Twenty infants, 12 weeks of age, were subjects in an experiment to test the effects of maternal behavior on their perceptual-cognitive development. Each mother and child were observed in a controlled naturalistic setting, every 10 seconds their various behaviors were recorded, and then the mother was interviewed. During an experimental session the infant was placed in a reclining seat with a matrix panel on which stimuli were presented 18 inches in front of him. The mother sat to the rear and side of the infant. Response decrement was positively correlated with the amount of touching, looking, holding, and smiling the mother exhibited and negatively correlated with the amount of time the mother was reading. Higher frequencies of maternal response were associated with greater response decrement. Data consistently indicate there is positive correlation between maternal response to infant behavior and the cognitive development of the infant as measured by response decrement. Helplessness or control is a learned motive and has important consequences for subsequent perceptual-cognitive development. Maternal behavior stimulates within the infant the expectancy that his behavior can affect his environment and motivates him to produce and utilize behaviors and skills not reinforced in his past experience. (DO)

Perceptual-Cognitive Development In Infancy: A Generalized

Expectancy Model as a Function of the Mother-Infant Interaction[1]

Michael Lewis and Susan Goldberg

The Fels Research Institute

The role of the mother In Infancy has long been considered

important in the emotional development of the child. In recent

years, with Increased Interest In cognitive development during

Infancy, there has been a corresponding Increase In concern for the

way In which the mother or caretaker might Influence the Infant's

Intellectual development.

The traditional view attributed Intellectual ability largely

to genetic factors. An Individual had an Innate capacity for

Intellectual development which was fixed and unfolded In a pre-

determined sequence as the Infant matured. Since World War II,

Investigations of the effects of Infantile experience have amassed

sufficient evidence to demonstrate that at least some aspects of

Intellectual ability are learned, that Is, Influenced by experience

(see Hunt, 1961, 1963). Thus, the mother or caretaker who Is a

primary source for a large part of early experience Is potentially

Important for Intellectual development. Although psychoanalytic

_ _

theory was one of the major contributions to the notion of the
importance of early experience, it generally assumed these influences
to be primarily emotional (Freud, 1905). The mother's love was
seen to be essential to healthy emotional development. Extreme
deprivation in early life might result in emotional problems which
interfered with or prevented normal intellectual development. By
and large, the capacity for intellectual development was, according
to the psychoanalytic view, still fixed. Unsatisfactory emotional
experiences could prevent free intellectual development, while
adequate mothering provided the security in which innate capacity
could reach its full potential.

It is now clear that some of the studies thought to demonstrate
that maternal absence resulted in emotional starvation (e.g., Spitz,
1945; Dennis & Dennis, 1941) may be interpreted in another way. The
mother is also a primary source of stimulation for the infant. What
the infant lacks in her absence is not only emotional satisfaction,
but stimulation as well. The developmental deterioration observed
by Spitz and Dennis may well be attributed to this lack of stimula-
tion rather than emotional impairment. Indeed, in a later paper,
Dennis (1960) suggested that the source of severe retardation he
observed in a Teheran orphanage was "homogeneity of stimulation."

More recently, the importance of the mother as a source of
stimulation, as well as of emotional satisfaction, has been

emphasized in a number of different approaches. Hunt (1963) for

example, suggests that In the early months, the child is responsive

primarily to changes In stimulation. Therefore, the extent to which

the mother provides for frequent encounters with a wide variety of

situations Involving change in stimulation influences the infant's

early learning. This applies both to the behavior of the mother

and the total environment she provides. Thus, frequency and varia-

tion of stimulation are seen as the characteristics Important in

early development and the extent to which the mother provides this

can depress or enhance the child's subsequent development.

Another view that is represented by Gewirtz (1966) and Watson

(1966, 1967) Is based on the role of the mother as a source of

reinforcement. The Infant will tend to repeat those behaviors which

are reinforced within his memory span. Since the Infant's memory

span is judged to be relatively short (at three months, it is esti-

mated to be about five seconds [Lewis, 1967; Watson, 1967]),

reinforcements must follow behavior fairly quickly if he is to be

aware of the contingencies involved. According to this view, the

mother can encourage learning of desirable behaviors by contingent

reinforcement of these behaviors when they occur.

A third approach also centers on the notion of contingency

but maintains that contingency is Important, not only because it

shapes acquisition of specific behaviors, but because it enables the
child to develop a motive which is the basis for all future learning.
The main characteristic of this motive is the infant's belief that
his actions affect his environment. In this case, the mother is
important because it is the contingency between the infant's behavior
and her responses that enables the infant to learn that his behavior
does have consequences. The main differentiation between this view
and the operant conditioning position is that the latter predicts
only change in specifically reinforced behaviors while the former
predicts change in behaviors not specifically reinforced.[2]

It is this last approach with which the present paper is con-
cerned. Data from the Fels Infant Study which support this view
will be examined first, and subsequently, this view will be discussed
in relation to research with older children as well as animal work
which is consistent with this approach.

In order to observe the mother-child interaction and its effect
in cognitive development, it is necessary to have some measure or
continuum along which infants vary and which show changes indicative
of cognitive development.

Recently, a model of schema development believed to represent
a measure of cognitive capacity has been presented (Lewis, 1967;
Lewis, Goldberg & Rausch, 1967). This schema development rests on

the assumption that response decrement to a redundant signal does
not necessarily depend on sensory fatigue or nerve accomodation.

It is believed that response decrement to a redundant signal is
related to some more central process rather than a peripheral process
such as organism or receptor fatigue. A neuronal model for explain-
ing just such a response decrement has been offered by Sokolov (1963)
who suggests that central processes such as neuronal model acquisi-
tion (memory or storage of information), are involved in response
decrement to repeated stimulation. He defines a neuronal model as
an organization of neural cells in the cortex which retain and
process such information as intensity, duration and quality of
stimuli. Such a model is developed by the repetition of the same
stimulus. In the process of model building, if the presented stimu-
lus corresponds to the model, some type of negative feedback occurs,
resulting in the decrease or absence of a response. However, if the
presented stimulus does not correspond with the neuronal model or
the model is not yet fully developed, central excitation takes place
and an orienting reflex occurs. One might view model acquisition in
the following way. Each presentation is compared to any memory trace
or model created by the preceding presentations. Memory trace is
reinforced or the model is made stronger by some process such as
increasing the number of neuronal cells involved or by more permanent

biochemical changes. Moreover, this postulated model and process

of testing may involve many cells and their interaction or even

possibly single cell memory.

In addition to Sokolov's work, there is a growing body of

neurophysiological data demonstrating that central changes such as

negative slow potential change in the human cortex take place as a

function of the organism's building up of expectations (that is,

the memory or model of some event) through repetitive stimulus pre-

sentation (Walter, 1964; Rebert, McAdam, Knott & Irwin, 1967; Walter,

Cooper, Aldridge, McCullum & Winter, 1964). Moreover, visually

evoked potentials are not solely determined by the physical qualities

of the signal, but by such factors as reducing uncertainty, or the

confirmation of an expectation (Sutton, Tueting, Zubin & John, 1967).

These recent studies supply direct evidence for cortical changes as

a function of the build-up of expectations or models.

This proposed model does not try to arrive at a final explana-

tion of the mechanisms governing response decrement. It does,

however, assume, as Sokolov does, that this process is related to

some central mechanism such as memory or neuronal model acquisition.

According to the theory, one way to measure the strength of the model

is to observe whether the signal produces attentive behavior. As

models (memory or schema) are built up, signals which match that

model elicit little attention and lead to response decrement (we have called these stimuli familiar). Signals which do nor match the model elicit attention and produce response recovery (we have called these stimuli novel). Finally, it appears that signals for which there is no model at all produce little attention. Thus, amount of response decrement is an important measure of model acquisition.

In the investigation of models, one can look at either experimentally produced models or models which the experimenter knows to have been developed or which are developing in the infant. Such models could include face schema (Lewis, 1965; Kagan, et al., 1966) or conservation of substance (Piaget, 1954). Experimentally, models are produced by repeated presentation of a single signal. In the experimental production of models, the experimenter assumes the model is short-lived. The models which are built up over long periods of time are found in the environmental events of the organism or are determined by some basic maturational process. While the duration or strength of the models may be different, it is believed that the experimentally produced models are developed in the same manner and are governed by the same processes as the naturally developed ones, and therefore, it may be possible through experimental manipulation, to gain some understanding of the infant's cognitive development.

Indeed, one might view the perceptual-cognitive development of
the opening years of life as the maturation and alteration of these
models, and it is believed that infant attention is in large part
influenced by this process. This is not to overlook the importance
of stimulus characteristics such as intensity, movement, contour
and size which produce what William James (1890) has called "passive
immediately sensorial attention." While these stimulus dimensions
are important for attentive behavior, it would appear that model
acquisition has more important significance for developmental inquiry.

Thus, the theoretical umbrella under which the experiments to
be presented were conducted holds that amount of response decrement
to a repeated signal is a measure of the speed of model acquisition
and is associated with the efficiency of the model building system.
The evidence to support this hypothesis consists of two parts. First,
organism status variables usually considered to be predictors of
efficient perceptual-cognitive capacity are found to be related to
response decrement. These include age, state, extent of brain damage,
and socioeconomic status. Second, the rate of response decrement to
repeated stimulation was directly related to cognitive capacity as
measured by tests of IQ and concept formation.

In a recent paper summarizing the work to date, we (Lewis, 1967)
were able to show that there are age changes in response decrement

to a repeated stimuli, such that within the first three years older infants show more rapid response decrement than younger ones.

Infants in the first three years of life were presented with a repeated visual signal lasting 30 seconds with a 30-second inter-trial interval. Four trials were presented and both fixation time as well as cardiac deceleration responses were observed.

The data from three experiments indicated that fixation time decreased over trials and that the degree of response decrement was directly related to age, the youngest infants showing the least response decrement. The cardiac response, specifically, the amount of cardiac deceleration--a measure found to be related to attention (Lewis, et al., 1966; Lewis & Spaulding, 1967)--also showed a decrease over trials, the youngest infants again showing the least response decrement. To extend the generality of the results, other visual stimuli (such as different blinking light patterns and a configuration of curved lines) were presented to another sample. The results still indicated an age effect in amount of response decrement.

In a fourth experiment, the number of trials was extended from four to nine and the age effect was again significant. In older infants (1 1/2 - 3 years), attention eventually approached an assymptote at some minimal level suggesting a well-formed model, but younger Ss, even after nine trials, were not able to acquire a satisfactory schema.

In all the experiments, the intertrial interval was 30 seconds
which may be too long for a very young infant's memory span. If
this is the case, each repeated trial represented a new event for
the young infant. Few experiments varying the intertrial interval
have been performed using infants as Ss. Saayman, et al. (1964)
produced response decrement in 3-month-olds by presenting one long
trial of approximately four minutes. One could think of one long
trial as having a 0-second intertrial interval length. Bridger
(1961), using neonates and various intertrial intervals, could best
elicit response decrement to a repeated loud tone when the intertrial
interval was less than five seconds. This result is supported by
Bartoshuk's (1962) failure to find a difference between intertrial
intervals of 60, 30 and 15 seconds. Moreover, the data for adult Ss
clearly indicated increased response decrement as a function of
shortening the intertrial interval (Geer, 1966). Using 12-week-old
subjects, we have varied the intertrial interval, using 0, 5 and 15
seconds. The data indicate that 12-week-old infants tend to habituate
if the intertrial interval is short enough; that is, on the order
of 0-5 seconds, the intertrial interval that Bridger found to be
effective. While there are several alternative explanations for the
data, age differences are best explained in terms of differential
rates of model acquisition, with younger infants failing to build up
models as rapidly as older ones.

Besides important age differences in response decrement, there
are significant differences related to birth condition, another
organism status variable known to affect cognitive capacity. Speci-
fically, infants in relatively poor condition at birth (as indicated
by Apgar scores) showed significantly less response decrement than
did Ss whose birth condition was rated as perfect. A similar result
was found by Eisenberg, Coursen & Rupp (1966). Further evidence
that physical trauma associated with central damage affects response
decrement is reviewed by Thompson and Spencer (1966) and shows that
animals with experimentally produced brain lesions failed to show
response decrement. Psychic trauma can also affect response decre-
ment as is demonstrated in a study by Israel (1966).

Amount of response decrement to visual stimuli in infants was
found to be related to patterns of attention in free play. At 13 /
months,
infants who habituated rapidly to the visual stimulus, lost interest
rapidly in individual toys and showed more toy changes than infants
who showed little response decrement. Thus, amount of response
decrement may be indicative of a more general individual response
pattern which extends over various perceptual and cognitive areas.

Finally, both rate of response decrement and frequency of toy
change were related to the socioeconomic status of the infant's
family. Parents of relatively high socioeconomic level tended to

have Infants who showed rapid response decrement and frequent toy

change, while low SES parents tended to have Infants with little

response decrement and few toy changes.

Up to this point in the discussion, the evidence presented

Indicates that response decrement is associated with those organism

status variables usually considered to be predictors of efficient

perceptual-cognitive capacity. Thus, older Infants should have more

capacity than younger ones, Infants without brain damage more than

damaged ones, etc. However, no evidence of a direct nature has been

presented which would demonstrate that Infants with greater response

decrement to redundant signals perform better on some cognitive tasks

than Infants who show relatively less response decrement.

Two studies are now reported which show a direct relationship.

For 40 infants seen at approximately one year, a full scale Stanford-

Binet IQ score at 44 months of age was obtained. A positive and

significant correlation between IQ score at 3 1/2 years and rate of

response decrement at one year was found (girls, rho = .46; boys,

rho = .50, $p<.05$). This indicates that the greater the response

decrement at a year, the higher the IQ at 3 1/2 years.

In a second study, 20 Ss were seen at 3 1/2 years and were

given a concept formation task as well as a series of redundant

visual signals. Comparing the response decrement to a visual signal

with the total number of errors across six different concept tasks
reveals a significant correlation (rho = .37, $p<.05$) such that Ss
who show greater response decrement show greater concept attainment.
Here then is clear evidence for the relation between response decre-
ment and cognitive capacity.

In summary, response decrement to repeated signals was found
to be related to a wide variety of organism status parameters: (1)
age, (2) lesions, (3) mental disease, (4) birth condition, (5) other
measures of satiation, (6) socioeconomic status. Moreover, response
decrement was shown to be directly related to (7) measures of cogni-
tive capacity such as IQ in the preschool child and (8) performance
on a concept formation task.

The data to date, therefore, suggest that the use of response
decrement as a measure of model acquisition, reflecting perceptual-
cognitive development, may be a good index on which to judge the
effect of maternal behavior on the infant's development.

Method

Subjects

Twenty Ss 12 weeks of age (± four days) were employed in order
to test the effect of maternal behavior on the infants' development.
Each S was brought to the institute in the morning by his mother.

Apparatus

Two situations need be described. The first is the controlled
naturalistic setting in which maternal and infant behavior was
observed and the second is the experimental situation in which
response decrement data were obtained.

Controlled Naturalistic Setting

The observation of mother-infant interaction may be carried out
either in the home or in the laboratory. Each method has some advan-
tages and limitations. Observations carried out in the home permit
both mother and infant to remain in familiar surroundings and carry
on in their normal context. However, the "normal" setting will
necessarily be different for each mother-infant pair and render any
evaluation of individual differences difficult to compare. Not only
is the home setting variable, but the activities and demands of
other members of the family may actually interfere with the inter-
actions with which the observer is concerned. Finally, the presence
of the observer may lead to atypical behavior on the part of the
mother or the infant. In one attempt to overcome this problem, Moss
(1967) describes techniques for reducing the mother's self-conscious-
ness. In this study, the observer spent several hours in the home
on two occasions prior to each 8-hour observation. The mothers were
told to pursue their normal routines and it was emphasized that the

Infant and not the mother was the subject of observation. Even

under these conditions, it cannot be assumed that the presence of

another adult had no effect on the mother's behavior. For example,

in the Moss study, the correlation between infant irritability and

maternal contact was .52 at three weeks of age. Given an irritable

and crying baby, the mother is much more likely to hold him in the

presence of another adult than when she is alone. In the presence

of a relative stranger, this would be even more true. One might

expect either a positive or no relationship between infant irrita-

bility and maternal contact. That is, if $\underline{S}$ is extremely irritable,

the mother eventually may not respond to it at all. The presence of

an observer might make a zero correlation positive and a low positive

correlation high. Thus, the presence of an observer known to the

mother makes the interpretation of any finding unclear.

Laboratory observations have the distinct advantage of enabling

the experimenter to control the environment so that each observation

is made under identical conditions and individual differences can be

objectively evaluated. The mother and infant can be alone without

the interference of other household events or the observer. On the

other hand, the laboratory situation is artificial and places both

mother and infant in an unfamiliar place which produces anxiety on

the mother's part and therefore leads to different levels of inter-

action.

For our purposes, It was desirable to construct a controlled
situation for observation in order to observe individual differences.
At the same time, It was necessary to create as natural a setting
as possible in order to elicit realistic Interaction. A controlled,
naturalistic setting was decided upon. This was done in the
following way.

One hour prior to testing these Infants, the mother and the
Infant were left alone in a room which was filled with an assortment
of furniture, cribs and current popular magazines to read. The
mother was informed that the equipment was warming up, given a cup
of coffee if she wished, and was left alone. The baby was placed in
a reclining infant seat in view of the mother. Finally, the magazines
were pointed out and $\underline{E}$ left the room. These procedures were identi-
cal in every case and were designed to produce a controlled natural-
istic setting. Two particular manipulations are to be noticed. One,
the currency of the magazines (and therefore, their positive valance)
was manipulated by changing the magazines regularly. This detail is
an example of the attempt at controlled naturalistic observation.
The second manipulation had to do with taking the baby from the
mother. By doing this, we required each mother to make a discrete
and measurable response in order to recover her Infant, and, moreover,
might have made it easier for the mother not to interact with the

infant if she so desired. In this way, an attempt was made to

produce a wider distribution in the mother's response to the infant.

Every 10 seconds, an observer unknown to the mother recorded

the occurrence of various behaviors; e.g., whether the mother looked

at, smiled, vocalized, held or touched the infant. Also recorded

was whether or not the infant's eyes were open or closed, whether he

moved, cried or vocalized. Moreover, each time the infant exhibited

one of these behaviors, the observer recorded the nature of the

maternal response, if any, and its latency. High inter-observer

reliability was obtained for these behaviors (rho = .77 to .89).

Experimental Session

At the end of the observation period, the mother was interviewed.

The duration of the interview varied depending on the state of the

infant--if the infant was sleeping he was not awakened. No infant

was tested until he was awake, alert, and not fussy. The experimen-

ter then brought the mother and the infant to the experimental room.

The infant was placed in a reclining seat and the mother sat to the

rear and side of S. The infant and mother were completely enclosed

and except for several small observation windows, were surrounded by

a uniform gray area. Immediately in front of S and approximately 18

inches from his head was the matrix panel on which the stimuli were

presented. The matrix panel consisted of a plexiglass board

containing six rows of six lights forming a 6 x 6 matrix which could

be programmed to present any kind of temporal or spatial pattern.

In this experiment, the stimulus was a single blinking light in the

center of the panel. Each of the four trials consisted of this light

blinking once every other second for 30 seconds. The intertrial

interval was 30 seconds.

During the testing session, the infant's attention to the

stimulus was coded by two observers as follows. Each time $\underline{S}$ oriented

his head and eyes toward the screen, each observer depressed a key

marking the duration of that fixation on an event recorder. The

interscorer reliability for total fixation was $\underline{r}$ = .94. Amount of

response decrement was computed by subtracting the amount of time

looking on trial 4 from total fixation on trial 1.

Results

The correlations between response decrement and each of the

maternal behaviors were computed. Data for one infant who could not

be quieted for the matrix episode and two infants who slept for the

entire observation period had to be omitted from the analysis. Re-

sponse decrement was positively correlated with the amount of

touching the mother exhibited (rho = .45, $\underline{p}$<.05), amount of looking

(rho = .65, $\underline{p}$<.01), amount of holding (rho = .38, $\underline{p}$<.08) and amount

smiling (rho = .26) and negatively correlated with the amount of time

the mother was reading (rho = -.38, $p<.08$).   These correlations

indicate that the more stimulation the mother provided the infant,

the greater was the decrement to the repeated signal.

In order to explore the contingency relationship, the frequency

of maternal response to each infant behavior was expressed as a

percentage score.   Thus, the percentage of time each mother responded

to an infant behavior, independent of the number of times the beha-

vior was emitted, was obtained.   Crying and vocalizing were the only

infant behaviors that occurred with sufficient frequency to compute

correlations with response decrement.   The correlation for percentage

of maternal response to vocalization was rho = .53 ($p<.05$) and for

response to crying was rho = .44 ($p<.05$).   Thus, higher frequencies

of maternal response were associated with greater response decrement.

A third approach to the contingency of the response data was to

examine the latency of the mother's response to each infant behavior.

Since the data were recorded in 10-second intervals, latencies of

maternal responses could only be scored as occurring in the same

10-second period as the infant behavior or in a subsequent 10-second

period.   The maternal response was expressed as percentage of short

latency responses independent of the amount of infant behavior.   The

correlations (rho) with response decrement were .33 for maternal

latency to vocalization and .31 for latency to crying.   Although

neither of these correlations attains significance, both are in the

predicted direction and may be considered suggestive of a relation-

ship between maternal latency of response and response decrement.

Infants whose mothers responded more rapidly to their behavior tended

to be more efficient in processing repeated signal information. One

refinement in data collection which would be essential in further

study of this finding would be exact recording of latencies. If one

considers the 3-month-old infant's memory span to be approximately

five seconds, the present technique does not discriminate between

maternal responses within that time period and responses with

latencies as long as 10 seconds.

The data consistently indicate that there is a positive corre-

lation between maternal response to infant behavior, such as vocali-

zing and crying, and the cognitive development of the infant as

measured by response decrement. Furthermore, the correlations

indicate that the latency of the maternal response and contingency

of maternal response (i.e., not whether she stimulated the infant,

but whether she stimulated after S's behavior) are important vari-

ables in these interactions.

Discussion

Earlier, three theoretical systems were briefly presented to

account for the dynamics of mother-infant interaction: (1) amount

and variety of stimulation provided the infant by the mother, (2)
reinforcement of behavior of the infant by the mother, and finally,
(3) a contingency paradigm involving, not the learning of a particu-
lar behavior, but a generalized expectancy. This expectancy or
motive was the infant's belief that his behavior could affect the
environment.

It is clear that while varied stimulation level is important
(Hunt, 1963), the amount of stimulation per se is not a sufficient
condition. For example, the infant in an overcrowded slum with many
other siblings would be receiving vast amounts of stimulation from
a variety of sources. However, one would not view this type of
varied stimulation situation as conducive to cognitive development
because the stimulation is random in terms of its relationship to
the infant. For example, there would be no contingency relationship
between stimulation level and the level of alertness of the infant.
Indeed, other data from the Fels Infant Study shows a negative corre-
lation between attentiveness and number of siblings.

The reinforcement notion of Gewirtz has more validity in that
it argues that the mother's role is that of reinforcer for sets of
behavior she wishes to reward or punish. However, this view limits
the development of the infant's response repertoire to those
behaviors being reinforced. In the case of the present experiment,

it would account for increases in smiling and crying behaviors, but
not attentive ones. This position could clearly not account for the
development of the vast amount and varied complexity of the infant's
response repertoire. If, however, one concludes that the maternal
reinforcement not only reinforces a specific response, but helps to
create a generalized expectancy within the infant about his effec-
tiveness in obtaining rewards or punishment in the world, the role
of maternal reinforcement becomes clearer.

While the following discussion will stress the acquisition of
this generalized expectation, it is to be noted that each of the
other two aspects of the mother-infant interaction contributes to
the development of the infant.

Perhaps the first body of work relating to the generalized
expectancy of control of helplessness comes from the psychiatric
literature. Adler's (Ansbacher & Ansbacher, 1956) concept of
striving for superiority can be viewed as man's struggle to become
more effective in controlling his personal world, and R. W. White's
notion of competence (1959) are clearly relevant to this discussion.
There are, however, more salient data to be found in the literature
to suggest that this motive has important developmental consequences.

Provence and Lipton (1965) in their study of institutionalized
infants provide information to support this motivational view. The

authors showed that institutionalized infants differed from home-
reared infants not in whether they exhibited a skill or when they
reached a developmental stage, but whether they utilized the skill.
For example, their data indicated that the institutionalized infant
stood up in his crib at about the same age as the home-reared infant.
That is, the maturational sequence was unfolding at the same rate for
each of the groups, but the institutionalized infants showed no
desire to practice the skill. It appeared to Provence and Lipton
that these infants were not motivated to stand. Thus, it was the
motive rather than the skill or structure that differentiated these
groups. It was not how much of the skill or structure that was
important in differentiating the infants, rather it was the motiva-
tion to use the skill. It is suggested that the basic quality of
that lack of action was the infant's belief that his behavior could
not affect the environment. With such a belief, it was little wonder
that they gave up.

Most recently, Maier, Seligman and Solomon (1968), in experi-
menting with Pavlovian and instrumental conditioning paradigms, have
come upon a phenomenon in the failure to learn which they have
labeled helplessness. In their experimental paradigm, dogs first
experienced unavoidable shock in a Pavlovian conditioning situation
where shock was the US. After experiencing this situation, the

animals were placed in an instrumental avoidance situation in which
they had to learn to avoid shock by going from one area in a shuttle
box to another. Maier, et al. found that after a minimum of unavoid-
able shock experience, the animals (67 per cent)were unable to learn
the instrumental behavior (leaving the area of the box) to avoid or
even escape the shock. In searching for a reason for the failure
of these animals to learn, the experimenters argue that the most
parsimonious explanation involves the notion that the animals acquire
expectations about the outcome of their acts. They postulate that
in the Pavlovian conditioning situation, where shock is unavoidable,
the animal learns that shock or rather the cessation of shock is
independent of any response he makes. That is, Maier assumes the
animal produces many different behaviors, some of which sometimes
accidentally work (the shock goes off) and then do not. Thus, the
animal learns not only is no behavior effective (that would be some
type of learning), but even more important, it learns that the con-
tingency between his action and outcome is zero. The animal has
learned in the Pavlovian conditioning paradigm that no behavior he
can produce will consistently affect the shock. He then generalizes
this belief to other situations and so he sits in one compartment of
the shuttle box and neither escapes nor avoids; that is, he sits
there and "takes" the shock. Considering the intensity of shock

used in these experiments, the lack of any attempt to escape or show

superstitious behavior is indicative of the animal's helplessness.

And the animal's helplessness is a result of his learning through

noncontingency training that "nothing I do matters." Note that this

phenomenon only occurs when unavoidable shock in a Pavlovian condi-

tioning situation precedes the instrumental conditioning situation.

Thus, it is clear that this learned motivational principle of help-

lessness is extremely important in determining subsequent behavior

such as cognitive development or learning.

A third area of research relating to this generalized expectancy

has been carried out under the press of Rotter's social learning

theory (1954). In Rotter's learning theory, the potential for any

behavior to occur under a given situation is a function of the

expectation that the given behavior will be effective in securing

the available reinforcement, and the value of that reinforcement for

the person. The generalized expectancy is that belief, generalized

over many situations, that the individual's behavior will or will

not be effective in producing the desired reinforcer (for a most

recent presentation of his views, see Rotter, 1966). Internal

control characterizes individuals who generally believe that their

behavior can affect reinforcement--positive or negative--while exter-

nal control refers to individuals who generally believe their

behavior is not effective in producing reinforcement (Rotter, Seeman
& Liverant, 1962). In a recent study (Katkovsky, Crandall & Good,
1967), parental antecedents of internal and external control expec-
tancies were studied. The parental antecedents of general babying,
protectiveness, affection and approval are all significantly corre-
lated with the development of the child's belief that he can affect
his environment, that he can effect reinforcement by his action.[3]
From the observation of the mother-infant interaction, such behaviors
as described above are usually associated with close physical contact
and responsiveness, both characteristics which should enhance the
consistent and short latencies reinforcement contingency character-
istics discussed below.

Moreover, the relationship between belief in internal control
and perceptual-cognitive and achievement behaviors is well documented.
Crandall, Katkovsky and Preston (1962) found that intelligence test
scores and reading and arithmetic performance were significantly
related to an internal control belief. Recent studies (Cellura,
1963; Chance, 1965; Coleman, et al., 1966) all found significant
relationships between school performance and internality. McGhee
and Crandall (in press) found perceived control predictive of per-
formance in school as well as achievement test scores, and Crandall
(personal communication) recently found a significant relationship

between internality and performancé on the Witkins Embedded Figures
Test. Finally, investigations (see Lefcourt, 1966; Rotter, 1966,
for two reviews) have demonstrated great individual differences
among children and adults in this motive. SES data indicate that,
in general, the lower SES groups show less internality than the
higher SES groups. That is, lower class children, often Negroes,
demonstrate that they lack the belief that their actions can affect
their environment (Franklin, 1963; Battle & Rotter, 1963; Lefcourt &
Ladwig, 1965; Coleman, et al., 1966). Moreover, that young children
rather than older ones also show less internality (Crandall,
Katkovsky & Crandall, 1965) suggests that deprived groups, in general,
possess less of a belief that they can control their reinforcement.

Studies with children and adults all point to the position that
the belief in control or conversely belief in helplessness in affect-
ing one's environment is an important motive in subsequent perceptual-
cognitive ability.

A Generalized Expectancy--A Motivational Model

The experimental data as well as the observational information
collected by a wide variety of investigators demonstrates that
helplessness or control is a learned motive and has important con-
sequences for subsequent perceptual-cognitive development.

The proposed model is a motivational construct developed by the
infant through the mother-infant interaction. The construct is a

generalized expectancy that his behavior has consequence in affect-

ing his environment. The learning of this motive is dependent upon

consistent reinforcement with short latencies (that is, before the

memory trace of the infant's act is gone). An example will serve

to illustrate this construct.

The infant experiences some uncomfortable somatic sensation

(call it hunger) to which he responds by crying. Assume that the

mother, hearing the cry, goes to the infant, picks him up, and feeds

him. If her behavior is consistent, it reinforces the event-action

relation (namely, discomfort-cry) and develops within the infant a

plan or expectation. It is difficult to imagine a perfect relation-

ship where the mother always knows what to do and can always do it.

Thus, the degree of her consistency will be an important variable

with greater consistency resulting in a stronger motive. The plan

or expectation built by the infant is produced in this manner:

uncomfortable sensation → action → cessation of sensation. In

other words, his cry or behavior was effective in relieving his pain.

How much different is this from the experience of the infant who

cries under the press of an uncomfortable somatic sensation and is

not picked up and fed consistently or who cries and is not attended

to because his mother, busy with other children, cannot reach him

until several minutes after the onset of crying when he can no longer

remember the event-action relationship.[4]   Or the institutionalized

infant who, because of the institution's schedule cannot be held

when he wants to be and is held when he does not want to be.   In

other words, although he may receive equal amounts of stimulation,

these are noncontingent on his action and thus, the principle of

affecting his environment by his action is not learned well or is

delayed.   It is clear that all infants receive some degree of con-

tingency experience.   What is being discussed is the belief that the

quantity of contingency experience is instrumental in developing the

strength of this motive.

Moss (1967) suggests that in the early weeks of life, maternal

behavior is controlled by the S-R conditions provided by the infant.

As he gets older, "The mother, if she behaved contingently toward

his signals, gradually acquires reinforcement value" (emphasis

added). Moss views this point (where the infant's control of the

mother declines and the mother's reinforcement value emerges) as the

initial condition for social learning.   We would extend this notion

to say that the mother's acquisition of reinforcement value is only

one indication that the infant has learned to expect environmental

pay-off and this is the basis for future learning.   When the infant

has acquired this expectation, he is potentially capable of instru-

mental behaviors other than those already reinforced.   The behavior

of the infant is increasingly intentional and motivated by the
expectation of producing a desired result. Thus, the belief in
control which emerges as a consequence of early contingency experi-
ence mediates subsequent S-R experiences. What is being suggested
is that not only are S-R bonds being made for specific response
patterns but that a motive (some type of cognitive process) mediating
the production of subsequent responses is being developed. This
motive "to behave" (which can include specific responses and/or
general processes like exploration and attention) while dependent
originally on reinforcement of specific behaviors, eventually becomes
independent of these external reinforcements.

Finally, the operant conditioning approach which assumes that
the initial behavior is emitted accidentally or at random does not
adequately account for the rapidity with which new behaviors occur
or the extent and complexity of the infant's behavioral repertoire.
It is necessary to assume some internal state which motivates pro-
duction of new behaviors. While this internal state is certainly
in the service of a maturational sequence, it is suggested that it
is also in the service of the motive to control based on the infant's
expectation that he can effect change.

Initially, the mother serves as the major source of reinforce-
ment of the S-R bonds and therefore, the source of this generalized

expectancy. Given the basic development of this motive, the infant

soon learns that he can obtain payoffs from the environment other

than the mother. The generalized expectancy can then be facilitated

by the environment as well as the mother. However, in the earliest

months, it is primarily the mother who serves as the reinforcer.

The mother, therefore, would appear to have two functions: one,

initially to serve as the contingency producer (and creator of the

expectancy) and two, to provide an environment which facilitates the

infant's self-reinforcement, e.g., toys which can be reached for

and touched, etc.

Several important dimensions of this motivational construct are

the speed with which it can be formed and the duration and strength

of the expectation. The Maier, et al. data with animals and Provence

and Lipton's data with institutionalized infants argue for the belief

that the acquisition of the motive can occur within a relatively

short time; in the Maier, et al. experiments, it occurred within a

relatively few trials. Both of these studies dealt with the acqui-

sition of helplessness and whether the same speed is involved in the

acquisition of control is not yet known. If the acquisition of the

expectancy of control is acquired as quickly as helplessness, the

motive could easily be acquired in the opening months of life.

The duration and strength of this motive is a more complex

issue. Again, referring to the Maier, et al. data, the results

indicated that not only is the motive learned in a few trials, but

experiencing the unavoidable shock condition an additional time after

the instrumental conditioning procedure renders the motive inextin-

guishable. The data indicate, therefore, that once well learned,

the motive is not reversible. Whether this is true for infant and

human development is not clear. It does seem possible, however,

that the motive results in differential behavior patterns whose

consequences would tend to reinforce the motive. Thus, whether the

motive remains irreversible or whether the behaviors, as a conse-

quence of the motive sustain the expectation, is not clear. There

is some indication in the deprivation studies that the process is

irreversible. However, whether this refers to the motive or the

sets of behavior learned or not learned as a consequence of the

motive is not clear.

The contingency relationship has been argued to be effective

in producing a generalized expectancy of control or helplessness.

Yet to be answered is how this learned expectation can affect

perceptual-cognitive development and specifically, response decrement

to a redundant signal. The answer seems to be multiple. First, the

lack of this expectation should reduce the infant's exploration of

his environment; that is, if he cannot affect change or outcome, why

pay attention to it? This lack of interest should prevent the infant

from exploring his environment and enriching his set of experiences,

expectations and schemata. Further, it is suggested that sensory

processing not only involves orientation toward stimulation or

exploring the environment, but a desire (an active process) to

assimilate the information. In a visual exploration, this might be

seen as the difference between an empty stare versus a taking i..

and processing--accompanied by cardiac deceleration. In both cases,

the infant orients, but only in the latter is the information

absorbed. There is evidence available, other than that presented

above, that the mother-infant interaction can affect the desire for

novelty and familiarity (Rubenstein, 1967). Moreover, Watson's

(1966) observation that contingency training increased attentive

behavior and responses other than those reinforced is particularly

relevant. Finally, without this expectancy, the infant is unlikely

to rehearse developing skills and structures as they unfold in their

developmental sequences. Thus, new skills are lost and additive

functions do not occur. While the exact nature of the effect of

this generalized expectancy on response decrement is yet unclear, it

is thought that infants with a relatively weaker expectancy should

have less motive to process the signal and therefore take longer to

build a model.

        In conclusion then, what has been proposed is that the rein-

forcement of specific S-R bonds by the mother is effective in

Increasing the occurrence of that particular reinforced behavior;
but more important, it develops within the infant the expectancy
that his behavior can affect his environment. Given this expectancy,
the infant is motivated to produce and utilize behaviors and skills
not reinforced in his past experience. Thus, this motive can be
thought to mediate the occurrence of new behavioral responses.

References

Ansbacher, H. & Ansbacher, R. The individual psychology of Alfred
    Adler. New York: Basic Books, 1956.

Bartoshuk, A.K. Response decrement with repeated elicitation of
    human neonatal cardiac acceleration to sound. J. comp. physiol.
    Psychol., 1962, 55, 9-13.

Battle, E.S. & Rotter, J.B. Children's feelings of personal control
    as related to social class and ethnic group. J. Pers., 1963,
    3 (4), 482-490.

Bridger, W.H. Sensory habituation and discrimination in the human
    neonate. Amer. J. Psychiat., 1961, 117, 991-996.

Cellura, A.R. Internality as a determinant of academic achievement
    in low SES adolescents. Unpublished manuscript, University
    of Rochester, 1963.

Chance, June E. Internal control of reinforcements and the school
    learning process. Paper presented at the meeting of Society
    for Research in Child Development, Minneapolis, 1965.

Coleman, J.S., Campbell, E.Q., Hobson, C.J. McPortland, J., Mood,
    A.M., Weinfeld, F.D. & York, R.L. Equality of educational
    opportunity. Superintendent of Documents, Catalog No.
    FS 5.238: 38001, United States Government Printing Office,
    Washington, D.C., 1966.

Crandall, V.C., Katkovsky, W. & Crandall, V.J.   Children's beliefs
    in their own control of reinforcements in intellectual-academic
    situations.   Child Develpm., 1965, 36 (1), 91-109.

Crandall, V.J., Katkovsky, W. & Preston, A.   Motivational and
    ability determinants of young children's intellectual achieve-
    ment behaviors.   Child Develpm., 1962, 33, 643-661.

Dennis, W.   Causes of retardation among institutional children: Iran.
    J. genet. Psychol., 1960, 96, 47-59.

Dennis, W. & Dennis, M.W.   Infant development under conditions of
    restricted practice and minimum social stimulation.   Genet.
    Psychol. Monogr., 1941, 23, 149-155.

Eisenberg, R.B., Coursin, D.B. & Rupp, N.R.   Habituation to an
    acoustic pattern as an index of differences among human
    neonates.   J. Auditory Res., 1966, 6, 239-248.

Franklin, R.D.   Youth's expectancies about internal versus external
    control of reinforcement related to $\underline{N}$ variables.   Unpublished
    doctoral dissertation, Purdue University, 1963.

Freud, S.   Three contributions to a theory of sex. (1905)   In
    A.A. Brill (Trans. & Ed.), The basic writings of Sigmund Freud.
    New York: Modern Library, 1938.

Geer, J.H.   Effect of interstimulus intervals and rest period length
    upon habituation of the orienting response.   J. exp. Psychol.,
    1966, 72, 617-619.

Gewirtz, J.L. On conceptualizing the functional environment: The
        roles of stimulation and change in stimulus conditions in
        effecting behavior outcomes. Unpublished manuscript, National
        Institute of Mental Health, 1966.

Hunt, J. McV. Experience and the development of motivation: Some
        reinterpretations. Child Develpm., 1960, 31, 489-504.

Hunt, J. McV. The epigenesis of intrinsic motivation and the
        stimulation of early cognitive learning. Paper presented at
        Symposium on "Stimulation of Early Cognitive Learning," Ameri-
        can Psychological Association, Philadelphia, August, 1963.

Israel, N. Individual differences in GSR orienting response and
        cognitive control. Unpublished manuscript, Research Center
        for Mental Health, New York University, 1966.

James, W. The principles of psychology. New York: Henry Holt & Co.,
        1890.

K. in, J., Henker, B., Hen-Tov, A., Levine, J. & Lewis, M. Infants'
        differential reactions to familiar and distorted faces.
        Child Develpm., 1966, 37, 519-532.

Katkovsky, W., Crandall, V.C. & Good, S. Parental antecedents of
        children's beliefs in internal-external control of reinforce-
        ment in intellectual achievement situations. Child Develpm.,
        1967, 38 (3), 765-776.

Lefcourt, H.M.   Internal versus external control of reinforcement:

A review. Psychol. Bull., 1966, 65 (4), 206-220.

Lefcourt, H.M. & Ladwig, G.W.   The American Negro - A problem in

expectancies. J. pers. soc. Psychol., 1965, 1, 377-380.

Lewis, M.   Infant attention: Response decrement as a measure of

cognitive processes, or what's new, Baby Jane? Paper presented

at the Society for Research in Child Development Meetings,

Symposium on "The Role of Attention in Cognitive Development,"

New York, March, 1967.

Lewis, M., Goldberg, S. & Rausch, M.   Attention distribution as a

function of novelty and familiarity. Psychonom. Sci., 1967,

7 (6), 227-228.

Lewis, M., Kagan, J., Campbell, H. & Kalafat, J.   The cardiac

response as a correlate of attention in infants. Child

Develpm., 1966, 37, 63-71.

Lewis, M. & Spaulding, S.J.   Differential cardiac response to visual

and auditory stimulation in the young child. Psychophysiol.,

1967, 3 (3), 229-237.

Maier, S., Seligman, M.E. & Solomon, R.L.   Fear conditioning and

learned helplessness. In R. Church & B. Campbell (Eds.),

Punishment and aversive behavior. New York: Appleton-Century-

Crofts, in press.

McGhee, P.F. & Crandall, V.C. Beliefs in internal-external control
of reinforcements and academic performance. Child Develpm.,
in press.

Moss, H.A. Sex, age and state as determinants of mother-infant
interaction. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 1967, 13 (1), 19-36.

Piaget, J. The construction of reality in the child. (1937) New
York: Basic Books (English translation by M.Cook), 1954.

Provence, S. & Lipton, R.C. Infants in Institutions. New York:
International University Press, 1962.

Rebert, C.S., McAdam, D.W., Knott, J.R. & Irwin, D.A. Slow potential
change in the human brain related to level of motivation.
J. comp. physiol. Psychol., 1967, 63 (1), 20-23.

Rotter, J.B. Social learning and clinical psychology. Englewood
Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1954.

Rotter, J.B. Generalized expectancies for internal versus external
control of reinforcement. Psychol. Monogr., 1966, 80, No. 1
(Whole No. 609).

Rotter, J.B., Seeman, M. & Liverant, S. Internal versus external
control of reinforcement: A major variable in behavior theory.
In N.F. Washburne (Ed.), Decisions, values and groups, Vol. 2.
London: Pergamon Press, 1962.

Rubenstein, J. Maternal attentiveness and subsequent exploratory
behavior. Child Develpm., 1967, 38 (4), 1089-1100.

Saayman, G., Ames, E.W. & Moffett, A.   Response to novelty as an

indicator of visual discrimination in the human infant.

J. exp. child Develpm., 1964, I, 189-198.

Sokolov, Ye.N.   Perception and the conditioned reflex.   New York:

MacMillan Co. (S.W. Waydenfeld, Trans.), 1963.

Spitz, R.A.   Hospitalism: An inquiry into the genes of psychiatric

conditions in early childhood.   Psychoanal. Stud. Child.,

1945, I, 53-74.

Sutton, S., Tueting, P., Zubin, J. & John, E.R.   Information

delivery and the sensory evoked potential.   Science, 1967,

155, 1436-1439.

Thompson, R.F. & Spence, W.A.   Habituation: A model phenomenon for

the study of neuronal substrates of behavior.   Psychol. Rev.,

1966, 173, 16-43.

Walter, W.G.   The convergence and interaction of visual, auditory

and tactual responses in human nonspecific cortex.   In

H.E. Whipple (Ed.), Sensory evoked response in man.   Ann. N.Y.

Acad. Sci., 1964, 112, 320-361.

Walter, W.G., Cooper, R., Aldridge, V.J., McCallum, W.C. & Winter,

A.L.   Contingent negative variation: An electric sign of

sensorimotor association and expectancy in the human brain.

Nature, 1964, 203, 380-384.

Watson, J.S. The development and generalization of contingency

   awareness in early infancy: Some hypotheses. Merrill-Palmer

   Quarterly, 1966, 12, 123-135.

Watson, J.S. Memory and "contingency analysis" in infant learning.

   Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 1967, 13, 55-76.

White, R.W. Motivation reconsidered: The concept of competence.

   Psychol. Rev., 1959, 66, 297-323.

Footnotes

1.   This research was supported in part by Grants # HD-00868, FR-00222 and FR-05537 from The National Institute of Mental Health, United States Public Health Service.

2.   Watson (1966) does suggest that the operant conditioning paradigm does have facilitating consequences on behaviors that were not specifically reinforced. The explanation of this phenomena rests on White's (1959) notion of competence.

3.   Without limits or over long periods of time such maternal behaviors as babying, protectiveness, approval, etc. may result in $\underline{Ss}$ developing an expectation that others will reinforce him; i.e., what may be facilitating maternal behavior in infancy may be debilitating at a later time. Moreover, it appears that there are personality factors beside those of cognition which need to be dealt with.

4.   The case of the infant crying because he was hungry may represent a more interesting example than   initially imagined. Because his crying and hunger probably remained paired over a relatively long period of time, it might be possible that when the mother finally responded, she might still be able to help development of the infant's contingency awareness. That is, as long as the original source of the cry and the cry itself remain present, it might be possible to link event and action. This is a particularly important point, for unless this were possible, most event-action pairings would not take place because of the long latencies usually found in the infant's natural environment.