ED 022 417

HE 000 014

By-Richards, James M., Jr.; And Others
THE ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT ACCOMPLISHMENT IN COLLEGE.
American College Testing Program, Iowa City, Iowa. Research and Development Div.
Report No-ACT-RR-11
Pub Date Mar 66
Note-26p.

EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.12

Descriptors-\*ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT, \*ACHIEVEMENT RATING, ART ACTIVITIES, \*COCURRICULAR ACTIVITIES, COMPOSITION (LITERARY), CREATIVE ACTIVITIES, CULTURAL ACTIVITIES, EVALUATION TECHNIQUES, GOAL ORIENTATION, GRADE POINT AVERAGE, PROFILE EVALUATION, STUDENT

CHARACTERISTICS, \*STUDENT EVALUATION, \*SUCCESS FACTORS

To assess the non-academic accomplishments of college students more broadly than do grades, a questionnaire having 12 scales was designed and administered to 3147 freshmen, 5127 sophomores, and 1566 seniors in a variety of colleges. The scales measured achievement, as determined by the students themselves, in leadership, social participation, the arts, science, business, humanities, religious service, music, writing, social science, speech and drama, and included a scale for recognition of academic accomplishment. Other data used in analyzing the scales were self-reported grade averages and life goals. It was found that generally seniors have accomplished more than sophomores, sophomores more than freshmen and there is an internal consistency for all scales and groups. Little correlation exists between academic recognition and extra-classroom achievements. The scales appear to represent valid measurements and can help to provide a more comprehensive evaluation of college success or failure. (JS)





# 

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

#### Summary

A questionnaire was designed to assess the non-academic accomplishments of college students. The questionnaire consists of twelve scales to measure notable extra-classroom accomplishment and one scale to assess recognition for academic accomplishment.

To determine the statistical characteristics of the scales, they were administered as part of a larger survey to different groups of college students--3147 freshmen, 5127 sophomores, and 1566 seniors--in a variety of colleges. The results suggest that the non-academic scales possess some construct validity and that they are relatively independent of academic grades and recognition.



The Assessment of Student Accomplishment in College

James M. Richards, Jr., John L. Holland, and Sandra W. Lutz

How does undergraduate instruction influence stid at accomplishment?

To answer this continuing problem in higher education, we must first find ways to determine a high school student's potential for a broad range of achievements in college and adult life. And second, we need to determine both the student potentials for accomplishment which go unrealized during the college years as well as the social-educational influences which foster these potentials.

Difficulties in concept and method beset investigating the effects of colleges on student accomplishment (Holland, 1957; Astin, 1961, 1963; Richards, 1966a). Adding to these difficulties is the relative independence of classroom accomplishment from other socially relevant achievement (MacKinnon, 1960; Price, Taylor, Richards, & Jacobsen, 1964; Holland & Nichols, 1964; Holland & Richards, 1965; Hoyt, 1965). Because research on the prediction of college grades concentrates on only one of several dimersions of talent, it provides little or no information about other equally important dimensions. Consequently, we need measuring devices to assess the accomplishments of college students more broadly than do grades if we are to understand how student potentials are realized in college. Such scales will provide colleges and researchers additional help in assessing the broad goals of liberal and general education. The present report describes only of a set of such measuring devices.



#### The Questionnaire

We developed a checklist of non-academic accomplishments for the college years to measure achievement in the following areas: leadership, social participation, art, social service, science, business, humanities, religious service, music, writing, social science, and speech and drama. We also developed a simple scale to determine public recognition for academic attainment. Each scale is, in a sense, a criterion or standard of accomplishment in an in portant area of human endeavor. Students with high scores on one or more scales are assumed to have attained a high level of accomplishment which required complex skills, long term persistence, or originality, and which generally received public recogntion.

This questionnaire grew out of earlier checklists developed by

Holland and others (Holland, 1961; Holland & Astin, 1962; Nichols & Holland,
1965; Holland & Nichols, 1964) and was influenced by the questionnaire

developed by Skager (Schultz & Skager, 1963; Skager, Schultz, & Klein,
1964). Scales in these earlier checklists were extended and revised, and
new scales were developed for additional areas of accomplishment. Each
item in a scale is a behavior or event which was judged to be a sign of
notable accomplishment in a special area. Each behavior or event is also
observable, so at least, in principle, the accomplishments are verifiable.

A large number of items were written for each area of accomplishment. Items were submitted to experts in various areas for review. On



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The authors would like to thank the following people for their constructive advice: Theodore R. Anderson, Edwin Gordon, Donald P. Hoyt, John Huntley, Richard C. Lutz, Dee W. Norton, Frank Seiberling, and Rodney Skager.

the basis of this review, scale items were sifted and revised to yield the final scales. Each scale includes ten items, except the Recognition for Academic Accomplishment Scale, which has five items. In responding to a scale, the student marks "yes" for those accomplishments which he has achieved during college, and "no" for those which he has not achieved. The score on each scale is simply the number of "yes" responses.

Items range from common and less important accomplishments to rare and more important items. Sample items from each of the areas follow:

Leadership--elected to one or more student offices, active member of four or more student groups, served on a student-faculty committee.

Social Participation -- organized a college political group or campaign, worked actively in an off-campus political campaign, participated in one or more demonstrations for for some political or social goal.

Art--entered an artistic competition of any kind, exhibited or published not at my college one or more works of art, won a prize or award in art competition.

Social Service --worked actively in a student service group or organization, worked actively on a charity drive, received an award or recognition of any kind for community service.

Science--built scientific equipment on my own, author or co-author of scientific or scholarly paper published in a scientific journal, invented a patentable device.

Business -- initiated a business enterprise of any kind, served as



business manager of a student publication, served as campus representative for a national company.

Humanities—read one or more classic literary works on my own (not a course assignment), author or joint author of an original paper published in a scholarly journal in the humanities, won a prize or award for work in the humanities.

Religious Service -- active member of a student religious group, did voluntary work for a religious institution or group, worked to raise money for a religious institution or group.

Music--composed or arranged music which was publicly performed, publicly performed on two or more music instruments (including voice) which do not belong to the same family of instruments, attained a first division rating in a state or regional solo music contest.

Writing--had poems, stories, essays, or articles published in a public (not college) newspaper, anthology, etc; wrote an original, but unpublished, piece of creative writing on my own; won a literary prize or award for creative writing.

Social Science -- was hired to work on a research project in the social sciences, carried out an original research project in the social sciences on my own, won a prize or award for work in the social sciences.

Speech and Drama--won one or more contests in speech, debate, extemporaneous speaking, etc; had one or more leads in plays produced by my college; was regular performer on radio or TV program.

Recognition for Academic Accomplishment--took part in an



independent study program for outstanding students, won a prize or award for scholarship.

#### Statistical Characteristics

To determine the statistical characteristics of the various scales, we administered the non-academic accomplishments questionnaire to three groups of college students--freshmen, sophemores, and seniors--in the spring of 1965, as part of a more comprehensive survey of college students' achievements, satisfactions, aspirations, attitudes, and values. Certain other data from this more comprehensive survey were used in the analysis of the non-academic accomplishment scales. These additional data are:

Self-Reported College Grades. Students reported their grade average for their last college term by checking the appropriate alternative from among the following: D or lower, D+, C, C+, B, B+, A or A+.

Life Goals. Students also indicated the extent to which eight different life goals were "essential, very important, somewhat important, or of little importance." The specific goals were chosen from a larger list on the basis of a factor analysis (Richards, 1966b). Because goals have been hypothesized to be a basic determinant of achievement (Astin & Nichols, 1964; Richards, 1966b), and because goals have been shown to be important in predicting the achievement of high-aptitude college students (Holland & Nichols, 1964), relationships with life goals help establish the validity of the college achievement scales.

The freshman and sophomore data were collected in connection with



a follow-up of the American College Survey (Abe, Holland, Lutz, & Richards, 1965). The senior data were collected specifically to provide information about the characteristics of the non-academic accomplishment questionnaire.

The freshman group consisted of 1576 men and 1571 women enrolled in 6 colleges; the sophomore group of 2293 men and 2834 women was enrolled in 31 colleges; and the senior group of 981 men and 585 women was enrolled in 12 colleges. (The colleges represented in the various groups are shown in Table A of the Appendix.) Each college was responsible for the administration of the questionnaire. Several techniques were used to contact students: some colleges had student fill out the questionnaire in English classes, convocations, or other group sessions; other colleges polled their students by mail.

Because the colleges used such diverse means of contacting students, it cannot be claimed that the various groups are completely representative samples of the colleges included. The groups are, however, reasonably broad cross-sections of students at fairly diverse institutions. Moreover, it appears that a full range of accomplishment is present in the various groups.

Table 1 shows the mean and standard deviation of each of the scales for each of the groups of college students. In general, seniors have accomplished more than sophomores, and sophomores more than freshmen.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Computations for this study were carried out by the Measurement Research Center, University of Iowa, and by the University of Utah Computer Center.

Table 1

Means and Standard Deviations on College Achievement Scales for Various Groups of College Students

			M	en		
	Fresh	men	Sophor	nores	Seni	ors_
Scale	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Leadership Achievement	. 52	1.20	.94	1.58	1.76	2.24
Social Participation	.70	1.30	.95	1.43	1.34	1.75
Artistic Achievement	. 39	. 96	. 56	1.16	. 59	1.25
Social Service Achievement	.47	1.03	.74	1.24	1.06	1.52
Scientific Achievement	.18	.65	.30	.83	. 69	1.32
Business Achievement	.46	.83	.71	1.00	1.08	1.28
Humanistic-Cultural Ach.	.94	1.27	1.08	1.37	1.35	1.63
Religious Service	.69	1.47	1.28	2.14	1.49	2.26
Musical Achievement	. 18	. 67	.24	.80	.22	.81
Writing Achievement	.29	.70	.33	.81	.44	1.07
Social Science Achievement	.26	.63	. 37	.72	.63	1.11
Speech & Dramatic Ach.	.27	.80	.33	.91	.47	1.11
Recognition for Academic Accomplishment	.17	.49	.32	. 65	.64	. 92
			Wo	men		
	Fres	hmen	Sopho	mores	Sen	iors
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Leadership Achievement	.61	1, 18	1.24	1.74	2.22	2.73
Social Participation	.67	1.26	.99	1.35	1.34	4 ذ . 1
Artistic Achievement	.61	1.16	.90	1.40	1.22	1.73
Social Service Achievement	.63	1.08	1,13	1.36	1.64	1.73
Scientific Achievement	.07	. 35	.10	. 39	.18	, 63
Business Achievement	.20	.51	. 35	. 68	.45	.74
Humanistic-Cultural Ach.	1.26	1.40	1.49	1.46	2,00	1.69
	1.05	1.78	1.87	2.34	2.34	3.49
Religious Service	.11	. 49	.25	.70	. 35	. 98
Musical Achievement	.37	.72	.49	.95	.62	1.26
Writing Achievement		. 53	.34	.65	.48	. 86
Social Science Achievement	.20	.66	.35	.89	.50	1.17
Speech & Dramatic Ach. Recognition for Academic	. 20	.00				
Accomplishment	.16	. 48	. 37	.73	.60	. 88



(The sole exception is the Musical Achievement of males, where the mean for sophomores is slightly higher than the mean for seniors.) This trend, of course, supports the validity of the achievement scales; that is, students with more experience and training should have accomplished more than students with less experience and training.

Table 2 summarizes the reliability—or internal consistency—coefficients (Kuder-Richardson Forn a 20) for all achievement scales and groups. Generally, the scales possess moderate to high internal consistency. The rather low coefficients for the Recognition for Academic Accomplishment Scale are probably due to the scale's brevity. An explanation for the low coefficients for Business Achievement is not readily apparent, but they may be due to marked heterogeneity of content in the scale.

Table 2

Reliabilities (K-R 20) of College Achievement Scales for Various Groups

		Men			Women	
Scale	Fresh.	Soph.	Sr.	Fresh.	Soph.	Sr.
Leadership ( ) ievement	. 77	.74	. 80	.67	.73	.76
Social Participation	.72	.66	.71	.64	.60	.61
Artistic Achievement	. 58	. 69	.73	. 67	. 69	.75
Social Service Achievement	•	.64	. 69	. 58	. 56	. 67
Scientific Achievement	. 68	.65	.71	.45	.40	.60
Business Achievement	. 57	. 44	. 52	.30	. 33	. 25
Humanistic-Cultural Ach.	. 56	.61	.71	. 62	.61	. 65
Religious Service	.79	.85	.85	.79	.82	.81
Musical Achievement	. 59	.70	.75	.61	. 58	.73
Writing Achievement	.48	.60	.71	. 44	. 58	.73
Social Science Achievement	-	. 46	, 64	.25	. 37	. 52
Speech & Dramatic Ach.	. 68	.68	.72	.62	. 65	.73
Recognition for Academic Accomplishment	. 31	.41	. 51	.41	. 50	. 50



For some scales there is a tendency for reliability to increase from the freshman to the sophomore to the senior year. For other scales, the reliability is about as high for freshmen as for other classes. The present data provide no explication for these differences. A possible explanation, however, is that different colleges provide different opportunity for students to achieve during the first two years.

The intercorrelations of the achievement scales are presented in the Appendix. Table B shows the intercorrelations for freshmen, Table C for sophomores, and Table D for seniors. In general, the correlations are high enough to suggest that if a student achieves at all he is likely to achieve in more than one area, but low enough to suggest that response bias did not have a strong effect. The pattern of intercorrelations, for the most part, supports the construct validity of the various scales.

A wide variety of studies has indicated that academic accomplishment as measured by grades is largely independent of many accomplishments outside the classroom (MacKinnon, 1960; Price et al., 1964; Holland & Nichols, 1964; Holland & Richards, 1965; Hoyt, 1965). Consequently, we examined the relationship between the college achievement scales and grades in college by computing correlations between each of the achievement scales and college grades.

The results shown in Table 3 conform to our expectations—namely, that all of these correlations would be low except for those involving the Recognition for Academic Accomplishment Scale. (Since this scale primarily involves honors which are given for high grades, it should be



correlated with grades.) The correlations for this scale, while substantial, are not outstandingly high, a result, to some extent, of the low reliability of this scale.

Table 3

Correlations Between College Grades and College Achievement Scales for Various Groups

		Men			Women	
Scale	Fresh.	Soph.	Sr.	Fresh.	Soph.	Sr.
Leadership Achievement	.12	. 16	.14	. 14	.22	.21
Social Participation	.09	.05	.00	. 02	.04	. 09
Artistic Achievement	.07	.00	04	02	01	. 04
Social Service Achievemen	t .07	.03	<b>0</b> 5	. 03	.10	. 15
Scientific Achievement	.06	. 08	.12	. 04	. 07	. 11
Business Achievement	03	03	10	01	.06	.10
Humanistic-Cultural Ach.	.12	. 08	.05	. 09	. 14	. 16
Religious Service	.01	.04	03	.00	.03	.01
Musical Achievement	.00	03	03	.00	.04	. 02
Writing Achievement	.12	. 10	. 02	. 06	.10	. 10
Social Science Achievemen	t .09	. 04	. 02	.01	.05	. 07
Speech & Dramatic Ach.	.03	. 02	06	.01	.04	. 09
Recognition for Academic						
Accomplishment	.30	. 42	. 42	. 34	.46	. 45

Because the Recognition for Academic Accomplishment Scale correlates with grades, the results provide convergent, as well as discriminant validity, and make it less plausible that response bias, dissimulation, or similar occurences invalidate student responses to these scales. In other words, the results imply that the <u>average</u> student gave a frank account of his accomplishment in college.

The correlations between the college achievement scales and the life goals of college freshmen are shown in Table E of the Appendix. Similar



data for sophomores are shown in Table F and for seniors in Table G. All of these correlations, of course, involve concurrent, rather than predictive relationships. The absolute size of these correlations is not large (but there is no particular reason to expect that it would be). Once again, however, the pattern of correlations generally supports the meaning of the various scales.

#### Discussion

The distributions of the non-academic accomplishments are highly skewed. This skewness occurs because each scale contains accomplishments that college students attain only infrequently (the modal number of accomplishments on most scales is zero). Differences among the areas of accomplishment probably reflect differences both in the level of accomplishment represented by the various items and in the opportunity for various kinds of achievement in college.

The non-academic accomplishment scales have useful reliability.

Since these new measures have a relatively brief history of development, their reliability is generally lower than the reliability of typical tests of academic potential. On the other hand, typical tests of academic potential are usually three to seven times longer than these ten-item scales.

Certainly one could increase the reliability of any one of the non-academic scales to a relatively high level by making that scale much longer. Whether such an effort would be worthwhile is doubtful. In assessing the non-classroom accomplishment of college students, it is likely that "bandwidth" is generally more important than "fidelity" (Cronbach & Gleser, 1957) to



educators and researchers. In other words, one should be more interested in getting <u>some</u> information about <u>many</u> kinds of achievement than in getting highly precise information about one kind of achievement.

The validity of the scales of non-academic accomplishment rests upon several kinds of evidence. By definition, the content of the scales represents outstanding achievement to the judges and experts who have either contributed or reviewed the items in each scale. Indeed, one can make a strong case for the proposition that this is the only criterion by which the "validity" of these scales can be evaluated (Astin, 1964). For example, if the scales should fail to predict post-college accomplishment, it would mean that achievement in college is unrelated to adult achievement, not that the scales lacked "validity."

Because our criteria of socially relevant accomplishment rest on students' self-reports, the scales could possibly be "invalid" in the sense that some students may give distorted reports of their accomplishments or simply forget some achievements. While a goal in developing the lists of accomplishments was that each achievement, in principle, be verifiable, in practice, it would be difficult to determine the accuracy with which students report their accomplishments. The evidence that students gave frank responses is so far indirect. The relationships among the achievement scales, and the pattern of relationships between the achievement scales and grades and life goals provide some such indirect evidence for the accuracy of student reports of their accomplishment. The relationships are internally meaningful and have both convergent and discriminant



validity. If the reader traces each achievement scale through the various tables, he can see that the students for the most part must have been making rational discriminations among accomplishments and appropriate responses in order to produce these meaningful patterns.

To summarize, the college achievement scales appear to have useful reliability and validity. They provide a brief set of socially relevant measures which can serve as comprehensive criteria of college success. Coupled with grades, they can be used in studying such problems as the effects of various kinds of colleges upon a variety of student outcomes, the conservation of talent, and the relationship between college and adult achievement. Using the scales as guides, similar scales can be developed to increase our ability to assess the goals of a college education.

ERIC

#### References

- Abe, C., Holland, J. L., Lutz, Sandra W., & Richards, J. M., Jr. A description of American college freshmen. Iowa City: American College Testing Program, 1965.
- Astin, A. W. A re-examination of college productivity. <u>Journal of Edu-</u>cational Psychology, 1961, 52, 173-178.
- Astin, A. W. Differential college effects on the motivation of talented students to obtain the Ph.D. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1963, 54, 63-71.
- Astin, A. W. Criterion centered research. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1964, 24, 807-822.
- Astin, A. W., & Nichols, R. C. Life goals and vocational choice. <u>Journal</u> of <u>Applied Psychology</u>, 1964, 48, 50-58.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Gleser, Goldine C. <u>Psychological tests and personnel</u> decisions. Urbana: University of <u>Illinois Press</u>, 1957.
- Holland, J. L. Undergraduate origins of American scientists. Science, 1957, 126, 433-437.
- Holland, J. L. Creative and academic performance among talented adolescents. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1961, 52, 136-147.
- Holland, J. L., & Astin, A. W. The prediction of the academic, artistic, scientific, and social achievement of undergraduates of superior scholastic aptitude. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1962, 53, 132-143.
- Holland, J. L., & Nichols, R. C. Prediction of academic and extracurricular achievement in college. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1964, 55, 55-65.
- Holland, J. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. Academic and non-academic achievement--correlated or uncorrelated? <u>Journal of Educational</u> Psychology, 1965, 56, 165-174.
- Hoyt, D. P. The relationship between college grades and adult achievement.

  A review of the literature. Iowa City: American College Testing

  Program, 1965.
- MacKinnon, D. W. What do we mean by talent and how do we test for it?

  In The search for talent. New York: College Entrance Examination



Board, 1960.

- Nichols, R. C., & Holland, J. L. Prediction of the first year college performance of high aptitude students. <u>Psychological Monographs</u>, 1963, 77 (7, Whole No. 570).
- Price, P. B., Taylor, C. W., Richards, J. M., Jr., & Jacobsen, T. L. Measurement of physician performance, <u>Journal of Medical Education</u>, 1964, 39, 203-211.
- Richards, J. M., Jr. An analytic model for college effects. Paper read at American Educational Research Association, Chicago, 1966. (a)
- Richards, J. M., Jr. Life goals of college freshmen. <u>Journal of Counseling</u> Psychology, 1966, 13, 12-20. (b)
- Schultz, C. B., & Skager, R. W. Relationship of an independent activities questionnaire to performance during high school. Research Bulletin 63-16. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1963.
- Skager, R. W., Schultz, C. B., & Klein, S. P. Quality and quantity of accomplishments as measures of creativity. <u>Journal of Educational</u> Psychology, 1965, 56, 31-39.



APPENDIX



## Table A Colleges Included in the Three Samples

#### Freshmen

# Amherst College (Mass.) Baldwin-Wallace College (Ohio) Cuyahoga Community Col.(Ohio) California State College at Hayward Chico State College (Calif.) University of Massachusetts

#### Seniors

Baylor University (Texas)
Calif. State College at Long Beach
Central College (Iowa)
Creighton University (Nebraska)
Keene State College (N. H.)
Millsaps College (Miss.)
Ohio State University
S. Dak. School of Mines & Tech.
University of Nevada
University of West Virginia
Villa Madonna College (Ky.)
Wis. State Univ. at River Falls

### Sophomores

Arkansas Polytechnic College Baylor University (Texas) Black Hills State College (S. Dak.) Burlington Community College (Iowa) California State College at Hayward Carthage College (Wisconsin) Colorado State College Fairmont State College (W. Va.) Glassboro State College (N. J.) Indiana State College (Indiana) Jamestown Comm. College (N. Y.) Kansas State University Lyons Township Junior College (III.) Mount Mercy College (Pennsylvania) New Mexico State University Plymouth State College (N.H.) Snow College (Utah) Southeastern State College (Okla.) Southern Connecticut State College Southern Illinois University Springfield College (Mass.) Swarthmore College (Pennsylvania) University of Alabama University of Kentucky University of North Dakota University of Tennessee Wesleyan University (Conn.) Westbrook Junior College (Maine) William Carey College (Mississippi) William Jewell College (Missouri)



Table B

Intercorrelations of the College Achievement Scales for College Freshmen

	Scale	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1.	Leadership Achievement		53	<b>30</b>	45	08	16	21	26	17	24	13	34	27
2.	Social Participation	34		19	38	08	14	24	21	10	19	17	34	18
3.	Artistic Achievement	14	35		29	14	16	24	13	17	26	28	28	18
4.	Social Service Ach.	38	54	29		09	23	18	40	19	20	)	33	18
5.	Scientific Achievement	12	21	26	22		29	17	04	13	12	24	07	33
6.	Business Achievement	19	20	14	25	32		15	21	09	08	20	20	18
7.	Humanistic-Cultural Ach	.20	39	31	33	26	17		16	09	44	40	22	24
8.	Religious Service	15	18	11	39	13	22	18		11	05	10	17	13
9.	Musical Achievement	17	15	23	20	22	19	23	22		10	17	21	11
10.	Writing Achievement	17	31	28	26	10	08	39	17	16		31	28	13
11.	Social Science Ach.	13	34	20	29	12	10	43	15	06	28		22	11
12.	Speech & Dramatic Ach.	24	35	19	33	<b>0</b> 9	13	24	23	28	27	22		08
13.	Recognition for Academic	c												
	Accomplishment	23	16	12	22	18	15	21	10	14	14	16	14	

Note--Correlations for males are shown above the diagonal and for females below the diagonal. Decimal points have been omitted.

Table C
Intercorrelations of College Achievement Scales for College Sophomores

	Scale	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1.	Leadership Achievement		44	22	51	22	27	23	21	17	27	28	29	23
2.	Social Participation	37		31	45	22	29	38	16	21	31	37	27	11
3.	Artistic Achievement	19	31		26	24	25	33	07	18	<b>30</b>	23	29	04
4.	Social Service Ach.	46	40	27		21	37	22	32	20	25	22	3 <b>0</b>	12
5.	Scientific Achievement	07	17	12	14		3 <b>0</b>	25	14	11	23	24	17	24
6.	Business Achievement	24	20	12	27	22		23	18	23	23	24	23	08
7.	Humanistic-Cultural Ach.	17	33	26	25	18	15		14	19	46	49	29	15
8.	Religious Service	13	15	13	34	14	16	13		18	12	14	23	11
9.	Musical Achievement	14	15	15	21	13	14	21	19		19	18	29	07
10.	Writing Achievement	25	27	20	21	16	23	37	11	17	en en	28	41	15
11.	Social Science Ach.	11	28	18	19	18	20	44	14	10	27		21	11
12.	Speech & Dramatic Ach.	20	26	25	23	15	17	29	18	<b>30</b>	27	14		09
13.	Recognition for Academic	2												
	Accomplishment	38	11	05	18	18	11	18	09	10	16	11	07	

Note--Correlations for males are shown above the diagonal and for females below the diagonal. Decimal points have been omitted.



Table D

Intercorrelations of College Achievement Scales for College Seniors

Scale	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1.0	11	12	13
1. Leadership Achievement 2. Social Participation 3. Artistic Achievement 4. Social Service Ach. 5. Scientific Achievement 6. Business Achievement 7. Humanistic-Cultural Achievement 8. Religious Service 9. Musical Achievement 10. Writing Achievement 11. Social Science Ach.	39 16 45 05 19	2 41  25 35 07 24 31 19 03 34 30			12 07 22 05  08 15 01 02 03 10	19 28 27 30 10  15 08 10 19 14	38 35 30 09 21  20 38 34	22 22 17 40 02 12 17  20 16 12	18 10 17 20 12 15 19 23  09 00	27 42 37 30 14 22 41 18 23  22	25 44 23 27 06 25 40 17 14 32	25 3 <b>0</b>	
<ul><li>12. Speech &amp; Dramatic Ach.</li><li>13. Recognition for Academi Accomplishment</li></ul>	21 c 35	27 11	13 02	13 20	20	17	32 26	13	13 04	28 13		09	

Note--Correlations for males are shown above the diagonal and for females below the diagonal. Decimal points have been omitted.



Table E

Correlations Between College Achievement Scales aru Life Goals of College Freshmen

Theo-retical Following r- Influential Contri- Formal Being Good Never ng in Public bution Religious Well Physical Obligated Affairs to Science Code Real Condition to People		20 -05 -05 09 -05 05	02 -08 08 -02	-02 04 07 01	15 00 01 00	-02 05 00 00	02 -08 23 -05	00 $21$ $06$ $01$	07 -01		-02 0	09 -03 -04 07 -01 06			13 01 -01 10 03 -05	15 01 -05 10 -10 02	03	05 62 03 -03	04 00	05 01 02 -02	03 -05 22 -05	-01 00 -	G5 02 00 06 -03 -	09   01   -02   12   -07   0	11 00 -02 08 -02	11 00 00 05 -05 -0	
Accom- plished in Per- Inf forming in Arts A	0.5	03	17	11	-01	-02	11		23	15	00	13		-03	0.7	. o. c.	13		03	00	60	60	16	80	02	12	
Good i Husband for	0.2	70	-03	101 101	٠ ا	03	- 04	. C	-03	-05	-05		iic	-02	-03	-07	- C		-03	03	-05	02	-02	-03	-01		ic
Scale	To A chilomother T	Leadersnip Acm.	Social Farticipation	Artistic Achievement	Social Service Achievement		Dusiness 	•	N Neilgious per vice	Waiting Achievement	Social Science Ach.	Speech & Dramatic Ach.	Recognition for Academic	Accomplishment	7 \	Leader Simp racin	Social Farticipation	Coi: 1 Coming Ach	W Scientific Ach	_	Humanist	n	Musical A	Writing	Social Science Ach.		Recognition for Academi

Table F

Correlations Between College Achievement Scales and Life Goals of College Sophomores

Scale	Good Husband or Wife	Accom- plished in Per- forming Arts	Influential in Public Affairs	Theo- retical Contri- bution to Science	Following Formal Religious Code	Being Well Read	Good Physical Condition	Never Obligated to People
Leadership Ach.	03	08	21	04	00	12	-02	-02
Social Participation	02	08	27	03	-02	16	90-	00
Artistic Achievement	-02	14	03	05	-04	11	-03	-01
Social Service Ach.	94	60	17	01	03	60	-03	-04
Scientific Achievement	-01	01	-01	28	90-	03	00	02
M Business Achievement	00	03	80	90	-03	03	03	-01
E Humanistic-Cul. Ach.	-02	13	11	05	-08	53	-05	01
N Religious Service	10	80	12	-01	23	20	40	-02
Musical Achievement	-03	25	04	-01	04	03	90-	-05
Writing Achievement	-04	13	08	01	-08	17	-05	-02
Social Science Ach.	-01	55	17	00	-03	17	-04	-01
Speech & Dramatic Ach.	03	22	60	00	-02	80	-04	00
Recognition for Academic	nic							
Accomplishment	-04	00	04	13	-05	80	-04	-03
Leadership Ach.	01	11	21	04	40	90	00	-04
Social Participation	00	15	20	05	00	15	-03	02
Artistic Achievement	-67	15	05	04	-05	60	04	02
Social Service Ach.	02	60	14	03	90	03	01	01
W Scientific Achievement	60-	04	05	21	-05	90	-05	01
O Business Achievement	00	02	60	03	02	40	03	03
M Humanistic-Cul. Ach.	-05	15	60	05	-04	56	90-	03
E Religious Service	03	05	03	03	17	-01	-01	01
	-02	25	07	03	01	01	-02	00
Writing Achievement	-04	14	11	03	-03	17	-05	40
Social Science Ach.	- 01	04	10	03	-05	17	-02	03
Speech & Dramatic Ach.	L02	20	12	03	-01	90	-03	00
Recognition for Academic	ic							
Accomplishment	00	05	05	80	-02	07	-05	90-



Table G

Correlations Between College Achievement Scales and Life Goals of College Seniors

			Accom- plished		Theo- retical	Following		;	ļ
		Good	in Per-	Influential	Contri-	Formal	Being	Good	Never Oblicated
	Scale	Husband or Wife	forming $Arts$	in Public Affairs	bution to Science	Religious Code	Well Read	Physical Condition	Obligated to People
	Toodershin Ach	03	12	25	00	-02	90	03	90-
. •	Logacismik rom. Social Particination	- 02	10	30	-02	-05	16	-01	01
- `	Artistic Achievement	90-	25	12	90	-03	90	-03	04
	Social Service Ach.	03	11	24	01	90	05	-01	-01
_ <b>-</b>	Scientific Achievement	-11	00	60-	40	-05	00	-03	-02
>	Business Achievement	-05	02	11	-04	-08	0.1	01	40
· -	Humanistic-Cul. Ach.	-17	16	80	-02	-08	59	-03	01
-		80	11	12	-02	28	60	04	90-
•		-02	23	-02	-03	0.1	-02	-03	-02
	Writing Achievement	- 08	15	13	-04	<b>20</b> ~	60	-01	05
	Social Science Ach.	-08	04	21	-01	-10	13	00	00
	Speech & Dramatic Ach.	3° - 08	15	12	-10	-01	60	-02	03
	Fecognition for Academic	nic					•	•	(
	Accomplishment	01	01	90	17	-03	90	-03	60-
	Leadershin Ach	40	07	10	02	-02	90	04	-07
	Social Participation	-01	60	24	02	-01	17	03	-03
	Artistic Achievement	-01	27	40	-02	-05	60	40	05
	Social Service Ach.	80	08	60	-03	10	03	05	60-
M	Scientific Achievement		01	01	42	03	04	- 04	90
: C	Business Achievement	-02	04	20	80	-03	05	01	02
> ≥	Humanistic-Cul. Ach.	-12	17	05	20	<b>80-</b>	97	-03	-04
F	Religious Service	-03	05	90	<del>-</del> 08	31	04	03	01-
צו	Musical Achievement	04	35	01	00	13	-07	05	01
7	Writing Achievement	-02	60	03	01	90-		-02	80. 1
	Social Science Ach.	- 08	02	13	90	00		-04	00
	Speech & Dramatic Ach.	h01	21	90	03	20	05	-03	-03
	Recognition for Academi	ပ္	!	(		ò	00	70	70
	Accomplishment	-04	-07	70-	10	90-	000	00	



#### ACT Research Reports

This report is the eleventh in a series published by the Research and Development Division of American College Testing Program. Reports are published monthly and mailed free of charge to educators and other interested persons who have asked to be on the special research report mailing list.

The research reports have been deposited with the American Documentation Institute, ADI Auxiliary Publications Project, Photoduplication Service, Library of Congress, Washington 25, D.C. (ADI Document numbers and prices are given below.) Printed copies may be obtained free from the Research and Development Division, American College Testing Program. Photocopies and 35 mm. microfilms may be obtained at cost from ADI by citing ADI Document number. Advance payment is required. Make checks or money orders payable to: Chief, Photoduplication Service, Library of Congress.

#### Reports in the series are:

- \*No. 1 A Description of American College Freshmen, by C. Abe, J. L. Holland, Sandra W. Lutz, & J. M. Richards, Jr. (ADI Doc. 8554; photo, \$8.75; microfilm, \$3.00)
- No. 2 Academic and Non-Academic Accomplishment: Correlated or Uncorrelated? by J. L. Holland, & J. M. Richards, Jr. (ADI Doc. 8555; photo, \$3.75; microfilm, \$2.00)
- No. 3 A Description of College Freshmen: I. Students with Different Choices of Major Field, by C. Abe., & J. L. Holland (ADI Doc. 8556; photo, \$7.50; microfilm, \$2.75)
- No. 4 A Description of College Freshmen: II. Students with Different Vocational Choices, by C. Abe., & J. L. Holland (ADI Doc. 8557; photo, \$7.50; microfilm, \$2.75)
- No. 5 A Description of Junior Colleges, by J. M. Richards, Jr., Lorraine M. Rand, & L. P. Rand (ADI Doc. 8558; photo, \$3.75; microfilm, \$2.00)
- No. 6 Comparative Predictive Validities of the American College

  Tests and Two Other Scholastic Aptitude Tests, by L. Munday

  (ADI Doc. 8559; photo, \$2.50; microfilm, \$1.75)



<sup>\*</sup>This report now available only from ADI.

### ACT Research Reports (cont.)

- No. 7 The Relationship Between College Grades and Adult Achievement. A Review of the Literature, by D. P. Hoyt (ADI Doc. 8632; photo, \$7.50; microfilm, \$2.75)
- No. 8 A Factor Analysis of Student "Explanations" of Their Choice of a College, by J. M. Richards, Jr., & J. L. Holland (ADI Doc. 8633; photo, \$3.75; microfilm, \$2.00)
- No. 9 Regional Differences in Junior Colleges, by J. M. Richards, Jr., L. P. Rand, & Lorraine M. Rand (ADI Doc. 8743; photo, \$2.50; microfilm, \$1.75)
- No. 10 Academic Description and Prediction in Junior Colleges, by D. P. Hoyt, & L. Munday
  (ADI Doc. number not yet available)

