# DOCUMENT RESUME

**ED** 037 908 EF 002 634

AUTHOR Portugal, Eugene J.

A Preliminary Report on the Application of the Space TITLE

Adequacy Survey-College at Seventeen Selected

California Junior Colleges.

INSTITUTION California Junior Coll. Association, Sacramento.:

California State Dept. of Education, Sacramento.

Bureau of School Planning.: California Univ..

Berkeley. Field Service Center.

PUB DATE Nov 61

NOTE 67p.

AVAILABLE FROM Bureau of School Planning, State Department of

Education, Sacramento, California 95814

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF-\$0.50 HC Not Available from EDRS.

DESCRIPTORS Building Design, \*Campus Planning, College Buildings, Community Colleges, Educational

Administration, \*Facility Requirements, \*Facility

Utilization Research, Interior Space, \*Junior

Colleges, \*Space Utilization

# ABSTRACT

The space adequacy survey-college is a procedure by which a junior college administrator may determine how many and what kinds of teaching spaces are needed for a specific enrollment in a given educational program. Included in this report is a presentation of the major characteristics of the space adequacy survey-college and a description of the procedures used in applying it at seventeen California public junior colleges. Also included is a summary of the findings, and normative data derived from the application of the survey at each school, together with observable trends. (FS)



# A PRELIMINARY REPORT ON THE APPLICATION OF THE SPACE ADEQUACY SURVEY-COLLEGE AT SEVENTEEN SELECTED CALIFORNIA JUNIOR COLLEGES

Вy

Eugene J. Portugal

Santa Rosa Junior College

Santa Rosa, California

In Cooperation With
The Bureau of School Planning
California State Department of Education
Sacramento, California

The Field Service Center
School of Education, University of California
Berkeley, California

and the
Plant and Facilities Committee of the
California Junior College Association.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION
& WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED
EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR
ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT, POINTS OF
VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Santa Rosa, California. November 1961.



# FOREWORD

It is a truism that a profession advances in step with its development and perfection of devices and procedures to solve its difficult
and recurring problems. One of the difficult and recurring problems in
the field of school administration is the precise matching of teaching
spaces to a given educational program and enrollment.

The Space Adequacy Survey approach to this problem was devised some years ago by Dr. Charles Bursch and Charles D. Gibson of the California State Department of Education, Bureau of School Planning staff, for use in translating junior and senior high school programs into school plant needs. Several years ago the secondary school approach was re-examined in the light of applying it to the collegiate level. Dr. Charles Bursch and Mr. John Branigan of the Bureau staff began preliminary work toward adapting the space adequacy process to the junior college specifically. During the past few years the collegiate instrument was refined considerably by Bureau of School Planning members; however, Gene Portugal undertook a complete study and, through cooperation with junior college districts and the Bureau of School Planning staff, further developed and refined it.

The Portugal study preliminarily reported here attacks the space adequacy problem at the collegiate level. The resulting refinement of the original procedures and data forms constitutes a substantial improvement in this instrument as a working tool for educational administrators. With the constructive use of these forms and procedures as refined by Mr. Portugal, an administrator can plan ahead with confidence to supply needed instructional spaces and can justify thoroughly the facilities provided. The summary sheets for each school and the normative data tables can serve as a "library of information" for anyone interested in junior college planning procedures.

Dr. Charles W. Bursch Charles D. Gibson



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter i	
Space Adequacy Survey-College	_
A. What is it?	5 8
B. How is it Used?	14
C. Some Precautions To Be Observed	,
Chapter II	
Application of the Instrument at 17 Selected Junior Colleges	10
A. Selection of the Colleges	19
B. The Method of Application	19
1. Definition of Terms	21
2. Standards Used in the Study	24
3. Data Collection	
Chapter III	
Presentation of Data for Each School	2.7
American River	27 28
Antelope Valley College	
Bakersfield College	29 30
Compton Junior College	3 l
El Camino College	3 l 32
Allen Hancock Los Angeles Valley College	33
Mt. San Antonio	33 34
Orange Coast	35
Reedley College	36
San Diego Junior College	37 38
College of San Mateo	38
Santa Rosa Junior College	39
Sierra College	40
Stockton College ·	41
Ventura	42 43
Yuba College	7)
Chapter IV	
1. Normative Findings	44
A. Enrollment	Ly Ly
B. A.D.A.	45
C. Student Hours Per Week	45
D. Curriculum Emphasis	48
Table   E. Table    ''Class Size''	49
E. Table II ''Class Size'' Table II	50
F. Rooms Required to House the Programs	51
Table !!!	52
G. The Normative Junior College	54
Table IV	56
2. Observable Trends	
A. In Class Size	57
B. Curriculum Emphasis	58
C. Size of Plant	59

Appendix
Sample Forms of the Space Adequacy Survey-College



### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

A report such as this is seldom the result of the efforts of any one person. It is the result of the contributions of many individuals and several organizations. The writer acknowledges with sincere appreciation the contributions of the following individuals:

Dr. Theodore Relier, Prof. of Education, University of California, Berkeley, for his counsel and guidance.

Dr. Charles Bursch, Chief, Bureau of School Planning, (Retired) Calif. State Department of Education, Sacramento, for the original development of the "Space Adequacy Survey-College".

Charles D. Gibson, Chief, Bureau of School Planning for his assistance and for making the resources of The Bureau of School Planning available for this study.

Mr. Clyde E. Goudie, AIA, donor of the grant which made this study possible.

Mr. Elwood Lehman, Field Representative, Bureau of School Planning who first suggested the study and helped guide its progress.

Mr. John Branigan and Mr. Aubrey Calvert, Field Supervisors who helped gather data for the project.

The Field Representatives of the Los Angeles and Sacramento offices of the Bureau of School Planning who aided in the collection of the massive amounts of data necessary for completion of the forms.

The Administrators of each of the junior colleges studied, who gave of their time and advice in preparation of these data.

And the following organizations:

The Bureau of Junior College Education, Calif. State Dept. of Education

The Bureau of School Planning, Calif. State Dept. of Education

The University of California, School of Education

The University of California, Field Service

The California-Junior College Association

The Building & Facilities Committee of the CJCA



# INTRODUCTION

In the pages which follow these introductory remarks will be found:

- (1) The major characteristics of the "Space Adequacy Survey-College".
- (2) The procedures used in applying it at 17 California public Junior Colleges. (3) A summary of the findings and (4) Normative data derived from the application of the survey at each school, and observable trends.

The project was first suggested to the writer by Mr. Elwood Lehman, Field Representative for the Bureau of School Planning, California State Department of Education, Sacramento, California. Mr. Lehman indicated that Clyde E. Goudie, AIA, had given a modest grant to the University of California to finance a study of junior college building programs. Dr. Theodore Reller, Professor of Education at the University of California accepted the responsibility of guiding the writer in the conduct of the study. The Goudie grant was used to pay the expenses incurred in preparing this report.

The study, titled "Space Adequacy Determinations for Junior Colleges" is in the process of preparation as a doctoral dissertation at the University of California. It will cover a review of the literature, giving the formulae and procedures that have been used for determining how many rooms are needed to house a given educational program at the junior college level, a comparison of the results of these procedures with the results obtained by using the "Space Adequacy Survey-College", a detailed analysis of the instrument, a summary of opinions regarding the instrument, a review of the tests applied and recommendations for changes in the procedure, as well as



revised instructions for its use. It is expected that the study will be completed by 1962 and that abstracts will be abailable soon thereafter.

This report is but a small part of the entire study. Presented here are only the summaries of the results obtained from the application of the instrument and normative data which may be of interest to junior college administrators.

It is the sincere hope of the writer that the data herewith presented will be of some aid to those junior college districts planning to modify or expand existing facilities or to build a new plant.



### CHAPTER I

# SPACE ADEQUACY SURVEY-COLLEGE

## A. What Is It?

Essentially the "Space Adequacy Survey-College" is a device or instrument by which a junior college administrator may determine how many teaching stations he will require for a specific enrollment for a given educational program. It was developed by the Bureau of School Planning of the California State Department of Education in 1958.

Dr. Charles Bursch and Charles D. Gibson originally developed the instrument for high school use. The high school forms have been used successfully for the past ten years in the planning of secondary school buildings in California. The present form, adapted for use by junior colleges, is rather recent and until this study was begun, had been applied at only a few California junior colleges.

In response to the question, "What is the space adequacy survey-college?" Dr. Bursch replied, "It is a procedure for determining how many, and what kinds of teaching spaces are needed for an estimated number of students for a known educational program." In the introductory material which the Bureau of School Planning distributes with the forms for the Space Adequacy Survey-College, the following statements are made in regard to the Space Adequacy Survey.

# Major Features

In Junior Colleges there has long been need to construct a firm bridge from any given instructional program to appropriate and adequate teaching spaces to implement such a program for any specified number of enrollees.



<u>Space Adequacy Survey for Junior Colleges</u> undertakes to fill that need.

In cases where there is a stabilized enrollment pattern but a crowded building situation, precisely how many of what kind of teaching spaces are needed to make the plant adequate?

In cases where enrollments are increasing, what precisely will be the requirement when the enrollment reaches 1000? 1500? 2000? or 3000?

In newly formed Junior College Districts, after an educational program has been adopted, precisely how many of what kind of teaching spaces will be required for each of several stages of enrollment growth?

In order to supply answers to these and other related questions, it is necessary:

- 1. To determine courses to be offered.
- 2. To determine the relative emphasis desired among courses offered. (When the emphasis upon each course is expressed as a percentage of the total program, a good check is provided against departmental imbalance.)
- 3. To determine a manageable unit for computing program emphasis and teaching space need, it is proposed that such a unit is one enrollee in a class for one teaching period. (Student hour per week.)
- 4. To determine an average or ideal class size for each course to be offered.
- 5. To determine which courses are to be taught in general type classrooms and which require special rooms or laboratories.
- 6. To determine the percentage of full utilization of rooms that will be considered a practical workable maximum in terms of making good class scheduling reasonably possible. (Data presented here use 80% for general classrooms and 70% for special classrooms and laboratories as maximum practical utilization.)
- 7. To determine the number of class periods available for scheduling classes during a normal college day.



To move in an orderly and objective manner from these determinations to the desired goal, the number of each kind of teaching facility required for a given enrollment requires a well worked out and detailed procedure. Such a procedure is provided in the Space Adequacy Forms available from the Bureau of School Planning, California State Department of Education, Sacramento, California.



### B. How is it Used?

No attempt will be made in this brief presentation to give the detailed instructions for the use of the instrument. These instructions will be supplied with the forms when they are distributed by the Bureau of School Planning, State Department of Education, Sacramento, California. Generally the procedure is as follows:

Upon the request of any Public California Junior College, a field representative of the Bureau will call at the college with the necessary forms for starting the survey. In order to complete Form A (as found in the appendix) it is necessary for the administration to select the most recent semester which is to be studied in detail, and to analyze the present curriculum emphasis, class size, and other material. In order to complete Form A, the administration should be able to furnish the name of each of the instructors on its staff, the classes he teaches, the hours he teaches these classes and the number of students in each of these classes. These data are then entered on Form A in the appropriate spaces and the totals and necessary mathematical computations are completed. Sample forms will be found in the appendix.

For Form B, it is necessary to have the grand total of student hours per week as determined from Column 7 of Form A. This will include the number of students enrolled, times the numbers of hours they attend in any one complete week. Eliminated from this figure is the number of student hours which are derived from attendance at classes in physical education or similar activities which do not require the use



of any regular classroom. For example, the physical education classes out on the field, on the tennis courts, in the swimming pools, in gymnasiums, or in specialized exercise rooms, tumbling rooms, etc., etc., would not be included in this total. The reason being that uniformity is seldom found in this field and when such special rooms are needed, they are usually built in response to a local request. When the total number of student hours per week for each subject has been derived, it is then divided by the grand total of student hours per week to arrive at the percentage of curriculum emphasis as indicated on Column 4 on Form B. This figure indicates the total number of student hours which are generated by this particular subject in regard to the total curriculum of the junior college in question.

At this point the field representative of the Bureau will meet for a conference with the department heads or division heads, the deans of instruction and such other administrators of the college as it might be deemed advisable to have present, to determine what shifts in curriculum emphasis may be expected or desired in the future. For instance, if currently the number of student hours per week generated by English is 12.7% of the total student hours per week, and the college is planning to increase the English requirement for graduation from the college from 3 units (as it may currently stand) to 6 units for future use, then a large increase in the number of student hours in English may be expected for the future. For this situation, it may be determined that this percentage may rise to 16 or 17 percent of the total number of student hours per week. To arrive at the computed



student hours per week for Column 6 it is simply necessary to multiply the grand total of "student hours per week" by the percentage emphasis for the future. The 4 or 5% increase in English requires an off-set of similar magnitude in other subjects so that the total does not exceed 100%. This will complete Form B.

Form C is a comparison of the present and future curriculum emphasis for several schools selected by the administration. It is used to make a comparison with other schools having similar curriculum emphasis and to find out whether or not the particular school being studied varies greatly from other schools of the same size located in similar type of community.

Form D of the study is completed by supplying the data required at the top which indicates the predicted enrollment, the present enrollment and the predicted increase. For the purposes of the study at hand, it was determined that the word "enrollment" should refer only to students carrying 12 or more units and that these would be considered as full-time students. This figure was derived from the October report presented to the State Department of Education in October of 1959. It is recognized that this eliminates the thousands of students who attend in the evening and many part-time students who attend in the day time, but who carry fewer than 12 units. However, it should be recognized that at the present time there is no standard way of computing "enrollment" for the junior colleges of California, other than this figure. Even the use of the Average Daily Attendance figure would be misleading since many junior colleges carry some of their



ADA in adult classes which do not meet on their own campus, and would therefore not be included in calculations for a particular site.

Until such time as a "full time equivalent student" is acceptably defined for all the junior colleges of California, we have no standardized figure to use except that used in the October report.

Since these figures are used only to determine the anticipated growth of the institution, the fact that we arrive at a working growth factor does not jeopardize the accuracy of the computations. If the administration will recognize that the future enrollment should also be considered in terms of students carrying 12 or more units, no mathematical inaccuracies will occur in the use of this procedure.

Another conference with the administration of each college is necessary to determine average class size. This conference may be held immediately following the one regarding curriculum emphasis so that another visit need not be scheduled. In determining class size, we are considering enrollment in each of the classes as it would usually occur on approximately October 1st or the third week after classes have started and most, if not all, the 'adds' and 'drops' in each class have been completed. In many junior colleges, some of the classes have limited enrollment. In which case this figure should be chosen as the average class size for this subject. If there is a laboratory section which has student stations of a specific number, then this would determine the 'class size' for this purpose. Using these data, the number of class groups meeting per week at this institution can be determined.



This is not a utilization study, nor is any attempt made here to recommend what utilization is desirable or recommended. The report of the Master Plan Study has given recommended figures for the colleges of California. For the purposes of this study, it was determined that we would use the hours between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. from Monday through Friday and exclude any classes occuring after 5 p.m. or on Saturdays. It is recognized that this may be a serious omission, and that perhaps in future studies there should be recognition of the utilization of the plant by evening classes. As has been noted frequently in the literature, most of the utilization studies made in the past have not included this material in the study. The reason given is that usually the administration will schedule evening classes in the existing structures built to house the day time program. Seldom if ever, are rooms or buildings constructed specifically to house an evening or adult program. It is hoped that future studies will recognize this omission and make provisions for it.

The committee guiding this study agreed that we would use 80% availability of the 35 hour week, or 28 hours for lecture, discussion, and similar classes and 70% of the 35 hour week, or  $24\frac{1}{2}$  hours for laboratory-classroom availability. These figures are in excess of the recommendation of the Master Plan Survey team, but are not unattainable. At present there are several junior colleges which exceed this utilization and many which match it in many subject areas.

By a simple arithmetical process we arrive at the computed teaching space needs as indicated in Column 7 of Form D. At this point



another conference must be called with (usually) the Chief Administrator, the Dean of Instruction, the Business Manager, and other administrators responsible for the planning of classroom needs for the future. Since most of the teaching spaces computed will be in the nature of decimal figures, these must be rounded off to whole numbers. It would be illogical or impossible to build fractional rooms. Frequently several of the courses such as English, Mathematics and Foreign languages, may use rooms interchangeably, provided the classes do not vary too much in size. In which case, the total of the figures for these subjects in Column 7 can be combined to arrive at the teaching space needs as shown in Column 8.

If the college is planning a new campus, no further computations need be made. If however, it plans to add to its present campus, then we must know the number of adequate teaching spaces available. For the purpose of this study, unsafe buildings, temporary buildings, portable buildings, or "Army surplus" buildings, quonset huts, and buildings of a similar nature were not determined to be adequate. Subtracting the number of teaching spaces available presently, from the teaching space needs determined by conference, we arrive at the additional number of teaching spaces which it will be necessary to build in the future.



# C. Some Precautions To Be Observed

For the public junior colleges of California, the task of preparing these forms is relatively simple, since they will have the help and guidance of the Field Representatives of the Bureau of School Planning. For administrators of other junior colleges, there are some precautions which should be observed in order to arrive at the correct figures on the final form. On Form A, where we enter the instructor's name, the classes he teaches and the number of students enrolled in each class, it is extremely important that each sheet contain not more than one of the subjects listed in Forms B and D. As we will be entering the total number of student hours for each subject on these latter forms it could become a rather difficult task to separate the courses later. For example, should Mr. Jones teach some courses in mathematics and a few in physics, the physics classes must be entered on the sheet labeled

If the local junior college administrator is already familiar with the class size in each of the subjects being taught at his institution, and does not desire to make any comparative studies beyond what he already has on hand, then Columns 5 and 6 of Form A may be omitted, since these simply yield the total enrollment divided by the number of classes to determine the average class size in existance for this particular semester being studied. The completion of these columns is not required to determine the necessary data for the following forms.

In regard to Form B of the Space Adequacy Survey, another precaution to be observed is the substitution of the words "student hours per week"



for the word "enrollment" at the top of Column 2 of the old Form B.

Some of the older forms use the word 'enrollment'. Should enrollment figures be used rather than student hours per week, then a second calculation would be necessary.

Perhaps the most ir ortant caution to be observed occurs in computing the curriculum emphasis for the future. One must understand that if the enrollment of a school is expected to double, and that therefore the number of students in any one particular subject would also be doubled, then the curriculum emphasis indicated by the percentage figure in this column will be identical to the original figure. It must be remembered that the curriculum emphasis for the future is based on the opinions of the department heads or division heads, the deans of instruction and the others at the institution who should be able to estimate, with some degree of accuracy, what the curriculum emphasis of the college will be in the future.

Perhaps it may be planned to add some new courses in areas which are not currently being taught. Perhaps some of the areas, in which enrollment has been constantly dropping, will continue to drop. Perhaps new industries moving into the area will require the college to add new courses to help train students for employment in this industry. Perhaps the requirements of the transfer institutions are expected to change and new courses will have to be added to meet these changes. Many factors are involved. We must recognize at this point that the instrument is a mathematical procedure, but that human judgment now becomes a factor in the answers received. This need not be a detriment or a weakness; it may in fact, be one of the strengths in

this instrument that a degree of flexibility to meet future needs becomes an inherent part of the instrument.

In making comparisons between schools, it is well to observe that schools which have a vocational orientation will necessarily show greater curriculum percentages in these fields, while those schools which are primarily transfer institutions, will show higher percentages in the academic fields.

The most significant figure in attempting to compare schools in regard to size or capacity is that called the "grand total of student hours per week." This is the number of students attending classes between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. multiplied by the total number of hours they attend in any full week. This figure is, in the writer's opinion, a more significant figure than any other in attempting to compare the size of the institution with that of any other.

Another note of caution to be observed when looking at the figures for the number of rooms required to house a given program, is that in the final analysis, the number of rooms required, is what the administrators of these colleges feel is necessary. For instance, if we find that the numbers of rooms needed for certain subjects, as computed by the instrument, is determined as tenths or hundredths of single units, then these must be rounded off to whole numbers. Frequently (as had been indicated previously,) these can be combined into whole numbers. But occasionally a situation such as the following may occur, and in fact did occur. The number of Art Laboratories computed for one small college was .8 of one room. This particular college is in a community



which feels that the teaching of Art in its college is to be encouraged. It has a very strong Art department with two instructors. College authorities decided that they wanted one room for ceramics and sculpture, one room for pottery, mosaics and other crafts and one room for painting and drawing. The instrument therefore, will show a total of three art laboratories for this particular school, when actually the mathematical formula indicated that one room would house the whole program if we were to seek the utilization figures desired. Obviously, two of these three rooms will remain empty and unused for many hours during the week.

The other extreme of planning, that of building fewer rooms than the instrument indicated, occurred rarely. In the two situations where this did occur, the colleges were operating on very stringent budgets. The administration would attempt to schedule most rooms solidly from 8 until 5, (even including the noon hour). In some cases the normally 'open' periods on Tuesdays and Thursdays would be filled in by the use of hour and a half sessions on these two days to achieve the three hours per week necessary for three unit courses.

Many excellent and rather standardized procedures currently exist to help a junior college estimate its future full time enrollment.

Surveys of the high schools in the area that normally 'feed' a particular junior college will reveal a percentage of the graduates that usually enroll at this college. Local administrators frequently will use data from the local department of health. Given the number of births each year they can calculate how many 18 year old persons may be expected to be available for enrollment in any given future year.

By taking the percentage of eighteen year olds that normally attend the school, a rough estimate may be made. Surveys of this nature and more extensive ones, including other factors, are frequently made for the junior colleges by the Superintendent of Schools Office for that particular county. An authoritative source for similar information is available from Dr. Carl Frisen, Demographer for the California State Department of Finance. Figures from the Chamber of Commerce, from public utilities and other sources will reflect the anticipated growth or decline of the area usually served by the junior college. There are many factors that influence the final estimate. The administrators usually have to consider whether or not the area is growing from immigration into the area, and whether or not industry is expanding. They must consider any plans for new and adjacent schools in the area, and the presence or absence of State Colleges, or branches of the University which may be built.

The figures shown here for the 1970 anticipated enrollment, are the enrollment figures as anticipated for the number of students carrying 12 or more units. Where these differ from the figures originally used, (which in some cases were ADA) they were adjusted to provide the same proportionate increase as other figures that may have been used, using the October 1959 enrollment report as the basis upon which to calculate the forecast enrollment for 1970. For a more complete understanding of the data presented in Chapter III of this paper, it would be well to look at the definition of terms in Section B-2 of Chapter II.



### CHAPTER II

# APPLICATION OF THE INSTRUMENT AT 17 SELECTED JUNIOR COLLEGES

# A. Selection of the Colleges

The 17 schools selected for this study were chosen to represent examples of the urban, the rural, the academically oriented, the vocationally oriented, the large, the small, the old, the new, and as far as is possible, to attempt to achieve a representative sampling of the 63 public junior colleges in the State of California in 1959.

The committee which selected these schools was composed of Mr.

Charles Gibson, Chief of the Bureau of School Planning, State Department of Education, the late Dr. Hugh Price, Chief of the Bureau of

Junior College Education, State Department of Education, and the writer.

# B. The Method of Application

## l. Definition of Terms

- **ENROLLMENT:** Total number of students carrying 12 or more units at each California junior college as reported to the State Department of Education on the "October (Statistical) report."
- ADA: Average Daily Attendance. Legally defined in section 11451
  California Educational Code 1959 edition. Generally computed
  for junior colleges by multiplying total number of students by
  total number of lours attended per year and dividing by 525.
- STUDENT/HOURS/WEEK: Student hours per week. Calculated by multiplying the total number of students in each class by the number of hours each class meets in any full week.
- GRAND TOTAL-STUDENT/HOURS/WEEK: The total of all student/hours/week.

  For the purpose of this study only those classes using classrooms are included. P.E. classes using gymnasiums, playing field, exercise rooms, special equipment rooms are excluded.



- SHOP: A Specialized classroom with considerable machinery, tools, test equipment, etc. for use by vocational or trade and industrial classes.
- CLASS: An academic unit of one or more students formally organized for instruction in a specific course under the supervision of an instructor.
- CLASS MEETING: A regularly scheduled meeting of one or more students assembled for instruction.
- CLASS SIZE OR SIZE OF CLASS: The number of students enrolled in a class. Normally the number appearing on the instructor's official class list as of the standard census date is considered the "size of the class." For this study, the enrollment as of the first week of October 1959 was used. Where the class had limited enrollment, or where a laboratory section was limited by the number of student stations available, this was the figure used for class size.
- PERIOD: As used in space utilization studies, a period is a unit of time approximating one hour. Generally in institutions of higher education a class period consists of 50 minutes of instruction, with an allowance of 10 minutes for changing classes. A class meeting scheduled for two consecutive hours, possibly a total of 110 minutes, should be considered as two class periods in a space utilization study. A class meeting scheduled for an hour and a half, which in most colleges would amount to 75 or 80 minutes of actual instruction, should be processed as 1.5 class periods.
- a given time. A <u>student station</u> is a chair, or a seat, or a laboratory desk, or some other facility necessary to accommodate one student during an instructional period.
- TEACHING STATION: One room, (lecture, discussion, activity or laboratory) having one teacher conducting one class.
- INSTRUCTIONAL SPACE: Any room scheduled for class meetings.

A room regularly used for or available for class meetings should be classified as "instructional space" regardless of the designation of the building in which it is located. On many campuses, instructional rooms are found in the "administration building", or the "library building," or the "field house," or the "student union building."



Following are descriptions of the major kinds of instructional space found in institutions of higher education:

- (a) A GENERAL CLASSROOM is an instructional room used chiefly for lectures, recitation, and seminar type of class meetings. Other common terms for this are "non-specialized instructional space" and "lecture room."
- (b) LABORATORY is an instructional room equipped for a special purpose such as chemistry experiments, food preparation and service in home economics.
- (c) A SHOP is an instructional room fitted out with specialized machines, tools, and technically equipped usually used in vocational, trade and industrial or technical instruction.\*

# 2. Standards Used in the Study

The standards agreed upon for this study were determined by several committee meetings. The committees involved were the Junior College Building and Facilities Committee of the California Junior College Association, Junior College Building Advisory Committee assembled at the University of California and the Committee composed of members of the Bureau of School Planning of the State Department of Education at Sacramento, California.

# a. Schools Selected

Only those California Junior Colleges which were public, tax supported institutions were used in the study. Included were independent districts, junior colleges that were part of secondary districts and junior colleges which were part of unified districts. No schools which share their facilities with a high school program were included for the obvious reason that it would be difficult to



<sup>\*</sup> The definition for "class size," "period," "station" and "instructional space" are condensed and freely adapted from Russell Doi Manual for Studies of Space Utilization in Colleges and Universities, American Association of College Registrars and Admission Offices, Ohio U. Athens, Ohio, 1957.

make any statistically accurate survey of a plant which was shared by another institution.

## b. Enrollment

For enrollment figures we used the figures given for the number of students carrying 12 or more units in the fall semester of 1959 as recorded by the institution on its 'October report' of 1959. ADA, the average daily attendance for each institution, was derived from the official publication of the State Department of Education distributed in 1960.

# c. Student Hours Per Week

The "grand total student hours per week" was the sum of all the hours produced in any one full week between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. for each institution, derived by multiplying the number of students in attendance by the number of hours attended during the hours indicated. Eliminated from this grand total are student hours generated in physical education classes which meet on playing fields and gymnasiums, or in special exercise rooms set aside for special purposes such as judo, trampoline, etc. Physical education classes in Coaching or in Theory of individual sports were included.

# d. Utilization

It was determined by conference that we would use 80% of a 35 hour week as saturated utilization for all lecture, discussion, seminar and similar type classes. This would yield a figure of 28 hours of availability for these classes and to use 70% of a 35 hour week for laboratory and shop classes yielding an availability of  $24\frac{1}{2}$ 



hours for these latter classes.

## e. Class Size

Class size was determined by using the enrollments which occur on or about the third week of the fall semester of 1959. If the college had a policy of limited enrollment in certain classes, this was selected as the class size. Whenever the college determined that they would prefer to change to the larger type lecture situation in certain classes, the larger figure was used in computing the room needs for the future.

## f. Forms Used

The standard forms developed in 1958 by the Bureau of School

Planning for the "Space Adequacy Survey-College" were used on the

first three schools studied. A minor change was made in Forms B

and D in order to include the greater variety of classes being offered

at the larger junior colleges. This made for a more accurate presentation of the curriculum emphasis and room needs for these institutions.

It did not affect the results in any way. No major changes were

made in the forms during the application of the instrument of the

17 schools studied.

g. Future Curriculum Emphasis and Room Needs

It was determined that we would use the year 1970 in considering future curriculum emphasis and future room needs based on the institutions own determination of what its "enrollment" might be in the year 1970.



# h. Classes and Hours Omitted

Any hours for any class which were DHR (or hours by arrangement) in which the students attended on their own time, and without an instructor in charge, were not included in the study. An example of this might be the extra hours put in by mechanical drawing students. Also, excluded were such hours as might be put in as 'work experience' classes in down town stores, or nursing classes conducted at the hospitals and aviation flight time at the airport. Only those classes which met on campus, under the supervision of an instructor, in courses related to fields as indicated in the previous sentence were included.

# 3. Data Collection

Because of the large number of people involved in the collection of the data for the study, there were several minor variations in the procedure. This however, has no serious effect on the validity of the statistics collected. Although the procedures may have differed in the original application, the data were rearranged to fit the standard procedure and no changes in totals or results occurred.

On occasion it was found that the classification of certain courses by the various people involved differed from the classification of the same course at another institution. For this reason, conferences with the Field Representatives were held periodically to standardize the classification of courses which might have no traditional classification. An example of this would be a course such as Law Enforcement. One field representative classified this class as a political science. It was determined that it should appear



with the vocational, T and I classes.

After the masses of data for each institution were collected and assembled, conferences were held to determine if there were to be any shifts in curriculum emphasis and what the college felt was desirable as optimum class size.

At all of these conferences, the writer was directly involved and met with the members of the administrative staffs of the colleges and with the field representatives of the Bureau of School Planning who were present.

The staff of the Bureau of School Planning, assisted in most cases by the writer, then computed the mathematical figures for the student hours per week in each subject as required for the completion of Form D. After the number of rooms required for each course had been determined, another conference was held with the Administrative Staffs of each of the colleges at which time the writer and the members of the Bureau of School Planning determined by conference what adjustments should be made in these figures.

Radically condensed summaries of the findings at each institution will be found in Chapter III.

After the data collection had been accomplished, all mathematical computations completed, and all conferences held, the writer then held interviews with the administrative staff personnel who were directly involved in the completion of the study at each institution. The results of these interviews will be published in the dissertation currently being prepared at the University of California at Berkeley.



### CHAPTER III

# INTRODUCTION

On the following pages will be found the summary sheet for each of the seventeen schools studied. It should be recognized that these summary sheets contain only those data regarded as absolutely essential for determining the current and future curriculum emphasis and the number of rooms needed to house a given educational program in 1970. At the average junior college with a day time enrollment of 1500-2000 it will take sixty or seventy pages of data to complete Form A yielding the current curricular percentage emphasis, and six pages each for Forms B and D to arrive at future curricular emphasis, class size and the number of rooms needed. On the summary sheets which follow are found only those data which are considered essential for making comparisons between schools and to aid in the planning needs for future schools.

Where no figures or no changes appear in the column headed "Future," it was the decision of the local junior college authorities that no significant changes in curriculum emphasis were anticipated or that they did not desire to attempt to estimate the extent of such changes.

Note: The data for the future for the College of San Mateo is for 1961, not for 1970.



The column   The		MANG OF SCHOOLS	AMERICAN RIVER	IVER						N	LOCATION:		(SACKAMENTO)					Î
Properties   Pro		ent Hours	4	1						1 1	xcino	e P.E.	not	in classroom)			Ì	
Page	-	lrent:			TOPER	9. V.	2	14500 N 1970]	(Strage	-113	17,116	12 01.	a cour	units from Cot.	report			
Department   Mourison   Mouriso		ABA	Tota	11:	027				ASSELL						X			
Department   Dep			20uri	mlum					%Curric	ulum	-			# 1	Acurric F	an Tue	- 5	 ا قرا
Courses   Cour		Department	Emph	1318	C1 a8 5	No.	\$100\$ C	lepartment	ğ١	13		-		Department	1050	intura	CLESS S	S C C
Mail		Courses	1959	Future	5128	HTS.	7	ourses	ı	Fusure	277.0	COMME		2007000	77.04	3 3 3 3 3	7777	
Continue   Continue		Knglish		12.	K	2	-44	oct., Bkkpg.	2.27	2.27	35	8		Grd.S				
Control   Cont	\$	Drarg-Speech	30.0	20.0	25	-	16-1	voing, Shorthnd.	4.63	K -	35	Ģ		F1t.				
Control   Cont	VIC	13	1	2.48	25	1~	<u>'</u>	Ff. Trng. (Mach.	.87	}8°	<b>%</b>	હ્ય	VI)	Architectural				
Section   Science   Section   Science   Section   Science   Section   Science   Section   Science   Section   Science   Section   Sect	RCK	tics	-	9.43	150	=	#1)	usiness Eng.						Drefting				C.F
Authoroplety   36   19		R						aw, Math. Etc.	1.36		35	2		Aviation Mechan.				
Conceptions		ropoleg	08.	.80				istrib. Educ.				120cm 2000 (400m)		Agriculture		<b></b>		
		Economics	16.	<b>≯</b> 5°			I.	DECAYATORICANA ADV	.2	10.	- Company		. (	Leoture				
Harsony   Fig. 1, 19   Harsony   H		Geography	92.	92.	40		30.6	Human Kelations					IN	•				
Chieffic   Chieffic		History	5.85	5.85	40/150		I	karketing			25	-	<i>;</i>	ilding				
Control of the cont		hmanities						Sales	91.	-i6			IA:	Llectronics	•	-	······································	
Philotopy   1.10   1.10   2.5     Philotopy   1.10   1.10   2.1		Orientation						Work Experience					OX.	Lecture	.53		2	2
Political Sci.   145		Philosophy	1.16	1.10	25								-	habe	9	4.93	25	2
Particle   Particle		ומי	1	1.85			p4-4	Mol., Zool., Bact				De 2940 de 1480 d		Enforc				
State   Stat		Psychology	8.59	8,59			Œ	Lecture	1.57	1657	3	*		ical Draft				
Contains   Contains		Sociology	1.36	1.36				lab.	20.5	20.12	8	~		Stops			(	
Total Soc. Sol. 21.25		Other					<u> </u>	Instomy, Physiol.	4				A 14	Automotive	74,4	4.47	24	-
Forall Soc. Sol. 21.25   21.	II S	ALL					วร	lecture	•				oi.	Nachine				
Totall Mandemic   47.52   47.52   51   Hotal Mark Note   1.09   Herris   1.21	SO	Total: Soc.Scie		21.25		2		Lab.	- 1				LV	Metals				-
Apprec., Hist.,		Total: "Academic"		47.52		2		sotany, Natr. Stay.			W		00.	weiging Defiii			*	-
Theory   T							Ī	Lab.					1	NO. C. LONG	100			-
Physical Soince   1.09   1.0		• >	&8°	883	00		#						15					
Constitute   Con		12					100		***************************************		haffili fa fa a gan			Home Loons		d 1 de la composição de l		
Photography		Crafts	2.98	2.98	25	G		rre	1.03	1.03			SM	Lecture	W.	1.2	2/2	
Licture   Licture   Gleelogy   Clear   Licture   Lictu		Photography	,					-qan						Leb.			2	-
Hacture   Hact		Lecture						eology	Cr.	C4	energyan ng eller			Fursing F.N. Procram	had see missi	م محمد <b>،</b> لي <b>ون</b> و	.50 فعلولون ع	
Appreciation		uab.					TI:	lecture Lab	677	66			°0			***************************************		
Appreciation   .94   .94   .94   .90   1		Hacture Hat. Theory.			2	CIUP		Stronomy	-		150	C	II :	1				
Choral	2	Anoreciation	*6*	76.	90			/e teprology				-	OME	Si				
Instrumental	IS	Choral	- 59	69		70		hemistry					DH	45			82	auto.
Practice Grps. 98 .03   1 E lab. 4.27 4.27 95 8 Total Noors Needed   1	Ort	Instrumental						Lecture	2,47	2,47	<u>5</u>			,	,			
Health Education		~	96.	<b>୍ଟ</b> ୍ର		-2	w	Laba	4.27	4.27	25	or/		Rooms Nee	į	***************************************		8
Health Education					-			Fhysics				****		Lecture (	9	satre)	-	
Hyglene		lducat	Grandlers and	**	A STATE OF THE STA	¥	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ecture	1.54	1,54				аľ	v.   ~			2
Viret Ald		Hygiene		-1	2	Į.	1		17:	111	2	27			ន	77		P.S
S CORONING  R Mngineering Drw. 4.60 20  R Mngineering Drw. 59 1.59 16		First Aid	61.5	415				oŢ				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Dari'r toi'les		A CORPORATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.		2
Surveying		<b>C0803111</b> 8			A STATE OF THE STA				V, 1	*		G						
									30.5	3 3	1_	-						
					-		1	toy and	77.	7								

READING LAB

25 

ERIC ATUITSAN PROVIDED BY ENIC

**№** P.ms. 67 3 Class 24 22 202 3.0 C. 0 Ç 0. huture Total Rooms Needed

Large Lecture (Little Theatre)

Standard Classrooms

Special Classrooms & Shops Scurriculum Emphasis 1959 Putu Students carrying 12 or more units from Octa report 1.0 -Law Enforcement Technical Draft. Shops Drafting Aviation Mechan. Trades Aero Fit. Frog. Grd.School R.N. Program 3687. classroom isst. Automotive Fuilding Tra Labratories Agriculture Home Econ. Lecture Machine Welding Refrig. Other L.V. Lecture Lecture Dental Netals Nursing Med. Courses Lab. Lab. Lab. LOCATION: LANCASIER (Exclude P.E. not in MOH NURS INC EC. Ŋ VOCATIONAL. UNV TECHNICAL MDUSTRIAL 크 No. Rms. (V) Class Size 242 282 원창 8 24 2 **#** 8 0. .3 2.5 3.0 2.2 2 Future -ထု Curr culum Emphasis 1959 Futu 1.3 3.5 0 3 2.5 æ  $\bar{\cdot}$ Acct., Bkkpg. Typing, Shorthnd. Off. Trng. (Mach.) Work Experience Human Kelations Science Anatomy, Physiol. Botany, Natr. Stdy Biol., Zool., Bact Business Eng. Law, Math., Etc. Data Process. Distrib. Educ. Engineering Surveying Astronomy
Actronology Marketing Department Lab. Strength Lecture Chemis trry Lecture Leoture Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Physical Physics Stat. Geology Labe Sales Courses Lab. Lab. lab. Lab. Lab. 1052 IN 1970 ENC SCIENCE PILE SCHEMOR PHYS ICAL BOZ IMEZZ ¢. 9 에고 27 Class 8 222 8 50 S 8 3121 7 8 VALLEY COLLEGE 2.5 0. 2. 16.6 0 0. C) 9 Future **→** 40.6 1.2 <u>.</u> . %Curriculum Emphasis 1959 Futu 1912 0. 2.8 TELOPE 4162 œ ٩ **17.**2 2.9 13.3 2.7 ] eek Student Hours per Walnrollment: Octobe Humanities Orientation Philosophy Political Sci. Health Education Foreign Language Total: Soc.Sci. Total: Academic Practice Grps Hyggerent irst ald Expectional NAME OF SCHOOLS Appreca, Hist., oclal Science Anthropology Economics Drawing-Paint Appreciation Lacture Hist.,Theory, Crafts Photography Instrumental Drang-Speech Psychology JOURNAL ISH Geography History athemetics. Sociology Department Lecture Josephing Theory Other Courses English Choral Lab. OR INC HON-SEECTALIZED CLASSROOMS TAA DI SOLI EDUCATION SHAZ ICYT LIERRAL ARTS OR COURSES

ERIC

READING LAB

8

	SCHOOLS	펄	D COLERE						LOCATIONS		ERSF IELD,				
	nt Hours	ek	对					ı	Exclude	le P.E.	not in classroom				
	11	(ctoher 1959)	203		10761 NI		15trdents		30 TO 12 17	は沿	or more units from Chi. report	te reporti			
	0959 ADA	Total	al: 3.184				AGU] \$ :	_							
		%Curriculum	culum.				Sourtculum	cu lum		ļ	Alexandr	/Surriculum	culum		
	Department	TOFO.	Emphasis	Class	No.	Department	1050 inst	38 18 Thitme	S. 1.7.	No.	Department	Fundas 1s	35 48	Class	,
	see moo	TC 67	£ 4,002.0			١.	SCET	11'	27.72			4503	ה תי ביתו ב	27772	
	English	12:7	12.7	R	0\	Accte Bkkpg.	٠ <u>٠</u>		e C	<b>4</b> 0	HOUST, SCI.	C)	C1		•
<b>S</b>	allow he	2.1	2.1	25	2	Tvoing, Shorthnd	de 2.7	,	2	,	A)CONSCIEN		1.0		
WO(			4.0	R	9	Off. Trng. Mach	_	-	7	8	H Architectural				
	Mathematics		7.4	<b>8</b>	9	Business Eng.	_	8.			F Drafting	۲.	5	25	<b>,</b>
722 2 E2	<u>(3</u>					Law, Math	1.4	1.4	40	_		ង•			
	Anthropology		-					A 484-04-04-04		-	28				
			?			Data .							0.1	9	-
EEI 3 (		0.	χ,				ł					80,	83	20	
(1) (1)	1	9.7	9.7			Marketing	2-	2							
S.	J	o.	0.			Sales					Electronics	ဆ္	0*1	93	_
ra ESI		3.1	3. !	9	2	Work Experience	g S				R Lecture IV Rabid		*	જ	
A .	Philosophy	9.	9.									1.0	1.2	23	ev
IV	-	4.	**			Biol., Zool., Bact					Law Enforc	ئا ئار	0:-	R	-
HII IIO		4.3	4.3	\$	2		/•		72		PETTS !	۲٠ •			
M M	-	1.3	1.3				9*1	9*1	24	•	Shops				
1C P	1					4						5.5	0.	S	•••
II S						S Lecture	1.2	1.2			S Machine	610	.13	-	-
en.		21.5	21.3	ş	80		1.4	104				-24	.24		
	Total : "Academic"	47.5	47.5		8	Botany Natr. Stdy	ye					6 <b>7</b> °	64.	20	-
							7.		72	es para		35	.35	20	_
	Apprec, Hist.,	,				Lab.	8.	8•	24	3	Other Tech Math	ь.	6.	1 '	M.TH.
	Theory	2.4	2.2	35	~	ı							٦		
T	Drawing-Faint.			24	-	Physical Science			·		R	-Name - Park	**************************************		
VE	Craits					Ir.e	•5	•5			ı	nt.	*	8	me
	rhotography	,	,				.5	.5			y hab.	1.3	1.3	50	5
	Lab.	30	3.0			E Geology	•				Nursing Program	<i>a</i>	<u></u>	r.	
	Lacture					•	-	-	200	,			0 3	25	-
	Histo, Theory,					13			3		Dental				-
	Appreciation	1.4	1.2	90	97.1						( (A)				
	Choral	7.	7.	09	-								-		
Y'I	a	,	,	,		No Leoture	2.4	2.4	7.0	-					
	Practice Grps.	9.	9.	60	-		4.4	4.4	24	5	Rooms Ne				18
	÷ 0 0 0 12 2		C	9	•	다	,		-11		oture	19	Theatre)		-
	Hodiene	- 1,	300	2	1	becture	0.	0.	8	-	Standard Classrooms	v.			2
ΙŢ	First Aid			8	Ï	Laure of her		-	23	2	Topoctal Otassioolis	JOHN & SHOUS	JS		17
VD.	Coschind			1		TO MARINATA					naria toriaes				22
H4 DO	Na. mang			T	T	VG STATE		~!·	9						
1				T	Ī	Surfacing Surface		· ·	22	2					
			e.		i	cur veying		-	3						
	READING LAB	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u> .	8	ત્ય			* ** - *** - *							

READING LAB JOURNALISM

8 0

ERIC Provided by ERIC

NO. P.ms 63 2 R Class Size 25 24 ಬ Emphas is 1959 Future 2 5.7 -Total Rooms Needed
Large Lecture (Little Theatre)
Standard Classrooms
Special Classrooms & Shops Huriculum Students cerving 12 or more units from Oct. report Aprit: 460 3 5.7 Dectabases Las Aero Grd.School Aero Fit. Prog. Architectural L.V.N. Program Technical Draft. Aviation Mechan. Law Enforcement Grd.School Trades MECENTY WOOD Other GRAPHICS R.N. Program LOCATION: COPTON, CALIFORNIA EXCUNI Automotive Lisst Agriculture Llectronics Labratories Department Courses Drafting Home Ecuis Lecture Machine helding Lecture Lecture Building Metals Sursing Med. Lab. Lab. Lab. hops P MOKETHIC EC. **VOCATIONAL** HOME INDUSTRIAL LECHNICVI VND No. Rms NO Class 35 3 1250 22 2 2 25 25 X 20 Future 3.0 4.2 ? 2 5.6 -Hourstonlun Emphasis 1959 Futi Advit 2.2 200 69 201 C, -Shi Acct., Bkkpg. Typing, Shorthnd. Off. Trng. (Mach.) Human: Kelations Varketing Work Experience Lab. Enginearing Drw. Surveying Botany Matr. Stdy Biol., Zool., Baot Soience Anatomy, Physiol. Dusiness Engeliaw, Mathe, Etc. Data Process As tronomy We teorology Department Lecture Chemis try Lecture Leoture Lecture Lecture Lecture Physical Phy: los Courses Stat. Sales Lab. Geology Lab. Lab. lab. Lab Lab (2 400 IN 1970) PILE BOZ IKEZZ SCIENCE SCIEVICE PHYS ICAL •DNE No. N Class 25 12 45 20 45 N 25 20 9 COLEG Total: 2,093 25.217 Future DRAMA 2.3 o: = 1.2 3.2 8.2 1984 ئ 8 2.1 %Curriculum Emphasis 1959 Futu JANIOR er 1959 COPTON T Week 2.3 6. 42.6 1.2 1.9 • 8.2 . Octob Student Hours per Foreign Language Wathematics Health Education Political Sci. Sday Total: Soc.Sci. Total: Academic NAME OF SCHOOLS Social Science Apprec., Hist., Anthropology Theory Drawing-Paint, Appreciation Orientation History Humanities Psychology Sociology Lecture Histo, Theory Crafts Photography Drana-Speech Ph11osophy Choral Instrumental inrollment: Economics Geography ALIA Practice Department First Ald Lecture Coeching Hygiene English Other Courses Lab 1959 CLASSROOMS ORING MON-SECTVILLED PISON TAA EDUCATION LIBRAL ARTS OR COURSIES LHAR ICT

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

NAME OF SCHOOL: E. CAMINO COLLEGE  Student Hours per Week 65.870  LONG ALM  Department Cotober 1959 *3.40 - 4.1  Courses  Courses  Courses  Courses  Courses  English  Draws-Speech  Friedry  English  Correllanguage  Anthropology  Anthropology  Anthropology  Friedry  Friedry  Friedry  Friedry  Friedry  Apprece, Hist.,  Friedry  Appreciation  Apprec			N	Runs .			***		وال مدون و والمارس				2	<u></u>	10	, -	· 61		3 <b>5</b> 01	01	_		)'\		3				-			84	33	23	-	-			
Control   Cont			0 88	Size			35					) ا مالىدۇمىدومەد	8 8	96	2 %	126	75.		24	24	24		وقواليس المساودين	33	72	ga ga sa			a,	1			-			1			
Particle			Lum	iture										2002	20.0	2000	G		94.			1,42		\$2,	95						1	arre	3						
Student Burn Principles   1,000   1,	<b>(EA)</b>		Curricu Frake	959 F			65						11.11	2.01	08.	2.20	8	5	46			1.42	ng ban an and a Ref	12.	8	.,				1		9	e de					,	
	ANGELE		S.	1	100	0ۥ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	hane			des	-		_	-	SILe			22	CT.	9	NIEX				E &	ogran	5 c.	0 3	, L	%e	1 7	SHOOTS						
Stringer   Bours   Der Feek   6.83   Courses	Jastroo	11.00	•	tmemt	Try Sch	F14. 17	tectura *+1 nd	ion Mec	nlture	5.779.	1	2-1-4	ture	39	O.	- 1		COMO LI VE	2000 VELD	The same of	frig. L	Ner CARP	Econ.		p•	ing No Prog		ا بــا	41			3 F	- ا ـ						
Stringer   Bours   Der Feek   6.83   Courses	MINO (S	r mi r	,	Cours				-				E		La	Law		S S	1		oc		Of	H					1	1		Tota	Lar	1, CS.	विश्व					
Stringer   Bours   Der Feek   6.83   Courses	를 다 다	or re		Sms.	3		Ī	Ī								1	-				nu managra			W/10			2			9	O		~	4	00/3	3			
Stringer   Bours   Der Feek   6.83   Courses	TION:	ne 12		nici <del>production</del>	<b>%</b>	P	*	er.	-	_		-			,	Ŕ	18		-		Printer d'un en	R		35		,	R	-		Œ.	88		8	P	35	38	82		
State	LOC Exc	1.25	<b>}</b> ₽ ~ 4	re		3.45	17.	7,	• [	+	1	-						ad <u>a</u> a (1944)	+	1	0	16.		-		,				72.00	7.6%		2.24	8.2	R	2,42	8.		
NAME OF SCHOOLS EL CAMINO COLLEGE   Examination   10.00   10.00   10.00   10.00		udents	of the	mbas is	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					1		- 6				35	62		22%			31			-	-	-53			¥	ر ا		.27	=	æ.	\$2	œ.		
Student Hours per Week Courses   No.   Department   Excellent   1930   12.50   13.00   12.50   13.00   12.50   13.00   12.50   13.00		I.S.	170% 	367				-									2.	•	1	$\downarrow$	<b></b>	-			-	-	-				76		2			2			
NAME OF SCHOOLS   C. CANINO COLEGE   C. CANINO CO				42	, de	hor that	· (Mach	हैं प्रदे	Educe	ocess.	eletio	ng Gu	merien		J., Bac	, A\		Physiol			8TT.•3T0			Scienc	D		e	>	ogy	200	a)		ò						
MAR. CF SCHOOL: E. CAMINO COLLEGE   Stadent Hours per Week   Stadent Hours   Stadent Hours   Stadent Hours   Stadent Hours   Stadent   Stadent Hours   Stadent   Sta				partmon urses	i i	ping. S	f. Trng	siness	strib.	Data Pr	Human.	Market	Work E		ol.,Zo	Lecture	Lab.	netomy,	Lectur	Lab.	Tecture	Lab		bysical	Tap Les	eology	Lectur	Lab.	eteorol	hemis tr	Leotur	hysics	Lectur	Lab.	trengti State	ngineer	urveyi		
NAME OF SCHOOL: EL CANINO COLLEGE  Student Hours per Week  Limpliment: October 1053 *3 049 = 1737  Geograph  English  En		e		900	3	3 2	'라		553 553 553	NI S		1	1	#	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>	[		A.	<u> </u>	-					SYFI						7		
NAME OF SCHOOL: E. CAMINO COLLECE Student Hours per Week 65.870    1959		65 N		No	:	3 6	10	8												2	47	- Paring of	~	9					~	9	us Landov b 4					-	1		
NAME OF SCHOOL: EL CAMINO COLLEGE Student Hours per Week 65.870 Endlinent: October 1959 *3.049 1959 Tutue Department Emphasis Courses Course Co			37.	Class 3	3	2/2	35	33	******																								بمثدانيا ليفر		_	_		25 25	
NAME OF SCHOOL: EL CAMINO  Student Hours per Week Emrallment: October 1959  Courses  Courses  Social Science Anthropology English Economics Social Science Anthropology English English Economics Social Science Anthropology History History English Economics Social Science Anthropology English Economics Coretish Economics Fallt Economics Fallt Economics Instrumental Economics Instrumental Economics Instrumental Economics English English English Economics English English Economics Economics English English English Economics Economics English Englis	OLEGE		•	1.00	a man	2.5	4.0	9.1		1.15	.51	8.99			263		- C4-1			21.49	50.9	UF	986	3,21					1.7	4.	15°			1.5				95°	1000
NAME OF SCHOOL: Electure Student Hours per William County Department Octobe Courses Courses Courses Courses Courses Figlish Drawing Language Footbal Solence Natheration Filstory History Corefts Courses Coolology Cofference Footbal Solence Coolology Cofference Footbal Solence Footbal Solence Footbal Solence Cofference Footbal Solence Footbal Footb	_ 6			Emphas		2.5	7007	9.18			150	8.99	+		5.58		2.5	7		64.1	6.03	5	980	3.21					17.1	245	.51			•	1	1		83	
NAME OF SCHO Student Hour Student Hour Student Hour 1959 ADA  Department Courses  English Fire Ign Lang Mathropolo Foreign Lang Foreign Foreign Foreign Lang Foreign	d	er wee		3 1	7		1			-				-				-								·-*···						8•	20				+		
REDUCATION MAY OF THE LESS OF	CHOOLS	7.1	1 1 i	.g.				SOT	cience	103	ohv	,	ties	ation	opjiy	Car oc	togy	057		oc.Sci	cademi	7 - 634		Paint.		rphy			secry,	10.00	ental	- 4	์ เลิก cati	!!	1.0	no.		41 -	c .
EDUCATION MUSIC ART USING HON-SPECIALIZED CLASSROOMS	පි	ndent F	59 A	partner	urses	glish	arra-VD	thems to	olal S	Fronce	George	Histor	Humani	Orient	Philos	FOLITI	Paycho	14101		stal: S	stal : "A		pprece,	rewing	rafts	hotogre Lectur	Lab.	acture	LS to TI	horal	ns trum	Pract.	1 47 60	Vgiene	irst A	Sechin		READING LA	1
PHYSICAL ARTS OR COURSES	NA	T E		De De	8	띱	Lucia Allen		SSV	CP	<u>I</u>	IZI	LA	CI	Fel	<u>s-</u>	NO.	H :	l II1(				4					  -1:	1	10	-			NOI	TA	one			•

ERIC Artifleted President by Elect

			N.0.	-	-									-			-	-		-		-			2		<u> </u>				29	2	15		-			
			C128.8	Size	24			-	+	-			_	*7	+	-	8	24		-	-	24	_	R	12	,	92					-			-	-		
			mnTnc	Future	7.1					*********								3.7						4.8			10.2	8.7				Theatre)		ps				
	reporti	X S	Sourriculum Emphasis	1959 Futi	0.4						į							4.2						3.2			11.2	14.2			ed.	tle	SC.	ris & Shops		-		
W Constant	or more unit: from Cot. report		ionortment.	Courses	o Gra.School		Architectural	Urefting	Aviation Mecualio	Lecture	Lab.	building Trades	lectronics	Lecture	Lab.			Automotive	Machine	Metals	Welding Refrid	Other Woo	F	me from.	hab.	Nursing D W December	HOLL V.N. Prodram	. 18	Med. Lsst.		Total Rooms Needed	Lecture	ard Class	ecial Classrooms	Labratories			
PA MARIA	in si			3	Aero		NI E			<u> </u>	GN		57	_[	L	FE Tech	0				200	1_		Home TO S	[					)H	٠ ا		St	Sp	123			
SANTA MA	OK III		)    	Rms.	_	-		1		. <u>.                                   </u>			1			-	Í		_					·	T					-	- -	-				-		
LOCATION	ng 12			-	2 %	<b>3</b>	20	1	<b>R</b>		-	24				•		-	48	24							8	+	1	y	2 2	•	-8	24	8	2 8	24	
Į	S	207	D	re	2.6	5.3	3.2	1	71			1.1				-			•5	8.		-					-;	-		7 .		*	6.	.5		100		
	Students	11 14.5	Murriculum	1959 Putu				-		<del>,</del>	-								_			+			+		- I	+	+		1	+	<b></b>	5		-	.6	
	(St	27	rrog/	1959	5.1	300	2.6		81			0:		w d	_	, ,	7	1	80.	2					1		5.2	-	1	l	<u> </u>	3	Φ.		_	1		
				Department Courses	SHORTHAND Acot Brime	Tvoing. Shorthnd		Business Eng.		E Distrib. Educ.	Human		Sales	Work Experience		Biole, 2001. Bact	1	A T		_	Botany, Natr. Stdy	Lab	Ħ	Physical Science	Leonure	E Geology	1		We teorology	IC Chemistry	1		rnysics Lecture		Strength of Mat.	State	Surveying	
	IQ.			No.	-	1	i		2		1	Ī						T				-	1		T						-	T				Ī		
1	0751 NI 057.			•	-	_	2	8	_		$\dagger$	╁				$\dashv$	+		-				48	54	+			•	 R	2	lapaner en				Q.		-	8.5
8			_			٤	3		$\vdash$	+	+	-					-		+					H	+				9	٥	<del></del> 47 342 <sup>4</sup> 11	-				1		
HANCOCK	5.49	•	in lum	Future	9	7	2.3	3	13.1					6.						19.8			1.5	5.					•									
ALEN	6	11	Murioulum	Emphasis 1050 Futi	6664	6.3	2.	3.4	14.8					6.3						21.1			4.	7.8					•	.7								
NAME OF SCHOOL:	Student Hours per Wel	11		ent -		English	Parei da Landuade	Wathem tics	Social Science	Anthropology	Economios	Highory	Humanities	Orientation	Philosophy	Political Sci.	Psychology	50c1010gy	Orgen	otal: Soc.Sci.	Total: "Academic"	Assumed High	Theory	Drawing-Paint.	Crafts	Lecture	Lab•	acture	118 to Theory,	Choral	menta	Practice (rps.	7		First Aid	Coaching		READING LAB
2	ثلفا			_ •			-11		SS	SAU A.I.:						IA S					- (		4		AIA O Io	-	<u> </u>	<u></u> 4i		DIS				NDI	DIS TA	one		

ERIC

AFULT REPROVIDED BY ERIC

343 8 삔& RIMS Class **X** X 結 S 8 36 2.25 •94 Future Theatre Curriculum Emphas is Shops .43 8 365 94 Students courving 12 or more units from Cot. report Total Rooms Needed Large Lecture (Little 1959 Standard Classrooms Special Classrooms Technical Draft. Other BROADCAST rrogra Aviation Mechan. Law Enforcement Fuilding frades Flectronics Aero Flt. Frog. Architectural R.N. Program Dental Asst. Grd.School CCATION: VAN NUMS, CALIFORNIA isst. Automotive Machine Labratories Agriculture Home Econ. Drafting Department helding Lecture Refrig. Lecture Lecture L.V.N. Netals Surs ing Med. Courses Lab. Lab. Lab. Shops MOHE EC. NURSING 33 anv VOCATIONAL. INDUSTRIAL TECHNICAL ゴ **=** No. Rms N 3 N " N ~ 20 LOCATION: Class Size 9 212 SIN 9 88 3 8X 35 35 45 45 \* わむ 45 2.84 55 1.02 .38 98 45 2.78 5.18 2/8 8 8 2.33 R 3.52 7 9 1.53 Future 8 œ Morriculum Emphasis 1959 Futu 1.65 2.84 3.05 45 .23 2 8 27 8 5.55 2.05 - S .46 R = 1.53 3.52 \* Engineering Drw. Surveying Data Process. Human: Kelations **Mork Experience** M. B. Botany Matr. Stdy Physical Science Biol., Zool., Bact Anatomy, Physiol. lyping, Shorthnd. Trng. (Mach. Business Eng. Law, Math., Etc. Distrib. Educ. LECT. Acct., Bkkpg. Lab.
As tronomy
Meteorology
Chemis try 6 Larketing Lecture Department Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Strength Lecture Lecture SHORTHAND Stat. Physics Geology La.b. Lab. Lab. Courses Lab Lab. Lab. PHYS ICAL ENC SCHEMOR SCIENCE PIRE BOZ IMEZZ 0261 NI 250E **5**6 29 Class 45 25 45 45 COLEGE 4 8 56 55 13 35 3.15 2: 4. . 38 24.35 90. 2°2 1659 2 243 Total: 5,442 7,39 3.70 5.78 9.23 •05 K: 89 গ্ল-19.1 10.17 10. ST 60.1 11.1 VALLEY 53,225 Future %Curriculum Emphesis 1959 Futur ANGELES 3.15 **S** 2.82 જુ. 25.68 1.75 6.01 11.23 1.46 1.46 870 -09 **6**5 55 10.82 3,37 eek undent Hours per Wi Orientation
Philosophy
Political Sci. Health Education Total : "Academic" Practice Grps. Drama-Speech Foreign Language HXXXXXXXXXXX STAT Total: Soc.Sci. Apprec., Hist., Theory SCHOOLS Drawing-Paint. Mathematics Social Science Anthropology Appreciation Sociology Other EDUC. Lacture History, Instrumental LIBRARY SCI Psychology Photography Geography Economios Department irst Aid Lecture Seching His tory aygiene English NALE OF Courses Lab Choral Crafts EDUCATION TAV DISON OZINC NOK-SECTVINED CIVESHOOMS LHAZ IC'T LIBERAL ARTS OR COURSES

READING LAB JOURNAL I SM

**-** ~

ERIC Frontided by ERIC

52. 22.

84

	П			N. O.	RIES	6.	•		4							2	0		2		~		T	3		•	J 6	_	_ .	-   ~			9	1	82	21	=		
				200	Size	35	7		25			33		1	er re	25			35		25			25		Ş	25	ደ	8×15	2 82								+	-
					ure	1.5	3		6.1			7				2.4			7:		163		1	1.3		F.	-	e.	e.	.0.	,			(e)					
		prit		%Curriculum Emphasis	1959 Fu	3.		-	•0			<del> </del>		-		2.4	-		3		2.		-	52				e.	<u>ن</u> -	.6.				Theatre		Scops			
		ter ter		<u>~</u> ₹	16	<u>a</u>		_		J.		-		-		_		• •	-			1	-						m	99			Meeded	(Little	C.	OTIS &			
AIA.	Ssroom	from to		ent		LECTURE Godzárokock	t. Frog.	ctural	irg	n Mechan.	ture	3	Sapari ?	2010	စ္		Enforcement	il Draft.	LABS	tive	je		100	SHOP LECT				LECT.	Program L	Decreasion	Lsst.		Rooms Wee	cture	Clessrooms	Classrooms	ies		
CAL IFOR	in classroom	carrying 12 or more units from tota report		Denartment	Courses	LEC Aero Ga		Architectural	Drafting	Aviation	Agriculture	Dec curv	mi laind	Blectronics	Lecture	Lab.	Law Enf	rechnical	Shops	Automotive	Wachine	Me tals	Refrie.	Other	Home Room	Lecture	Lab.	Mursing	K V N	Departed	Med. 1		Total Ro	Large Le	Standard	Special	Labratories		
POMONA,	• not	MOLE			-		T		_		INI	a	NV		IC:	HIA		= ;		AIX	)I	TA:	NOO				10B	1 3	•0	A :		)H		J	C) I K	23 W	<u>-11</u>	<u>'</u> -	
N: Po	le P.E	76 OF		No		00	3	2		5	\ 		ŀ					2 [[	9		ŀ	1							- •	1			2/		-	2		•	-
LOCATION:	Exclude	outh		Class	Size	£3	40	25		37			33					80	æ	8	2 1	25							2	×		,	9	ų.	\$	20	36	25	25
Ţ		48	12q	nlum sis	Future	0.0	2.0	.7		0.1			0,0					0.7	3.7	c	7.	D.							4:			,	7.7		•5	.7	o		7.
		STREADUS.	Con 11:	%Curriculu Emphasia	1959	0.0	2,0	٠,	4.0	4.0			2.6					4.0	3.7	C	y	20	-						9.			7 6	4.5		٩	æ•	ď	0	7.
					1	•80	Shorthnd.	Trng. (Mach.)	1g•	Etc.	Property.	Relations	3		rience		, Bact		•	rsiol.		S+04.	(200		Seience	}		Ç"		-			1			uv	Mat.	Drw.	п у
				Department	Courses	SHORTHAND sct., Bkkpg.	, <u>6</u>	Trng.	Business Eng.	Math	Distrib. E	11.	Marketing	ales	Work Experience		Biol., Zool., Bact	Lecture	Lab.	Anatomy, rhysiol Loctume	Lob	Rotant Natr Star	Lecture	Lab.	Physical Sc	Ø	.p.	(Bo	Leorure Leo	4s tronomy	∜e teorology	Chemis try	Leoture Labe	106	Lecture	П	Stat.	Engineering	Surveying
				Dep	Con	Aoc.	Typ	Off.	Bus		HAI TIME			S			Bio	_ {		<u> </u>	_			Ä	Phys			Ş	-		l bread (			K.	3	ErT .			
	950.		-	NO.	Rus							1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	П	1	<del>-</del>				T	HA	11		<u> </u>				er:	S	IA	oI s	YHC		<del>-</del>	<u> </u>	Ŧ	ENG	
		6 070 IN 1970	Y	-		8		5 (	8		+	-			2	-	-		_	+	+	\$ 2	#		- 1						2				7	1.	_		
		16	7	Class.		35	32	4(	35	<b></b>	+	-				_		-	4		15	7			<b>N</b> 8		-	2	#		\$	9	8		2	18	7 8		
SAN ANTONIO	क्ष	II.	372	culum ests	Future	6.5	2.2	4.5	5.8												90	7047		-	2.2		•	•			41	99			200		2.		
H.	Week	. 88		Mourriculum Emphasis	1959 Futu	6.5	2.2	0.7	5.8												0 96	7,000		~	2.2			-			49	1.6			2.0	12	.2		
		Actober	<b>A</b>				oh	Language	1	ense	108y			es	ion	Air	1 201.	Sy.	\ \		Sci	demicu		gt.,	Paint.		Á				ion		Grps.		22.120				
n	割	1050 ATA	I	De partnent	Courses	English	Drains—Speeob	Foreign La	Vathenstics	Social Scien	Economics	Geograph	History	Humanit1	Orientatio	Phi tosophy	FOLLTICAL	Sychology Services	200100100	Taire	Total: Soc. S	<b>H</b> -		Appreca, Hist Theory	Drawing-Pa	afts	Photography	Lecture	ture	Hat., Theory	Appreciatio	Unoral Instrumental	Practice	1 4 to 5	Hydiene	74 th	Coaching		
MA	1		1	_ <u>a</u>	ပ္ပ	E.			HS	SV	CP	as	<u> </u> IZI	LTA	TO	F.C.	S-1	(D)		DAG.				A.T.		Š VB:				<u> </u>	10	SOL TOS		Ų.			VO∩	·	-
	-		11					_		iil S	AU(	K)	RO	S	TA	<u> </u>	IA	HH	TE	<u> </u>	·		#_				***********			•							SAE		

88

READING LAB JOURNALISM

ERIC Provided by ERIC

			<del>```</del>	N.O.	_	6		ν I				2		-	8	2		<u>~</u>	4	*	2		-			<b>a</b>	2		~	3			215	=	711	EZ	82					
				Class Size	25	25		55	3		35	25		0	25	35		15	25	25	2		35			32	2		1_	25		2	,	-		,,,,,,						
			unt.	Sis	-2	1.3		<u>-</u> -			.7	8,		-	3-1			į	1.6	1.4	80		•			•5	=		1.5 LEC	1.2				Theatre)		38						
	report		Scurriculum	Emphas 18	6	1.3		5.	:		F-	ထ္		•	2.6			6.	1.7	7.	80.		g	3		•5	-:		1.5	1.2			FD 6	t16	v.	es & Shops						,
SA Caronia				Department	Wolf Ses	120	Aero Fit. Prog.	ral	C.I	Aviation Recognition	Leoture	ŭ 1	building Trades	ectronios	Lecture 1.2h	w knforcement	Techrical Draft.	Shops PETROLEUM	Automotive	kachine	Netals	he lding	Meirig.	OGICT HOW EARN	Home Econ.	Lecture	jab•	Nursing F.N. Program	L.V.N. Program	Dentalkath. L	ked. Asst.	NURSERY SCHOOL	Potal Rooms Weeded	Lecture	era Class	Special Classrooms	Ľ					
¥ +	ore 1			<u> </u>	3 2	3 234		NI A	<u></u>		<u> </u>	QN		IVO	MI	-			[Vi	ΙŒ	TV I	00°	Δ	-	EE VIC				•0	H	ΦΛ(C	H	E-	11-7	מאו	מא	<u>  </u>			1	ł	
~~	136			No.	reals o	5	5	2		4			2	2			2 IL	•		1	64	,	-			11 -	2							٥		-		<b>-</b>	3	0	2	
LOCATIONS	ing			Class	2126	S	35	25	1	35	25	13	25	\$			8	8		100	8		2			8	8	9	3 6	35		***	3	22	00	8	1 8	2,7	25		3.13	
100	ü	823	lum		ruture 13	2.6	3.4	0		2.5	7	2.	1:1	6.			2.5	8		•5	-7			Q.			9.	,	· ·	76	-		2.5	2.8	7	1	1	7 +	1.5		4 LAB	
	Students	. (a)	Morri culur	Emphas is	1959	-	3.4	1.2		2.4	-	2	1.3	0.			2,5	1 2		• •				q.			٩	-			2	,	2.5	2.8	4	-		? -	1.8	.3		
	(0)			Department	Courses	Acctas Brkods	Truning. Shorthing.	Off. Trng. (Nach.)	Business Eng.		Elbistribe bonce	Himen			Work Experience	near Geal Dock	Diolescour.	(S)	12	o lecture		Botany, Natr. Stdy		bab.	Theston Cotone	_ C	E.b.	E Geology	1	U Date	-	Ghomistry		Lab.	rnysics	2 100 000	Table	State	Engineering Drw.	Surveying		
	77 030 IN 1070	7	=	No.	Rms	8/16	100	1~	6/1	1	-	70	-				2	• •	1/2	37	31	86			= -	) <u>-</u>					=		Market and	-	•	Te	,	Ī				
	1	Ш		20		N.		29	9		9	32	+ 25			35		2 %	18	202					8	200					Ş	8		2	35		3					
डा	[7]		771 0	sis	Future	# G:		3.8	6.9			* -	<b>6.4</b>			9.	5.	3	2	200	30%	42.2		•	2.0	7.57					5	0.1	,	6.	-	• •					9.	્ર
Orange Coast	٢	71	e Euron	Adurriculum Emphasis	1959 Futu	2 0		200	6.3			*				9.	2	60:	• =	2	20 R	42.0		. ,	2.6	77					~	1.0		6°	5	•				·	9	; <b>-</b>
NALT OF SCHOOL: 0	ent Hours per	mrollment: October	1959 AUE	Denartment			Kng lish	Praire Speech	۳ ا	Social Science		Economics	Geography Hastom	finanities	Orientation	Philosophy	Political Sci.	Psychology	) ()	CEEP INCA PRINT	Total: Soc.Sci.	Total: "Academic"		Apprec, Hist.,	¢	Drawing-Flint	Crai ts	Lecture	Lab•	Lacture	Angrediation	Choral	menta	Practice Grps.	77 [5	Health Education	Hyglene	First Aid	Machinis		Despise tab	JOURNAL ISM
72		للك			+		18	-		185	SAI			T1		AA Dæg										T						DIS					I	LV2 LV2	DO	II.		

ERIC AFull Taxt Provided by ERIC

₩ 0. Prins . 0 • **⇔** 24 Class Size 9 25 R R 22 恕 25 8 2 25 25 8 1.55 92. . 33 2.28 8. 8.17 1.94 2.67 Future ભ Total Rooms Needed
Large Lecture (Little Theatre)
Standard Classrooms
Special Classrooms & Shops
Labratories Murriculum Emphasis Students carrying 12 or more units from Cot, report. 1.55 2.28 92. 2.67 . 33 8.17 1.94 8 1928 2 <u>ω</u> Technical Draft. Shops Drafting Aviation Mechan. Law Enforcement Aero Grd.School Building Trades Aero Fit. Frog. Dental 3684. Program LOCATION: REEDLEY, CALIFORNIA (Exclude P.E. not in classroom) Architectural Automotive Wachine MONTH NOOD Med. Asst. Agriculture Electronics Department Home Econ. Lecture Lecture Leoture helding L.V.N. Netals Courses Nursing RR Other Lab. Lab. Lab. INDUSTRIAL & NURSING EC\* HOME UNV LECHNICYL VOCATIONAL. No. Rms. 2 €V LOCATION: Class Size 2 50 <u>0</u> \$ 8 **9** 2 ひて 2/2 8 25 8 2) **8**7 2.05 .93 3.25 44 99. 4.43 3.00 36 1.58 1.95 89 Puture Mourt culum Emphasis 1959 Puto 2.06 .93 35 28 -97 99. 4.43 2,13 1.95 89 44 92 80. .59 3.22 Typing, Shorthnd.

Off. Trng. (Mach.)

Business Eng.
Law, Math., Etc. Human Kelations Engineering Drw. Surveying Work Experience Botany, Natr. Stdy Biol., Zool., Bact. Anatomy, Physiol. Physical Science Strength of Mat. Data Process. Acct., Bkkpg. As tronomy We teorology Marketing Department Lecture Lecture Lecture Leoture Lecture Lecture Lecture Chemis trry Geology Stat. Courses Sales Physics Lab Lab. Lab. Lab. Lab Laba BOS IKESS SCIENCE PILE SCIEVICE PHYS ICAL ENC. 1, 255, IN 1970 Rus No Class 2 65 83 28 8 2 **B12e** 00 80 8 14,459 Future C. 4.63 2.50 83 16.18 50 7. 1.85 3.00 88 12.67 3 9-24 1.04 3 2.77 %Curriculum REEDLEY COLLEGE Emphasis 1.85 4,63 16,18 太 12.67 83 3.50 98 2.52 4.95 -85 9.24 33 1.27 1.04 .37 2.77 1959 r Week oper Student Hours per inrollment: Octo Soi. Foreign Language Watherstics Health Education Total: Soc.Sci. Practice Grps NAME OF SCHOOLS EDUC. inmanities Orientation Social Science Apprec., Hist., Drawing-Paint. Anthropology Appreciation Lacture Hist., Theory, Philosophy Political S Psychology Sociology Drang-Speech ins trumental Crafts Photography Lecture Geography History Economics READING LAB Department Aygiene Tirst Ald Seching Other Theory Courses English Choral Lab. CLASSROOMS OR INC MON-SECTATIVED EDUCATION

PHYSICAL TAV PER SOL LIBERAL ARTS OR COURSES

5

JOURNAL I SM

ERIC

Arul Text Provided by ERIC

0 N FINS B 8 5 38 S Class 22 27 27 27 4.99 6.60 2.19 6.36 Future Theatre Huriculum Emphasis 1959 Futu Shops 15.03 Total Rooms Needed
Large Lecture (Little T
Standard Classrooms
Special Classrooms & St Students carrying 12 or more units from Cot. report 3.16 2.13 3.59 AB. ECT. L.V.N. Program Law Enforcement Pechnical Draft. Shops Building Trades Aero Flt. Prog. Architectural Drafting Aviation Mechan Program Grd.School CALF. classroom Automotive Machine isst. Labratories Agriculture Econ. Department Lecture helding Refrig Lecture Lecture he tals Nursing Other Med. Writeday Courses Lab. Lab. Lab. Home Aero in SAN DIEGO Exclude P.E. not S NURSING EC. HOME TECHAICAL VOCATIONAL. JAIATZUGM UNV No. Rms 2 LOCATIONS 88 Class 88 96 8 ይ Size 8 25 35 8 RR 9 1.35 1.88 -28 8 88 23 3, 19 92. 1.28 3.44 Future 2.03 2.42 2.54 .98 285 Marriculum Emphasis 1959 Futu .45 .45 1.13 .55 5 829 88. 2.15 2.40 1.73 2.88 Typing, Shorthnd.
Off. Trng. (Mach.)
Business Eng.
Law, Math., Etc. Engineering Drw. Surveying Ma ve Human Kelations Work Experience Botany Natr. Stdy Science Biol., Zool., Bact. dox tany of abode Lecture Tech Sci Data Process. SHORTHAND Acct., Bkkpg. Astronomy
Meteorology
Chemistry IO Marke ting Department Courses Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Strength Lecture Lecture Physical. Stat. Fhysics Geology Labo Lab. Sales Lab. rap• Lab. Lab. (KEARNEY MESA) 1 1 2 500 IN 1970 PHYSICAL SCHEMOR SCIENCE PILE BOZIMESS 3/1 1/ Res N 7 9 \$ 35 8 88% क्ष इ 27 Tass 5 RS COLEGE 1959 2, 377 Total: 5,650 2.06 1.75 8.58 35 15.15 Future 2.54 5,566 •95 9.45 88 JUNIOR Curriculum Emphasis 1959 Futu 45 .56 3. 9.07 DIEGO 12.64 9 1.33 8.76 11.69 eek Health Education Hours per Total: Soc.Sci. Total: Academic Foreign Language Soi Drawing-Paint. Crafts Photography Apprec., Hist., Theory SCHOOLS ocial Soience Appreciation Anthro ology Orientation Lacture HistorTheory Philosophy Political Psychology Sociology Other Instrumental Humanities Draing—Speeob Geography history Student Hour Economics Practice Vethemstics mygiene First Ald RECREATION Department Lecture Coaching English English NALE OF Courses Lab. Choral EDUCATION PRIZIC TAV USING MOH-SPECIALIZED CLASSROOMS HAZ ICYP LIEFRAL ARTS AO COURSES

ERIC Full Yeart Provided by ERIC

1.0° 18 9 24 27 **Size** 24 ଯ୍ୟ 第 8 8 8  $\underline{\sim}$ 8 8 ଯାୟ 8 8 5 ċ 3.7 Future 9 ۲ 떠 Total Rooms Meeded Large Lecture (Little Theatre) Surriculum Emphas is Classrooms & Shops Students carrying 12 or more units from Cot. report 5 1959 ٩ 5 œ 2 1.7 Standard Classrooms Special Classrooms Labratories LECTURE COSMETOLOGY Drafting
Aviation Mechan.

Aggregation Kechan.

INVENTATION INVESTINGENTER Technical Draft. Shops Other CARPENTRY L. V.N. Program Building Trades Law Enforcement PROPERTURBING Grd.School Aero Fit. Prog. X BOXXXXXXXX LAB R.N. Program Dental 465%. OCATION: SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA Exclude P.E. not in classroom Architectural Llectronics Department Home Econ. Lecture Lecture Machine helding Netals Lurs ing Courses Lat. Lat. DIVI SHUN ? EC. HOME UNV VOCATIONAL, INDUSTRIAL TECHITCAL ABOVE N ce Rms S ~ 2 N ~ \* LOCATIONS Class Size の記念に घड ७८ 8 B 83 8 R 35 25 5 848 3/2 2.7 RECITATI 3.6 5.6 Future 2.6 3.0 2.7 2.2 6 3 Ş 3 Chirt culum Emphasis 1959 Futu 1.5 2.6 3.6 2.7 " 3.0 3.1 3.0 2.2 3 N. As tronomy & GEO. ORY
Me teorology
Chemistry Human. Kelations Botany, Natr. Stay. Work Experience Biol., Zool., Bact. Science Anatomy, Physiol. Strength of Mat. Data Process. Distrib. Educ. (2.830 IN 1951)(6.000 IN 1970 States I.B.M. Engineering Marketing Department Courses Lecture Late Surveying Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Physical Geology Physics Stat. Lab. Lab. lab. lab. Lab. Lab. SCIENCE BOZIZEZZ PILE PHYSICAL SCIENCE ENC. 2 13 40 Ç Class **512e** 9 9 82 35 80 35 8172 ଧ୍ବର \$ 8 35 35 8 SAN MATEO 51,558 2,291 او Future 9 14.6 17.0 9. 6 2.9 œ 45.0 %Curriculum Emphasis Callege of 2.0 9• <u>\_</u> 45.0 9. 14.6 6 ထ Week Student Hours pe Health Education Total: Soc.Sci. oreign Language Practice Grps nrollment: Oc. Total: "Academic NAME OF SCHOOLS Other READING Theory Drawing-Paint. Political Sci Psychol gy JOURNAL ootal Science Orientation Philosophy Apprec., Hist., Anthropology Lacture Hist., Theory, Appreciation Geography History Humanities Drana-Speech Crafts Photography Ins trumental athem?tics Economics Sociology Department irst Aid Lecture Seching dygiene English Courses Choral NEING MON-SECTEFIZED CEASSHOOMS TAA DISON EDUCATION LIBERAL ARTS OR COURSES LHAZ ICYT

	•.100mJ	SANTA ROSA	JUNIOR	COLLEGE					21	LOCATIONS	SANT	SANTA ROSA	CALIFORNIA				
t c	Hours per	Week	29.565					Student	Ë	ving 1	41.	ore un	or more units from Oct. report	report)			
II at	19	ner 1	331	25.50	0261 XI				455		<b>a</b> 1						
		Total:	1: 2,122					9				-		%Curriculum	11um	,	-
		%Curriculum	ulum 18	388	No	Den	Department	Emphas is	sis	Class	No.	Dep	Department	Emphasis	Sis	Class Size	No. Pms
Department Courses	p H	1959 Futu	re	Size	Rus.	S	Courses	1959	Future	5120	SEE	3 2	NAVIGATION	.21	00	8	0
	SCIENCE	20.	50.	20	8 ~	Acc	t., Bkkpg.	3.06	3.06	07	2		Grd.	.33	8	8	0
Rnglian	4000	7.80	300	25/20	2	Tyr	Typing, Shorthnd.	5.26	5.20	36	1		o fite from				
Poreign Lang	Language	4.22	4.22	35	2	뜅	• Trng. (Mach.)	3.31	3.3	2	2	<u> </u>	Architectura.				,
Lathem tics		5.30	5.10	35	3		Business Eng.	89	200	96	-	H.	Aviation Mechan.				
Social S	Science		9	9		ESS (1)	Distribe Educe	2	739			ZZ Agr					<u></u>
Ronomios	Anthropology Roonomics	1.21	1.21	40			Data Process.						becture Lab				
Geography	Ayor	.85	.55	SZ.			Eumen kelations	1	36	3		W. Else	milding Trades				
		69*9	69°9	150/40			arke ting	3	7	*		157	1-1-1				- سدسري
humanities	ities		8	Ç	-		Work Experience					IC	Lecture				_
Orientatio	Orientation	240	302	202								-	Lat.		93	76	
Political	ical Sci	1.53	1.20	150/40	11	Bi	Biol., Zool., Bact.	ç	00	90	-	- 110		25	200		
Psychology	ogy	2,12	2,00	150/40		1	Lecture	2.09	1.76	24	2	S				00	۳.
Sociolo	logy	-55	.35	2			Anatomir Physiol					Ì	Automotive	.91	-8-	2	- : - :
Other						IO	Lecture	8.	. 39	72			Machine	669	88	25	
E C	500 003	8 4.	91			<u> </u>	Lab.	<i>\$</i> .	36	24	-	ľ	Metals	200	2 6	200	]_
Total: "Academ	icademic"				<u>@</u>	IAIT.	Botany, Watr. Stay.	1.20	1.20	72		NOC	Refrig.	23.			
	172.04					[	hab.	1.95	1.95	24			Other				-
Apprece, sals or	S en s en s	1.48	1.18	2		É						DIUC B	Home Econ.				again province and a second
Drawing-Paint	-Paint.	3.50	3.40	8	~	<u> </u>	rnysicar Scremes	.50	.70	96			Lecture	1.15	96.	3 6	
Craits Photography	Thir					<u> </u>	Lab.	.57	u.	24	-		Lab.	*0°	7	200	
Lectur	apu. re					RON RON	Geology	83	90	<b>.8</b>		3 4 —	त्य	1.65	1.80	8	
Lab.							Legente Lab-	2					L.V.N. Frogram	-	8 6		m].
Lacture Hast., Theory,	heory,		;		4		Astronomy		<b>Ģ</b> .	95	-		Vental stsr. Ped. Asst.	اده	000		
oprice di	Appreciation	2.00	<b>30.</b> 20	3 8	-		Chemistry			_		DH				#	
Chorat Instrumental	ental	Xg.	700			CSX	Lecture	2	2	8/3	-		Total Rooms Needed	led			9/.
	sice Gros.	1.04	°80	2	-		Late.	3.47	3	1		13	Lecture	(Little Th	Theatre)		9
9	+ 0					<u>.                                    </u>	rnysics Lecture	1.97	1.97	72	-		ard	SECTO & SHOCK	90		7 2
dydiene.	a conne	2.5	3,50	140	1			1.36	1.36	24	2	21.	Special classions	4	27	-	5
First A	त्रीद	.91	07.	R	-		rength of kate	Ca		<b>4</b> 0	~						
	15 15	87.	8.	7		غرا NC•	otate	2.00		_	2						
							Surveying	19.	8.	25							

JOURNAL I SM

.33

2 .20

ERIC Frontess by ERIC

,	NAME OF SCHOOLS	SIERRA C	303700						N	LOCATION:							
	ent		12,181							Exclude	Per.	not in classroom	lassroom)				Ì
	liment:	71		7	1,816 IN 1970)	19701		Students		7,100	100	ore unit	sarrying 12 or more units from total report	reporte			
	1959 ADA	Total		88				· 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.					***************************************				
	the contraction of	%Curriculum	culum		- N	Denartment	÷ 20 24	%Curriculum Emphasia	ulum	Class	NOA	Department	Sment	edama.	utin Sis	Class	N.
ν	Courses	1959 Futi	Future	5126	Ras	Courses	68	1959	Future	Size	Rms.	Courses	85	1959 kutu	huture	Size	Rms
	English	0 53	00.0	8	u	Acct	Bkknd	2.87	05.50	25	•	dero	Grd.School				
	Drama-Speech	3.0	8.8	25	10	Typin	Typing, Shorthnd.	4.69	4.69	9	2	Aero	Fit. Frog.				
	Foreign Language	3.6	3.2	150	-	Off.	Trng. (Mach.)	2.87		25	2	-					
OH		7.47	7.00	2	100	Business	ess Eng.	.44	.44				tirg	1.1	<b>-</b> -1	36	-
SS	Social Science					Law,		1.97	1.97	42	-		Aviation Mechan.				
SAI A.I.	Anthropology					g Distrib.	ib. Educ.					Agriculture	1 ture	\$	3	{	
	Economics	2.02	2.00				a Process.						ure	K.	02.	2	-
	Geography						Human Kelations					Lab.		22.	01.	8	
ZI	history	8.00	7.50				keting	.	38	25	-		ng Trades				
77 S	Humanities					XXX	<b>B</b> 0 BU	s .89	-89			A Electi	flectronics			**************************************	
RT.	Orientation		S			Wor	k Experience						ure		. 50	82	, <b>5</b> 70.
A		99*	99*										Lab.	1	1,00	9	-
S-	Political Sci.	1.35	1.25			Biole	Biol., Zool., Bact					, ,					
NH.	Psychology	3,85	3,85			}	Lecture	3.04	3.04	72			cal Draft.				
H IEI	Sociology	1.65	1.55			<u>·</u>		1.38	1.38	77	3	S.	GCO <del>M</del>			24	~
nc r	Other					Anstor	Anstomy, Physiol.		5			Aut Futt	Automotive				
-	0				T		recture	60.	2			1	Tue			24	-
<del>-</del>	Total: 500,501.	16.53	16.81	22	وا	_		ος. •	47			_	ST				
	Total: "Academic"					H Hotan	botany, watr. otdy.	•	•			1	helding				
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Lecture	44	040			1	AND MINING	4-	•20	24	-
	Apprece, Histe,	16	00	Ş		Tab.		- 23	02.			Other	r Misc.	2,45	5.00		-
	Drawing Paint.	2 25	200	3 2	6	Phinaical	Sonding Fee		· · · · · ·			NG Home	Econ.				
TH		7, 3,	27.0			Leo	മ	1.35	1.35			Lec	Lecture	1.17	96.1	8	_
	Photography															20	
	Lecture					5 Geology	ঠ					7	18				
	Lab.						Lecture	1.90	2.00	8			되				
	Jacture						6					EO LES	- 1				
-	Histo, Theory,	9	4	3	•		nomy					1		1			
OI	Apprediation	-22	•22	8	-	-	We teorology					NO	1255				
SUI,	Unoral Instrumental	1.32	200	2		H Chemistry	lends try	9.41	20.0	22	and a	H					
I	. 4						3 773	5 97	2 2	20	-	Total	Rooms Meeded	r <del>D</del>			9
	Ŋ.					b.	SS	130		5	·	Large	بوا	18	Theatre		-
N	Health Education					Lec	Lecture	5.50	1.40	72		Standeru	383	v.			R
OI	nygiene	3.84	3.84	22	2	Labe		. 79	02.	77		Special Clar	d Classrooms	ह्यू०प्ट ४ टा			7
TA:	First Aid			2	Ī	Stren	gen of Mate					Labra	ories				2
ON (	Coaching	.53	.53				42			9	-						
				1		K Engine	Engineering Drw.		2.6	77	2						
							ying	2.5	2.5	24	2						***************************************
ļ	Dran Inc. Lan	07 6	2,00	25	-							1					

READING LAB 2.40 2.00 JOURNALISM .45

228

ERIC.

× 0. æ 88 Ē ø 5 3/13 888 28 Clas 35 24 2.35 2.00 2.25 8 2.50 00.1 00.1 •20 35 Theatre Surriculum Emphas is Shops 9 90. 3 53 more units from Cot. report •20 .35 .17 **14** æ 2.27 1959 Large Lecture (Little Clessrooms Stendaru Clessroom Special Classroom Labratories Needed ક Heckeykybook - Lab HECKIE PRINTING Other CONSTRUCT Technical Draft. Aviation Mechan. Agriculture Law Enforcement Aero Fit. Prog. irades AVIATION SHOP Aero Grd. School STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA P.E. not in classroum Automotive Machine Rooms Building fra Home Econ. Department Drafting **XXXXXXXX** Welding Lecture Lecture Nie tals Hurs ing H. N. Courses Lab. Lab. Lab. EC. HOME NURS ING 33 TECHNICAL VOCATIONAL. DIBLETRIAL UNV No. Rms 75 2 exclude Students carrying Class 88 8 K 8 8 8 Size 3 8 8 R 弦汚ぬ 40 3 2.25 2.25 5 3,8 ige. 5 23 4.50 8 Future 8.56 9 4.00 2.60 00.1 8 Morriculum Emphas is 3.53 2.44 R 2.04 7. 8.56 62 2/2 7 9-1-0-2.71 1959 ASTRONOM Data Process. Human Kelations Drw. Work Experience Biole, Zoole, Bact Anatomy, Physiol. Lab. Botany, Natr. Stdy Science Off. Trng. (Mach. Business Eng. Law, Math., Etc. Distrib. Educ. ALL LIFE SCIENCE Acot., Bkkyg. Typing, Shortl Engineering l Surveying Astronomy Weteorology Chemistry 딩 Larketing Department અ Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Leoture Lecture Strength Physical Physics Geology State Sales Lab. La.b. Courses Lat. Lab. Lab. Lab. PHYSICAL PILE SCIENCE SCIENCE BOS LESS 2, 550 IN 1970 3 No. Res 검 ~ æ 252 888 8 8 **#**8 35 80 60 8 5126 25 35 R 1,478 35,575 8 2 88 2.46 8. 8. 16.00 2.75 3 50 4.50 14.00 Future CCLLEGE %Curriculum Emphasis 1959 Futu 8. 2.46 29. 88 4.50 11.65 4.88 2.97 17,91 STOCKTON eek Student Hours per W Octobe Health Education Practice Grps. Foreign Language Total: Soc.Sci. Total: Academic NAME OF SCHOOL: athematics Apprec., Hist., Orientation Philosophy Political Sc Theory Drawing-Paint Anthropology Lacture Histo, Theory, Appreciation Geography History Humanities rrollrent: Psychology Sociology Crafts Protography Instrumenta! Drame-Speech Economics Department Courses Hygiene First Ald Lecture Soaching Other English Lab. Choral DE INC MOH-SEECTVITIED CIVERBOOVE DISON EDUCATION TAA HAZ ICYT LIEFRAL ARTS OR COURSIES

FULL TIME 12 OR MORE UNITS READING LAB JOURNAL I SM

ERIC Prull fast Provided by EBIC

L

Pres NO. 69 2 Class Bize 53 8 24 24 25 2 83 28 Future 2 5.8 2.3 100 ø Large Lecture (Little Theatre) Stendard Classrooms Special Classrooms & Shops Labratories Murriculum Emphas is (Students carrying 12 or more units from Cota report) 1959 2.00 9 23 œ .3 Needed L.V.N. Program Aviation Mechan. Agriculture Draft. Lab. Aero Fit. Prog. Architectural Aero Grd.School Trades 3587. R.N. Program LOCATION: VENTION CALIFORNIA (Exclude P.E. not in classroom) Automotive Med. isst. Rooms Electronics Department Home Econ. Drafting Technical Lecture Lecture Felding Machine Refrig. Lecture Dental Building Netals Lab. Other Courses Total Lab. Shops NURSING EC. HOME VOCATICALL INDUSTRIAL UNV TECHNICAL No. Rms. 9 2 2 Class S128 45 2 25 20 न्न 45 45 45 2 45 34% 27 Future 2.3 5.9 2.3 " 1.3 4.3 6. 3 ? 1.7 Mouriculum Emphas is 2.3 2 (1) .. 5.9 4.2 4.3 1.9 2.7 ۲ 3.1 1959 1.7 2.1 Acct., Bkkpg. Typing, Shorthnd. Off. Trng. (Mach.) Drw. Human Kelations Reday Shortham Work Experience Botany Matr. Stdy Biol., Zool., Bact. Physical Science Anatomy, Physiol. Business Enge Law, Math., Etc. Data Process. Distrib. Educ. Engineering I Surveying As tronomy Marketing Department Lab. Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Lecture Chemis try Lecture Lab. Physics Stat. Courses Geology Lab. Lab. Lab. Lab. Lab. (2.250 IN 1970) SCANI SUB SCIENCE PILE PHYSICAL SCHEMOR INC. (6) E m 23 Class ନ୍ଦର **51ze** 45 R 35 45 45 45 22 38 35 35 8 22,23 9 Future 9.11 23 50 9.6 0,1 18.2 0. 9.1 15.7 2.7 æ 4 %Curriculum Emphasis 1959 Futu VENTURA ₹, 9. 0 9.11 9 5.0 9.6 2.7 ? 18.4 31 15.7 ထ္ Week Student Hours per READING LAB
Total: Soc.Sci. Health Education Foreign Language Practice Grps. Philosophy Political Soi SCHOOLS Apprec., Hist., Theory Social Science Orawing-Paint JOGNAN. Anthropology Appreciation Orientation History Humanities Psychology Sociology Lacture Histo, Theory Dragg-Speech Crafts Photography Instrumenta. errollment: Economics Geography Hygiene First Aid Department Lecture Soaching Other NAME OF English Courses Lab. Choral CLASSROOMS DE INC HOI-SEECITFIEED DISON EDUCATION TAA LIEFRAL ARTS COURSIES AO HAZ IC'T

ERIC Full Box Provided by ERIC

		N O N								_								-		-			. 10. 10.	-			_	-			47	2	20	: =				
		Class	7						24	24														54	24		24											
	1372								<i>S</i>			-						1.38	00.	ā	0			2.50	8.		5.86					Theatre)		50,				
report	To the same of the	Emphas	4503						.30	1.20						_		9.	69.		in.			2.50	6.	er-general selective sele	Fr. 5.86					16	o. ه	SCOTE & SECTE				
e P.E. not in classroom/ 12 or more units from Cot. report		Department	Courses	Ord.	Aero Fit. Frog.	Architectural	c ; .	Aviation Mechan.	Agriculture Lecture	Isab.	Building Trades	lectronics	Lecture	Lab.	Law Enforcement	Technical Draft.	Shops	Automotive	Machine	Metals	Refris	Otier	Ç.	nome acon. Lecture	្នំខេត្	Kursing F.V. Program	(1·20	X	lea. Asst.		Rooms Ne	ture	ar.	Special Classrooms	DECLE COLTES			
not	-					<u> </u>	<u> </u>		D1	Œ!		77	IC	TATE		****		<b>V</b> 1	Ια	ΔV	20/	1	51	U.S.			C*	I :	TV(O	Н		Ĭ				T		j
12 or			Kar	-	2	-		~								_	-					3			_	<u></u>	_	-		***	6	-	_	-		-   ~		
carrying 1		Class	S126	28	40	24		82								72	24		25	24	73	24		5	7			88		75	24		72	24	81	286	8	
	-	ulum sis	Future	3.15	5.20	1.2	æ.	3.90			5	3				8	8.4		.20	94.		•21		1.40		6	3	00-1		2,60	4.40		1.30	05.	7	2 2	.20	
Stragents	14:00	Hourriculus Emphasis	1959	3.14			30	3.90			3	7701				00-1	4.30	4	•20	04.	5			8	• 1					09 6	09 7		06.1	.40	<b>A</b> B	9 2	202	
		Department	Courses	Acct., Bkkpg.	Typing, Shorthnd.	Off. Trng. (Mach.)	Business bug.	Law, Mat.	i.	Data J	THIMED WELSTON	त्या एक कमाहित अगुक्क	Work Arnerience	HOLE ASSOCIATION	Ban Zool Bact	·		A	Lecture		H Botany Natr. Stay	Lecture	H	Physical Science	Leonure	E Geology	1		H Meteorology	Chemistry	Theorems Inch	ř	Lecture		Strength of Mate	o State	Surveying	
1970		No.	Runs .	5	E		100	Ī	1			Ī	Ī	+	T	Ī	Ī	1,	1=				_	2	T											Ī		-
0791 NI 005 11		N ssel		25	0	28	   	-		-	-	+	1	+	+	+	-	5	195	-			90	20	₽			<u></u>	 }	8	र्र		-Australia			-	+	2
1 1 4		Ē	1		+	+		-					-	- 12	\$ .		<b>.</b>	+	+	-					-			······································		6	~	•	umay, u sakkar			-	-	4
الفا		wlum ets	Future	8.			9.4			-	9	*		100	١	4	***	7.5		18.24			2.3	2.9				-	:									
s per Week 1 October 1959	10401	%Curriculum	1959 Futu	60	O	7				1.1	8.	3.8		100	*0°2	4.0	4.4	10.2		18.24			2.3	2.9				7 '	•	6.	•	<b>*</b> •	OST TED	OMITTED				7.
nt Hour	11		Dourses	ر ئاندائى ئادائى	ingres Nuooch	Danal da Landiada	otheretics	Social Science	Anthropology	. 1	Geography	history	inmanities	Orientation	Phi Los opiny	Political Sci-	Prychology	2001010gy	Other All	S	Total: "Academic"	117	Theory Traces	Drawing-Paint.	Crafts	Lecture	Lab.	Lacture	Annreclation	Choral	Ø	Fractice urbs.	Health Education	Hvdiene	First Ald	Coaching		READING 1 AB
تلفية	ΊĒ		εţ	i i			00	US'	ISF SA.I			ZI.				S-			 ]1C						AA	<u>.                                    </u>	-			)IS				M)	C T.A	UC UK		

#### CHAPTER IV

#### I. NORMATIVE FINDINGS

- number of students enrolled (at each junior college) carrying 12 or more units of work as reported to the California State Department of Education on the "October (statistical) Report." This excludes all part-time students, all "adult" students and all students enrolled in "classes for adults". In comparing these enrollment figures with the usual use of the term it will be found to be about 30-35% less than the figure usually quoted. For example, one typical junior college which usually indicates to the press that it has a day time enrollment of 1750, reported to the state that its "full-time enrollment" was 1331. This ratio is fairly typical of the schools in this study and should be borne in mind in comparing these figures with other studies which give a definite number of rooms needed for a stated enrollment.
  - 1. Full time student enrollment for October 1959:
    Mean for 17 schools, 1548
  - 2. Full time enrollment, estimated for 1970:
    Mean, 3012

Indicating an anticipated growth of 94.9% between 1959 and 1970 for the 17 junior colleges studied.

B. A.D.A. or Average Daily Attendance.

This is legally defined in the California State Education Code,

1959 edition, section 11451. It is generally computed for California



Junior colleges by multiplying the total number of students times the number of hours attended, divided by 525.

Total A.D.A. (including Adult A.D.A.) 2850 Mean for all schools Adult A.D.A.

637 '' '' '' ''

Total less Adults 2213 '' '' ''

#### C. Students Hours per week

It is the opinion of the writer that more significant than "enrollment" or "A.D.A." in comparing schools is the figure used for student/hours/week. This figure represents the total number of students enrolled during the first week of October, times the number of class hours attended (between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.) during one full school week. Since this study is primarily concerned with space needs for a given curriculum and specific enrollment, the figure for student/hours/week yields a more valid figure for use in comparing the 17 junior colleges studied.

Average Student Hours per Week for the schools studied: 32,406 student hours per week during October 1959.

#### D. Curriculum Emphasis

ERIC

In Table I on the next page will be found the normative data for percentage curriculum emphasis. This was determined by dividing the total student hours per week in each course (or group of similar courses) by the total student hours per week. The data for each school appeared in Chapter III. Table I shows the arithmetic mean for each subject for all the schools studied. Several difficulties became apparent in attempting to achieve statistical

validity. For instance it was discovered that not all schools classified their courses under the same major headings. A course such as "Surveying" might appear as part of the lower division transfer engineering course at one institution but as part of the terminal or trade and industrial education at another junior college. The institutions own designations were respected in all cases where it was possible to do so, for although the two classes bore the same Title, obviously the subject matter, the degree of difficulty of the class, the standards for grading, etc. would probably differ as much as they ordinarily would for transfer and terminal courses.

Another problem was the determination of the norm for each subject. There was no problem in regard to courses that were common to each school. It was only necessary to total all the individual percentages for this course at each school and divide by 17. (The total number of schools involved in the study.) However, in a subject such as Aeronautics, which was found at only five schools, if the total is divided by 5 we arrive at the average percentage curriculum emphasis for these schools only. Because of the tremendous diversification of terminal, trade and industrial courses found at the junior colleges (each one attempting to meet the needs of the local industries in their communities) if this procedure were used our totals would be in excess of 100%. Therefore all subject areas were in each case divided by 17 so that the percentage indicated for each course in Table 1 is representative



of a "composite" school offering all these classes. The "range" indicates the range only for those schools offering these classes.

The low figure in each range is actually "O" except for the few courses common to all schools such as English, History, Mathematics, Business, etc.



Table I Curriculum Emphas

Department Courses English	1959	1570	79 1570 1959 RANGE	1959 1970 RANGE RANGE 14.6 6.5-14.6	DEPARIMENT COURSES BUSINESS (GEN.) ACCTBROPG. 2.2	1 6 40	~ }	959 2005 2005	1970 1970 Range 2-37-2-33	DEPARTMENT COURSES AERO, GRD, SCHOOL LAB	15 19.	59 1970 98 1.40 17 .17	1959 FANCE -47-8-17 -80-2-10	1970 RANGE 60-8,17 80-2,10
DRAMA-SPEECH FOREIGN LANGUAGE	5 2	2.09	1.30-6.01	1.2-2.2		1 1 1	1.68	3.3	3.31	ARCHITECTURAL DRAFTING	52	\$	20-3-59	20-2-10
SOCIAL SCIENCE	35.2	3.55	11.46-15.7	10,54-15,2				200	29	AGRICULTURE - LEC.	3,5	25	201	0-1-0 0-1-0
ECONOMICS	699	29.	.85-2,02	-85-2-05 -85-2-02	DISTRIE, EDUC	<u>•</u> 8		, EX	2. 52 . 22 . 23 . 24	BUILDING TRADES	* 22	£ 63	20-1-94	1.42-1.75
GEOGRAPHY	9	040	.75-1.10			12.		-2.71	40-2-60	BROADCAST	10.	0	.08- "08	.0808
HISTORY HUMANITIES	7.05	2 4	N-9-09-		HAMAN RELATIONS	10.	100	20	-20- -89-1-50	COSMETOL ORY	2,5	200	3.20-3.20	3.20-3.20
ORIENTATION	9,0	8:	42-6.30	0°0	3	• 59	€65 •20	2.00	20-2-00	ELECTRONICS - LEC.	æ [		40-4-00	40-6-60
POLITICAL SCI.	26		27-4-50		D SALES	28	500	350		INDISTRIAL SCIENCE	7,	1625	· 4 7 4 6 9 2	.80-4.93
PSYCHOL ONY	2,75	2.73	2,11-8,59	2.00-8.59	-B.W.	0.03	03	3.	12	æ	0	10	01.	0101.
SOCIOLOGY	.77	7.	.55-1-75	35-1-62	TOTAL	11.14 [1]	54				-02	-07	7	1.20-1.20
JOURNAL 19M	22.	1		20-1-00	ev,	I		33 0 V		LAW ENFORCEMENT	25	Ø 2	70-1-30	80-1-50
		9 <u>3</u> °		. 10-2.00	DACIER LECI.	2.50	2.52 1.38	28	200	TECHNICAL.	.05		90-90	90-90
THEATER ARTS	50		38-1		ANATO	ł.				S PETROLEUM	80.		06* -06	070-07
		T			Z OGY - LECTIRE	.3	21.	62-1-	12-1-20	万	20-	1.	1.30-17.0	81-4-080
TOTAL: Soc. Sci.	15.28	15.45	12.64-25.68	15.15-24.4	C BOTANY NATIOE	0.	• 62	-2041		MACHINE	38.	15.	321.40	33-1-40
"ACADEMIC	H	8	40.0-50.9	40-6-50-9	LECTURE	•25	.23	-1.20		<b>!</b> !	-15	71.0	01-1-90	.24-1.00
APPREC., HIST.						-80	$\square$	-1.95	31-1-95	WELDING	2	.13	010·	-01-
THEORY	7-1	4	0, 2, 0	40-2-58		7.21	7.33			SHOO FETTIRE	9 6	2 8	06-1-06-1	
DRAWING-PAINTING CRAFTS	2.3	2.31	80-2-98	80-2-98	PHYSICAL SCIENCE	9	20	(F. C.		OTHER	.52	25.	.08-2.45	10-5.00
SAPHY	0.	ō	0000-	4 1	LAB.	Ξ.	.15 .27	09	21-12	VALUE OF THE PARTY	07 0	66	•	
TOTAL	40-4		-00-	90°	GEOLOGY I FCTI TOFF		1.07	1-5.20	25u4.10	HOME ECONOMICS		18.605		
ECTINE HISTORY						7	┿-	<b></b>	0.1-0	LECTURE	96.	-92	10-3,20	10-4-80
•	1.19	1,21	. 53-2-60		MASTRONOMY		╂╼╂	<del> </del>	-20-1-50		.43	•38	.69-1-91	. 30-1-60
CHORAL	18°	THE STATE OF THE S	- 30-1-72	.40-1-72	SWETEOROLOGY C. C. S.	<u> </u>	20 05	.62-2,70	62-2-70	ACROING, K.N.	(C)	ď	39-1-08	30.1.05
INSTRUMENTAL	25.	3 5	-40-1-2/					-	_	1	20	20.	8	30.00
	<b>!!!</b>	2	31 6 06		PHYSICS - LECTURE	83	83	2.70		W.L.V.N. PROBRAM	-	,		
HADIENE	22.	2 8	1.50-3.84			1.13	01.	3.50	40-3-00	4	2.78	2.06	50-11.2	50-10-2
IRST AID	82	52	19-2-30			11.82 11	_			4	8	2	-00-14.2	-50-8-70 50-8-70
COACHING	99.	.57	.20-9-07	φ	STRENGTH OF MAT.			3.53		DENTAL ASSISTANT	620	620	10-10-10	10-2-28
RECREATION	•03	200	.45 .45	-3535	STAT.		0.00	98	-50- -7- -20- -20- -20- -20- -20- -20- -20	TO TO THE PARTY OF			4	
<b></b>	2.82	Z. (B				59	.53 .20	2.50		3WC	₽ ·₽			
					EAB.		$\vdash$	07	4040	E TOTAL	5.52	7.80	. /8-28.b	29-23-7
				100 oct	TOTAL	3.851 3	3.79							

\*RANGE: THESE FIGURES SHOW THE HIGH AND LOW PERCENTAGES OF STUDEN: HOURS PER WEEK FOR EACH COURSE OR DEPARTMENT ONLY IN THOSE SCHOOLS OFFERING THESE CLASSES. EXCEPT FOR ENGLISH, MATHEMATICS, HISTORY, FOREIGN LANGUAGE, ETC. (COMMON TO ALL SCHOOLS) THE LOW FIGURE FOR RANGE IN MOST CASES IS 0. 3.79

#### TABLE !!

E. Class size as indicated in Table II is the average enrollment of each class as it existed in the instructor's roll-book as of the first week of October, or in the case of classes with limited enrollment, the limit for the class as indicated in the registration instructions for that institution.

To keep the figures for the class size in true perspective, it was decided to separate the large lecture classes from the normal or traditional sized classes. The average class size in conventional classes in history might be about 35 or 40 students. Some schools have started using large lecture halls seating 100 to 300 students for lecture classes. If we were to group these two together we might find that the average was somewhere between 70 to 80 students which is unrealistic. Therefore an arbitrary separation was made at 72 (a common class size found in many science lecture classes), and separate averages determined for all classes below 72 students and another average for all large lecture classes in excess of 72. Many schools having laboratories with 24, 25 or 30 student stations in each laboratory section will group 3, 4 or 5 laboratory sections to one lecture section. Therefore the large lecture section is usually a small multiple of the laboratory sections.



#### 50

## TABLE IL

ERIC A Full Taxt Provided by ERIC

Size. AVERAGE SIZE OF CLASSES IN EACH COURSE IN ALL SCHOOLS. Class

reported during the first week of October, or 'limited'enrollment in 'limited' classes Class size as

Rengo	32- 32	i	35- 35	i	24- 100	24- 25		24- 50	20-30	Ĭ.	35- 35		1	24- 100	16- 30	24- 50	l	ł		15-38			24- 24			20- 20		24- 35	16- 35		15- 35	25- 75					
Large Lect.	man de goment me de descrit	-			2001		i			سند ا	4.			12							*									, p. r.			<b>1000 V</b>		-		
Meen Sire	32	23	33		R	25		ま	25	8	35	다. 84		33	25	34	8	25	20	22	22	23	24	7t	24 24	20		R	25	ł	26	117					
Department Gourses	Navigation	Aero Grnd. School	***	,	H Drafting (Lect.)		J Agriculture	Lecture	I.I.		S Cosmotology	E Broadcast	O Electronics	T Leoture		U Law Enforcement	Technical Drafting	Shops - Automotive	, ,	Machine	5 Metals			Mood S	- 1	Plumoing		Home Econ Lect.	Lab.	Nursing - R.N. Prog.	L.V.N.	Dental	H Medical Assistant				
80	<u>_</u>	£	36	알	Į				37			,		100	36		100	32		72	%		30	00	185	33	190		o	2		e R	по 	100 H		2	18
Range	42	20-	18-	-O17	32-	25-	25-		24-	er: a		*		<del>,</del>	24-		33	, 24t-	وزاله	32-	, 24-	«ر <u>.</u> سهـ	707	242	76	\$ <b>2</b> 2	25-	10-	28-	24-	30	16-		139	-07	i 16-	15-
Large Lect.													ā	₹.		1	77		•	23			8		pro		821		86		83			జ		22	
Meen	ま	43	26	와	37	31	33	숅	37	OH;	厾		:	#	82	2	£	27	•	23	27		8	28	of T	52	38	8	36	27	1+3	24		37	28	27	17
Department Courses	Acot., Bkkpg.	. ~	Off. Trng. (Mach.)	Bustr	O Law, Math., Etc.	Die		Human Relations		Sales	General Business	V.	E Biol., Zool., Bact.		Lab.	Ana	Lecture	Lab.	Bot	H Lecture	Lab.	Physical Science	Lectur	U Lab.	3	Lab.	G Astronomy	ı	S S		p. Physics - Lecture	Leb.	S	Y Stat.	An Engineering Drawing		E Lab.
Renge	i 20- 100	15- 79		25- 40	į .	"		30- 50	ı	-	- 1	- 1	35- 150		ŀ	20- 35		35- 400				-	10- 50	1		16- 16	1		. 1	22- 100			1 1	35- 150 .			
Large Lect. (if eny)	100	79				120			125				150	150				100-100			60.	T <sub>C</sub>							163	# <b>B</b>	1	100		118			
Vean Size	33	22	32	34		37	38	2	2		33	2	3	2	£	32					2	<b>~</b>	3	77	60	72		,	37	45	_	46	74	5	於	33	
Department Courses	English	Drama-Speech	Foreign Language	Mathematics	Social Science	Anthropology	Ì	Geography		Humar.1tle	Orientati	Philosophy	Folitical Sci.	ĵ	S061010gy	Library Science		lotal: Social Sol.	rotel: "Academic"	4	Appres, History,	Indory		Brotognatis		Lab	neobare			Ghoral	Instrumenta	Practice Gros.	Health Education	Hygiene	First Ald	P. Cosching	

Journalism Reading Lab.

22

F. Rooms Required to House the Programs Indicated by the Normative Data In Table III an attempt has been made to show how many rooms will be required for each of the courses or departments shown in Tables I and II.

Using the average percentage curriculum emphasis for 1970, (from Table I) and the average class size (as indicated by Table II) these figures for each course were then processed by the procedure found in Form D of the Space Adequacy Survey-College.

In rounding off the figures to whole numbers an attempt to combine classes that could use the same rooms was used as would normally be done in the administrative conferences required by the procedures of the Space Adequacy Survey-College.



#### Table III. # of rooms.

NUMBER OF ROOMS NEEDED TO HOUSE THE PROGRAM INDICATED BY USING NORMATIVE CURRICULUM EMPHASIS (FROM TABLE ONE) AND THE NORMAL CLASS SIZE (FROM TABLE TWO) AS PREDICTED BY USING FORM D OF THE SPACE ADEQUACY SURVEY-COLLEGE.

DEPARTMENT OR COURSE	PERCENTAGE OF CURRICULUM	STUDENT HOURS PER WEEK	CLASS SIZE (LARGE LECTURE) IF ANY (IN PARENTHESIS)	CLASS GROUPS PER WEEK	COMPUTED TEACHING SPACE NEEDS	ADJUSTED TEACHING SPACES
ENGL 19H	11.24	7112	31	229	8.19	8
DRAMA-SPEECH	2.09	1 328	27 (LL 79)	49.1	1.75	2
,						I LITTLE THEA
FOREIGN LANGUAGE	3.51	2214	32	69,1	2.96	3
MATHEMATICS	7,79	4920	34	144,8	5, 16	5
SOCIAL SCIENCE	3,58	2279	36	59,7	2,13	3
ANTHROPOLOGY	-75	474	37 (120)	12.8	•46	
ECONOMICS	.61	385	38	10.4	• 37	2
GEOGRAPHY	.46	290	90	7.63	.27	
HISTORY	3.78	2 38 5	<b>38</b> (125)	62,8	2.24	3
HUMANITIES	.06	37.9	50	.76	,027	
ORIENTATION	1.00	631.8	38	16,6	•59	2
POLITICAL SCI.	.83	525	40 (150)	13,2	.47	1 LL
PSYCHOLOGY	2.73	1724	39 (150)	44.3	1,58	3
SOCIOLOGY	.74	467	43	10,85	. 39	
TOTAL SOCIAL						28 + 1 LT
SCIENCES	15.37		(138	1		1
ART (LECTURE)	1.42	897	43 (100)	20.9	•75	
DRAW. PAINT.	2.31	1465	25	53.6	2.39	3
CRAFTS	• 32	505	21	9.6	. 39	
PHOTO LECTURE	.10	63.1	23	2.7	.09	
" LAB.	• 39	24.5	16	1.5	,06	1
LIFE SCIENCE BIOLOGY, ZOOLOGY BACTERIOLOGY, ETC. LECTURE	2.72	1720	47 (84)	<b>36.5</b> 56.8	1,31	<u> </u>
LABORATORY	2,52	1 590	28	56.8	2.32	2
ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY				1		
LECTURE	.40	259	43 (77)	5.9	- 21	,
LABORATORY	.63	<b>3</b> 98	27	14.7	.60	1
BOTANY, NATURE				ly		
STUDY - LECTURE	.23	145	33 (72)	4.4	. 16	! -
LABORATORY	.83	524	27	19.3		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
PHYSICAL SCIENCE LEC		372	86 (86)	4.5	916	41
LABORATORY	.15	95	28	3,4	14	-
GEULOGY - LECTURE	1.07	676	49	13.7	.49	
" LAB.	,20	126	26	4,9	.17	
ASTRONOMY		183	28 (100)	4,8	.17	PLANATARIUM
METEOROLOGY	.20	126	30	4.2	15	•
CHEMISTRY - LECT.	2.58	1690	36 (86)	45.2	1.62	1 - 110
LABORATORY	4,11	2600	27	96,2	9.92	4
PHYSICS - LECT.	1,48	925	43 (83)	21.5	•77	1
LABORATORY	1,10	695	24	28.9	1.18	2
EACHNEED IAC	La minima de management de la composition della	, 	<del> </del>	<del></del>		
ENGINEERING		444		1	40	1
LECTURE	• 70	443	34 (85)	13.0	.46	ill
DRAWING	2.53	1599	28	57.0	2.32	3
SURVEYING	.55	347	27 (75)	12.7		i I
MICIC - LCCTION		72.5	67 / 76	The state of the s	Management of the transfer of	-
MUSIC - LECTURE	1,21	765	37 (163)	20.6	74	I LL
CHORAL		486	42 (84)		47	<del></del>
INSTRUMENTAL	.60	378	49 100	t the second		
HEALTH EDUCATION		700	10	Marie Const.	enginesiana ara et a transportation a	-
	1.12	709	42	16.96		I LL
HYGIENE		499	40 (118)	100		<del></del>
FIRST AID COACHING	.60	177	34 33	11.5	-4	<del></del>



#### TABLE III CONTINUED

DEPARTMENT OR COURSE	PERCENTAGE OF CURRICULUM	STUDENT HOURS PER WEEK	CLASS SIZE (LARGE LECTURE)  IF ANY (IN PARENTHESIS.	CLASS GROUPS PER WEEK	TEACHING SPACE NEEDS	ADJUSTE TEACHIN SPACES
BUSINESS						
GENERAL BUSINESS	.14	89	45	1.98	•07	
АССТВ., ВККРО.	2.43	1533	34	45.20	1.62	2
TYP ING-SHORTHAND	4,02	2569		59.80	2,14	2
OFF. TRNG, (MACH.)	1,68	1062	49 26	40.90	1.46	2
Bus. ENGLISH	.13	82	40	2,05	•07	-
Bus. Law - MathETC.	1.81	1142	37	30.85	1,12	
DISTRIBUTIVE EDUCATION	.15	95	37 31	3.07	.11	
DATA PROCESSING	.22	139	33	4,22	•15	
HUMAN RELATIONS	.01	63	40	1,58	.05	1
MARKETING	.65	410	37	11.09	• 39	
SALES	.09	57	40	1.42	.05	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AFOO COD COLOGO		00/				·
AERO GRO. SCHOOL	1,40	886	29	30,55	1.09	<u> </u>
LAG.	•17	107	29	3,69	.15	<del></del>
ARCHITECTURAL DRAFTING	.53	334	30	11.08	.49	
AGRICULTURE - LECT.	• 31	196	34	5.78	•23	<del></del>
LABORATORY	• 33	208	25	8.32	• 23	
BUILDING TRADES	• 19	120	24	5,00	.21	<del>(</del>
COSMETOLOGY	.19	120	35	3.42	.14	+
ELECTRONICS - LECT.	1.13	715	37	19.30	.69	<del></del>
" LABORATORY	1.25	790	35	31.55	1.31	2
LAW ENFORCEMENT	.36	227	34	6.69	.24	<del>,                                    </del>
SHOPS	• ~	451		0.09	96.7	<del> </del>
GENERAL.	1.08	682	24	28,40	1,18	2
AUTO	1.25	715	25	28.60	1,19	
MACHINE	• 33	208	22	9.45	+ 39	1
WELDING	.13	82	23	3.56	.15	
WOOD	.18	113	24	4.71	.19	·
OTHER	1.19	753	24	31,30	1.32	2
(PETROLEUM, MINING BROADCAST, ETC.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
HOME ECONOMICS	<del> </del>		The state of the s	UP-see a fellingue		<del>                                     </del>
LECTURE	. 92	581	30	19.4	.69	
LABORATORY	• 56	354	25	Carrier of the state of the sta	59	1
R.N. NURSING	<del> </del>			4. คม.กระเ <b>กรม</b> าคมเกรียญกับเรียกให้เรียดและเครมาการสม 	are en	<del></del>
LECTURE	.18	168	30	in warmen Statemen	-13	-
LABORATORY	,02		26	Ant suranameres tradescensiones	manandalahasa municum 20.	-
LVN NURSING		<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>		to an employment to the second	manipus commencement and a Commencement	
LECTURE	2,06	1300	26	50.0	1.79	2
LABORATORY	,76	480	<u>26</u> 26	18.4	77	1
DENTAL ASSISTANT	•29	183	41	4.4	.16	
Ocanina Languageme			The second secon	adir 1947-194-1949 (Albania) salah di Palamban (Albania) salah salah kelamban (Albania) salah salah kelamban (	Armaconfy v state of the first of comment and the transfer of the state of the stat	
READING LABORATORY JOURNALISM	+	<del></del>	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	<ul> <li>P **</li></ul>	» from the company of the court of the court	1
A COUNTY COM	<del></del>			a Himanacarah Tina es hausanaran 🔻 a	स्थाः क् - न्यंत्रात्रात्रात्र त्राम्काः आक्रमा	·
				TOTAL		88

THE NUMBER OF ROOMS NEEDED TO HOUSE THE PROGRAM INDICATED BY NOMATIVE CURRICULUM EMPHASIS (FROM TABLE I) AND THE NOMATIVE CLASS SIZE (FROM TABLE II) AS PREDICTED BY USING FORM D OF THE SPACE ADEQUACY SURVEY-COLLEGE.

GENERAL LARGE LECTURE SPECIAL

LABORATORIES



#### G. The Normative Junior College

The number of rooms required to house the normative junior college is shown in Table IV. These figures were derived by averaging the summaries for each school found in Chapter III. The number of rooms indicated as the average for all the schools studied is meaningful only if it is remembered that these figures apply to the average school or one having the average curriculum emphasis and average enrollment. Where special vocational, or trade and industrial classes are typical for a particular school, or where highly specialized programs exist, such as aircraft mechanics, then separate facilities will usually be found for these classes. Multiple use of such facilities is seldom found nor is it generally desireable. Obviously, this will increase costs and lower utilization of the plant. Yet the educational philosophy of the college which encourages such specialized courses, to meet community needs, will usually justify the additional expense involved. Students in these special programs also attend some elective courses and required liberal arts classes, thereby increasing the total ADA.

Table III differs from Table IV because of the methods used to arrive at the total rooms required. Table III is the result of applying the standard procedures of the Space Adequacy Survey-College to the normative data for curriculum emphasis and class size (from Tables I and II). Table IV is the arithmetic mean of the totals on each of the summary sheets from the 17 schools studied.



In arriving at the adjusted teaching spaces for Column 7 of Table III it was the writer's judgement that it would be better to use 6 large lecture halls and one little theatre, rather than only the four (including one little theatre) as found in Table IV. This reduced the total number of rooms needed from 94 to 88 and the general classrooms needed from 49 to 42.

ERIC

#### TABLE IV

#### THE NORMATIVE JUNIOR COLLEGE

Normative data for all schools showing average enrollment, student hours per week and the number of rooms needed to house the normative curriculum as determined by numerically averaging the summaries from 17 California Junior Colleges.

١.	Enrollment (full time) 1959-601548	
2.	Enrollment (full time) 1969-703012	(estimated)
3.	Average daily attendance (incl. Adults)2850	(1959-60)
4.	Student hours per week32,406	(1959-60)
5.	Student hours per week63,180	(1970 est.)

ERIC Full Beat Provided by ERIC

Total rooms needed	93.5 rooms
Divided as follows:	
Standard classrooms	48.3
Large Lecture Halls	4.0
Spec. Classrms, Shops	22.0
Laboratories	19.2



#### 2. OBSERVABLE TRENDS

#### A. In Class Size

ERIC

A close look at Table II, page 50 will reveal that the most significant change for the future is the increased use of large lecture halls for classes in the social sciences. In classes such as Anthropology, History, Political Science and Psychology we find plans to use rooms seating 100 to 400 students. In one or two of the schools the plan is to have 2 lectures per week in large lecture halls and to have the third hour each week devoted to the same class divided into small quiz and discussion groups. Three schools are currently using large lecture halls for social sciences but only one of these divides the class into smaller groups on a scheduled basis. One school has a large lecture class in English Literature.

The planned use of large lecture halls for science classes is not new. Most schools combine a multiple of the laboratory sections to form each lecture class. The few schools still using the lablecture combined section plan to shift to the use of separate large lecture sections for the future.

The use of the large lecture hall for Music Appreciation,

Hygiene, and some technical courses appears to be a new trend in
junior colleges.

"Class size" for the balance of the curriculum shows no marked differences from current and past practices in junior colleges of California.

#### B. <u>Curriculum Emphasis</u>

The average percentage of student hours per week for each subject (as shown in Table I, page 48) expressed as a percentage of the total program, indicated the extent of emphasis of that particular course in the entire curriculum.

It is significant to note that no major changes in a junior college curriculum are indicated for the future. At some schools, as indicated in Chapter III, no changes were anticipated or there was no desire to hazard a prediction of changes in curriculum.

If we look at the division or departmental totals of Table I we find the greatest increase is anticipated in the area of Business courses which are expected to change from 11.14% to 11.54% of the total curriculum. The next highest rise in emphasis is indicated in the area of Vocational, Trade and Industrial Training which is expected to change from 9.69% to 10.02%.

Individual courses which show significant increases for the future are Foreign Languages (3.23 to 3.51), Accounting and Bookkeeping (2.26 to 2.43), Office Training and Machines (1.54 to 1.68), Biology, Bacteriology and Zoology (2.63 to 2.72), Aeronautics Ground School (.98 to 1.40), Electronics lectures (.81 to 1.13) and Electronics Lab (.97 to 1.25), Law Enforcement (.26 to .36) and R.N. Nursing Lecture (.13 to .18).

Because these figures are percentages, the total must still be 100%, regardless of the anticipated growth of the institutions.



Therefore we will find declines indicated for some departments and courses despite an anticipated increase in total enrollment. For example if the anticipated enrollment of a school is expected to be doubled, yet the total student hours per week in any one course is not quite doubled, then the percentage curriculum emphasis for that course will show a decline.

The divisions or departments that indicate slight declines for the future are Art (4.17 to 4.11), Physical Education (2.82 to 11.77) Engineering (3.86 to 3.79) and the LVN Nursing courses (3.74 to 2.82). The LVN nursing program shows a decline because the State requirements for the license now specifies fewer student hours for completion. The R.N. Nursing program for junior colleges has been changed from a three year program to a two year program but shows a slight increase due to the fact that several schools which had no R.N. program in the past plan to add one in the future.

Individual courses that show significant declines in student hours per week (expressed as a percentage of the total) are Mathematics (7.79 to 7.34), Physical Science (.63 to .59), Surveying (.59 to .53), Architectural Drafting (.51 to .43) and Automotive Shops (1.62 to 1.25).

In light of these figures one may speculate that perhaps the emphasis for the future will be slightly more on the terminal, vocational, trade and industrial classes and on the business training classes, and somewhat less on the transfer programs.

This does not mean there will be fewer students in these programs



but that they will develop a smaller percentage of student hours per week. The planned growth of the State College System and the addition of several new campuses for the University of California may have been factors in influencing the results obtained.

#### C. Size of Plant

ERIC

Although the junior colleges included in the study show an anticipated growth from 1548 to 3012 for full time students, there was no individual school that planned to go beyond the 4000 mark on any one campus. Most schools facing markedly increased enroll-ments plan to add additional campus facilities to handle the anticipated growth.

The trend toward specialization in the vocational fields calls for more shop facilities. The trend toward the use of large lecture halls shows there will be at least four to six of these at the average junior college.

The total plant for an enrollment of 3012 full time students will have 93.5 rooms divided into 48.3 general classrooms, 4 large lecture halls, 22 special classrooms and shops and 19.2 laboratories, as determined by averaging the summary sheets for each of the seventeen schools in the study.

#### <u>APPENDIX</u>

Actual samples of Forms A,B,C,and D of the Space Adequacy Survey- College as used in the conduct of the study.

ERIC Full Best Provided by ERIC

agallion.

ERIC

Venture.

Sub-Dept. or Subject Department

(Use at least one line for each Instructor Separate Line for Lab Courses Separate sheet for each Sub-Dept. or Student-Hour Enrollment

April 14, 1960

मणिजा। स च जेश्यलंट मायदेव्यास्टर्भे डोक्राप्रेस्ट्र

Sheet No.

College

Date

Patterson and Prepared by Portugal

Subject)

-	7					**						7	ኒናነ	9	2
Instructor	Course		Enrol.	Enrollments	by hour	સ્ત્ર	per week*					Total	Number	Average	Student
(neme)	(neme)	I	7	2			9		7	8	6	Enrol.	Classes	Size	Hours
			8:00	00:6	10:00	11:00	12:00 1:00		2:00	3:00	00:17	Col.3		(4 + 5)	Week**
Horris A	Account, Prin. (Lec)	14		37-3								37	p-4	37	111
Ē	(dal) " (Lab)	ran-	26~2	2-92				11-2 1	11-2			74	7	19	348
Griggs	Applied Accounting	34	26-5									26	Н	26	130
Morris	.H	3.4				23-5						23	r-4	23	115
Morris	Vathematics	9			34-3							75	r-1	줐	102
Henrie C	Calculating Machines	8 7				24-3						24	<b>6—4</b>	24	72
Crowe	Ė	7					28	28-3				28	H	28	817
Crowe	English Corresp.	10			19-3				Î			19	<b>-</b> -1	19	57
Miler	Typing	11	10-5	18-5	i							28	2	14	140
Griggs	Typing	11A					19	19-5				19	r-4	19	95
Origgs	Inter. Typing	124				34-5		3.	37-5			7.1	2	36	355
	Office Practice	154	25-2	25-2			12	12-2	12-2			74	4	19	148
Prescott	Shorthand	20A	25-5				16	16-5				T	2	21	205
Miller	=	20A						٦́	10-5	,		10	<b>,1</b>	-	50
Prescott	- Table	21A		28-5								28	Н	28	11,0
Prescott	Dictation	22A				11-5						H	7	11	55
Сточе	Office Practice	25A			11-2	11-2						22	2	11	1717
Crowe	=	25B			2-2	. 2-2						7	5	2	8
Crowe	=	250			5-2	5-2						10	2	5	20

ur Enrollments 407 143 195 (These totals should be transferred to Form B) Hour Enrollments Tctel

305

mumber of hours class meets per week. \* Number of days class meets per week shown after dash line. \*\* Enrollment for class multiplied by

2079

Ccllege

Valley

ERIC Fronted by ERIC

Surmary Sheet by Darts. Page 1. of 3

Form B - Space Adequacy Survey 9/21/59 Date

Prepared by A.W. Calvert B.J.Portugal

Grand Total Student Hours per Week-Col. 7, Form A

													2	
					2						-	4	3	
99	- santment:	Enrollment		by Dep	Departments	$\Gamma$	Column	3,	Form A		-	2	-4	
á		7	1	1	7	2	9	a		0	Hrs.per	15 ef	ages - adjusted	Col. 5 Ttem ]
		8:00	00:0	12		12,	#	100		#	xeen	Trem T	הא כמודבדמווים	
	Frelish		1128	<del></del>	861 8		821   5	51.9		<u> </u>	5763	10.82	10.17	51713
	rary Science	Ä		ω <b>ν</b> ο	129	52		146	10	10	***************************************	.52	525	279
OL	Reading Lab.	56				32	16	16			150	•28	•28	150
Mu <b>QA</b> ?	Spe	101		334	282 9	91	1,28	212			1799 145	3.37	3.70	1969
.,	Education Foreign Leng.	010 010	원	855		725	061			· · ·	3201	<b>6</b> .01	200 J	3076
,		9207	1069	57.6	288 1	) 91 Ju	561   5	545		-,	5982	11,23	9.23	4913
	Social Sciences: Economicology	747		218 118			1111	168 60		A Parameter Para	1,080 585	7.66	7.39	3933 585.
				10,01	320		<del></del>	171			549	11.03	1.11 10.54	591 5610
	Philosophy Political Sci-	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1		152		28	न्ध	[B]	·		779 L77	1.46 .89	1.39 .89 .741	7to 177 877
· . (	SOCTOTORY		677	362	(T)	<b>1</b>	<del> </del>				200			<u> </u>
TAA	Appreciation, Hist, Theory	560	324	10E	98	172	<u>8</u>		and the second seco		1504	2.82	2.58	1373
	Crafts	52				2					56	CT.	°10	56
	0 42	108	369	78	22	9lt·	0				199	1.50	1.38	735
OT.	(Lecture Groups)			3 50			0.12	<del></del>		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	590	1.10	1,10	590
BUM	Instrumenta			57		17.1	귟	Ħ			396	<b>47.</b> €	•714·	<b>S S S S S S S S S S</b>
	(Fractice Groups)			سبب نار			-				-			

CALLEGRANTA STRIS DEPARTMENT OF SELVANDED REPSAME OF SOFFOL PLANTED - 1958

### ERIC\*

# PERCENTAGE COMPARISONS - JUNIOR COLLEGE PROGRAMS

Form C - Space Adequacy Survey Bureau of School Planning - 1958 State Department of Education

Page 1 of

**૾** ~ ∞ 17.3 Ç 2.0 3.0 8.0 Adj. 6,414 11 J. 11 1.2 2.7 Actual 1.0 1.9 4 NO 1.4 3.1 8.1 20.7 9 Adj 39, 381 E Actual 1.6 10.0 1.9 4.5 1.5 2.8 14.4 2, 1 よ Ó 9. 2.0 Adj. 40.0 3.0 17.0 0 9 2.0 4.0 9.0 IIQII 5, 491 Actual 1.3 1.5 6.6 3.2 38.2 1.2 2.3 4. 1 13.1 ﴿وُ Adj. 43.0 .5 1.5 2.0 11,0 16.0 4.0 2.1 "C" 7, 291 ~ w 2 Actual 2.8 13.7 15.9 48.1 2 7 œ. Adj. 23, 394 11B11 Actual 9,1 2,2 2.5 4.2 9.7 43.0 2.5 16.7 9 Adj. 39,962 "A" Actual 6.4 41. 1,5 1.3  $\infty$ 4.0 ., Marketing , & Crafts Choral & Instrumental Theory Hist., Theory, Appx. **ceeping** week Of. Trng. (Mach.) Drama & Speech Journalism Lab. न Sabtotal Student Hrs. per Subtot Reading Tech Foreign Lang Social Science Appreciation, Draw., Paint. Acct., Bookk Law, Math., Distrib. Educ. Subtot Subto Mathematics Junior College Orientation Shorthand Typing English MUSIC BUSINESS TAA VCVDEMIC

Un) D.

S

.5

1.3

1. O 7

Lecture

Lab.

H

Subto

HOME EC.

-1/2

ERIC Provided by ERIC	Mt. San Antonio 1. Predicted Enrollment* 2. Present Enrollment*	o lment* 7000 ent* 2800	1975	College (date)	Teaching Space Needs Page 1 of 3	se Needs		Form D - Sy College	Space Adequacy	acy Survey
marks of province of the	Predicted Incre Working Factor	ع ا	1200 4 14na 2) 2 c				,	October 1959	959	date
	ailable	wk. for	ling**	3.5				Prepared by	A. W.	Galvert
	· proof	2	3	7	5	9	7	8	6	10
and the same of th	Department: Course	Computed Average Student Class Si Hrs.per Wk. (College	Average Class Size (College	Class Groups per Week Col. 2 &	Class Groups per Week for Predicted En.	% Space Utilization (College	Computed Teaching Space Needs: Col.5 <	Teaching Space Needs Adjusted by	Ade Sp.	Additional Teaching Spaces
Now from the Green was de-	English	3240	35			80	8,26	8	3	7
No. 2 and September 2	Reading Tech	36	*	1.0	2.5	80	80	<b>~</b> 1	<b>~</b> -1	0
	Journalism Lab.	गुट्टा	35	4•4	11.0	8	•39	<b>~</b>	H	O
40 A 30 A 30 A	Drama	1098	32	34.3	85.8	80	3.06	<b>=</b>	~	ત્ય
18-21-18-X To-	Foreign Lang.	2216	3	\$5.h	138.5	99	η <b>6</b> •η	w	_	Н
	Mathematics	2895	35	82.7	206.8	8	7.38	ω	۲	гł
	Social Science Police Science Orientation	41911	8	238.3	595.8	80	21.27	22	a o	70
	Appreciation, Theory	583	50	11.7	29•3	. 80	10°1	el	grand	Ö
	E Draw, Paint	1113	20	55.6	139	80	96•17	<b>ا</b> ر	w	0
A distribution in	SECRETAR Photo	30	20	1.5	3.8	80	•13	See Journalism		
School and the second	Hist., Theory,	705	017	17.6	7-1	&	1.57	8	8	0
	Chorel	389	700	3.9	8.6	8	.35	H	H	O
	Instrumental	390	<b>%</b>		33	8	1.17	-	ri	اها
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	CALIFORNIA STATE DI BUREAU OF SCHOOL PI	DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING - 19	OF EDUCATION 1958		* Day	Students k hours f	taking 12 or more from start to finit	more units. finish of scheduling	ing day -	less 1.
		i				1			- 1	