

ED 031 475

TE 001 430

By-Ruddell, Robert B.; Graves, Barbara W.  
Socio-ethnic Status and the Language Achievement of First-Grade Children.

Pub Date May 68

Note-9p.

Journal Cit-Elementary English; v45 r.5 p635-42 May 1968

EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$0.55

Descriptors-Child Language, \*Culturally Disadvantaged, English Instruction, Grade 1, Grammar, \*Language Development, Language Patterns, Language Skills, Language Usage, Oral Communication, Social Dialects, \*Socioeconomic Influences, Sociolinguistics, \*Standard Spoken Usage, Teaching Methods

This study investigated the relationship between the syntactical language development of first grade children and their socio-ethnic status. From a random selection of 160 first grade children, 19 Caucasians with highly developed language abilities were selected from a high socioeconomic stratum to be compared with 19 Negroes with low language abilities from a low socioeconomic stratum. When test scores were compared on syntactical items familiar to both groups, the "high" group turned in nearly error-free work and contrasted sharply with the "low" group in the number of errors. The low group deviated from the high group most strikingly in errors in subject-verb agreement, especially in the third person singular. However, on three test items unfamiliar to both groups, no significant differences existed in the number of errors made. These findings indicated the need for the culturally disadvantaged to practice the use of standard English as a second dialect to develop their control over grammatical contrasts. (JB)

ED031475

# ELEMENTARY ENGLISH

An official organ of The NATIONAL COUNCIL OF TEACHERS OF ENGLISH  
508 S. Sixth St., Champaign, Ill.

FOUNDED, 1924, BY C. C. CERTAIN

WILLIAM A. JENKINS, *Editor*

University of Wisconsin—Milwaukee

(Send all editorial communications to 3203 N. Downer Avenue, Milwaukee, Wis. 53211)

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

### President

Alfred H. Grommon

### President-elect

William A. Jenkins

### Vice President

Robert E. Shafer

### Elementary Section

Charlotte S. Huck

### Secondary Section

John C. Maxwell

### College Section

Henry W. Sams

### Past Presidents

Muriel Crosby

Albert H. Marckwardt

## ELEMENTARY SECTION COMMITTEE

Charlotte S. Huck

### Chairman

Walter Barbe (1970)

Sister M. Bernetta, O.P. (1969)

Doris V. Gunderson (1968)

Walter James Moore (1968)

Shelton L. Root, Jr. (1968)

Evelyn Woodruff (1970)

### Executive Secretary of the Council

Robert F. Hogan

XLV

May, 1968

No. 5

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

553	Eleanor Estes: A Study in Versatility—MABEL F. RICE
558	Dialect Research and the Needs of the Schools—A. L. DAVIS
561	Variations in Standard American English—RAVEN I. McDAVID, JR.
565	Detroit Speech: Careless, Awkward, and Inconsistent, or Systematic, Graceful, and Regular?—ROGER W. SHUY
570	Some Aspects of the Impact of Linguistics on Language Teaching in Disadvantaged Communities—Beryl Loftman Bailey
579	The Bilingual Situation in Canada—GASTON SAINT-PIERRE
583	Helping Children Claim Language Through Literature— BILL MARTIN, JR.
592	Teaching Children Who Speak Social Class Dialects—WALTER LOBAN
600	Ambiguous Phonetics—HAROLD B. ALLEN
602	History of Phonics—ROBERT EMANS
609	The Race to Read—HELGA EGGENER
611	Techniques for the Creative Reading or Telling of Stories to Children—SUE ANN MARTIN
619	Discoveries Shared—OONAH RYAN, R.S.C.J.
623	Basals and Bluebirds—HUGHES MOIR AND WILLIAM J. CURTIS
627	i.t.a. misunderstood—VERA OHANIAN
631	A Reply to Downing's "Can i.t.a. Be Improved?"—J. R. BLOCK
635	Socio-ethnic Status and the Language Achievement of First-Grade Children—ROBERT B. RUDELL AND BARBARA W. GRAVES
643	The Influence of the Space Age on Written Language—BERNARDINE G. SCHMIDT
648	Council Letter—MURIEL CROSBY
650	Meet the New Editor
651	Editorially Speaking
652	Books for Teachers
664	Our Readers Write
665	NCTE/ERIC
667	News Letter for Professors of Children's Literature—Edited by ELLIOTT D. LANDAU
672	Idea Inventory—Edited by LOUISE H. MORTENSEN
674	The Educational Scene—Edited by JUNE MASTERS BACHER
682	Books for Children—Edited by SHELTON L. ROOT, JR.

ELEMENTARY ENGLISH is published monthly October through May by The National Council of Teachers of English, 508 South Sixth Street, Champaign, Illinois. Subscription rate \$7.00 per year. Single copy \$1.00. Postage is prepaid on all orders for the United States (and all other countries with the same postage rates). Extra postage is charged for Canada and for all other countries in the Postal Union at the rate of 48 cents per annual subscription (total \$7.48). Remittances should be made payable to The National Council of Teachers of English by check, money order, or bank draft. The publishers expect to supply missing numbers free only when the losses have been sustained in transit, when the request for the missing number is made during the month following the month of publication, and when the reserve stock will permit. All business communications regarding orders, subscription, single copies, and advertising should be addressed to the National Council of Teachers of English, 508 South Sixth Street, Champaign, Illinois. All manuscripts and correspondence about the contents of the magazine should be addressed to ELEMENTARY ENGLISH, Dr. William A. Jenkins, University of Wisconsin—Milwaukee, 3203 N. Downer Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211. Entered as second class matter December 30, 1942, at the post office in Champaign, Illinois, under the act of March 3, 1879. Additional entry at Danville, Illinois.

Copyright 1968, by THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF TEACHERS OF ENGLISH  
Printed in the U.S.A.

TE001430

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

ROBERT B. RUDDELL AND BARBARA W. GRAVES

## Socio-ethnic Status and the Language Achievement of First-Grade Children

Kindergarten and first-grade teachers have long been keenly aware of the range in quantity and quality of the oral language children bring to school, for it is on this foundation that the language skills program must be built. Within recent years, linguists and psychologists have stressed anew the importance of preschool language development, for a child must have attained minimal competency in spoken language before one can expect him to read and write with any measure of success. Factors favorable to a rich early language background have been found to occur more frequently in families of middle and upper socioeconomic status. Thus the assessment of preschool language competence has important implications for teaching practice, as such knowledge will help guide the teacher in planning appropriate and enriching classroom language experiences.

*Objectives.* The objective of this study was to investigate the relationship between the degree of syntactical language development and the socio-ethnic status of beginning first-grade children. It was hypothe-

sized that the syntactical errors would be a function of socio-ethnic status. It was also hypothesized that certain types of errors would prove to be a problem only for the low socio-ethnic group.

*Related Research.* The recent longitudinal research of Loban (6) has shown that children who could read and write well by the end of the third grade were those who had ranked high in oral language in kindergarten and in the first three years of school. Loban concluded that "competence in the spoken language appears to be a necessary base for competence in reading and writing" (p. 88). Strickland (12) observed that "the quality of a child's speech appears closely related to the quality of his oral and silent reading," and that "the more clearly the reader understands the patterning of his language, the better will be his oral reading interpretation and his silent reading comprehension" (p. 14).

A positive relationship between children's language and socioeconomic status has been reported by Brossard and Boll (1), Davis (2), Loban (6) (7), McCarthy (8), Mihler (9), Sexton (10), and Templin (13). Children from middle and upper status families were found to use longer and more complex sentences at an earlier age than children from lower status families (7) (13). The upper status children also asked more questions, and attained essen-

---

Dr. Ruddell is Associate Professor of Education at the University of California at Berkeley. Mrs. Graves has had extensive experience teaching first and second grade in the Lafayette School District, Lafayette, California and is presently on leave of absence to develop language skills materials for primary grade children. This article is based on Mrs. Graves's masters degree thesis which was part of a longitudinal study conducted by Dr. Ruddell under U.S.O.E. Grant No. 2699.

tially adult articulation about one year earlier than lower status children (2) (13). Factors in the home found to be important to language growth included opportunities for conversation with adults, the number of books in the home, the family's attitude toward reading, and whether the child had been read to regularly (1) (8) (9) (10). The child from a low socioeconomic status home has seldom had the advantage of these kinds of experiences, and in addition may never have been consistently exposed to an adult model who used standard English. The problem becomes even more complex for a child of a different ethnic background, yet such a child has too often been expected to function with standard English when he arrived at school (10).

*Methodology.* The subjects in this study were selected from twenty-four first-grade classrooms representing a wide range of socioeconomic levels in the Oakland Unified School District, Oakland, California. One hundred and sixty children were drawn at random from the twenty-four classrooms and categorized into socioeconomic levels on the basis of the University of Minnesota Scale for Paternal Occupations (14). From this random sample, nineteen Caucasian subjects were selected at random from levels I and II of the Minnesota Scale and comprised the high socioeconomic Caucasian group. Nineteen Negro subjects were chosen at random from levels VI and VII of the Minnesota Scale and comprised the low socioeconomic Negro group. These samples were considered representative of high socioeconomic, high language ability children and low socioeconomic, low language ability children. Although the investigators had hoped it would be possible to identify other socio-ethnic groups, specifically a low Caucasian and a high Negro group, the limited range of the available population made it im-

possible to carry out this phase of the study. In the discussion which follows, *High Group* will refer to the high socioeconomic Caucasian group, and *Low Group* will refer to the low socioeconomic Negro group.

During the first month of the school year, the Test of Syntax was administered individually to the entire randomly selected group. This test, originally developed by Fraser, Bellugi, and Brown (4) was slightly lengthened for use in this study. The test provides a measure of the child's ability to imitate, comprehend, and produce these grammatical contrasts: mass noun, count noun (some paper / a paper); singular and plural nouns marked by inflections (the boy draws / the boys draw); singular and plural nouns not marked by inflections (the deer runs / the deer run); singular and plural nouns marked by *is* and *are* (the deer is sitting / the deer are sitting); present progressive and past tense (the paint is spilling / the paint spilled); present progressive and future tense (the baby is climbing / the baby will climb); affirmative and negative (the girl is cooking / the girl is not cooking); subject and object in the active voice (the duck pulls the boat / the boat pulls the duck); subject and object in the passive voice (the mommy is kissed by the daddy / the daddy is kissed by the mommy); indirect and direct object (the girl shows the rabbit the bear / the girl shows the bear the rabbit); singular and plural of the third person possessive pronoun (his wagon/their wagon); simple present and future tense (holds the hammer / will hold the hammer).

Each subject was shown a pair of pictures illustrating the contrasts noted above, and then presented with two sentences spoken by the examiner, identical except for one grammatical contrast. The subject was asked to produce and match the appropriate response for each picture. Two test items were used for each of the con-

trasts. The item responses were scored by utilizing the "production" scoring procedure described by the test authors. The reliability coefficient for this test when corrected by the Spearman-Brown Prophecy Formula was found to be .93.

*Findings.* The syntax test errors showing the greatest variation for the two groups

being studied were those concerned with the agreement of subject and verb in the third person singular, excluding all forms of the verb *to be*. The items and errors for both groups are presented in Table 1; only those errors involving the omission of the third person singular inflected -s are reported for each item.

It is evident that the verb form is pre-

**Table 1**  
**Lack of Agreement of Subject and Verb<sup>1</sup>, Third Person Singular**

Item No.	Example of Item (first of two items)	Chi Square Value	Errors	
			High Caucasian (N = 19)	Low Negro (N = 19)
2	the boy draws/ the boys draw	10.83*	3	18
8	the duck pulls the boat/ the boat pulls the duck	13.30*	0	14
12	the cat chases the dog/ the dog chases the cat	14.50*	0	15
13	the dog bites/the cat bites	26.17*	0	24
14	the boys pull the boat/ the boy pulls the boat	26.17*	0	24
15	will sweep the floor/ sweeps the floor	5.61*	7	19
16	the boy throws the bear/ the boy throws the dolly	18.21*	0	18
		Totals	10	132

\*Significant at the .05 level

<sup>1</sup>Excluding all forms of the verb *to be*

sented in several different kinds of contexts: singular subject, plural subject (item 2); substitution of subject and object (items 8 and 12); substitution of subject

(item 13); singular subject, plural subject with direct object (item 14); present tense, future tense (item 15); and substitution of object (item 16). Item 15 was presented in

**Table 2**  
**Omission of Auxiliary Verbs**

Item No.	Example of Item (first of two items)	Chi Square Value	Errors	
			High Caucasian (N = 19)	Low Negro (N = 19)
4	The sheep is eating/ the sheep are eating	1.56	1	5
5	the paint is spilling/ the paint spilled	5.96*	7	18
6	The baby is climbing/ the baby will climb	.52	8	12
7	The girl is cooking/ the girl is not cooking	6.27*	1	10
		Totals	17	45

\*Significant at the .05 level

phrase form rather than as a complete sentence, and it is possible that this format may have affected scores on this item for both groups. The High Group made errors on only two of the items, but whatever the context, the error rate of the Low Group was substantially greater, ranging from 14-24, with a total of thirteen times as many errors as the High Group. These data reveal a significant difference between the socio-ethnic groups in control over verb forms in the third person singular.

Another deviation presenting a contrast in error rate for the two groups was the omission of auxiliary verbs. Items 4 through 7 on the Test of Syntax included examples in the present progressive tense. Errors in omission of auxiliary verbs are presented in Table 2.

It is to be noted that the Low Group made 2.6 times as many errors in omitting the auxiliary verb as the High Group. On items 5 and 7 there was a significant difference in error rate, while on items 4 and 6 there was not. The contrast in tense on items 5 and 6 may have increased the error rate for both groups on these particular items.

Other interesting deviations in the use of verb forms were noted in this analysis. Item 12 of the test presented the following pair of contrasts: the cat chases the dog / the dog chases the cat; the boy feeds the girl / the girl feeds the boy. Item 13 included: the dog bites / the cat bites; the boy pushes / the girl pushes. In both of these items, one of the verbs has a *regular* past tense, e.g., *push, pushed; chase, chased*, while the other verb of the pair has an *irregular* past tense, e.g., *bite, bit; feed, fed*. On items 12 and 13, the Low Group in ten cases substituted the regular past tense for the present tense as given in the item, e.g., *the boy pushed* for *the boy pushes*. By using the past tense, the Low Group could avoid the problem of dealing

with the inflected -s of the third person singular verb form in the present tense. When the verb in the item had an irregular past tense, as in *feed, fed, or bite, bit*, the Low Group did *not* substitute the past tense for the present, and the main source of error was the omission of the inflected -s as noted in Table 1. No tense substitution on these items was made by the High Group. This further illustrates the difficulty the Low Group encountered in dealing with the inflected -s form of the verb in the third person singular.

In item 3, non-inflected nouns identical in the singular and plural forms were contrasted in the simple present, e.g., the deer runs / the deer run; the sheep jumps / the sheep jump. This was a confusing item for both groups, and errors included a lack of agreement between subject and verb in both the singular and plural forms; addition of -s to form the plural of the noun, and substitution of the participial form of the verb, both with and without an auxiliary, e.g., the deer running. The High Group made a total of 46 errors on this item, while the Low Group made a total of 49 errors. This noun form is relatively infrequent in English and usually refers to the names of animals (trout, moose, etc.). Pre-school experience with such nouns was probably limited for both groups, and this offers a possible explanation for the high error rate of all subjects.

In contrast, when these same nouns were used with auxiliary verbs to mark the singular and plural as in item 4, e.g., the sheep is eating / the sheep are eating, the High Group was able to use this clue to reduce its total errors to 23, in contrast to 46 errors on item 3. However, the Low Group made a total of 51 errors on item 4, two more than on item 3. The introduction of the auxiliary verb, i.e., *is, are*, apparently helped the High Group to match the singular sentence form with the picture

of the lone sheep. The Low Group was not able to use this construction to reduce its error rate on this item.

The only example of the use of pronouns in the Test of Syntax was in item 11, which included singular and plural third person possessive pronouns, *e.g.*, his wagon / their wagon. The High Group made a total of 18 errors by confusing the singular and plural pronouns, while the Low Group made 47 errors, including the use of several non-standard forms, *e.g.*, the boy wagon, they wagon, they dog, them girl dog, and them dog. The High Group used no non-standard forms.

The use of the subject - predicate - indirect object - direct object pattern is infrequent in general usage, and was ranked 25th in frequency of patterns used by first graders according to Strickland's study (11, p. 29). This pattern was presented in item 10, *e.g.*, the girl shows the rabbit the bear / the girl shows the bear the rabbit. Both groups tended to clarify the meaning by using the preposition *to*, often with the wrong noun. They also tended to eliminate the indirect object entirely, *e.g.*, the girl shows the bear. Both groups made 66 errors on this item, which represented the highest error rate on the test. It is of interest to note that on an item in which the High Group had little practice, they did no better than the Low Group.

The passive pattern is one which Loban found to be used infrequently by his subjects from kindergarten through grade six (6, p. 46) and was therefore considered to be another example of a pattern which would be unfamiliar to both groups in this study. This form was presented in item 9, *e.g.*, the train is bumped by the car / the car is bumped by the train. A major source of error for both groups was confusion as to the agent; the High Group made this error 12 times and the Low Group 22 times. Another source of error

resulted from changing the passive voice to the more usual active voice, *e.g.*, the car is bumping the train. The High Group made this error 8 times and the Low Group 23 times. Miscellaneous errors brought the total error score for the High Group to 30, while the Low Group made 56 errors, almost twice as many as the High Group. These results suggest that the syntactic complexity of the passive pattern presented many opportunities for errors, and was a more confusing construction for the Low Group than for the High Group.

The first item of the test, the mass noun - count noun contrast, *e.g.*, some string / a string, was a specialized structure including few syntactic clues because of the absence of a sentence context. Both groups had difficulty matching the picture to the correct phrase, with 41 errors for the High Group and 50 errors for the Low Group.

Using the above analysis of the test items, it is possible to group the individual items into the two following categories:

1) Items unfamiliar and little used by children at kindergarten - first-grade level according to past research analysis (6) (11). Examples of these items include: item 9, passive voice; item 10, indirect object; those items including word forms which occur with limited frequency in the English language, such as item 3, nouns identical in the singular and plural; those items with limited syntactic clues, such as item 1, mass noun - count noun.

2) Items based on language patterns familiar to both groups. Research has demonstrated that children by the age of five or six have mastered the basic structural elements of the English language (3). An important finding of Loban's study was the similarity in the use of structural patterns (except for the

linking verb and partial sentence) used by all subjects regardless of their language proficiency (6). However, within these familiar patterns, the Low Group had specific problems as analyzed in the previous discussion and in Tables 1 and 2. Except for test items 1, 3, 9 and

10, the items are examples of patterns frequently used by six year olds (6) (11).

Error scores for both groups on the test items classified as unfamiliar are presented in Table 3.

These data indicate that the error scores for both groups are more similar for items

Table 3  
Total Error Scores<sup>1</sup> on Unfamiliar Items

Item No.	Type and Example of Item	Chi Square Value	Errors	
			High Caucasian (N = 19)	Low Negro (N = 19)
1	mass noun count noun some string/a string	1.75	41	50
3	nouns identical in the singular and the plural the sheep runs/the sheep run	.11	46	49
9	passive pattern the train is bumped by the car/ the car is bumped by the train	16.73*	30	56
10	indirect object the girl shows the rabbit the bear/ the girl shows the bear the rabbit	.00	66	66
		Totals	183	221

\*Significant at the .05 level

<sup>1</sup>Including the total number of errors on each item for all reasons (in Tables 1 and 2, only very specific kinds of errors were tabulated).

on which both groups had little practice in previous language experience. On only one item, the passive pattern, was a significant difference found. The complexities of this item have been previously discussed.

It is revealing to contrast the mean error rate of the two groups on the unfamiliar test items with the mean error rate on familiar test items, and on all the test items. These data are presented in Table 4.

Table 4  
Mean Error Scores<sup>1</sup> on Familiar, Unfamiliar and Total Test Items

Items Included	Chi Square Value	Mean Errors	
		High Caucasian (N = 19)	Low Negro (N = 19)
Unfamiliar Items			
Items Unfamiliar to Both Groups (1, 3, 9, 10)	*10.09	9.63	11.63
Items Unfamiliar to Both Groups (1, 3, 10)	1.257	8.05	8.684
Familiar Items			
All Other Test Items (excluding 1, 3, 9, 10)	*13.936	12.42	26.20
All Test Items	*14.462	22.05	37.83

\*Significant at the .05 level

<sup>1</sup>Including the total number of errors on each item for all reasons



An examination of these data shows a significant difference in the mean error rate between the High and the Low Groups on all test items combined, and on those items familiar to both groups. Although a significant difference was found between the two groups on all unfamiliar items, it is noted that item 9 (see Table 3) contributed most to this difference. Upon eliminating item 9 from the list of unfamiliar items, the mean error difference between the two socio-ethnic groups on items 1, 3 and 10 was not significant.

One may infer from these findings that at least part of the reason the High Group was more successful on the test as a whole was that they had more preschool practice in standard English usage within familiar language patterns. It is also quite probable that the High Group had been exposed more frequently to standard English in the language models presented by their families and other adults. Research by Milner (9) and Golden (5) has suggested that the Low Negro Group language models do not use standard English, and do not converse extensively with children or encourage them to ask questions.

#### *Summary and Conclusions*

The objective of this study was to investigate the relationship between syntactical language development and the socio-ethnic status of beginning first-grade children. It was hypothesized that the number of errors made by the subjects would be a function of socio-ethnic status, and that certain types of errors would prove to be a problem only for the low socio-ethnic group.

The following conclusions are based on the findings of this study:

1. An analysis of the error rate on all the test items for both groups demonstrates a significant positive relationship between error rate and

socio-ethnic status. On the test items which were familiar in syntactic structure to both groups, there was also a significant positive relationship between error rate and socio-ethnic status. However, when the error rate was compared on three items unfamiliar to both groups, there was no significant difference between the two groups.

2. The most striking difference in error rate between the two groups was on items involving the third person singular form of the verb. The Low Group made thirteen times as many errors in agreement of subject and verb as the High Group. In the omission of the auxiliary verb *is* or *are* with the -ing form of the verb (present participle), the Low Group made 2.6 times as many errors as the High Group. In the use of possessive pronouns, the Low Group made five times as many errors as the High Group. The contrasts in the performance on the above items indicate that these items presented a unique problem for the Low Socio-ethnic Group. The findings support those reported by Loban (6) (7).

The results of this study have important implications for the classroom teacher. The contrast in error rate on familiar items for the two groups suggests that the performance of the High Group in the use of standard English was influenced by the adult language models of their preschool years, as well as by the amount of practice they had in using standard English in a variety of situations. The fact that the groups moved much closer together in performance on items that were unfamiliar to *all* subjects re-emphasizes the importance of practice in developing control over grammatical contrasts in standard English. The value of rich and varied classroom

language experiences designed to develop standard English competence of students from culturally different backgrounds becomes evident. The role of the teacher as a language model can be a crucial one.

The identification of syntactical constructions which are a problem for the Low Negro Group but not for the High Caucasian Group demonstrates the need for providing extensive practice for the former group on such items. These students need meaningful opportunities for using the grammatical contrasts of standard English in oral and written contexts. Consideration should also be given to the teaching of standard English as a second dialect. In this way, the students can preserve their "home" or first dialect and use it in situations for which it is more appropriate, while they learn to use standard English to achieve increased success in school. This approach should also enable students to establish a broader base for future social and economic mobility.

The relationship between children's oral language development and their achievement in the basic communication skills merits extensive study and analysis. This direction of research appears to hold great potential for a better understanding of the varied language needs of our diverse elementary school population.

#### Bibliography

1. Brossard, James H. and Eleanor Stoker Boll, *Sociology of Child Development*. Third Edition. New York: Harper & Brothers, 1953.
2. Davis, Irene P., "Speech Aspects of Reading Readiness, Newer Practices in Reading in Elementary School," *Seventeenth Yearbook, Bulletin of Department of Elementary School Principals*. Washington, D. C. (1938), 283-289.
3. Ervin, Susan M. and Wick T. Miller, "Language Development," *The Sixty-second Yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, Part I, 62:108-143, 1963.
4. Fraser, Colin, Ursula Bellugi, and Roger Brown, "Control of Grammar in Imitation, Comprehension, and Production," *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 2 (August 1963), 121-135.
5. Golden, Ruth I., *Improving Patterns of Language Usage*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 1960.
6. Loban, Walter D., *The Language of Elementary School Children*. Champaign: National Council of Teachers of English, 1963.
7. ———, *Problems in Oral English*. Champaign: National Council of Teachers of English, 1966.
8. McCarthy, Dorothea A., "Factors That Influence Language Growth," *Elementary English*, 29 (November 1952), 421-428.
9. Milner, Esther, "A Study of the Relationship Between Reading Readiness in Grade One School Children and Patterns of Parent Child Reaction," *Child Development*, 22 (1951) 100-110.
10. Sexton, Patricia C., *Education and Income Inequalities in Our Public Schools*. New York: Viking Press, 1961.
11. Strickland, Ruth G., *The Language of Elementary School Children: Its Relationship to the Language of Reading Textbooks and the Quality of Reading of Selected Children*. Bulletin of the School of Education, Indiana University, Bloomington, Vol. 38, No. 4, July, 1962.
12. ———, *The Contribution of Structural Linguistics to the Teaching of Reading, Writing, and Grammar in the Elementary School*, Bulletin of the School of Education, Indiana University, Bloomington, Vol. 40, No. 1, January, 1964.
13. Templin, Mildred C., *Certain Language Skills in Children*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1957.
14. University of Minnesota, Institute of Child Welfare, *The Minnesota Scale for Paternal Occupations*. Minneapolis: The Institute, University of Minnesota, 1950.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED

BY NCTE and authors

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING  
UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE U.S. OFFICE OF  
EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE  
THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF  
THE COPYRIGHT OWNER."