11 26 34 00 68 19 20 46 15 11 26 34 00 68 19 21 20 08 -09 13 87 02 22 25 33 47 36 -42 24 12 -01 79 13 18 25 27.5 29.6 22.9 20.0 2 Very Z Very 27.5 29.6 22.9 20.0 2 Cum Z 27.5 27.5 27.1 80.0 100.0	- 32 19 18	32 19 18	19 18	18	•	8	70	15	8	21	25	78	89	22	03	99
15 13 18 03 34 14 11 22 78 23 34 -04 45 22 12 41 64 -12 -09 - 02 46 15 11 26 34 00 68 19 - 18 45 34 11 -03 80 09 18 - 19 24 08 -09 13 87 02 - 24 12 -01 79 13 18 - 24 12 -01 79 13 18 - 25 33 47 36 -42 - 25 33 47 36 -42 - 25 27.5 27.5 57.1 80.0 100.0	- 34 23	- 34 23	34 23	23		23	22	10	53	32	21	77	5 6	- 10	9	09
13 34 -04 45 22 12 41 64 -12 -09 - 02 46 15 11 26 34 00 68 19 - 18 45 34 11 -03 80 09 18 - 19 24 08 -09 13 87 02 - 24 12 -01 79 13 18 - 25 33 47 36 -42 - 25 33 47 36 -42 - 25 33 47 36 25 - 244 04 28 25 - 27.5 57.1 80.0 100.0	3	70	8	8		5 8	25	13	18	03	ጵ	14	Ħ	22	78	69
02 46 15 11 26 34 00 68 19 18 45 34 11 -03 80 09 18 19 24 08 -09 13 87 02 24 12 -01 79 13 18 25 33 47 36 -42 25 33 47 36 25 44 04 28 25 \text{Z Var} \text{Z Var} \text{Z Var} \text{Z V3.5 29.6 22.9 20.0}{27.5 27.5 27.5 27.1 80.0 100.0}	1	l	1	1		23	35	7	45	22	12	41	79	-12	60-	9
18 45 34 11 -03 80 09 18 19 24 08 -09 13 87 02 24 12 -01 79 13 18 25 33 47 36 -42 44 04 28 25 \text{Z. Var.} \text{Z7.5 29.6 22.9 20.0}{27.5 57.1 80.0 100.0}						ļ	03	94	21	11	5 6	34	8	89	16	19
19 24 08 -09 13 87 02 - 24 12 -01 79 13 18 25 33 47 36 -42 44 04 28 25 Z Var 27.5 29.6 22.9 20.0 Cum Z 27.5 57.1 80.0 100.0							1	18	45	*	ជ	-03	80	60	18	89
24 12 -01 79 13 18 25 33 47 36 -42 44 04 28 25 z var 27.5 29.6 22.9 20.0 cum z 27.5 57.1 80.0 100.0								ł	19	77	80	60-	13	87	05	78
25 33 47 36 -42 - 44 04 28 25 Z Var 27.5 29.6 22.9 20.0 Cum Z 27.5 57.1 80.0 100.0									1	77	12	-01	79	13	18	36
27.5 29.6 22.9 20.0 27.5 57.1 80.0 100.0										l	25	33	47	36	-42	63
27.5 29.6 22.9 27.5 57.1 80.0 1											ı	77	70	28	25	34
											Z Var Cun Z	27.5	29.6 57.1		20.0	

n = 81 Decimals omitted

Table IV-5
INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR

DATA PROCESSING Experimental Test - Form B

		h ² .	70 71 72 74 73 73 36	
	-	4	. 68 . 68 . 68 . 68 . 68 . 68 . 68 . 6	16.5
	oadings	3	121 122 133 134 135 136 137 138	19.8 83.5
	Factor Loadings	2	1, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4,	21.7 63.7
	<u>A</u>		23 25 25 32 5 32 5 32 5 32 5 32 5 32 5	42.0
	ĺ	4S	1 31186888619910	Z VAC. Cum. Z
		МE	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
	ests	JuI	1 8 6 3 3 8 4 4 4 5 6 3 8	
	Reterence Tests	ИС	នដូវខ្លួននេះ ទ	
ns	Keter	МВЛ	18818831	
elations		нs	119 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 119	
Intercorr		ачѕ	101111111111111111111111111111111111111	
Int	1	Λī	12 22 1	
	182	111	. 32.9	
	Subtest	11	74	
		1	1	
			III IIII IV SAD SAD INC INC INC	
		•	27	

n - 82 Decimals omitted

Table IV-6

DATA PROCESSING - BUSINESS Second Year

Description of Sample

State	No. of Institutions	No. of Students
Connecticut	2	112
Georgia	1	11:8
South Carolina	7	483
	7	773

Table IV-7

DATA PROCESSING -SCIENTIFIC Second Year

Description of Sample

State	No. of Institutions	No. of Atalents
Connecticut	4	586
North Carolina	2	332
Pennsylvania	2	336
	8	1124



ERIC

Table IV-8 DATA PROCESSING-SCIENTIFIC

Psychometric Characteristics Final Test

	Subtest	No. of Items	Time Limit	Reliability K-R (14)	Skewness	Kurtosis
I III IV V	Data Processing Systems Fundamentals Programming Lang. Appl. FORTRAN Statistics Mathematics Accounting - General Total test	70 70 70 70 70 70 70	60 min 60 min 30 min 60 min 30 min	91 62 88 81 95	-21 -07 -21 -21 -21 -21	-81 -1.35 -55 -24 -1.12

Table IV-9

DATA PROCESSING-SCIENTIFIC

Subtest Intercorrelations Final Test

111 11 1 1 09	11 IV V 54 69 56 50 68 32 - 70 53
[] [11 80 -

Table IV-10

DATA PROCESSING-BUSINESS

Psychometric Characteristics

Final Test

	Subtest	No. of Items	Time Limit	Reliability K-R (14)	Skewness	Kurtosis
Н	Data Processing Systems Fundamentals	70		73	-22	60
H	Programming Lang. Appl. COBOL	09	60 min	88	-1.50	3.97
III		25		99	34	-24
Ν	Mathematics	25		29	24	-28
Δ	Accounting - General	40		75	-14	70
ΛI	Accounting - Cost	99	-	7.1	-12	-07
	Total test			92	-52	1.96

Table IV-11

DATA PROCESSING-BUSINESS

Subtest Intercorrelations Final Test

Subtest	н	II	III	ΙΛ	۵	IA	TOTAL*
I III III IV V VI		55	35	75 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	37 62 29 11	37 95 95 1 33	25 21 24 21 21

*Part-whole correlations Decimals omitted

Table 1V-12

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

DATA PROCESSING - BUSINESS SUBTESTS

		1		2		3		4		5		6
Raw	71le	Std.	Zile	इस्त.	*4*:	Std.	•41	Std.	•	Std.	•41	Std.
Score	X116	Score	4116	Score	711e	Score	Xile	Score	Ziie	Score	Xile	Score
55	99	690										
54	99	682	99	667								
53	99	674	99	662								
52 51	99 99	667 659	99 97	656 651								
50	97	651	97	646								
49	96	644	96	640								
48	95	636	96	635								
47	93	628	96	629								
46	90	620	95	624								
45	88	613	92	619								
44	85	605	89	613								
43	82	597	85	608								
42	79	589 582	79	602								
41 40	75 71	574	78 75	597 591								
39	63	566	74	586								
38	63	558	71	581								
37	60	551	68	575								
36	55	543	64	570								
35	55	535	62	564					99	772		
34	53	528	58	559					99	758		
33	51	520	56	554					97	745		
32	49	512	55	548					97	731		
31	48	504	53	543					97	747		
30	47	497	52	537					97	703		
29 28	45 44	489 481	49	532					97	689		
27	42	473	49 48	527 521					97 97	676 662		
26	42	466	48	516					96	648		
25	42	458	47	510					95	634		
24	41	450	45	505					88	620		
23	41	442	45	500					86	607		
22	40	435	45	494					78	593		
21	37	427	45	489					75	579		
20	34	419	45	483					71	565		
19	34	412	45	478					70	551	99 98	740
18 17	27	404 396	45	473					67 64	538	97	716
16	27 23	388	4 5 45	467 462			99	814	59	524 510	97	693 690
15	15	381	45	456	99	848	99	782	56	496	93	647
14	8	373	45	451	99	810	99	749	52	482	93	624
13	8	365	45	445	99	773	97	716	48	469	88	600
12	7	357	45	440	97	735	96	683	41	455	77	577
11	4	350	45	435	96	697	92	650	37	441	72	554
10 9	1	342	45	429	94	659	89	618	33	427	60	531
Ģ			45	424	93	621	86	585	26	413	58	508
8			44	418	89	584	78	552	19	400	53 42	485 461
7 6			42 41	413 408	77 66	546 508	68 60	519 487	11 7	386 372	37	438
5			38	408	55	470	47	454	7	358	27	415
í			34	397	28	432	25	421	4	344	25	392
3			26	391	17	395	14	388	3	331	12	369
2			14	386	8	357	. 7	355	_	-	3	345
1			1	381	3	319	´ 3	323			2	322



Table IV-13

RAW SCORE CONVERSION

DATA PROCESSING - BUSINESS TOTAL TEST

Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score
193	99	754	140	92	626	87	47	498	34	3	370
192	99	751	139	92	623	86	47	495	33	3	367
191	99	749	138	92	621	85	47	493	32	i	365
190	99	747	137	92	619	84	47	490		•	303
189	99	744	136	90	616	83	45	488			
183	99	742	135	88	614	82	44	486			
187	99	739	134	86	611	81	44	483			
186	99	737	133	86	609	80	44	481			
185	99	735	132	86	606	79	44	478			
184	99	732	131	85	604	78	44	476			
183	99	730	130	85	602	77	44	474			
182	99	727	129	85	599	76	44	471			
181	99	725	128	82	597	75	44	469			
180	99	722	127	81	594	74	44	466			
179	99	720	126	79	592	73	44	464			
178	99	718	125	78	590	72	44	461			
177	99	715	124	77	587	71	44	459			
176	99	713	123	74	585	70	44	457			
175	99	710	122	73	582	69	44	454			
174 173	99	708	121	71	580	68	44	452			
	99	706	120	70	577	67	44	449			
172	99	703	119	68	575	66	44	447			
171 170	99 99	701 698	118	68	573	65	44	445			
169	99	696	117	67 67	570	64	44	442			
168	99	693	116	64	568	63	44	440			
167	99	691	115 114	61	56 \$ 563	62 61	44 44	437 435			
166	99	689	113	64	561	60	42	432			
165	99	686	112	62	558	59	42	430			
164	99	684	111	62	5 5 6	58	41	428			
163	99	681	110	60	553	57	41	425			
162	99	679	109	60	551	56	38	423			
161	99	677	108	60	548	55	37	420			
160	99	674	107	60	546	54	36	418			
159	99	672	106	59	544	53	34	415			
158	99	669	105	59	541	52	33	413			
157	99	667	104	59	539	51	33	411			
156	99	664	103	58	536	50	30	408			
155	99	662	102	56	534	. 49	29	406			
154	96	660	101	53	532	48	28	403			
153	96	657	100	53	529	47	27	401			
152	95	655	99	52	527	46	25	399			
151	95	652	98	51	524	45	25	396			
150	95	650	97	51	522	44	23	394			
149	95	648	96	51	519	43	19	391			
148	95	645	95	49	517	42	19	389			
147	9.5	643	94	49	515	41	18	386			
146	95	640	93	49	512	40	14	384			
145	95	638	92	48	510	39	10	382			
144	95	635	91	47	507	38	8	379			
143	95	633	90	47	565	37	7	377			
142	95	631	89	47	503	36	7	374			
141	93	628	88	47	500	35	7	372			



Table 1V-14

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

DATA PROCESSING - SCIENTIFIC SUBTESTS

	1	<u> </u>		2		3		4		5
Raw	941.	Std.	4.1.	Std.	4 41-	Std.		Std. Score	¥41a	Std. Score
Score	Xile	Score	%ile	Score	%ile	Score	lile		Xile	30016
59	99	715								
58	99	706								
57	99	698								
56	99	690	99	687						
55 54	99 99	682	99	680						
53	99	674 666	98 98	673 667						
52	98	658	98	660						
51	97	649	98	653						
50	95	641	96	646						
49	94	633	94	639						
48	92	625	91	623						
47	90	617	87	625						
46	87	609	86	618						
15	83	€10	85	611						
44	78	592	85	604						
43	77	584	82	597						
12	73	576	79	590						
1	69	568	75	583						
40	69	560	72	576						
39 38	65 65	551 543	66 63	569 562						
37	60	535	60	555						
36	57	527	59	549						
35	56	519	57	542						
34	53	511	57	535						
33	52	503	56	528						
32	47	494	54	521						
31	43	486	53	514						
30	40	478	53	507						
29	39	470	53	500						
28	38	462	50	493					99	718
27	36	454	49	486					98	702
26	32	445	48	479					98	688
25	32	437	46	472					96	574
24	27	429	44	465					93	549
23	23	421	44	458				7//	89	644
22	19 18	413 405	41 40	451			99 9 9	744	89 89	629
21 20	18	396	38	444 437			93	724 704	81	615 600
19	18	388	36	431			96	684	75	585
18	18	380	30	424			95	664	74	570
17	14	372	27	417			93	644	72	556
16	12	364	24	410			88	624	67	541
15	19	356	21	403			85	604	63	526
14	10	347	17	396			80	584	63	511
13	8	339	15	389	99	706	75	564	56	497
12	8	331	15	382	97	672	72	544	51	482
11	6	323	15	375	92	639	66	524	49	467
10	3	315	11	368	89	605	60	504	46	452
9	2	307	8	361	80	571	55	483	35	438
8 7	2	299	6	354	70	537	42 32	463 443	30 19	423 408
6	1 1	290 282	5 4	347 340	55 45	503 469	32 24	423	14	393
5	1	282 274	3	333	34	469 436	20	403	7	393 379
4	1	266	2	326	25	402	14	383	7	364
3	•	200	2 2	319	14	368	11	36 3	55	349
2			2	313	8	334	9	343	5	334
ī			-		. Ī	300	4	323	4	320



Table IV-15

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

DATA PROCESSING - SCIENTIFIC TOTAL TEST

Raw Score	%ile	Std. Score	Raw Score	%ile	Std. Score	Raw Score	%ile	Std. Score
142	99	698	98	66	560	54	24	421
141	99	695	97	66	557	53	23	418
140	99	692	96	64	553	52	23	415
139	99	689	95	61	550	51	21	411
138	99	686	94	61	547	50	20	408
137	99	683	93	61	544	49	20	405
136	99	680	92	60	541	48	20	402
135	98	676	91	57	538	47	20	399
134	98	673	90	56	534	46	19	396
133	98	670	89 _.	55	531	45	19	392
132	98	667	88	54	528	44	19	389
131	98	664	87	53	525	43	19	386
130	98	661	86	53	522	42	18	383
129	98	657	85	52	519	41	18	380
128	98	654	84	52	516	40	15	377
127	96	651	83	50	512	39	15	374
126	95	648	82	50	509	38	14	370
125	95	645	81	49	506	37	14	367
124	95	642	80	47	503	36	13	364
123	95	639	79	47	500	35	12	361
122	95	635	78	47	497	34	11	358
121	92	632	77	42	493	33	10	355
120	92	629	76	40	490	32	8	351
119	92	626	75	40	487	31	8	348
118	91	623	74	40	484	30	8	345
117	91	620	73	40	481	29	8	342
116	89	616	72	40	478	28	7	339
115	88	613	71	40	475	27	6	336
114	.86	610	70	40	471	26	6	333
113	86	607	69	39	468	25	6	329
112	84	604	ú8	38	465	24	5	326
111	84	601	67	37	462	23	5	323
110	82	598	66	37	459	22	4	320
109	82	594	65	37	456	21	4	317
108	80	591	64	35	452	20	4	314
107	89	588	63	33	449	19	4	310
106	79	585	62	31	446	18	4	307
105	78	582	61	31	443	17	3	304
104	76	579	60	31	440	16	2	301
103	76	575	59	30	437	15	2	298
102	73	5 7 2	58	30	433	14	2	295
101	71	569	57	27	430	_ ,		
100	69	566	56	25	427			
99	67	563	55	24	424			



CHAPTER V

ELECTRONICS

A. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

Tables V-1 through V-5 present test specifications, descriptions of the sample, and psychometric characteristics of the experimental Electronics tests. These tests were administered to a total of 338 students during the first year and 327 during the second year in five different states. The majority of the students were completing a two-year post high school training program in community colleges or technical institutes in Connecticut, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina. In addition to these institutions, two vocational-technical high schools in Pennsylvania also participated in this testing.

From Table V-3 it can be seen that reliabilities of the experimental subtests range from .56 to .83. Table V-4 presents the intercorrelations and factor analysis for form A of the experimental tests. High loadings for all achievement tests are found on factor 1, which accounts for 59 per cent of the common variance. As no reference tests have significant loadings on factor 1, it can be concluded that this factor is measuring achievement in Electronics Technology and is independent of the dimensions measured by the reference tests.

Table V-5 presents the intercorrelations and factor analysis for form B of the experimental tests. All subtests have high loadings on factor 1, which accounts for 65 per cent of the common variance. No reference tests load on this factor, indicating that the factor underlies achievement in Electronics Technology. Analyses for form A and form B agree fairly closely.

B. FINAL TEST

Tables V-6 through V-8 present the description of the sample, the psychometric characteristics, and the intercorrelations for the final tests. The reliabilities range from .76 to .86 for the subtests with a reliability of .96 for the total test. From the same table it can be seen that the distributions for the subtests are slightly skewed and slightly platykurtic. The intercorrelations in Table V-8 indicate that the subtests share about the same degree of common variance.

Norms for converting raw scores to percentiles and standard scores are presented in Table V-9 for the subtests and Table V-10 for total test scores.



Table V-1

ELECTRONICS
Test Specifications

		CURRICULUM CONTENT AREA	К	U	A _k	A _u
ı.		entals of Electricity				
	A. Dia	rect current				
	1.	Electron physics	3	1		
	2.	Ohm's Law (power and efficiency)				
		a. Series, parallel circuits	1.	3	2	1
		b. Series-parallel circuits		1	1	
	3.	Kirchoff's Law				
		a. Series - parallel circuits			2	_
		b. Series - parallel circuits		_	2	2
	4.	Loop analysis		1	1	3
	5.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_		
		Superposition theorem		1		
		Norton's theorem	1			
		Thevenin's theorem		_	1	
		Electrostatics and capacitance	1	1	2	
	10.	•		•	•	
		a. Permanent magnets	,	1	1	
		b. Electromagnetics	1 1	1	•	
	11	c. Meter movements	1	2	1	
	11.	Time constants		2	1	
	B. A10	ternating current			6	3
				1	2	2
	2. 3.	Voltage and current generation Reactance		1	2	2
	٦.	a. Capacitance		3	3	
		b. Inductive	1	5	4	
		c. Phase angles	•	4	ì	
	4.	Impedence		•	•	
	٦.	a. Series	2		2	
		(1) L-R	_	3	ī	1
		(2) C-R	1	2	2	_
		(3) L-C-R	_	1	4	
		b. Parallel				
		(1) L-R		1	1	
		(2) C-R		. 4	2	
		(3) L-C-R		2		1
	5.	Resonance		1	2	
		a. Series	1	1	3	2
		b. Parallel	1	4	5	
	6.	Transformers	4	5	7	1
ı.		ENTALS OF ELECTRONICS				
	A. In	troduction to vacuum tubes				
	1.	Electron emission	4	3		
	2	Diodes	1	4	6	1
	3.	Triodes	3	6	6	3
	4.	Multi-element	2	11	2	1
		36				



			K	ប	A _k	A _u
	В.	Introduction to semi-conductors				
		1. Solid-state physics	18	6	1	
		2. Semi-conductor diodes	4	12	5	1
		3. Junction transistors	12	21	3	
		4. Field effect transistors	8	5	1	
III.	APP	LICATION OF ELECTRONICS				
	A.	Active networks				
		1. Power supply		3	2	
		a. Half-wave	1		1	
		b. Full-wave	1	_		
		(1) Bridge	_	2	_	
		(2) Conventional	2	1	1	
		c. Voltage-multiplying		3	4	_
		d. Filter networks		2	2	2
		2. Amplifiers	4	9	1	_
		a. Voltage	5	16	10	1
		b. Current	3	5	2	
		c. Power	_	4	4	
		3. Oscillators	1	1		•
		a. Sinusoidal	8	5	•	1
		b. Non-sinusoidal	1 2	2	1	
		4. Stabilization	2	2		
IV.	SPE	CIAL CIRCUITRY				
	A.	Wave shaping				
		1. Phase shifting		1		•
		 Integrating circuits 		2	1	
		 b. Differentiating circuits 	1	3	1	3
		2. Harmonic analysis	2	3	1	
		Clipping and clamping	2	4	12	2
	В.	Non-sinusoidal oscillators	_		_	_
		1. Multi-vibrators	6	13	3	2
		2. Relaxation	1	2	1	_
		3. Blocking	2	3	1	1
	c.	Feedback				
		1. Positive	3	1 6		2
	_	2. Negative		0	2	2
	D.	Gating circuits	2	2	3 12	•
	E.	Logic circuits	2	2	5	1 3
	F.	Switching circuits			J	,
V.		TRUMENTATION				
	A.	Basic meters	•	_		
		1. Movements	4	2	,	^
		2. Circuits	3	3	6	2
	В.	Oscilloscopes	1	9	2	•
	c.	Transducers	3	7	2	3
	D.	Application and limitations of	•	L	2	<i>)</i> .
		measuring devices	2	6	2	4

		K	บ	A _k	A _u
VI.	SPECIAL DEVICES	 -			
	A. Gas tubes	1			
	1. Diodes	2			
	2. Thyratrons		1		
	B. Cathode - ray tubes	1	1		
	C. Zener diode	2	1		
	D. Tunnel diode	2	3		
	E. Thermisters	1		1	
	F. Switching diodes				
	G. Silicon control rectifiers	1			
	H. Syncro and servo systems	4	3		
VII.	SYSTEMS ANALYSIS				
	A. Block diagrams	1	3	5	
	B. Functional diagrams				
	1. Signal flow	1	4		
	2. Feedback loops		1		
	3. Functional analysis	4	7	4	
	C. Troubleshooting principles	2	4	11	4

Table V-2
ELECTRONICS - First Year
Description of Sample

State	No. of Inst.	No. of Stu.
Connecticut	4	115
Georgia	1	6
New Jersey	1	7
North Carolina	17	143
South Carolina	<u>6</u> 29	<u>67</u> 338

Table V-3
ELECTRONICS

Experimental Tests Description and Reliabilities

		Time Limit	No. o	f Items	Reliab	ility
	Subtest	(Min)	Form A	Form B	Form A	Form B
I	Fund. of Electricity	60	50	50	.77	.81
II	Fund. of Electronics	50	50	50	.77	.75
III	Appl. of Electronics	50	50	50	.78	.83
IV	Special Circuitry	50	50	50	.77	.81
V	Instrumentation	30	30	30	.76	.71
VI	Special Devices and Systems Analysis Total	<u>40</u> 280	40 270	<u>40</u> 270	.66	.56

Table V-4

INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR

ELECTRONICS

Experimental Test - Form A

### Reference Tests Partial Loadings		1			Intercorrelations	orrelat	tous			!						
5 5 5 5 5 5 7 1 2 1 2 63 58 15 -12 31 -10 21 82 -12 61 60 19 -20 23 -12 16 82 -12 50 26 -21 24 -04 09 82 -02 55 28 -24 32 00 08 83 -02 55 28 -24 21 00 05 80 02 -0 55 28 -24 21 00 05 80 02 -0 06 35 08 14 28 -08 -08 06 35 08 14 01 86 -06 35 08 14 01 66 89	Subtest	Subtest	281			 		Refer	ence T	ests			Facto	or Load	iings	
62 47 20 -12 35 00 20 70 -12 63 63 64 63 58 15 -12 31 -10 21 82 -15 60 60 26 -21 24 -04 09 82 -02 -02 60 60 26 -21 24 -04 09 08 83 -02 -02 60 60 26 -24 21 00 08 83 -02 60 60 24 24 21 00 05 89 02 -02 60 60 35 08 14 28 -08 60 60 60 35 08 14 23 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	111			ΛI	Λ	IΛ	ดนร	ЖS	МВЛ	ис	laI		1	2	3	р <mark>2</mark>
63 58 15 -12 31 -10 21 82 -15 -15 -15 60 60 26 -20 23 -12 16 89 -12 -2 -2 -2 -24 32 00 08 83 -02 -2 -2 -2 4 21 00 05 89 83 -02 -2 -2 5 28 -24 21 00 05 89 89 -34 12 -2 -0 6 35 08 14 23 -34 12 -2 -0 6 35 08 14 23 -34 12 -2 -1 61 86 -89 -2 -1 61 82 6 10 6 -8 9			`	6	62	47	20	-12	35	8	20		70	-12	22	55
61 60 19 -20 23 -12 16 80 -12 -12 -16 60 60 26 -21 24 -04 09 82 -02 -02 -24 32 00 08 83 -02 -02 -1 2 2 -24 21 00 05 80 05 80 02 -12 -1 2 2 -24 21 00 05 80 14 28 -08 -12 -1 61 01 86 -13 17 -1 61 01 86 -19 -18 42 59.1 23.5 17 64m; \$\frac{2}{3}\triangle{4}\tria			_	63	63	28	15	-12	31	-10	21		82	-15	70	20
60 60 26 -21 24 -04 09 82 -02 55 28 -24 32 00 08 83 -02 22 -24 21 00 05 80 02 06 35 08 14 28 -08 08 24 08 -34 12 08 24 08 -34 12 18 42 32 -52 61 01 86 61 01 86 61 01 86				62	61	9	19	-20	23	-12	16		80	-12	-07	99
55 28 -24 32 00 08 83 -02 22 -24 21 00 05 80 02 06 35 08 14 28 -0808 24 08 -34 1218 42 32 -52 61 01 86 61 01 86 61 01 86 61 01 86 61 01 86 61 01 86 61 01 86 61 01 86				ŀ	09	9	56	-21	54	104	60		82	-05	02	67
22 -24 21 00 05 80 02 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2					ł	55	78	-24	32	8	80		83	-05	10	20
06 35 08 14 28 -08 -08 24 08 -34 12 -18 42 32 -52 61 01 86 61 06 -89 x Ver. 59.1 23.5 17 cum. x 59.1 23.5 106 cum. x 59.1 82.6 106						1	22	-24	21	8	05		80	05	-04	79
-08 24 08 -34 12 18 42 32 -52 61 01 86 61 06 -89 \$ Var. \$9.1 23.5 17 \$ Uar. \$ 59.1 23.5 17							1	90	35	80	14		28	80	69	56
-18 42 32 -52 61 01 86 706 -89 2 Var. 59.1 23.5 17 6um. % 59.1 23.5 17								;	-08	77	80		-34	12	79	54
61 01 86 06 =89 % Var. 59.1 23.5 17 Gum. % 59.1 82.6 100									ł	-18	42		32	-52	45	58
06 =89 % Ver. 59.1 23.5 17 Cum. % 59.1 82.6 100										1	61		5	86	34	86
F. 59.1 23. % 59.1 82.											1 9		90	-89	19	83
			ł								v % Gum	- 3K	59.1 39.1	23.5 82.6	17.4 100.0	

n = 132 Decimals omitted 7,

Table V-5

INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR ELECTRONICS

Experimental Test - Form B

	•	h ²	62	73	77	65	73	6 7	39	56	55	43.	63	
:	lings	3	19	20	11	32	32	03	58	19	75	=12	378	13:9 88:8
:	Factor Loadings	2	90	07	01	6	03	-18	22	11	8	88	=15	14.8 84:1 1
	Fact	1	92	83	87	74	79	80	07	-14	29	12	27	% VaF: 85:1 6um: % 85:1
		laI	30	37	34	40	42	30	20	DA	53	97=	11	
	sts	ис	05	04	11	05	07	-07	60	27	d d	Н		
	nce Te	МВЛ	35	38	35	42	44	77	24	03	1			
ions	Reference Tests	MS	-02	-03	-10	-02	-05	-12	90	ł				
Intercorrelations		ачѕ	17	18	14	24	25	80	1					
nterco		IΛ	87	57	89	62	26	ł						
	it	Λ	63	20	65	65	ł							
i	Subtes	Λ III II	53	26	63	1								
		111	56	77	i									
		II	69	i										
		I												
			н	H	III	ΙΛ	^	VI	ShD	¥S.	WRV	ZC:	ĬĦĚ	

n = 129 Decimals omitted

Table V-6

ELECTRONICS Second Year

Description of Sample

State	No. of Insitutions	No. of Students
Connecticut	4	102
Georgia	9	89
North Carolina	13	88
Pennsylvania	2	14
South Caroline	8	79
	36	372



Table V-7

Psychometric Characteristics ELECTRONICS

	101	Final Test	ברפניטריבה			
	Subtest	No. of Items	Time Limit	K-R (14)	Skewness	Kurtosis
ı	Fundamentals of Flectricity	07	45 min	85	77	-10
11	Fundamentals of Electronics	45	45 min	84	18	94-
III	Instrumentation	9	30 min	81	17	-56
Λl	Application of Electronics	45	45 min	86	17	-37
>	Special Circuitry	45	45 min	81	39	-26
V.	Systems Analysis	8	30 min	92	-03	-41
	Total test			96	34	-13

ELECTRONICS Table V-8

Subtest Intercorrelations Final Test

Subtest	1	II	III	IV	Λ	IA	TOTAL*
I	;	65	63	50	62	47	78
II		1	73	89	69	55	85
III			ŀ	59	65	55	83
ΙΛ				ł	73	28	84
Δ					ł	79	88
In						1	74
Total							1

*Part-whole correlations Decimals omitted

Table y-9

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

ELECTRONICS SUSTESTS

		1		2		3		4		5		6
Rav	-	Std.		Std.		Std.		Std.	•—	Std.		Std.
Score	lile	Score	tile	Score	Xile	Score	lile	Score	lile	Score	Zile	Score
41							99	794				
40							99	782				
39							99	771				
38			99	772			99	760				
37			99	762			99	749				
36	99	826	99	752			98	738	99	807		
35 34	99 99	813 799	99 98	742 731			98	726	99	794		
33	99	799 763	78 98	731 721			97 96	715 704	99	781		
32	99	771	97	711			95	693	99 98	768 755		
ñ	99	758	96	701			94	681	97	742		
30	98	744	95	690			92	670	97	729		
29	97	730	93	680			91	659	96	716		
28	96	716	92	670			95	648	93	703		
27	95	703	90	660	99	784	89	637	95	690		
26	95	689	89	649	99	710	87	625	94	677		
25	9)	675	88	639	99	756	86	614	92	664		
24	91	661	86	629	99	742	81	603	91	651		
23	90	648	84	619	99	728	80	592	89	638	99	779
22	89	634	82	609	98	714	79	580	88	625	99	733
21	88	620	81	598	96	100	76	569	86	612	95	740
20	85	606	79	588	94	687	74	558	83	599	99	721
19	83	593	76	578	92	673	73	547	80	586	96	702
18 17	81 77	579	75 74	568	90	659	71	536	78	573	94	692
	76	565	71	557	85	645	69	524	17	560	92	663
16 15	73	551 537	69	547 537	86 83	631 617	65 64	513 502	73	547 534	90 87	644 62 5
14	71	524	67	527	81	603	60	491	72 70	521	34	606
13	69	510	65	516	78	589	56	479	66	508	80	587
12	62	496	64	506	75	376	52	468	63	495	79	357
ii	36	482	62	496	73	562	45	457	Så	492	76	548
10	51	469	60	486	71	548	37	446	54	469	72	529
•	42	455	56	475	68	534)1	435	4.5	456	65	310
8	33	441	54	165	66	520	26	423	38	443	60	491
7	26	427	51	455	64	506	21	412	30	4 30	53	471
6	21	414	47	445	63	492	15	401	22	417	41	452
5	16	400	41	434	62	478	12	390	16	404	31	433
4	,	356	32	424	61	465	7	379	•	391	22	414
3	5	372	23	414	60	451	3	367	5	378	15	395
2	3	359	13	404	54	437	3	356	?	365	10	375
1	2	343	8	394	33	423	2	345	1	351	5	356



Table V-10

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

ELECTRONICS TOTAL TEST

Raw Score	Zile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Zile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Zile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Zile	Std.
191	99	801	138	92	673	85	70	546	32	17	418
190	99	798	137	91	671	84	70	543	31	15	416
189 188	99	796	136	91	668	83	70	541	30	12	414
187	99 99	793 791	135	91	666	82	69	539	29	10	411
186	99	789	134 133	91 90	66 4 661	81 80	69 68	536	28	9 8	409 406
185	99	786	132	90	659			534	27	7	
184	99	784	131	90	656	79 78	68 68	531 529	26 25	6	404
183	99	781	130	90	654	11	67	527	24	Š	401 399
182	99	779	129	89	652	76	67	524	23	4	397
181	99	777	128	89	649	75	67	522	22	3	394
180	99	774	127	88	647	74	67	519	21	3	392
179	99	772	126	88	644	73	67	517	20	3	389
178	99	769	125	88	642	72	66	515	19	ž	387
177	99	767	124	87	640	71	66	512	18	2	385
176	99	765	123	87	637	70	65	510	17	ī	382
175	99	762	122	86	635	69	65	507	16	ī	380
174	99	760	121	86	632	78	65	505	15	ī	377
173	99	757	120	85	630	67	64	502	14	ī	375
172	99	755	119	85	628	66	64	500	13	ī	373
171	99	753	118	84	625	65	63	498	12	ī	370
170	99	750	117	84	623	64	63	495	11	ī	368
169	99	748	116	84	620	63	63	493	10	1	365
168	99	745	115	83	618	62	63	490	9	1	363
167	98	743	114	83	616	61	63	488	8	1	361
166	98	741	113	83	613	60	63	486	7	1	358
165	98	738	1;2	82	611	59	63	483	6	1	356
164	98	736	111	81	603	58	63	481			
163	98	733	110	80	606	57	63	478			
162	98	731	109	80	603	56	62	476			
161	97	729	108	80	601	55	62	474			
160	97	726	107	80	599	54	62	471			
159	97	724	106	79	596	53	61	469			
158	97	721	105	79	594	52	60	466			
157	97	719	104	78	591	51	59	464			
156	97	717	103	77	539	50	58	462			
155	97	714	102	77	587	49	57	459			
154	97	712	101	77	584	48	55	457			
153	96	709	100	77	582	47	55	454			
152	96	707	99	76	579	46	53	452			
151	96	704	98	75	577	45	51	450			
150	96	702	97	74	575	44	48	447			
149	95	700	96	74	572	43	45	445			
148	95	697	95	74	570	42	42	442			
147	94	695	94	73	567	41	40	440			
146	94	692	93	72	565	40	37	438			
145	94	690	92	72	563	39	35	435			
144	94	688	91 90	71 21	560	38 22	32	433			
143	93	685	89	71	558	37	30	430			
142	93	683		71	555	36	27	428			
141	92	690	88 87	71 71	553	35	24	426			
140 139	92 92	67 8	86	70	551 548	34	22 20	423 421			
137	74	676	00	/0	245	33	20	421			



CHAPTER VI

AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS

A. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

Tables VI-1 through VI-3 present test specifications, descriptions of the sample, and psychometric characteristics for the experimental tests. The subtest reliabilities as shown in Table VI-3 range from .51 to .85. Table VI-4 presents the intercorrelations and factor analysis for form A of the achievement test. All subtests, along with the Feeler Gauge, the Scope Dynamic, and the Tool Identification performance tests, load on factor 1 (see Appendix for a description of performance tests). This factor which accounts for 41 per cent of the common variance, is a measure of achievement in automotive mechanics.

Table VI-5 presents the intercorrelations and factor analysis for form B of the achievement test. All subtests, as well as the Mechanical Information, the Wide Pange Vocabulary reference tests and the Tool Identification performance test, load on factor 1, which accounts for 39 per cent of the common variance. None of the achievement subtests load significantly on any of the other factors.

B. FINAL TESTS

Tables VI-6 and VI-7 present the description of the sample and psychometric characteristics of final tests. The reliabilities of the subtests range from .56 to .89, while the total test has a reliability of .97. None of the subtests are heavily skewed and all but the Power Train subtest are slightly platykurtic. The Power Train subtest is highly leptokurtic and not significantly skewed, suggesting that most students scored close to the middle of the distribution. The intercorrelations for the subtests are presented in Table VI-8. This would have the result of reducing the variance and would account for the low reliability.

Norms for converting raw scores to percentiles and standard scores are presented in Table VI-9 for the subtests and in Table VI-10 for the total test.



Table VI-1 AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS OUTLINE

Test Specifications

	URRICULUM CONTENT AREA	K	U	$\mathbf{A_k}$	A _u
Ι.	TOOLS, SAFETY AND RELATED SCIENCE				
	A. Care and use of tools				
	1. Hand and power	16		2	
	2. Measuring devices	1			
	B. Shop and personal safety				
	 Use of power equipment 	2	1		
	Use of protective equipment	2		1	
	3. Fire control and housekeeping	2			
	C. Mathematics and physics	11	2	4	
II.	ENGINES				
	A. Fundamental types				
	1. Construction	23	9	2	
	Operating characteristics	23	9	5	1
	B. Terformance measures				
	 Testing procedures 	2		3	
	2. Output measurement	3		3	
	C. Diagnosis of malfunctions				
	1. Indications of trouble	1	6	2	
	2. Use of clectronic equipment	1			
	3. Gauges and indicators			1	
	D. Servicing and repair				
	1. Minor adjustments	1	2	8	
	2. Major overhaul	1		3	
	3. Tools and equipment	2		1	
111.	FUEL SYSTEMS				
	A. Fundamental types	6	2		
	B. Operating principles				
	1. Fuel pumps and injection	5	5	2	
	2. Carburization	4	6	ī	
	C. Testing and diagnosis				
	1. Use of equipment	2		1	
	2. Troubleshooting	_	5	4	
IV.	ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS				
	A. Operating fundamentals				
	1. Power and circuit theory	22	5	1	
	2. Timing and distributors	6	2	1	
	3. Generators and ignition	22	8	1	
	B. Diagnosis and service				
	1. Problem areas	2	6	5	
	2. Test equipment	11		8	
	3. Repair	7	1	1	
٧.	CHASSIS AND SUSPENSION				
, •	A. Fundamentals				
	1. Springs and shocks	7	3		
	2. Alignment	ġ	6	1	1
	46	-	-	-	



		K	U	A _k	A _u
В.	Operating principles				
2.	1. Steering mechanisms	2	1		
	2. Stabilizer principles	3	5	1	
C.		•	_	_	
-	1. Diagnosis of troubles		7	4	
	2. Tool usage	7	1		
	3. Alignment techniques	1	1	2	1
	4. Steering and balancing	1		1	
VI. BRAK	KING SYSTEMS				
A.	Fundamental types				
	1. Drums and shoes	2	2		
	2. Disc	ī	2		
	3. Hydraulic	4	1		
В.	Operating principles		_		
	1. Pressures, mechanical-hydraulic	2	4	7	1
	2. Friction coefficients	3	3	•	
c.	Diagnosis and service				
	1. Indications of trouble and				
	adjustments	4	5	8	
	2. Drum, line and cylinder repair	2	1	ì	
	3. Tool and equipment usage	2			
1177 477	•				
	CONDITIONING				
Α.	Fundamentals	•	,		
	1. Heat absorption	2	1 3		
	2. Evaporation - vaporization		3		
В.	System operations	•	•	•	•
	1. Compressors	2 2	3 3	1	1
•	2. Valves, receivers	2	3	4	
c.	•		2		
		2	2	1	
	2. Recharging and gases	2	3 2	1	1
	3. Measuring equipment		2		1
VIII. PO	OWER TRAINS				
Α.	Fundamental systems				
	1. Transmission	•	_	_	
	a. Straight gear	1	2	2	
	b. Automatic		5	1	2
	2. Torque	1	2	1	
	3. Differentials	•	5		
_	4. Clutches	2	4		
В.	Operation	•	•		
	1. Gear reductions and ratios	3 6	1		
	2. Slip joints - splines		2		
	3. Overdrives	3	•		
_	4. Universal		2		
C.	Service, repair and test equipment	•	,		
	1. Special tools	2	1	•	
	2. Troubleshooting	2	^	1	
	3. Techniques of assembly	1	2		
	4. Clearance and specifications	1	1		
	5. Testing techniques		1		

Table VI-2
AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS
First Year

Description of Sample

State	No. of Ins	t. No. of Students
Delaware	1	9
Georgia	1	12
New Jersey	3	40
North Carolina	22	219
South Carolina	_ 9	<u>82</u> 362
	36	362

Table VI-3
AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS

Experimental Tests Description and Reliabilities

	Ti	me Limit	No. of	Items	Reliab	ility
	Subtest	(min)	Form A	Form B	Form A	Form B
1	Tools, Safety, Related					
	Science	15	19	18	.67	.51
11	Engines	50	53	53	.84	.85
III	Fuel Systems	15	20	20	.71	.70
17	Electrical Systems	50	54	54	.83	.84
V	Chassis and Suspension	20	27	27	.71	.79
VI	Braking Systems	20	27	26	.82	.63
VII	Air Conditioning	15	16 ·	16	.64	.71
VIII	Power Trains	20	26	26	.80	.59
		<u>20</u> 205	242	240		



Table VI-4
INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR
AUTOMOTIVE MICHANICS

Experimental Test - Form A

25 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	34 31 36 22 39 31 30 46 45 23 34 47 -17 11 -12 -01 04 37 21 08 19 35 31 30 30 30 31 31 346 44 23 34 47 -17 11 -12 -01 04 37 21 08 19 36 31 30 30 30 31 31 346 44 23 34 47 -17 11 -12 -01 04 37 21 08 19 36 31 30 30 30 31 31 32 30 30 30 31 31 32 30 31 31 32 30 31 31 32 30 31 31 32 30 31 31 32 30 31 31 32 30 31 31 32 30 31 31 32 30 31 31 32 31 32 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31		3	Subreer Subreer		1		ĺ		Intercorrelations Reference Tests	141100	8 2	ĺ		Ì		0	Performance Tests	1	1.							
11	111						ļ						1						186	300	l	.	ž	tor K	ed înge		
97 34 51 38 22 39 31 08 25 31 33 -46 44 42 34 47 -17 111 -12 -01 64 37 21 08 19 60 40 32 38 47 -05 52 30 35 47 -18 11 -02 11 79 15 15 19 20 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	97 36 51 36 22 39 31 00 23 31 31-46 44 42 34 47 -17 11 -12 -01 64 37 21 08 19 10 08 23 31 31-46 44 42 34 47 -17 11 -12 -01 64 31 79 15 19 19 10 08 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	11	• •	ļ	ı	ı	IR	KS	3=1	J		- 1	•	1		525	No.	11	33 -α	25-C	_ 1		7	7	4		, u
9. 36 60 7 48 10 60 32 38 47 -05 32 30 35 47 -18 11 -02 11 79 15 19 29 11 60 41 11 12 11 60 11 10 11 79 15 19 29 11 60 41 11 12 11 60 -11 -05 -01 72 70 72 60 60 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	96 34 65 46 07 48 10 09 32 38 47 -05 32 30 35 47 -18 11 -02 11 79 15 19 29 11 96 41 52 55 45 05 34 27 30 24 48 46 -21 -17 -05 -01 78 10 6 25 00 6 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10) A:				7	2					ĭ	5	1	44		2	£3		_			37	27	8	19	63
90 43 53 43 03 14 27 06 22 28 43 -07 22 24 48 46 -21 -17 -05 -01 78 04 23 08 08 08 41 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	*** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** **	71. 6		_	-	_	7						47	ô									13	19	29	=	11
94 41 63 33 13 40 28 07 24 23 37 -17 29 22 26 47 02 -06 -01 -03 50 11 00 17 12 07 12 07 13 04 -01 -03 50 11 01 01 01 12 12 13 04 -06 41 11 12 31 04 -03 -06 -07 72 07 -01 13 10 10 -03 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	## 41 # 53 15 15 40 28 # 07 24 23 77 -17 29 22 26 47 02 -06 -01 -05 80 11 03 07 12 ## 54 # 61 7 01 39 28 13 27 30 0-06 41 11 12 31 04 -03 -06 -07 72 07 -01 13 10 ## 55 # 56 # 50 # 50 # 50 # 50 # 11 12 31 04 -03 -06 -07 72 07 -01 13 10 ## 55 # 55 # 55 # 55 # 55 # 55 # 55 #			_	•	_	7						3	9							-		ð	23	ð	8	67
45 41 61 37 41 61 31 04 -05 -05 41 11 12 31 04 -05	95 41 61 70 19 28 15 27 30 20 -06 41 11 12 31 04 -03 -06 -07 72 07 -01 13 10 10 -05 40 15 38 22 -14 15 05 12 20 33 -01 -13 06 07 86 -11 -05 10 07 -09 -11 -05 11 11 12 31 4 20 07 86 -11 -05 11 10 32 34 -06 37 18 09 44 -10 -41 05 02 78 -03 01 -07 -09 -23 17 10 32 34 -06 37 18 09 44 -10 -41 05 02 78 -03 01 -04 23 11 22 -14 15 23 34 -06 37 18 09 44 -10 -41 05 02 78 -03 01 -04 23 11 12 -05 11 12 10 12 -05 11 12 -05 -05 -05 11 12 -05 -05 -05 11 12 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05		I		-		2						7	-17									=======================================	6	0	7	67
30 40 13 18 17 30 12 20 30 -01 -13 00 -11 -03 -11 -03 -11 -03 -11 -03 -11 -03 -11 -03 -11 -03	90 65 40 15 36 26 10 10 16 38 17 30 12 20 33 -01 -13 00 07 60 -11 -05 19 07 -03 -21 -10 10 12 19 22 -14 15 05 23 10 12 27 18 -14 -20 09 04 61 -01 07 -03 -21 -05 11 22 -02 18 09 -24 10 17 15 03 39 12 00 04 77 23 -17 10 22 -0.0 18 09 -28 17 -06 15 34 15 05 39 12 00 07 05 -04 00 -09 56 -17 12 00 11 22 -02 18 09 -28 17 -06 15 34 15 05 39 12 00 07 05 -04 00 -09 56 -17 10 14 23 41 16 23 23 11 14 55 16 28 17 -09 11 8 -12 00 07 03 -04 00 -09 56 -17 10 14 23 18 09 04 07 23 04 -02 62 02 -17 11 47 -19 -16 23 14 49 03 44 -17 -19 -16 23 14 49 03 44 -17 -19 -16 23 14 49 03 44 -17 -17 -17 -17 -17 -17 -17 -17 -17 -17	•	-	_	-	_	3						2	ô									6	ö	2	2	S
40 11 -13 19 22 -14 13 03 21 01 27 19 27 18 -14 -20 09 04 01 -01 07 -03 -21 -0 1 13 23 17 19 22 -14 13 03 24 -06 37 18 09 44 -10 -41 03 02 78 -05 01 -04 23 -10 -10 40 14 12 47 35 31 76 34 40 10 17 13 03 39 12 06 47 32 -10 -09 11 22 -02 18 09 -28 17 -06 13 34 13 -11 5 03 07 03 -04 00 -09 56 -10 -14 23 41 16 23 23 11 43 16 28 01 -18 -19 24 -03 47 26 24 -10 -14 23 41 16 23 23 11 43 16 28 01 -18 -19 24 -03 47 26 27 -10 -14 29 18 09 02 01 20 -17 11 47 -10 -19 -19 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10	40 11 +15 19 22 -14 15 05 21 01 27 19 27 18 -14 -20 09 04 61 -01 07 -09 -21 -01 17 15 05 22 78 -05 01 -04 22 -01 -04 17 15 07 13 17 19 32 34 -06 37 18 09 44 -10 -41 05 02 78 -05 01 -04 22 -01 -04 17 15 07 19 11 22 -02 18 09 -28 17 -06 15 41 15 07 19 11 20 06 47 17 12 06 15 41 16 25 25 11 45 16 25 21 10 26 27 10 06 07 -09 56 -07 11 22 -02 18 05 27 17 -10 14 29 18 09 02 01 24 -03 47 26 24 -02 14 17 23 08 17 -10 14 29 18 09 02 01 26 -17 11 47 -17 23 08 15 -17 26 37 17 -10 14 29 18 09 02 01 26 -17 11 47 -17 28 18 05 25 -17 11 19 09 02 01 26 -03 04 04 07 23 00 12 -17 21 19 09 02 01 12 -17 21 08 10 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19			ı	_	_	9						2	11									17	်	2	02	2
37 12 38 23 17 19 32 34 -06 37 18 09 44 -10 -61 10 17 15 03 39 12 06 47 32	37 12 38 23 17 19 32 34 -06 37 18 09 44 -10 -61 10 17 15 03 39 12 06 47 32				1	_	·:			•			77	6									õ	6	60	-21	42
10 +0 34 12 47 35 31 76 36 40 19 49 10 17 13 03 39 12 06 47 32 13 09 13 2 04 13 14 15 21 -05 17 05 -06 00 -09 56 15 14 15 21 -05 11 35 14 16 25 23 17 -06 15 34 16 26 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 +0 34 12 47 35 31 76 36 40 19 49 10 17 13 03 39 12 06 47 32 10 40 11 22 -02 18 09 -28 17 -06 15 34 15 -21 -05 07 05 -06 00 -09 56 -14 17 44 35 24 14 16 25 23 11 35 10 16 36 24 -03 47 24 17 26 37 17 -10 14 28 01 24 -03 24 -03 47 26 24 -17 26 24 17 -26 17 -10 14 28 01 24 -17 25 27 17 -10 14 28 01 24 -17 27 26 24 17 27 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27					1	2						2	ŝ					_				ဝို	10	70	23	67
09 11 22 -02 18 09 -29 17 -06 15 34 15 -21 -05 07 05 -04 00 -09 56	09 11 22 -02 18 09 -29 17 -06 15 34 15 -21 -05 07 05 -04 00 -09 56 44 17 44 35 24 04 41 39 19 32 -11 35 04 16 36 22 19 51 20 14 25 41 16 25 23 11 45 16 -28 01 -18 -19 24 -05 47 26 24 09 19 -17 -26 37 17 -10 14 29 18 09 02 01 20 -17 11 47 17 23 08 -15 -04 09 23 06 30 04 07 23 16 49 09 17 23 08 -15 -04 09 23 06 30 04 44 -09 24 19 09 18 40 29 42 37 -37 -17 -19 -16 24 09 24 19 09 19 16 24 03 13 59 06 39 32 10 04 39 10 10 14 29 16 24 03 13 59 00 39 32 10 04 39 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 16 16 45 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 16 16 45 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 18 06 05 18 06 45 16 -05 -01 13 39 -14 18 06 05 18 06 6 50 -11 13 18 06 05 18 06 06 -01 13 13 -14 02 -23 -03 37 -09						ł						ភ	3									77	8	47	33	Š
44 17 44 35 24 04 41 39 19 32 -11 35 04 16 36 22 19 51 20	44 17 44 35 24 04 41 39 19 32 -11 35 04 16 36 22 19 51 20										•		8	7	-				_				ő	8	60	ŝ	2
14 25 41 16 25 23 11 45 16 28 01 -18 -19 24 -03 47 26 24 - 09 19 -17 -26 57 17 -10 14 29 18 09 02 01 20 -17 11 47 - 17 23 08 -15 -04 09 23 06 30 04 07 23 04 -02 62 02 02 - 17 11 47 - 19 -16 29 18 04 07 23 04 -02 62 03 44 - 09 24 19 09 - 13 4 -01 34 30 -27 -67 -10 46 -04 -90 30 24 -24 - 13 16 24 03 13 59 00 39 32 10 04 39 - 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 - 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 - 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 45 - 26 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 45 - 26 -30 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 45 - 26 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 45 - 26 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 11 13 - 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 11 13 - 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 11 13 - 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 11 13 - 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 11 13 - 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 -31 13 -27 -27 -33 37 -09	14 25 41 16 25 23 11 45 16 28 01 -18 -19 24 -03 47 26 24 -09 19 -17 -26 57 17 -10 14 29 18 09 02 01 20 -17 11 47 -17 20 04 07 23 04 -02 62 02 02 -17 11 47 -17 20 08 16 24 27 -17 -19 -16 25 14 49 03 44 -09 24 19 09 -17 20 -68 57 -40 -27 -57 -10 46 -04 -90 30 24 19 09 -17 -10 -10 -68 57 -40 -27 -10 46 -04 -90 30 24 19 09 -17 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10												*	8									22	61	5	2	3
00 19 -17 -26 37 17 -10 14 29 18 09 02 01 20 -17 11 47 17 23 08 -15 -04 09 23 06 30 04 07 23 06 -02 62 02 17 23 08 -15 -04 09 23 06 30 04 07 23 06 -02 62 02 13 34 -01 34 36 -26 -03 04 44 -09 24 19 09 19 14 40 03 13 40 00 39 32 10 04 39 19 16 24 03 13 49 00 39 32 10 04 39 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 11 13 14 26 -05 16 45 18 -26 -20 -31 14 26 -05 -05 16 45 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 08 05 18 06 45 10 10 11 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	00 19 -17 -26 37 17 -10 14 29 18 09 02 01 20 -17 11 47 17 23 08 -15 -04 09 23 06 30 04 07 23 06 -02 62 02 17 23 08 -15 -04 09 23 06 30 04 07 23 06 -02 62 02 13 34 -01 34 36 -26 -03 04 04 44 -99 24 19 09 13 34 -01 34 36 -26 -03 04 04 44 -99 24 19 09 30 -18 57 -40 -27 -67 13 46 -04 -90 24 19 09 19 16 24 03 13 69 03 17 0 23 00 12 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 45 58 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 08 05 18 06 45 10 -03 11 13 08 05 18 06 45 10 -03 11 13 08 05 18 06 11 13												2	22				_					Ş	4.7	26	77	9
17 23 06 -15 -04 09 23 06 30 04 07 23 04 -02 62 02 02 -13 04 -15 -04 09 23 06 30 04 07 23 04 -02 62 03 04 04 -15 -15 34 -01 34 36 -26 -03 04 04 04 09 24 19 09 04 19 09 04 19 09 04 19 09 04 04 04 09 04 04 09 04 04 09 04 04 09 04 04 09 04 04 09 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04	17 23 06 -15 -04 09 23 06 30 04 07 23 04 -02 62 02 -15 -04 09 23 -17 -19 -16 25 14 49 03 44 -15 -16 25 14 49 03 44 -15 -16 25 14 49 03 44 -15 -16 25 14 49 03 24 19 09 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10									Í			-	-26		•			_				20	-17	=	47	꿁
30 -18 40 29 42 37 -37 -17 -19 -16 25 14 49 03 44 -13 34 -01 34 36 -26 -03 04 04 44 -09 24 19 09 -1 30 -68 57 -40 -27 -67 -13 46 -04 -90 30 24 19 09 -1 -30 -68 57 -40 -27 -67 -13 46 -04 -90 30 24 19 09 -1 -1 39 16 24 03 13 59 00 39 32 10 04 39 -1 -1 19 19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 -1 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 45 -1 -08 05 645 16 -02 16 45 -1 -08 05 645 16 -05 11 13 -1 -09 -06 -01 13 37 -09 -00 -23 -03 37 -09	30 -18 40 29 42 37 -37 -17 -19 -16 25 14 49 03 44 13 34 -01 34 36 -26 -03 04 04 40 -09 24 19 09 13 14 -01 34 36 -26 -03 04 04 40 90 24 19 09 30 -68 57 -40 -27 -67 -10 46 -04 90 30 24 -24 1 31 16 24 03 13 04 00 39 12 10 04 39 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27										i		2	8	-			_	_				ő	- 0	62	02	44
13 34 -01 34 36 -26 -03 04 04 44 -09 24 19 09 30 -68 57 -40 -27 -67 -13 46 -04 -90 30 24 24 39 30 16 24 03 13 59 00 39 32 10 04 39 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 13 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13 02 -23 -03 37 -09	13 34 -01 34 36 -26 -03 04 04 44 -09 24 19 09 30 -68 57 -40 -27 -67 -13 46 -04 -90 30 24 29 29 30 16 24 03 13 59 00 39 32 10 04 39 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 53 -07 -06 -71 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13 02 -23 -03 37 -09											ł	ጸ	-18									7	64	S	44	25
-30 -68 57 -40 -27 -67 -10 46 -04 -90 30 24 -24 1 39 16 24 03 13 69 00 39 32 10 04 39 12 10 10 10 12 10 10 10 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	-30 -68 57 -40 -27 -67 -10 46 -04 -90 30 24 -24 1 39 16 24 03 13 69 00 39 32 10 04 39 12 10 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12												1	2	•				_				ဝို	75	19	60	ဋ
39 16 26 03 13 09 00 39 32 10 06 39 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 26 -26 -20 -31 16 26 -26 63 16 16 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13	39 16 26 03 13 09 00 39 32 10 06 39 17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 26 -26 -20 -31 16 26 -26 63 16 16 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 33 -14 02 -23 -03 37 -09													ł	-		•	_					9	ရှ	24	-24	10'1
17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 24 -26 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13	17 19 -19 26 -05 -09 21 70 23 00 12 24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13 02 -23 -03 37 -09																				_		33	읔	ð	33	42
24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 1302 -23 -03 37 -09	24 -24 -20 -31 14 26 -26 63 16 16 08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -10 13 02 -23 -03 37 -09																				-		2	23	8	12	3
53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13 02 -23 -03 37 -09	08 05 18 06 45 16 -02 16 45 53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13 02 -23 -03 37 -09																			_			-76	63	16	91	29
53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13 02 -23 -03 37 -09	53 -07 -06 -71 05 27 18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13 02 -23 -03 37 -09																						91	- 07	16	4 5	97
18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13 -0 -02 -23 -03 37 -09	18 -22 67 -11 53 -14 08 -06 -60 11 13 02 -23 -03 37 -09																	•	:	-,	~	9	ő	-71	0	27	29
. 08 -06 -60 11 1302 -23 -03 37 -09	02 -23 -03 37 -09																		•	:			67	-11	53	-14	82
02 -23 -03 37 -09	02 -23 -03 37 -09																			•			ő	9	7	13	4
																					i	9	-23	-03	37	6	20
Z Var. 41.5 17.3 16.0 12.7 12.5							ļ	1												9	н	41.5	58.8	74.8	87.5	100.0	

n = 183 Decimals omitted

ERIC FULL ENERGY FROM LOSS OF THE CONTROL OF THE CO

Table VI-5
INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR

AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS Experimental Test - Form B

									Inte	Intercorrelations	113	ton																
			Subr	Subtents			ر ز	*	teference	nce.	Teats					Perf	Ormai	Performance Tests	PS E.									
ı					}		ļ 1							l									,	Factor	Factor Loadings	ınga		
1	11	111	Λī	٨	1A	114	111	IH	KS	101	20	ላሄለ	aus.	94	Mic	2°D	sos	3nA	11	D-K	rs-0	AKOI KOK	-	2	۳	4	^	p ₂
	65	3	33	67	15	20					ŀ			33	\$	23	22	0,4	87	15 (0 50		65	23	12	27	05	57
H	;	ş	\$	Ş	\$	×							7 7	27	2	27	22	32		_	_		77	8	11	21	11	67
111		1	62	67	\$	57								80	ő	07	ŝ			_			73	-03	91	-08	19	9
2			1	63	2	77		. 7	=	33 2	23 15	5 35		23	ş	19	71		ន	2	29 01	1 -25	81	-14	ដ	11	71	5
>				ł	\$	33			-						23		11		•	Ş			2	ន	-02	60	0	36
17					1	77							5 22		23		18			8	22 1		8	-10	05	16	40	68
VII						1					•		•	•	ş		53						87	-33	5	-13	-20	97
1114															S		2						63	90	11	ô	25	22
14 E							•								97		90						87	28	6	18	6	33
\$								·					•	•	-35		0						16	-16	0	-05	28	£
Inf									•						ő		8						45	61	80	90	-59	23
ម										1					68		90		•				23	19	9	62	-10	67
ž											1				<u>۽</u>		-ii	17		70			60	60	07	18	55	75
216												i		17	71		8		•				3	77	-16	ő	៩	97
da?													;	74	ģ				•				18	-12	21	22	77	57
Ž,														!	79		٠	91-	•	_			1	18	õ	92	60	63
Misc															;	•	•		•				19	\$	87-	2	-29	1.02
ĝ																			_	ii S	23 15		17	5 6	62	23	8	35
ScS																	1	2	8	31 -			6	9	70	29	-29	8
Auk																		_		۲ 7	•		33	8	87	70-	99	\$
Ľ																			ŀ	 81	-3022		S	38	12	ព	23	Υ,
P-KOR																				ļ	4	•	71	8	75	-29	주 주	63
D-MON																				•	ı	99	17	-81	-17	0	ģ	7
KOR ad																					•	1	03	စို	97	6	-70	71
NKOR																						1	-53	-69	ő	-16	õ	29
																					•							
																					re U	K Var. Cem. K	39.7	% % 6.	16.8 73.4	15.1 88.5	100.00	
n = 176																												

n = 176 Decimals omitted

ERIC

Table VI-6

AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS Second Year

Description of Sample

State	No. of Inst.	No. of Stu-
Delaware	1	16
Georgia	10	72
New Jersey	2	25
North Carolina	26	268
Pennsylvania	2	38
South Carolina	9	97
Virginia	<u>1</u> 51	9 525



AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS Table VI-7

Psychometric Characteristics Final Test

	Subtest	No. of items	Time Limit	Reliability K-R (14)	Skewness	Kurtosis
H	Ergines	09		89	-05	-58
H	Fuel Systems	30		80	-24	-74
III	Electrical Systems	45	40 min	88	ဆင	09-
ΙΛ	Chassis and Suspensions	જ		78	03	-38
>	Brakes	57		85	-17	07-
Ļ	Air Conditioning	22		87	-23	-63
VII	Power Trains	07		26	-05	3.08
	Total test			97	03	-02

Table VI-8

AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS

Subtest Intercorrelations Final Test

Subtest	H	11	111	IV	۸	IA	VII	Total*
Herman of the second of the s	1	64.	7.7 4.11	50 4 80 4 11	7 8 7 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ይላይቁል!! መንቋታትመ!!	10.4.20 to the second li	

本的是不是主动物的主席,在日本下的工具在主角中的 Deptarate Smitted



Teble VI-9
RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS
AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS SUBTESTS

Rav		Std.		Std.		Std.		Std.		- 5 std.		Std.		Std.
Score	Xile	Score	Xile	Score	Xi1e	Score	Xile	Score	Xile	Score	X11e	Score	Zile_	Score
59	99	723												
58 57	99 99	714 704												
56	98	694												
55	97	684												
54 53	97 95	675 665												
52	95	655												
51	93	646												
50 49	91 89	636 626												
48	88	617					99	759						
47	86	607					99	747						
46	83	597					99 99	734						
45 44	79 77	587 578			99	717	99	722 709	99	729			•	
43	73	568			99	705	98	696	99	716				
42	70	558			98	693	97	684	99 99	703 690				
41 40	67 64	549 539			97 96	682 670	96 94	671 659	98	676				
39	41	529			94	658	93	646	97	663				
38	59	519			91	647	91	634	95 91	650 637				
37 36	55 52	510 500			89 88	635 623	88 85	621 609	89	624				
35	49	490			86	611	83	596	87	611				
34	46	481			83	600	79	583	83	598			99 99	800 800
33 32	43 39	471 461			79 76	588 576	77 73	571 558	79 75	585 572			99	800
31	36	451			75	565	69	546	72	559			99	800
30	31	442			72	553	66	533	68	546			99	800
29	27 25	432	99	401	68 64	541 530	60 56	521 508	64 59	533 520			99 99	800 800
28 27	21	422 413	99	691 672	60	518	51	495	54	507			99	800
26	19	403	97	654	56	506	47	483	45	493			99	800
25	16	393	94 90	636	52 48	494 483	41 37	470 458	45 42	480 467	99 99	740 723	99 99	800 785
24 23	14 11	383 374	84	618 600	43	471	33	445	35	454	99	707	99	759
22	9	364	78	581	39	459	29	433	31	441	99	690	99	733
21	9	354	71	563	35 30	448	25 21	420	27 21	428 415	97 96	674 657	99	708 682
20 19	7 6	345 335	66 60	545 527	23	435 424	18	408 395	16	402	94	640	99	656
18	5	325	55	509	21	412	14	382	15	389	91	624	95	631
17	3	316	49	491	18	401	11 8	370 357	12 10	376 363	87 84	607 590	92 85	605 579
16 15	2 1	306 296	41 37	472 454	17 13	389 377	6	345	8	350	79	574	75	553
14	1	286	31	430	10	366	4	332	6	337	74	557	65	528
13	1	277	25	418	9	354	3	320	5 3	324 310	67 59	540 524	54 41	502 476
12 11	1 1	267 257	20 16	400 381	6	342 330	2 1	307 294	2	297	50	507	31	451
10	1	248	12	363	2	319	1	282	2	284	44	491	23	425
9	1	238	8	345	2	307	1	269	1 1	271 258	38 33	474 457	16 12	399 374
8 7	1	228 218	6 4	327 309	1 1	295 284	1	257 244	i	245	28	441	8	318
6	i	209	ž	291	i	272	1	232	1	232	24	424	5	322
5	1	200	1	272	1	260	1	219	1	219	21	407	3 2	296 271
4	1	200	1	254 236	1	248 237	1	207 200	1	206 200	19 16	391 374	2	245
3 2	1	200	ı	230	i	225	i	200	î	200	15	357	1	219
ī					1	213	1	200	1	200	13	341	1	200
								_						



Table VI-10

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

AUTOMOTIVE HECHANICS TOTAL TEST

Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score
256	99	742	202	84	611	148	45	480	94		349
255	99	740	201	84	609	147	43	477	93	6	346
254	99	737	200	84	605	146	42	475	92	ě	344
253	99	735	199	83	604	145	41	472	91	5	341
252	99	732	198	83	601	144	40	470	90	5	339
251	99	730	197	82	599	143	39	468	89	4	336
250	99	728	196	82	596	142	38	465	88	4	334
249	99	725	195	81	594	141	37	463	87	4	331
248	99	723	194	80	592	140	36	460	86	4	329
247	92	720	193	79	589	139	36	458	85	ż	327
246	99	718	192	79	587	138	35	455	84	3	324
245	99	715	191	78	584	137	34	453	83	3	322
244	99	713	190	77	582	136	34	451	82	3	319
243	99	711	189	77	579	135	33	448	81	3	317
242	99	708	188	76	577	124	32	446	80	2	314
241	99	706	187	75	575	133	30	443	79	2	312
240	98	70 3	186	74	572	132	29	441	78	2	310
239	98	701		74	570	131	29	438	77	2	307
238	98	698	185 184	73	567	130	27	436	76	ī	305
237	98	696	183	72	565	129	27	434	75	î	302
	98	694		72		128	27	431	74	ì	300
236 235	98	691	182	70	562	127	25	429	73	i	297
	97		181		560	126	24	425	73	i	295
234		689	180	69	557		24		71	i	293
233	97	686	179	69	555	125		424	70	i	293
232	97	684	178	68	553	124	23	421	69		
231	97	681	177	67	550	123	22	419		1	288
230	97	679	176	67	548	122	22	417	68	1	285 283
229	96	677	175	66	545	121	21	414	67	1 1	283
228	96	674	174	65	543	120	20	412	66		280
227	96	672	173	65	540	119	20	409	65	1	278
226	96	669	172	64	538	118	19	407	64	1	276
225	96	667	171	63	9-2	117	18	404	63	1	273
224	9.7	664	170	63	533	116	18	402	62	1	271
223	95	662	169	52	531	115	18	400	61	1	268
222	94	660	168	61	528	114	17	397	60	1	266
221	94	657	167	61	526	113	17	395	59	1	263
220	94	655	166	60	523	112	17	392	58	1	261
219	93	652	165	60	521	111	16	390	57	1	25\$
218	93	650	164	59	519	110	15	387	56	1	256
217	92	647	163	58	516	109	14	385	55	1	254
216	91	645	162	57	514	108	13	383	54	1	251
215	91	643	161	56	511	107	12	380	53	1	249
214	91	640	160	55	509	106	11	378	52	1	246
213	90	638	159	54	506	105	11	. 375	51	1	244
212	89	635	158	53	504	104	11	373	50	1	242
211	88	633	157	52	502	103	11	370	49	1	239
210	88	630	156	50	499	102	10	368	48	1	237
209	88	628	155	50	497	101	10	366	47	1	234
808	87	626	154	49	494	100	9	363	46	1	232
207	87	623	153	48	492	99	8	361	45	1	229
20á	86	621	152	48	489	98	8	358	44	1	227
205	86	618	151	47	487	97	7	356	43	1	225
204	85	616	150	47	485	96	7	353	42	1	222
203	85	613	149	46	482	95	7	351			





CHAPTER VII

MACHINIST

A. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

Tables VII-1 through VII-3 present test specifications, the description of the sample, and the psychometric characteristics for the experimental tests. From Table VII-3 it can be seen that the reliabilities of the subtests range from .09 to .85. Table VII-4 presents the intercorrelations and factor analysis for form A of the experimental test. All subtests, as well as the Mechanical Information and the Inference reference tests and the Micrometer performance test, load on factor 1. As this factor accounts for 43 per cent of the common variance and explains virtually all of the intercorrelations among the achievement tests, it can be concluded that the subtests are measuring a common dimension of achievement in the Machinist trade. Table VII .- 5 presents the intercorrelations and factor analysis for form B. All subtests, as well as the Micrometer, the Indexing, and the Truing performance tests, load on factor 1. This factor accounts for 34 per cent of the common factor variance and can be considered as measuring a common dimension of achievement.

B. FINAL TEST

Tables VII-6 through VII-8 present the description of the sample, the psychometric characteristics, and the intercorrelations for the final test. From Table VII-7 it can be seen that the reliabilities of the total test is .95, with subtest reliabilities ranging from .31 to .87. None of the subtests are skewed and are essentially mesokurtic. The total test is slightly positively skewed and is mesokurtic. The intercorrelations presented in Table VII-8 thow that the subtests are homogeneous with respect to shared variance.

Tables VII-9 and VII-10 present conversions from raw scores to percentiles and standard scores for subtests and total tests respectively.



Table VII-1 MACHINIST TRADE

Test Specifications

	CURRICULUM CONTENT AREA	K	U	A _k	A _u
I.	SAFETY PROCEDURES A. Personal B. Shop	5 5	2 1	3	
II.	HAND TOOLS AND APPLICATIONS A. Hand tools B. Cutting tools C. Taps, drills, reamers D. Files and processes	7 4 8 6	6	5 1 3 3	
III.	MEASURING TOOLS AND PROCESSES A. Layout and planning B. Indirect measurement C. Direct measurement D. Electrolimit gauges E. Optical flats F. Mathematics G. Blue print reading	18 4 15 4 1 7 3	6 2 7 2 1 3 9	11 1 9 49 3	7
IV.	CUTTING TOOLS A. Geometry and theory B. Cutting steels and alloys C. Carbides and ceramics	17	21 1 2	12 1	1
٧.	MILITING MACHINES A. Horizontal B. Vertical C. Universal D. Feeds and speeds	10 1 2	11 6	12 4 7 2	
VI.	LATHES A. Standard engine - tool room E. Turret and screw machines C. Formulae and math	31 1 2	19 2 1	23 2 4	2
VII.	GRINDING MACHINES A. Safety procedures B. Bench and tool grinding C. Surface grinding D. Cylindrical grinding E. Centerless grinding F. Wheels, types and identification	2 2 12	2 1 1 3 2 7	3 2 3 9 1 2	
VIII.	MINOR MACHINES A. Drill press B. Shaper, planer C. Power cutoff D. Numerical control machines	9 2 1 5	4 2 1	11 6 2 3	
IX.	METALLURGY A. Composition of metals B. Application - choosing metal for job C. Heat treatment	8 5 2	6 1 8	1 3 7	



Table VII-2

MACHINIST

First Year

Description of Sample

State	No. of Inst.	No. of Students
Delaware	1	11
Georgia	1	9
New Jersey	3	35
North Carolina	11	118
South Carolina	23	$\frac{67}{240}$
	23	240

Table VII-3

MACHINIST
Experimental Tests

Description and Reliabilities

	T	ime Limit	No. o	f Items	Relia	bility
	Subtest	(min)	Form A	Form B	Form A	Form B
I	Safety Procedure	10	14	13	.55	.09
II	Hand Tools & Application	n 20	22	22	.63	.64
III	Measuring Tools & Proce	sses 70	83	84	.85	.77
IV	Cutting Tools	20	27	26	.68	.57
V	Lathes	40	42	41	.83	.78
VI	Milling Machines	20	26	26	.63	.67
VII	Grinding Machines	20	25	25	.60	.68
VIII	Metallui gy	20	20	21	.60	.51
IX	Minor Machines	$\frac{20}{240}$	$\frac{23}{282}$	$\frac{23}{281}$.70	.66



Table VII-4

INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR

MACHINIST

Experimental Test - Form A

The control of the										•	1	1	1															
Subtess: Subtess: Subtess: Neference Tests: Performance Tests: Performance Tests: Performance Tests: Performance Tests: 11 11 V V V II V V V II V V V V V V V V										=	rerc	orre.	PACTO	2				Ì			ļ							
111 12 4 5 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				Sub	test				' .		efer	ence	Test	s		١	E E	rfor	ance	- 1	2							
111 1 2 3 4 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							•	,					•									Rad		Fact	or Log	dings		
	1	11	111		Λ	IV	1	- 1		j		၁၁	РЧЅ	MBA	ans	FG		puI	1	i		ико	1	2	۳	7	2	h2
	1	52	87								_		26	8				•				57	89	70	60	15	台	67
- 67 68 66 51 40 57 48 -01 35 22 39 15 28 16 -27 14 14 17 -46 76 19 03 17 14 14 15 -46 45 85 42 56 -12 30 17 16 13 20 22 46 18 -31 74 75 75 20 -01 16 18 23 20 13 13 13 20 22 42 43 25 01 17 18 13 20 22 42 43 25 01 17 18 13 20 22 42 43 25 01 17 18 13 20 22 42 14 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17		1	26										01	15				•			•	-35	99	11	16	-05	-16	8
			1										39	H				•				97	9/	19	03	11	77	99
													32	13				•				-24	19	13	8	33	20	24
				•									16	ដ	_			•				-31	7.4	02	05	11	20	19
- 41 59 43 24 40 21 28 18 15 52 35 24 -14 32 36 08 -17 71 29 25 00 02 - 45 25 -01 34 -01 16 16 10 25 50 07 -33 17 -12 -08 -45 59 03 -07 13 -05 - 50 47 115 33 30 50 32 -01 12 -34 31 16 22 -23 47 36 18 05 26 - 00 412 69 07 -21 -20 -01 -07 00 03 22 -42 08 10 -05 20 -25 -32 - 00 412 69 07 -21 -20 -01 -07 00 03 22 -42 08 10 -05 20 -25 -32 - 00 -12 69 07 -21 -20 -01 -07 00 03 22 -42 08 10 -05 20 -25 -32 - 26 32 27 54 49 10 -16 -12 -18 -03 13 13 21 -08 65 -07 - 26 39 27 54 49 10 -16 -12 -18 -03 13 13 21 -08 65 -07 - 27 20 37 54 49 10 -16 -12 -18 -03 13 13 21 -08 65 -07 - 13 35 70 18 27 -20 -02 -16 42 -31 21 33 31 -08 - 13 35 70 18 27 -20 -02 -16 42 -31 21 33 31 -08 - 13 54 -18 07 -34 -06 -09 10 19 89 -14 32 -16 - 09 -21 04 06 -20 45 54 -23 -05 54 09 - 09 -21 04 06 -20 45 54 -23 -05 54 09 - 09 -21 04 06 -20 45 54 -23 -05 54 09 - 00 -21 04 06 -20 45 54 13 54 -05 -03 -05 54 09 - 00 -21 04 06 -20 45 54 13 54 -05 -03 -05 54 09 - 00 -21 04 05 06 20 -45 54 13 54 -05 -03 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05 -05					•					-			28	8				•				-25	75	20	ö	91	91	65
- 45 25 -01 34 -01 16 16 10 25 50 07 -33 17 -12 -08 -45 59 03 -07 13 -05 -0 13 1 13 1 05 24 08 -05 43 -01 -14 29 31 -02 -29 77 -09 15 -08 -04 -0 1 13 1 05 24 08 -05 43 -01 -14 29 31 -02 -29 77 -09 15 -08 -04 -0 1 -0 1 07 10 0 13 22 -42 08 10 -02 20 -02 15 -08 -04 -0 1 -0 1 07 10 0 13 22 -42 08 10 -02 20 -02 15 -02 20 -02 17 -02 06 20 -09 41 38 01 22 02 -02 -0 1 -0 1 07 00 01 22 -0 1 0 -0 1 0 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 1 13 1 1 1 1						•							28	18				•				-17	11	29	25	8	05	65
- 37 11 31, 05 54 66 08 -05 43 -01 -14 25 31 -02 -29 77 -09 15 -08 -04 -0 03 12 -12 -13 11 11 11 11 11 12 11 11							•			•		٠.	16	91				•		•	•	45	29	63	6	ដ	9	37
03 47 15 33 30 30 12 -34 31 16 21 -23 47 36 18 05 26 00 -12 09 07 -21 -20 -01 -07 00 03 22 -42 08 10 -05 20 -25 -32 26 32 27 25 43 41 -09 -17 -02 06 20 -09 41 38 01 22 02 26 32 27 25 49 10 -16 -12 18 -03 -13 13 21 -08 65 -07 22 09 37 54 49 10 -16 -12 18 -03 -13 13 21 -08 65 -07 13 35 70 18 27 -20 -02 -16 42 -31 21 33 31 13 35 70 18 27 -20 -02 -16 42 -31 21 53 -12 33 31 13 35 70 18 27 -20 02 -16 42 -31 21 53 -12 33 31 13 35 70 18 27 -20 02 -16 42 -31 21 53 -12 33 31 13 37 70 18 27 -20 02 -16 42 -31 21 53 -12 33 31 13 37 70 18 27 -20 02 -16 42 -31 21 53 -12 33 31 13 37 70 18 27 -20 -02 -16 42 -31 21 53 -12 33 31 13 37 70 18 27 -20 -02 -16 42 -31 21 54 -04 01 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 54 09 28 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 54 09 28 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 54 09 28 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -51 -34 04 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -51 -34 04 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -21 -44 05 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -21 -44 05 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -21 -44 05 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -44 05 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -21 -44 05 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -44 05 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -44 05 -								1					35	8	•		•	•			•	-29	77	6	15	80	ð	63
									•				33	30	_	•		•				۲ ۲	74	36	18	02	56	45
- 26 32 27 25 43 41 -09 -17 -02 06 20 -09 41 38 01 22 02 - 22 09 37 54 49 10 -16 -12 -18 -03 -13 13 21 -08 65 -07 - 13 35 70 18 27 -20 -02 -16 42 -31 21 53 -12 33 31 - 15 54 -18 07 -34 -04 27 17 13 05 59 31 -04 01 - 15 54 -18 07 -34 -04 27 17 13 05 59 31 -04 01 - 15 54 -18 07 -34 -04 27 17 13 05 59 31 -04 01 - 15 54 -18 07 -34 -04 27 17 13 05 59 -14 32 -16 - 02 -20 -03 -48 -06 -09 10 19 89 -14 32 -16 - 03 -21 04 06 -20 45 54 -23 -05 53 -17 - 04 -22 24 51 27 15 09 -06 54 09 28 - 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -31 -34 04 - 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -31 -34 04 - 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -31 -34 04 - 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -31 -34 04 - 00 59 00 29 -31 -35 -03 -65 - 00 02 15 -01 -09 85 - 54 13 54 -02 -03 - 54 13 54 -02 -03 - 54 13 54 -02 -03										i		•	60	07	٠	•	•				•	80	10	ခု	30	-25	-32	21
- 22 09 37 54 49 10 -16 -12 -18 -03 -13 13 21 -08 65 -07 - 13 35 70 18 27 -20 -02 -16 42 -31 21 53 -12 33 31 - 13 54 -18 07 -34 -04 27 17 13 05 59 31 -04 01 - 15 54 -18 07 -34 -04 27 17 13 05 59 31 -04 01 - 30 37 -11 -28 29 02 -08 14 12 14 13 57 10 - 02 -20 -03 -48 -06 -09 10 19 89 -14 32 -16 - 03 -21 04 66 -09 10 19 89 -14 32 -16 - 09 -21 04 66 -09 10 19 89 -14 32 -1632 24 51 27 15 09 -06 54 09 2832 24 51 27 15 09 -06 54 09 2832 24 51 27 15 09 -06 54 09 2814 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -51 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -08 -51 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -08 -51 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -08 -51 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -26 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -28 -21 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -25 -25 -25 -25 -25 -25 -25 -25 -25													32	27			٠					6	41	38	10	22	05	36
- 13 35 70 18 27 -20 -02 -16 42 -31 21 53 -12 33 31 - 13 54 -18 07 -34 -04 27 17 13 05 59 31 -04 01 - 13 54 -18 07 -34 -04 27 17 13 05 59 31 -04 01 - 14 -26 20 -03 14 -04 12 14 13 57 10 - 02 -20 -03 -48 -06 -09 10 19 89 -14 13 57 10 - 03 -21 04 06 -20 45 54 -23 -05 53 -17 - 04 -21 24 51 20 -25 -22 -08 -51 -34 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -23 -24 05 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -21 -34 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -23 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -23 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -23 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -23 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -23 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -28 -23 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -25 -28 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -25 -28 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -26 -26 -26 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -26 -26 -26 -26 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -26 06 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -26 06 14 -26 20 -26 -26 -26 -26 -26 06 14 -26 2													22	8				•	•	•	•	-13	13	21	8	65	9	67
- 13 54 -18 07 -34 -04 27 17 13 05 59 31 -04 01 - 30 37 -11 -28 29 02 -08 14 12 14 13 57 10 - 02 -20 -03 -48 -06 -09 10 19 89 -14 32 -16 - 09 -21 04 06 -20 -45 54 -25 -35 -37 17 - 09 -21 04 06 -20 -45 54 09 28 32 24 51 27 15 09 -06 51 -34 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -51 -34 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -25 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -25 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -26 -24 05 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -25 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -24 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -26 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -26 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -26 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -26 04 14 -26 20 -25 -26 -26 -26 -26 04 14 -26 20 -26 -26 -26 -26 -26 06 14 -26 20 -26 -26 -26 -26 -26 06 14 -26 20 -26 -26 -26 -26 -26 0													1	13				•	•	•		-31	21	53	-12	33	31	55
30 37 -11 -28 29 02 -08 14 12 14 13 57 10 02 -20 -03 -48 -06 -09 10 19 89 -14 32 -16 09 -21 04 06 -20 -45 54 -23 -05 53 -17 09 -21 04 06 -20 -25 -22 -05 53 -17 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -28 -51 -34 04 14 -26 20 -25 -22 -22 -31 25 -03 65 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 sd Z Var. 43.1 16.5 23.9 13.3 13.2 Cum Z 43.1 16.5 23.9 13.3 13.2 Cum Z 43.1 16.5 23.9 13.3 13.2														1		٠			•			13	9	29	8	ř	ช	45
02 -20 -03 -48 -06 -09 10 19 89 -14 32 -16 09 -21 04 06 -20 -45 54 -23 -05 53 -1732 24 51 27 15 09 -06 54 09 2814 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -51 -34 0414 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -51 -34 04 00 59 00 29 01 25 -03 65 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 00 02 15 -01 -09 85 54 13 54 -02 -03 54 13 59 6 73 13.2																	-					71	12	7,	ដ	57	ព	39
09 -21 04 06 -20 -45 54 -23 -05 53 -1732 24 51 27 15 09 -06 54 09 2814 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -51 -34 04 00 59 00 29 -31 25 -03 65 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 00 45 13 54 -02 -03												•					-		•	•	•	ព	19	83	-14	32	-16	86
32 24 51 27 15 09 -06 54 09 2814 -26 20 -25 -22 -08 -51 -34 0400 59 00 29 -31 25 -03 6500 45 12 06 75 -19 -1300 45 12 06 75 -19 -1300 45 12 06 75 -19 -1300 45 13 54 -02 -03 sd																					•	-45	24	-23	9	53	-17	99
7 Var. 43.1 16.5 13.9 13.1 13.2 Cm. 7 7 100.00																		•				IJ	6	90	7,	60	28	39
00 59 00 29 -31 25 -03 65 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 00 02 15 -01 -09 85 sd 54 13 54 -02 -03 cm 7 43.1 16.5 13.9 13.3 13.2 cm 7 43.1 59.6 73.5 86.7 100.0																		•	•	•		-25	-22	80	-51	-34	z	73
A 00 45 12 06 75 -19 -13 00 02 15 -01 -09 85 00 02 15 -01 -09 85 sd \$\frac{\pi}{\pi}\$ \text{ Var.} \frac{43.1}{43.1} \frac{16.5}{16.5} \frac{13.2}{13.5} \frac{13.2}{13																			•			8	29	-31	22	ဗို	65	99
ad 02 15 -01 -09 85 or 00 02 15 -01 -09 85 or 03 or																				1		45	12	9	75	-19	-13	63
x Var. 43.1 16.5 13.9 13.3 13.2 Cm. 7 43.1 59.6 73.5 86.7 100.0	şq																				ł	8	62	15	٥ م	ဝို	82	92
43.1 16.5 13.9 13.3	ps																					1	-54	13	75	9	9	61
73.1 59.6 73.5 86.7																					% Var		43.1	16.5	13.9		13.2	
																					1	•	۲.4	4 02	7 6 6		5	

n = 1.2 Decimals omitted

Table VII-5
INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR

MACHINIST Experimental Test - Form B

			•	p _Z	33	8	55	89	78	26	61	39	7.1	58	z	99	47	42	ŝ	97	1.25	53	ဓ	24	87	1.09	78	92	
			v	^	-05	27	8	90	13	90-	70	05	22	02	-70	Š	ક	10	12	22	-0 2	97-	-22	13	99	00	72	60-	12.9
			oading	7	80	5	20	-05	21	27	16	18	17	-22	21	2	07	36	38	03	12	-11	91-	S	60	33	13	86	14.3
			Factor Loadings	3	31	6	14	56	22	90	6 9	07	77	91	8	78	90-	#	22	-03	1.07	-05	8 P	18	-14	82	07	07	16.4
			ъ	2	17	77	Ś	41	43	88	-13	9	=	79	Ú	28	89	53	33	9	27	25	27	-18	17	-51	41	-28	22.7
				-	77	73	67	99	20	57	7.	59	9/	20	10	07	03	07	77	22	8	20	33	-67	ö	ő	27	31	33.7
		1	ba ЯO	NK	54	17	ม	16	31	38	07	56	4 3	67	77	29	-29	36	77	-32	8	20	-16	8	දි	38	8	1	z Var Cum. z
		١	ba A	ко	32	34	7.7	39	97	35	91	77	47	47	-55	7	22	្រ	36	97	S	-32	S	-24	57	8	١		14 2
ļ		Tests	иков	- a	18	-28	80	-24	60-	-27	S	ฆ	7,	-45	15	53	87	-16	දී	-20	1.0	-17	-16	13	8	ł			
		e Te	ков	-a	-12	8	10	8	14	Š	ឧ	9	ដ	#	-38	ᅙ	20	힏	91	28	-19	유	-19	ឧ	1				
		Performance	n	1L	-27	-52	-37	-43	-57	77-	22	97	-42	-38	-21	-07	-16	-07	-17	-28	23	53	-15	ļ					
Ì		rfor	P	ΠI	26	39	33	35	21	31	78	22	18	39	16	-02	7,	7	77	27	1	34	ł						
		Pe	່ ວ	MI	31	33	41	77	36	<u>ដ</u>	77	13	34	20	22	-14	23	14	17	60	8	ł							
		ļ		16	17	ŝ	52	26	26	42	유	03	70	43	10	30	22	43	53	Š	1								
			P	ns	19	36	9	34	လ	30	80	77	39	32	Š	33	53	20	5 6	1									
		ts	۸	ME	13	12	32	37	43	34	23	30	33	37	-04	42	27	21	I										
	ions	Raference Tests	a	чѕ	15	34	77	22	34	32	9	ኔ	2	ጽ	8	33	23	!											
	elat	ence		၁၁	12	13	38	21	36	23	9	8	15	81	S	54	١												
ļ	COLL	efer	3	υI	28	80	32	56	38	30	9	1	7,7	33	8	1													
	Intercorrelations	à		KS	10	-13	05	7	ဗို	7,4	80	ន	-17	-04	1														
	F			IM	30	23	41	9	9	34	19	17	52	1															
				IX	0,7	63	45	65	69	25	27	77	1																
	 	İ	11	IV	33	63	ଯ	23	41	38	64	ļ																	
ļ			1	1A	22	45	30	39	24	25	ł																		
1				IV	32	33	27	22	8	ł																			
		318	1	Λ	37	67	61	73	1																				
	} }	Subtests	!	ЛΙ	75	8	28	ł																					
	'	Sı	1	11	35	97	1																						
				11	35	ł																							
1	1			1	1																					24	ש	þg	
				}	,,,	11	III	2	^	ΙΛ	VII	VIII	ដ	Ħ	SM	Inf	ខ	ShD	WRV	SuD	ဥ	Mtc	Ind	감도	D-KOR	D-NKO	KOR S	NKOR sd	

n = 177 Pecimals omitted

Table VII-6
MACHINIST
Second Year

Description of Sample

State	No. of Inst.	No. of Students
Delaware	1	13
Georgia	. 10	62
New Jersey	2	26
North Carolina	12	104
Pennsylvania	2	11
South Carolina	9	102
Virginia	1	14
	37	$\frac{14}{332}$

Table VII-7 MACHINIST

	Subtest		No. of Items	Time Limit	Reliability K-R (14)	Skewness	Kurtosis
н	Hand Tools and Application	tion	25	25 min	65	25	-57
I	Measuring Tools and Processes	ocesses	8	70 min	87	90	-55
III	Cutting Tools		30	25 min	72	-12	-26
ΔI	Milling Machines		30	25 min	75	15	-43
Δ	Lathes		07	35 min	87	02	-70
VI	Grinding Machines		30	20 min	80	15	-54
VII	Minor Machines		25	20 min	65	-07	02
VIII	Metallurgy		25	20 min	31	-54	96
Total	Ħ				95	20	-02
			Table VII-8	~			
			MACHINIST	•			
		Su	Subtest Intercorrelations Final Test	telations			
Subtest	st I II	III	ΔI	Δ	IV VII	VIII	Total*

					2001				
Subtest	I	Ħ	III	ΔI	Δ	IA	VII	VIII	Total*
I III IV V VI VII VIII Total	1	7.7	89 1 -	46 70 1	55 63 74 —	65 64 64 1	35 47 50 56 56	31 46 46 44 36	66 88 83 83 83 67 67

*Part-whole correlations Decimals omitted

Table VII-)
RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS
MACHINIST SUBTEST

Rau Score	1 Std. Xile Score	2 Std. Zile Score	3 Std. Xile Score	å Std. Xile Score	5 Std. Xile Score	6 Std. Xile Score	7 Std. Xile Score	B Std. Xile Score
687 667 666 667 666 667 669 558 557 661 660 558 557 661 661 661 661 661 662 558 557 663 664 464 464 464 464 465 465 465 465 465	99 771 99 774 99 777 98 690 96 663 91 657 86 610 79 583 74 585 66 529 58 502 50 475 39 442 30 422 19 395 9 368 5 314 1 287 1 287 1 260 1 233	99 757 99 748 99 739 99 739 99 721 98 703 98 694 97 684 97 685 666 95 657 93 639 91 630 88 621 86 612 84 603 81 554 78 575 75 566 71 557 68 548 65 539 62 530 58 521 53 512 53 512 51 503 48 494 46 485 43 475 40 466 36 457 35 448 31 439 28 430 25 421 21 412 21 42 21 42 21 43 21 43 36 37 37 38 48 494 46 485 47 37 37 38 48 494 49 40 466 36 457 35 448 31 439 41 384 12 375 12 366 9 357 9 348 4 339 4 339 4 339 4 339 4 339 4 339 4 339 4 321 7 322 8 4 339 4 339 4 339 4 321 7 322 8 4 339 4 321 7 322 8 4 339 8 5 321 7 322 8 5 321 8 7 328 8 7 329 8 7 3		99 748 99 728 99 728 97 709 97 689 95 669 94 649 91 629 86 609 81 589 75 570 73 550 67 53L 59 510 50 490 43 470 33 451 29 431 22 431 22 371 9 332 2 312 2 312 1 272 1 272	99 735 99 720 99 705 98 675 95 661 92 646 90 631 86 616 84 601 80 587 76 572 72 557 67 542 62 528 58 513 52 498 47 483 42 468 37 454 32 439 28 424 23 409 18 394 13 380 9 365 7 350 4 315 3 320 2 306 1 291 1 261 1 246	99 742 99 724 59 706 97 688 96 652 91 634 88 597 79 579 579 561 68 543 62 523 52 523 52 434 26 416 19 398 14 379 7 398 14 379 7 345 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3	99 800 99 800 99 763 99 763 99 712 98 687 96 662 96 637 89 612 82 586 76 551 69 536 77 511 49 486 40 460 28 410 13 385 10 335 4 309 3 284	99 755 99 716 98 678 94 639 91 601 81 524 47 487 13 408 12 370 7 332 4 293 2 255 2 216



Table VII-10

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

MACHINIST TOTAL TEST

RAW Score	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Xi1e	Std. Score	Raw Score	%ile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Xile	Std.
	- VIIIE	2016	Score	A116	Score	Score	A116	2016	Score	4114	Score
224	99	748	170	80	592	116	30	437	62	1	281
223	99	745	169	79	590	115	29	434	61	1	278
222	99	742	168	78	587	114	28	431	60	1	275
221	99	740	167	78	584	113	27	428	59	1	272
220	99	737	166	77	581	112	26	425	58	1	269
219	99	734	165	76	578	111	25	422	57	1	267
218	99	731	164	76	575	110	24	419	56	1	264
217	99	728	163	76	572	109	23	417	55	1	261
216	99	725	162	75	569	108	21	414	54	1	258
215 214	99 99	722 719	161 160	74 73	567 364	107 106	21 20	411 408			
213	99	716	159	72	561	105	19	405			
212	99	714	158	71	558	104	19	402			
211	99	711	157	70	555	103	18	399			
210	99	708	136	69	552	102	18	396			
209	98	705	155	69	549	101	16	393			
208	98	702	154	67	546	100	15	391			
207	98	699	153	66	563	99	14	388			
206	93	696	152	64	541	98	1)	385			
205	97	693	151	64	538	97	11	382			
204	97	691	150	63	535	96	11	379			
203	96	688	149	63	532	95	10	376			
202	96	685	148	62	529	94	9	373			
201	96	682	147	62	526	93	8	370			
200	96	679	146	61	523	92	6	367			
199	95	676	145	60	520	91	6	365			
198	94	673	144	59	517	30	5	362			
197	94	670	143	58	515	89	5	359			
196	94	667	142	57	512	88	5	356			
195	94	665	141	56	505	87	•	353			
194	94	662	140 139	56 56	506 503	86	4	350 347			
193 192	94 94	659 656	139	55	500	85 34	•	344			
191	93	653	137	53	497	13	- ;	342			
190	92	650	136	52	494	8 ż	i	339			
189	92	647	135	51	492	81	3	336			
188	92	644	134	50	489	80	š	333			
187	91	642	133	47	486	79	3	330			
186	90	639	132	46	493	78	3	327			
185	89	636	131	45	480	77	3	324			
184	88	633	130	44	477	76	3	321			
183	88	630	129	43	474	75	2	318			
182	86	627	128	43	471	74	2	316			
181	86	624	127	42	468	73	1	313			
180	84	621	126	42	466	72	1	310			
179	84	518	125	40	463	71	1	307			
178	84	616	124	38	460	70	1	304			
177	83	613	123	38	457	69	ļ	301			
176	83	610	122	36	454	68	1	298			
175	83	607	121	35	451	67	j	295			
174	82	604	120	34	448	66	ij	293			
173	82	601	119	34	445	65	1	290			
172	81	598	118	32	442	64	1	287			
171	80	595	137	30	440	63	1	284			

63/64

CHAPTER VIII

AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING AND REFRIGERATION

A. EXPERIMENTAL TEST

Tables VIII-1 through VIII-3 present test specifications, descriptions of the sample and detailed psychometric characteristics for the experimental Air Conditioning, Heating and Refrigeration tests. From Table VIII-3 it can be seen that the reliabilities of the experimental subterts range from .45 to .86. Table VIII-4 presents the intercorrelations and factor analysis results. It can be seen that factor I, which accounts for 48 per cent of the common variance, explains most of the correlations among the achievement subtests. Subtests I through IV and VI have very high loadings on factor 1, while subtests V and VII have moderate loadings on this factor. Among the reference tests, mechanical information and inference load moderately on factor 1. Thus, mechanical knowledge and general reasoning seem to be relevant abilities related to successful performance on this achievement test. Several of the reference tests load significantly on factor 4, although this factor is unrelated to performance on the achievement tests.

B. FINAL TEST

The description of the final sample is presented in Table VIII-5, and the psychometric characteristics of this test are presented in Table VIII-6. It can be seen from this table that the reliability of the subtests ranged from .62 to .89, with the total test having a reliability of .95. It can also be seen that skewness is not large for any of the subtests except number II, which is negatively skewed. Kurtosis is not large for any of the subtests except number II and the total test. Both are leptokurtic, meaning that the students' scores cluster about the mean to a greater degree than normal. From the intercorrelations in Table VIII-7 it can be seen that the subtests have moderate to high intercorrelations.

Norms for converting raw scores to percentiles and standard scores are presented in Table VIII-8 for subtests and Table VIII-9 for total test scores.



Table VIII-1
AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING, AND REFRIGERATION
Test Specifications

	CURRICULUM CONTENT AREA	K	U	A _k _	A _u		
1.	PRINCIPLES OF REFRIGERATION						
	A. Basic terminology	12	5	3	1		
	 Heat and temperature 	2	1				
	British thermal unit	2		2			
	3. Specific heat			4			
	4. Gauge pressure	1		2			
	5. Absolute pressure	1		1			
	6. Superheat	1					
	Methods of heat transfer	1	1	2			
	8. Matter and energy	2		1			
	B. Temperature, pressure, volume	6	2		1		
	1. Charles Law	2	1	1			
	2. Dalton's Law	1	1				
	3. Boyle's Law	1					
	4. Evaporation and condensation	1	3				
	C. Mechanical refrigeration cycles	9	5	4			
	1. Compression	3	13	2.			
	2. Absorption	8	6	3			
ı.	REFRIGERATION APPLICATIONS						
	A. Hermetic compressor		1				
	1. Reciprocating	1		2			
	2. Rotary	2	1				
	B. Capillary tube	3	2	2			
	C. Evaporators	1					
	1. Gravity						
	2. Forced convented						
	3. Liquid and air cooling			1			
	D. Condessers						
	1. Gravity		3	1			
	2. Forced convected	3	1				
	3. Air and water cooled	4	2	3	1		
	E. Motors		1				
	1. Shaded pole		2				
	2. Split phase	1					
	3. Capacitor start	6	7	2	2		
	4. Induction	•	i	_			
	F. Controls		-				
	1. Thermostats and defrost	3	4	1			
	2. Relays	ì	2	ī			
	3. Overloads	ī	2	•			
	G. Installation and service problems	-	-				
	1. Leaks, fans, pumps, piping	8		11			
	2. Compressor, motors	4	9	6	1		
	3. Defrosting, draining, moisture	7	8	4	3		
	J. Derrosting, draining, moisture		o	4	J		



			K	U	A _k	A _u
	н.	Equipment selection		3	4	
		1. Floats and coils	5	5	4	
		2. Capillary tubes and expansion valves	5	5 3 7	1	2
		3. Evaporators and condensers	3	-	6	1
	I.		5	10	3	2
		1. Vibration eliminators				
		2. 011 separators	2			
		3. Pressure regulators	1	1		
		4. Solenoid and check valve	1	1	1	
III.	CON	TROLS				
	A.	Instrumentation				
		1. Sensing element	4	4	2	
		2. Controller	2	3		
		3. Actuator	1	1	1	
	В.		2	4		
		1. Voltage, current, resistance	3	1		
		2. Ohm's Law	1	1	1	
		3. Power	3	2		
		4. Transformers	_			
		5. Electromagnetism	1			
	_	6. Parellel and series circuits	1	1	1	
		Electric systems	6	5	3	4
	D.	Pneumatic systems	6	3	1	1
IV.	AIR	CONDITIONING AND HEATING				
	A.	Heating				
		1. Warm air	2	4		1
		2. Hot water	2		1	
		3. Steam	1	2	1	
		4. Heat pump	2		1	
		5. Fuels and burners	10	6	3	2
	В.	Cooling				
		1. Direct expansion	7	6	1	
		2. Chilled water	1	8		
		3. Absorption	3	2		
	С.	Installation and service problems				
		1. Fuels and burners	9	12	6	1
		2. blowers, motors, and static pressure	2	S	1	
		3. Pumps, pipe and head	1	3	1	
		4. Hydronic accessories		_	3	
		5. Duct fabrication and installation		3		
		o. Furnace, boiler and heat pump	_	4	1	
		7. Electric heating elements	3	1	2	
		8. Defrost controls, metering devices	4	3	•	•
	_	9. Compressor, condenser, evaporator		4	5	3
	D.	Properties of air and the psychometric				
		chart		•		
		1. Absolute temperature and pressure	•	1	•	
		2. Temperature, pressure, and volume	1	1	1	•
		3. Density and specific volume	1 2	i	1	1
		4. Standard air		•	_	•
		5. Dry bulb, wet bulb and dew point	5	3	2	3
		6. Humidity ratio - degree saturation 7. Sensible heat ratio	2 2	2 2	2	5
		7. Sensible heat ratio	4	4	4)
		n i				

E. Heat loss and gain 1. Design and conditions 2. U factors 3. Conduction, infiltration and ventilation 4. Internal and solar gains 5. Systems design 1. Air 2. Water 3. Steam V. BLUEPRINT READING AND ESTIMATING A. Mechanical print reading 1. Orthographic and isometric interpretation 2. Three-dimensional layouts 6. A B. Plans and specifications 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 1. Equipment, material, labor 1. Equipment, material, labor 1. Equipment, material, labor VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerant 1. Class I, II, III 5. 3 6. Refrigerant Oils C. Copper tube and fitting and valves 7. 3 7. Pipe and fittings and valves 8. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 8. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 9. Pipe and fittings and valves 10. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 11. Safety 12. Safety 13. Refrigerant 14. Hand tools 15. Safety 16. Hand tools 17. Safety 18. Refrigerant 19. A Safetigerant 10. A Safetigerant 10. A Safetigerant 11. A Safetigerant 12. Shop equipment 13. Refrigerant 14. A Slectrical 15. On-the-job practice				K	U	A _k	A _u
2. U factors 3. Conduction, infiltration and ventilation 2 1 4. Internal and solar gains 2 F. Systems design 1. Air 9 1 2 2. Water 5 3 3 3 3. Steam V. BLUEPRINT READING AND ESTIMATING A. Mechanical print reading 1. Orthographic and isometric interpretation 2 6 2. Three-dimensional layouts 6 4 B. Plans and specifications 2 3 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 7 1 2. General and mechanical 5 1 1 C. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor 1 2 VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerant 011s 3 1 C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Pipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools 1 2 Safety 1. Hand tools 1 1 2. Shop equipment 1 1 3. Refrigerant 1 1 4. Electrical 3 1		E.	Heat loss and gain				
3. Conduction, infiltration and ventilation 2 1 4. Internal and solar gains 2 F. Systems design 1. Air 9 1 2 2. Water 5 3 3 3 3. Steam V. BLUEPRINT READING AND ESTIMATING A. Mechanical print reading 1. Orthographic and isometric interpretation 2 6 2. Three-dimensional layouts 6 4 B. Plans and specifications 2 3 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 7 1 2. General and mechanical 5 1 1 C. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor 1 2 VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerant 0ils 3 1 C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Pipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools 1 2. Shop equipment 1 3. Refrigerant 1 4. Electrical 3 1				2	1		
Ventilation						1	
Ventilation			3. Conduction, infiltration and				
4. Internal and solar gains F. Systems design 1. Air 9 1 2 2. Water 5 3 3 3. Steam V. BLUEPRINT READING AND ESTIMATING A. Mechanical print reading 1. Orthographic and isometric interpretation 2 6 2. Three-dimensional layouts 6 4 B. Plans and specifications 2 3 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 7 1 2. General and mechanical 5 1 1 C. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor 1 2 VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class 1, 11, 111 5 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 7 3 D. Pipe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Safety 1. Hand tools 1 2. Shop equipment 1 3. Refrigerant 1 4. Electrical 3 1			ventilation	2	1		
1. Air 2. Water 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			4. Internal and solar gains	2			
2. Water 3. Steam V. BLUEPRINT READING AND ESTIMATING A. Mechanical print reading 1. Orthographic and isometric		F.	Systems design				
2. Water 3. Steam V. BLUEPRINT READING AND ESTIMATING A. Mechanical print reading 1. Orthographic and isometric interpretation 2 6 2. Three-dimensional layouts 6 4 B. Plans and specifications 2 3 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 7 1 2. General and mechanical 5 1 1 C. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor 1 2 VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 3 1 C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Pipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools 6 2 I. Safety 1. Hand tools 1 2. Shop equipment 1 3. Refrigerant 1 4. Electrical 3 1			1. Air	9	1	2	
V. BLUEPRINT READING AND ESTIMATING A. Mechanical print reading 1. Orthographic and isometric interpretation 2. Three-dimensional layouts 6 4 B. Plans and specifications 2. General and mechanical 5 1 1 C. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 8 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 9 2 1 F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 9 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 10 3 11 Refrigerant hand tools 11 2 3 12 3 13 4 4 4 5 14 4 5 16 4 5 17 4 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8			2. Water	5		3	3
A. Mechanical print reading 1. Orthographic and isometric interpretation 2. Three-dimensional layouts 6. 4 B. Plans and specifications 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 7. 1 2. General and mechanical 5. 1 1 C. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5. 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 7. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7. D. Pipe and fittings and valves 7. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 7. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 7. G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12. Shop equipment 13. Refrigerant 14. Electrical 1 4 4. Electrical			3. Steam				
1. Orthographic and isometric interpretation 2 6 2. Three-dimensional layouts 6 4 B. Plans and specifications 2 3 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 7 1 2. General and mechanical 5 1 1 C. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor 1 2 VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 3 1 C. Copper twbe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools 1 2. Shop equipment 1 3. Refrigerant 1 4. Electrical 3 1	v.	BLU	EPRINT READING AND ESTIMATING				
interpretation 2. Three-dimensional layouts 6. 4 B. Plans and specifications 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 2. General and mechanical 5. 1 C. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor 7. 2 VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5. 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 7. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7. 3 7. Pipe and fittings and valves 8. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 7. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 9. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 10. Safety 11. Safety 12. Shop equipment 13. Refrigerant 14. Electrical 14. Electrical		A.	Mechanical print reading				
2. Three-dimensional layouts B. Plans and specifications 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 2. General and mechanical 3. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5. 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 7. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7. 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 8. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 7. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 9. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 10. Safety 11. Hand tools 12. Shop equipment 13. Refrigerant 14. Electrical 11. And tools 15. Safety 16. Safety 17. Hand tools 18. Refrigerant 19. Re			1. Orthographic and isometric				
B. Plans and specifications 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 2. General and mechanical 3. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5. 3 B. Refrigerant Oils C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7. 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 8. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 11. Safety 12. Shop equipment 33. Refrigerant 14. Electrical 14. Electrical			interpretation	2	6		
B. Plans and specifications 1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 2. General and mechanical 3. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5. 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 7. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7. D. Pipe and fittings and valves 8. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 8. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 9. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 10. Safety 11. Hand tools 12. Shop equipment 13. Refrigerant 14. Electrical			2. Three-dimensional layouts	6	4		
1. Drawing symbols and abbreviations 7 1 2. General and mechanical 5 1 1 C. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor 1 2 VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 3 1 C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools 6 2 I. Safety 1. Hand tools 1 2. Shop equipment 1 3. Refrigerant 1 4. Electrical 3 1		В.		2			
2. General and mechanical 5 1 1 C. Take-off procedures 1. Equipment, material, labor 1 2 VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 3 1 C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools 6 2 I. Safety 1. Hand tools 1 2. Shop equipment 1 3. Refrigerant 1 4. Electrical 3 1							
1. Equipment, material, labor 1 2 VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 3 1 C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools 6 2 I. Safety 1. Hand tools 1 2. Shop equipment 1 3. Refrigerant 1 4. Electrical 3 1				5	1	1	
VI. MATERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5 3 B. Refrigerant Oils C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 8 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 9 2 9 3 3 10 2 11 3 4 12 4 13 4 14 5 5 15 4 16 5 17 5 18 6 19 7 10 7 11 7 12 7 13 7 14 7 15 7 16 7 17 7 18 7 18 7 18 7 18 7 18 7 18 7 18 7 18 8 1		С.	Take-off procedures				
A. Refrigerants 1. Class I, II, III 5 3 B. Refrigerant Oils C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools 1 2. Safety 1. Hand tools 2. Shop equipment 3. Refrigerant 4. Electrical 3 1			1. Equipment, material, labor	1	2		
1. Class I, II, III 5 3 B. Refrigerant Oils 3 1 C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools 6 2 I. Safety 1. Hand tools 1 2. Shop equipment 1 3. Refrigerant 1 4. Electrical 3 1	٧I.	MAT	ERIALS, TOOLS AND SAFETY				
B. Refrigerant Oils C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 7 3 C. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 II. Refrigerant hand tools 1 2. Safety 1. Hand tools 2. Shop equipment 3. Refrigerant 4. Electrical 3 1		A.	Refrigerants				
C. Copper twhe and fitting and valves 7 3 D. Pipe and fittings and valves 3 3 E. Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation 6 2 1 F. Fipe cutter, threaders, reamers 3 2 G. Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip. 12 3 H. Refrigerant hand tools 6 2 I. Safety 1. Hand tools 1 2. Shop equipment 1 3. Refrigerant 1 4. Electrical 3 1			1. Class I, II, III	5	3		
I. Safety 1. Hand tools 2. Shop equipment 3. Refrigerant 4. Electrical 3 1		В.	Refrigerant Oils	3	1		
I. Safety 1. Hand tools 2. Shop equipment 3. Refrigerant 4. Electrical 3 1		С.	Copper tube and fitting and valves		3		
I. Safety 1. Hand tools 2. Shop equipment 3. Refrigerant 4. Electrical 3 1		D.	Pipe and fittings and valves	3	3		
I. Safety 1. Hand tools 2. Shop equipment 3. Refrigerant 4. Electrical 3 1		Ε.	Sheet metal, pipe and duct insulation	6	2	1	
I. Safety 1. Hand tools 2. Shop equipment 3. Refrigerant 4. Electrical 3 1		F.	lipe cutter, threaders, reamers	3	2		
I. Safety 1. Hand tools 2. Shop equipment 3. Refrigerant 4. Electrical 3 1		G.	Sheet metal hand tools and shop equip.	12	3		
1. Hand tools 2. Shop equipment 3. Refrigerant 4. Electrical 3 1		н.	Refrigerant hand tools	6	2		
2. Shop equipment 1 3. Refrigerant 1 4. Electrical 3 1		I.	Safety				
3. Refrigerant 1 4 4. Electrical 3 1			1. Hand tools	1			
3. Refrigerant 1 4 4. Electrical 3 1			2. Shop equipment		1		
4. Electrical 3 1				1	4		
5. On-the-job practice 2 2			4. Electrical		3	1	
			5. On-the-job practice	2	2		



Table VIII-2

AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING AND REFRIGERATION
First Year

State	No. of Inst.	No. of Stu.
Georgia	1	10
North Carolina	6	53
South Carolina	9	$\frac{89}{152}$
	16	152

Table VIII-3
AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING AND REFRIGERATION Experimental Tests

Description and Reliabilities

Subtest	Time Limit (min)	No. of Items	Reliability
I. Fundamentals	35	44	.75
II Refrigeration	65	75	.8ن
III Air Conditioning	65	76	.83
IV Controls	30	40	.80
V Blueprint reading	20	25	.86
VI Materials, Tools and	Equipment 15	20	.65
VII Estimating Controls	15 245	<u>20</u> 300	.45



Table VIII-4
AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING AND REFRIGERATION
Intercorrelations and factor loadings

				•			Int	ercor	Intercorrelations	lons									
				Subtests	sts		1			Ş	Reference	e Tests	Sts	ĺ	¢	1	34	Ş	
	1	11	111	1V	٨	11	111	IH	HS	3uI	၁၁	МВЛ	ans	EC	- L	racter Loadings	3	7	p ₂
-	!	۶	72	£3	33	13	14	42	03	33	60	*		05	8	9	-10	19	69
. 11		:]	78	19	8	8	. 3	64	-07	33	05	28	81	-12	82	***	-27	13	73
<u>+</u> +			: 1	69	94	3	53	33	B	37	90	07		33	87	9	-13	91	8
101				1	53	19	25	27	18	45	12	8		70	8	17	05	22	72
i 5					1	8	42	25	03	35	33	22		18	22	14	77	7 3	S
. 17						1	47	ጽ	63	28	80	27		77	85	Ö	07	9 9	73
717							:	24	21	39	16	31		ဗို	62	ដ	9	77	87
, L								1	13	32	07	39		-25	37	8	99-	33	89
3									ł	80	รา	50		-05	9	87	ခို	9	77
10,										1	35	94		21	36	21	05	62	26
į g											1	14		71	9	77	16	19	ŝ
AZEA												}		25	28	9	-18	99	55
Qu.S														2	8	-26	70	72	9
) (4)														1	90	-03	82	21	72
•												N	Z Var.		48-2	13.0	14.7	24.1	
												ರೆ	Cum. Z		48.2	61.2	75.9	100.3	
25.																		 	

n = 152 Decimals omicted

Table VIII-5

AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING AND REFRIGERATION Second Year

State	No. of Inst.	No. of Stu.
Georgia	8	46
North Carolina	8	73
Pennsylvania	1	7
South Carolina	8	62
Virginia	1_	$\frac{16}{204}$
•	26	204



Table VIII-6 AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING AND REFRIGERATION

Psychometric Characteristics Final Test

	Subtest	No. of Items	Time Limit	Reliability K-R (14)	Skewness	Kurtosis
н	Principles of Refrigeration	30	30 min	17	-23	23
Ħ	Refrigeration Applications	09		83	-79	1.68
III	Controls	జ		72	*	- 04
ì	Air Conditioning	80	75 min	89	23	-38
Þ	Blueprint Reading and Estimating	22		70	-25	-26
F	Materials, Tools and Safety	25		62	-14	59
	Total test			95	10	1.24

Table VIII-7
AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING AND REFRIGERATION

Subtest Intercorrelations Final Test

Subtest	ı	II	III	N.	Λ	VI	TOTAL*
I II V V VI VI	1	7.	61	75 77 1	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7	45 47 43 60 35	83 83 84 87 87

*Part-whole correlations Decimals omitted



Tably VIII-8

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING AND REFRIGERATION SUBTEST

		1		2		3		4		5		6
RAW Score	Zile	Std. Score	Zile	Std. Score	Zíle	Std. Score	2110	Std. Score	211e	Std. Score	Xile	Std. Score
69							99	778				
68							99	770				
67 66							99 99	761 753				
65							99	744				
64							99	735				
63 62							99 99	727 718				
61							99	710				
60 59							98 98	701 693				
58							97	684				
57							96	675	•			
56 55							95 93	667 658				
54							92	650				
53 52			99 99	721 710			90 88	641 633				
51			99	699			86	624				
50			99	687			84	616				
49 48			98 97	676 665			83 82	607 598				
47			96	653			80	590				
46 45		•	96 94	642 631			77 75	581 573				
44			91	619			ກັ	564				
43			89	608			72	556				
42 41			85 81	596 585			70 68	547 538				
40			79	574			65	530				
39 38			75 68	562 551			63 61	521 513				
37			63	540			58	504				
36			60	528			53	496				
35 34			54 51	517 506			50 48	487 478				
))			47	494			43	470				
32 31			44 37	483 472			39 35	461 453				
30			ິ່ງ	460			31	444				
29			29	449	99	800 800	28	436				
28 21	99	716	26 21	438 426	99 39	783	26 24	427 418				
2 5	99	693	19	415	99	761	22	410				
25 24	98 95	670 647	16 13	404 392	99 98	739 717	18 14	401 393				
23	89	625	ii	381	97	695	12	384				
72 71	85	602	9	369	95 94	673 6 31	• 8	376 367	99 99	718 693	99	777
20	80 72	579 556	7	358 347	91	629	î	358	98	668	"	748
19	65	533	5	335	86	606	5	350	94	644	"	719
18 17	58 53	511 488	4	324 313	83 77	584 562	3	341 333	89 83	619 595	97 97	690 662
16	40	465	ž	301	72	540	2	324	79	570	93	633
15	33	442	1	290	62 53	518 496	1	316 307	70 60	545 521	86 61	604 575
14	27 19	420 397	1 1	279 267	53 44	474	1 1	298	53	49.	73	546
13	10	374	1	256	38	452	1	290	41	471	43	518
11 10	?	351 328	1 1	245 233	29 21	429 407	1	281 273	30 25	447	51 39	489 460
5	ž	306	3	227	17	385	1	264	19	398	28	431
3	1	283	ì	211	• •	363 341	1	256	13	373 348	18 9	402 373
6	1 1	260 237	1	500 500)	319			10 5	324	,	345
\$	1	215	1	500	ì	297			2	299	3	316
	1	200 200	1	200 200					1	274 250	2	287 258
) }	1	200	1	500					1	225	1	229
1	1	200	1	500					1	500	1	201



Table VIII-9

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING AND REPRIGERATION TOTAL TEST

Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Score	tile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Scote	Xile	Std.
204	99	760	155	81	596	106	25	432	57	1	268
203	99	756	154	81	593	105	24	429	56	1	265
202	99	753	153	80	589	104	22	426	55	1	262
201	99	750	152	80	586	103	22	422	54	1	258
200	99	746	151	78	583	102	21	419	53	1	255
199	99	743	150	77	579	101	20	416	52	1	252
198	99	740	149	76	576	100	19	412	51	1	248
197	99	736	148	76	573	99	19	409	50	1	245
196	98	733	147	75	569	98	19	405	49	1	242
195	98	730	146	74	566	97	17	402			
194	98	726	145	73	563	96	16	399			
193	98	723	144	71	559	95	16	395			
192	98	720	143	70	556	94	15	392			
191	98	716	142	70	553	93	15	389			
190	98	713	141	69	549	92	14	385			
189	98	710	140	68	546	91	13	382			
188	97	706	139	68	543	90	12	379			
187	97	703	138	67	539	89	10	375			
186	97	700	137	65	533	88	10	372			
185	97	696	136	64	532	87	9	369			
184	97	693	133	63	527	86	9	365			
183	97	690	134	61	526	85	8	362			
182	97	686	133	59	522	84	7	359			
181	96	683	132	58	519	83	6	355			
180	96	680	131	56	516	42	6	354			
179	96	676	130	55	512	81	5	349			
178	96	673	129	55	509	80	5	345			
177	95	670	128	54	506	79	5	342			
176	95	666	127	51	502	78	4	339			
175	95	663	126	51	499	77	4	335			
174	95	659	125	50	496	76	4	332			
173	\$4	656	124	49	492	75	4	329			
172	94	653	123	47	489	74	4	325			
171	94	649	122	47	486	73	2	322			
170	93	646	121	46	482	74	2	319			
169	93	643	120	44	479	71	2	313			
168	93	639	119	42	476	70	1	312			
16?	92	636	118	40	472	69	1	309			
166	91	633	117	40	469	68	1	305			
165	89	629	116	39	466	67	1	302			
164	89	626	115	38	462	66	1	299			
163	88	623	114	36	459	65	j	295			
162	86	619	113	35	456	64	1	292			
161	85	616	112	34	452	63	1	289			
160	84	613	111	32	449	52	1	285			
159	83	609	110	30	446	61	1	282			
158	83	606	109	29	442	60	1	278			
157	83	602	108	28	439	19	1	275			
156	₩2	599	107	26	436	58	1	272			

CHAPTER IX

ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE

A. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

Table IX-1 through IX-3 present test specifications, description of the sample, and psychomotive characteristics of the experimental tests. From Table IX-3 it can be seen that the reliabilities of the experimental subtests ranged from .61 to .85. The intercorrelations and factor analysis results for form A of the test are shown in Table IX-4. Subtest III, Construction Wiring, loads on factor 3 along with the Mechanical Information, Subtraction-Multiplication, and the Cube Comparison reference tests. All other achievement tests load on factor 1, which accounts for 47 per cent of the common variance and accounts for most of the correlations among the subtests. Subtest III, however, appears to be measuring a different dimension relying on abilities other than those required by the other subtests.

Table IX-5 presents the intercorrelations and factor analysis for form B. Subtests IV, VI, and VII load on factor 5, while Subtest V loads on factor 3. The fact that the subtests are spread across three factors gives an indication that the subtests are not measuring a common dimension of achievement as was true of form A.

B. FINAL TEST

Tables IX-6 through IX-8 present the description of the sample, the psychometric characteristics, and the intercorrelations of the final test. The reliabilities of the subtests range from .70 to .87, with the reliability of the total test being .94. The total test is slightly positively skewed indicating a tendency to score in the lower portion of the distribution. The total test distribution is also slightly platykurtic. The intercorrelations in Table IX-8 indicate varying degrees of overlap among the subtests.

Tables IX-9 and IX-10 present conversions from raw scores to percentiles and standard scores.



Table IX-1
ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE
Test Specifications

	CURRICULUM CONTENT AREA	К	U	A _k	A _u
I. D	IREC'I CURRENT				
Α.	Electron physics	14	5	5	1
В.	Ohm's Law	6	2	5	1
	 Series, parallel 	2		3	
	2. Series, parallel	· 3	1		
С.	Kirchoff's Law	3	4	J .	2
	1. Series, parallel	2	1		
	2. Series, parallel		5		
D.	Electrostatics and capacitance				
Ε.	Magnetism	2			
	1. Permanent magnets	3			
	2. Electromagnets	ĺ			
F.	Meter movements	2	1		
G.		ī	_		
		_			
	TERNATING CURRENT	_		_	_
Α.		2	2	3	3
В.		8	9 .	4	8
С.	Reactance	2	3	3	2
	1. Capacitance	9			
	2. Inductance	4			
	3. Phase angles	2			
D.	Impedence	2	1		
	1. Series				
	a. L-R	3	1		
	b. C-R				
	c. L-C-R	3	1		
	2. Parallel				
	a. L-R				
	b. C-R				
	c. L-C-R	2	1		
Ε.	Resonance				
	1. Series	1			
	2. Parallel	1			
F.	Power factor	9	2		
G.		4	8		
	Transformers	13	7	4	
		_			
III.	MACHINES AND CONTROLS	^			•
	A. D.C. machines and controls	3	^	•	1
	1. Generators	10	2	2	^
	2. Motors	12	7	1	2
	B. A.C. machines and controls	_	^	•	
	1. Alternator	7	2	1	
	2. Motor	31	4	1	



			K	U	A _k	A _u
IV.	WIR	ING	1.7	10	8	
	Α.	Residential	11			
	В.	Commercial	8	3	2	
	C.	Industrial	30	11	7	
	ο.	Blue print readings	3			
V.	BASI	C ELECTRONICS	3	3	1	2
	Α.	Vacuum tubes	6			
		1. Electron emission	4			
		2. Diodes	4	1		
		3. Triodes	3 2			
		4. Multi-element 5. Gas tubes	2			
	В.	Semi-conductors				
	ь.	1. Solid-state physics	6			
		2. Semi-conductor diodes	ĭ		1	
		3. Junction transistors	7		3	1
		4. Field effect transistors	1	2		
	C.	Power supply	2	1		
		1. Half-wave	1	1		
		2. Full wave	1	2		
		a. Bridge	1	_	_	
		b. Conventional	•	1	1	
		3. Voltage - multiplying	3 2	1		1
	n	4. Filter networks	2			
	D.	Amplifiers 1. Voltage	6		1	
		2. Current	6	1	2	
		3. Power	í	ī	1	
	Ε.	Oscillators	1	1	_	
		1. Sinusoidal			1	
		2. Non-sinusoidal				
VI.	IND	USTRIAL CONTROLS	2	8	9	2
	Α.	Signal devices	_	•	•	_
		1. Thermocouple	3			
		2. Photo electric cell	2		1	
		3. Synchro and servo mechanism	13	2	1	
	В.	Switching circuits		2	1	
		1. Time delay	5	2	2	1
		2. Heat and light control	5	•	1	1
		3. Logic		1	1	
		UBLESHOOTING (all fields)	50	30	18	10
VIII		RE AND USE OF EQUIPMENT	2	•		
	Α.	Tools	1	2		
	В.	Instruments	25	6		
IX.	SAF					
	Α.	Personnel	3	1		
		1. Precautions	7	_		
	_	2. First aid	2	1		
	В.	Equipment	4	1		



Table IX-2
ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE¹
First Year

State	No. of Inst.	No. of Students
Delaware	1	13
Georgia	1	11
New Jersey	3	39
North Carolina	6	47
South Carolina	7	94
	18	204

¹In some states this curriculum is designated Industrial Electricity; in other states it is called Industrial Electronics.

Table IX-3
ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE
Experimental Tests

Description and Reliabilities

	•	Time Limit	No. of	E Items	Relia	bility
	Subtest	(min)	Form A	Form B	Form A	Form B
I	Basic Electrical Theory	y 45	45	45	.72	.70
II	Machines and Controls	50	60	60	.80	.73
III	Construction Wiring	35	45	45	.73	.76
IV V	Basic Electronic Thoer Industrial Electronic	y 25	30	30	.72	.85
	Application	30	30	30	.78	.70
VI VII	Electrical Malfunctions Safety, Personal and	s 60	60	60	.76	.81
	Equipment	$\frac{20}{265}$	<u>30</u> 300	$\frac{26}{296}$.61	.68



ERIC.

Table IX-4
INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR
ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE

Experimental Test - Form A

		h^2	58	74	99	69	99	71	55	40	22	37	29	79	65	69	72		
	800	6 4	-39	-13	80	35	11	80-	-01	-11	- 01	70	-34	-88	-42	90	-47	7 2	100.0
	oad i	3	-27	97-	-67	-22	-04	9	90	-40	-20	-59	90	-03	-55	-22	77	9 71	9.98
	Factor Loadings	7	8	-10	-11	30	5 6	01	23	36	72	14	62	13	22	80	99	7	72.0 8
	T.		59	11	45	28	9/	84	71	31	12	90	29	03	-33	8	10	6 7 67	47.47
	ı	laI	16	02	-14	70	15	18	17	17	39	00	47	77	17	47	ł	,	• 84
		MBA	16	60	9	17	24	-07	22	17	67	15	31	60	18	ł		6/7	Cum. %
 	S	၁၁	2	-01	80	-02	O	-20	-12	13	23	23	19	33	ł				
	Reference Tests	PC	26	14	70	-14	02	-01	60	10	14	-02	34	}					
	rence	ans	26	05	-02	29	30	27	34	33	37	16	!						
	Refe	MS	23	15	22	18	12	80	23	16	80	ļ							
tions		वपड	18	19	15	28	27	15	16	30	!								
Intercorrelations		IM	29	38	32	17	16	36	32	1									
nterc		IIA	0,4	43	27	77	20	48	ļ										
F		IV	43	24	32	34	09	1											
	· w	۸	41	45	34	56	. !												
	Subtests	ΛI	26	70	38	1													
{	Sul	111	24	62	} }														
 		11	54																
		I	1																
			-	1	III	ΔΙ	· >	M	VII	¥	ShD	SM	SuD	FC) ()	WRV	Inf		

n = 100 Decimals omitted

Table IX-5
INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR

ELECTRICAL INSTALLATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

Experimental Test - Form B

		_h 2	57	20	43	72	25	74	69	89	61	63	71	85	88	71	65		
		2	34	15	80	8	30	99	71	60	20	14	21	-12	22	6	45	11.5	100.0
	lings	4	05	-14	80	15	27	15	- 33	-19	-53	12	-55	- 88	- 05	01	60-	12.2	88.3
	Factor Loadings	3	90	03	-10	-12	- 58	90	10	- 04	-05	77	04	05	12	20	-03	14.7	76.1
	Facto	7	20	-07	- 04	10	03	80	-13	62	11	90-	9	11	8	77	56	25.4	61.4
			99	81	79	17	11	52	22	20	25	90-	90	-12	80	15	-35	36.0	36.0
	į	JuI	90	은	-07	20	-05	10	13	21	30	2	36	80	33	8	i	% Var	Cum. %
		МВЛ		-	•		-						37					*	ຽ
	sts	ລວ	05	두	-18	-07	7	-27	-22	49	-05	8	38	22	ļ				
	e Te	FC	_	5					_					ļ					
	Reference Tests	ans	28	-01	ဗ	13	-03	9	2,1	45	43	80-	1						
suc	Refe	ЖS	07	90	-05	-08 -	-15	90	07	00	8	ł							
relations		ачѕ	28	32	77	32	90	35	36	32	į								
		IM	31	38	21	17	04	28	22	ţ									
Intercor		IIA	36	29	15	52	80	49	ł										
됩		IΛ	45	7	32	57	25	ļ											
	L.	Λ	60	12	13	31	l												
	Subtest	ΛI	33	23	20	l													
	Š	111	27	35	ł														
		11	54	ł															
	ļ	I																	
		Ì	H	Ħ	III	ΛΙ	>	M	VII	Ħ	ShD	æ	SuD	FC	ပ္ပ	WRV	Inf		

n = 102 Decimals omitted

Table IX-6

ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE¹

Second Year

State	No. of Inst.	No. of Students
Delaware	1	12
Georgia	3	18
New Jersey	2	29
North Carolina	5	47
Pennsylvania	2	32
South Carolina	9	74
	22	$\frac{74}{212}$

In some states this curriculum is designated Industrial Electricity or Industrial Electronics.



Table IX-7

ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE

Psychometric Characteristics Final Test

	Subtest	No. of Items	Time Limit	Reliability K-R (14)	Skewness	Kurtosis	1
н	Basic Electronic Theory	30		79	77	-32	
II	Machines and Controls	45	50 min	87	65	-25	
III	Construction Wiring	30		80	89	10	
ΙΛ	Basic Electronic Theory	30		85	-14	99-	
۸	Industrial Electricity Applications	30		71	25	-22	
ΛI	Diagnosis of Electrical Malfunctions	45		75	27	72	
VII	Safety - Personal and Equipment Total test	25		270	-40 55	-94	

Table IX-8
ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE

Subtest Intercorrelations Final Test

Subtest	I	II	III	IV	Λ	VI	VII	TOTAL*
I III IV V VI VI VI	1	69	53 8 1	75 48 4 8 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6	41 34 70	55 56 66 1	50 33 38 60 60	78 77 69 83 69 70
4 6 5 6 1								1

*Part-whole correlations Decimals omitted



Table IX-9
RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS
ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE SUBTEST

		1		2		1		4		5		6		7
Raw Score	lile	Std. Score	Xile	Std. Score	Xile	Std. Score	Xile	Std. Score	Xile	Std. Score	Zile	Std. Score	Xile	Std Scor
39											99	800		
38											99	800		
37											99	800		
36			99	770							99	785		
35			99	755							98	768		
34			99	741							98	752		
33			98	726							98	736		
32			96	711							97	719		
31			95	696							96	703		
30			93	681			99	732			96	687		
29 28			92	666			99	716			95	670		
27	99 99	795 775	90	651	99	778	99 98	700 684			93	654		
26	99	754	87	637 622							93	638		
25	98	733	86 85	607	99 98	757	97 96	668 652			88	621		
24	97	713		592		737					87	605	99	790
23	95	692	80 80	577	97 94	716	93	635 619			84	589	99	765
22	94	672	77	562	92	69 6 676	89 83	603		781	80 78	572 556	99	741 716
21	93	651	72	547	92	655	79	587	99 98	758	73	540	99 98	691
20	92	631	68	533	90	635	74	571	98	735 735	62	523	98	667
19	88	610	63	518	87	615	70	555	98	712	56	507	97	642
18	83	590	60	503	83	594	63	539	97	C89	52	491	90	617
17	77	569	58	488	79	574	60	523	95	666	46	474	86	593
16	72	549	53	473	'n	554	54 -	507	93	643	40	458	80	568
15	65	528	46	458	71	533	48	490	89	620	30	442	67	543
14	57	508	37	443	68	513	44	474	86	597	23	425	56	519
13	51	487	28	429	60	492	37	458	79	574	19	409	48	494
12	45	467	21	414	49	472	33	442	74	551	15	392	40	469
ii	36	446	15	399	40	452	26	426	66	529	10	376	30	444
10	31	425	10	384	31	431	20	410	56	506	-6	360	23	420
	23	405	- 5	369	19	411	17	394	49	483	3	343	19	395
8	14	384	ý	254	12	391	15	378	41	460	2	327	12	370
9 8 7	10	364	3	339	-;	370	13	362	30	437	2	311	-;	346
6	^š	343	ĭ	325	5	350	Ĩ	346	24	424	2	294	5	321
5	i	323	ī	310	2	330	4	329	17	391	2	278	4	296
5	-		ī	295	2	309	ż	313	10	368	ī	262	ž	272
3			•		_	,	2	297	8	345	ī	245	ī	247
3 2 1							ī	281	š	322	ĩ	229	ī	222
1							ī	265	ī	299	ī	213	ī	200



Table IX-10

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE TOTAL TEST

Raw Score	%ile	Std. Score	Raw Score	%ile	Std. Score	Raw Score	%51e	Std. Score	Pau Score	%ile	Std. Score
201	99	800	149	93	664	97	50	483	45	1	301
200	99	800	148	93	660	96	49	479	44	ī	298
199	99	800	147	92	657	95	47	476	43	1	294
198	99	800	146	91	654	94	45	472	42.	ī	291
197	99	800	145	91	650	93	43	469	41	1	287
196	99	800	144	91	647	92	41	465	40	1	284
195	99	800	143	91	643	91	39	462	39	1	280
194	99	800	142	91	640	90	38	458	38	1	277
193	99	800	141	91	636	89	36	455	37	1	273
192	99	800	140	90	633	88	35	451	36	1	270
191	99	800	139	88	629	87	34	448	35	1	266
190	99	800	138	88	626	86	32	444	34	1	263
189	99	800	137 '	88	622	85	31	441.	33	1	259
188	99	800	136	88	619	84	30	437	32	1	256
187	99	797	135	87	615	83	30	434	31	1	252
186	99	793	134	87	612	82	28	430	30	1	249
185	98	790	133	86	608	81	26	427	29	1	245
184	98	786	132	85	605	80	26	423	28	1	242
183	98	783	131	85	601	79	24	420	27	1	238
182	98	779	130	84	598	78	22	416	26	1	235
181	98	776	129	83	594	77	20	413			
180	98	772	128	82	591	76	17	409			
179	98	769	127	82	587	75	15	406			
178	98	765	126	81	584	74	15	402			
177	98	762	125	80	580	73	14	399			
176	98	758	124	79	577	72	13	395			
175	98	755	123	78	573	71	11	392			
174	98	751	122	78	570	70	11	388			
173	98	748	121	78	566	69	10	385			
172	98	744	120	76	563	68	9	381			
171	98	741	119	76	559	67	9	378 374			
170	98	737	118	74 72	556 552	66 6 5	7	374			
169	98	734	117	72 71	552 540	64	7 7	371 367			
168	98	730	116 115	71 68	549 545	63	5	364			
167 165	98 98	727 723	113	67	542	62	3	361			
165	98	720	113	67	538	61	3	357			
164	98	716	112	66	535	60	2	354			
163	97	713	111	66	531	59	2	350			
162	97	709	110	65	528	58	2	347			
161	96	706	109	63	524	57	2	343			
160	96	702	108	60	521	56	2	340			
159	95	699	107	59	517	· 55	2	336			
158	95	695	106	58	514	54	2	333			
157	94	692	105	55	510	53	2	329			
156	94	688	104	54	507	52	1	326			
155	94	685	103	53	504	51	1	322			
154	94	681	102	53	500	50	1	319			
153	94	678	101	52	497	49	1	315			
152	93	674	100	52	493	48	1	312			
151	93	671	99	52	490	47	1	308			
150	93	667	98	51	486	46	1	305			



CHAPTER X

RADIO AND TELEVISION SERVICING

A. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

Tables X-1 through X-3 present test specifications, a description of the sample, and detailed psychometric characteristics for the experimental Radio and Television Servicing tests. From Table X-3 it can be seen that the reliabilities of the experimental subtests ranged from .22 to .90. Table X-4 presents the intercorrelations and factor analysis results for form A of the experimental test. It can be seen that all of the subtests except X load on factor 1. This factor, which accounts for 43 per cent of the common variance, appears to be the one underlying the general ability needed for success in the Radio and Television Servicing curriculum. None of the reference tests load on this factor. Subtest III, Vacuum Tubes, has a moderate loading on factor 4 but the fact that this is a bipolar factor suggests that it is not a meaningful dimension of performance.

Table X-5 presents the intercorrelations and factor analysis results for form B of the experimental test. All the subtests, including subtest X, load on factor 1, which accounts for 49 per cent of the common variance. Factor 2 seems to be a bipolar factor with the performance tests, TV-Dynamic and TV-Static, representing manipulation abilities and the Cube Comparison, Subtraction-Multiplication, and Wide Range Vocabulary reference tests representing general and abstract reasoning. The two analyses agree fairly closely, although the reference tests for form A show some moderate loadings on a variety of factors whereas a few tests load on factor 2 for form B.

B. FINAL TEST

The description of the sample for Radio and Television Servicing is presented in Table X-6 and psychometric characteristics for this test are presented in Table X-7. It can be seen from this table that the subtests have reliabilities ranging from .78 to .86, with a total test reliability of .96. It can also be seen from this table that the skewness for the subtests is not large except for Subtest II. Kurtosis, although negative for most of the subtests, is not large except for Subtest II which is highly positive, i.e., leptokurtic. Interpreted thusly, Subtest II had the students' results clustering very significantly about the mean, i.e., there was not a wide range of scores. From the intercorrelations in Table X-8 it can be seen that the subtests have moderate to high intercorrelations. The homogeneous intercorrelations would indicate that the subtests all measure about the same degree of common variance, as was found in the factor analyses of the experimental tests

Norms for converting raw scores to percentiles, and standard scores are presented in X-9 for subtests and Table X-10 for total test scores.



85

Table X-1 RADIO-TELEVISION SERVICING

Test Specifications

	CURRICULUM CONTENT AREA	K	U	A _k	A _u _
ı.	FUNDAMENTALS OF DIRECT CURRENT	·			
	A. Series	9	4	5	2
	B. Parallel	8	3	4	
	C. Combination (series-parallel)	5	2	3	
II.	FUNDAMENTALS OF ALTERNATING CURRENT				
	A. Series	10	34	14	
	B. Parellel	12	22	10	
	C. Combination (series-parallel)	10	12	4	
	D. Resonance	9	17	3	
III.	VACUUM TUBES				
	A. Diode	13	3	1	
	B. Triode	15	15	3	3
	C. Tetrade		3	1	
	D. Pentode	9	2		
	E. Special	5	5	1	
IV.	POWER SUPPLY				
	A. Half-wave	4	2	2	
	B. Full-wave	2	4	3	1
	C. Bridge	2		2	
	D. Doublers		2		
	E. Filters	5	1	2	
	F. Special	1	1	1	
٧.	AMPLIFIERS				
	A. Audio	30	16	13	
	B. Radio-frequency (R.F.)	14	6	2	1
	C. Video	16	5	4	1
	D. Special	7	2	2	
VI.	SPECIAL CIRCUITS				
	A. Oscillator	20	5	2	
	B. Detector	8	3		
	C. Conventor	1	1		
	D. Special	3	6	5	
VII.	SEMI-CONDUCTORS				
	A. Diode	24	6	1	
	B. Transistor	50	7	1	1
	C. Other or special	9	ì		



		К	U	$\frac{A_k}{}$	A _u
VIII.	RADIO RECEIVER				
	A. T.R.F. (Tuned radio frequency) B. Super het adyne	11	3	5	
	1. Home a. A.M.	3	2	2	
	b. F.M. 2. Auto	3	2 1	2 1	
	a. A.M.	3 3			
	b. F.M.	3			
	C. Stereo Multiplex	4			
	D. Multiband				
	E. Special	2		1	
IX.	TELEVISION				
	A. Black and white	33	27	13	
	B. Color	34	20	7	5
х.	TEST EQUIPMENT AND TOOLS				
	A. Types	6	2	2	
	B. Use	6	2 5		
	C. Maintenance	1	-		
	D. Safety Practices	2	1		

Table X-2

RADIO AND TELEVISION SERVICING First Year

State	No. of Inst.	No. of Stu.
Delaware	1	10
Georgia	1	13
North Carolina	8	73
South Carolina	2	_13
	12	109

This curriculum is sometimes designated Industrial Electronics or Electronics Servicing.



Table X-3

RADIO AND TELEVISION SERVICING Experimental Tests

Description and Reliabilities

		Time Limit	No. o	f Items	Reliab	ility
	Subtest	(Min)	Form A	Form B	Form A	Form B
I	Fundamentels of Direct					
	Current	20	24	23	.71	.71
II	Fundamentals of Alterna-					
	ting Current	45	40	40	. 78	.50
III	Vacuum Tubes	20	22	22	.62	. 72
ΙV	Power Supply	15	17	17	. 47	.54
V	Amplifiers	20	21	20	.55	.74
IV	Special Circuits	20	20	23	.77	.85
VII	Semi-Conductors	20	23	23	.73	. 64
VIII	Radio Receiver	15	16	15	. 22	.52
IX	Television	60	64	63	.90	.89
X	Test Equipment and Tools	5	9	9	.59	.53
		240	256	255		



Table X-4

INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR RADIO AND TELEVISION REPAIR

Experimental Test - Form A

		ſ	h ²						99															•
		fngs	7	19	9	54	19	0	16	70	25	9	15	63	-13	8	ဝို	-55	-10	14	8	፠	62	17.2
		Load	3	21	S	13	-04	03	31	27	18	15	40	17	77	86	33	21	35	11	25	86	16	19.4
		Factor Loadings	2	22	23	23	8	Ą	15	15	20	0	12	9	7,4	32	41	-11	8	73	9/	ဗို	-14	26.1
			1	62	65	67	75	79	72	2	65	29	11	33	23	ဗု	9	17	12	16	13	27	87	43.3
	3	ĺ	VT						22				•		•	•		٠	•	•			1	٠١.
		IQ-	VT						28													i		Z Var.
		3	uŢ	23	22	36	3	9	31	22	25	29	13	-70	8	31	-14	8	56	89	ŀ			14
		Λ	MB	¥	82	31	14	8	7.7	21	41	32	15	32	55	21	-15	11	9	1				
	Reference Tests		၁၁	22	=	56	ဗ	-05	22	03	17	15	-0	0	20	24	77	23	-					
	ce 1		EC	8	32	-13	9	12	6	05	03	8	9	8	10	-16	9	1						
	erer	q	ns	13	14	15	77	53	31	33	13	25	5	32	-25	25	1							
tons	Ref		нs	26	25	15	8 9	8	23	78	18	11	0	8	2	1								
elat		q	чs	33	33	20	*	20	77	37	14	þ	급	15	1									
COLL		,	IH	97	13	83	37	77	37	33	29	77	8	1										
Intercorrelations		1	x	14	11	ဗ္က	22	ટ	-18	14	13	29	ł											
1			ХI	97	87	39	57	57	፠	ኝ	68	ł												
		11	111	52	37	57	47	88	3	57	1													
		3	11	67	55	37	21	61	63	1														
1	2365	l	I۸	×	53	97	8	δ,	1															
	Subtests		Λ	1.7	47	ጸ	27	1																
i	V 3		۸1	52	2	Š	;																	
] 1	111	82	36	1																		
			11	67	ļ																			
		ļ	1	1																				
				1	II	III	Λï	į,	ΙΛ	VII	VIII	X	×	m.	ShD	SM	SuD	PC	ខ	WRV	Inf	TV-D	TV-S	

n - 53 Decimals omitted (1) Performance Tests



Table X-5

INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS FOR

RADIO AND TELEVISION REPAIR

Experimental Test - Form B

		·	, d	89	29	9	28	79	69	9/	29	7 9	27	38	74	89	35	89	74	29	99	8	84		
		SS	7	15	-24	07	-01	15	-16	90-	05	22	60	00	70	60-	6	77	32	01	33	63	-11	<u> </u>	11.2
		Loadin	3	38	20	17	-03	7	18	8	60-	6	-05	80	89	13	-45	22	-27	41	67	29	90		14.0
		Factor Loadings	2	07	90	05	-15	8	-10	-12	14	08	90	05	70	81	13	18	71	51	07	9-	-82		20.3
				11	2	75	75	77	79	81	75	77	75	61	52	0	36	90	54	70	3	20	38		54.5 54.5
		s-i	VI	54	17	77	45	18	19	38	39	36	13	80	22	89-	12	-46	69-	-20	22	58	1		
	Ħ	α-1	VI	13	12	10	28	27	18	28	70	30	25	25	8	-56	-12	26	-10	-38	35	1			нн
		 3'	1	52			17																		Z Var Cull Z
		V	MB	17	84	43	15	23	28	77	67	75	27	ဓ္က	47	43	70	33	32	1					
	Tests	;	00	15	60	25	70	22	-14	07	36	37	22	13	9	೪	34	21	Į						
			EC				Ö	_	Ī	_		_		_			٠.								
ons	Reference	a	ns		•		33		•	•			_				Ċ								
lati	Refe		нs	l			9								•										
Intercorrelations		a	чs	74			33			-															
terc		1	IH	42	36	3	24	14	53	67	3	25	25												
In		1	X	67	27	, «	\ Z	63	53	79	63	215	1												
			XI	09	67	. 0	25	20,	61	26.1	9	1													
		11	I٨	87	8	07	77	7	52	, %	:														
		1	11	12	y	3 5	2	69	; =	:															
	SES		I۸	0,0	\ &	3 5	3 %	3 5	:																
	Subcests		٨	5	?	3 2	3 6	.																	
	ΙŅ		λΙ	3	۲ (۲	3 %	1																		
		1	III	1 %	3 %	3	<u> </u>																		
			11	7.4	;	<u> </u>																			
			1																						
				_	4 F	7 .	111	4 5	• 1	1 4 4	11.	7 7 7 1	ş 9	د <u>د</u>	1 6	3 6	; ;	<u></u>	۽ ڊ	3 5	A 4 1			7	

n = 56 Decimals omitted (1) Performance Tests



Table X-6

RADIO AND TELEVISION SERVICING Second Year

State	No. of Inst	. No. of Stu.
Delaware	1	14
Georgia	6	38
North Carolina	10	84
South Carolina	2	16
	19	152



Table X-7 RADIO AND TELEVISION SERVICING

Psychometric Characteristics Final Test

Subrest		No. of Items	Time Limit	Reliability K-R (14)	Skewness	Kurtosis
I Fundamentals of Direct II Fundamentals of Alternal III Fundamentals of Vacuum IV Semi-Conductors V Circuits VI Radio VI Television Total test	rect Current Lernating Current cuum Tubes	55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5	25 min 40 min 25 min 30 min 40 min 50 min 50 min	81 73 82 86 86 96	1,14 1,14 38 42 42 -21 48	1.65 1.65 -43 -11 -39 -37 -37

Table X-8
RADIO AND TELEVISION SERVICING

Subtest Intercorrelations Final Test

				1004 TOWER				
Subtest	I	II	III	IV	Λ	Ľ	VII	TOTAL*
I III IV V VI VII Total	1	% !	8891	1 2 2 8	62 77 66	62 74 88 80	57 63 72 72 12 13	76 78 85 85 87 84

*Part-whole correlations Decimals omitted



Table X-9

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

RADIO AND TELEVISION SERVICING SUBTESTS

		1		2		3		4		3	6			2
Rav Score	1410	Std. Score	2110	Std.	*41:	Std. Score	2415	Std. Score	****	Std. Score	lile	514.	***	Std.
KAY SCOTE	1110	3core _	Alle	Score	A110	Score	4110	score	X110	2016	Alle	2core	lile	Score
46													99	70
45					•								99	69
44													98	68
43													97	67
42													96	66
41									99	742			93	65
40									99	730			91	641
39									99	718			90	63
38									96	706			87	621
37 36			99	800					96	694			86	610
35			99	800					95	682			84	600
34			99	800					93 91	670 658			81 81	590 579
55			94	800					89	646	99	783	7;	569
32			97	796					87	634	99	769	13	355
ŝī			97	780					86	622	íí	753	72	548
30	99	753	97	765					83	610	98	718	66	538
29	"	736	97	749					83	598	98	722	39	52
28	99	719	96	734			99	760	41	386	98	706	ší	517
27	30	702	95	718			99	243	79	574	97	691	46	507
26	97	685	95	703			98	726	76	362	96	675	44	496
25	96	668	95	687	99	760	97	709	72	550	94	660	41	486
24	93	451	93	672	,,,	740	96	492	69	538	91	644	38	476
23	19	6)4	91	656	72	721	93	675	66	526	90	628	36	465
22	47	617	19	641	92	702	92	658	62	514	85	613	34	455
21	1)	600	88	625	97	682	90	641	57	503	81	597	32	445
20	#1	583	87	610	92	663	11	624	54	491	79	381	30	430
19	"	566	86	594	49	644	83	606	50	479	74	566	28	424
18	70	550	84	579	84	624	81	589	44	467	72	550	22	411
17	63	533	81	563	82	605	"	572	39	455	66	533	21	10
16 15	58 54	516 499	79 77	54 8	79 77	586 566	73 71	355 338	34 28	443 431	62 58	519 503	15 14	392
14	40	482	69	317	74	547	69	521	23	419	5)	488	12	377
iš	12	(65	62	501	6	528	39	504	21	407	46	472	i i	36
12	34	111	36	486	65	308	36	487	16	395	38	456	į	351
ii	ິກ	431	46	470	38	489	47	470	Υ,	383	34	411	į.	36
10	ži	414	38	455	50	479	39	453	ź	371	29	425	i)))
10 9	17	397	29	439	ji	450	30	436	i	359	ži	410	Ă	320
į	13	380	2)	424	ži	431	22	419	j	31.7	17	394)	310
,	•	361	1.0	498	22	412	13	402	ž	335	11	378	Ž	291
6	7	347	11	393	1)	392	11	385	1	323	6	363	1	281
\$	4	330	8	377	•	373	•	368	1	311	5	347	1	279
4	4	313	2	362	4	354	4	331	1	299	2	331	1	268
3	1	296	1	346	1	334)	334	1	247	1	314	1	256
2			1	331	1	315	3	317	1	275	j	300	1	24
1			1	315	1	296	2	300)	263	1	283	1	233

• 3



Table X-10

RAW SCORE CONVERSIONS

RADIO AND TELEVISION SERVICING TOTAL TEST

Raw	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Xile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Zile	Std. Score	Raw Score	Zile	Std Scor
212	99	745	159	83	612	106	49	479	53	1	346
211	99	743	158	82	610	105	47	477	52	1	344
210	99	740	157	82	607	104	47	474	51	1	341
209	99	738	156	82	605	103	45	472	50	1	339
208	98	735	155	81	602	102	44	469	49	1	336
207	98	733	154	81	600	101	42	467	48	1	334
206	98	730	153	79	597	100	41	464	47	1	331
205	97	728	152	79	595	99	41	462	46	1	329
204	97	725	151	78	592	98	41	459	45	1	326
203	97	723	150	78	590	97	40	457	44	1	324
202	97	720	149	78	567	96	39	454	43	1	321
201	97	718	148	77	585	95	39	452	42	1	319
200	97	715	147	77	582	94	36	449	41	1	316
199 198	97	713	146	77	580	93	34	447	40	1	314
197	97	710	145 144	76	577 575	92 91	33	444	39	1	311
196	96 96	708 705	143	75 75	572	90	32 31	442 439	38 37	1	309 306
195	96	703 703	142	75 75	572 570	89	30	437	36	i	304
194	96	760	141	75	567	88	29	434	35	î	301
193	96	698	140	74	565	87	28	432	34	î	299
192	96	695	139	74	562	85	27	429	33	î	296
191	95	693	138	73	560	85	25	427	32	ī	294
190	95	690	137	72	557	84	24	424	31	ī	291
189	95	688	136	72	555	83	22	422	30	ī	289
188	95	685	135	72	552	82	21	419	29	1	286
187	95	683	134	72	550	81	20	417	28	1	284
186	94	680	133	71	547	80	19	414	27	1	281
185	94	678	132	71	545	79	19	412	26	1	279
184	94	675	131	70	542	78	18	409	25	1	276
183	94	673	130	69	540	77	17	407	24	1	274
182	93	670	129	68	537	76	15	404	23	1	271
181	93	668	128	68	535	75	15	402	22	1	269
180	93	665	127	66	532	74	13	399	21	1	266
179	93	662	126	66	530	73	12	397	20	1	264
178	93	660	125	66	527	72	11	394	19	1	261
177	92	657	120	66	525	71	11	392	18	1	259
176	92	655	123	65	522	70	10	389	17	1	256
175	89	652	122	65	519	69	8	387	16	1	254
174	89	650	121	64	517	68	7	384	15	1	251
173	88	647	120	64	514 512	67	7	382	14	1	249
172	87 87	645 642	119 118	63 63	509	66 65	6 6	379 376	13 12	1	246 244
171 170			117	62	507	64	5	376 374	11	î	241
169	86 85	640 637	116	62	504	63	5	371	10	î	239
168	85	634	115	62	502	62	3	369	9	î	236
167	84	632	114	6ù	499	61	3	366	8	î	233
166	84	630	113	59	497	60	3	364	ž	ī	231
165	83	627	112	58	494	59	ž	361	6	ī	228
164	83	625	111	57	492	58	2	359	š	ĵ	226
163	83	622	110	56	489	57	2	356	Ĭ.	i	223
162	83	620	109	54	487	56	2	354	3	1	221
161	83	617	108	52	484	55	ī	351			
160	83	615	107	50	482	54	ī	349			

CHAPTER XI

DIAGNOSTIC PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The following three summaries are from thesis research efforts conducted by doctoral graduate students while at the University of Illinois. The studies are diagnostic ability measures for three of the trade areas investigated in this Project: Machinist, Radio-Television Servicing, and Automotive Mechanics. The studies were conducted on a sample of those students who took the paper-and-pencil test in each area.

AUDITORY DIAGNOSTIC PERFORMANCE AS A CRITERION MEASURE FOR MACHINISTS - D. W. Becker¹

The problem of this study was to determine if auditory diagnostic performance exists as a separate dimension of machining performance.

In the initial phase of the study an instrument, Auditory Achievement Test for Machinist (AATM), was developed. The AATM was constructed by setting up machining operations in which typical malfunctions were "built in." The sounds produced by the malfunctioning processes were then recorded with high fidelity tape recording equipment. Each sound was prefaced with a brief description of the machining operation involved and four possible causes of the sound were presented. After hearing the sound, through binaural head phones, the respondent selected from the several alternatives the one which supposedly identified the malfunction and marked it on the printed answer sheet. The entire test of sixty-four questions, including instructions, was placed on the tape. The total running time for AATM was one hour and twenty minutes.

Three different samples were used in the study. The first was chosen from a population having no appreciable amount of machining experience. The second sample was composed of students who had just completed a one year post high school machinist training program and the third sample contained experienced machinists who were working in the trade.

The odd-even reliabilities on AATM of -.122 for nonmachinists, .261 for student machinists, .558 for experienced machinists, and .513 for the total sample tested were not considered high enough to make fine distinctions of auditory diagnostic performance. It was



¹Now at Wichita (Kansas) State University

encouraging, however, that the highest reliability reported was for the machinist group. The belief that reliabilities could be improved through AATM revision was supported.

The construct validity, that auditory diagnostic performance increases with auditory diagnostic experience, was tested and supported. Comparisons of achievement between the groups tested by one-way analysis of variance and by separate t tests between the groups were made. The F value was found to be significant beyond the .0001 level and each of the three one tailed t tests produced a t value which was significant beyond the .0005 level.

A correlation coefficient of .189 (p< .025) was considered too low to support the peer nomination technique as a concurrent measure of auditory diagnostic achievement.

The value of auditory aptitude in predicting auditory diagnostic performance was supported. A multiple correlation between AATM and the auditory aptitude battery was .428 (p < .0005) and a revised AATM with an increased range and reliability should significantly increase the predictive potential of the Auditory Aptitude Test Battery.

The independence of AATM and the paper-and-pencil Achievement Test for Machinist was only partially supported. A comparison of these two measures produced a correlation coefficient of .315 (p < .005).

ICONIC COMFARISON OF PHOTOGRAPHS AND THE LIVE TELEVISION SCREEN IN VISUAL DIAGNOSTIC ABILITY - Jarrel Hoffer 1

This research centered around two major problems. The first was to develop an achievement test to measure the additional dimension of visual diagnostic ability. The second problem was to test the iconic equivalence of photographs with motion cues and live screen presentation of defective television reception for testing purposes. Related questions concerning reliability, validity, and the dimensions of visual diagnosis were also studied.

A panel of radio-television instructors and technicians was utilized to write questions and judge the appropriateness of items for the test. The malfunctions were wired into a Tele-Lab (R.V.S. Industries, Cleveland, Ohio; Courtesy: Mr. Alvin Stumpf) trainer so that they could be switched in, at will, for presentation during the test. Fifty multiple choice questions representing twenty-seven malfunctions were used. The malfunctions affected the audio only, the video only, or both the audio and the video. This test is the Visual Diagnostic Test for Television Servicing Dynamic or dynamic test. The live screen used in the dynamic test served as the photographic model for the



¹Now at Western Illinois University

Visual Diagnostic Test for Television Servicing Static or static test. Verbal motion cues were presented beside each photograph on the static test. The static and dynamic tests were identical except for the method of presenting the visual information in the malfunctions. To equalize the two tests, a statement of the audio condition was presented within each question.

The static and dynamic tests were administered to eighty-nine students who were completing one year programs in radio-television servicing. For economic and logistic reasons, the students were administered both the static and dynamic tests in one sitting. They were divided into two groups so that approximately one-half of them took the static test first and one-half took the dynamic test first. The students also took, at a different sitting, a three hundred item multiple choice test for knowledge of basic electronics, the RTV test.

The static and dynamic tests were also administered to a sample of twenty-four technicians who were employed full-time in radio-television pervicing.

Hypotheses 1 and 2 stated that the static and dynamic tests are reliable. The Kuder-Richardson formula 14 yielded a validity index of .728 and .698, respectively, for the static and dynamic tests. This is a moderately high reliability; however, since the equipment and testing procedures have not been perfected this reliability was considered adequate and hypotheses 1 and 2 were accepted.

Hypotheses 3 and 4 stated that the static and dynamic tests are valid measures. Students and technicicans were compared using analysis of variance. An F ration of 29.31 was obtained which is significant beyond the .01 level; therefore, hypotheses 3 and 4 were accepted.

Hypothesis 5 stated that photographs with verbal motion cues are equivalent to live screen presentation of defective television reception for testing purposes. A product-moment correlation of .81 was obtained between the static and dynamic tests. A correlation of .71 is the highest correlation that is theoretically possible between the tests, estimated from the reliabilities of the tests, and is less than the obtained correlation. When corrected for attenuation, the correlation is slightly greater than unity. The assumptions underlying the reliability formula were violated, giving an underestimate of the reliabilities. This could explain why the obtained correlation was higher than theoretically possible and also why the correlation corrected for attenuation is greater than unity. A high correlation was considered to be strong evidence that the tests are equivalent. The static and dynamic tests were also compared by analysis of variance. F ratios of 7.88 for students and 10.02 for students and technicians were obtained and are significant beyond the .01 level. Only partial support was given to this hypothesis from the statistical tests; therefore, hypothesis 5 was rejected. The requirements of equivalence are quite rigorous and are frequently not met by well



recognized tests. The static and dynamic tests do meet the most important requirements of equivalence; therefore from a practical standpoint, the tests may be considered equivalent.

Hypotheses 6 and 7 stated that the static and dynamic tests are independent of trade-related cognitive knowledge as measured by a paper and pencil test of knowledge of basic electronics. The static test correlated .58 and the dynamic test .60 with the RTV test, a paper and pencil test of knowledge of basic electronics. These correlations indicate a reasonably strong relationship; therefore, the static and dynamic tests are not independent and hypotheses 6 and 7 were rejected.

Hypotheses 8 and 9 stated that the static tests each measure a single dimension. The tests were factor analyzed using a principal axis factor analysis with varimax rotation. The results of the analysis indicate that, on both the static and dynamic tests, factors two, three, and four are each about one-half as strong as factor one. This indicates a more complex relationship rather than a single dimension; therefore, hypotheses 8 and 9 were rejected.

Hypothesis 10 stated that the static and dynamic tests are measures of the same dimension. This relationship was studied with factor analysis. The results indicate that the items of the two tests do load on the factors in a similar manner. The factors of the two tests were also similar with regard to the kinds of problems that loaded on each of the factors. The static test correlated .58 and the dynamic test correlated .60 with the RTV test. The results of the factor analysis and the correlations were taken as evidence that the static and dynamic tests are measures of the same dimension; therefore, hypothesis 10 was accepted.

AUDITORY AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS DIAGNOSTIC ACHIEVEMENT TEST (AAMDAT) - R. A. Swanson¹

It was the purpose of this study to develop and validate a test to measure the ability to diagnose malfunctions in automobiles through the auditory sense. The goal was to develop a test having from forty to sixty auditive malfunctions that would take approximately one hour to administer. The completed test, one hour in length, had a total of forty-five items. These malfunctions were built into automobiles; e.g., installing a bad connecting rod hearing into an otherwise perfectly running engine. Using a high quality binaural recording-reproducing system the malfunctioning automobiles were recorded. The malfunctioning sounds that received the highest combined ranking from a staff of automobile mechanics instructors and automobile mechanics, ranking independently, were selected for inclusion in the test.

The Auditory Aptitude Test Battery (Fleishman and Friedman, 1957) was shortened for use in this study. This was done to keep the total auditory achievement and aptitude testing time within a two and



Now at Bowling Green (Ohio) State University

one half hour time limit. The inclusion of this test battery was to determine how well auditory aptitude predicts auditory diagnostic ability in auto mechanics.

Auditory diagnostic achievement as measured by the AAMDAT was found to have a reliability of .71 for the total group (N = 269). The reliability for the no experience group (N = 67) was .335, .433 for the first year auto mechanic students (N = 91), .436 for the graduating second year auto mechanic students (N = 44). In its present form the AAMDAT cannot be considered a sufficiently reliable test to make fine discriminations in auditory diagnostic ability between individuals within any one of the groups in this tudy. The belief that a careful revision of the AAMDAT would result in an instrument with this discriminating ability was supported in the analysis and discussion of the data.

The construct validity of the AAMDAT was tested and supported through the theoretical construct that auditory diagnostic ability increases with experience. The auto mechanics achieved significantly higher (P<.0005) than the graduating auto mechanics students, whose achievement was in turn significantly higher (P<.01) than the first year auto mechanics students, whose achievement was significantly higher (P<.0005) than the no experience group. An analysis of variance computation of the same data produced an F value significant beyond the .0001 level.

The concurrent validity of the AAMDAT, which was evaluated by correlating AAMDAT achievement to peer nominations on the same dimension, was partially supported. These independent measures of auditory diagnostic ability correlated .256 for the first year auto mechanics students, .327 for the graduating auto mechanics students, and .161 for the auto mechanics. These low correlations may be partially explained by the limited range and reliability on the AAMDAT, as well as the questionable validity of the peer nomination technique as an intermediate criterion.

A principle axes factor analysis on the AAMDAT items produced several groups of items having high intercorrelations. These independent dimensions of auditory diagnostic ability were reviewed in order that they be identified in psychological terms. Factor one was identified as a basic experience factor, factor two a subtle tonal memory factor, and factor three a rhythm factor. The weaker remaining three factors were left unidentified.

The potential of the Auditory Aptitude Test Battery as a predictor of auditory diagnostic achievement was revealed in the multiple correlation of the nine predictor tests to the AAMDAT. A ultiple correlation of .41 (P<.0001) between these measures was achieved for the graduating auto mechanics students. A revised AAMDAT with an increased range and reliability should significantly increase the predictive potential of the Auditory Aptitude Battery.



The independence of AAMDAT and paper and pencil auto mechanics test achievement was supported. The graduating auto mechanics students resultes on these two measures had a .249 correlation.



APPENDIX

A. DESCRIPTION OF PERFORMANCE TESTS

The following is a brief description of the performance tests used, primarily in the first year's testing program, in addition to those discussed in Chapter XI.

Plug and Ring Gauge Test (PR)

This test was used to measure the fine tactile kinesthetic sensitivity of students with respect to their ability to discriminate by feel between the different fits of plugs and rings. It was believed that through trade training in Automotive Mechanics; Air Conditioning, Heating and Refrigeration; Machinist; and Electrical Installation and Maintenance, students would be able to discriminate a skill in ranking the fits according to size in a manner superior to untrained students.

Three sets, each with five plugs and rings, were used as the testing equipment. These metal plugs were machined so that when a standard one-inch ring was placed on each a distinct fit was obtained. The task was to rank the five plugs according to size from largest to smallest. This was a rank-order test; the score being the difference between the correct rankings and the students' ranking.

Measurement Pod Test (MP)

This test was administered to students in Machine Shop and Auto Mechanics and measured the degree of skill with which precise measurements could be made. A tactile kinesthetic sensitivity factor was also present because of the importance of "touch" and "feel" in performing these tasks.

The measurement pod was composed of fifteen gauge blocks connected in such a manner as to provide for four measurements using feeler gauges and four measurements using one and two inch micrometers.

The student was required to list his answers to the fourth decimal place, which involved interpolation in some of the cases. A time limit of six minutes was imposed, and the score for the test was the absolute sum of the variances expressed as a whole number of ten thousandths of an inch.

Electircal Trouble-shooting Test for Automotive Mechanics (TS)

The object of this test was to measure the student's ability to locate and identify electrical malfunctions wherever they occur in the car's system.



A rectangular metal box, on which were mounted all the electrical components found in an automobile, was constructed so that by means of a switch panel, eighteen different electrical malfunctions could be introduced into the system. Circuits involving the ignition, head and tail lights, directional signals, parking lights and stop lights were used. A series of junction and fuse panels, externally mounted, provided the means by which the student could trouble-shoot the circuits at different points using a jump cable and probe.

The test was constructed so that the student responded to a written statement about a particular malfunction, i.e., statements were identical to those which might be voiced by a customer who describes what he thinks is wrong with his car. The student had to locate and identify the trouble and give his answer to the test administrator who noted it on the answer sheet.

The score was the number of items done correctly within the twenty minute time limit.

Dynamometer Test (Dyn)

Accuracy with the dynamometer was used as one measure of kinesthetic sensitivity as it has proven to be a reliable measure. It was administered to skilled students in the Automotive Mechanics; Air Conditioning, Heating and Refrigeration; Machinist; and Electrical Installation and Maintenance curricula. The results were compared with the unskilled students acting as a control group. Both skilled and unskilled groups were divided into two groups, one with knowledge of results, one without. While blindfolded, the subject was directed to exert pressure of 20 pounds by squeezing the dynamometer in his hand. The absolute variation over several trials was used as raw score.

Tactile-Kinesthetic Sensitivity Test (TK)

This was another approach to measure fine tactile-kinesthetic sensitivity through a newly developed piece of equipment. This test was administered to students in Automotive Mechanics; Air Conditioning, Heating and Refrigeration; Machinist; and Electrical Installation and Maintenance. There was a push and pull rod suspended in a magnetic field. By increasing or decreasing the electrical input, the pressure required to move the rod would vary. The task was to compare a designated standard weight with a different weight by comparing the amount of pressure required to pull the rod at various field intensities. The subject was required to tell whether the pressure was higher or lower than the standard pressure.

Automotive Diagnostic Scope Test (D-S)

This test was designed to measure the student's ability to diagnose ignition faults in an automobile engine using an analyzer scope. His ability to identify correctly the cause of malfunction by this method was considered an important facet of performance achievement in automotive mechanics. There were two forms of the test, static



and dynamic. The static test used a booklet of pictures of a scope screen and a question and answer sheet. The dynamic portion of the test employed the actual scope. By using an engine simulator, patterns identical to those listed on the static test booklet were imposed upon the screen. Although the items on each test were identical, they were not offered in the same sequence nor were the multiple choice answers listed in the same order. In both parts of the test, each item was timed at thirty seconds. The score was the number of correct responses minus one third of the incorrect responses. After initial administration of the two forms, a comparison of reliabilities and the relationship between the two was examined.

Machine Indexing Test for Machinists (Ind)

This test was designed to measure the tactile-kinesthetic sensitivity which is developed through Machine Shop training and which is necessary to perform certain tasks upon precision machine tools.

In the machine shop, the most up to date vertical or horizontal milling machine was used to demonstrate and measure the student's skills. Using only the cross feed screw, the subject was required to perform a series of ten movements, moving the table in and out according to instructions given verbally by the test administrator. An indicator mounted on the machine revealed to the test administrator the results of the subject's moves. The score was the total absolute variance of all ten moves.

Truing Test for Machinists (Tru)

This test measured the learned eye-hand-mind coordination of students. A plug of convenient diameter was placed in a four jaw chuck which was mounted in an engine lathe. Prior to the test, the plug was offset 1/16". The student was required to perform four tasks in a ten minute period. He had to true the plug to within $\pm .0005$ as task #1, task #2 was to offset the plug by 1/16" $\pm .0005$; tasks #3 and #4 were the reverse of tasks #1 and #2. These tasks required that the student return the equipment to its original setting. This rendered it ready for the next student.

This was a timed test. Each task was timed and noted on the score sheet provided. The score was the total time needed to accomplish the four tasks expressed as a whole number of quarter minutes. This score would inversely relate to the overall skill of the subject.

B. KIT OF REFERENCE TESTS FOR COGNITIVE FACTORS 1

In various research over the last several years, many separate factors of aptitude and achievement have been identified. In 1951, Educational Testing Services (ETS) began a project to select those factors which could be agreed upon as measuring separate facets of aptitude or achievement and to develop instruments which would measure these factors. In 1958, the Office of Maval Research began supporting the effort. Using committees of the most respected researchers in the



¹Developed by Educational Testing Service, Princeton, New Jersey

United States, ETS led the activity which resulted in the selection of twenty-four factors which were considered to be independent facets of aptitude and the naming of more than seventy tests deemed suitable for measuring these factors. Each factor has at least three tests designed for different grade levels or using different approaches to measurement of the aptitude factor. The purpose of the Kit is best defined by the authors themselves. In their words:

The purpose of the Kit is to provide research workers with a set of tests for defining each of these factors. It is intended that use of the Kit tests for this purpose will facilitate interpretation and the confident comparison of one factor study with another. In the past it has often been necessary to cross-identify the factors in two studies by means of psychological interpretation alone, sometimes without any tests common to the two studies. It is undesirable that this kind of subjective identification prevail. There are now in existence several techniques for objectively comparing a factor found in one analysis with that found in another. All methods of this kind require sets of tests that are common to the two studies. Use of the Kit tests should provide this common ground for the objective comparison of factors.

Some of the factors identified in the Kit have only been isolated in laboratory research while others of the factors have been readily identified in field research. The following pages describe those factors which this project staff and others with expert opinions felt to be contained in achievement in trade and technical education and were subsequently used in this testing program as a means of factor identification. The abbreviations used for the Reference Tests are shown in parentheses.

*Factor Fw: Word Fluency The facility in producing isolated words that contain one or more structural, essentially phonetic, restrictions, without reference to the meaning of the words.

Test: Word Endings (WE)

*Factor I: Induction Associated abilities involved in the findings of general concepts that will fit sets of data, the forming and trying out of hypotheses.

Test: Figure Classification (FC)

*Factor Mk: Mechanical Knowledge The knowledge of mechanical principles, devices and tools, acquired through experience and training.

Test: Mechanical Information (MI)

XFactor N. Number Facility The ability to manipulate numbers in arithmetical operations rapidly.

Test: Subtraction and Multiplication (SM)



xFactor 0: Originality The ability to produce remotely associated, clever, or uncommon responses.

Test: Symbol Production (SP)

xFactor P: <u>Perceptual Speed</u> Speed in finding figures, making comparisons, and carrying out other very simple tasks

involving visual perception.
Test: Number Comparison (NC)

*Factor R: General Reasoning The ability to solve a broad range

of reasoning problems including those of a mathematical

nature.

Test: Ship Destination (ShD)

XFactor Rs: Syllogistic Reasoning The ability to reason from stated

premises to their necessary conclusions.

Test: Inference (Inf)

*Factor S: Spatial Orientation The ability to perceive spatial

patterns or to maintain orientation with respect to objects in space. This factor seems to involve perception of the position and configuration of objects in space, perhaps best thought of as space with the observer himself as a reference point. These tests were given

under speeded conditions.
Test: Cube Comparison (CC)

XFactor V: Verbal Comprehension The ability to understand the

English language.

Test: Wide Range Vocabulary (WRV)

*Factor Vz: Visualization The ability to manipulate or transform the image of spatial patterns into other visual arrange-

ments. In this factor, the observer seems removed from the stimulus pattern in that he appears to manipulate

and alter its image.

Test: Surface Development (SuD)

x indicates factor measured in one or more curriculum