

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 038 978

JC 700 136

AUTHOR Sykes, Abel B., Jr.
TITLE Junior College Boards of Trustees.
INSTITUTION American Association of Junior Colleges, Washington, D.C.; California Univ., Los Angeles. ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Coll. Information.
PUB DATE Jun 70
NOTE 4p.
JOURNAL CIT Junior College Research Review; v4 n10 Jun 1970
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.25 HC-\$0.30
DESCRIPTORS Administrative Personnel, Administrator Responsibility, *Administrator Role, *Board Administrator Relationship, *Board of Education Role, Boards of Education, College Administration, *Governance, Governing Boards, Institutional Administration, *Junior Colleges, Policy Formation, School Administration, Trustees

ABSTRACT

Six research reports dealing with the roles and proper relationships between professional administrators and boards of trustees are summarized in this review. The following topics are highlighted: the professional's theoretical basis for operation and its justification, roles and internal and external functions of the board, effects of vague or misunderstood roles, positive influences on role understanding, and the use of teachers and students as sources for policy formulation. A bibliography of published and unpublished materials covering these and related areas is summarized.
(J0)

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.



JUNIOR COLLEGE RESEARCH REVIEW

June 1970

Published by the American Association of Junior Colleges

JUNIOR COLLEGE BOARDS OF TRUSTEES

The concept of a lay board that represents the people is a major pillar in America's representative democracy and is the essence of the nation's belief in separation of powers. This is evidenced within the public as well as private sectors of society. A basic premise upon which this philosophy rests is the belief that the sum of common men's collective wisdom, as voiced through the elective bodies, is, by definition, wise. Just as, in the words of Clemenceau, "War is too important an endeavor to be left solely to the generals," so schools are too important to be left solely to the professionals. A lay board of trustees is simply another expression of this political and social truism.

During the current period of campus unrest, there has been much confusion about the proper role and relationship between lay boards and professional administrators. This confusion of roles has the potential of creating additional chaos and can do irreparable damage to the educational process, for it is during times of stress that institutional roles must be clearly delineated and adhered to.

Role identification can be aided by analyzing data from relevant research. This issue of the *Junior College Research Review* is concerned with the roles and relationship between boards of trustees and chief administrative officers. Six pertinent research reports have been examined, all selected from materials processed by the ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges and indexed and abstracted in *Research in Education*. Copies of these are available in either hard copy or microfiche from the ERIC Document Reproduction Services, as explained on page four.

In one document (ED 014 975), the board's role is described as dual: internal and external. Internal functions are outlined as follows:

1. the board is a legislative body, not an executive body
2. it delegates some of its policy-making authority

to other agencies (academic senates, departments, etc.)

3. the authority of the board resides in the board as a whole and not within individuals; exceptions would be only as the board delegates.

External functions are those that:

1. represent the constituency and interpret social trends into institutional policy
2. ensure that adequate funds are available for the functioning of the institution
3. bear the legal responsibility and authority for all aspects of the operation of the institution.

"The Role of the Trustees," "Board Relationships to Administrators and Faculties," and "Board Responsibilities for a Climate of Creativity" are among the titles of selected papers from the American Association of Junior Colleges' 46th Annual Convention held at St. Louis (ED 016 448). Of significance was the establishment of justifications for the theoretical base upon which professionals operate. A professional, or expert, possesses a particular body of knowledge which those outside of that profession do not possess. And he remains an expert or professional only so long as he is exercising his opinion within his particular sphere of knowledge; once he ventures outside of this area to express himself, he becomes a nonexpert and his opinion joins that of other lay people's opinions, with nothing special to recommend it above the others. Certainly a barrister's judgment relative to the proper technique for the removal of an appendix could hardly qualify as expert medical opinion. Nor could a surgeon's interpretation of a particular judicial opinion stand as expert legal advice.

Where serious friction exists in board relationships with school administration, it is largely because of insufficient grasp or understanding of the proper roles that have been established in our society for the various participants within the structure for decision making. A school district's innovativeness and its under-

ED038978

SC 700 136

standing of the roles are positively related to the amount of agreement between the citizens of the community and the teachers in the college. Districts with high agreement between external and internal segments will adopt more innovations at an earlier date than districts that lack this basic agreement.

Corning Community College (New York) has developed a set of by-laws, in an attempt to delineate these roles. The by-laws deal with:

1. administrative control and powers and duties of the board of trustees
2. terms of office and duties of board officers and committees
3. time, place, frequency, and procedures of board meetings
4. functions and memberships of the advisory committees
5. organization and duties of the professional staff
6. faculty and faculty council responsibilities
7. appointment and termination of academic staff
8. salary schedules
9. evening and summer division schedules
10. leave policies (sick, sabbatical, military, etc.) for the professional staff
11. leave policy for nonacademic personnel
12. designation of administrative offices
13. handling of bids, cash, and contracts (ED 024 366).

A trustee of the Monroe Community College (New York) suggests a set of "do's" and "don'ts" that are designed to generate thought and discussion:

1. do try to see your institution as a whole
2. do keep informed; ask a lot of questions
3. do participate actively in the development of long-range plans
4. don't meddle or try to run the college
5. don't act as an appeal board in administrative affairs
6. don't get involved in the details of appointment and personnel matters
7. don't be narrow in your concepts (ED 016 448).

A plan for utilizing talent in the formulation of policy was devised at the College of Marin (California). The establishment of educational objectives and a system of governance to achieve these objectives was considered necessary as a first step. It was concluded that colleges generally operate under an administrative pattern characterized as the "traditional system of hierarchy," including (1) a rigid chain of

command, (2) fixed division of labor, motivation, control and direction of people by persuasion, (3) reward or punishment, and (4) a generally low opinion of both faculty and students. A "productive system" is more acceptable to modern educators because it enhances both professional and student effectiveness, the achievement of personal goals, the withdrawal of administrative direction, and the de-emphasis of compartmentalization and proliferation of administrative titles. A further suggestion is to rotate administrative assignments. Under this system both student and teacher are involved in the formulation of institutional policy but not its enactment. The board exists to *enact* policy for the district (although it can *formulate* policy, too). Faculty members are truly involved in policy formulation when they can be sure that the board will enact it to an acceptable degree (ED 027 002).

The Ohio Board of Regents approached their role in relationship to the local community colleges in a generalized manner. A method to establish community colleges was outlined with most of the authority resting with the local boards. The regents were concerned primarily with the following:

1. the individual college must meet the requirements of and mesh with the state's larger system of higher education
2. the regents must approve or disapprove the college's official plans, degree programs, and student fee schedules
3. the college's proposal must include and contain specified types of information (ED 019 954).

A bibliography by Giles and Olson comprises one of the documents selected for this review. With listings from both published and unpublished materials, the bibliography consists of three sections: (1) the new trustee or administrator, (2) the role of the trustee and the board at the community college level, and (3) the role of the trustee and the board in higher education. Also included are materials pertaining to the views of junior college trustee board members, an analysis of selected boards' policies, an analysis of trustees' concepts of higher education, specific guidelines for boards, an analysis of faculty handbooks, and an analysis of chief administrative officers' responsibilities (ED 014 974).

Abel B. Sykes, Jr.
President, Compton College
Compton, California

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ED 014 974

Community College Boards of Trustees, An Annotated Bibliography. Occasional Papers, by Frederic T. Giles and Anar L. Olson. Washington University, Seattle, Washington, 1967. 39 p. (MF-\$0.25; HC-\$1.64)

ED 014 975

Notes for Community College Trustees. (Reports of Annual Conferences Conducted for Community College Trustees and Presidents by the Midwest Community College Leadership Program), by George L. Hall and Ralph W. Banfield. Midwest Community College Leadership Program, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1966. 101 p. (MF-\$0.50; HC-\$4.12)

ED 016 448

Selected Papers from the 46th Annual Convention of the American Association of Junior Colleges (St. Louis, Missouri, February 28 to March 4, 1966). American

Association of Junior Colleges, 1966. 95 p. (MF-\$0.50; HC-\$3.88)

ED 019 954

A Guide to Community College Planning in Ohio. Ohio Board of Regents, Columbus, Ohio, 1965. 18 p. (MF-\$0.25; HC-\$0.50)

ED 024 366

By-Laws of the Board of Trustees. Corning Community College, Corning, New York, 1967. 41 p. (MF-\$0.25; HC-\$2.15)

ED 027 002

A Rational Plan of Hierarchy and Authority for the Collegiate System: A Proposal to the Academic Senate, by Bob Essig and Dick Martin. Marin College, Kentfield, California, 1968. 10 p. (MF-\$0.25; HC-\$0.60)

SUBSCRIPTION RENEWAL FORM

In order to provide additional expanded issues of the *Junior College Research Review* during the 1970-71 academic year, the yearly subscriptions for ten issues have been increased from \$2.00 to \$3.00 each. To renew, please complete the following form and return it with a check or money order for \$3.00, made payable to the American Association of Junior Colleges.

The next regularly scheduled issue of the *Junior College Research Review* will be the September 1970 issue.

To:

Junior College Research Review
American Association of Junior Colleges
One Dupont Circle, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

From:

Name

Position or Title

Address

(city)**(state)****(zip)**

ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE FOR JUNIOR COLLEGES

ARTHUR M. COHEN, *Principal Investigator and Director*

The Clearinghouse operates under contract with the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education

The *Junior College Research Review* is published ten times per academic year. Subscriptions are available at \$2.00 each from AAJC, One Dupont Circle, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Published and copyrighted by AAJC. Copyright is claimed until June 1975.

Abstracts of documents processed in the ERIC system may be found in *Research in Education (RIE)*, a publication of the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare. *RIE* may be ordered from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402 for \$1.75 per single copy or \$21.00 for an annual subscription of 12 issues. *RIE* is cumulated annually and semi-annually.

A companion volume to *RIE* is *Current Index to Journals in Education (CIJE)*, an announcement service that catalogs and indexes journal and periodical literature in the field of education. More than 200 educational journals are included. *CIJE* may be ordered from CCM Information Sciences, Inc., 866 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 for \$3.50 per single copy or \$34.00 for an annual subscription of 12 issues.

All entries listed by ED (ERIC Document) in the bibliography of each *Junior College Research Review* are available in microfiche (MF) or hardcopy (HC) from ERIC Document

Reproduction Service, National Cash Register Company, 4936 Fairmont Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland 20014. Payment must accompany orders totaling less than \$5.00 and must include a handling charge of \$.50 plus state sales tax where applicable.

In addition to processing documents for the ERIC system, the Clearinghouse produces its own publications, including Monographs (in-depth studies or interpretations of research on topics related to junior colleges) and the *Junior College Research Review*, which are available from the American Association of Junior Colleges; Topical Papers (research models designed for junior college implementation), which are available from the UCLA Student's Store-Mail Out, 308 Westwood Plaza, Los Angeles, California 90024; and Specialized Bibliographies, the sources of which will be supplied by the Clearinghouse upon request. Titles and price lists of the foregoing publications are also available from the Clearinghouse.

**"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED
BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
OF JUNIOR COLLEGES
TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING
UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE U.S. OFFICE OF
EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE
THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF
THE COPYRIGHT OWNER."**

JUNIOR COLLEGE RESEARCH REVIEW

Dale Gaddy, Editor
ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges
Room 96, Powell Library
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

UNIVERSITY OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

JUN 02 1970

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR
JUNIOR COLLEGE
INFORMATION