DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 037 101

64

EM 007 928

AUTHOR Carpenter, C. R.; And Others

TITLE Educational and Instructional Television Facilities

Evaluation: Preliminary Practical Procedures.

INSTITUTION Pennsylvania State Univ., University Park. Dept. of

Psychology.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Bureau

of Research.

BUREAU NO BR-7-1142 PUB DATE 12 Aug 68

CONTRACT OEC-1-7-071142-4372

NOTE 86p.

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF-\$0.50 HC-\$4.40

DESCRIPTORS *Equipment Evaluation, *Instructional Television,

*Production Technicians, *Program Evaluation

ABSTRACT

Leaning heavily on National Educational Television affiliates for its information, this survey of instructional television production units and the relationship of their facilities to the quality of television courses which they produce, finds: that instructional television is fairly well off in terms of "hardware" and only slightly lacking in more highly trained personnel; and that the future, however, with its inevitable expansion of need for more and more instruction via television, does not hold a happy prospect. (EM 007 926, EM 007 927, and EM 007 929 are related documents.)



EDUCATIONAL AND INSTRUCTIONAL TELEVISION FACILITIES EVALUATION: PRELIMINARY PRACTICAL PROCEDURES

ERIC W

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS OOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OF OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF ED/ACATION POSITION OR POLICY.

EDUCATIONAL AND INSTRUCT/ONAL

TELEVISION FACILITIES EVALUATION:

PRELIMINARY PRACTICAL PROCEDURES

C. R. Carpenter: Project Director
Lane E. Carpenter and Donald W. Johnson: Directors of Evaluation Study

The Pennsylvania State University
Department of Psychology
214 Burrowes Building
University Park
Pennsylvania 16802

814-865-2567

U.S. Office of Education Contract: OEC-1-7-071142-4372 Andrew Molnar, Project Coordinator

August 12, 1968



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE	iii
EDUCATIONAL AND INSTRUCTIONAL TELEVISION FACILITIES EVALUATION:	
A PRELIMINARY PRACTICAL PROCEDURE	vi
I. PURPOSE AND PROCEDURES	1
II. EVALUATION FORM STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	4
A. Broadcast Facilities	4
B. Closed-circuit Television Facilities	
III. ABSTRACTS OF WRITTEN COMMENTS	17
A. Factors Relevant to the Achievement of Quality in	
Production	17
B. Need for Good Working Relationships and Qualified	
Personnel	24
C. Statements of "Most Outstanding Features"	26
IV. SUGGESTED REVISIONS TO THE EVALUATION FORM	28
V. SUMMARY	55
APPENDIX	
A. MEDIA PRODUCTION FACILITIES EVALUATION FORM	1
B. RESPONDENTS TO EVALUATION FORM MEDIA PRODUCTION	
FACILITIES	1
SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	1



PREFACE

Twelve seminars have been conducted by the Project on Quality Factors in Instructional Materials (1968). During these seminars questions were raised frequently about space, buildings, and facilities which relate positively or negatively to the quality of instructional materials. Furthermore, reviews of the results of these seminars suggested to the Steering Committee and staff of the Project that there was need for an evaluation form as a rapid, economical, and practical means for assessing space and media facilities.

There has been extensive planning of media facilities at The Pennsylvania State University, therefore it seemed that this experience could serve as part of the basis for drafting and designing a useful information form. Educational and instructional <u>television</u> space and facilities were selected as objects of study for the initial phases of drafting and field testing the <u>Media Production Facilities Evaluation Form</u>. Both broadcast and closed-circuit installations were used as examples of the media, but modified forms could be employed for collecting data on other media.

The first task was to select and describe the general kinds of space and facilities which are needed by television installations and to write items covering each type and general category. A second problem was to organize the descriptive items of space and equipment into groupings or sections. The third problem was to design judgmental scales for the form.

There have been many attempts but few sustained efforts to develop scales of judgments for evaluating instructional materials. A recent effort has been made by this Project and reported under the title,

Description of a Practical Procedure for Assessing Instructional Film and



Television Programs (1968). By contrast, relatively few attempts have been made to develop and field test evaluation forms and scales for assessing the adequacy of space and facilities for the media. Therefore, it seemed important to the Steering Committee and staff to take the initial steps to develop and test a judgmental form for the following reasons:

- 1. Building space, designs, equipment and apparatus are closely related to the <u>productivity</u> of a media unit, and they are especially closely related to the <u>quality</u> of the materials created.
- 2. It is important to know the level and kinds of media buildings and facilities that exist and that are needed in order to plan and provide for them.
- 3. The U.S. Office of Education and the Department of Health,

 Education and Welfare are responsible for large investments of

 federal funds in the area of media facilities, and they need a

 practical judgmental prodedure for supplementing other more

 elaborate methods for the periodic evaluation of media buildings

 and equipment of schools, colleges, and universities.
- 4. The Commission on Instructional Technology and the Corporation for the Public Broadcasting Act of 1967 will need to know what facilities are available and what are required in addition to these for the production, distribution and use of media programs.

A relatively large sample of evaluations has been reported already by those who are responsible for operating the major <u>educational</u> television and associated film production organizations of the country. Broadcast and closed-circuit television facilities are integral parts of modern



instructional technology. Accordingly, there were justifications for conducting an assessment of television broadcast and closed-circuit educational and instructional facilities.

The results of this effort have been to prepare and conduct a preliminary test of what promises to be a useful informational form. It can be used for the planning of space and equipment and for reflecting the status of television and related facilities. The sample of about one-third of the National Educational Television stations that responded and the dozen or so closed-circuit units on which there are assessments have yielded data which only approximates a complete status report. The information forms continued to be returned. Therefore, the final report of this Project will give additional information on media production facilities.

C. R. CarpenterProject Director



EDUCATIONAL AND INSTRUCTIONAL TELEVISION FACILITIES EVALUATION: A PRELIMINARY PRACTICAL PROCEDURE

The report of this study was organized and edited by the Project staff under the direction of Susan Smith Reilly.

Assisting in the editorial processes were Mrs. Ruth J. Carpenter and Lenley Lewis. Trucilla Sabatino provided typing and clerical services. The names of people and their organizations who contributed information to this preliminary study are listed in Appendix B.

Steering Committee

C. R. Carpenter, Research Professor, Psychology and Anthropology*
George A. Borden, Assistant Professor, Speech*
Samuel Dubin, Director, Planning Studies, Continuing Education*
Marlowe Froke, Director, Division of Broadcasting*
E. Arthur Hungerford, Associate Professor, Speech*
Donald Johnson, Associate Director, Division of Instructional Services*
Merrill E. Noble, Professor and Head, Psychology Department*
Stanley F. Paulson, Professor and Head, Speech Department*
Warren Seibert, Distinguished Visiting Professor, Educational Psychology*
Dennis Sherk, In-School Services Coordinator, Division of Broadcasting*
William Rabinowitz, Professor and Head, Educational Psychology Department*
Wendell I. Smith, Head Psychology Department, Bucknell University



^{*} Members of The Pennsylvania State University faculty.

I. PURPOSE AND PROCEDURES

In line with the Project's purpose of discovering those factors and conditions affecting the quality and effectiveness of instructional materials as mediated by television systems, a survey was made to discover how well equipment and physical facilities were satisfying the requirements and expectancies of the people responsible for producing television courses. To this end, an information form was developed and sent to 106 affiliates of National Educational Television (NET). The presidents or station managers were asked by letter to complete the "Evaluation Form --Media Production Facilities" and, in addition, to send a floor plan of their station and a list of major equipment. The development of the initial evaluation form, under the leadership of Donald W. Johnson with the help of the Project Steering Committee and staff, began with the selection and ordering of items or categories to be arranged for consideration. The bases for this selection were information about space and facilities needed for educational and instructional television operations, and information concerning specific planning for broadcast and closedcircuit television including a new multimedia production building at Penn State. The form was carried through many revisions, and yet another revision is suggested as a result of this reported field testing. Of the 106 forms sent to NET stations, 37 were available for statistical analysis by a cut-off date of June 10, 1968. Fourteen of the forms provided information on closed-circuit installations.

The criterion for completing the evaluation form was the extent to which the existing plant and equipment aided or hindered the production of instructional units; that is, met the requirements for teaching students



effectively. For this reason, the judgments made in completing the form give a general picture of how well existing equipment and physical plants are judged to meet the needs of the people who are responsible for producing instructional television programs.

In addition to the information collected from the NET stations, twelve production facilities were selected for a particular characteristic; for instance, an outstanding reputation for excellent courses produced, an outstanding building, or a new and innovative organization. (See Appendix B). During an on site survey of these facilities, the visiting member of the Project staff, Lane E. Carpenter, requested that three evaluation forms be completed by different staff members who were well acquainted with the station: 1) by the admisistrative head of the facility, 2) by a director of television classes, and 3) by an educator familiar with the operation of the station and its facilities. The attempt here was to get different perspectives of the facilities and to find consistencies or variations in reports. A request was also made for floor plans, equipment lists and projections for expansion and revision of the facility.

Four sets of the three forms, including a set completed by the visiting Project staff member, were used to study agreements of observations made by different observers.

Significant information supplementing the numerical data collected by the form was derived from the written comments of those who completed the form. These replies were in answer to questions asking the evaluator to "assess which of the preceeding elements, if any, are most important to the achievement of quality in the programs or films produced." The written responses are summarized in Section III of this report.



A reliability or judgment-agreement study was made of four facilities for which two or more evaluation forms were obtained. The study suggested that respondents were giving statistically <u>unreliable</u> evaluations of their facilities for less than .01 percent of the questions asked. This small sample indicated that the evaluation reports were reliable or that there was a rather high agreement among judgments of those who have knowledge concerning the facilities.



II. EVALUATION FORM -- STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

A. Broadcast Facilities

(1) Procedure

The following copy of the <u>Evaluation Form -- Media</u>

<u>Production Facilities</u> has been annotated with figures summarized from 37 forms which were available for summarizing prior to the deadline of June 10, 1968.

The numbers listed above the 5 - 1 rating scale line indicate

(1) top line -- frequency of each number being selected as

descriptive of the rated facility, and (2) next line -- the percentage of the total number of people answering that question and selecting that particular point on the scale. The total number of responses to each item is 37 minus the number of "No Answers" as listed on the far right side of each scale line.

(2) Examples of Summary of Findings

According to the judgments summarized on the form, for example, the areas used for administration and for producing instructional aids at the 37 broadcast stations have <u>adequate</u> space and equipment as indicated by a rating of "3" on the 5 - 1 scale. The television production areas are rated better than acceptable as indicated by a "4" on the scale. Film production areas generally do not exist as separate areas in television stations. Existing camera and sound equipment quality ranged diversely across the entire scale and no meaningful average could be estimated. Construction and equipment repair shops were rated "4," better than adequate, but storage space was considered to be severely limited.



These are only a few of the observations and inferences which can be made from the details of reported opinions and judgments about facilities for the production of instructional materials given by tabulations on the summary survey form.

B. <u>Closed-circuit Television Facilities</u>

(1) Procedure

The following copy of the <u>Evaluation Form -- Media Production</u>

<u>Facilities</u> has been annotated with summary figures computed from

12 responses from installations plus two forms that were completed by a member of the IQ-TV staff who made on-site visits.

The numbers above the 5 - 1 qualitative scale indicate ()

top line -- the frequency of that number being selected as

descriptive of the facility, and (2) lower line -- the percentage

of the total number of people answering that question who selected

that particular answer. The total number of responses to each

question is 14 minus the number of "No Answers" as noted on the

far right-hand side of each scale line.

(2) Summary of Findings

According to the computed statistics, the administration areas are adequate as indicated by a rating of "3" on the 5 - 1 scale, except for the infrequent presence of consulting offices and planning rooms. Instructional aids production equipment seems adequate, again "3" on the scale, but space is lacking and animation equipment is generally not being used. The amount of television production space is adequate, "3", and equipment is found to be quite satisfactory, "4." In the support areas, only



equipment maintenance and repair were reported adequate. All others, especially storage space growth area, are unsatisfactory or nonexistent.

Details of reported opinions and judgments about facilities used for the production of instructional materials can be obtained from the summary given for each of the items on the survey form.



Revised 4/1/68

EVALUATION FORM - MEDIA PRODUCTION FACILITIES

Broadcast Television Facilities

Α.	Adn	ninistration and Staff	OUTST	ANDING	ADEQUA	ATE FAI	R NONEX	ISTENT	No Answer
	1.	Reception and secretarial area	6 16.22 5	3 8.11 4	15 40.54 3	7 18.92 2	6 16.22	0 0.0	0.0
	2.	Administrative staff office and work areas	1 2.70 5	10 27.03 4	13 35.14 3	8 21.62 2	5 13.51	0.0	0
	3.	Consultants and faculty offices	1 2.86 5	5 14.29 4	9 25.71 3	4 11.43 2	5 14.29	11 31.43	2 5.41
	4.	Conference and planning rooms	5 13.89 5	5 13.89 4	10 27.78 3	4 11.11 2	6 16.67	6 16.67	1 2.70
	5.	Film storage area	2 5.56 5	4 11.11 4	13 36.11 3	8 22.22 2	7 19.44 1	2 5.56	1 2.70
	6.	Library (film and/or books)	3 8.57 5	2 51.71 4	10 28.57 3	7 20.20 2	8 22.86 1	5 14.29	2 5.41
В.	Ins	tructional Aids Production	n Spac	e and I	Equipme	ent			
	1.	Graphic arts studio Space	4 10.81 5	6 16.22 4	12 32.43 3	8 21.62 2	3 8.11 1	4 10.81	0.0
		Equipment	3 8.11 5	9 24.32 4	12 23.43 3	10 27.03 2	1 2.70 1	2 5.41	0.0
	2.	Still photography studio Space	4 11.11 5	4 11.11 4	12 33.33 3	3 8.33 2	8 22.22 1	5 13.89	1 2.70
		Equipment	5 13.89 5	10 27.78 4	12 33.33 3	5 13.89 2	3 8.33 1	1 2.78	1 2.70



3.	Animation studio	2	3	2	0	6	23	1
	G = 2.2	5.56	8.33	5.56	0.0	16.67	63.89	2.70
	Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		1	2	4	2	5	22	1
		2.78	5.56	11.11	5.56 2	13.89	61.11	2.70
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		
4.	Film processing	2	4	5	3	6	17	0
	•	5.41 5	10.81		13.51	8.11	16.22	0.0
	Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		2	1	12	4	1	17	0
		5.41	2.70	32.43	4 10.81 2	2.70	<u>45.</u> 95	0.0
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		
5.	Layout, editing, and	1	3	15	8	5	3	2
	assembly room	2.86	8.57	42.86	22.86	14.29 1	8.57	2 5.41
	Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		2	6	17	4	5	1	2
		5.71 5				14.29	2.86	5.41
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		
6.	Sound recording	2	8	8	9	1	8	1
•	studios	5.56			25.00	2.78	22.22	2.70
	Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		4	10	14	4	0	4	1
		11.11	27.78	38.89	11.11	0.0	11.11	2.70
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		
7.	Preview rooms	0	4	12	8	4	8	1
		<u>0.0</u> 5	11.11	33.33	22.22	11.11	22.22	2.70
	Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		1	6	17	4	4	4	1
		2.78 5	16.67	47.22	11.11	11.11	4 11.11	1 2.70
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		
						•		
Tel	evision Production Area a	ınd Equ	ipment					
1.	Television studios	4	18	5	8	2	0	0
		10.81	48.65	13.51	21.62	5.41	0.0	0.0
	Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		3	11	12	11	0	0	0
		8.11 5			29.73	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		



C.

2.	Control room	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0.0
	Space	5 4 3 2 1	
		6 15 10 5 1 0 16.22 40.54 27.03 13.51 2.70 0.0	0 0.0
	Equipment	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
3.	Central control and engineering area	5 9 11 6 5 1 13.51 24.32 29.73 16.22 13.51 2.70	0 0.0
	Space	13.51 24.32 29.73 16.22 13.51 2.70 5 4 3 2 1	0.0
		9 11 13 2 2 0	0
	Equipment	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.0
4.	Recording room	3 12 7 5 6 4	0
	Space	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.0
		7 12 8 6 3 1	0
	Equipment	18.92 32.43 21.62 16.22 8.11 2.70 5 4 3 2 1	0.0
5.	Film chain room	6 9 8 7 4 2	1
	Space	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.70
		8 11 11 2 5 0	0
	Equipment	21.62 29.73 29.73 5.41 13.51 0.0 5 4 3 2 1	0.0
6.	Dressing rooms	2 4 7 5 5 14	0
	Space	5.41 10.81 18.92 13.51 13.51 37.84 5 4 3 2 1	0.0
		0 6 5 9 5 12	0 0.0
	Furnishings	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.0
Fil	m Production		
1.	Film studios	2 4 3 4 2 22 5.41 10.81 8.11 10.81 5.41 59.46	0
	Space	2 4 3 4 2 22 5.41 10.81 8.11 10.81 5.41 59.46 5 4 3 2 1	0.0
		4 11 9 2 1 10	0
	Equipment	10.81 29.73 24.32 5.41 2.70 27.03 5 4 3 2 1	0.0



D.

2.	Sound-film studios	3 8.11	4 10.81	2 5.41	3 8.11	2 5.41	23 62.16	0 0.0
	Space	5	4	3	8.11	1		
		3 8.33	6 16.67	10 27.78	4 11.11	2 5.56	11 30.56	1 2.70
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		
3.	Control rooms	2 5.56	5 13.89	4 11.11	2 5.56 2	1 2.78	22 61.11	1 2.70
	Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		2	4	5	3	2	20 55.56	1 2.70
	Equipment	<u>5.56</u> 5	4	3	8.33	1	55.56	2.70
4.	Dressing rooms	1	3	4	3	2	24	0
	Space	5	4	3	8.11	1	64.86	0.0
		0	2	4	6	2	23	0
	Furnishings	<u>0.0</u> 5	5.41 4	3	16.22	5.41 1	62.16	0.0
5.	Film processing	0	4	5	2	1	25	0
	Space	5	4	3	2	2.70	67.57	0.0
		2	1	6	2	0	26	0
	Equipment	5.41	4	3	2	0 0.0 1	70.27	0.0
Sup	port Areas							
1.	Model and set	3	8	6	5	9	6	0
	production shop	8.11 5	<u>21.62</u>	16.22 3	13.51	9 24.32 1	16.22	0.0
2.	Doman shoot for							
۷.	Demonstration apparatus assembly	2.70	3 8.11	27.03	3 13.51	7 18.92	11 29.73	0 0.0
		5	4	3	2	1		
3.	Equipment mainten-	4	7	16	5	4	0	1 2.70
	ance and repair	5	4	3	2	4 11.11 1	0.0	2.70
4.	Storage area (present)	2	2	7	12	12	2	0
	(Note access, restrictions.)	5.41_5	5.41 4	18.92 3	32.43 2	32.43 1	2 5.41	0.0
	•							



(_)

E.

5.	Storage area (growth space)	1 2.70 5	3 8.11 4	7 18.92 3	4 10.81 2	10 27.03	12 32.43	0.0
6.	Receiving area	2 5.41	4 10.81	13 35.14	7 18.92	7 18.92	4 10.81	0 0.0



Revised 4/1/68

EVALUATION FORM - MEDIA PRODUCTION FACILITIES

Closed-Circuit Television Facilities

A.	Adm	inistration and Staff	OUTSTA	ANDING	ADEQUA	TE FAI	R NONEX	ISTENT	No Answer
	1.	Reception and secretarial area	2 14.29 5	1 7.14 4	7 50.00 3	2 14.29 2	1 7.14 1	1 7.14	0 0.0
	2.	Administrative staff office and work areas	1 7.14 5	2 14.29 4	5 35.71 3	2 14.29 2	4 28.57 1	0.0	0 0.0
	3.	Consultants and faculty offices	0.0 5	2 14.29 4	5 35.71 3	2 14.29 2	1 7.14 1	4 28.57	0.0
	4.	Conference and planning rooms	0 0.0 5	1 7.69 4	2 15.38	2 15.38 2	2 15.38	6 46.15	1 7.14
	5.	Film storage area	1 7.14 5	1 7.14 4	3 21.43 3	5 35.71 2	1 7.14 1	3 21.43	0.0
	6.	Library (film and/or books)	1 8.33 5	2 16.67 4	3 25.00 3	4 33.33 2	2 16.67 1	0 0.0	2 14.29
В.	Ins	structional Aids Productio	n Spac	e and	Equipm	ent			
	1.	Graphic arts studio Space	1 7.14 5	3 21.43 4	2 14.29 3	6 42.86 2	1 7.14 1	1 7.14	0.0
		Equipment	3 21.43 5	5 35.71 4	3 21.43 3	1 7.14 2	1 7.14 1	1 7.14	0.0
	2.	Still photography studio Space	1 7.69 5	2 15.38 4	3 3 23.08 3	4 30.77 2	1 7.69 1	2 15.38	1 7.14
		Equipment	2 15.38 5	4 3 30.77 4	3 7 23.08 3	3 23.08 2	1 7.69 1	0 0.0	1 7.14



3.	Animation studio Space	0 0.0 5	0 0.0 4	0 0.0 3	3 25.00 2	0 0.0 1	9 75.00	2 14.29
	Equipment	0 0.0 5	0 0.0 4	2 1667 3	1 8.33 2	1 8.33 1	8 66.67	2 14.29
4.	Film processing Space	1 7.14 5	3 21.43 4	1 7.14 3	1 7.14 2	3 21.43 1	5 35.71	0.0
	Equipment	3 21.43 5	0 0.0 4	3 21.43 3	2 14.29 2	2 14.29	4 28.58	0.0
5.	Layout, editing and assembly room Space	0 0.0 5	2 16.67 4	1 8.33 3	3 25.00 2	6 50.00 1	0.0	2 14.29
	Equipment	1 8.33 5	1 8.33 4	4 33.33 3	4 33.33 2	2 16.67	0 0.0	2 14.29
6.	Sound recording studio Space	3 21.43 5	3 21.43 4	2 14.29 3	2 14.29 2	1 7.14 1	3 21.43	0.0
	Equipment	4 28.57 5	6 7 42.86 4	2 14.29 3	0 0.0	1 7.14 1	1 7.14	0
7.	Preview rooms Space	0 0.0 5	1 7.14 4	2 14.29 3	5 35.71 2	2 14.29 1	4 28.57	0 0.0
	Equipment	1 7.14 5	4 28.57 4	5 ' 35.71 3	1 . 7.14 2	1 7.14 1	2 14.29	0.0
Te1	levision Production Area	and Equ	ipment	:				
1.	Television studios Space	3 21.43 5	4 3 28.57 4	1 7.14 3	2 14.29 2	4 28.57	0 0.0	0.0
	Equipment				1 0 7.14 2		0	0 0.0



C.

2.	Cont	rol room	3	4	2	4	1	0	0
		Space	5	4	3	28.57	7.14	0.0	0.0
		Equipment	5 35.71	6 42.86	2 14.29	1 7.14	0 0.0	0.0	0 0.0
			<i>3</i>	4	J	2	T.		
3.	•	ral control and neering area	3 21.43	1 7.14	1 7.14	6 <u>4</u> 2.86	2 14.29	1 7.14	0 0.0
	91.62 .	Space	5	4	3	2	1		0.0
			5	5	2	0	1	1	0
		Equipment	5	35.71 4	3	2	7.14	7.14	0.0
4.	Reco	rding room	3	1	5	5	0	0	0
		Space	<u>21.43</u> 5	7.14	35.71 3	35.71 2	1	0.0	0.0
			5	5	2	2	0	0	0
			35.71	<u>35.71</u>	14.29	14.29 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		
5.	Film	chain room	1	1	2	5	3	1	1
		Space	7.69 5	7.69 4	3	38.46	23.08	7.69	7.14
			3	2	4	3	1	0	1
		Equipment	<u>23.08</u>	15.38 4	30.77 3	23.08	7.69	0.0	7.14
	D								•
6.	pres	sing rooms	0 0.0 5	1 7.69	2 15.38	3 23.08	0 0.0	/ 53.85	1 7.14
		Space	5	4	3	2	1		
			1	0	2	2	1	7	1 7.14
		Furnishings	7.69 5	0.0	15.38 3	15.38 2	7.69	53.85	7.14
				•		_	-	۱	
Fil:	m Pro	duction	,						
1.	Film	studios	1	1	0	2	2	7	1 7.14
		Space	7.69 5	7.69 4	3	15.38 2	15.38 1	7 53.85	7.14
			2	2	1	2	2	4 .	1
		Faurinment		15.38			15.38		7.14
		H CILL DOMANT	~	/1	•	7		1 1	

ERIC AFUIT TEXT PROVIDED BY ERIC

D.

2.	Sound-film studios Space	1 7.69 5	0 0.0 4	1 7.69 3	2 15.38 2	2 15.38 1	7 53.85	1 7.14
	Equipment	2 15.38 5	2 15.38 4	0 0.0	1 7.69 2	3 23.08 1	5 33.46	1 7.14
3.	Control rooms Space	0 0.0 5	1 7.69 4	0 0.0 3	1 7.69 2	1 7.69 1	10 76.92	1 7.14
	Equipment	0 0.0 5	2 15.38 4	2 15.38 3	0 0.0 2	1 7.69	8 61.54	1 7.14
4.	Dressing rooms Space	0 0.0 5	0 0.0 4	1 7.69 3	0 0.0 2	1 7.69 1	11 84.62	1 7.14
	Furnishings	0 0.0 5	0 0.0 4	1 7.69 3	0 0.0 2	1 7.69 1	11 84.62	1 7.14
5.	Film processing Space	0 0.0 5	1 7.69 4	0 0.0 3	2 15.38 2	1 7.69 1	9 69.23	1 7.14
	Equipment	0 0.0 5	0 0.0 4	3 23.08 3	0 0.0 2	1 7.69 1.	9 69.23	1 7.14
Sup	port Areas							
1.	Model and set production shop	1 7.69 5	2 15.38 4	1 7.69 3	2 15.38 2	2 15.38	5 38.46	1 7.14
2.	Demonstration apparatus assembly	1 7.69 5	1 7.69 4	1 7.69 3	3 23.08 2	2 15.38	5 38.46	1 7.14
3.	Equipment mainten- ance and repair	2 15.38 5	2 15.38 4	5 38.46 3	3 23.08 2	0 0.0 1	1 7.69	1 7.14
4.	Storage area (present) (Note access, restrictions.)	0 0.0 5	0 0.0 4	1 7.69 3	2 15.38 2	7 53.85 1	3 23.08	1 7.14



E.

5.	Storage area (growth space)	0 0.0	0.0	1 7.69	0.0	6 46.15	6 46.15	1 7.14
		5	4	3	2	1		
6.	Receiving area	1 7.69 5	1 7.69	2 15.38 3	3 23.08 2	2 15.38	4 30.77	1 7.14
							L J	

F.	Other	(Identify	area	and	describe	use.)
----	-------	-----------	------	-----	----------	-------

 					_
5	4	3	2	1	
					<u> </u>



III. ABSTRACTS OF RESPONDENTS' WRITTEN COMMENTS

A. Factors Relevant to the Achievement of Quality in Production

Respondents were repeatedly asked on the evaluation form to "assess which of the elements (in each section), if any, are most important relative to the <u>achievement of quality</u> in the television programs or films produced."

Each of the following sections of the report is presented in three segments. The first is a brief introductory statement about the category of production being evaluated. The second is a listing of the facilities which were mentioned specifically by 25 respondents as those making the greatest contribution to the quality of production within the predetermined categories. For our purposes, a statement of no more than two elements was permitted for each category. The third segment contains noteworthy quotations pertaining to each category taken from the evaluation forms.

(Section A) Administration and Staff

į.

Administrative staff offices, work areas, and conference and planning rooms were most frequently specified as important in achieving instructional program quality. However, generally stated needs were most often given in answer to this question. Statements such as the following were made frequently: "Operation badly separated at present time," "The relationship of our work areas is very poor," "Isolation of administration space causes minor communication problems." It was clear that the space design of office areas was an important factor in the efficiency of the staff's work. Where buildings were specifically designed as television production situations, the production personnel seemed much more satisfied in this



regard than where the buildings had been converted from other unrelated functions.

<u>Facility</u>	Number of Responses
Design of office space	10
Conference and planning rooms	3
Administration staff office and work areas	2
Secretarial and recention area	1

These are some other comments:

"Some days the noise is unbelievable; concentration is impossible."

"When spaces are too close to each other and too small, everyone can hear all the conversation that goes on. It becomes distracting, and there is a tendency for the staff to waste a lot of
time in conversation. Separate consultant, faculty and conference
rooms would greatly facilitate operations."

"All the problems which do arise revolve around relationships of work areas and necessary mingling of staff who have disparate functions. These problems are all due to limited space."

"Relationships of spaces contribute more to basic effectiveness than does size and number (of rooms)."

"Under our system, there is a highly informal and cooperative relationship among the staff because of the proximity of working areas. At the same time, there is some interference with work time because of the tendency of the staff to fraternize more than would be normally expected."



"The relationship of our work areas is very poor. This results in some time being wasted. On the positive side, the studio is located in the same building as the library which provides vast quantities of (available) material."

(Section B) Instructional Aids Production Space and Equipment

Graphic arts studio space and equipment clearly appeared to be the facility most often found lacking and indicated as being needed. The clearest statement of this need came from the director of broadcasting of an ETV station, who said, "The graphic arts department is a necessity since we are dealing with a visual medium that demands quality graphic presentation. Animation is a luxury that we do not foresee we can afford. Therefore, from a priority standpoint, animation would be the last item needed."

The next most frequently mentioned needs were stressed equally. They were, interestingly, animation and still photography. No specific reasons were given for these selections, but the quotation given above could apply in all cases, except that the others did not consider animation a "luxury."

Film processing space and layout, editing and assembly rooms were also mentioned.

<u>Facility</u>	Number of Responses
Graphic arts studio	8
Still photography	4
Animation studio	2
Layout, editing and assembly room	2
Sound recording studio	2



Film processing

1

Preview room

1

(Section C) Television Production Area and Equipment

The most often mentioned need in this area was for better television studios. Although equipment was the most frequently mentioned commodity, increased space was also very often cited as being an important need. The particular request for television studios is to add color equipment, which the respondents see as an essential requirement in the near future. Only once did a respondent rate television equipment entirely below the limits of acceptability. Studio space was generally in demand. Producers complained that production was hampered because their studios were used for storage of sets and props as well as for production. A preference for the two-studio concept which allows use of one studio for rehearsal while production set-up for taping is going on in the other appeared often in the evaluation forms.

Control, recording, and film chain space was judged generally as too limited. Often these functions were housed in the same room, creating cramped and noisy working conditions. Increased sophistication in videotape recorders does not necessarily contribute to the content and quality of the courses recorded, but the more sophisticated recorders appeared necessary for large-scale operations.



Facility	Number of Responses
Television studio	
Space	ż
Equipment	3
Both	7
Control room	
Space	1
Equipment	0
Both	6
Recording room	
Space	0
Equipment	4
Both	0

Some comments are as follows:

"Studio equipment available to producer-director is now the limiting factor (for achieving quality). Activation of a second fully equipped and staffed studio is generally needed to allow more set-up and rehearsal time for production of additional programs."

"The studio space has the greatest direct effect on quality (of programs)."

"Film and tape storage should be dust-free and temperature controlled."

"The main problem is isolation of videotape room which is one floor above studios and without elevator."

"Our most critical lack is that of quality, reliable and available videotape recorders. These cannot add to the content of the image or quality of the program sources being recorded, but they can store and distribute these materials upon demand and without signal loss, and this is the key element in long-range development of closed-circuit programing. While we have only



black and white equipment, all of our switching, control room, and distribution equipment can 'pass' color, so that only the cameras and upgrading of the videotape recorders, plus receivers, are needed for color. All of our film studio work is done in television studios or on location."

"Centralization of functions (within the university) allows purchase of highly sophisticated equipment."

"Compromise with vidicons instead of image orthicon and slanttrack for quadraplex videotape recorders reduces quality that is otherwise inherent in studios and systems."

(Section D) Film Production

Film space and equipment shared equally as being in great demand. Sound-film studios and space followed, and film processing equipment was the last of those needs specifically mentioned. Television studios are now being used to make silent or sound motion pictures. Processing equipment was desired by two respondents, one of whom had his facility on an island thousands of miles from the nearest commercial processor. One general manager said of the film equipment, "All of these items can be leased and rented, but the accepted procedure is to use commercially available film processing."

Facility	Number of Responses
Film studio	
Space	0
Equipment	3
Both	3
Sound film studio	
Space	1
Equipment	1
Both	4



Film processing	
Space	0
Equipment	0
Both	2
Control room	
Space	1
Equipment	0
Both	0

(Section E) Support Areas

The desirability of model and set production shops heavily outweighed all other statements of needs. Next in demand was equipment maintenance and repair, then storage area growth space. This relationship is confirmed by the Project staff member's observations of 12 production facilities. However, simply because storage space ranks third, it should not be considered lightly. Every facility visited had an urgent need for increased storage space, and this was especially evident from conversations with people who were actually operating the facilities as compared to the administrative viewpoint. As stated above, studio space is often used for storage, thereby greatly reducing the useful space of the studio and reducing proportionately its original intended flexibility.

Facility	Number of Responses
Model and set production shop	13
Equipment maintenance and repair	8
Storage area	5
Demonstration apparatus assembly	3

Some comments were as follows:

"(We need) model and set building space, shop equipment and storage space for completing sets and props."



"(In support areas) equipment, maintenance and repair is probably most important, followed by model and set production shop. Storage space is very important; otherwise you cannot make set changes as you might otherwise make them."

"Model and set production shop and equipment repair shop are of great importance to the quality of our programs since we produce 1200-1500 programs per year."

"(We need) adequate and separate workshops in radio and TV.

Students in TV and radio should have their own facilities that can be scheduled solely for them.

B. Need for Good Working Relationships and Qualified Personnel

Two major areas of inadequacy in instructional television were described in written comments included in the evaluation form. The first need was for a well coordinated, informally operated team of people who can develop high-quality instructional television programs. The other need is for people to compose the teams. These specialists are in very short supply, and there is no pool from which to draw as the demand increases.

The need for a special relationship among people working in instructional television was generally stated in this manner: "TV production is a team function. The relationship and esprit de corps of individuals may be more important than the individuals themselves. People must understand the characteristics of a team made up of mutually dependent artists."

These are some of the other comments:

"In all situations, relationships of people are critically relevant to the effective utilization of facilities."



"Lack of barriers created by a regimented staff leads to honest interaction of employees, develops enthusiasm, and promotes imagination and experimentation (in their work)."

"I think interactions of employees, individual willingness of each to assist another staff member in a project (is the most important element toward achieving quality). Lack of inter- and intradepartmental jealousies contributes to a better product, as does the free and open exchange of ideas and information among all levels, from top administration to the janitor."

"Rapport with the educational community concerning needs which the TV facility is capable of meeting is very desirable. There appears to be a genuine interest on the part of representatives of schools' and the stations' curriculum committees to develop a program service which will be directed at the 'mainstream' of the curriculum. In its first year of operation, the station was instrumental in getting the State Board of Education to establish a regulation requiring all public school systems (in the state) to install, by September 1970, master antennae systems."

Although a very small portion of the evaluation form dealt with personnel and indeed carried the title, "Media Production <u>Facilities</u>," many respondents felt compelled to describe the need for the recognition of the great and soon to increase demand for adequately trained production personnel.

An ETV station director stated this need most simply: "Personnel first -- studio equipment second."

Others expanded on that theme: "One can have wonderful equipment, but without good people and progressive station philosophy one can produce incredibly bad work."



"The demand for production from schools and community exceeds the capacities of our existing staff, which is limited by (operating) finances and by funds for expansion.

"Inadequate staff to do meaningful productions. No time with other duties."

"In the opinion of those department heads interviewed, it was the personnel who counted most and not the hardware. Fortunately, we have plenty of hardware."

"In general, equipment is of slightly greater importance than space. However, acquiring and developing qualified personnel to utilize and maximize quality of programs or lessons is of the greatest importance."

"A new kind of academic program is necessary to develop instructional materials design specialists."

C. Statements of "Most Outstanding Features"

In the concluding section of the evaluation form, respondents were asked, "What is the most outstanding feature of this facility?" They were encouraged to look particularly at space arrangement, interaction of employees, and how these factors affect the quality of production. It is important to observe that the three positive features most frequently mentioned were high-quality personnel, effective use of limited space, and high-quality equipment, and that in other sections of the evaluation form these three appeared as the areas of most pressing need.

Statements of outstanding features are listed below in order of the frequency with which they were mentioned by 28 respondents. The list is divided into positive and negative characteristics.



Positive characteristics	Number of	Responses
Personnel of high quality	8	
Effective use of limited space	4	
Equipment of high quality	3	
Space design good	2	
Good product from such limited space	2	
Lesson pretesting	1	
High-quality, low quantity production	1	
New "Learning Resources Center"	1	
Proximity of studios to offices	1	
Public affairs film productions	1	
Negative characteristics	Number of	Responses
Lack of space	2	
Housed in football stadium	1	
Fear of future need for increased	1	
production		



IV. SUGGESTED REVISION TO THE EVALUATION FORM

"The Evaluation Form -- Media Production Facilities" was used during this Project to gather immediate information and to field test a type of evaluation form that might be used by instructional television personnel.

The following sections contain point-by-point suggestions for the revision of the evaluation form with comments being made only concerning those sections needing revision.

Revised 4/1/68

EVALUATION FORM -- MEDIA PRODUCTION FACILITIES

ractifity	Date of Visit
Person in charge	Title
Address	Phone
:	
Person completing form	Title
Address	Phone

NOTE TO EVALUATOR: This is the best form we have been able to devise for our particular purpose. Since there are many production facilities with unique components which do not fall neatly into headings on an evaluation form, please use the space for comments to describe and judge anything



which you think would be helpful in assessing the facility, particularly in regard to the quality of the instructional material it produces.

COMMENTS:

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

This form is to be used by production units with divergent overall production objectives. As a result, many functional areas and pieces of specific equipment will be mentioned which may not be present at the evaluator's facility. He should be aware that the mere presence of a question asking for the evaluation of a piece of equipment does not imply that the equipment should be on hand for evaluation at this facility.

SUGGESTED REVISIONS:

NOTE TO EVALUATOR: This is the best form we have been able to devise for your purposes. Since there are many production facilities with unique components which do not fall neatly into headings on an evaluation form, please use the space for comments to describe and judge anything which you think would be helpful in assessing your facility, particularly in regard to the quality of the instructional material it produces. Because this form is to be used by production units with divergent production objectives, some functional areas and pieces of equipment will be mentioned which may not be present at



certain facilities. As you complete this form, remember that the presence of a question asking for the evaluation of a piece of equipment does not imply that the equipment should be on hand at the facility.

Live TV, tape and film distribution and personnel relationships are not considered within the form.

I. Objectives of the production unit. (Specify whether production includes open-circuit or closed-circuit television, film, animation, etc. Give number of broadcast hours or approximate percentage of time.
Note how much live television is broadcast.)

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

- I. A. Objective of the production unit: Clear recognition of the objective of the evaluator's production facilities is essential. For instance, that objective is not merely the production of a given number of hours of recorded or televised instruction, but the teaching of certain units of knowledge and skills that will lead to specified changes in the behavior of the target audience (the student). Such changes in behavior should be the primary objective, all other elements are contributory factors.
 - B. Number of hours and types of production:
 Quantity and types of productions must be



- recognized as determinants of the sophistication and types of equipment necessary. These should be stated on the Form and noted by the evaluator as he is making his qualitative evaluations.
- A statement should be made dealing with accessibility of equipment from outside sources. The need for this was found in universities where certain functions were not performed by the ITV staff but were readily available within the university structure as a whole, or by rental, i.e. graphic arts, motion picture photography.

SUGGESTED REVISIONS:

I. A. Objectives of the production unit: The objective of instruction is the teaching of certain units of knowledge or skills that will lead to specific desired changes in the behavior of the student. Your objectives of using media should be clearly thought out and stated here before continuing completion of the form.

COMMENTS:

B. Number of hours of production and types of production:
Quantity and types of production are determinants of the degree of sophistication and kinds of equipment necessary.



State average weekly number of hours of studio production time, weekly average of closed-circuit or broadcast time, weekly amount of videotape, kinescope and motion picture film produced.

COMMENTS:

C. State whether equipment not within your facility is readily accessible to you from an outside source (i.e. elsewhere on your school campus or by rental).

COMMENTS:

II. Production Facilities

A.	Adm	inistration and Staff	OUT	STANDING	G ADE	QUATE	FAIR	NONEXISTENT
	1.	Reception and secretarial area	5	4	3	2	 1	
	2.	Administrative staff office and work areas	5	4	3	2	1	
	3.	Consultants and faculty offices	5	4	3	2	<u>1</u>	
		Conference and planning rooms	5	4	3	2	1	
	5.	Film storage area	5		2			



6. Library (film and/or books)

5 4 3 2 1

COMMENTS: What factors could or do affect the elements in a particular situation (relationship of work areas, cooperative personality of librarian, isolation of administration, for example)?

OTHER COMMENTS:

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

Section II.

- A. #1 Reception and secretarial -- clerical areas are separate functions and often physically removed from each other. This separation calls for an individual question evaluating each, and room for a comment as to whether or not they are separate.
 - #2 An additional question should be asked regarding production staff office area.
 - #3 Rewording of this question to "Course

 Instructors and Curriculum Planners" would make
 it clearer.
 - #4 Film storage belongs under Support Areas.
 - #5 "In-house" library would differentiate this from a distributing library.



GENERAL COMMENT: This should be reworded so as not to permit generalized answers.

SUGO		duct	EVISIONS: tion Facilities ninistration and Staff	EXCELLENT		ADEQUATE		INADEQUATE	NONEXISTENT
ı		1.	Reception area	5	4	3	2	1	
		2.	Secretarial area	5	4	3	2	1	
		3.	Administrative staff office area	5	4	3	2	1	
		4.	Production staff office	5	4	3	2	1	
		5.	Course instructors and curriculum planners office areas	5	4	3	2	1	
		6.	Conference and planning rooms	5	4	3	2	1	
COM	æ r te		In-house library (films and/or books)	5	4	3	2	1	
	COMMENTS: What factors most importantly affect the elements in a particular working situation (relationships of work areas, cooperative personality of librarian, isolation of administration, for example)?								



Are th OTHER		nctions named above jo ENTS:	ined o	or sepa	rated	in spa	ice?		
В.	Ins	tructional Aids Produc	tion S	Space a	and Equ	ipmen	t		
			OUTS	STANDIN	NG ADEC	QUATE 1	FAIR NO	ONEXISTEN!	I
	1.	Graphic arts studio Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		
	2.	Still photography studio Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		
	3.	Animation studio Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1		
	4.	Film processing Space	5	4	3	2	1		
		Equi pment	5	4	3		 1		



5.	Layout, editing, and assembly room Space	5	4	3	2	ī	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
6.	Sound recording studio Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
7.	Preview room Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	

COMMENTS: Assess which of the preceding elements, if any, are most important to the achievement of quality in the programs or films produced.

OTHER COMMENTS:

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

Section II

B. #1 - Space design is critical in many areas. Here, and elsewhere, a scale for design evaluation should be added.



- #2 "Laboratory" should be substituted for "Studio".

 This question and #5 should be placed consecutively.
- #3 Animation studios are rare and a separate
 studio's preferred presence should not be
 implied. Dropping the word "Studio" would solve
 this.
- #4 With the suggested revision of question #2, this question may be deleted.
- #5 "Still photography" should be specified with
 "layout" deleted and "slide" added. This
 question should be moved as suggested in #2.
- #6 This question may be deleted here and better asked under television production.

GENERAL COMMENT: This and the other "comments" should be reworded so as not to permit generalized answers.

SUGGESTE	ED REVISIONS:					쩓	IN
Section	II.	EXCELLENT		ADEQUATE		INADEQUATE	NONEXISTENT
В.	Instructional Aids Production	EXC		ADEC		INAI	NON
	1. Graphic arts studio Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Design	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	



	2.	Still photography Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	3.	Still photography laboratory Space	5	4	3	2	1	
		Design	5	4	3	2	1	
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	4.	Still photography-slide editing and assembly Space	5	4	3	2	1	
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	5.	Animation Space	5	4	3	2	1	
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	6.	Sound recording studio Space	5	4	3	2	1	
		Design	5	4	3	2	1	
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
		Which of the preceding eleme					e ach	ieve-
ment of	qua	lity in the television progr	ams o	or films	s prod	luced?		



AMTTM 11	000000000	
OTHER	COMMENTS:	•

TENT

				OUTS	STANDING	ADEQUATE	E FAIR	NONEXIST
c.	Te1	evisio	on Production Area	and	Equipmen	nt		
	1.	Telev	vision studios Space	5	4	3 2	1	
			Equipment	5	4	3 2	1	
	2.	Conti	col room Space	5	4	3 2	1	
			Equipment	5	4	3 2	1	
	3.		ral control and neering area Space	5	4	3 2	1	
			Equipment	5	4	3 2	1	
	4.	Reco	rding room Space	5	4	3 2	1	
	:		Equipment	5	4	3 2	1	
	5.	Fi lm	chain room Space	5	4	3 2	1	
			Equipment	5	4	3 2	1	



6. Dressing rooms
Space

5 4 3 2 1

Equipment

5 4 3 2 1

COMMENTS: Assess which of the preceding elements, if any, are most important to the achievement of quality in the programs or films produced.

OTHER COMMENTS:

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

Section II

- C. #1 The question on equipment may be deleted and additional questions asked about cameras, lighting, sound pick-up equipment and additional production equipment.
 - #2 Within Control room equipment, video and audio production control equipment should be differentiated and again differentiated from technical control.

 - #4 Videotape recording may be specified here with space available on the form for other types of video recording.



#5 - "Room" should be deleted since most facilities' film chains are located in rooms along with other equipment.

SUGGESTE	ED RI	EVISIONS:					6-3	Ħ
Section C.		levision Production	EXCELLENT		ADEQUATE		Inadequate	NONEXISTENT
	1.	Television studio Space	5	4	3	2	1	
		Design	5	4	3	2	 1	
	2.	Television cameras	J	7	J	2	•	<u></u>
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	3.	Television lighting Design	5	4	3	2	1	
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	4.	Television sound pick-up Equipment	5.	4	3	2	- 1	
	5.	Other studio production Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	6.	Production control room Space	5	4	3	2	- 1	
		Design	5	4	3	2	1	



	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
7.	Video production control Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
8.	Audio production control Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
9.	Master control Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Design	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
10.	Videotape recording Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4 ,	3	2	1	
11.	Other video recorders (kinescope) Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equip ment	5	4	3	2	1	
12.	Film chain Space	5	4	3	2	<u> </u>	



	Equipment		5	4	3	2	1	
13. Mobi	lle unit Space		5	4	3	2	1	
	Design		5	4	3	2	- 1	
	Equipment		5	4	3	2	1	
14. Dre	ssing rooms Space		5	4	3	2	1	
	Furnishings		5	4	3	2	- 1	
	of the preceding						ne	
achievement of q	uality in the tel	evisio	u III	.ms pro	duceu:			
OTHER COMMENTS:								
D. Film Prod	luction	OUTS:	[ANDI	NG ADE	QUATE :	FAIR N	ONEXI	STENT
1. Film	studios Space	5	4	3	2	1]
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1]
2. Sound	d-film studios Space	5	4	3	2	 1		

Space



	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
3.	Control rooms Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Dressing rooms Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Furnishings	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Film processing Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	

COMMENTS: Assess which of the preceding elements, if any, are most important to the achievement of quality in the programs or films produced.

OTHER COMMENTS:

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

Section II

D. #1 - Portable equipment should be added for evaluation



- #2 This question should be replaced by "Sound recording".
- #3 Specify sound editing. Additional questions should be asked relating to non-studio cameras, lighting and sound pick-up equipment.

SUGGESTED RE	EVISIONS:					(+1)	T
Section II D. Fil	m Production	EXCELLENT		ADEQUATE		INADEQUATE	NONEXISTENT
1.	Film studio Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Design	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Studio cameras Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
3.	Studio lighting Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Studio sound pick-up Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Studio sound recording Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
6.	Portable cameras Equipment	5	4	3	2	 1	



	7.	Porta	ible sound pick-up Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	8.	Film	editing Space	5	4	3	2	1	
			Design	5	4	3	2	1	
			Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	9.	Sound	d editing Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	l		Design	5	4	3	2	1	
	·		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	10.	Film	processing Space	5	4	3	2	1	
			Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	11.	Dres	sing rooms Space	5	4	3	2	1	
			Furnishings	5	4	3	2	1	
COMMENT			of the preceding ele			s sen ti	al to	the	
achieve	ment	of qu	ality in the films p	produc	ed?				

ERIC Provided by ERIC

OTHER	COMM	ENTS:						
E.	Sup	port Areas	OUTS	STANDING	ADE	QUATE	FAIR	NONEXISTENT
	1.	Model and set production shop	5	4	3	2	1	
	2.	Demonstration apparatus assembly	5	4	3	2	1	
	3.	Equipment mainten- ance and repair	5	4	3	2	1	
	4.	Storage area (present) (note access, restrictions.)	5	4	3	2	1	
	5.	Storage area (growth space)	5	4	3	2	1	
	6.	Receiving area	5	4	3	2	1	
COMMENTS	S: A	ssess which of the prece	eding	g element	ts,	if any	, are	most
importar	nt to	the achievement of qual	lity	in the 1	orog	rams c	or fil	lms produced.

OTHER COMMENTS:

ERIC Provided by ERIC

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

Section II

E. #2 - May be deleted.

#4 & 5 - Differentiation should be made between film/tape and bulk storage areas.

SUGGESTED REVISIONS:	-				LE	ENT
Section II	EXCELLENT		ADEQUATE		INADEQUATE	NONEXISTENT
. E. Support areas	EX		AD		H	NO
1. Model and set construction shop	5	4	3	2	- 1	
2. Equipment repair and maintenance	5	4	3	2	1	
3. Tape/film storage area	5	4	3	2	1	
4. Set and prop storage area	5	4	3	2	1	
5. Storage area (growth space)	5	4	3	2	1	
6. Recieving area	5	4	3	2	1	
COMMENTS: Which of the preceding element achievement of quality in the televis:						d?



OTHER	COMMENT	rs:							
F. Other (Identify area and describe use.)									
				OUTST	ANDING	ADEQUATE	FAIR	NONEXISTENT	
				5	4	3 2	1		

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

Section II

COMMENTS:

F. An additional question should be asked to evaluate the overall space design and equipment placement at the facility.

SUGGESTED REVISIONS: Section II	EXCELLENT		ADEQUATE		INADEQUATE	NONEXISTENT
F. Overall space design and equipment placement	5	4	3	2	<u> </u>	



G. Other equipment (Identify and de							
		5	4	3	2	1	
		5	4	3	2	1	
COMMENTS:							
			-	, <u>.</u>			
I. Personnel (If poss	sible, obtain	facili	tv orga	anizat:	ion cha	art.)	
Total staff:	-	full-	•				
	 No. 0:	• •	rime e	nplove	es		
		: part-	ctme e				
Title of Head:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Title of Head: Staff: (Record num	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_			if no	such
	mber of employ	vees in	appro	priate	box;		
Staff: (Record num	nber of employ	vees in	appro	priate	box;		
Staff: (Record num	nber of employ	rees in	appro	priate	box;		
Staff: (Record num	nber of employ	rees in note i	appro	priate	box;		
Staff: (Record num job exists, put X i academic faculty po	nber of employ in box. Also ositions.)	rees in note in Progra	appro f any am Dir	priate staff	box;		
Staff: (Record num job exists, put X i academic faculty po Assistant Director Producer-Director	nber of employ in box. Also ositions.)	Progra	appro f any em Dir Progra	priate staff : ector	box;	s also	hold
Staff: (Record num job exists, put X i academic faculty po Assistant Director Producer-Director Asst. Producer-Director	nber of employ in box. Also ositions.)	Progra	approgram Diram Ass	priate staff ector am Dire istant -Testi	box; member	s also	hold
Staff: (Record num job exists, put X i academic faculty po Assistant Director Producer-Director Asst. Producer-Director Unit Manager	nber of employ in box. Also ositions.)	Progra	approgram Ass	priate staff ector am Dire istant -Testi	box; member	s also	hold



()

(_1

Other: (Give job titles and number of persons employed.)	
COMMENTS: (Who really directs operation? Is staff adequate? State reason why more (or less) personnel is needed?)	
•	
PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:	
Section III	
No comments.	
SUGGESTED REVISIONS:	٦
III. Personnel (If possible, obtain facility organization chart.)	
Total staff: No. of full-time employees	
No. of part-time employees	
Title of Head:	
Staff: (Record number of employees in appropriate box; if no	
such job exists, put X in box. Also note if any staff members	
also hold academic faculty positions.)	7
Assistant Director Program Director	ل ٦
Producer-Director Asst. Program Director	
Asst. Producer-Director Program Assistant Unit Manager Measurement-Testing Specialist	_ _
Unit Manager Measurement-Testing Specialist	

Artist

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC



Subject Matter-Curriculum Specialist

Writer	Subject Watter-Consultants									
	Production Assistant									
Other: (Give job titles and number of persons employed.)										
COMMENTS: Who really d	lirects operation? Is staff adequate? S	tate								
reason why more (or les	s) personnel is needed?									

IV. What is the most outstanding feature of this facility? (Look for arrangement of space for particular functions, close proximity of working areas according to the relationship of the work involved and the interaction of the employees, etc., and how these factors affect the quality of the programs or films produced.)

COMMENTS:

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

Section IV

Question should be reworded to make the evaluator aware in more detail of his facility.



SUGGESTED REVISIONS:

IV. Comment on proximity of working areas according to function and interaction of employees. How do these factors affect the quality of programs and films produced?

V. Is there any necessary component (except for additional funds, which is understood) for production missing in this facility?
COMMENTS:

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

Section V

No comments.

SUGGESTED REVISIONS:

- V. No change.
- VI. OTHER COMMENTS:

ERIC FEUITIMENT PROVIDED LE PROVIDE LE PROVIDED LE PROVIDED LE PRO

PROJECT STAFF COMMENTS:

Section VI

No comments.

SUGGESTED REVISIONS:

Section VI

VI. OTHER COMMENTS: No change.



V. SUMMARY

What began in this project as an evaluation of instructional television production units and the relationship of their facilities to the
quality of television courses produced by them evolved into a report of
the <u>state of development</u> in 1968 of these television facilities. The
information gathered reflected the degrees of satisfaction of production
people with the facilities which they operate.

It was found that, although the personnel now operating ITV production units are well qualified in their field and able to overcome the need for increased resources, many more highly trained people are needed to fill the present demand for television courses and more still to fill the even greater demands of the near future. Judging from the comments received during this survey, it was also found that an informal, cooperative atmosphere within the station is very important to the achievement of quality in production.

The survey relied heavily on National Educational Television affiliates for its information. Taking into consideration that these stations are, on the whole, relatively new, it can be understood that there was no great plea made by them for more and newer television equipment. This, however, does not seem to hold true for equipment in the support areas of television. The element of color television enters here also, and is soon to become a near necessity throughout the country.

At present, then, instructional television is fairly well off in terms of "hardware" and only a slightly lacking when it comes to personnel. But the future, with its certain expansion of need for more and more instruction via television, does not hold a happy prospect.



APPENDIX A



Revised 6/20/68

MEDIA PRODUCTION FACILITIES EVALUATION FORM

Facility	Date of Visit
Person in charge	
Address	
	 .
	Title
Address	Phone
NOTE TO EVALUATOR: This is the be	est form we have been able to devise for
your purposes. Since there are ma	any production facilities with unique
components which do not fall neat	ly into headings on an evaluation form,
please use the space for comments	to describe and judge anything which
you think would be helpful in asso	essing your facility, particularly in
regard to the quality of the inst	ructional material it produces. Because

this form, remember that the presence of a question asking for the evaluation of a piece of equipment does not imply that the equipment should be on hand at the facility.

I. A. Objectives of the production unit: The objective of instruction

mentioned which may not be present at certain facilities. As you complete

this form is to be used by production units with divergent production

objectives, some functional areas and pieces of equipment will be

I. A. Objectives of the production unit: The objective of instruction is the teaching of certain units of knowledge or skills that will lead to specific desired changes in the behavior of the student.



Your objectives of using media should be clearly thought out and stated here before continuing completion of the form.

COMMENTS:

Number of hours of production and types of production: Quantity and types of production are determinants of the degree of sophistication and kinds of equipment necessary. State average weekly number of hours of studio production time, weekly average of closed circuit or broadcast time, weekly amount of videotape, kinescope and motion picture film produced.

COMMENTS:

C. State whether equipment not within your facility is readily accessible to you from an outside source (i.e. elsewhere on your school campus or by rental).

COMMENTS:

II.	II. Production Facilities			ELLENT		QUATE	INADEQUATE	EXISTENT
	A.	Adm	ninistration and Staff	EXC		ADE	INA	NONEX
		1.	Reception area	5	4	3	 <u> </u>	



2.	Secretarial area	5	4	3	2	1	
3.	Adm_nistrative staff office area	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Production staff office	5	4	. 3	2	 1	
5.	Course instructors and curriculum planners office areas	5	4	3	2	1	
6.	Conference and planning rooms	5	4	3	2	1	
7.	<pre>In-house library (films and/or books)</pre>	5	4	3	2.	1	

COMMENTS: What factors most importantly affect the elements in a particular working situation (relationships of work areas, cooperative personality of librarian, isolation of administration, for example)?

Are the functions named above joined or separated in space?

OTHER COMMENTS:

B. Instructional Aids Production

1. Graphic arts studio
Space 5 4 3 2 1

Design 5 4 3 2 1



	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Still photography Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
3.	Still photography laboratory Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Design	5	4	3	2		
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Still photography, slide editing and assembly Space	5	4	3	2	 1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Animation Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
6.	Sound recording studio Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Design	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	

COMMENTS: Which of the preceding elements are essential to the achievement of quality in the television programs or films produced?



OTHER COMMENTS:

с.	Tel	evision Production	EXCELLENT		ADEQUATE		INADEQUATE	NONEXISTENT
	1.	Television studio Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	•	Design	5	4	3	2	1	
	2.	Television cameras Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	3.	Television lighting Design	5	4	3	2	1	
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	4.	Television sound pick-up Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	5.	Other studio production Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
	6.	Production control room Space	5	4.	3	2	1	
		Design	5	4	3	2	 1	
		Equipment	5	4	3	2	 1	

1.	Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
8.	Audio production control Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
9.	Master control Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Design	5	4	3	2	.1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
10.	Videotape recording Space	5	4	3	2	.1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
11.	Other video recorders (kinescope) Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
12.	Film chain Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	 1	



13. Mobile unit						
Space	5	4	3	2	1	
Design	5	4	3	2	1	
Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
14. Dressing rooms Space	5	4	3	2	1	
Furnishings	5	4	3	2	<u></u>	

COMMENTS: Which of the preceding elements are essential to the achievement of quality in the television programs or films produced?

OTHER COMMENTS:

. D.	Film Production	EXCELLENT		ADEQUATE		INADEQUATE	NONEXISTENT
	1. Film studio Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Design	5	4	3	2	1	
	2. Studio cameras Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
•	3. Studio lighting Equipment	5	4	3	2	 1	



4.	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Studio sound recording Space	5	4	3	2	 1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
6.	Portable cameras Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
7.	Portable sound pick-up Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
8.	Film editing Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Design	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	<u> </u>	
9.	Sound editing Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Design	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	
LO.	Film processing Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	Equipment	5	4	3	2	1	



11.	Dressing rooms Space	5	4	3	2	1	
	O pare						
	Furnishings	5	4	3		1	

COMMENTS: Which of the preceding elements are essential to the achievement of quality in the films produced?

Furnishings

OTHER COMMENTS:

Ε.	Sup	port areas	EXCELLENT		ADEQUATE		INADEQUATE	NONEXISTENT
	1.	Model and set construction shop	5	4	3	2	1	
	2.	Equipment repair and maintenance	5	4	3	2	1	
	3.	Tape/film storage area	5	4	3	2	1	
	4.	Set and prop storage area	5	4	3	2	 1	
	5.	Storage area (growth space)	5	4	3	2	1	



6. Receiving area	5	4	3	2	1	
COMMENTS: Which of the preceding element	ts are	e esse	ntial	to the	achiev	e-
ment of quality in the television program	ms or	films	produ	ced?		
OTHER COMMENTS:						
	EXCELLENT		ADEQUATE		INADEQUATE	NONEXISTENT
F. Overall Space design and equipment placement	5	4	3	2	<u> </u>	
G. Other equipment and areas (Identify and describe use)						
· .	5	4	3	2	1	
COMMENTS:	5	4	3	2	1	
<pre>III. Personnel (If possible, obtain fac Total staff: No. of for No. of page</pre>	:11-ti	ime em	ployee	s		



Title of Head:	
Staff: (Record number of emp	oloyees in appropriate box; if no such
job exists, put X in box. Al	lso note if any staff members also hold
academic faculty positions.)	
Assistant Director	Program Director
Producer-Director	Asst. Program Director
Asst. Producer-Director	Program Assistant
Unit Manager	Measurement-Testing Specialist
Artist	Subject Matter-Curriculum Specialist
Writer	Subject Matter-Consultant
	Production Assistant
Other: (Give job titles and	number of persons employed.)
ENTS: Who really directs opera	ation? Is staff adequate? State reason

COMMENTS: Who really directs operation? Is staff adequate? State reason why more (or less) personnel is needed?

IV. Comment on close proximity of working areas according to function and the interaction of the employees. How do these factors affect the quality of the programs or films produced?
COMMENTS:



V. Is there any necessary component (except for additional funds, which is understood) for production missing in this facility?
COMMENTS:

VI. OTHER COMMENTS:



APPENDIX B



RESPONDENTS TO EVALUATION FORM -- MEDIA PRODUCTION FACILITIES

Director and Facility	Broad- cast		Mail Response		
AMERICAN SAMOA					
Dr. John W. Harold, Director* Department of Educational-TV Government of American Samoa Pago Pago, American Samoa 96920	X		X		1
ARIZONA					
Mr. Robert H. Ellis, Station Manager Bureau of Broadcasting Arizona State University Tempe, Arizona 85281	x		x		1
CALIFORNIA					
Dr. James L. Loper, Vice-Pres.* & General Manager Community Television of Southern California 1313 North Vine Street Hollywood, California 90028					
Mr. John P. Witherspoon, General Manager, KEBS San Diego State College San Diego, California 92115	x		x		1
Mr. T. Banks Coordinator, ETV San Francisco Medical Center San Francisco, California 94122		x	x		1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
Mr. William McCarter General Manager, WETA-TV 2600 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. * Received too late for inclusion in statistical analysis	X			X	4



Director and Facility	Broad- cast		Mail Response	Staff Visit	
FLORIDA					
Dr. Kenneth A. Christiansen Dir. of TV & Station Manager University of Florida 234 Stadium Gainesville, Florida 32601	х		X		1
Mr. Fred Rebman, General Manager Community Television, Inc. 2037 Main Street Jacksonville, Florida 32206	х		x		1
Mrs. Oneida P. Carpenter Director of ETV Pensacola Junior College 1000 College Boulevard Pensacola, Florida 32504	X		х		1
Mr. Edward L. Herp Director of Broadcasting 202 Dodd Hall Florida State University Tallahassee, Florida 32306	Х		· x		1
GEORGIA					
Dr. William H. Hale, Jr. Associate Director The Georgia Center The University of Georgia Athens, Georgia 30601	X		Х		1
Mr. Gilbert E. Tauffner Executive Director, WETV 740 Bismark Road, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30324	х		·X		1
<u>JDAHO</u>					
Mr. Gordon A. Law, Station Manager Radio-Television Center University of Idaho Moscow, Idaho 83843	X		x		1
i	•	(ļ		l



Director and Facility	Broad- cast	Closed- Circuit		Staff Visit	
ILLINOIS					
Dr. John B. Haney, Director Office of Instructional Resources University of Illinois Chicago Circle Chicago, Illinois	X	Х	X		1
INDIANA					
Dr. Donley Feddersen Chairman and Director Department of Radio and TV Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47401		X		x	4
Mr. James Miles Director of Television Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana 47907	х	х	x		1
IOWA					
Mr. John A. Montgomery, Director Educational Television, KDPS 1800 Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50307	X		Х		1
KANSAS					
Dr. Dale N. Anderson, Director* Educational Television Signal Hill Washburn University of Topeka Topeka, Kansas 66604	х		X		1
KENTUCKY					
Mr. Kenneth Lam Executive Director, WFPK 2301 Clarendon Avenue Louisville, Kentucky 40205 *Received too late for inclusion in statistical analysis		X	X		1



Director and Facility	Broad- cast		Mail Response		
LOUISANA					
Mr. W. S. Hart, General Manager Greater New Orleans Ed. TV Foundation P.O. Box 24026 New Orleans, Louisiana 70124	X		X		1
MASSACHUSETTS					
Mr, Hartford Gunn General Manager, WBGH-TV 125 Western Avenue Boston, Massachusetts	x			х	4
MICHIGAN					
Dr. E. S. Jorgensen* Director of Closed-circuit TV Michigan State University 230 Erickson Hall East Lansing, Michigan 48823		х	X		1
Mr. William G. Mitchell, Director Learning Resources Center Lee Hal Northern Michigan University Marquette, Michigan 49855	r	X	x		1
Mr. William J. Ballard Director of Television Delta College University Center, Michigan 487	X 10		х		1
MINNESOTA Mr. Coorse A. Roek Station	v		X		1
Mr. George A. Beck, Station Manager Duluth-Superior Area Ed. TV Corp 403 Bradley Building Duluth, Minnesota 55802	X		A		1
Received too late for inclusion in statistical analysi	.s.				



Director and Facility	Broad- cast		Mail Response	Staff Visit	No. of Forms
Dr. John C. Schwarzwalder Ex. Vice Pres. & General Manager Twin City Area Ed. TV Corp. 1640 Como Avenue St. Paul, Minnesota 55108	х		х		1
MISSOURI					
Mr. Neal Balanoff Director, Instructional Services Stephens College Columbia, Missouri 65201		x		х	3
Mr. Robert C. Glazier Ex. Dir. & General Manager St. Louis Ed. TV Commission 6996 Millbrook Blvd. St. Louis, Missouri 63130	х		X		1
NEBRASKA					
Mr. Jack McBride Director of Television University of Nebraska 1600 R Street Lincoln, Nebraska 65805	X	х	x		1
NEW YORK					
Mr. J. R. Brown, General Manager Southern Tier Ed. TV Assoc., Inc. Box 954 Binghamton, New York 13902	Ж		X		1
Mr. F. Brooks Sanders Director of Learning Resources State University of New York at Binghamton Binghamton, New York 13901		X		x	3
Dr. Tahee Razik Director, Instructional Communications State University of New York at Buffalo 3435 Main Street, Fostee Annex Buffalo, New York 14214		X		x	2



Director and Facility	Broad- cast		Mail Response		No. of Forms
Mr. David A. Humphrey, Act. Director Instructional Resources State University College Oneonta, New York 13820		x		x	3
Mr. Thomas Petry, Vice-Pres. and General Manager Educational TV Council of Centra New York, Inc. Old Liverpool Road Liverpool, New York 13088			x		1
Mr. John S. Porter General Manager Rochester Area Ed. TV Assoc., In 410 Alexander Street Rochester, New York 14607	x c.		X		1
Mr. Carleton W. Brookins General Manager, KFME 4500 South University Drive Fargo, North Dakota 58102 OHIO	x		x		1
Miss Betty Cope, General Manager Ed. TV Assoc. of Met. Cleveland 4300 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44134	x		x		1
Mr. Richard B. Hull* Director of Telecommunications The Ohio State University 2470 North Star Road Columbus, Ohio 43221	x	x	x		2
Mr. Loren H. Briggs, Supt.* Newark City Schools 9-19 North Fifth Street Newark, Ohio 43055 * Received too late for inclusion in statistical analysis	X s.		X		1



Director and Facility	Broad- cast		Mail Response		No. of Forms
Mrs. Helen Davis, Station Manager Greater Toledo Ed. TV Found., Inc Manhattan Blvd. at Elm Toledo, Ohio 43608	1		х		1
OREGON					
Mr. Luke F. Lamb, Director KOAC-TV Covell Hall Corvallis, Oregon	x		x		1
Mr. William F. McGrath* General Manager, KOAP-TV 2828 S.W. Front Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201	x		x		1
PENNSYLVANIA					
Mr. Sheldon P. Siegel, Ex. Vice- President and General Manager Lehigh Valley Ed. TV Corp. South Mountain Drive, West Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015	х		x		1
Mr. Robert J. Chitester General Manager Ed. TV of Northwest Penna., Inc. Waterford Pike Road Erie, Pennsylvania 16509	x		x		1
Mr. Donald V. Taverner President, WQED-WQEX 4337 Fifth Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	х			x	2
Mr. George H. Strimel General Manager Northeastern Pa. ETV Assoc. Box 4444 Scranton, Pa. 18509 * Received too late for inclusion in statistical analysis	X		X		1
	1	ł	i	1	



Director and Facility	Broad- cast		Mail Response	Staff Visit	No. of Forms
Mr. L. P. Greenhill Director, University Division of Instructional Services The Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pa. 16802		x		x	1
RHODE ISLAND					
Mr. Robert P. Danilowicz General Manager, WSBE-TV 600 Mt. Pleasant Avenue Providence, Rhode Island 02908	x		x		1
SOUTH DAKOTA					
Dr. Ben C. Markland, Director of Educational Media South Dakota State University Solberg Hall Brookings, South Dakota 57006	x		x		1
Mr. Martin P. Busch, Director Telecommunications Center University of South Dakota Vermillion, South Dakota	x		x		1
TENNESSEE					
Mr. Dale K. Ouzts Acting Station Manager, WSJK-TV Neyland Stadium The University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	X		X		1
Mr. Howard D. Holst Managing Director, WKNO-TV Memphis State University Box 80,000 Memphis, Tennessee 38111	X		x		1
TEXAS					
Mr. Robert F. Schenkkan General Manager Southwest Texas Ed. TV Council P. O. Box 7158 Austin, Texas 78712	х	X	x		1



Director and Facility	Broad- cast		Mail Response	
<u>UTAH</u>				
Mr. Burrell F. Hansen, Chairman Radio-Television Utah State University Logan, Utah 84321		X	X	1
VERMONT				
Mr. Odell Skinner Station Manager, WETK University of Vermont Ethan Allen Avenue Winooski, Vermont 05404	x		x	1
VIRGINIA				
Mr. Randolph S. Brent General Manager Hampton Roads Ed. TV Assoc. 5200 Hampton Boulevard Norfolk, Virginia 23508	X		X	1
WASHINGTON				:
Mr. Loren Stone, General Manager Station KCTS-TV University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105	X		x	1
WISCONSIN				
Dr. George A. Parkinson, Ex. Dir Station WMVS-TV 1015 North Sixth Street Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203	. X		X	1



SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

Brugger, John R. A Survey of Television Equipment and Facilities Used for Purposes of Instruction by Public Schools, Colleges and Universities. Hagerstown, Md: Washington County Board of Education, 1960.

An extensive national survey of educational equipment and facilities. Includes critiques for 56 institutions.

Campion, Lee E. and Kelley, Clarice Y. A Directory of Closed-Circuit

Television Installations in American Education with a Pattern of

Growth. Technological Development Project Occasional Paper No. 10.

Washington, D.C.: National Education Association, 1963.

List of CCTV installations in the United States. Data were analyzed for equipment, facilities, utilization, and patterns of development.

- Carpenter, C.R. and Ruth J. Carpenter. Abstracts of Seminar Discussions on Quality Factors in Instructional Materials. The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Penna. 16802. USOE Project Number OEC-1-7-071142-4372.
- Carpenter, C.R. and Marlowe Froke. <u>Description of a Practical Procedure for Assessing Instructional Film and Television Programs</u>. The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Penna. USOE Project Number OEC-1-7-071142-4372.
- <u>Design for ETV: Planning for Schools with Television</u>. Prepared by David Chapman, Inc. Industrial Design for Educational Facilities Laboratories, Inc.

The book describes a basic approach to the problem of designing television in a classroom or auditorium. Designs are for varying group sizes and for production.

Television Factbook, Services Volume 1968-69. (Annual) Edition/no. 38. Washington, D.C.: Television Digest, Inc. 1968.

The Factbook includes a section on "U.S. ETV Station Equipment" by state, covering broadcast ETV station equipment.

Wigren, Harold, et al. A Survey of Instructional Closed-Circuit Television. Washington, D.C.: National Education Association, 1967.

The survey updates the 1963 study of Campion and Kelley. Data were collected for equipment, staffing, courses, uses, and budgets.

