ED 021 932

UD 006 407

THE DETROIT RIOT; A PROFILE OF 500 PRISONERS.

Manpower Administration (DOL), Washington, D.C.

Pub Date Mar 68

Note-29p.

Available from U.S.Dept. of Labor, Manpower Administration, Washington, D.C.

EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.24

Descriptors-ECONOMIC STATUS, EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCE, EMPLOYMENT, MARITAL STATUS, *NEGROES, NEGRO LEADERSHIP, OCCUPATIONS, OPINIONS, *PARTICIPANT CHARACTERISTICS, POLICE ACTION, *PRISONERS, QUESTIONNAIRES, RACIAL DISCRIMINATION, SURVEYS, TABLES (DATA), *VIOLENCE

Identifiers Detroit, Michigan

Following the July 1967 riots in Detroit, 496 Negroes who had been arrested and imprisoned were questioned about their economic and employment status, family status, views about the riot and its causes, and rankings of Negro leaders. Negro interviewers conducted the survey at the prisons. Despite some stated shortcomings in the data collection process and in the instruments, a profile of these men is presented. The typical prisoner was a single man about 30 years old, protestant but not a regular church-goer, and a nonveteran high school dropout. He was southern born and had lived in Detroit for at least 15 years. A blue collar worker, he earned about \$120 per week and had been out of work more than 5 weeks in the past year. The prisoner thought the riots had been caused by "police brutality." He believed that poor housing, lack of job opportunities, and discrimination also had contributed to the conflict. Martin Luther King, Jr. was his favorite leader, and nonviolence was the preferred means for achieving civil rights. In general, the prisoner felt that conditions for himself and other Detroit Negroes had improved recently, and he was hopeful of eventually achieving what whites now have. Tables summarize the data, and an appendix presents a profile of selected characteristics. (NH)



THE DETROIT RIOT ...

A Profile of 500 Prisoners

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.



March 1968

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR: Willard Wirtz, Secretary MANPOWER ADMINISTRATION: Stanley Ruttenberg, Manpower Administrator



PREFACE

On Sunday, July 23, 1967, a riot broke out in Detroit, Michigan, which resulted in loss of life, limb and property. Hundreds of alleged participants were arrested, some of whom willingly answered various questions (psychological, social, and economic) for research investigators seeking causation for the riot.

Eleven days after the outbreak (August 3, 1967), the U.S. Department of Labor contracted, under authorization of the Manpower Development and Training Act, with the Behavior Research Institute of Detroit to introduce into an ongoing survey of prisoners questions designed to shed additional light on their employment status and indebtedness.

Information was collected on the characteristics of the prisoners; the nature of their job and weekly earnings; their home situation; their views as to the causes of the riot and the degree to which they knew what was taking place; and their ranking of Negro leaders.

Limited information (on employment status and indebtedness) is available for the total survey group of 496 prisoners. More extensive information was collected for 15? of those interviewed on August 3 and 4 at the request of the Office of Manpower Research, Manpower Administration.

The survey was not based on a scientific sample. It was conceived and conducted under extreme pressure. The data were coded, processed, and analyzed on August 5 and 6.

The interviews were conducted at five prison sites between July 31 and August 4, by 19 Negro interviewers. These interviewers were recruited largely from among graduate students at Wayne State University and from among Detroit high school teachers. Some poverty agency workers were added later.

The names and addresses, and all other means of individual identification, were obliterated from the question-naires, so that the respondents would remain anonymous. The police charges were also removed, so that it is not possible to assess the severity of the alleged offense.

The interviews were not conducted under ideal circumstances, nor was there sufficient time to pre-test or to

develop a questionnaire that would yield more precise information. For example, present employment status was asked and the answer limited to "employed" or "unemployed," with no provision for indicating whether a prisoner might be a full-time student or out of the labor force for some other reason. The "not employed" category used in this analysis, based on the "unemployed" reply, does not, therefore, conform to the regular Department of Labor definition of unemployment. Some other questions were not phrased as precisely as would be desirable.

The study which follows—actually a profile—of 496 Negro men arrested during the July 23, 1967 riot in Detroit, Michigan attempts to provide a partial picture of the economic and

social background of these persons.

HIGHLIGHTS

Some of the highlights of the findings of this report are:

The typical prisoner, out of the total interviewed, was a single man just over 30 years of age. He was a Protestant, but not a regular church-goer. He was a non-veteran who had dropped out of school by the 11th grade. His birthplace was the South and he had lived in Detroit for 15 years or more.

•He was a blue collar worker in a manufacturing plant where he earned about \$120 a week. Although currently employed, he had experienced more than 5 weeks of unemployment in the past year. He had not participated in a gov-

ernment training or poverty program.

•In the prisoner's opinion, the riot was caused by "police brutality." He also believed that poor housing, lack of job opportunities, and tensions and frustrations resulting from the Negroes' failure to secure equality caused the trouble in Detroit. His favorite leader is Martin Luther King and he thought that non-violent means was the best way to achieve civil rights for Negroes. He felt that conditions, both for himself and Detroit Negroes, in general, had improved in the last few years. He was also hopeful that the Negro would someday have everything that the white man has today.

-About 1 in 5 of the 157 prisoners who reported on their military experience had served in the Armed Forces. Only

one man was a Vietnam veteran.

-About half of the 157 prisoners reporting on their indebtedness status indicated that they were in debt. One-half of those in debt owed for back rent or medical expenses. More than 50 percent of those in debt owed under \$200. Twenty-five percent owed between \$200 and \$500.

3



FOCUS ON PRISONERS

The prisoners averaged about \$120 a week. This equaled the average wage in manufacturing for the Nation as a whole, but was below the approximate \$150 per week earned by the average factory worker in the Detroit area in July 1967. Even the youngsters (both the 16- to 19-year olds and those 20 to 24) averaged between \$80 and \$100 per week. Two out of 3 of the teenagers and 3 out of 4 of the men in their early twenties reported they were working.

One out of 8 married prisoners was making less than \$80 per week. More than half of the married men earned more than \$120 each week. 1/2

One out of 4 single prisoners had weekly earnings of \$120 or more. More than 2 out of 5 of these single men earned more than \$100 per week.

As a group, prisoners without a high school diploma were almost as likely to be making over \$120 per week as those with more education. However, considerably more high school dropouts than graduates were earning less than \$80 per week.

Unemployment (22 percent) was nevertheless high—about five times higher than the unemployment rate (about 4.5 percent) for the entire Detroit metropolitan area. Unemployment was highest among the teenagers (although the 1 out of 3 jobless may have been overstated because some of these youngsters were presumably

Table 1. EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND WEEKLY WAGES

					Age				
Selected	Total		Under 20		20-24		25 an	d over	
characteristics	IOLAI	Total	Under 16	16-19	L0-4	Total	25-29	30-44	45 and over
Total Reported	496	93	2	91	127	276	112	138	26
Employment									
status					٠,	221	00	,,,	10
Employed	383	6.1	1	60	91	231	98	114	· 19
Not employed.	107	30	1	29	34	43	14	22	(
'Not reported .	6	2	-	2	2	2	-	2	-
Not employèd		:							
rate	21.8	33.0	50.0	32.6	27. 2°	15.7	12.5	16.2	26.9
Wages						•			
Under \$40	15	7	-	7	3	5	3	-	-2
°\$41-60	31	12	_	12	4	15	3	8	4
\$61-80	42	6	_	6	8	28	8	18	2
\$81-100	74	16	_	16	18	40	19	17	4
\$101-120	80	9	_	9	29	42	20	19	3
\$121-150	113	12	_	12	25	76	40	33	3
\$151-200	28	1	_	1	10	17	4	9	4
Over \$200	19		_	_	3	16	4	12	_
Not reported	94	30	2	28	27	37	11	22	4

^{1/}Four hundred prisoners reported on marital status and weekly earnings.



^{2/}Three hundred and ninetynine prisoners reported on earnings and education.

still attending school). Unemployment was not much lower for the 20-to 24-year olds (27 percent compared to 33 percent for the teenagers) even though, nationally, unemployment rates among Negroes tend to drop off

very sharply between the teenagers and the early twenties. The drop in rates in the group surveyed occurred in those over 25, among whom unemployment averaged about 16 percent.

Table 2. NUMBER OF PRISONERS WITH INCOME BY WEEKS OF WORK LOST

Usual	Number of weeks lost									
salary per week	Total reported	None	1-4	5-15	16-26	26 and over				
Total reported	109 1/	35	25	28	16	5				
Under \$40	1 6 14 25 23 30 6	- 1 4 6 9 12 1 2	5 3 8 5 4	7 5 1 10 3	- - 5 5 4 2	1 - 1 3 -				

 $[\]frac{1}{D}$ oes not include those who did not report wages and full weeks of work lost.

EMPLOYMENT AND EARNINGS

Although the average pay for the employed prisoner was \$120 a week, two-thirds of those reporting on both wages and unemployment experience (109) had periods of joblessness ranging from 1 week to 6 months or more. One hundred and fifty-seven prisoners were asked whether they were employed during the year.

Fully a fourth of those who reported the weeks lost by unemployment in the past year (125) said they lost 4 months or more; another fourth lost 5 to 15 weeks; less than 30 percent reported no work loss.

OCCUPATION

Although about 2 out of 5 prisoners had a high school education or more, only a small number (17) had jobs

calling for advanced education. More than 1 of every 4 prisoners (124) had a semiskilled job such as a machine operator or assembler. Almost 1 in 5 (79) worked in a service occupation. Some 59 who did not specify the type of work they performed held jobs in automobile plants; 52 of the prisoners were laborers and 32 indicated they were skilled workers. (This information is based on replies from 430 prisoners.)

Table 3. KIND OF WORK PERFORMED BY PRISONERS

Occupation	Number
Total reported Operative Service Automobile Plant Laborers Craftsmen	430 124 79 59 52 32
Other	84

EDUCATION AND TRAINING

Of the 276 men arrested who were 25 or older, 83, or about 1 in 3, were high school graduates. This compares with 1 out of 6 nonwhite male graduates of this age group in the general population and equals the average of all races. In addition, nearly 6 percent of those in the survey over the age of 25 had more than 12 years of schooling.

Almost 1 out of 5 prisoners (93) was a teenager. One out of 3 of these teenagers was a high school gradu-

ate. About 1 out of 4 of the 496 prisoners was between the ages of 20 and 24 (inclusive). In this age group, 43 of the 127 (34 percent) were high school graduates and 10 in this age group had education beyond high school.

About 1 out of 6 prisoners had participated in a Federal training or poverty program. The younger prisoners were more likely to have been in such programs. About 1 in 5 of the prisoners under age 25 reported some kind of participation in a job training or poverty program as against only 1 in 8 of the older prisoners.

Table 4. YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY AGE

	Tot	al	Age									
Years of school	all persons		Under	r 20	20-		25 and over					
completed	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num-	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent				
Total	496	100.0	93	100.0	127	100.0	276	100.0				
8 years and under 9-11 years 12 years and over	61 248 156	12.3 50.0 31.5	5 55 30	5. 4 59. 1 32. 3	4 70 43	3. 1 55. 1 33. 9 7. 9	52 123 83 16	18.8 44.6 30.1 5.8				
Not reported	5	1.0	3	3.2	-		2					

FAMILY STATUS

One-fourth of the prisoners over 25 were single and another 20 percent were separated, divorced, or widowed. Thus, about 1 out of every 5 prisoners, over the age of 25, was living apart from his wife and family. Because some of the "single" prisoners reported that they had children, the number of broken families among the prisoners is even higher. About

40 percent of all of the prisoners were married.

About a third of those with two to four children earned between \$121 and \$150 per week. One out of 8 prisoners with two to four children earned less than \$80 per week. About half of the prisoners with two to four children earned more than \$120 per week. The median weekly income for prisoners with five or more children was about \$125. Two hundred and forty prisoners who had children also supplied information on their earnings.

Table 5. MARITAL STATUS AND AGE OF DETROIT PRISONERS

		Age									
36 43 4	m 4-1		Under 20			-	25 and	over			
Marital status	Total	Total	Under 16	16-19	20-24	Total	25-29	30-44	45 and over		
Total	496	93	2	91	127	276	112	138	26		
Married Single Separated,	193 237	8 82	2	8 80	38 84	147 71	64 36	69 35	14		
divorced, widowed Not reported	62 4	1 2	 ca	1 2	5 -	56 2	11 1	33 1	12		

Table 6. EARNINGS AND NUMBER OF CHILDREN

		,	Salary per week									
Number of children	Total reported	Under \$40	\$41- 60·	\$61 - 80	\$81 - 100	\$101- 120	\$121 - 150	\$151- 200	Over \$200			
Total reported. 0	369 <u>1</u> / 129 71 137 32	14 7 5 2	29 16 6 6	39 17 9 10 3	64 27 12 20 5	71 28 7 29 7	108 24 28 46 10	27 9 2 13 3	17 1 2 11 3			

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Of the 496 prisoners, 369 replied to both questions on wages and children.

Table 7. EARNINGS AND MARITAL STATUS

		Salary per week										
Marital Statu s	Total reported	Under \$40	\$41- 60	\$61 - 80	\$81 - 100	\$101 - 120	\$121- 150	\$151 - 200	Over \$200			
Total reported Married Single Separated . Divorced Widowed	$400\frac{1}{1.75}$ 175 178 26 17	14 1 10 - 2 1	31 7 19 2 3	42 12 25 2 2	74 20 47 1 4 2	80 37 34 6 3	113 68 34 10 1	27 16 7 3 1	19 14 2 2 1			

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Of the 496 prisoners, 400 reported on marital status and weekly earnings.

ORIGIN

About 15 percent of the prisoners had lived in Detroit 5 years or less. Almost 200 (40 percent) of the prisoners had been born in the Deep South. (Florida, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas and Texas.) An additional 67 had been born in the border States. (North Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, West Virginia, Missouri, and Oklahoma.) Of those born in the Deep South, over 40 percent had lived in Detroit for more than 15 years.

Almost half of the prisoners live with from four to seven persons. More than 10 percent are crowded into living quarters with eight or more others. Although 237 prisoners are single, only 80 reported that they lived alone. The average number of persons per household is over five.

PRISONERS' VIEWS:

Police brutality was, by far, the most frequent response of the prisoners to the question "What do you think caused this trouble in Detroit?" About 30 percent—159 of the 523 responses—(some prisoners gave more than one reason) pointed, in the view of the prisoners, to the police as the causal agent of the riot. Prisoners referred to specific incidents such as beatings and other physical violence and personal abuse on the part of the police.

The second most frequent reason given as to the cause of the riot was less specific, but can be summed up in the term "discrimination." Some of these responses indicated the frustrations and tensions resulting from ineffectual efforts of Negroes to end segregation and discrimination as the cause. Other responses emphasized poor housing and living conditions and lack of job opportunities (54). Many of the prisoners (114) stated that they did

not know what caused the riot. About 40 responses referred to a specific incident involving a neighborhood bar (a "blind pig") as the cause of the trouble. These responses did not mention police brutality.

Table 8. RESPONSE TO QUESTION:

"WHAT DO YOU THINK CAUSED
THIS TROUBLE IN DETROIT?"

	Number of
	Responses
Total	523
Police brutality	159
Tensions and frustrations	
resulting from Negroes'	1
efforts to gain equality	65
Don't know	114
Lack of job opportuni-	
ties, low pay, poor	
housing and living	
conditions	54
Raid on "blind pig" with-	
out mention of police	:
brutality	4 0
"Outside agitators"	15
Other responses	76

FAVORITE NEGRO LEADER

About 50 percent (178) of the 363 prisoners who expressed a preference for a favorite Negro leader selected the Reverend Martin Luther King as their first choice. About 14 percent of the prisoners indicated that Stokely Carmichael was their favorite leader. Another 15 percent selected Muhammad Ali (Cassius Clay), Malcolm X and Elijah Muhammad as their favorite leaders.

Of those who expressed a preference for Martin Luther King as their favorite Negro leader, the most frequently mentioned means of achieving civil rights was through nonviolent approaches such as political action. The next most frequently cited approach was a unified Negro front. The third and fourth most frequently mentioned solutions were

education and raising self-esteem, respectively.

Prisoners who expressed a preference for Stokely Carmichael, Muhammad Ali, Malcolm X and Elijah Muhammad (combined), most frequently mentioned nonviolent measures, followed by force and violence, as the best ways of securing civil rights. The third most mentioned means was a unified approach, followed by education and raising selfesteem. About 50 prisoners, who named a favorite leader, did not offer any suggestions.

Table 9. FAVORITE NEGRO LEADERS

	Number
Total	363
Reverend Martin Lu-	
ther King	178

Stokely Carmichael	52
Adam Clayton Powell	24
Muhammad Ali	21
Malcolm X	20
Elijah Muhammad	12
Other	56

MOST THOUGHT CONDITIONS HAD IMPROVED

The majority of the interviewed believed that conditions in the last few years had become better for themselves and for Negroes generally in the Detroit area. About 1 out of 5 thought conditions had become worse for himself, and a slightly smaller proportion felt that conditions for Negroes in the Detroit area were worse.



APPENDIX

PROFILE OF 500 NEGRO MEN ARRESTED IN THE DETROIT RIOT, 1967

(Number)

	*				Age	Age					
		ט	nder 20)		2	25 and	dove	r		
Selected characteristics	Total	Total	Under 16	16- 1.9	20- 24	Total	25 - 29	30- 44 ¹	45 and over		
Total number interviewed	496	93	2	91	127	276	112	138	26		
Years of school completed					4	32	4	16	12		
Under 8 years	39	3	_	3 2	7	20	4	13	3		
8 years	22	2	2	53	70	123	58	57			
9-11 years	248	55	4		43	83	39	42	8 2 1		
12 years	156	30	-	30	10	16	7	8	ī		
Over 12 years	26	-	-	-	10	2	'	2			
Not reported	5	3	-	3	-			2			
Employment status		٠,	,	/ (91	231	98	114	19		
Employed	383	61	1 1	60	1 -	43	14	22	7		
Not employed	107	30	1	29	34	2	1 1 4	2			
Not reported	6	2	-	2	2	2	-				
Salary		_		3 .		5	3		2		
Under \$40	15	7	-	7	3	15	3	8			
\$41-6 0	31	12	-	12	4	b	8	18	2		
\$61-80	42	6	-	6	8	28	19	17	4		
\$81-100	74	16	-	16	18	40	3	19	4 2 4 3 3 4		
\$101-120	80	9	-	9	29	42	20	33	3		
\$121-150	113	12	-	12	25	76	40	9	1 4		
\$151200	28	1		1	10	17	4	12	ļ·		
Over \$200	19	-	-	-	3	16	4	1	4		
Not reported	94	30	2	28	27	3.7	11	22	7		
Marital status								(0	1.4		
Married	193	8	-	8	38	147	64		14		
Single	237	82	2	80	84	71	36.	1	-		
Separated	33	1	-	1	3	29	6	19	4		
Divorced	23	-		-	2	21	4	12	5		
Widowed'	6	_	,	-	-	6	1	2	3		
Not reported	4	2	-	2	-	2	1	1	-		
Persons living in house								غ د			
1.	80	3		3	19	58			9		
2-3	120	18	-	18	35	67	1		10		
4-7	224	49	1	, 48	56	119			5		
8 or more	63	22	1	21	15	26	1		2		
Not reported	9	1	-	1	2	6	3	1	2		
Government training											
Yes	81	28	-	28	19	34	1		2		
No	408	65	. 2	63	107	236	I	112	24		
Not reported	7	-	j -	-	1	6	-	6	-		

PROFILE OF 500 NEGRO MEN ARRESTED IN THE DETROIT RIOT, 1967

(Percent distribution by age)

Characteristics Number Per-cent Total Under 16 19 24 Total 25-24 30-29 44 49 over Total number interviewed 496 100.0 18.7 0.4 18.3 25.6 55.6 22.6 27.8 5.2 Years of school completed Total reported	Selected	To	tal	ļ	Under 2	20	A Į	ge I	25 an	<u> </u>	
Detail D		Num	Por	 	<u> </u>	T	20	Total		т	
Years of school completed Total reported 491		I		lotai	3	1	1	lotai			over
Total reported 491 100. 0 18. 3 .4 17. 9 25. 9 55. 8 22. 8 27. 7 5. 3 Under 8 years 39 100. 0 7. 7 - 7. 7 10. 3 82. 1 10. 3 41. 0 30. 8 8 years 22 100. 0 9. 1 - 9. 1 - 90. 9 18. 2 59. 1 13. 6 9-11 years 248 100. 0 19. 2 - 8. 1 19. 2 27. 6 53. 2 25. 0 26. 9 1. 13. 6 Over 12 years 26 100. 0 3. 38. 5 61. 5 26. 9 30. 8 Employment status Total reported 490 100. 0 18. 6 .4 18. 2 25. 5 55. 9 22. 9 27. 8 5. 5 Employment status Total reported 107 100. 0 28. 0 .9 27. 1 31. 8 40. 2 13. 1 20. 6 6. 5 Salary Total reported 15 100. 0 46. 7 - 46. 7 20. 0 33. 3 20. 0 - 13. 3 \$41-60 31 100. 0 38. 7 - 46. 7 20. 0 33. 3 20. 0 - 13. 3 \$41-60 31 100. 0 38. 7 - 38. 7 12. 9 48. 4 9. 7 25. 8 12. 9 \$81-100 74 100. 0 21. 6 - 21. 6 - 24. 3 54. 1 25. 7 25. 7 23. 0 5. 4 \$8121-150 113 100. 0 10. 6 - 21. 6 - 24. 3 54. 1 25. 7 25. 0 23. 8 32. 1 14. 3 Over \$200 19 100. 0 15. 8 42. 2 21. 1 63. 2 Marital status Total reported 492 100. 0 18. 5 - 4 18. 1 25. 8 55. 7 22. 6 27. 8 5. 3 Marital status Total reported 492 100. 0 18. 5 - 4 18. 1 25. 8 55. 7 22. 6 27. 8 5. 3 Merital status Total reported 15. 0 29. 2 55. 8 22. 9 27. 6 5. 3 Merital status Total reported 492 100. 0 18. 5 - 4 18. 1 25. 8 55. 7 22. 6 27. 8 5. 3 Marital status Total reported 100. 0 16. 7 33. 3 50. 0 Persons living in house Total reported 100. 0 18. 5 - 4 18. 1 25. 8 55. 7 22. 6 27. 8 27. 1 27.	Total number interviewed	496	100.0	18. 7	0.4	18.3	25.6	55.6	22.6	27. 8	5. 2
Under 8 years 8 years 22 100.0 9.1 - 9.1 - 9.1 - 90.9 18.2 15.1 3.6 8 years 9-11 years 248 100.0 22.2 8 21.4 28.2 49.6 23.4 23.0 3.2 12 years 156 100.0 19.2 - 19.1 27.6 53.2 25.0 26.9 1.3 60.5 26.9 30.8 3.8 27.2 27.6 53.2 25.0 26.9 1.3 60.5 26.9 30.8 3.8 27.2 27.6 53.2 25.0 26.9 1.3 60.5 26.9 30.8 3.8 27.2 27.6 53.2 25.0 26.9 1.3 3.8 27.2 27.6 53.2 25.0 26.9 1.3 3.8 27.2 27.6 27.8 5.3 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2 27											
8 years 9-11 years 248 100, 0 9.1 - 9.1 - 90, 9 18.2 59, 1 13.6 9-11 years 156 100, 0 19.2 - 19.2 27.6 53.2 25.0 26.9 1.3 3.8			1		.4		I .		1		5. 3
9-11 years 156 100.0 19.2 2.8 21.4 28.2 49.6 23.4 23.0 3.2 12 years 26 100.0 19.2 - - 38.5 61.5 26.9 30.8 3.	•				-		10.3			1	
12 years	•				-		1		,	1	13.6
Over 12 years	•				.8						
Employment status Total reported 490					-	19. 2					1.3
Total reported 490	Over 12 years	26	100.0	-	-	-	38. 5	61.5	26. 9	30. 8	3.8
Employed Not employed 100.0 15.9 .3 .3 .15.6 23.8 60.3 25.6 29.8 5.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .	Employment status					į					
Not employed					. 4				22. 9	27.8	5.3
Salary Total reported 402				. ,							5. 0
Total reported 402 100.0 15.7 - 15.7 24.9 59.5 25.1 28.9 5.5	Not employed	107	100.0	28. 0	.• 9	27. 1	31.8	40. 2	. 13. 1	20. 6	6. 5
Under \$40	Salary							Ì			
Under \$40	Total reported	402	100.0	15. 7		15. 7	24. 9	59.5	25. 1	28. 9	5. 5
\$41-60				1	_ [
\$61-80	·		1		_ [T I				
\$81-100	\$61-80	42			_						
\$101-120	\$81-100	74			_			i i			
\$121-150	\$101-120	80	T I		_	-					
\$151-200 Over \$200 Marital status Total reported 492	\$121-150	113			_						
Over \$200 19 100.0 - - - 15.8 84.2 21.1 63.2 - Marital status Total reported 492 100.0 18.5 .4 18.1 25.8 55.7 22.6 27.8 5.3 Married 193 100.0 4.1 - 4.1 19.7 76.2 33.2 35.8 7.3 Single 237 100.0 34.6 .8 33.8 35.4 30.0 15.2 14.8 - Separated 33 100.0 3.0 - 3.0 9.0 87.9 18.2 57.6 12.1 Divorced 23 100.0 - - - 8.7 91.3 17.4 52.2 21.7 Widowed 6 100.0 - - - 8.7 91.3 17.4 52.2 21.7 Persons living in house 4 18.9 .4 18.5 25.7 55.4 22.4 28.1 4.9 1 80 100.0 15.0 - 15	\$151-200				_		i i				
Total reported 492 100.0 18.5 .4 18.1 25.8 55.7 22.6 27.8 5.3 Married 193 100.0 34.6 .8 33.8 35.4 30.0 15.2 14.8 - Separated 23 100.0 - - 8.7 91.3 17.4 52.2 21.7 Widowed 6 100.0 - - - 100.0 16.7 33.3 50.0 Persons living in house Total reported 487 100.0 18.9 .4 18.5 25.7 55.4 22.4 28.1 4.9 1 2 - 3 120 100.0 15.0 - 15.0 29.2 55.8 23.3 24.2 8.3 4-7 224 100.0 21.9 .5 21.4 25.0 53.1 23.7 27.2 2.2 Government training Total reported 489 100.0 19.0 .4 18.6 25.8 55.2 22.9 27.0 5.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		I	-	-	-					-
Total reported 492 100.0 18.5 .4 18.1 25.8 55.7 22.6 27.8 5.3 Married 193 100.0 34.6 .8 33.8 35.4 30.0 15.2 14.8 - Separated 23 100.0 - - 8.7 91.3 17.4 52.2 21.7 Widowed 6 100.0 - - - 100.0 16.7 33.3 50.0 Persons living in house Total reported 487 100.0 18.9 .4 18.5 25.7 55.4 22.4 28.1 4.9 1 2 - 3 120 100.0 15.0 - 15.0 29.2 55.8 23.3 24.2 8.3 4-7 224 100.0 21.9 .5 21.4 25.0 53.1 23.7 27.2 2.2 Government training Total reported 489 100.0 19.0 .4 18.6 25.8 55.2 22.9 27.0 5.3	Marital status				1	j					
Married Single 193 100.0 4.1 - 4.1 19.7 76.2 33.2 35.8 7.3 Separated Divorced Widowed 33 100.0 - - 8.7 91.3 17.4 52.2 21.7 Widowed 6 100.0 - - - 8.7 91.3 17.4 52.2 21.7 Persons living in house Total reported 487 100.0 18.9 .4 18.5 25.7 55.4 22.4 28.1 4.9 1 80 100.0 3.8 - 3.8 23.8 72.5 17.5 43.7 11.3 2-3 120 100.0 15.0 - 15.0 29.2 55.8 23.3 24.2 8.3 4-7 224 100.0 21.9 .5 21.4 25.0 53.1 23.7 27.2 2.2 2 Government training Total reported 489 100.0 19.0 .4 18.6 25.8 55.2 22.9 27.0 5.3		492	100.0	18.5	. 4	18 1	25 8	55 7	22 6	27 8	5 3
Single Separated Divorced Widowed Persons living in house Total reported 487 100.0 18.9 12-3 120 100.0 15.0 15.0 17.5 43.7 11.3 2-3 120 100.0 15.0 - 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	Married				-	4 1	19 7	76 2			
Separated Divorced Divorced Widowed Persons living in house Total reported 487 1					1						-
Divorced Widowed 23 100.0 8.7 91.3 17.4 52.2 21.7 Widowed 6 100.0 100.0 16.7 33.3 50.0 Persons living in house Total reported 487 100.0 18.9 .4 18.5 25.7 55.4 22.4 28.1 4.9 1 80 100.0 3.8 - 3.8 23.8 72.5 17.5 43.7 11.3 2-3 120 100.0 15.0 - 15.0 29.2 55.8 23.3 24.2 8.3 4-7 224 100.0 21.9 .5 21.4 25.0 53.1 23.7 27.2 2.2 8 or more 63 100.0 34.9 1.6 33.3 23.8 41.3 22.2 19.1 - Government training Total reported 489 100.0 19.0 .4 18.6 25.8 55.2 22.9 27.0 5.3	9										12 1
Widowed 6 100.0 - - - - 100.0 16.7 33.3 50.0 Persons living in house 487 100.0 18.9 .4 18.5 25.7 55.4 22.4 28.1 4.9 1 80 100.0 3.8 - 3.8 23.8 72.5 17.5 43.7 11.3 2-3 120 100.0 15.0 - 15.0 29.2 55.8 23.3 24.2 8.3 4-7 224 100.0 21.9 .5 21.4 25.0 53.1 23.7 27.2 2.2 2 8 or more 63 100.0 34.9 1.6 33.3 23.8 41.3 22.2 19.1 - Government training Total reported 489 100.0 19.0 .4 18.6 25.8 55.2 22.9 27.0 5.3	•		i i	_							
Total reported 487 100.0 18.9 .4 18.5 25.7 55.4 22.4 28.1 4.9 100.0 3.8 - 3.8 23.8 72.5 17.5 43.7 11.3 2-3 120 100.0 15.0 - 15.0 29.2 55.8 23.3 24.2 8.3 4-7 224 100.0 21.9 .5 21.4 25.0 53.1 23.7 27.2 2.2 8 or more 63 100.0 34.9 1.6 33.3 23.8 41.3 22.2 19.1 - Government training Total reported 489 100.0 19.0 .4 18.6 25.8 55.2 22.9 27.0 5.3	•			-	-	-					
Total reported 487 100.0 18.9 .4 18.5 25.7 55.4 22.4 28.1 4.9 100.0 3.8 - 3.8 23.8 72.5 17.5 43.7 11.3 2-3 120 100.0 15.0 - 15.0 29.2 55.8 23.3 24.2 8.3 4-7 224 100.0 21.9 .5 21.4 25.0 53.1 23.7 27.2 2.2 8 or more 63 100.0 34.9 1.6 33.3 23.8 41.3 22.2 19.1 - Government training Total reported 489 100.0 19.0 .4 18.6 25.8 55.2 22.9 27.0 5.3	Persons living in house										
1		487	100.0	18 9	4	18 5	25 7	55 4	22 4	28 1	4 Q
2-3 4-7 8 or more 120 100.0 15.0 - 15.0 29.2 55.8 23.3 24.2 8.3 2.2 21.4 25.0 53.1 23.7 27.2 2.2 33.3 24.2 8.3 25.8 41.3 25.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 28.3 28.3 28.3 29.2 29.2 29.2 29.2 29.2 29.2 29.2 29											
4-7 8 or more 224 100.0 21.9 1.6 21.4 25.0 53.1 23.7 27.2 2.2 3.3 Government training Total reported 489 100.0 19.0 19.0 18.6 25.8 55.2 22.9 27.0 5.3					_						
8 or more 63 100, 0 34, 9 1. 6 33, 3 23, 8 41, 3 22, 2 19, 1 - Government training Total reported 489 100, 0 19, 0 . 4 18, 6 25, 8 55, 2 22, 9 27, 0 5, 3		i i		Ł	i						
Total reported 489 100.0 19.0 .4 18.6 25.8 55.2 22.9 27.0 5.3					. 1						
Total reported 489 100.0 19.0 .4 18.6 25.8 55.2 22.9 27.0 5.3	Government training										
	<u> </u>	489	100 0	19 0	4	18 6	25 0	55 2	22 0	27 0	5 2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	——————————————————————————————————————										
No 408 100. 0 15. 9 .5 15. 4 26. 2 57. 8 24. 5 27. 5 5. 9	ſ										



PROFILE OF 500 NEGRO MEN ARRESTED IN THE DETROIT RIOT, 1967

(Percent distribution by characteristics)

	(r cr cen	Age							
	Total	1	Under 2	20			25 and over		
Selected characteristics		Total			20- 24	Total	F	30- 44	45 and over
Total number interviewed	496	93	2	91	127	276	112	138	26
Years of school completed Total reported Percent Under 8 years 8 years 9-11 years 12 years Over 12 years	491 100.0 7.9 4.5 50.5 31.8 5.3	90 100.0 3.3 2.2 61.1 33.3	2 100.0 - 100.0	88 100. 0 3. 4 2. 3 60. 2 34. 1	3. 1	11.7 7.3 44.9 30.3	3.6	9. 6 41. 9 30. 9	26 100.0 46.2 11.5 30.8 7.7 3.8
Employment status Total reported Percent Employed Not employed	490 100, 0 78, 2 21, 8	91 100. 0 67. 0 33. 0	2 100.0 50.0 50.0	89 100. 0 67. 4 32. 6	125 100. 0 72. 8 27. 2	84. 3	i .		26 100. 0 73. 1 26. 9
Total reported Percent Under \$40 \$41-60 \$61-80 \$81-100 \$101-120 \$121-150 \$151-200 Over \$200	402 100.0 3.7 7.7 10.4 18.4 19.9 28.1 7.0 4.7	63 100. 0 11. 1 19. 0 9. 5 25. 4 14. 3 19. 0 1. 6		63 100. 0 11. 1 19. 0 9. 5 25. 4 14. 3 19. 0 1. 6	100 100.0 3.0 4.0 8.0 18.0 29.0 25.0 10.0 3.0	2. 1 6. 3 11. 7 16. 7 17. 6	3. 0 3. 0	6. 9 15. 5 14. 7 16. 4 28. 4	22 -100. 0 -9. 1 -18. 2 -9. 1 -18. 2 -13. 6 -13. 6 -18. 2
Marital status Total reported Percent Married Single Separated Divorced Widowed	492 100. 0 39. 2 48. 2 6. 7 4. 7 1. 2	8.8	2 100. 0 - 100. 0 - -	89 100.0 9.0 89.9 1.1	127 100. 0 29. 9 66. 1 2. 4 1. 6	274 100. 0 53. 6 25. 9 10. 6 7. 7 2. 2	111 100.0 57.7 32.4 5.4 3.6 .9	137 100.0 50.4 25.5 13,9 8.8 1.5	26 100.0 53.8 - 15.4 19.2 11.5
	487 100. 0 16. 4 24. 6 46. 0 12. 9	92 100. 0 3. 3 19. 6 53. 3 23. 9	2 100. 0 - 50. 0 50. 0	90 100. 0 3. 3 20. 0 53. 3 23. 3	125 100.0 15.2 28.0 44.8 12.0	270 100. 0 21. 5 24. 8 44. 1 9. 6		137 100. 0 25. 5 21. 2 44. 5 8. 8	24 100. 0 37. 5 41. 7 20. 8
	489 100. 0 16. 6 83. 4	93 00. 0 30. 1 69. 9	-	91 100: 0 30. 8 69. 2	126 100. 0 15. 1 84. 9			132 100. 0 15. 2 84. 8	26 100.0 7.7. 92.3

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONNAIRÉ

(Total number interviewed-496)

1. Age		5.	Kind of work y	ou do	1/
Number P	ercent		·	Number	Percent
Total reported 496 Under 16 years 2 16-19 years 91 20-24 years 127 25-29 years 112 30-44 years 138 45 and over 26 Not reported	100.0 .4 18.3 25.6 22.6 27.8 5.2		Total reported Operative Service Automobile plant Laborers Craftsmen Other Not reported	430 124 79 59 52 32 84 66	100. 0 28. 8 18. 4 13. 7 12. 1 7. 4 19. 5
2. Sex M F (circle p	olease)	6.	Salary paid by	that job 1	per week
Only men were interviewed	i.]		T	Number	Percent
3. Highest school grade com Number F			Total reported Under \$40 \$41-60	15 31	100. 0 3. 7 7. 7
Total reported 491 Under 8 years 39 8 years 22 9-11 years 248 12 years 156 Over 12 26 Not reported 5	100. 0 7. 9 4. 5 50. 5 31. 8 5. 3		\$61-80 \$81-100 \$101-120 \$121-150 \$151-200 Over \$200 Not reported	42 74 80 113 28 19 94	10. 4 18. 4 19. 9 28. 1 7. 0 4. 7
4. Employed Unemploy	ed	7.	If on aid programmer sum provided \$	<u>_</u>	e weekly Percent
	100.0 78.2 21.8		Receiving aid Not receiving a [Amount of aid by sufficient meaningful.]	aid 477 was not r	eported



8.	City and state	of birth	,	Divorced Widowed	23 6	4.7 1.2
		<u>Number</u>	Percent	Not reported	4	-
	T-4-1	402	100.0	12. Number of o	children	you have
	Total reported		100.0	<u>1</u> /		•
	Detroit	174	35.4		Number	Percent
	Other Michiga		2. 4		114111111111111111111111111111111111111	<u> </u>
	Deep South 2/			Total reported	447	100.0
		110	22. 4	0	170	38.0
•	Not reported	. 4	-	1	85	19.0
				2-4	154	
9.	Years in Detroi	t (if mon	ths, print	5 and over	38	8.5
	M after figure)_	<u>I</u>	/ -	Not reported		6. 3
		Number	Percent	The state of the s	- /	
		40=		13. When you wer	e growin	g up, were
	Total reported		100.0	your parents	: Togeth	ner
	_	29		Separated or		
	1-5 years			•		
	6-15 years				Number	Percent
	Over 15 years		61. 2			
	Not reported	9	-	Total reported		
				${\tt Together}$		
10.	Religion (don't p	orobe)	1/	Not together		40.0
	Do you attend c			Not reported	3	-
•			D	14. Who do you 1	ive with?	<u>1</u> /
		number	Percent			
	•	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
	Religion	-				
	Religion Total reported	450	100.0	Total reported	490	100.0
	Religion Total reported Protestant	450 359	100.0 79.8	Total reported Wife	490 41	100.0
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic	450 359 44	100.0 79.8 9.8	Total reported Wife Family	490 41 119	100.0 8.4 24.3
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim	450 359 44	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7	Total reported Wife Family Both parents	490 41 119 49	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None	450 359 44 3 28	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father	490 41 119 49 15	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other	450 359 44 3 28 16	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother	490 41 119 49 15 80	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None	450 359 44 3 28	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported	450 359 44 3 28 16 46	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other	450 359 44 3 28 16 46	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2 3.6	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported	450 359 44 3 28 16 46	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2 3.6	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone Other	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported Church Attendar	450 359 44 3 28 16 46	100. 0 79. 8 9. 8 . 7 6. 2 3. 6	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported Church Attendam Total reported	450 359 44 3 28 16 46	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2 3.6	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone Other	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3 18.4
	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported Church Attendar Total reported Yes	450 359 44 3 28 16 46	100. 0 79. 8 9. 8 . 7 6. 2 3. 6	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone Other (YMCA, etc.	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21 90	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3 18.4
; 11.	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported Church Attendar Total reported Yes No	450 359 44 3 28 16 46 46 175 233	100. 0 79. 8 9. 8 . 7 6. 2 3. 6	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone Other (YMCA, etc. Not reported	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21 90) 12 6	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3 18.4
11.	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported Church Attendar Total reported Yes No Not reported Marital status	450 359 44 3 28 16 46 46 175 233 88	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2 3.6 -	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone Other (YMCA, etc. Not reported 15. How many per in your house?	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21 90) 12 6	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3 18.4 2.4
11.	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported Church Attendar Total reported Yes No Not reported Marital status	450 359 44 3 28 16 46 46 175 233 88	100. 0 79. 8 9. 8 . 7 6. 2 3. 6	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone Other (YMCA, etc. Not reported 15. How many per in your house?	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21 90) 12 6	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3 18.4
11.	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported Church Attendar Total reported Yes No Not reported Marital status	450 359 44 3 28 16 46 46 175 233 88	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2 3.6 -	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone Other (YMCA, etc. Not reported 15. How many per in your house?	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21 90) 12 6	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3 18.4 2.4
11.	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported Church Attendar Total reported Yes No Not reported Marital status	450 359 44 3 28 16 46 46 175 233 88	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2 3.6 - 100.0 42.9 57.1	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone Other (YMCA, etc. Not reported 15. How many per in your house?	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21 90) 12 6	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3 18.4 2.4
; 11.	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported Church Attendar Total reported Yes No Not reported Marital status	450 359 44 3 28 16 46 46 48 175 233 88	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2 3.6 - 100.0 42.9 57.1	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone Other (YMCA, etc. Not reported 15. How many per in your house?	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21 90) 12 6 rsons live	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3 18.4 2.4 2.4 Percent
11.	Religion Total reported Protestant Catholic Muslim None Other Not reported Church Attendar Total reported Yes No Not reported Marital status Total reported Marital status	450 359 44 3 28 16 46 46 175 233 88 Jumber 492 193	100.0 79.8 9.8 .7 6.2 3.6 - 100.0 42.9 57.1 - Percent	Total reported Wife Family Both parents Father Mother Other relative Friends Alone Other (YMCA, etc. Not reported 15. How many per in your house?	490 41 119 49 15 80 s 63 21 90) 12 6 rsons live	100.0 8.4 24.3 10.0 3.1 16.3 12.9 4.3 18.4 2.4

	Nun	nber_	Percent	20.	What is your fav	orite amu	isement?
	8 or more Not reported	63 9	12. 9 -			Number	Percent
16.	Have you ever		•		Total reported Sport (basebal	l1 ,	100.0
		Number	Percent		fishing pool etc.)	286	52. 8
	Total reported Yes No	480 241 239	100.0 50.2 49.8		Music or othe cultural actities (reading	vi-	
	Not reported	16	-		class, etc.)	112 20	20. 7 3. 7
17.	Have you been retraining, you other such prog	uth, poveram? Ye	erty, or		TV, radio Movies "Drink," "women," "meeting		4. 1
		Number	Percent		people," etc	. 26 76	4.8 14.0
					Not reported	23	-
	Total reported Yes	489 81	100.0 16.6	21.	Have you ever	had a nerv	ous con-
	No	408	83.4		dition that any	_	_
	Not reported	7	-		should see a do		
	Program 3/	-	-		No If yes, seemed to be		
18.	What do you th	ink of it?_	<u>4</u> /			_	Percent
		Number	Percent				
			100.0		Total reported	492 68	100.0 13.8
	Total reported		100.0 82.4		Yes No	424	86. 2
	Favorable Unfavorable	84 14	13.7		Not reported	4	-
	Other respons		3. 9		110t Tepar tea	_	
	Not reported	397	-	22.	Who is your leader?		e Negro
19.	What clubs or you belong to?_	/	tions do			Number	Percent
		Number	Percent	·	Total reported Rev. Martin	363	100.0
	Total reported National frate		100.0		Luther King Stokely Car-	178	49.0
	(Elk, Mason etc.)	., 28	26. 4		michael Adam Claytor	52 n	14. 3
	Localathlet	ic 25	23.6		Powell Muhammad	24	6.6
	Church affilia		6.6		Ali	21	5.8
	Union Civil Rights	16	15. 1		Malcolm X Elijah Muhan	20 -	5.5
	(NAACP, et	c.) 16	15.1		mad	12	3. 3
	Other	14	13.2		Other	56	15.4
•	Not reported	410	-		Not reported	133	-
					ಭ		

23.	Why?	_			Helped Negro		
	<u></u>	Number	Percent	•	people (ac-		
	Total reported	345	100.0		complish- ments)	31	31.3
	Like what he				Doesn't preac		31.3
•	says (pro-				hate (non-		
	gram)	157	45.5		violence)	16	16.2
	Helped Negro				Says what he		
	people (ac-				means	13	13.1
	complish-	10	20.0		Forceful or		
	ments)	69	20.0		violent ap-		
	Doesn't preach	ì			proach	7	7. 1
	hate (non-	22	0 3.		Other	6	6. 1
	violence)	32	9. 3		Not reported	397	-
	Says what he	1 77	4 0				
	means	17	4. 9	26.	Please name oth	er Negro	leaders
	Forceful or				you have heard		
	violent ap-	11	2 2) ou nuvo nouru		'
	proach	11	3. 2			Number	Percent
	Leads in the						
	right direc- tion	21	6. 1		Total reported	644	100.0
	Other	38			Muhammad		
		151	11.0		Ali	22	3. 4
	Not reported	151	-		Stokely Car-		
					michael	97	15.1
24,	Who do you like	ce seco	nd best?		Elijah Muham	-	
٠	5/				mad	28	4. 3
	V	lumber	Percent		Rev. Martin		
	_	···			Luther King	158	24.5
	Total reported	262	100.0		Malcolm X	42	6.5
	Rev. Martin		-		Adam Clayton		
	Luther King	57	21.8		Powell	71	11.0
	Stokely Car-				Other	226	35. 1
	michael	28	10.7		Not reported	126	-
	Adam Clayton						
	Powell	18	6.9	27.	_		•
	Muhammad				like most to b	e like?_	
	Ali	8	3. 1			Number	Percent
	Malcolm X	11	4. 2		Total reported	356	100.0
	Elijah Muham-				''Myself''	62	17.4
	mad	22	8. 4		Rev. Martin	02	11. 4
	Other	118	45. 0		Luther King	40	11.2
	Not reported	239	-		Muhammad	40	11. 2
					Ali	31	8. 7
25.	Why?				John F. Kenn-		0. 1
					edy	25	7. 0
	ľ	Number	Percent		Stokely Car-	23	7. 0
					michael	6	1.7
	Total reported	99	100.0		Elijah Muham-		± • f
	Like what he		• -		mad	6	1.7
	says (pro🔭				Malcolm X	ì	. 3
	gram)	26	26.3		Sports figures	48	13.5
	•				- 5	-	

		Number	Percent			395	82. 1
	Entertainers	47	13.2		Not reported	15	•
	Other	90	25. 3		Relationship l	1	
	Not reported		25. 5				100.0
	1100 A Chortea	110			Total report		6.0
20	777 * 9 9 4 9				Relative	53	_
28.	Will there ever				Friend Casual ac-		03. 1
	the Negro ha				quaintanc		20. 2
	white man has?				Other	9	10.7
	When?Yea	ars			Not reported	•	10. 1
		Number	Percent		110t Toportod	112	
	Total reported	458	100.0	31.	When did you	ı last tal	lk to him
	Yes	347	75.8		about such th		
	No	111	24. 2		at start of ric	ot, a few l	hours be-
	Not reported		-		fore riot, the		
(-	.				days before, v		-
	Total reported	380	100.0		before, month		
	Under 10 year		24.5		Circle correc		
	10-20	103	27. 1		fill in OTHER:	·	
	21-40	32	8.4			NT 1	D .
	40 plus	74	19.5			Number	Percent
	Never	7 8	20.5		Total mamanta	3 477/	100 0
	Not reported	_	-		Total reported		
• •	-				During riot		
29.	What is the bes				At start of r		6.0
	gro to go ab	out getti	ng civil		A few hours before riot		4 =
	rights?	<u>r</u> /				_	4. 5
	-	Numbei	Percent		The day befo		7.5
		<u> </u>	Percent		A few days b	4	6.0
	Total reported	565	100.0		A week befor		9. 0
	Nonviolent,	303	100.0		Weeks before	_	
	political				Month or mo	-	9. 0
	action	158	28. 0		before	15	22 1
	United	136	20. 0		Other	6	22. 4
	action	119	21. 1		Not reported		11.9
	Education	89	15. 8		140t Teported	747	-
	Raise self-	0 /	15. 0	2.2	T 41		1 ,
	esteem	58	10.3	32.	Is there any pe		
	Force and	30	10. 3		talk to regular		
	violence	83	14.7		are a bad thing		No
	Other	58	10.3		Relationship _		
	Not reported	85	-			Number	Percent
	1100 10001000	03					
20	Ta 41	1	J		Total reported	478	100.0
30.	Is there any per	•			Yes	268	56. 1
	talk to regularly				No	210	43.9
	are a good thing!		_ 140		Not reported	18	-
•	Relationship	Number	Percent		_		
		 	_		Relationship 1/	ο.	
	Total reported	481	100.0		Total reporte		
	"Yes	86	17.9		Relative	78	31.3

		Number	Percent				
					Rev. Martin		
	Friend	129	51.8		Luther King	g 10	8. 1
	Casual ac-	•	_		Malcolm X	10	8. 1
	quaintance		6.0		Muhammad A	Ali 6	4.9
	Other	27	10.8		Elijah Muhar	n-	
	Not reported	259	-		mad	2	1.6
					Other	23	18.7
33.	When did you	last tal	k to him		Not reported	48	-
	about such thi	ings? Dur	ing riot,				
	at start of rio	t, a few h	ours be-	35.	Is there any i	famous N	egro who
	fore riot, the	day befor	re, a few		thinks these ri	iots are a	bad thing?
	days before, w				YesNo		
	before, month					Number	Percent
	Circle correct		above, or			140111061	<u>r cr ccirr</u>
	fill in OTHER:				Total reported	451	100.0
		Number	Percent		Yes	261	57. 9
					No	190	
	Total reported	220 9/	100.0		Not reported		
	During riot	60-	27. 3		140t Teportee	13	
	At start of ri		7. 7		-		
	A few hours h				W ho		
	fore riot	8	3. 6			Number	<u>Percent</u>
	The day befor	e 14	6.4				
	A few days be				Total re-	269	100.0
	fore	14	6.4		ported 10/	,	
	A week before	e 17	7. 7		Rev. Martin		
	Weeks before	23	10.5		Luther King	185	68.8
	Month or mor	•e			Roy Wilkins		5. 2
	before	36	16.4		Adam Clayto		
	Other	31	14. 1		Powell	7	2. 6
	Not reported	278	-		Dick Gregory	7 5	1.9
					Stokely Car-		
31	To theme any fo	mong No	ara who		michael	4	1.5
J4.	Is there any fathinks these riot				Muhammad A	di 4	1.5
	Yes No_				Elijah Muhan	a -	
	105110	W 110			mad	1	-
		Number	Percent		Malcolm X	1	-
					Other	48	17.8
	Total reported	443	100.0		Not reported	39	-
	Yes	119	26.9				
	No	324	73. 1	36.	What other cit	ies have	had riot
	Not reported	53	-	•	troubles like		
	W ho					Number	Percent
	•						
	<u> </u>	Number	Percent		Total re-		
					ported 5/	1,108	100.0
	Total re-	100			Los Ange-		
	ported 10/	123	100.0		les (Watts)		20.6
	Stokely Car-		a ma		Chicago	192	17.3
	michael	72	58.5		Newark	185	16. 7

	· :	Number	Percent			lem-	38	8. 2
	, 	101	16 2			oit	38 37	8. 2
N.	New York		16. 3			ningham	27	5.8
•	Cleveland	101	9. l			eland	19	4.1
	Milwaukee	20	1.8		Seln	nạ	18	3. 9
	Birmingham	20	1.8					-
	Other cities	181	16. 3	40.		were mos		
37.	Where did you	ı hear ab	out other					
•	cities having s				(Exan	nples: looti	ing, attac	king po-
		*			-	sniping, s		
		Number	Percent		-	: Ask wha	_	
					be do	ing most-	such as,	, mostly
	Total re-				3nipir	g, setting	fires, etc	c.)
	ported 5/	7 30	100.0		-			
	TV	258	35.3			<u> </u>	<u>Vumber</u>	Percent
	Radio	144						
•	Newspapers	238	32. 6		Total			
	Other	90	12.4		-	d <u>1/5</u> /	483	100.0
	Not reported	71	-		Loo	ting,		
	·				bu	rning,		
38	Does your hon	ne have a	TV "	5	fig	hting	226	4 6.8
50.	setRad				Poli	ce vio-		
•	bei Rad	10.			ler	nce		
	•	Number	Percent	-	(be	eating,		
	-		 		do	gs, etc.)	83	17. 2
	Total reported	496	100.0		Foli	ce action,		
	TV and radio		78. 8		no	violent		
	TV only	28	5.6		ar	rests, hold	1	/
	Radio only		8. 7		ba	ck crowds,		
	None	34	6.9	-	eto	3.	26	5.4
•					Cro	wd action,		
30	Have you seen	Danv race	riots on		no	nviolent; or	r	
37.	TV? Yes	_			ac	tion by othe	er	
	Which ones did				off	ficials (fire) —·	
	Willell Olleb ale	i uncy bino			me	en, etc.)		18. 2
		Number	Percent		Othe	er	60	12.4
. *		•			Not r	eported	126	•
	Total reported		100.0			•		
	Yes	376	80.0	41.	What	TV shows	do vou w	atch the
	No	94	20.0	•	most?		,	
	Not reported	26	-	(Televisio Categori	_	ram
٠	Which ones?			(No	specif	ic program		entioned)
		Number	Percent		73 W	estern		
					63 N	ews		
	Total re-	4/2	100 0				L - 10 C	
	ported 10/	463	100.0			otal Sport		
	Watts (L. A.)		29. 8			O Boxing &		ıng
	Newark	92	19. 9			7 Baseball		
	Chicago-	4.4	2 2			l Football		•
	Cicero	46	9. 9		3	6 Sports in	i Genera	Ţ

49	Movies
1.4	Variety Mysteries
13	Comedies
12	A11
8	Specials
7	Science Fiction
6	Cartoons
3	Adventures Dramas Quiz Programs Educational Programs
2	Discussion Programs
1	Spy Movies Space Shows Commercials Army (Documentary) Musicals
332	General Program Category
	(No specific program title mentioned)
309	Specific Program Title Mentioned
64	"None" and "Unknown"
705	Total Responses
(Specific	Television Program Titles ned)
30	I Spy
24	The Fugitive
21	Mission Impossible
19	The Ed Sullivan Show
13	Swinging Time
1.2	The Johnson Compan Charm

12 The Johnny Carson Show

Combat

Bonanza

- 8 The Invaders
 Bill Kennedy
 Star Trek
- 7 Gunsmoke
- 6 The Joey Bishop Show
 Rat Patrol
 The Honeymooners—Jackie
 Gleason
- 5 Cheyenne Green Hornet
- 110 Other titles
- 309 Total references to specific programs
- 42. What newspapers do you read regularly?

	Number	Percent
Total re- ported 5/ Detroit News	636 310	100.0° 48.7
Free Press	167	26. 3
Chronicle	76	11. 9
None	38	6. 0
Other	4 5	7. 1
Not reported	30	-

43. What magazines to you read regularly?

	Number	Percent
Total re-		
ported 5/	715	100.0
Ebony	166	23. 2
Jet	109	15.2
Life	99	13.8
Esquire	15	2. 1
Sports Illus-		
trated	14	2. 0
Look	4 5	6.3
Reader's		
Digest	34	4.8
None	72	10.1
Other	161	22. 5
Not reported	69	-

44. What radio programs do you hear regularly?

	Number	Percent
Total reported	505	100.0
Stations	257	50.9
WCHB	175	34. 7
WCHD	35	6.9
WJLB	28	5. 5
CKLW	7	1.4
WCAR	5	1.0
WXYZ	4	. 8
WJBK	3	. 6
Type of Progra		49. 1
music	108	21.4
News	28	5.5
Personality shows		
(specified)	47	9. 3
Church and	_,	,, ,
religious	18	3.6
Sports	12	2. 4
FM Music	9	1.8
Serials and	•	
adventures	4	. 8
All other	22	4.4
Not reported	96	-

45. How do you feel when you see a race riot on TV?

	Number	Percent
Total re- ported 5/ Critical of police or	418	100.0
other offi-	0.4	, 0.1
cials	3 4	8. 1
Critical of	1.0	2.4
Negroes	10	2. 4
Critical of		
whites or		~ ^
society	30	7. 2
Feel bad, sad	130	31.1
Angry, frus-		
trated, mad	36	8.6
No feeling	37	8. 9
Have sense of	f	
association		ъ

with the		
rioters	58	13.9
Other	83	19.9
Not reported	97	-

46. How did you first find out there was trouble going on in Detroit? (Respondent should answer without prompting; but if no answer, probe into these possibilities: Heard noise on street, friend phoned, was out in street and saw it, saw it announced on TV, heard about it on radio, etc.)

	Number	Percent
Total Reported 1/5/	468	100.0
•		
Friend	102	21.8
Relative	51	10.9
TV - Radio	124	26.5
Saw it in		
street	80	17.1
Other	111	23.7
Not reported	32	-

47. What did that source inform you was happening? (If necessary, probe): Please try to remember his (the source's) exact words.3/

4 8.	After that, who did you tell about
	it? (relationship of person, not
	name):Try to remember
	your exact words 3/ How
	did you inform that person? By
	personal contact By phone

Told who?

	Number	Percent
Total reported	378 -	100.0
No one	184	48.7
Relatives	91	24. 1
Friends	80	21.2
Other	23	6.1
Not reported	122	-

	:	Number	Percent		7-18 h	ours	73	18.8
	•				18-24	hours	11	2.8
	How informed?					4 hours	-	4.4
					Did no		87	22. 4
	Total re-					_		<i>LL</i> . ~
	• • /) 1 1	00.0		Not repo	orted	108	-
	-	, 1	00.0	~~	****		•	
	Personal			52.	Please	describe	what	you saw
	contact 17		89.0					
	By phone 2	21	11.0			<u>ī</u>	lumber	Percent
49.	Try to remen	nber vo	ur exact		Total			
	words.3/	•			reported	15/	477	100.0
		-			Lootin		2	100.0
50	What time of o	did vef	wou hear		,	ng, othe		
50.	about it first?	lay usu	you near		viole	_		4/ 2
	about it liist:	-					221	46.3
	•	Number	Percent		Police			
					milita	•		
	Total reported	421	100.0	3	actio	a	103	21.6
	1-4 am	38	9.0		Fire, o	damage,		
	5-8 am	51	12. 1		broke	n glass	81	17.0
	9-12 noon	112	26.6		People	standing		
					aroun	_	38	8.0
	1-4 pm	74 74	17.6		Other		34	7. 1
	5-8 pm	76	18. 1		Not repo		110	-
	9-12 midnight	36	8.6		110t Topo	iteu	110	-
	After Sunday	34	8. 1					
	Not reported	7 5	-				τ,	
				53.	What we	ere mos	t of th	e people
. .	TI/T - 4 4 4 7 7 7	.		-				kamples:
21.	What time did y							g police,
	scene to find ou							nto more
	on?How							if re-
	after you first	heard a	about it?					
					sponse i	s just s	tanding	around"
	1	Number	Percent		by askin	g "What	else?)
-								
<u>M</u>	That time?	_				NI.	ımber	Percent
	Total reported	403	100.0			140	TITINGI	Tercelle
	1-4 am	16	4.0					
	5-8 am	15	3.7		Total	- <i>1</i>		
	9-12 noon	31	7.7		reported	<u>5</u> /	422	100.0
	1-4 pm	65	16. 1		T4			
	5-8 pm	68	16.9		Looting			
	9-12 midnight	51	12. 7			ng, other		
	Did not go	86	21.3		violen	.ce	220	.52. 1
	_				Police of	or		
	After Sunday	71	17.6		milita			
	Not reported	93	-		action	•	20	4. 7
					action		20	4. (

Fire, damage,

broken glass

People standing

around

Not reported 5/

 ${\tt Other}$

6.9

31.5

4. 7

29

133

20

103

How long after?

Total reported

Under 1 hour

Was at the

scene

1-6 hours

388

24

67

109

100.0

6.2

17.3

28.1

54. What was the worst thing they were doing?

	Number	Percent
Total 15/	2/2	100.0
reported 5/	368	100.0
Looting, fighting, of	hor	
violence	109	29.6
Police or	20,	
military		
action	28	7.6
Fire, damag		27 0
broken glas		37.8
People stand		2 0
around	11	3.0
''Don't know''	, 39	10.6
Other	42	11.4
Not reported	134	-

55. Did you meet any friends there?

	Number	Percent
Total reported	405	100.0
Yes	151	37.3
No	254	62.7
Not reported	91	-

56. If somebody said there was a terrible emergency that was happening someplace, how would you check to find out if it were true?

	Number	Percent
Total reported	l 498	100.0
myself	112	22.5
Telephone	96	19.3
Radio, TV	184	36.9
Phone police	49	9.8
Do nothing	18	3.6
Other	39	7.8
Not reported	. 31	***

57. Just before you went to see the riot, where were you? (Do not suggest—let the respondent; but if necessary probe);

(a)	A1	t home	inside.	(b)
	Δt	home	outside.	(c)

	friend's inside. (d)
	friend's outside. (e)
	de, away from the
	Outside, right at
	s) At a store,
	oowling alley. (h)
At a bar. $(i)_{-}$	Other (spec-
ify)	

Absolute Number	Percent
d 436	100.0
226	51.8
31	. 7.1
37	8.5
22	5.0
y 28	6.4
nt ne 23 69 60	5. 3 15. 8
	Number d 436 226 31 37 22 y 28 at ae 23 69

58. What were you doing just before you went to see the riot?

	Number	Percent
Total reported	416	100.0
At home	120	21 0
(general)	129	31.0
Watching TV	39	9.4
In bed	70	16.8
Sitting on porch (or outside) In transit	19	4.6
(from work visiting) At the scene With friends	37 4	8. 9 1. 0
(talking) Other Not reported	56 62 80	13.5 14.9

59. What do you think caused this trouble in Detroit?

		Number	Percent		Separation o Negro and	f	
	Total				white	7	1.7
	reported1/5/	523	100.0		Don't know	44	
	Police				Other	10	10.9
	brutality	159	30.4				2.5
	Raid on the	159	30.4		Not reported	91	-
	"blind pig" no mention			61.	If the city hir riots, how wo	•	-
	of police						
	brutality	40	7.6			Number	Percent
	Tension and		1.0		Total		
	frustration				reported1/	439	100.0
	resulting fr	om			Would refuse		
	inequality.	65	12.4		to take job	103	23.5
	Lack of job	0.5	14. 4		Talk and		
					reason with		
	opportunitie				people	131	29.8
	low pay, po	or			Use same	131	27.0
	living	- 4	10.0		-		
	conditions	54	10. 3		tactics as	33	7 5
	Agitators, co		_		now	33	7.5
	spiracy	15	2. 9		Use humane	20	, ,
	Don't know	114	21.8		methods	29	6.6
	Other	_			Use greater	_	
	responses	76	14.5		force	7	1.6
	Not reported	13	-		Call in Negro		
60.	What do more the	nle about d	h		leaders	3	. 7
00.	What do you thi				Use force		
	to prevent this		_		against whit	es l	-
	the future?				Have no		
		Number	Percent		solution	35	8.0
	•				Other	97	22.1
	Total				Not reported	66	-
	reported1/	405	100.0		_		
	Better jobs,				Total number	interview	ed -157
	housing, livi	ing			(in remainder	of questic	onnaire)
	conditions,	•		_			·
	financial hel	p,		62a.	In the last ye	ear, how n	nany dif-
	education	102	25.2		ferent times	have you	been out
	More justice				of work?		
	and equality,					Number	Percent
	decent treat						rercent
	ment	85	20.9		Total reported		100.0
	End police	03	20. /		None	58	43.0
	brutality,				1 .	4 3	31.9
	lack of			•	2 3	23	17.0
	understandin	g 64	15.8		3	4	3.0
		_	15.6		4-6	5	3.7
	Talk, reason,		14 1		Over 6	4 5 2	1.5
	cooperation	57	14. 1		Not reported		-
	Enforce law,	20	/ ^	/ 01	-		
	marital law	28	6.9	62b.	Altogether ho	_	
	Impossible to	2	2 2		were you y		
	prevent riots	8	2.0		year?		

		Number	Percent		Over \$200 Not reported	8 6	5.3
	Total reporte		100.0	•	-	-	
	None	36	28.8	64.	In the last few y		-
	1-4	26	20.8		that conditions	for you]	personal-
	5-15		25.6		ly have become	e better,	stayed the
	16-26	19	15.2		same, or becor	ne worse	?
	26 and $over$	12	9.6				~ .
	Not reported	32	-			Number	Percent
62c	. In the last y different en		•		Total reported Have become	154	100.0
	worked?		iavo you		better	82	53.2
		Number	Percent		Stayed the same	38	24.7
	Total manageter	d 126	100.0		Have become	2.4	22 1
	Total reported None	1 120	8.7		worse	34	22. 1
					Not reported	3	-
	1	49	38.9				
	2 3	37	29.4	۷ =	Da 41. 1 1. 41	1 4	:A: C_
		15	11.9	05.	Do you think t		
	4	5	4.0		Negroes genera		
	5 or more	9	7. 1		become better,	-	
	Not reported	31	-		or become wor	se?	
62d.	How many o		-			Number	Percent
	fired you?				Total reported	149	100.0
		Number	Percent		Have become		
					better	85	57.0
	Total reported	118	100.0		Stayed same	37	24.8
	None	107	90.7		Have become		
	1	107 - 8	6.8		worse	27	18.1
		2	1.7		Not reported	8	-
	3	_	-				
	2 3 4	_	_				•
	5 or more	1	. 8	66.	Did you ever h		
	Not reported	39	-		wages taken aw		
	Not reported	3,	_		your employer a debt? Yes		
63.	How much mor	=	•				Percent
	need each we ly?				•		
	•				Total reported		
		Number	Percent				17.8
						125	82. 2
	Total reported	151	100.0		Not reported	5	••
,	Under \$40	3	2.0			•	
	\$41-60	5	3.3	67.	Did any of you	r employ	ers éver
	\$61-80		7. 9	.	fire you because		
	\$81-100	32	21.2		-110 you becaus		
	\$101-120		5.3		Yes	$2^{\frac{12}{2}}$	•
	\$121-150		33. 1			-	
	\$151-200	33	21.9		No and not	166	
	φ191 -2 00	J J	41.7		reported	155	



68. Are you in debt now for?

How much?

51.0

Back rent Car payment Medical expenses Food Clothing Other

Number Percent Total Interviewed 157 100.0 Did not report debts 77 49.0

80

Reported debt Types of indebtedness

Total re-ported 5/ 129 100.0 Rent 34 26.4 Car 17 13.2 Medical exexpenses 29 22.5 Food 3 2.3 Clothing 15 11.6 Other 31 24.0

38

Total indebtedness Total reported 71 Under \$200

> \$201-500 20 \$501-1,000 4 Over \$1,000 Not reported

69. Have you ever had a judgment (a court order to pay a debt) against you? Yes___ No

	Number	Percent
Total reported	131	100.0
Yes	32	24.4
No	99	75.6
Not reported	26	-

70. Have you ever had anything you bought repossessed by the seller? Yes____No____

	Number	Percent
Total reported	136	100.0
Yes	24	17.6
No	112	82.4
Not reported	21	-

71. Were you ever in the Armed Forces? If yes, when-WW II-Korea - Viet Nam . Other

the real state of the state of					
\$	Number	Percent			
Total inter-					
viewed	157	100.0			
Never served	l	•			
in Armed					
Forces	124	79.0			
Served in					
Armed					
Forces	33	21.0			
When					
World					
War II	7	4.5			
Korea	9	5.7			
Viet Nam		.6			
Other	16	10.2			

FOOTNOTES

 $\frac{1}{2}$, Classification developed subsequent to interview based on recorded response.

2/Deep South includes Florida, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas and Texas.

100.0

53.5

28.2

12.7

5.6

Classification not made because of diversity of replies and need for individual analysis. Some persons volunteered opinions on training programs who were not in these programs.

More than one response tabulated. Only 84 of the 86 persons replying "yes" to the above reported.

Only 67 of the 86 persons replying "yes" to question 30 reported. 8/Only 249 of the 268 persons replying "yes" to the above reported. 9/Only 220 of the 268 persons replying "yes;" to question 32 reported. 10/ More than one response was tabulated applicable to "yes" above.

11/Only 191 of the 194 persons who reported that they had informed someone about the riot replied to this question.

12/Applicable to "yes" replies of previous question.

WHERE TO GET MORE INFORMATION

Copies of this publication or additional information on manpower programs and activities may be obtained from the U.S. Department of Labor's Manpower Administration in Washington, D.C. Publications on manpower are also available from the Department's Regional Information Offices at the addresses listed below.

John F. Kennedy Building, Boston, Massachusetts 02203
341 Ninth Avenue, New York, New York 10001
1015 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107
1371 Peachtree Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30309
51 SW. First Avenue, Miami, Florida 33130
801 Broad Street, Nashville Tennessee 37203
1365 Ontario Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44114
219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604
911 Walnut Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106
411 North Akard Street, Dallas, Texas 75201
730 17th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202
300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, California 90012
450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102
506 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104