JC 680 256

ED 021 519

By-Kendra, Lawrence M.; Dalby, J. Philip
A STUDY OF FACULTY COMPENSATION AND SALARY RANGES BY ACADEMIC RANK IN OHIO COLLEGES
UNIVERSITIES AND SELECTED JUNIOR AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS IN THE UNITED STATES.
Pub Date Feb 68

Note-26p.

EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.12

Descriptors-\*FRINGE BENEFITS, \*JUNIOR COLLEGES, SURVEYS, \*TEACHER SALARIES

Identifiers \* Cleveland, Ohio

This summary of a study of salary and fringe benefits provides guidelines for considering teacher compensation in relation to academic rank, length of service, teaching load, and other conditions. Forty-two institutions, in three groups, supplied data: (1) all public and private colleges in Cuyahoga County, (2) all public colleges and universities in Ohio, and (3) a nationwide selection of multi-campus junior colleges. This is not a comprehensive salary study, but is directed to specific aspects of the meaning of "salary" and to the difference between salary schedules and salaries actually paid. It also points out that salary is only one form of compensation, others being retirement benefits, medical insurance, tuition waiver, and life insurance, all in varying amounts. A few other statistically negligible prerequisites were noted, such as sabbatical leave and low-rent housing. The data are shown in tables and can be applied to consideration of either a rank-based compensation schedule or a step-based schedule with superimposed rank. Most junior colleges seem to be developing the latter kind of schedule. (HH)

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

A STUDY OF FACULTY COMPENSATION AND SALARY RANGES BY ACADEMIC RANK IN OHIO COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND SELECTED JUNIOR AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS IN THE UNITED STATES

> Office of Planning and Development Lawrence M. Kendra Research Assistant

J. Philip Dalby
Dean of Planning and Development
Cuyahoga Community College
Cleveland, Ohio

February 1968

UNIVERSITY OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

MAY 24 1968

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR JUNIOR COLLEGE INFORMATION

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION		Page
FACULTY SALARY SCHEDULES, SALARIES PAID AND COMPENSATION OF SELECTED INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION.  Salary Schedules in Use by Sampled Institutions.  Upward Movement.  Salaries and Compensation.  AAUP Compensation Standards.  Pay Increases.  Service Contracts.  Credit-hour and Work-hour Load Requirements.  CONCLUSION.	IST OF TABLES	ii
Salary Schedules in Use by Sampled Institutions.  Upward Movement.  Salaries and Compensation.  AAUP Compensation Standards.  Pay Increases.  Service Contracts.  Credit-hour and Work-hour Load Requirements.  CONCLUSION.	NTRODUCTION	1
Upward Movement. Salaries and Compensation. AAUP Compensation Standards. Pay Increases. Service Contracts. Credit-hour and Work-hour Load Requirements.  CONCLUSION.	ACULTY SALARY SCHEDULES, SALARIES PAID AND COMPENSATION OF SELECTED INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION	3
AAUP Compensation Standards.  Pay Increases.  Service Contracts.  Credit-hour and Work-hour Load Requirements.  CONCLUSION	Upward Movement	3 4 4 5
Credit-hour and Work-hour Load Requirements	AAUP Compensation Standards	6 7
APPENDIX I	Credit-hour and Work-hour Load Requirements	8 9
2		10
		20

ERIC.

## LIST OF TABLES

able		Page
1.	Responses by Institutional Grouping (Excepting Cuyahoga Community College)	11
2.	High and Low Averages of Salary Schedules Reported Compared to Cuyahoga Community College Salary Schedule Range by Rank	12
3.	Steps and/or Years Required to Reach Top of Schedule Within Each Rank of Institutions Reported	13
4.	Ranges of Salaries Paid and Fringe Benefits Provided by Institutional Grouping and Faculty Rank	14
5.	1968-1969 AAUP Average and Minimum Faculty Compensation Standards by Rank as Compared to Projected Average and Minimum Compensation at Cuyahoga Community College	15
6.	Average Dollar Increases of Salary Schedules for 1967-1968 Over 1966-67 by Rank	16
7.	Months of Service Specified by Various Institutions as a Condition of Annual Contracts	.1.7
8.	Full-time Teachers as Defined in Terms of Equated Credit Hours Taught for Quarter and Semester Hours.	18
9.	Weekly Clock-Hour Requirements of Fuil-time Teachers by Institutional Groupings	19

#### INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to summarize the results of the recent salary and fringe benefits study undertaken by the Office of Planning and Development at the request of the Committee on Professional Welfare to help provide useful guide-lines for deliberation on the matter of teacher salaries and compensation with special reference to academic rank, length of service, load and other conditions that may make the interpretation of published annual salary figures more meaningful.

As has been done in the past, three institutional groupings were contacted to obtain data: (1) all of the public and private colleges in Cuyahoga County, (2) all of the public colleges and universities in Ohio (including four community colleges) and (3) multiple campus junior and community colleges selected from all over the United States. 1

A summary of responses is provided in Table 1. A respondent was shown as "usable" if the institution either returned the questionnaire completed or did not disqualify themselves. (one institution in Cuyahoga County declined to participate and one in California returned a completed form but indicated that they were not a multi-campus institution). In many cases answer totals will not equal the response totals because a question did not apply or it was not answered. For example, many of the Junior or Community College Districts have no faculty ranking systems or, if they do, it is not reflected in the salary schedule. Therefore the totals reported may be based on different sized populations.

To be referred to as Junior or Community College Districts

These were not included in the tabulations

In studying this report, the reader should be cognizant of several things, most important of which is the objective as described in the It is not intended as a comprehensive salary study (these have been produced on two previous occasions within the past 15 months), but is directed more to specific aspects of the meaning of salary. Secondly, special attention should be paid to the differentiation between salary schedules and salaries paid, the former representing salary potential within an institution, the latter representing salary realization. Thirdly, it should be noted that there is a difference between compensation and The former includes all payments accruing to or vested in the employee, the latter payments made directly to the employee. For example, in Ohio, after five years of service, all monies paid by the college into the teacher's retirement fund, 11.5 per cent of his contractual salary, are permanently placed in his account and may be drawn upon after the age of 60 regardless of the teacher's place of employment after that time. Because of this, when one compares salaries in Ohio with AAUP compensation scales, this additional percentage must be included.

# FACULTY SALARY SCHEDULES, SALARIES PAID AND COMPENSATION OF SELECTED INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION

## Salary Schedules in Use by Sampled Institutions

Answers were requested to questions regarding current salary schedules being used by the sampled institutions. Six institutions claimed no schedule at all. Two institutions showed no top limit for Professors while one of these showed no top limit for Associate Professors. One university reported only a bottom limit for all ranks with maximums left to contract negotiation. In addition, two institutions show no rank of Professor in their schedules. Ten institutions, all Junior or Community Colleges, reported schedules without faculty rank. These were treated as having only the rank of Instructor. Two Junior College Districts reported ranking but noted that it was not related to salary.

Table 2 shows the high and low averages of salary schedules in each academic rank, including high and low "scheduled salaries" at Cuyahoga Community College. The Cuyahoga Community College schedule shows a higher figure in most cases, except at the rank of Professor, than either Ohio Public Colleges or Junior Community College groupings. Average salaries paid at Cuyahoga Community College in each rank, although not shown here, also compare most favorably with the higher salaries in other institutions at all levels (except for the rank of full Professor).

The figures reported for Cuyahoga Community College in Table 2, include the major-medical cash allowance provided by the college. It was felt that this figure should be included as part of the salary for, in reality, it is optional with the faculty member, who pays income tax



on this amount (±2% of contracted salary), whether to take a major-medical insurance policy or its equivalent in cash.

The high and low figures are shown for Cuyahoga Community College for the rank of full Professor, even though no faculty member holds this rank at the present time. This figure is used only for comparison purposes.

#### Upward Movement

Conjustified to the 42 institutions studied indicated steps and/or year requirements for upward movement within each rank of their salary schedules. The number of steps required for advancement to the top of the various schedules are shown in Table 3. Where different requirements for years and steps were reported the "years" are shown in parentheses. Some colleges require two years service at one step level or another accounting for the differences which are shown in parentheses. One institution reported two steps beyond the maximum attainable after 14 years of teaching experience. As can be seen, considerable variation exists in the time alloted to upward movement in different colleges and universities. (from 6 to 17 steps). Cuyahoga Community College has up to 10 steps in its schedule for Instructors, Associate and Assistant Professors. Two additional steps (11 and 12) are provided for those with professorial rank. Among junior and community colleges six require the doctorate to reach maximum salaries.

### Salaries and Compensations

A listing of salary ranges paid and fringe benefits reported by the responding institutions compared with Cuyahoga Community College is presented in Table 4. The following code indicates the type of benefits

available which are considered part of total compensation:

	Code
Part of Tuition Waiver	1
All of Tuition Waiver	2
Part of Hospitalization	3
Part of Life Insurance	4
Part of Retirement Fund	5
All of Hospitalization	6
All of Life Insurance	7
All of Retirement	8

The coding indicates a ranking of the benefits from the least monetary value to the most valuable. For example: Cuyahoga Community College includes, in addition to the salary paid to faculty contribution to the State Teacher's Retirement System, waiver of tuition for dependents (major-medical life insurance is excluded as it is considered here as part of the teacher's salary). The coding would be 2, and 5.

Perquisites were found to be non-existent in most institutions except for travel expenses or automobiles provided while on school business or to authorized conventions and meetings. Three institutions offered sabbatical leave but only one indicated when it was available (after six years of service). One Junior College District reported a residence allowance while another listed low rent housing available on one of its campuses in lieu of higher salaries.

### AAUP Compensation Standards

Table 5 is a reproduction of 1968-69 AAUP Standards for Compensation of faculty as published in the January 28, 1968 edition of The Chronicle of Higher Education (Volume 11, Number 10). Also included are the projected Cuyahoga Community College average and minimum compensation by rank for that year.

Examination of this table will show that, based upon the present

salary scale, Cuyahoga Community College will stand high and in some cases exceed even the highest AALP standards in all but the full Professor's rank in 1968-1969. Average and minimum compensation for both Instructors and Assistant Professors would be above "AA" while both the average and minimum for Associate Professors will fall between "A" and "AA" (the figures for Cuyahoga Community College must of necessity be near approximations since actual averages by rank cannot be calculated until faculty appointments and promotions are completed for the 1968-1969 calendar year). Compensation for next year's compensation at Cuyahoga Community College were made as follows:

Base Salary (average or minimum for rank)
+ Average Annual Increment for Rank (1967-68 schedule)

+ 11.5% of Base

+ 2.0% of Base 1968-1969 projected average and minimum salary by Ranks

#### Pay Increases

Documentation of average, or actual increases over the last year was difficult due to the great variation in answers received. The simplest and most direct method of converting percentages to dollars was used. Table 6 summarizes the average increase for the institutions sampled.

Cuyahoga Community College shows an average increase for all ranks of 13.12 per cent for the 1967-68 salary over the 1966-67 salaries paid. (The Cuyahoga Community College figure for 1966-67 includes librarians while the 1967-68 average does not). Percentage increases by rank are as follows:

	1966-67	1967-68	Percent Increase
Professor	-	-	-
Associate Professor	\$10,709	\$12,285	14.71%
Assistant Professor	9,523	10,925	14.72%
Instructor	7,770	8,658	11.42%
Average	\$ 8,780	\$ 9,932	13.12%

Cuyahoga Community College exceeds average increases for all cases studied (see Table 6). Some of the individual institutions reported show raises of 12 per cent to 23 per cent; most are in the area of four to seven per cent. The current salary schedule for CCC provides for average annual increases from 3.5% for Professors to 4.8% for Instructors. These increments seem to be off-set by cost of living increases. 1

#### Service Contracts

Teaching contracts were surveyed in order to determine length of annual service. Table 7 is a summary of academic calendars and service contracts in months. The largest group of colleges and universities fall in the category of semester calendar and 10-month contracts--actually 45.5 per cent of total respondents. Institutions using semester calendars, 65.9 per cent, reported nine and ten-month contracts which, except in the case of colleges on trimester calendars, usually represent practicaling the same amount of service time--from 160 to 170 teaching days per year. It is interesting to note that a greater proportion (66.7%) of colleges and universities on a quarter system show nine-month contract rather than This may suggest a somewhat shorter, by a few days, annual service rendered. Colleges or universities using a trimester system accounted for only three of the sample units and each showed a different contract varying from two "long" terms (17 weeks) to a full year of three terms (two "long" terms, and one "short" term of 15 weeks).

The cost of living in 1967 in Cleveland rose 3.01%, nationally just under 4.0%

# Credit-hour and Work-hour Load Requirements

The matter of teacher loads will be studied in more detail separately; however, an attempt was made to determine the basis for a full-time teacher's load in terms of credit hours taught per term and/or clock hours spent in the class room per week.

As can be seen in Table 8, university and four-year colleges require lighter teaching loads than two-year institutions, based only on credit/clock hour considerations. From the limited information available from this study, the common practice in four-year institutions would indicate that 12 credit hours per term and no stated weekly clock-hour commitment constitutes an average "full load," while in junior and community colleges the load seems to be based upon '5 credit hours on the average, with weekly clock-hour commitments actually specified in 13 institutions (see Table 9).

Most colleges and universities that have "load policies" tend to equate credit hours by allowing a one to one ratio for lecture type courses and a two to three (or one to one and one-half) ratio for laboratory and activity type courses.

#### CONCLUSION

The information presented here seems to indicate that Cuyahoga

Community College stands in a most favorable position at the present

time with regard to faculty compensation. Notably, the exceptions are

(1) salaries scheduled (or projected) for full Professors<sup>1</sup> and (2) number fringe benefits.

The emphasis of this study on placement of salaries within an academic rank structure and accompanying "benefits" is to aid the Faculty Professional Welfare Committee in its deliberations with regard to their consideration of developing a rank-based schedule. Should it decide to do so, we believe valuable preliminary guide-lines have been established here.

On the other hand, further development of the present step-based schedule with superimposed rank may be considered more appropriate (evidence seems to indicate that most two-year colleges of the type studied over the past two years have developed this type of schedule). In either case, it is hoped this most recent data will be considered relevant and useful.

February 22, 1968

ERIC Full least Provided by ERIC

Major salary adjustments from 1966-67 to 1967-68 brought
Instructors, Assistant and Associate Professors into line with some
of the top paying colleges according to AAUP Standards and comparison
istudies of Ohio Colleges and Universities and other comparable
community colleges.

## APPENDIX I

## TABLES USED

able		Page
1.	Responses by Institutional Grouping (Excepting Cuyahoga Community College)	11
2.	High and Low Averages of Salary Schedules Reported Compared to Cuyahoga Community College Salary Schedule Range by Rank	12
3.	Steps and/or Years Required to Reach Top of Schedule Within Each Rank of Institutions Reported	13
4.	Ranges of Salaries Paid and Fringe Benefits Provided by Institutional Grouping and Faculty Rank	14
5.	1968-1969 AAUP Average and Minimum Faculty Compensation Standards by Rank as Compared to Projected Average and Minimum Compensation at Cuyahoga Community College	15
6.	Average Dollar Increases of Salary Schedules for 1967-1968 Over 1966-67 by Rank	16
7.	Months of Service Specified by Various Institutions as a Condition of Annual Contracts	17
8•,	Full-time Teachers as Defined in Terms of Equated Credit Hours Taught for Quarter and Semester Hours.	18
9.	Weekly Clock-Hour Requirements of Full-time Teachers by Institutional Groupings	19



TABLE 1

RESPONSES BY INSTITUTIONAL GROUPING (EXCEPTING CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE)

Institutional .	Number of Institutions	Act		f Response Percen	
Grouping	Contacted	Rece ived		Received	•
Cuyahoga County 1	9 .	7	6	77 .8%	66.7%
Ohio Public <sup>2</sup>	· 16	16	16	100.0	100.0
Junior and Community <sup>3</sup> College Districts	23	21	20	91.3	86.9
Total	48	44	42	91.7%	87.5%

All public and private colleges and universities in Cuyahoga County.

All public colleges and universities (including two-year community colleges) in Ohio.

3Multiple campus junior and community colleges in the United States.



TABLE 2

HIGH AND LOW AVERAGES OF SALARY SCHEDULES REPORTED COMPARED TO CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE SALARY SCHEDULE RANGE BY RANK

Institutional Grouping	Number Reported	Professor High	sor	Associate Professor High	iate ssor Low	Assistant Professor High	tant ssor Low	instructor High La	ctor Low
Cuyahoga County	2	\$12,500 <sup>1</sup> \$ 9,7	\$ 9,750	\$10,500	\$ 8,750	\$ 9,500	\$ 7,500	50 \$10,500 \$ 8,750 \$ 9,500 \$ 7,500 \$ 7,750 \$ 6,250	6,250
Ohio Public	=	18,916	11,428	14,787	9,170	12,410	7,835	10,842	6,280
Junior and Community College Districts	91	15,840	12,132	13,593	. 10,180	11,533	8,661	11,914 6,805	9,805
Cuyahoga Community <sup>2</sup> College	-	14,678	11,075	11,075 13,647	10,695	10,968 <sup>3</sup>	9,766	10,148 6,454	454*9

des major-medical cash allowance (£2%)Page 3 ext,

individuals were not eligible salaries exceed this "scheduled" salary because individuals were not year. ble for promotion to next higher rank during current contract because romotion to next higher rank during current contract instructors' salaries exceed this "scheduled" salary assistant professors! See to 3 Some a eligil

TABLE 3 STEPS AND/OR YEARS REQUIRED TO REACH TOP OF SCHEDULE WITHIN EACH RANK OF INSTITUTIONS REPORTED

		NUMBER	OF STEPS (A		;)
Institutional Grouping	ID Number	Professor		Assistant Professor	Instructor
Cuyahoga County	7	7	7	8	. 5
Ohio Public (Other than CCC)	10	N.I.	N.I.	N.I.	15
	11 -	N.1.	7	7	7
Junior and Com- munity College	28				12 (14) <sup>a</sup>
Districts	<b>2</b> 9				14
	30				15 <sup>a</sup>
	32	7	7	7	· · · 7
	33	7	8	9	9
	34		. ao am an ao		12
	35	12	12	12	12
	37	6	6	6	6
	38	##### **	) for and an two year year and an year and di		8 (10) <sup>a</sup>
	39	6	6	6	12
	40	8	7	7	7
	42		10 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	, est 40 am tre est am am	17
•	43		, gas que des que que que del que de ce	. 200 900 000 000 000 000	16 (15)
	44				12 (11)
,	45				13
	48		. <sub>1880</sub> 1880 1881 1880 1880 1881 1881 1881	, and gat gas and gas off gat	14 (15) <sup>a</sup>
Cuyahoga Community College	,	12	10	10 .	10

Parentheses indicate number of years to maximum if different from steps.

aDoctorate specified as required to reach maximum N.I. - None indicated



ERIC Provided by ERIC

.ABLE 4

RANGES OF SALAR; ES PAID AND FRINGE BENEFITS PROVIDED BY INSTITUTIONAL GROUPING AND FACULTY RANK

				Associate	iate	Assistant	ant			Fringe <sup>l</sup>
Institutional Grouping	Number	Professor High L	Ssor	Professor High L	SSOr Low	Professor High L	sor Low	Insti High	Instructor igh Low	Benefits Code Number
Cuyahoga County	-	18,000	10,800	14,000	9,300	14,500	8,300	10,500	6,500	2,6,7
•	4	17,400	10,000	14,000	9,200	10,800	7,000	.8 <sub>3</sub> 000	6,200	2,3,4,5
	7	!	ł	11,100	9,500	9,500	8,000	७००० '४	6,500	6,7.8 <sup>a</sup>
Tohio Public (Other than CCC)	pro-		ŀ	10,850	8,450	9,350	7,550	ê, 400	6,500	2,3,5
	12*	19,000	11,300	16,000	8,900	14,000	7,500	9,500	6,500	2,6,7,8 <sup>b</sup>
	13	12,650	12,650	12,550	10,300	9,100	8,800	9,000	6,500	2,6,7,8
	14	20,000	12,500	16,000	000 6	13,000	2,000	11,000	6,200	NA
	15	20,000	11,000	16,000	8,500	13,000	7,000	11,000	6,000	2,4,6,8
	. 61	20,000	12,100	17,200	10,300	13,000	8,700	.11,150	6,700	
	20	15,200	12,100	15,900	9,600	13,500	7,800	10,700	6,000	1,3,5,7
	21	27,700	12,800	17,499	10,200	12,999	7,800	11,499	6,500	2,6,7,8
			Ţ		111					

Table Continued on Next Page (See footnotes on 14b)

\*Ohio Community College District

TABLE 4 (Continued)

Institutional	٥	Professor	SSOF	Associate Professor	late	Assistant Professor	tan t ssor	Instructor	ıctor	Fringe <sup>l</sup> Benefits
Grouping	Number	High	Low .	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	Code Number
Ohio Public (Other than CCC Continued)	22	19,000	12,000	15,000	10,200	12,400	6,000	10,800	000'9	N
,	24	17,996	11,200	14,500	9,500	12,500	8,500	9,000	6,000	NA
	25*		1	10,500	9,600	9,700	8,300	8,800	7,200	1,5,6,7
Junior and Com- a munity College	1							•		•
UISTRICTS .	/7	i I	;	•	:	;	;	13,900	8,700	. 5,6
	28	!	ŀ	;	ł	ł	1	13,900	6,920	3,5
	29	;	ł	1.	<b>!</b>	1		13,217	7,192	99
	30	1	;	:	!	;	. 1	14,397	6,689	9
	32	16,920	13,560	14,280	11,640	11,860	9,580	10,440	8,520	1,3,4,5
	65	12,100	9,800	10,750	9,050	9,500	7,200	8,450	009"9	2,3
	34		;				:	11,100	000"9	2,3,4
	35	16,700	12,050	14,900	10,650	13,100	9,250	11,000	7,250	2,6,7

ty College District \*Ohio Communi

TABLE 4 (Continued)

ERIC

Institutional Grouping	1 D Number	Professor	SSOF	Associate Professor	iate ssor	Assistant Professor	tant ssor	Instr	Instructor	Fringe <sup>l</sup> Benefits
		1.6.11	FOW	ng i n	LOW	High	Low	High	L.ow	Code Number
Junior and Com-										
Districts (Continued)	36	149,41	12,230	13,759	11,525	13,759	6,467	13,289	5,880	3,4,5
	37	14,200	11,200	12,500	10,000	10,500	8,500	9,000	7,500	2,6,7,8
14	38		!	i	;	1	;	9,650	5,650	2,5
łb	7+0	19,280	13,930	16,130	11,220	13,270	9,600	.10,750	8,350	2,6,7
	41	i	i	13,000	9,300	10,500	6,900	11,000	6,500	5,7
	<del>1</del> 747	1	ŧ				ł	14,870	6,804	. 5
·	48	i.	1.	!	;		ŀ	13,000	6,600	3,5,7
Cuyahoga Com- munity College		!		13,647	11,249	12,749	9,544	10,845	6,495	2,5

See benefit package coding on Page 5

Also pay all of workman's compensation

balso includes parking privileges

Cpay all of major medical only

NA - Question not answered

ERIC

\*Full Tast Provided by EBIC

TABLE 5

1968-1969 AAUP AVERAGE AND MINIMUM FACULTY COMPENSATION STANDARDS BY RANK AS COMPARED TO PROJECTED AVERAGE AND MINIMUM COMPENSATION AT CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE

AAUP Classification	Professor Average Min	ssor Minimum	Associate Professor Average Min	iate ssor Minimum	Assistant Professor Average Min	tant ssor Minimum	Instructor Average Min	ctor Minimum
AA	\$27,000 \$18,180	\$18,180	\$15,500	\$13,250	\$12,000	\$ 9,840	000'6 \$	\$ 7,400
A	21,500	15,260	13,500	11,490	10,700	8,750	8,300	6,810
Ф	17,000	12,700	11,900	076,6	9,560	7,800	7,680	6,320
υ <sub>,</sub>	13,600	10,620	10,400	019'8 .	8,580	6,990	7,140	5,880
0	10,900	8,950	000 6	7,540	7,760	6,310	6,680	5,500
Ш	000*6	7,200	7,900	6,690	7,100	5,780	6,300	5,160
, L.	8,000	6,880	7,200	6,080	6,600	5,370	000*9	2,000
Cuyahoga Com- munity College	14,753	12,825	13,974	12,465	12,109	11,396	9,824	7,613

The College's consalaries for each increment on the current schedule. These figures are projected for 1968-1969 from the 1967-1968 average rank increased by the average annual increment on the current schedul tribution to S.T.R.S. is included.

TABLE 6

AVERAGE DOLLAR INCREASE OF SALARY SCHEDULES FOR 1967-1968 OVER 1966-1967 BY RANK

Institutional Grouping	Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Instructor
Cuyahoga County	\$1,190	\$ 873	\$ 767	\$ 693
Ohio Public	1,464	1,036	785	497
Junior and Community College Districts	1,289	1,110	952	784
Cuyahoga Community College	-	1,576	1,402	888

MONTHS OF SERVICE SPECIFIED BY VARIOUS INSTI-TUTIONS
AS A CONDITION OF ANNUAL CONTRACTS

Institutional Grouping		Se	mes	ter .	Qu	art	er	Tr	imes	ster.		Tota	ıls
	Contract Months	. 8	9	10	8	9	10	8	9	10	8	9	10
Cuyahoga County			2	3		1						3	3
Ohio Public	At Keported		4	2		6	2	1		1	1,	10	5
Junior and Commun College District	ity Num sepo		3	14		1	1	٠	Ţ	-		5	15
Cuyahoga Communit	У		ηa	de que								1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total			29			11			3		ź.	43	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Actual contract 36 weeks



TABLE 8

FULL-TIME TEACHERS AS DEFINED IN TERMS OF EQUATED CREDIT HOURS TAUGHT FOR QUARTER AND SEMESTER CALENDARS<sup>1</sup>

		QUARTE	QUARTER: CALENDARS	Ş			SEMESTE	SEMESTER CALENDARS	RS	
institutional Grouping	12 Hours	12 15 Hours Hours	By Not Contract Specifi	Not Specified	Total	12 Hours	15 Hours	15 By Hours Contract	Not Specified	Total
.Cuyahoga County		0	0	0		5а	0	0	0	r.
Ohio Public	72	7	0	-	<b></b>	7	_	~	0	72
Junior and Community Extending College Districts Zer (Including CCC)	0		•	<b>-</b>	7	e†	15b	<b>o</b>	0	6
Total	9	3	0	2	-	=	16	3	0	29

includes only those institutions reporting teacher load on quarter or semester basis. Three colleges not reported are on trimester systems. Loads vary from 12 to 15 equated credit hours. Laboratory classes equal 1.5 lecture hours. Includes one school with 15-18 equated hour range.

TABLE 9

ERIC -

WEEKLY CLOCK-HOUR REQUIREMENTS OF FULL TIME TEACHERS BY INSTITUTIONAL GROUPINGS

Institutional Grouping	20 Hours	25 Hours	30 Hours	32 Hours	30 32 35 40 Hours Hours Hours	40 Hours	l i	45 None Hours Specified Total	Total
Cuyahoga County	i	ł	ł	;	ł	;	i	9	9
Ohio Public	<b>,-</b> -	1	:	:	ł	;	· —.	14	16
Junior and Community Zel	0	7	9	<b></b>	7	-		ω	20
Cuyahoga Community College	;	-	1	;	1	:		:	_
Total	<b>-</b>	3	. 9	1	2	-	-	28	43

Not differentiated by type of calendar

## APPENDIX II

The following page is a copy of the questionnaire used to survey selected institutions of higher education.



## CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE 1968 SALARY SURVEY

QUE	STIONS		Profe		ciate essor		stant	Instruct		
1.	Current Salary Schedule	High	\$		<b>\$</b> :		\$		\$	
	For the Academic Year 196	Low 196	\$		\$		\$		\$	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						•		·
2. ·	<pre>In order to move from the log salary above:     ahow many year     required?</pre>								. :	
S Z	b. how many step required?	s are								•
3.	What is the top Salary Paid	in each Rank?	·				٠.			
4.	What is the lowest Salary Pa Rank?	id in each				•		•		•
5.	What has been the average ra last three years (actual may		-	·	-					
6.	If the above Salary Schedules of the following benefits, p which are paid all, or in pa	lease indicate								
	employer.	•.•	All	Part	All	Part	All	Part	_A1_1	Par
	Hospitalization									
	Life Insurance									
•	Retirement Fund							,		
	Tuition Waiver								٠	
	Other (Specify)_							•	-	
7.	If the above Salary Schedules	s include any								
	Perquisites please indicate v		Yes	No	Yes	No-	Yes	No	Yes	· No
	Monetary (Amount)					-				-
	Automobile			. •	·		·			
	Res i dence		•							
ED	Travel Allowance	and/or								
ER Full Text Provide	Other (Specify)									į

	For the Academic Year 196 196			•				•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2. *	In order to move from the low to to salary above:  ahow many years are required?	he high			·					
ŭ 1	b. how many steps are required?						<del></del>			
3.	What is the top Salary Paid in eac	h Rank?								
4.	What is the lowest Salary Paid in Rank?	each								•
5.	What has been the average raise du last three years (actual may be us		٠		-	·				
6.	If the above Salary Schedules inclosed of the following benefits, please which are paid all, or in part by	indicate						·		
	employer.		A11	Part	A1.1	Part	A11	Part	A11	Par
	Hospitalization								·	
	Life Insurance									
•	Retirement Fund									
Ÿ	Tuition Waiver									
	Other (Specify)			·	-				٠	
7.	If the above Salary Schedules incl	ude any				1	·			
	Perquisites please indicate which		Yes	.No	Yes	No ·	Yes	No	Yes	No
	Monetary (Amount)		· .							
	Automobile	•	<del></del>				·		•	
	Residence		•				·			
-	Travel Allowance and/o leaves.	r		,				·	·	
	Other (Specify)									
8.	What is your institution's definit () Class hours per week Comments:	ion of a		ime" Teac		eek.	:		•	
	What is the calendar base for the	months your in		_) 11 mon	ths ?	above?	) 1	2 mon	ths	
Full Text Provid	ded by ERIC						<del>-</del> •			