ED 024 392

By-Gold, Ben K. Interview and Questionnaire Follow-Up Study of L.A.C.C. Transfers Attending U.C.L.A., Spring, 1968.

Los Angeles City Coll., Calif.

Report No-LACC-RS-68-9

Identifiers - \* California

Pub Date Oct 68

Note-21p.

EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.15

Descriptors-Counseling Services, \*Counselor Evaluation, \*Followup Studies, Interviews, \*Junior Colleges,

Questionnaires, \*State Universities, Student Characteristics, \*Transfer Students

This is a study of student opinion on the preparation at Los Angeles City College for transfer to the University of California at Los Angeles. Nine LACC counselors interviewed 58 transfer students; these same students plus 101 others also answered a questionnaire. Most of those interviewed had been at the University less than a year; those reached by mail had been there longer. Tables show student replies by sex, age, date of University entrance, class (freshman, sophomore, junior, senior, graduate), number of semesters completed, units completed, GPA at LACC and at UCLA, college enrolled in at UCLA, extent of self-support at LACC and at UCLA, eligibility at UCLA directly from high school, high school from which graduated, major at UCLA, comparisons with 1959 and 1964 respondents, reasons for attending LACC, academic and/or social difficulties after transfer. The study also quotes sample student comments, favorable and unfavorable, on (1) quarter system at UCLA, (2) outstanding courses and instructors at LACC, (3) quality of LACC preparation for major, and (4) adequacy of LACC counseling service. The author recommends that LACC faculty and staff study these comments and consider appropriate action on valid criticisms and suggestions. (HH)



.

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE SPERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

LOS ANGELES CITY COLLEGE

"INTERVIEW AND QUESTIONNAIRE FOLLOW-UP STUDY OF L.A.C.C. TRANSFERS ATTENDING U.C.L.A., SPRING, 1968"

Research Study #68-9

UNIVERSITY OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

OCT 24 1968

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR
JUNIOR COLLEGE
INFORMATION

Ben K. Gold October, 1968 Office of Research

# ONTERVIEW AND QUESTIONNAIRE FOLLOW-UP STUDY OF L.A.C.C. TRANSFERS ATTENDING U.C.L.A., SPRING, 1968

#### PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Statistical studies of academic performance at the University of California are made regularly by the Research Office. Studies of this nature, however, reveal only partially, if at all, students' opinions of their preparation while at L.A.C.C. The purpose of this study is to obtain and summarize subjective data provided by personal interview and questionnaire follow-up of transfers to U.C.L.A. and to compare with similar data obtained in 1959 and 1964.

#### PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY

Through the cooperation of the staff of the U.C.L.A. Office of Relations with Schools, arrangements were made for L.A.C.C. counselors to interview former L.A.C.C. students on February 26 and 27, 1968. Nine members of the L.A.C.C. Counseling staff participated in the interviews. Upon reporting for the interview, the student was asked to complete a questionnaire, and was then interviewed by a counselor, following a loosely constructed interview schedule. Copies of the instruments are appended to this report. To obtain a wider sampling of opinion, questionnaires were mailed to students not interviewed. The findings of the next section are based on the information provided by the interviews and questionnaires. Data for 1959 and 1964 are from Research Studies #60-4 and #65-1.

#### FINDINGS

The U.C.L.A. Office of Relations with Schools arranged for 84 interview appointments, mostly with students who had entered U.C.L.A. in the Fail, 1967 quarter. 58 students appeared for their appointments and were interviewed.



182 questionnaires were mailed to students attending U.C.L.A. who were not interviewed. Inexmuch as the interviews were mainly with students who had attended U.C.L.A. less than a year, most of the mailed questionnaires were sen t to students who had been at U.C.L.A. for a longer period. Of the 182 questionnaires mailed, 11 were returned by the United States Post Office as undeliverable. Of the remaining 171 which were delivered, 101 (59%) were completed and returned.

In the tables that follow, Group I refers to the 58 students interviewed, while Group II refers to the 101 students who returned the questionnaires by mail. Discrepancies in totals indicate omitted or incompleto responses.

TA	BL	E	ê	140	Sex
----	----	---	---	-----	-----

SEX	Group (	Group []	Total
Males Females	33 25 58	60 41 101	93 66 159
% male	57%	60%	58%
TABLE 11 - Age			
AGE	Group 1	Group []	Total
18-19	7	5	12
20	21	16	37
21	9	23	32
22	3	9	12
23	9 3 4 2 0 8	11	15
24	2	£4 1	6
25	0	10	10
26-30	8	15	23
31-40	4	6	10
over 40	0	2	2
	58	101	159
Median	21.1	22.6	22.0



TABLE III - Date Entere	d U.C.L.A.		
Date Entered U.C.L.A.	<u>Group 1</u>	Group []	Total
			• •
Before Fall 1966	2	10	12
	0	61	61
Fall 1966	2	1	3
Winter 1967	ō	1	3 1 4
Spring 1967	ĭ	3	4
Summer 1967	•	25	77
Fall 1967	52	0	Ó
Winter 1968	0	0	9
No answer	ı	QT	
	58	101	159
TABLE IV - Class at U.	o. L. A.		
Class at	Group 1	Group II	Total
<u>U.C.L.A.</u>	group :		
_	1	2	3
Freshman	į	2 3	9
Sophomore	6	42	3 9 88
Junior	46		54
Senior	lş.	<b>50</b>	5
Graduate	1	<u>P</u> g	
	58	101	159
TABLE V - Semesters Co Semesters Completed at LACC	mpleted at LACC Group	Group []	Total
at Live			•
1	4	l <sub>4</sub>	8
,	6	9	15
2 3 4	4	12	16
3	28	41	69
	8	16	24
5	8	18	26
6 or more		100	158
	58		
Average	3.9	4.1	4.0
TABLE VI - Units Comp	leted at LACC		
Units Completed at LACC	Group 1	Group II	Total
A 15	4	5	9
0-15		4	9
16-30	5 4	16	20
31-45		16	25
46-60	9		52
61-70	20	32	72 44
0ver-70	16	28	
	58	101	159
Med i an	64	63	63
1 10 m a 1014			



TABLE VII - GPA at LAUL			
GPA at LACC	Group î	Group 11	Total
GIA GE LIOU			•
8e1ow 2.00	0	0	0 15
· 2.00 - 2.49	6	9 49	73
2.50 - 2.99	24 17	35	52
3.00 - 3.49	11	Ĩ	18
3.50 - 4.00	58	100	158
Average G.P.A.	3.03	2.95	2.98
TABLE VIII - GPA at U.C.L.	<b>A.</b>		
GPA at	Cuana	Group 11	Total
<u>U.C.L.A.</u>	Group 1		
9 a 3 and 2 00	2	6	. 8
Below 2.00 2.00 – 2.49	20	21	49
2,50 - 2,99 2,50 - 2,99	16	37	53
3.00 - 3.49	10	31	41
3.50 - 4.00	10	5	15
	58	100	158
Average G. P.A.	2.80	2.79	2.79
TABLE IX - College Enrolle	ed in at U.C.L.	A.	
College Enrolled in			
at U.C.L.A.	Group 1	Group !!	Total
	52	78	130
Letters & Science	4	10	14
Engineering Fine Arts	2	8	10
Business Administration	0	<u>t</u>	4
Graduate Division	0	1	1
	58	101	159
TABLE X - Extent Self-Sup	porting at LAC	C	
Extent Self-Supporting		Croup (I)	Total
at LACC	Group !	Group 11	
None	18	28	46 32
25%	12	20 17	27
50%	10	17	12
75%	6 12		42
100%	<u>12</u> 58	<u>30</u> 101	159
	42%	48%	46%
Average	<b>4</b> 76	<b>TU/0</b>	, -, 0



TABLE XI - Extent Self-Supporting at U.C.L.A.

Extent Self-Supporting at U.C.L.A.	Group !	Group 11	Total
Non <del>e</del>	20	22	42
25%	16	17	33
50%	7	21	28
75%	i,	9	13
100%	11	32	43
	58	101	159
Average	37%	54%	47%

# TABLE XII - How Active in Extra-Curricular Activities

How Active in Extra- Curricular Activities	Group !	Group []	Total
at LACC Not at all Mildly active Very active	40 17	73 23 4	113 40 5
tory addition	58	100	158

# TABLE XIII - How Active in Extra-Curricular Activities at U.C.L.A.

How Active in Extra- Curricular Activities at U.C.L.A.	Group 1	Group [	Total
Not at all	38	68	106
Mildly active	17	29	46
Very active	3	3	6
02.7	58	100	158

# TABLE XIV - Eligible for Admission to U.C.L.A. Directly from High School

Eligible from H.S. to U.C.L.A.	Group !	Group 11	<u>Total</u>
Yes No Don't know	20 44 34 48 4 7		64 82 11
	58	99	157



TABLE XV - High School Graduated from

Los Angeles City	Group 1	Group []	<u>Total</u>
Fairfax	12	7 24	26
Los Angeles	3	9	12
Marshell	5	7	12
Belmont	4	7	11
Hamilton	3	7	10
Dorsey	<b>l</b> ş	2	6
Hollywood	2	2	4
Roosevelt	1	3	4
Manual Arts	1	2	3
North Hollywood	1	1	9
Wash ington	1	1	2
Others (1 each)	3	6	9
TOTAL - LOS ANGELES COTY	40	61	101
OTHER CALIFORNIA HIGH SCHOOLS	6	10	16
OTHER U. S. HIGH SCHOOLS	7	15	22
FOREIGN HIGH SCHOOLS	4	13	17 .
TOTAL	57	99	156

# TABLE XVI - MAJOR at U.C.L.A.

<u>Maĵor</u>	Group 1	Group 11	Total
Psychology	6	16	22
History	5 4	11	16
Engineering	Ł,	10	الم الم
Business Administration			
and Economics	Š.	10	14
Foreign Language	6	8	12
Political Science	4	6	10
Social Science for			
Elementary Teachers	i4	5	9
Sociology		5 3 5 2 6	3
	5 3 5	5	8
Anthropology	Ś	2	7
English	í	6	7
Zoology & Bacteriology	ż	4	6
Mathematics	õ		5
Art and and a	2	5 2	Ž,
Theatar Arts	2	9	3
Geography	*	2	3
Philosophy	Ö	2	9887765433222
Music	1	ī	2
Physics		Ò	2
Pre-Dental	. 2	Ŏ	8
Physical Education	1	ĭ	1
Latin American Studies	U	; 1	9
Not stated	0		
TOTAL	58	101	159

# TABLE XVII - Some Comparisons with Respondents in 1959 and 1964

	1968_	1964	1959
No. of respondents	159	164 21.9	214 22.1
Medi <b>an age</b> % male	22.0 58%	66%	73% 3.8
Average No. semesters at LACC Average GPA at LACC	4.0 2.98	4.1 2.81	N.A.
Average GPA at UCLA Average No. units at LACC	2.79 63	2.67 63	N.A. N.A.
Average % self-support at LACC	45%	40% 45%	50% 51%
Average % self-support at UCLA % eligible for admission from	47% 44%	32%	38%
high school	<del>-7</del> 7/0	32,0	

N.A.: not available

TABLE XVIII - Reasons for Attending LACC

Reasons	Group !	Group []	<u>Total</u> 1968	1964	1959
Remove academic deficiencies	29	27	56	88	102
Financial	9	28	37	33	33
Felt college adjustment wou be easier	11d 12	18	30	17	38
Transportation - convenience	e.e 5	17	16	11	29
Reputation of LACC	6	10	16	10	16
No transfer plans, inspired later	6	6	12	0	0
Evening classes available	1	5	8	¥	8
Others - miscellaneous	9	7	16	14	3
	77*	112	189	174	229

\* some students gave more than one reason

TABLE XIX - Academic Difficulties in Transition

Difficulty	Group 1	Gloculo [1	<u>Total</u> 1968	1964	1959
Adjustment to quarter	24	21	45	•••	90 KP
system* No difficulty	12	28	40	39	79
Tougher competition	3	····· 13	16	20	22
More outside reading requir	ed &	8	12	18	27
Higher standards	3	9	12	10	6
More subjective essay-type	2	a	12	27	47
exams. Classes too la <del>rge</del>	-3	5	8	Ö	6
Disinterest of UCLA	_	*		•	2
professors	7	5	6	0	<b>)</b>
Too few examinations	2	3	5	3	3
Specific LACC courses inadequate	ŧ	3	<b>L</b> ,	6	4
More responsibility on stud	ent I	1	2	60-40	2
	O	1	ì	-	**
Term papers Lack of pers <b>onal e</b> ffort	ī	0	1	40.00	
Poor counseling at UCLA	ò	. 1	1	· an us	***

<sup>\*</sup> It should be noted here that 90% of Group I students transferred directly into the quarter system at UCLA, while nearly 75% of Group II students had a year at UCLA under the semester system. (Quarter system at UCLA began Fall, 1967)



TABLE XX - Social Difficulties in Transition

Reasons	Group !	Group !	<u>Total</u> 1968	1964	1959
No difficulty	28	45	73	78	193
Campus "too large"	3	9	12	7	2
Less friendly at UCLA	8	2	10	5	10
No time	1	2	1	2	
Too many "WASPS" "There is no social life"	0	•	i	40-44	***
More friendly at UCLA	Ĭ	Ò	1		1

### TYPECAL COMMENTS

### Favorable

"LACC made transition from high school to college quite easy"

"Close contact with teachers at LACC overcame many problems"

"Staff at LACE is excellent - professors were real people"

"LACC gave me incentive to learn"

"LACC helpful in improving study habits"

"The sciences at LACC are comprehensive enough to prepare one for continuation at the University"

"High quality teachers at LACC night school"

### Unfavorable

"Not prepared for quarter system"

"LACC greatly shelters its students"

"Reading load at LACC not extensive enough"

"Students at LACC should be told to acquire a greater degree of self-discipline"

"Professors at UCLA seem to stress independent thinking rather than routine assignments, as done frequently by many LACC instructors"

"More subjective tests and term papers should be given at LACC" "Would be helpful to require students to do a research paper or at

least become familiar with reference work at the library"

"Maybe have a special course for transfer students that would teach the fundamentals of essay writing for tests"

During the interview (for Group I) and In the accompanying letter (for Group II), students were asked for comments relating to the following four areas: (1) the quarter system at UCLA\*; (2) outstanding courses and instructors at LACC; (3) LACC preparation for the major; and (4) assistance of the LACC Counseling Center. Following is a brief summary of responses to these items:

<sup>\*</sup> Students in Group I were not asked specifically about the quarter system; however, so many of them referred to it that a decision was made to ask a specific question on the mailed questionnaire.



## (1) The quarter system at U.C.L.A.

Of the 58 students interviewed, 28 made specific reference to the U.C.L.A. quarter system. Twenty students disliked it strongly, stating generally that the transition was too abrupt. Five students indicated they had no transition difficulty, with two students strongly favoring the quarter system. Three students indicated that they disliked it at first, but it forced them to budget their time and they came to prefer it.

Of the 101 students who returned the mailed questionnaire, 52 commented on the quarter system. 36 of the comments were critical of the system, while 16 students indicated a preference for the system. Some typical comments were:

### UNFAVORABLE

"an exercise in frenzied discipline" "increased pressure - semester system does not prepare" "very demanding" "system is terrible for a slow student" "leaves little time to really learn" "much easier to fall behind" "difficult to adjust" "hard to get used to at first" "ridiculous - terrible system" "too fast" "too short" "unsuited to needs of student" "too cramped" "drives one to memorization and regurgitation" "very poor system of education" 'makes one question the validity of higher education' "instructors seem to demand as much as in a semester"

### FAVORABLE

"good - gives healthy break between quarters"

"difficulty at first but later adjusted"

"has pluses and minues - I personally like it"

"no difficulty"

"very much to my liking"

"OK - greater speed gives greater sense of accomplishment"

"makes school more interesting"

"time doesn't drag like in a semester"

# (2) Outstanding courses and instructors at LACC

About two thirds of the 159 students responded to the invitation to mention particular instructors or courses they felt were outstanding. 97 different instructors were mentioned by more than one student. One instructor was cited as outstanding by ten different students, nine others by four or more students.



# OUTSTANDING COURSES AND INSTRUCTORS AT LACE (continued)

Comments as to specific courses were generally highly favorable. Few courses were cited as not satisfactory.

(3) LACC preparation for the major

When asked to comment about how well LACC had prepared them for their major field, 73 (46%) of the 159 students volunteered laudatory comments, 25 (16%) were critical, and 61 (38%) declined to comment. Table 21 indicates responses according to U.C.L.A. major. Favorable comments included such adjectives as "excellent", "superior", "outstanding", "very good". Following are samples of critical comments: "too general", "needed more theory", "not enough rigor and critical thinking required", "texts inadequate", "too many facts - too few ideas", "not analytic enough".



# TABLE XXI - Comments According to Major Field

## PREPARATION FOR MAJOR

## COUNSELING CENTER

	No Comment	<u>Favorable</u>	<u>Critical</u>	Never used or No Comment	Favorable	Critical
<u>MAJOR</u>						_
sychology 22	13	5	4	16	2	4
listory 16	7	6	3	12	4	Ů,
inger. The	5	9	0	10	1	5
lus . Adm. &						0.
Economics 14	3	6	5	8	2	4
oreign Lang.i	4 3	8	3	7	5	Z
Poll.Sci. 10	5	2	3	5	3	2
soc.Scl. for					_	•
Elem. Teachers	9 5	4	0	7	2	O
sociology 8	3.	4	1	2	3	3
Anthropology 8	3	4	1	4	2	2
English 7	1	5	1	4	3	0
Zoology 7	3	4	0	4	3	0
Mathematics 6	2	1	3	5	1	0
Art 5	3	2	0	5	0	0
Theater Arts 4	ı İ	3	0	2	1	Y
Geography 3	1	2	0	1	2	0
Philosophy 3	8	3	0	1	2	0
Music 2	0	. 1	1	1	0	1
Physics 2	1	•	<b>0</b> ,	1	0	1
Pre-dental 2	0	2	0	0	2	0
Phys. Ed. 1	0	1	0	0	1	0
L. A. State 1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Not stated 1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Total 159	9 61	73	25	97	39	23
	38%	46%	16%	61%	, 25%	14%
	-	74%	26%		63%	37%

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This study summarizes subjective data furnished, by means of interview and questionnaire, by U.C.L.A. students who formerly attended Los Angeles City College. 58 students completed questionnaires and were interviewed, 101 students returned completed questionnaires by mail. Most of those interviewed were in their second quarter at U.C.L.A., while most of those responding by mail had attended U.C.L.A. one year longer, the additional year having been under the semester system.

In addition to obtaining opinions from the students, the instruments used were designed to obtain data bout the students which might indicate any response bias. Information thus obtained included the following:

(1) about 6 of 10 students were male

(2) ages ranged from 18 to over 40, median 22

(3) 90% of those interviewed entered U.C.L.A. In Fall 1967, mostly as juniors; 60% of those returning mailed questionnaires entered U.C.L.A. in Fall 1966, and most were seniors at the time of response

(4) most students had spent four semesters at LACC, averaging over

60 units completed

(5) students estimated their U.C.L.A. grade point average about 2.8, their LACC average about 3.0. Comparison with statistical reports furnished by the Office of Relations with Schools Indicates the U.C.L.A. estimates to be about 0.25 grade points high (a not atypical occurrence)

(6) three out of four transfers were enrolled in the College of

Letters and Science at U.C.L.A.

(7) students averaged about the same degree of self-support (slightly under 50%) at U.C.L.A. as at LACC

(8) less than one third of the students professed to be active in extra-curricular activities at either institution, with less than 5% indicating they were "very active"

(9) 41% of the students indicated they were eligible for U.C.L.A.

from high school

(10) two thirds of the students indicated they had graduated from a Los Angeles city high school, with Fairfax, Los Angeles, Marshall, Belmont and Hamilton accounting for about three fourths of this group

(11) about one third of the students indicated majors in a social science area (history, political science, social science for elementary teachers, sociology). Largest number of majors in a particular areas was 22 in psychology, followed by 16 in history, 14 in Engineering, 14 in Business Administration or Economics, and 14 in foreign languages



In responding to a question asking for reasons for attending LACC.

students indicated removal of academic deficiencies, finances, and a feeling that sollege adjustment would be easier as major reasons. Other reasons eliciting several responses were convenience of location and the reputation of LACC.

Students were asked to indicate what difficulties they encountered in transition from LACC to U.C.L.A. Socially, difficulties encountered were apparently insignificant. Academically, the quarter system caused a problem for nearly half of the students who transferred directly into that system. For the students who had spent time at U.C.L.A. under the semester system, the quarter system still presented a problem, but apparently much less serious. Other difficulties mentioned by ten or more students were tougher competition and higher standards, the burden of outside reading required, and the necessity for subjective analysis in writing examinations.

Students were highly complimentary about the LACC instructional staff.

Nearly a hundred faculty members were mentioned as being outstanding, many by more than one student. Very few instructors or courses were mentioned unfavorably.

In commenting on how well LACC prepared them for their major, laudatory remarks outnumbered criticisms by about 3 to 1, although only about 60% of the students responded to the request to comment in this area. Criticisms generally indicated a need for more theory and rigor, along with text improvement and more subjective and research-oriented analysis.

Almost two thirds of the students failed to respond when asked to comment on assistance rendered by the LACC Counseling Center. Of those responding, about two thirds indicated that the Counseling Center had been of help to them, while the remainder offered criticisms ranging from impressions of



SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS (continued)

apathy and ignorance of counselors to too long a wait in the office.

in summary, LACC transfers to UCLA speak highly of their experience at LACC. They are not shy, however, about offering suggestions for improvement (both at LACC and at U.C.L.A.). Some suggestions are, as might be expected, impossible or inadvisable to implement. However, many of the ideas expressed indicate concerns of the students that invite serious attention.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Written comments of students are available in the Research Office. Interested faculty and staff are urged to read comments pertinent to their areas of interest and to consider appropriate reaction to valid criticisms and suggestions.



A P P E N D I X



# L.A.C.C. TRANSFER QUESTIONNAIRE

NAME _	(Last)	(Fir	st)	(Middle	
	18-1920				
2	6-30 31-40	over 40	•	Sex: M	F
Date e		11 '66 F'6 r '67 F'6			acconductions
	at UCLA: Freshman So				
Semest	ers completed at L.A.	c.c. 1	2 3		6 or more
O	completed at L.A.C.C.	31-45 46	-60 61-	70 ove	r 70
• •	ow 2.00 2.00-2.		.993.0	0-3.49	3.50-4.00
	at L.A.C.C.:				
To wha	it extent were you sel				
How ac	ctive were you in extr	e 25% a curricular ac ll mildly	tivities at l	A.C.C.?	
Which	activities?			<u>,</u>	
What h	nonors or scholarships				
What o	other colleges have yo	ou attended?			·
From v	what high school did y				
Approx	ximate GPA to date at	UCLA?			
	below 2.00 2.00-	·2.49 2.50-	2.99 3	.00-3.49	3.50-1;.00
What (	college at UCLA are yo	ou enrolled in:			
	Letters and Scien	ice	Fine A	rts	
	Engineering		Other	(write in) _	



	re you self-supporting at UCLA?  25% 50% 75% 100%
w active are	ou in extra curricular activities at UCLA?
	not at all mildly active very active
What activ	
Wildt activ	ties?
at honors or	cholarships have you received at UCLA?
ere you eligib	
ere you eligib	cholarships have you received at UCLA?  e for admission to UCLA directly from high school? Yes

Please use the space below and on the back of this sheet to write any comments you care to make concerning your LACC preparation for UCLA.



### LOS ANGELES CITY COLLEGE 855 North Vermont Avenue Los Angeles, California 90029

#### Dear Student:

Recently, members of the L.A.C.C. Counseling staff visited on the U.C.L.A. campus with transfer students from L.A.C.C. Since we were unfortunately not able to visit with you, we are asking you for your opinions by mail.

Would you take a few minutes of your time to complete the enclosed questionnaire and return it to us in the enclosed selfaddressed envelope?

Your response will assist us in maintaining the strong points of the L.A.C.C. transfer program and in improving the weak points.

In the space reserved for comments, please react briefly to any aspect of the program either at U.C.L.A. or L.A.C.C. that you care to. We would especially like to know your reactions to:

(1) the quarter system at U.C.L.A.

(2) outstanding courses and instructors at L.A.C.C., and what made them outstanding

(3) L.A.C.C. preparation for your major

(4) assistance of the L.A.C.C. Counseling Center

For your response to be included in our summary, we must have the completed questionnaire returned by <u>APRIL I</u>. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely, Ben K. Hold

Ben K. Gold

Director of Research

BKG/e

Enclosures



### INTERVIEW RECORD

they outstanding?

Counselor	
Student	
1. What courses and instructors at LACC did you find outstanding?	Why were

2. How well did LACC prepare you for your major at UCLA?

3. How much did you use the Counseling Center at LACC? How helpful was it?

4. What comments can you offer which might be helpful to future transfers?