ED 029 545

FL 001 346

By-Beanblossom. Gary F.
Foreign Language Placement. Autumn Quarter. 1968.
Washington Univ.. Seattle. Bureau of Testing.
Pub Date Mar 69
Note-18p.
EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.00

Descriptors-*College Language Programs. *College Students. Data Analysis. French. German. *Language Research. *Language Tests. Latin. Predictive Ability (Testing). Spanish. Statistical Data. *Student Placement. Tables (Data). Test Interpretation. Test Results

Identifiers-University of Washington, Washington

This report, based on the 1968 University of Washington testing and placement program for French. Spanish, German, and Latin, describes the test and placement procedures and gives the results for the 3.631 university students involved in the program. For each language, the combination of tests (Modern Language Association, Educational Testing Service, and department-constructed versions) used and the role of each test are identified. Thirteen tables, summarizing testing results, include such information as standard deviations, high school study sequence, time lapse in study pattern, tentative and final placement categories, and percentages assigned to each course. Also commented on briefly is relevant research being performed. For companion documents see ED 016 436 and ED 017 224. (AF)

FH

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

Bureau of Testing

University of Washington

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

March 1969

Foreign Language Placement, Autumn Quarter 1968

Gary F. Beanblossom

During Autumn Quarter 1968, 3,631 University students took the foreign language placement examinations in French (1,343), Spanish (1,099), German (856), and Latin (333). Slightly more than one-fourth of these students (964) took exams during the spring of 1968 while high school seniors through the auspices of the Washington Pre-College Testing Program. The remainder were tested by the Bureau of Testing throughout the summer and during the Autumn Quarter 1968 In-Person Registration period. Data reported here pertain to the total group.

A brief description of placement procedures for each language follows:

I. French

Three tests are involved in French placement. A tentative placement is determined by performance on the MLA reading and listening tests. This is converted to a final placement by interpretation of results of the departmental grammar test. MLA scores are reported as converted scores instead of raw scores since students participating in spring testing were tested with either Form LB or MB depending on the amount of high school language study. Quarter testing students were administered the LB version.

Bureau of Testing Project: 0666-200

ERIC

The reading and listening converted score intervals established in 1967-68 were again used to determine tentative placements which can be adjusted in either direction through an evaluation of the grammar score, i.e., high grammar achievements result in higher final placements and low grammar achievements result in lower final placements. Grammar score placement intervals were also those used in 1967-68 when the grammar test was reduced in length from 200 items to 116 items and testing time shortened to 35 minutes. Table 6 shows the process whereby tentative placements are translated into final placements.

II. German

German placement also involves a two-stage process. The MLA reading and listening tests are used to determine tentative placements. These placements are then adjusted by reference to scores on the departmental grammar test. Unlike French, German grammar scores are used to reaffirm tentative placements or to lower them by one course.

The German department had constructed a new grammar test with two forms, L and M, for 1967-68. The cut-off scores drawn up for that year resulted in a very high percentage of students being dropped back one course from their tentative placements, particularly from 102 to 101. Cutting scores were adjusted downward for this year's placement to compensate for this problem. Even so, about one-third of the German students were placed one course below their tentative placement.

III. Latin

The ETS Cooperative Latin Test, Form L, used for the first time in 1967-68, was again administered to Latin students. The test consists of three separately timed and scored parts measuring skills in reading, vocabulary,



and grammar. The raw score for each part can be translated into a converted score by means of tables supplied by the test publisher. There is also a total converted score. Statistical data have been tabulated for all four converted scores, but only the total converted score is used in placement (see Table 13).

IV. Spanish

Spanish placement is solely determined by MLA reading and listening test scores. No changes were made from the previous year.

V. Research in Foreign Language Placement

Decisions about which tests to use, how to evaluate the scores, and what percentages of students to assign to each course in each language have been the predominant concerns of the foreign language placement program. The wisdom, or lack thereof, of the decisions related to these matters has evolved mostly from educated guesswork with little constructive feedback from students or teachers. Despite the many changes and techniques of placement one basic and persistent question remains unresolved; how effective are these foreign language placement procedures?

One reason this question has not been directly confronted is the lack of unanimous agreement regarding what constitutes "good placement." Secondly, how does one go about measuring "good placement?" Certainly course grades as a criterion leave much to be desired. Should the students themselves judge how well they have been placed? If so, what criteria do they use in formulating these judgments and furthermore are they in a position to be aware of the long range effects of placement into course A rather than course B?

But, to put the matter another way, can anyone else do better?



The nonreconcilable nature of some of these problems should not deter research attempts to discover clues as to the correlates of "good placement," however defined, and furnish suggestions for improvements in the procedures.

Toward the end of Autumn Quarter 1968 students taking undergraduate courses in French, German, and Spanish were asked to fill out a questionnaire inquiring about evaluations of their own placement as well as their foreign language course background in high school and college. Since many students were not placed in their present courses by foreign language exams this will allow grade achievement comparisons between these students and those placed by tests at each course level. Course grades and Washington Pre-College information will also be obtained. This type of analysis, besides furnishing clearer and more precise notions about the problems plaguing current foreign language placement, may also uncover better methods of placing future students. It is even conceivable that future students at the University could be effectively placed without taking placement tests.

VI. The Tables

Testing results are summarized in the following tables. Tables 1 through 4 report means and standard deviations for all tests administered in French, Spanish, German, and Latin. These statistics are categorized in terms of number of years of high school study and length of time since last high school study. The tables not only provide comparisons of achievement for students possessing varying degrees of high school language study as well as comparisons of achievement measured by the length of the delay since the previous period of formal instruction, but comparisons of various combinations of the factors as well. Toward the bottom of each table certain miscellaneous categories are included such as individuals with an odd number

of high school semesters of foreign language study, those with some college study in a foreign language, as well as those without previous formal instruction in the foreign language in which they were tested. These residual groups were not included in the subsequent tables describing the actual placement.

Table 5 is comprised of four blocks of 49 cells. Each block corresponds to a specified number of years of high school study in French. Each cell denotes a combination of interval scores on the French reading and listening tests and is lettered. The letter for a given cell is the tentative placement category that this particular combination of reading and listening scores yields. Each cell also has a pair of numbers. The number located in the left half of each cell represents the number of students (obtaining that combination of interval scores) who have had some high school foreign language instruction within the past year. The number in the right half refers to the total number having no high school foreign language instruction within the past year.

Table 6 cross-classifies the lettered tentative placement categories with grammar score intervals to obtain the final course placements found in each cell. Students having various lengths of delay falling in each of the cells are shown as before in Table 5. Table 7 reports course placement of examinees in Spanish. Tables 8 and 9 categorize the tentative and actual placements, respectively, of German examinees in much the same way as Tables 5 and 6 do for French. Here, however, tentative placement is denoted by the course number rather than a lettered category.

Tables 10, 11, and 12 tabulate the percentages of students assigned to each course for French, Spanish, and German, broken down by years of high school study and length of delay. Table 13 displays Latin placement which was devised by the Classics department.



Table 1

Means, standard deviations, and group sizes of <u>French</u> foreign language examinees tested Autumn Quarter 1968, categorized by number of years of high school French and length of time since last high school study in French (N = 1343)*

		MLA, Fo		MLA, Fo French Li		_	tmental Grammar
One year high school:	N	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Total group Delay of one year or more Delay of less than one year	32 18 14	147.8 145.4 150.7	7.1 6.3 7.1	150.7 147.2 155.1	6.8 5.7 5.3	66.5 64.4 69.1	9.4 9.9 8.0
Two years high school:							
Total group Delay of one year or more Delay of less than one year	496 361 135	148.9 147.1 153.7		149.9 148.9 152.6	7.8 7.3 8.6	65.1 63.6 69.2	10.6 10.4 10.3
Three years high school:							
Total group Delay of one year or more Delay of less than one year	321 204 117	160.1 157.6 164.3	10.3 9.3 10.5	158 . 6 156.8 161 <i>.</i> 7	· · ·	72.7 70.7 76.1	10.8 9.3 12.3
Four years high school:							
Total group Delay of one year or more Delay of less than one year	301 67 234	171.3 167.3 172.5	9.4 9.9 8.9	169.0 164.8 170.3	9.7 9.3 9.5	82.8 77.0 84.5	12.8 12.3 12.4
Other categories:							
<pre>1 semester high school 3 semesters high school 5 semesters high school 7 semesters high school Some college No previous schooling</pre>	2 24 63 60 18 26	150.3 152.4 165.0 168.7 164.7	10.5 9.8 7.9 9.7 13.7	150.8 154.2 164.0 165.7 161.7	8.6 7.7 8.8 12.2 13.7	65.9 68.4 77.0 86.9 81.5	12.9 8.7 10.6 14.2 14.0

Note. -- Statistical data were not computed for categories containing fewer than 10 cases.

^{*}Scores on reading and listening are converted; scores on grammar are raw.

Table 2

Means, standard deviations, and group sizes of <u>Spanish</u> foreign language examinees tested Autumn Quarter 1968, categorized by number of years of high school Spanish and length of time since last high school study in Spanish (N = 1099)*

		MLA Fo Spanish	rm B Reading	MLA Fo Spanish I	
	N	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
One year high school: Total group Delay of one year or more Delay of less than one year	41 28 13	148.1 145.7 153.4	¥	144.4 141.8 150.0	10.5 5.7 15.3
Two years high school: Total group Delay of one year or more Delay of less than one year	459 363 96	149.3 148.0 154.2	8.9 8.1 10.0	146.8 145.7 150.9	9.3 9.0 9.5
Three years high school: Total group Delay of one year of more Delay of less than one year	222 173 49	158.9 157.5 163.8	11.1 10.8 10.8	155.3 154.1 159.7	
Four years high school: Total group Delay of one year or more Delay of less than one year	205 46 159	173.0 170.2 173.8	11.4 12.2 11.0	168.1 166.1 168.7	11.0 12.9 10.3
Other categories: 1 semester high school 3 semesters high school 5 semesters high school 7 semesters high school Some college No previous schooling	3 12 70 28 15 44	148.3 151.8 164.5 173.6 170.0	•	146.3 150.9 162.3 170.3 162.9	A

Note. -- Statistical data were not computed for categories containing fewer than 10 cases.

^{*}Both scores are converted.

Means, standard deviations, and group sizes of German foreign language examinees tested Autumn Quarter 1968, categorized by number of years of high school German and length of time since last high school study in German (N = 856)*

		MLA, I German F		MLA, F German L	orm B istening	Depart German	mental Grammar
	M	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
One year high school:							
Total group	37	147.0	10.3	146.1	9.2	41.2	18.7
Delay of one year or more	26	145.7	9•7	144.6	8.6	39.2	17.5
Delay of less than one year	11	149.8	11.1	149.1	9.7	45.3	20.3
Two years high school:							
Total group .	422	150.4	9•7	148.4	9.0	40.6	13.9
Delay of one year or more	250	147.4	7.7	145.7	7.1	36.8	10.7
Delay of less than one year	172	154.7	10.7	152.4	10.0	46.2	16.0
Three years high school:							
Total group	188	160.0	11.6	156.9	10.0	53.8	18.2
Delay of one year or more	93	158.1	11.8	155.0		50.0	18.2
Delay of less than one year	95	162.0	11.1	158.9		57.6	17.4
Four years high school:							
Total group .	79	168.7	10.8	163.2	10.2	67.2	16.8
Delay of one year or more	i6	164.0	12.0	159.4		59 . 6	15.4
Delay of less than one year	63	169.7	10.2	164.2	9.9	69.1	16.6
Other categories:							
1 semester high school	0			***			
3 semesters high school	22	150.2	9.7	146.4	7.8	40.4	11.2
5 semesters high school	41	153.1	10.0	151.3	10.6	45.0	
7 semesters high school	16	161.9	9.9	158.0	7.2	50 . 6	20.9
Some college	14	169.9	9.9	164.5		* 4	15.5
No previous schooling	37	159.5	14.2	154.6	11.5 12.9	69.0 54.2	20.9 21.4

Note. -- Statistical data were not computed for categories containing fewer than 10 cases.

^{*}All scores are converted.

Table 4

Means, standard deviations, and group sizes of <u>Latin</u> foreign language examinees tested Autumn Quarter 1968, categorized by number of years of high school Latin and length of time since last high school study in Latin (N = 333)*

		ETS Cool	ETS Coop, Form L Latin Reading	ETS Coop, Form Latin Vocabulary	, Form L	ETS Coop, Form Latin Grammar	p, Form L Grammar	ETS Coop, Form Latin Total Sco	Form L 1. Score
	N	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
One year high school: Total group	_	1	;	;	;	;	i	;	3
Delay of one year or more	9	;	;	!	;	;	! \$;	:
Delay of less than one year	-	!	1	;	:	;	;	1	; 1
Two years high school: Total group	216	50.6	8.6	0.64	6.7	16.1	7.5	148.3	ন ত
Delay of one year of more Delay of less than one year	181	48.7	8.3	48.0 53.9	8.9 8.1	44.9 52.7	4.9	46.7 56.7	7.0
Three years high school:			,		(1	ļ	c
Total group. Delay of one year or more	では	57.5 56.0	10.6 10.5	55.9 55.9	୍ଦ ଫୁଷ ଦୁ	52.6 52.3	7.9	56.55 .8.55	α. υ. υ.
Delay of less than one year	Ħ	63.3	& .8	56.2	6.6	53.7	0.9	59.5	9.9
Four years high school:	:	1	(ţ	t	,	0		c
Total group	##	50°5°	9.0T	5.4.0 5.4.0		52.1	8.0	5.7.	y 0,
Delay of less than one year	17	61.6	10.5	59.8	2.9	58.4	4.9	62.0	7.8
Other categories:									
l semester high school	Н	:	:	!	1	!	;	;	!
3 semesters high school	~	!	:	;	1	!	;	!	!
5 semesters high school	ત્ય	!	;	1	1	!	!	;	!
7 semesters high school	#	:	;	;	1	!	;	!	1
Some college	႕	!	;	1	!	;	!	!	1
No previous schooling	0	;	6	1	:	;	;	;	1

Note. -- Statistical data were not computed for categories containing fewer than 10 cases.

*All scores are converted.

Table 5

by letter categories) by number of years of high Autumn Quarter 1968 (N = 1150)	(Converted)	Two Years French	+ SLT TLT-89T L9T-T9T 09T-2ST 25T-24T		71 7 10 2	35 14	1 11 01 7 9	1 2 2 2 1 1 1 C C C C E E F	ᄄ	2 3 D D E E E F F G	Four Years French	A A B B C C C D	2 - 1	- 1 4 3 11 4 9 3 3 2 4 1 - 1 B B C C C D E	5 4 2 8 - D	8 2 6 1 10 1 18 D E E E F	3 1 7 2 6 4 17 3	- 5
Tentative placement of French examinees (indicated by let school French and length of delay, Autum	Reading Comprehension Scores	_	1772-174 168-174 168-174 168-175 168-175 168-175 168-175 168-175 168-175 168-175 168-175	127-136 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	7 1 1	3 4 3 3 2 1	- 3 1 1	165-170	171-173 C D D E E E F F	174 +	Three Years French		15 5 4 1	51 10	1 4 5 10 14 7 20 5 8 - 1 5 C D D E	- 1 2 - 4 12 10 6 7 1 3 C D D E	1 2 1 2 1 8 D D E E F	7 D E 3 F F

Table 6

Course placement of French examinees by number of years of high school French and length

	Years French	D E F G	2		4	2 - 1	6 1 1 201 202 202	3 1	1 1 - 1	2 1 1 222 222A	Years French		103 201	2 2 201	5 5 1 3 3 1 201 202	2 12 3 9 6 2 202 202	2 9 1 13 4 7	- 5 1 15 4 202 222 222	- 6 1 222
58 (N = 1150) t Categories	Two	A B C	31 3	25 10 64 1	20 19 50 13 102 1	15 15 47 1 103	1 5 8 9 103	$ \frac{1}{201}$	3		Four	$\begin{vmatrix} - & - & 1 & 3 & - \\ 101 & 101 & 102 \end{vmatrix}$	1	- 1 3 5 102	1 9	103	5	201	
of delay, Autumn Quarter 1968	ਨੂੰ ਨੂੰ ਨੂੰ ਨੂੰ ਨੂੰ	A	101 102 102 1	3 2 - 1	1 1 1 1 - 1	3 3	2 1 2 1				Three Years French		6 1 11 1 - 1 1 102 103 103 103 201	5 1 1 1	2 1 · 1 - 202	8 4 9 3 4 2 - 1 201 201 202 202	15 4 4 5 - 1 202 222	1 1 4 1 1 3 3 3 - 201 201 202 222 222	- 1 1 3 - 5 1 5 222 222 22A 222
Tegy Legy Lygy	oue	I	0-56	57-62	29-69	42-89 la	75-80	81-85	6ram 86-90	1581 29 4	гшец	0-56	प्र प्र _व 57-62	Fren 63-67	η /- 89	75-80	81-85	96-90	91 +

Table 7

ERIC .

Course placement of <u>Spanish</u> examinees by number of years of high school Spanish and length of delay, Autumn Quarter 1968 (N = 927)

.) Two Years Spanish	+ †\LT \(\frac{2}{2}\tau - \frac{1}{2}\tau \) \(\frac{1}{2}\tau - \frac{1}{2}\tau \) \(\frac{1}{2}\tau - \frac{1}{2}\tau \)	10 102 10 102 102 102	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 15 - 3 - - 103 201	7 8 2 3 2 - 1 201 201 201	5 2 2 4 2 2 2 1 201 202 202	- 1 - 1 - . 202 203	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Four Years Spanish	101 102 102 102 102	- 5 - 102 102	2 5 1 2 1 103 103 201	201 201	- 2 - 7 7 13 201 202 202 202	203	201 202 203A 203A 203A
Scores (Converted)	971-621	$\begin{vmatrix} 8 & 5 & 31 & - \\ 01 & 101 $	15 14 100 17 101 17 101 101 101	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2		102 103	102 103	Ħ	_	1	$\begin{bmatrix} - & 1 & - & 5 \\ 101 & 102 & \end{bmatrix}$	102 102	1 102 102	102 1	102 103
Comprehension Scor	+	102	103	<u> </u>			 203A			102			1		<u> </u>	1 9 - 203A
eading	£LT - 89T	 	103		<u> </u>	'	<u>'</u>	1			-	5 1	ω	4	Q Q	CJ.
R Year Spanish	19т - 29т	ا ر _ا	- 2 - 102 102	-	<u> </u>	-		1	Spa	102 - 102	2 20 - 102 102	cu	2	7	<u>'</u>	'
One Ye	η ςτ- Δητ	- 2 101	6 5 102	- 2	103	103		. 182	υ		cu	1 16 103	7	1 3	- 102	- 02
	94T - 6£T		3 9 102	102	102	102	103	103		. - 2 101	-	. 1 7 102	2 102	. 1 102	103	103
	758 - 138	2 101	101	101	102	102	102	102		101	101	101	102	'		102
r or	Less the	123-137	138-147	148-15t	4 155-160	30 161-168	g 169-175	176 +	nois	h 123-137	5 138-147	148-154 B	te 155-160	크 161-168	169-175	176 +

Table 8

Tentative course placement of German examinees by number of years of high school German and length of delay, Autumn Quarter 1968 (N = 726)

			+ ΔΔτ	101	_ 102	103			1 1 201	5 - 203A		101	_ _102	103	- 501	- 202	5 1 203	14 2 203A	
			9LT-2LT	101	102	103	- 201		2 - 201	6 - 201		101	102	_103	1 201	1 1 202	4 2 203	1 203	
		German	τ / τ-89τ	101	102	- 201	1 201	н.	4 3 201	1 201	German	101	 102	- 1 103	3 - 201	202	5 2 202	1 - 202	
		Years Ge	291- T9T	_101	102	1 2 103	2 3 201	7 2 201	9 2 201	1 - 201	Years G		 102	103	. t 201	- toz	102 201	- - 201	
	<u>(g</u>	Two]	09τ-£\$τ	_101	- 3 102	8 1 3 103	77	13 6 103	3 2 103	103	Four	101	102	103	6 - 103	103	6 2 103	105	
•	Converted		251-2 4T	101	9 27 102	201 6ਾ _ਪ ਾਰ	30T 45	2	- 102			101	 102	1 1 102	201 201	102	102	102	
•	Scores (758 -1 45	τοτ _	101 101	5 20 101	3 8 101	1 1 101		101		101	101	101	101	101	101	101	
						-					. :		•	,	1				T
,	Comprehension		+	 101	- 102	103	103	103		1 1 203A		101	102	103	- 1 201	1 202	$\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 203 \end{array}$	7 5 203A	
			9LT-2LT	 101	102	103	 103	103	103	103		- 101	- 102	103	1 201	- 202	2 203	1 4 203	
	Reading	German	T LT - 89T	_ TOT	102		103	103	103	103	German	101	102	- 103	- 102	7 2 202	8 2 202	2 202	
)		Year Ger	L9 T- T9T	101	102		- 1 103	103	103	103	1		- 102	- 1 103	3 4 201	5 3 201	7 6	1 - 201	
		One 1	097-£\$7	101	102	 103	1 1 103	1 103	103	103	ره	1	- 2 102	- 2 103	17 14 103	7 5	5 3	103	
			ट९७-५५७	- 1 101	- 5	4 2 102	1 3	- 1 102	- 201	102		- 1 101	1 2 102	2 11 102	8 9 102	102	- 1 102	102	
			75 8- 775	- 101	2 5	101	101	1 - 101		101		101	1 2 101	1 3	1 1	101	- 101	101	
		18V	Less the more de	127-136	137-140	941-141	147-155	156-161	162-169	170 +	-	127-136	137-140	941-141	147-155	156-161	162-169	170 +	•
						(*	rted	OVAG	၁) s	core	g no.	tsns	ıbreh	nod g	uţu	ətail	Ī		

Table 9

	school German and		Two Years German		103 201 202 203 203A	1 8 2 8	105 201 203A	21 64 16 15 8 4 1 -	102 103 201
	of high = 618)	න භ			102	36 67 33 26	102	31 64	101
,	examinees by number of years of high delay, Autumn Quarter 1968 (N = 618)	Tentative Placement Categories		Grammar Test	Results	High	Ь	1.02	*
Tanze A	ees by n Autumn	tive Pla			203A	1 1	203A	1	103
	xamine elay,	<u> Penta</u>			203				
	છ છે	•				1		}	
	German e ngth of d	•	German		202				
	ment of German ex length of d	•	ne Year German		201 202				
	e placement of German e		One Year German			τ ε	103	τ -	102
	Course placement of German examinees by number of years of high school German and length of delay, Autumn Quarter 1968 (N = 618)		One Year German		201	2	102 103	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	101 102

ars German	Grammar Test	SU) SUJA NESULIS TUS SUI SUS	12 5 2 5 6 3 H3 h - 3 10 3 15 1 6 2 9	202 203 20346 102 103 201 202 203	8 2 2 5 1 2 1 1 - 2 - 3 - 2 1 1	201 202 203
hree Years German	200		1 6 15 2 1	201 202	5 5 8 2	103 201
Thr	צטר	10,	8 17	103	8 1	102
	כטר	TOC	12 15	102	3 12	101

High and low converted grammar scores for each tentative placement are shown below:

203A	\$ 0 +	62-0
203	+4/	67-0
202	57+	95-0
201	2 0+	6 1 -0
103	† 1+	O+-0
102	37+	92-0
101		
	ų.	
	High	LOW

Table 10

Percentages of <u>French</u> examinees initially assigned to each course level by number of years of high school French and length of delay, Autumn Quarter 1968 (N = 1150)

One Year French

Two Years French

Less the		More than one year delay	Ī
101	7.1	38.9	ī
102	28.6	16.7	1
103	35•7	33.3	1
201	28.6	11.1	2
202			2
222			
222A	aug 486		2
N	14	18	

Less than one year delay		More than one
		year delay
101	11.1	21.9
102	28 .9	44.9
103	33.3	24.7
201.	14.1	6.1
202	8.1	1.4
222	2.2	0.3
222A	2.2	0.8
N	135	361

Three Years French

Four Years French

Less than one		More than one
year delay		year delay
101	2.6	4.9
102	8.5	18.6
103	26.5	42.2
201	23.1	17.2
202	19.7	11.8
222	11.1	3.4
222A	8.5	2.0
N	117	204

Less than one		More than one
year delay		year delay
101	0.4	4.5
102	2.6	4.5
103	7•7	16.4
201	15.0	22.4
202	20.5	23.9
222	21.4	17.9
222A	32.5	10.4
N	234	67

A Eligible to apply for proficiency requirement.



Table 11

Percentages of <u>Spanish</u> examinees initially assigned to each course level by number of years of high school Spanish and length of delay, Autumn Quarter 1968 (N = 927)

One Year Spanish

Two Years Spanish

Less than one year delay		More than one year delay
101 102 103 201 202 203 203A	7.7 69.2 7.7 15.4	25.0 64.3 10.7
	13	28

Less than one year delay		More than one year delay
101 102 103 201 202 203 203A	3.1 44.8 24.0 13.5 9.4 1.0 4.2	17.1 57.3 18.2 3.6 1.9
N	96	363

Three Years Spanish

Four Years Spanish

Less than one year delay		More than one year delay
101 102 103 201 202 203 203A	12.2 32.7 26.5 14.3 4.1 10.2	3.5 35.8 25.4 16.2 11.6 0.6 6.9
N	49	173

Less than one year delay		More than one year delay
101 102 103 201 202 203 203A	3.8 10.1 11.9 22.6 1.9 49.7	2.2 4.3 15.2 6.5 23.9 6.5 41.3
N	159	46

A Eligible to apply for proficiency requirement.

Table 12

Percentages of German examinees initially assigned to each course level by number of years of high school German and length of delay, Autumn Quarter 1968 (N = 726)

One Year German

Less than one More than one year delay year delay

101 45.5 69.2 102 27.3 23.1 103 18.2 3.8 203A 9.1 3.8

Two Years German

Less than one year delay		More than one year delay
101 102 103 201 203A	33.1 24.4 23.8 16.3 2.3	52.0 32.0 12.0 3.2
N	172	250

Three Years German

Less than one year delay		More than one year delay	
101 102 103 201 202 203 203A	6.3 16.8 29.5 23.2 14.7 3.2 6.3	20.4 24.7 23.7 11.8 10.8 5.4 3.2	
N	95	93	

Four Years German

Less than one year delay		More than one year delay
101 102 103 201 202 203 203A	1.6 3.2 20.6 27.0 11.1 22.2 14.3	6.3 18.8 18.8 12.5 25.0 12.5 6.3
N	63	16

A Eligible to apply for proficiency requirement.

Table 13 Course placement of <u>Latin</u> examinees tested Autumn Quarter 1968 (N = 331)

ETS Coop, Form L Total Score	High School Preparation	Placement	N	1 %
76+	Non-Applicable	Exempt	5	1.
	Less than 4 semesters	Begin with Latin 101 or consult Classics Dept.	0	-
69-75	4-6 semesters	Latin 202 and 207 (Winter) Latin 203 and 208 (Spring)	7	2.
	7-8 semesters	Latin 203 (Spring)	5	1.
	Less than 4 semesters	Begin with Latin 101 or consult Classics Dept.	1	0.3
58-68	4-6 semesters	Latin 201 and 206 (Autumn) Latin 202 and 207 (Winter) Latin 203 and 208 (Spring)	42	12.
	7-8 semesters	Latin 202 and 207 (Winter) Latin 203 and 208 (Spring)	20	6.0
Below 58 -	Less than 4 semesters	Begin with Latin 101 or consult Classics Dept.	10	3.0
	4-8 semesters	Latin 201 and 206 (Autumn) Latin 202 and 207 (Winter) Latin 203 and 208 (Spring)	241	72.8