#### REPORT RESUMES

ED 020 781

PS 000 970

HEAD START EVALUATION AND RESEARCH CENTER, TEACHERS COLLEGE, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY. ANNUAL REPORT (1ST), SEPTEMBER 1966-AUGUST 1967. (TITLE SUPPLIED).

BY- THORNDIKE, ROBERT L.

COLUMBIA UNIV., NEW YORK, TEACHERS COLLEGE

PUB DATE 30 NOV 67

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.36 32F.

DESCRIPTORS- ANNUAL REPORTS, \*RESEARCH PROJECTS, PRESCHOOL CHILDREN, \*PERFORMANCE CRITERIA, DISADVANTAGED YOUTH, ACHIEVEMENT GAINS, MATCHED GROUPS, CONTROL GROUPS, \*ITEM ANALYSIS, RATING SCALES, BEHAVIOR CHANGE, GRADE 1, \*INTERVENTION, \*PROGRAM EVALUATION, SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS, LISTENING COMPREHENSION, FEAD START, ZIGLER BEHAVIOR INVENTORY, CALDWELL SOULE PRESCHOOL INVENTORY, BINET PRESCHOOL INVENTORY,

COMPLETE EVALUATION DATA WERE GATHERED ON 162 CHILDREN IN 23 CLASSES IN NINE HEAD START CENTERS. FIVE AREAS EXPLORED WERE--(1) ITEM ANALYSIS OF EVALUATION TESTS--STANFORD-BINET AND THE CALDWELL-SOULE PRESCHOOL INVENTORY, (2) COMPARISON OF AGE-MATCHED GROUPS--43 MATCHED PAIRS WITHIN THE HEAD START FOPULATION (THERE WERE NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES ON THE ZIGLER BEHAVIOR INVENTORY EXCEPT THAT THE CONTROL GROUP RECEIVED MORE FAVORABLE RATINGS IN THE AREA OF JEALOUSY), (3) FOLLOWUP OF CHILDREN FORMERLY ENROLLED IN A PREKINDERGARTEN PROGRAM--20 MATCHED PAIRS OF SECOND GRADERS (DATA COLLECTED FROM SCHOOL RECORDS OF ACHIEVEMENT IN READING, WRITING, AND ARITHMETIC SHOWED NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CONTROL CHILDREN AND CHILDREN WHO HAD ATTENDED PREKINDERGARTEN), (4) CHILDREN'S CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT AND LANGUAGE COMPREHENSION (RESULTS INDICATED THAT WHEN YERBAL INSTRUCTIONS ARE TO BE FOLLOWED, SYNTAX, TEMPORAL ORDER OF ELEMENTS, AND SEMANTICS GUIDE CHILDREN'S ACTIONS), AND (5) EXPLORATORY WORK ON BLOCKBUILDING AS A COGNITIVE INDICATOR (PROTOCOLS WERE COLLECTED ON THE MANIPULATIVE AND VERBAL BEHAVIOR OF 100 CHILDREN). SEVEN TABLES RELEVANT TO THE ABOVE FIVE AREAS ARE INCLUDED IN THE REPORT, ALTHOUGH FORTIONS OF THE REPORT ARE NOT AVAILABLE FROM EDRS. (MS)

 $\infty$ 

0207

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ONE ANATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY NEPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

TEACHERS COLLEGE, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

HEAD START EVALUATION AND RESEARCH CENTER

# ANNUAL REPORT

Activities for Period - September 1966 through August 1967

Submitted by: Robert L. Thorndike November 30, 1967



#### FINAL REPORT

Head Start Evaluation and Research Center Teachers College, Columbia University

#### Introduction

This report summarizes the accomplishments and the problems of the initial year of operation of the Head Start Evaluation and Research Center at Teachers College, Columbia University. The chief accomplishment was that complete evaluation data were gathered on 162 children in 23 classes in 9 Head Start Centers. Centers were located, cooperation was elicited, testers and clerical staff were recruited, and the data were gathered, rostered and supplied to the data-processing center.

For a variety of reasons, the problems were more and the accomplishments less than we would wish. Chief among these reasons was the matter of timing - timing first of all of the basic contracting arrangements, and timing secondly of the series of decisions that determined the Center's activities. This problem was certainly not unique at Teachers College, though it may have been more acute in our case, but it seems worth while to make it a matter of record.

The official contract for the Center was received by Teachers College on October 4, 1966. Long before that time Teachers College had committed itself to salaries for a director and associate director, but the balance of the staff had to be recruited in September and October. At that time, funding of Head Start Centers was still



incomplete, and it was not possible to find anyone in the New York
OEO organization who knew where Head Start Centers were located or
which ones were in operation. Several weeks at the beginning of the
year were spent in merely developing a list of functioning and
potentially available Centers. Details of testing and observational
procedures continued to be worked out by the Research Division of
Project Head Start and the Center Directors until practically the end
of 1966.

Within this context, we recruited staff and testers, and organized for our work. Because of the urgency of the need to get the specified evaluational data, and because of the delays stemming from the late start, the search for Head Start Centers, and the shifting evaluational program, it was not until late in the program that time of either supervisors or assistants was available for the research side of the enterprise. As a result, the research yield of this first year is felt to be rather meager. Most of the effort went into obtaining and processing the evaluation data.

To a degree, the same problems of timing persist. Though funding from September to December 1967 was received in June, fiscal uncertainty beyond that point remains. And though most 1967-1968 evaluation procedures were agreed upon in early September, some uncertainties persist. So our Center is still hampered so far as advance planning is concerned both for personnel and for operations. It is our hope that these conditions will continue to improve if we are to function beyond the 1967-1968 year.



### Personnel

The staffing of the Center is shown below. Three categories of staff are represented: (1) staff on the continuing Center payroll, (2) mental testers working on a per diem basis, and (3) the advisory staff that met periodically for conferences relating to the work of the Center.

### Center Staff

Director: Robert L. Thorndike, Professor of Psychology and

Education. Ph.D. Columbia 1935, Psychometrics and educational research. (on project 1/3 time)

Associate Director: Marvin Sontag, Asst. Professor of Psychology and

Education. Ph.D. New York University, 1967,

Psychometrics and educational research.

(on project 2/3 time)

Project Associate: Mrs. Adina P. Sella, Licencie Es Science d'Education

(Psychologie), University of Geneva, Switzerland 1962.

Project Assistants: Sharon Lerner, M.A. Teachers College, Educational

Psychology 1966.

Karen Perloff, B.A. Barnard, Psychology 1965.

Martha Werman, B.A. Boston University, Education 1966.

#### Mental Testers

Mrs. Sylvia Habas, M.Ed. Rutgers University, Guidance and Personnel 1964.

Edward Kahn, Ph.D. candidate at Teachers College, Counseling.

Brian Maloney, Ph.D. candidate at Teachers College, Educational Psychology.

Edward Morante, Ph.D. candidate at Teachers College, Counseling.

Dorothy Toomey, Ph.D. candidate at Teachers College, Clinical Psychology.



#### Advisory Committee

Millie Almay, Prof. of Psychology and Education, Ph.D. Columbia 1948

Developmental Psychology

Lambros Comitas, Prof. of Anthropology and Education, Ph.D. Columbia 1962 Anthropology

Joseph H. Di Leo, Lecturer in Special Education, M.D. University of Bologna 1927 Pediatrics

Miriam Goldberg, Prof. of Psychology and Education, Ph.D. Columbia 1955 Educational Psychology

Elizabeth P. Hagan, Prof. of Psychology and Education, Ph.D. Columbia 1952 Measurement

Anne McKillop, Prof. of Psychology and Education, Ph.D. Columbia 1951

Educational Psychology

Helen Robison, Associate Prof. of Education, Ed.D. Columbia 1951 Early Childhood Education

Sloan Wayland, Prof. of Sociology and Education, Ph.D. Columbia 1951

Sociology

Mary Alice White, Prof. of Psychology and Education, Ph.D. Columbia 1948

School Psychology

#### RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

The research activities during the period covered by this report were for the most part, exploratory in nature. There was no substantial on-going research program at Teachers College, into which the Head Start research activities could directly integrate. Frof. Almy was working (with an Office of Education grant) on the effects of early programs in mathematics, science and economics on the cognitive development of kindergarten and first grade pupils, but this project did not extend below kindergarten level. Dr. Robison was working on the problem of developing adequate ways of describing the sequence of activities and transactions within pre-school classes, but was fully occupied working outside the Head Start context.

Dr. Goldberg was directing a research program in beginning reading for the New York City schools, but this, once again, dealt with kindergarten and first grade children. Thus, the research activities started essentially from scratch.

Some information will be given on five lines of effort that were carried on during the year.

#### 1. - Item Analysis of Evaluation Tests

with a view to understanding better the nature of the performance and of the changes in cognitive performance of the Head Start children, item analyses were conducted for both the Binet and the Caldwell Pre - School Inventory. These were carried out for both the pre - and the post - tests, so as to provide information on the amount of change on specific items, with the hope that this might give some clues as to the nature of any cognitive gains that were emerging



Data for the Binet are given in Table VI. The data are reported in terms of tests passed or failed, with the tests grouped by age level. Presumably, all the tests at any one age level of the Binet were of substantially the same difficulty level for the original norming population (though one cannot be sure on this point). Table VI shows some interesting irregularities for our Head Start group.

One might note that naming objects from memory (IV-2) and definitions (V-3) stand out as particularly easy in relation to other items at their levels.

In the absence of a control group of average or above-average socio-economic level, these results are only suggestive of a fairly substantial unevenness in the ability profile in the Head Start children.

In order to compare items with respect to gain from pre-test to post-test, percentages passing were converted to deviate values on a normal curve. Gains can be more readily compared for items of different difficulty using this metric. The typical gain was about 9/10 of a standard deviation unit, but Picture Vocabulary showed a gain of only 0.26, in contrast with Picture Identification for which the gain was 1.16, and Differences with a gain of 1.17.

Data for the Caldwell, which are shown in Table VII, were analyzed primarily with a view to evaluating (1) the appropriateness of the items in difficulty for the type and age of child with whom Head Start is concerned and (2) the responsiveness of items to the Head Start experience in terms of change from pre - to post - test.



The values shown in Table VII are in a converted scale in which percent succeeding with the item has been transformed to a deviation from the mean of the normal curve. This type of transformed score was considered to give a scale in more nearly equal units than the original percentage values. Data are grouped into the four sections that represent the four factors that the Caldwell is alleged to measure.

Items vary quite a bit both in initial difficulty level and in amount of change from pre-test to post-test. There is no special tendency for items showing large gains to be clustered in one section of the test more than any other. We are not prepared to offer any general hypotheses to account for the location of the large changes. However, at a level of crude empiricism, it does seem that selecting for future use in studies of the differential effects of differences in Head Start programs those items showing the larger changes would yield an instrument with maximum sensitivity per unit of testing time. This criterion, together with appropriate level of difficulty, has been used in suggesting an abbreviation of the Caldwell for use in the 1967-68 intensive cognitive testing.

# 2. Comparison of Age-Matched Group

One of the perennial problems in the evaluation of outcomes from Head Start programs has been the identification of and assembly of data on an appropriate comparison or "control" group. The span of ages within the Head Start population seemed to provide data for one model of comparison. Essentially, the pattern is to find in the Head Start sample one child whose age at the time of post-test



matches that of another child at the time of pre-test. As many prematched pairs of this sort as could be developed within our data were assembled. No child appeared in the post-test or the pre-test sample more than once, but a given child might appear in both the pre - and post-test samples. That is, a child who was 4-0 at the time of pre-test and 4-6 at the time of post-test might appear in the pre-test sample as the match to a 4-0 post-child and in the post-test sample as the match to a 4-6 pre-test child.

As indicated in Table IX most of the comparisons involving the Ziglers were not significant. One exception was notable. The control group received more faborable ratings in the area of jealousy.



The state of the s

3. Follow-up of Children Formerly Enrolled in a Pre-Kindergarten Program\*

### Introduction

The Norwalk Board of Education had been conducting a prekindergarten program for disadvantaged pupils for the past three years. The program was similar in form and substance to current Head Start programs. The program involved 60 pupils and two teachers. Both teachers are currently Head Start directors in Connecticut (one in Norwalk and one in Stanford). An attempt was made to relate atteendence in this Head Start Program to pupil performance during first grade.



<sup>\*</sup>The project is indebted to Mr. Edward McKenroe and Miss Mary De Lito for their cooperation in this study.

#### **PROCEDURES**

#### Sample

The names of approximately 40 pupils (enrolled in the prekindergarten program during the 1963-64 school semester) in 15 schools who were currently in first grade were submitted to the project. Teachers in the classes in which these children were currently enrolled were asked to select a control child for each of the pupils involved, according to the following criteria:

For each child listed below choose a control child in the same class who has <u>not</u> been exposed to a pre-kindergarten program. Controls are to be selected according to the criteria listed below. Place the control child's name on the appropriate line near the name of the pre-kindergarten child with whom he has been matched.

Criteria for Selection of Controls

- 1. Same sex as the child listed
- 2. Same ethnic group as child listed
- 3. Parental occupation that falls into the same (or closest) category as follows:
- A. Professional and Managerial e.g., Enysician or executive in large firm.
- B. Sales and Technical e.g., lab technician.
- C. Clerical and Related Workers e.g., bookkeeper.
- D. Skilled Labor and Craftsman e.g., shop foreman, carpenter.



- E. Operatives and Kindred Workers e.g., taxi-drivers, meat cutters, semi-skilled factory workers.
- F. Service Workers e.g., waiters, household employees, counterman.
- G. Laborers e.g., carpenters helpers, assembly line workers, teamsters.

If you have a choice of more than one child as a control, choose the one that live in the neighborhood closest to the child who has had pre-kindergarten training.

A good deal of attrition was caused by transfers, incomplete records and the inability of the teachers to select controls. Twenty matched pairs of pre-kindergarten and control subjects were finally available for study.

#### Data

Only data available on school records were employed in this study. Since the pupils involved are currently in grade 2, records were available for grade 1 and kindergarten. The anecdotal descritions in the kindergarten file were too sparse and too vague to be of much use. Final grades were available in the following subject areas: reading, arithmetic and writing. Attendence and lateness data were also available on each child. The only standardized tests employed were in the area of reading readiness, and records of these were too incomplete for use in this investigation.

#### RESULTS

As indicated above, 20 pairs of pre-kindergarten and control



children were available. Table X gives the sex and pertinant data on age for the subjects involved.

The first comparisons made between experimental and control groups was on the basis of grades given at the end of grade 1. Table XI presents those comparisons for reading, arithmetic, and writing.

No significant differences between pre-kindergarten and control children were found. Comparisons between the two groups under consideration were also made on available attendence and lateness data. These data were available for both kindergarten and grade one. Table XII presents these results. The pre-kindergarten group was superior to the control group in grade one attendence. No other differences were significant.

This study was conducted for pilot purposes. Few differences between the groups under consideration were found. A possibility exists that the matching, which was carried out by school personnal was inadequate. That is current achievement may have been taken into consideration in the equation process. Should a similar study be undertaken next year, all matching will be done by project personnel. In addition, an attempt might be made to go beyond: the Norwalk population.



# 4. Children's Conceptual Development and Language Comprehension

The Head Start Evaluation and Research Center provided one half-time research assistant for Dr. Janellen Huttenlocher. Dr. Huttenlocher had been, and was at the time investigating children's comprehension of verbal instructions as a function of certain aspects of grammatical structure. Subjects were to carry out an action, i.e., place a colored block above or below a block of a different color on a "ladder", or place a colored truck in front of or behind a truck of a different color on a "road", in response to an instruction. One object was always the grammatical subject of the statement, the other the grammatical object. In general, the article that was the grammatical subject seemed to be prepotant in determining both the child's action and understanding. A number of variations of the experiment have been carried out, and with a range of different groups. The simple generalization given above tends to be confused somewhat by other interacting effects, and Dr. Huttenlocher summarizes this phase of her research as follows:

"In sum, it seems as if syntax, temporal order of elements, and semantics guide S's actions. In these situations which are unstructured where S must place two items, S placed first the item that was mentioned first. In a more structured situation, where S must choose only one of two already fixed items, S will move the actor".

# 5. Exploratory Work on Block-Building as a Cognitive Indicator

Dr. Almy has had for some time hopes of starting some inquires into the role of play in the cognitive development of children. She held several discussions with E & R Center staff on possibilities of starting a program of research along this general line. Time did not permit as extensive planning as one might wish, but as a first line of exploration in this direction, data were gathered during the spring and summer of children's behaviors when provided with a stock of large wooden building blocks (Creative Playthings Inc.) and asked to build a house.

Protocols were obtained for 100 children in Head Start Centers, of whom 50 had completed a year of Head Start and 50 were newcomers. The protocol consisted of a running log of the child's behavior, both manipulative and verbal. Finally, when the child expressed himself as satisfied with his construction, photographs were made of the final product.

As of now, these data have been gathered and various aspects of the behavior and product have been coded. Work on the project continues as time permits.



Pages 28-37 of this document have been removed by OEO Head Start Facility.



TABLE VI

ITEM DIFFICULTY INDICES AND NORMAL DEVIATES FOR

INITIAL AND FINAL BINETS

|              |                             | <del></del> | nitia<br>=177 |      | Fina<br>N= 1 |     | Dif.              |                      |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------|------|--------------|-----|-------------------|----------------------|
| Subte        | st                          | 3           | <b>%</b>      | Z    | *            | %   | <u>z</u> <u>z</u> | <b>7-</b> 2 <b>7</b> |
| m.           | 2 Picture Vocabulary        | 170         | <b>9</b> 6    | 1.75 | 161          | 99  | 2.51              | .76                  |
|              | 3 Block Building Bridge     | 169         | 95            | 1.64 | 161          | 99  | 2.51              | .87                  |
|              | 4 Picture Memories          | 164         | 93            | 1.48 | 162          | 100 | *                 | *                    |
|              | 5 Copying a Circle          | 166         | 94            | 1.55 | 162          | 100 | *                 | *                    |
| III-6        | l Comparison Balls          | 130         | 73            | .61  | 149          | 92  | 1.41              | .80                  |
|              | 3 Discrimination-Animal     | 162         | 91            | 1.34 | 162          | 100 | *                 | *                    |
|              | 4 Response to Pictures      | 138         | 89            | 1.23 | 158          | 97  | 1.88              | .65                  |
|              | 6 Comprehension I           | 131         | 74            | .64  | 155          | 96  | 1.75              | 1.09                 |
| IV.          | 1 Picture Vocabulary        | 87          | 49            | 03   | 95           | 59  | .23               | .26                  |
|              | 2 Naming Objects            | 141         | 80            | .84  | 155          | 96  | 1.75              | .91                  |
|              | 3 Opposite Analogies        | 115         | 65            | .39  | 134          | 83  | .96               | -57                  |
|              | 4 Picture Identification    | 116         | 65            | .39  | 153          | 94  | 1.55              | 1.16                 |
| <b>IV-</b> 6 | 2 Opposite Analogies        | 69          | 40            | 25   |              | 62  |                   | .56                  |
|              | 3 Picture Similarity - Dif. | 107         | 60            | .25  | 147          | 91  | 1.34              | 1.09                 |
|              | 5 Three Commissions         | 92          | 58            | .05  | 126          | 78  | .77               | .72                  |
|              | 6 Comprehension             | 83          | 47            | .08  | 138          | 85  | 1.04              | .96                  |

|        | T                       | able VI (co | nt) |       | •        | a.       |                     | 77   |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------|-----|-------|----------|----------|---------------------|--|
| Subtes | <u>st</u>               | <u>f</u>    | %   | Z     | <u>r</u> | <u>%</u> | <u>Z</u>            | $\frac{\mathbf{z_{F}}-\mathbf{z_{I}}}{\mathbf{z_{I}}}$ |
| v.     | 1 Picture Completion    | 53          | 30  | 52    | 96       | 59       | .23                 | .75  |
|        | 3 Definitions           | 106         | 60  | .25   | 144      | 89       | 1.23                | .98  |
|        | & Copying Square        | 37          | 21  | 81    | 93       | 57       | .18                 | .99  |
|        | 6 Patience - Rectangles | 39          | 22  | 77    | 53       | 33       | ~ . <del>7</del> 77 | .33  |
|        |                         |             |     |       |          |          |                     |  |
| VI.    | 1 Vocabulary            | 9           | 5   | -1.64 | 36       | 22       | 77                  | .87  |
|        | 2 Differences           | 11          | 6   | 1.75  | 46       | 28       | 58                  | 1.17   |
|        | 4 Numbers Concepts      | 4           | 2   | -2.05 | 27       | 17       | 95                  | 1.10   |
|        | 5 Opposite Analogies    | 5           | 3   | -1.88 | 25       | 15       | -1.04               | .84  |

<sup>\*</sup> Cannot be determined.

TABLE VII

PRE - SCHOOL INVENTORY - FACTOR A - PERSONAL SOCIAL RESPONSIVENESS

| Gain on base line of Normal Curve | Z-E-Z-         | .18                         | 05  | .53 | .51                       | .85     | 96.  | .28 | 09.     | <del>1</del> 9. | .39  | .51                  | <del>1</del> 6.       | Ott.                | .62        |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|---------------------------|---------|------|-----|---------|-----------------|------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|
|                                   | 12             | 1.23                        | 18  | .81 | 67                        | 2.33    | 1.88 | .95 | 74.     | 2.05            | 1.23 | ₹.                   | 74.                   | 1.88                | .67        |
|                                   | Final          | 8                           | 143 | 8   | 25                        | 66      | 26   | 83  | 89      | 8               | 89   | 8                    | 68                    | 97                  | 22         |
|                                   | er<br>Er       | 140                         | 89  | 124 | 39                        | 155     | 153  | 130 | 101     | 154             | 140  | 125                  | 107                   | 153                 | 118        |
|                                   | 2              | 1.05                        | 13  | .28 | -1.18                     | 1.48    | .92  | 19. | 13      | 1,41            | ₹8.  | .33                  | £4                    | 1.48                | .05        |
|                                   | al<br>Se       | 98                          | 45  | 19  | 12                        | 93      | 82   | 75  | 145     | 8               | 8    | 63                   | 32                    | 93                  | 22         |
| √ N=183                           | Initial<br>f % | 157                         | 88  | 111 | 23                        | 170     | 150  | 137 | 82      | 168             | 346  | 115                  | 59                    | 170                 | %          |
|                                   |                | 1. What is your first name? |     |     | 4. When is your birthday? | Show me |      |     | Show me |                 | •    | 11. What call (knee) | 12. What call (elbow) | 13. Raise your hand | 14. Wiggle |

|                                     | 41  | Factor   | A (cont.) | 4-1      | R  | 2    | $Z_{\mathbf{F}}$ - $Z_{\mathbf{I}}$ |
|-------------------------------------|-----|----------|-----------|----------|----|------|-------------------------------------|
| 15. Hello very loudly               | 115 | 63       | .33       | 130      | 83 | 95   | 29                                  |
| 16. Hello very softly               | 109 | 23       | .23       | 133      | 85 | 1.04 | .81                                 |
| 17. Face door                       | 145 | 62       | .81       | 741      | ま  | 1.55 | .74·                                |
| 18. Jump                            | 159 | 87       | 1.13      | 150      | 92 | 1.65 | .52                                 |
| 19. Red car on black box            | 87  | 74       | 08        | 120      | 92 | .71  | 62.                                 |
| 20. Blue car under green box        | 45  | <b>5</b> | 17        | <b>₹</b> | 53 | 8    | 62.                                 |
| 21. Yellow car on little box        | 55  | 30       | 52        | 81       | 51 | .03  | .55                                 |
| 22. One car in middle-size box      | 25  | 17       | -1.08     | %        | 97 | 99   | 8.                                  |
| 23. All cars one side, all boxes    | 64  | 27       | 61        | 8        | 57 | .18  | .79                                 |
| other side<br>24. 3 cars in big box | %   | 52       | 52 .05    | 118      | 75 | .67  | .62                                 |
| 25. 2 cars behind box in middle     | £ħ. | 23       | +1L-      | 98       | 37 | 33   | 14.                                 |
| 26. Give everything to me           | 126 | 69       | .50       | 138      | 88 | 1.18 | .68                                 |

p. 42

PRE - SCHOOL INVENTORY - FACTOR B - ASSOCIATIVE VOCABULARY

|                                     | Initial      | _  |         | Final |            |         | Gain                                    |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|----|---------|-------|------------|---------|---|
|                                     | <b>9-1</b> . | 82 | 2       | **    | se         | 2       | $Z_{\overline{I}}$ - $Z_{\overline{I}}$ |
| 27. (Checkers) car that pulls train | 91           | 6  | 9 -1.34 | 25    | <b>3</b> 6 | 25 1699 | .35                                     |
| 28. (Checkers) last car on train    | 9            | က  | -1.88   | เร    | 13         | -1.13   | .75                                     |
| 29. Which way does saw go?          | 30           | 91 | 99      | 55    | 35         | 39      | 9.                                      |
| 30. Which way elevator?             | 09           | 33 | 44      | 47    | 747        | 08      | .32                                     |
| 31. Which way ferris wheel?         | 27           | 15 | -1.04   | 45    | 59         | 55      | 64.                                     |
| 32. Which way phonograph record?    | 45           | 77 | 71      | 78    | 20         | 8.      | 17.                                     |
| 33. Which way water fall?           | 12           | 11 | -1.23   | #     | 28         | 58      | .65                                     |
| 34. When breakfast?                 | 8            | 24 | 08      | 106   | 29         | ∄.      | .52                                     |
| 35. Time of year hottest?           | 6            | 5  | -1.64   | な     | 15         | -1.04   | 9.                                      |
| 36. Time of year coldest?           | य            | 9  | -1.55   | 75    | <b>©</b>   | -1.41   | 41.                                     |
| 37. Time of year now?               | 7            | 4  | -1.75   | 21    | ω          | -1.41   | ±€.                                     |
| 38. Where find lion                 | 61           | 33 | #-      | 26    | 62         | .31     | .75                                     |
| 39. Where buy gas?                  | 8            | 20 | 8.      | 113   | 72         | .58     | .58                                     |
| 40. Who go to if sick?              | 108          | 29 | .23     | 8     | 62         | .31     | 80.                                     |
| 41. Where find boat                 | 114          | 62 | .31     | &     | 27         | 91.     | 13                                      |

|                                |        | Facto        | Factor B (Cont) | t)                |        |                 |                                     |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
|                                | 4-     | · <b>v</b> e | Z               | 9-1               | 80     | 2               | $Z_{\mathbf{F}}$ - $Z_{\mathbf{I}}$ |
| 42. What do to read something? | 88     | 84           | 05              | 176 74            | 47     | <del>1</del> 9. | 69.                                 |
| 43. What does dentist do?      | 65/2h  | 35/13        |                 | 91/39             | 58/25  |                 |                                     |
| 4. What does policeman do?     | 93/19  | 51/10        |                 | 105/6             | ħ/L9   |                 |                                     |
| 45. What does teacher do?      | 6/95   | 31/5         |                 | 42/45             | 34/15  |                 |                                     |
| 6. What does father do?        | 9/86   | 53/3         |                 | 70/30             | 6T/1th |                 |                                     |
| 47.What does mother do?        | 111/15 | 61/8         |                 | <del>1</del> ε/06 | 57/52  |                 |                                     |
| 43.                            | 7 · 68 | 847          | .05             | 130 83            | 83     | .95             | 6.                                  |
| .44.                           | 112    | 61           | 88.             | 11                | 77     | .55             | .27                                 |
| 45.                            | :65    | 36           |                 | 89                | 64     | .03             | .39                                 |
| 146.                           | 101    | 26           |                 | 100               | 63     | .33             | .18                                 |
| 47.                            | 126    | 69           | .50             | 124               | 79     | .81             | .31                                 |
|                                |        |              |                 |                   |        |                 |                                     |



p. 44

PRE - SCHOOL INVENTORY - FACTOR C1 - CONCEPT ACTIVATION - NUMERICAL

N=183

|                                  | , <del>H</del> | nitial     |                | E            | inal |       |                   |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|--------------|------|-------|-------------------|
|                                  | ė÷             | pe         | .f. %          | 9-1          | 62   | £ % Z | ZEZI              |
| 48. How many eyes?               | 143            | 78         | 77.            | 241          | 16   | 1.34  | 75.               |
| 49. How many noses?              | 119            | 65         | .39            | 117          | 75   | 29.   | .28               |
| 50. How many hands?              | 102            | 28         | .15            | 111          | 77   | .55   | 0 <del>1</del> .  |
| 51. How many toes?               | #              | 8          | -2.05          | 4            | Ø    | -2.05 | 8.                |
| 52. How many wheels - car?       | 37             | 50         | <del>1</del> 8 | 57           | 36   | 36    | 84.               |
| 53. How many wheels - bicycle?   | 72             | 33         | 28             | 88           | 26   | .15   | £ <sup>†</sup> 1. |
| 54. How many wheels - tricycle?  | 37             | 8          | ₹8             | 61           | 39   | 28    | .56               |
| 55. How many wheels-wheelbarrow? | %              | 7,7        | -1.08          | <del>1</del> | 28   | 58    | .50               |
| 56. How many wheels-row boat?    | 14             | ω          | -1.41          | 88           | 17   | 95    | <del>3</del> 4.   |
| 57. Count (to 5)                 | 127            | 69         | .50            | 130          | 83   | .95   | .45               |
| 58. How many corners, paper      | 30             | 91         | 99             | 39           | 25   | 67    | .32               |
| 59. 2 & 8 checkers, which more   | 122            | <i>L</i> 9 | ₫.             | 141          | 8    | 1.28  | ₹.                |
| 60. 6 & 6 checkers, which more   | ထ              | 17         | -1.75          | 22           | 17   | -3.08 | 19.               |
| 61. 2 & 8 checkers, which fewer  | 62             | ਲੋ         | h1             | 28           | 37   | 33    | 8                 |
| 62. Point to middle one          | 61             | 33         | ∄·-            | 85           | 衣    | 97.   | 4.                |

|                           | Facto | Factor Cl (cont) | ont)  |             |     |       |                              |  |
|---------------------------|-------|------------------|-------|-------------|-----|-------|------------------------------|--|
|                           | 94    | 80               | 2     | <b>6</b> -1 | 20  | 2     | $Z_{F}^{-Z_{\underline{I}}}$ |  |
| 63. Point to first one    | 55    | 30               | 52    | 86          | 23  | 91.   | 02.                          |  |
| 64. Point to last one     | 24    | 22               | 77    | 65          | 75  | 20    | .57                          |  |
| 65. Point to second one   | 37    | 8                | ₹.    | 53          | 318 | 91    | 07                           |  |
| 66. Point to next to last | 27    | 15               | -1.04 | 21          | 13  | -1.13 | ÷.08                         |  |

PRE - SCHOOL INVENTORY - CONCEPT ACTIVATION - SENSORY - FACTOR C @

|                                   | N=156           |       |       | ş   | [6 |      |                                     |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|-----|----|------|-------------------------------------|
|                                   | <del>g-</del> 1 | # 2 F | 2     | 4   | 8  | Z    | $Z_{\mathbf{F}}$ - $Z_{\mathbf{I}}$ |
| 67. Draw a line                   | 163             | &     | 1.23  | 154 | 66 | 2.33 | 1.10                                |
| 68. Draw a circle                 | 156             | 85    | 1.04  | 151 | 26 | 1.88 | ₫.                                  |
| 69. Draw a square                 | 55              | 30    | 52    | 35  | 59 | .23  | .75                                 |
| 70. Draw a triangle               | 04              | 22    | 77    | 28  | 37 | 33   | <del>1</del>                        |
| 71. Which most like wheel         | 152             | 83    | .95   | 149 | 95 | 1.64 | 69.                                 |
| 72. Which most like tent          | 8               | 64    | 03    | 87  | 26 | .15  | 97.                                 |
| 73. Which most like stick         | 011             | 9     | .25   | 126 | 81 | 88.  | .63                                 |
| 74. Bigger, ball or bicycle       | 89              | 64    | 03    | 86  | 63 | .33  | .36                                 |
|                                   | 119             | 65    | .39   | 126 | 87 | 1.13 | <b>ተ</b> ረ.                         |
| 76. Slower, car or bicycle        | 73              | 017   | 25    | %   | 55 | .13  | 84.                                 |
| 77. Heavier, brick or shoe        | 95              | 52    | .05   | 112 | 72 | .58  | .53                                 |
| 78. Heavier, feather or fork      | 95              |       | .05   | 91  | 58 | 03.  | .15                                 |
| 79. What color is: (red crayon)   | 211             | 19    | .28   | 132 | 85 | 1.04 | 92.                                 |
| 80. What color is: (black crayon) | 111             | 19    | .28   | 123 | 62 | .81  | .53                                 |
| 81. Same color as the sky         | 32              |       | -1.13 | 36  | 23 | ±7   | .39                                 |
| 82. Same color as the night       | 82              | 143   | 18    | 108 | 69 | .50  | .69                                 |

|                           | Facto | Factor C 2 (cont | cont) |     |    |             |            |  |
|---------------------------|-------|------------------|-------|-----|----|-------------|------------|--|
|                           | 44    | BE               | 2     | 4.1 | 26 | 2           | Z-Z<br>F I |  |
| 83. Color circle yellow   | 92    | 14               | 23    | 115 | 型  | ₫.          | .87        |  |
| 84. Color square purple   | 02    | 38               | 31    | 95  | 19 | .28         | .59        |  |
| 85. Color triangle orange | 16    | 52               | 8.    | 121 | 77 | <b>4</b> 2. | 42.        |  |

# TABLE VIII

Results on Stanford-Binet Intelligence Test and Caldwell-Soule

Pre-School Inventory For Matched Pairs of Head Start and Control Pupils<sup>a</sup>

| Variable                     | <u> </u>                    | lead Start | Control |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Stanford-Binet I.Q.          | N                           | 43         | 43      |
|                              | M                           | 100.16     | 96.07   |
|                              | SD                          | 9.30       | 12.81   |
|                              | $M_{	t dif}$                |            | 4.09    |
|                              | F                           |            | 3.24    |
| Caldwell: Total              | n                           | 41         | 41      |
|                              | M                           | 48.63      | 42.17   |
|                              | SD                          | 10.49      | 10.46   |
|                              | $\mathtt{M}_{\mathtt{dif}}$ |            | 6.46    |
|                              | F                           |            | 9.15    |
| Caldwell:<br>Personal-Social | N                           | 41         | 41      |
|                              | М                           | 18.39      | 16.73   |
|                              | SD                          | 4.09       | 3.54    |
|                              | $M_{	t dif}$                |            | 1.66    |
|                              | F                           |            | 4.01    |
|                              |                             |            |         |

p. 49

TABLE VIII (Continued)

| <u>Variable</u>        |                  | Head Start |       | Control |
|------------------------|------------------|------------|-------|---------|
| Caldwell:              |                  |            |       |         |
| Associative-Vocabulary | N                | 41         |       | 41      |
|                        | M                | 10.17      |       | 8.29    |
|                        | SD               | 3.37       |       | 3.84    |
|                        | $M_{	t dif}$     |            | 1.88  |         |
|                        | F                |            | 4.12  |         |
| Caldwell:              |                  |            |       |         |
| Concept-Number         | N                | 41         |       | 41      |
|                        | M                | 8.37       |       | 6.44    |
|                        | SD               | 2.56       |       | 2.84    |
|                        | M <sub>dif</sub> |            | 1.93  |         |
|                        | F                |            | 11.43 |         |
| Caldwell:              |                  |            |       |         |
| Concept-Sensory        | N                | 41         |       | 41      |
|                        | M                | 12.90      |       | 11.05   |
|                        | SD               | 2.61       |       | 3.44    |
|                        | M <sub>dif</sub> |            | 1.85  |         |
|                        | F                |            | 9.73  |         |
|                        |                  |            |       |         |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Significant <u>F</u> ratios (p= .05) are underlined. An <u>F</u> ratios of 4.08 is significant.



TABLE IX

Results on Zigler Behavior Inventory For 43 Matched Pairs of Head

Start and Control Pupils

| Subtest      |                    | Head Start       | Control          |
|--------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Sociability  | M                  | 23.88            | 1.m <b>24.67</b> |
|              | SD                 | 5.55             | 3.77             |
|              | M<br>dif           |                  | .79              |
|              | F                  |                  | .48              |
| Curiosity    | M                  | 23.81            | 23.12            |
|              | SD                 | 5. <del>9!</del> | 4.61             |
|              | M <sub>dif</sub>   |                  | .70              |
|              | F                  |                  | . 44             |
| Persistance  | M                  | 10.33            | 11.63            |
|              | SD                 | 3.33             | 2.83             |
|              | $^{ m M}_{ m dif}$ |                  | 1.30             |
|              | F                  |                  | 4.00             |
| Emotionality | M                  | 22.74            | 23.44            |
|              | SD                 | 5.54             | 5.72             |
|              | Mdif               |                  | .70              |
|              | ¥                  |                  | .40              |

# TABLE IX (cont.)

| Subtest         |                          | Head Start |       | Control |
|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|-------|---------|
| Self-Confidence | M                        | 11.67      |       | 11.65   |
|                 | SD                       | 3.02       |       | 2.77    |
|                 | M <sub>dif</sub>         |            | .02   |         |
|                 | F                        |            | .00   |         |
| Jealousy        | M                        | 10.98      |       | 11.96   |
|                 | SD                       | 2.79       |       | 2.84    |
|                 | M <sub>dif</sub>         |            | .98   |         |
|                 | F                        |            | 16.00 |         |
| Achievement     | M                        | 17.26      |       | 15.84   |
|                 | SD                       | 4.24       |       | 4.40    |
|                 | $^{	ext{M}}_{	ext{dif}}$ |            | 1.42  |         |
|                 | F                        |            | 2.04  |         |
| Leadership      | M                        | 5.00       |       | 5.51    |
|                 | SD                       | 11.61      |       | 1.63    |
|                 | $M_{	t dif}$             |            | .51   |         |
|                 | F                        |            | 2.25  |         |
| Total Adjustmen | t M                      | 141.86     |       | 145.68  |
|                 | SD                       | 21.42      |       | 26.43   |
|                 | M <sub>dif</sub>         |            | 3.82  |         |
|                 | F                        |            | .02   |         |

TABLE X

| Sex and Age of 20 Matched Pairs of Pre-Kindergarten and Control Child | Sex | and Age of | 20 Matched | Pairs of | Pre-Kindergarten | and | Control | Childre |
|---|-----|------------|------------|----------|------------------|-----|---------|---------|
|---|-----|------------|------------|----------|------------------|-----|---------|---------|

| Group            | Boys | Girls | M Age i | SD<br>n Months | Range |
|------------------|------|-------|---------|----------------|-------|
| Pre-Kindergarten | 11   | 9     | 87.30   | 3.25           | 81-92 |
| Control          | 11   | 9     | 87.55   | 4.42           | 81-99 |
|                  |      |       |         |                |       |

# TABLE XI

# Distributions of Grades for Various Subject Areas for 20 Matched Pairs

|            |       |    |   | G) | RADES |   |   |      |      |
|------------|-------|----|---|----|-------|---|---|------|------|
| Subject    | Group | N  | A | В  | C     | D | E | Sign | Test |
| Reading    | Pre-K | 20 | 5 | 6  | 6     | 3 | 0 |      | ns   |
|            | Con.  | 20 | 3 | 7  | 7     | 2 | 1 |      |      |
| Arithmetic | Pre-K | 20 | 3 | 5  | 8     | 4 | 0 |      | ns   |
|            | Con.  | 20 | 1 | 6  | 9     | 3 | 1 |      |      |
| Writing    | Pre-K | 20 | 4 | 8  | 7     | 1 | 0 |      | ns   |
|            | Con.  | 20 | 4 | 6  | 8     | 1 | 1 | •    |      |

# TABLE XII

Results of Wilkoxin Matched Pairs Signed Rand Test for Attendance and Lateness Data

| Group | Grade | N  | Sum of Ranks * Attendance | Sign |
|-------|-------|----|---------------------------|------|
| Pre-K | K     | 16 | 44.5                      | ns   |
| Con.  | K     | 16 | 74.5                      |      |
| Pre-K | 1     | 18 | 130.0                     | .05  |
| Con.  | 1     | 18 | 38.0                      |      |

<sup>\*</sup> Ranked from low to high.



# TABLE XII (cont)

| Pre-K | ĸ | 18 | 19.0 | ns |
|-------|---|----|------|----|
| Con.  | K | 18 | 47.0 |    |
| Pre-K | 1 | 18 | 51.5 | ns |
| Con.  | 1 | 18 | 14.5 |    |

FROM:

ERIC FACILITY
SUITE 601
1735 EYE STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006