REPORT RESUMES

RESEARCH CONFERENCE ON "LEARNING BY DISCOVERY" (NEW YORK CITY, JANUARY 28-29, 1965).

BY- CRONBACH, LEE J.

STANFORD UNIV., CALIF.

REPORT NUMBER CRP-F-D64

REPORT NUMBER BR-5-D349

EDRS PRICE HF-\$D.25 HC-\$D.64

14P.

DESCRIPTORS- *RESEARCH, CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT, *CONFERENCES, *DISCOVERY PROCESSES: *LEARNING, PROBLEM SOLVING, INDIVIDUAL CHARACTERISTICS, REVIEW (REEXAMINATION)

A TWO DAY RESEARCH CONFERENCE ON "LEARNING BY DISCOVERY" WAS HELD TO--(1) CLARIFY SOME OF THE ISSUES INVOLVED IN LEARNING BY DISCOVERY, (2) REVIEW WHAT IS KNOWN OF THE SUBJECT, AND (3) SUGGEST WAYS OF EXTENDING KNOWLEDGE ABOUT IT. THE 25 PARTICIPANTS WERE REPRESENTATIVES FROM MANY AREAS IN PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION. OBSERVERS FROM GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WERE ALSO INVITED. AT THE FIRST SESSION, TWO VERY DIFFERENT VIEWPOINTS WERE PRESENTED TO CLARIFY SOME OF THE ISSUES IN THE FIELD. A SECOND SESSION WAS LARGELY CONCERNED WITH A CRITICAL REVIEW OF PREVIOUS WORK IN THE FIELD. THE THIRD SESSION DEALT WITH CURRICULUM PROJECTS. THE FOURTH SESSION COVERED PROBLEM SOLVING AND PERSONALITY VARIABLES. THE FIFTH SESSION WAS RESERVED FOR SUMMARY STATEMENTS AND GENERAL DISCUSSION. THE CONFERENCE APPEARED TO BRING THE COMPLEXITY OF THE PROBLEM INTO FOCUS. THE VERY PHASE "LEARNING BY DISCOVERY" APPEARS TO HAVE A VARIETY OF MEANINGS. RESEARCH WHICH GREW OUT OF THE CONFERENCE WAS ANALYZED AND DISCUSSED FOR THE FIRST TIME. SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE WAYS IN WHICH THE DISCOVERY PROCESS MAY BE GUIDED AND THE WAY RESEARCH QUESTIONS SHOULD BE FOSED ARE MADE. THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE CONFERENCE HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED BY RAND-MCNALLY AND COMPANDY AS "LEARNING BY DISCOVERY -- A CRITICAL APPRAISAL. * (SK)



RESEARCH CONFERENCE ON "LEARNING BY DISCOVERY"

New York City, January 27-28, 1965

Cooperative Research Project No. F-064

13R 5024

RESEARCH CONFERENCE ON "LEARNING BY DISCOVERY"

New York City, January 28-29, 1965

Cooperative Research Project No. F-064

under contract with
Stanford University, Stanford, California

In cooperation with

The Committee on Learning and the Educational Process of the

Social Science Research Council

Principal Investigator, Lee J. Cronbach

Conference Committee Chairman, Evan R. Keislar

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF FOUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

The Activity herein was supported by the Cooperative Research Program of the Office of Education, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.



Introduction

This report summarizes the activities carried out in connection with a research conference on "Learning by Discovery" held in New York City on January 28th and 29th, 1965. The conference, which was supported by the U. S. Office of Education through a contract with Stanford University, was initiated by the Committee on Learning and the Educational Process of the Social Science Research Council. The members of this Committee at the time the original plans were made were as follows: Lee J. Cronbach, Stanford University, Chairman; Eleanor J. Gibson, Cornell University; Richard C. Atkinson, Stanford University; Evan R. Keislar, University of California, Los Angeles; Judson C. Shaplin, Washington University; and Ben Willerman. for the Social Science Research Council. Late in 1964, Shaplin and Willerman were replaced by George Miller, Harvard University; Lloyd Morrisett, Carnegie Corporation of New York; and Rowland Mitchell for the Social Science Research Council. The Committee proposed the conference in order to (1) clarify some of the issues involved in learning by discovery, (2) review what is now known about this subject, and (3) suggest ways of extending knowledge about it.

Planning of the Conference

The subcommittee which was appointed to plan the conference consisted of: Robert Gagné, American Institute for Research, Pittsburgh; Jerome Kagan, Harvard University; and Evan R. Keislar, Chairman. Primary responsibility for the preparation of this report was taken by Keislar. The subcommittee conducted almost all of its work by correspondence and telephone. On three occasions the chairman had the opportunity to meet in person with one member of the committee; the committee as a whole never met until the day of the conference.

In drawing up the list of participants, an effort was made to include representatives from a variety of fields in psychology and education. Provision was also made for observers from government agencies. The participants were selected from the following categories:

- (1) Persons who have been active in conducting research in the field of "Learning by Discovery."
- (2) Individuals engaged in the construction of curricula that incorporate the principle of "Learning by Discovery."
- (3) Outstanding researchers in experimental psychology who have been working with concept formation and problem solving.
- (4) Individuals who are competent in experimental design and methodology of educational research.



The participants who attended the conference are listed in Appendix A. Most of these persons properly belong to more than one of the above categories. Although many other highly qualified individuals could have been selected to attend the conference, it was decided to limit the total number of participants to twenty-five; experience with previous conferences had indicated that group discussions could not be efficient if the number of participants was larger than this.

In planning for the conference, the sub-committee decided that it would be important for all participants to be well acquainted with previous research in the field. One member of the conference, Merl Wittrock, was commissioned to prepare a comprehensive summary of the research and writing in this area. The report was mimeographed and distributed to all conference participants in December, 1964, more than a month prior to the conference.

The general plan formulated by the sub-committee was for a two-day conference consisting of a total of five periods; a morning, afternoon, and evening session were planned for the first day. Two sessions were scheduled for the second day allowing participants to return home at the close of the afternoon discussion. For the opening period, it was felt desirable to have the issues in this field clarified by presenting two very different points of view. In this way, the conference members might come to grips with the central problem being posed by the conference.

A critical review of the previous work in the field was scheduled for the second session. At this time the conference had an opportunity to react to Wittrock's review of literature mailed to them before the conference, as well as to hear a critical analysis of this report. The third session dealt with the contribution of curriculum projects, since the principle of "Learning by Discovery" has been an avowed feature of the newer curriculum reforms. It was felt that leaders in this field would be helpful in indicating progress made in these directions.

In order to suggest promising directions for research work, two papers were scheduled for the fourth session, treating different aspects of the question. One of these dealt with the general field of problem solving, and the other with personality variables. The final session was reserved for a summary statement by two of the participants followed by a general discussion.

New York City was selected as a site for the conference simply because this location was the most convenient for all participants; the total amount of travel for those attending the conference was therefore kept at a minimum. The staff of the Social Science Research Council made all arrangements for the housing of the conference at the Hotel Summit, 51st and Lexington Avenue, New York City. A conference room, which was the ideal size for this meeting, was obtained in the hotel, and facilities were arranged to provide complete tape recording of all papers and discussions.



The Conference in Action

The final program of the conference as it actually took place is presented below. The general procedure for each of the five sessions was to have two speakers followed by discussion.



PROGRAM

RESEARCH CONFERENCE ON "LEARNING BY DISCOVERY"

FIRST SESSION: Thursday, January 28, 9:30 a.m., Evan Keislar, Chairman

1. <u>Topic</u>: Variables in Discovery Learning Speaker: Robert Glaser

2. Topic: Learning the Unteachable Speaker: David Hawkins Discussion

SECOND SESSION: Thursday, January 28, 1:30 p.m., Lloyd Morrisett, Chairman

3. <u>Topic</u>: The Learning by Discovery Hypothesis:
A Review of Literature
Speaker: M. C. Wittrock

4. <u>Topic</u>: The Logic of Experiments on Discovery Speaker: Lee J. Cronbach Discussion

THIRD SESSION: Thursday, January 28, 8:00 p.m., Jerome Kagan, Chairman

5. <u>Topic:</u> Some Elements of Discovery Speaker: Jerome S. Bruner

6. <u>Topic</u>: Discovery in the Teaching of Mathematics Speaker: Robert B. Davis Discussion

FOURTH SESSION: Friday, January 29, 9:30 a.m., Richard Ftkinson, Chairman

7. <u>Topic</u>: Varieties of Learning and the Concept of Discovery
Speaker: Robert M. Gagné

8. <u>Topic</u>: Learning, Attention, and the Issue of Discovery
Speaker: Jerome Kagan
Discussion

FIFTH SESSION: Friday, January 29, 1:30 p.m., Robert Gagne, Chairman

9. <u>Topic</u>: Summary of the Conference Speakers: Howard H. Kendler Lloyd N. Morrisett Discussion

ADJOURNMENT: Friday, January 29, 3:30 p.m.



The general procedure of having two papers with discussion at each session seemed to work well. At the second session, Wittrock did not read the review of the literature (which had been distributed to the conference earlier). Instead, he summarized informally the major parts of the report. At the evening session, Robert Davis concluded his presentation by showing to the conference a 20-minute sound film to illustrate his use of learning-by-discovery methods in the classroom.

At each session the papers always provoked a lively discussion. Many of the speakers were interrupted during the presentation of their papers by questions from the floor frequently resulting in a discussion for several minutes. The subjects for these discussions ranged very widely. It was not possible, nor perhaps desirable, to keep the group focussed on a single topic for an extended period of time. The discussion in later sessions would frequently pick up an issue which had been raised in an earlier interchange. In fact, as evidenced by the taped records, some issues were discussed repeatedly at every one of the five sessions.

Although there was variation in the extent to which individuals spoke during the discussions, there appeared to be adequate representation of the different groups from which members had been drawn. Experimental psychologists, for example, contributed to the discussions fully as much as those more interested in curriculum development.

An important aspect of the conference was the informal discussion carried on after the regular sessions. For lunch each day, but not for dinner, the members of the conference met at several tables in an adjacent dining room. After the evening session was formally concluded, several groups of individuals carried on discussions informally far into the evening.

Dissemination of the Conference Proceedings

The proceedings of the conference have been prepared for publication. Arrangements have been made to publish the proceedings as a volume by Rand-McNally and Company under the title, "Learning by Discovery - A Critical Appraisal," edited by Shulman and Keislar. Publication should be completed during the spring of 1966. An outline of the contents of this book is presented in Appendix B.

The book is organized into five sections roughly corresponding to the five conference sessions. In the first four sections will appear the eight papers, two per section, most of which were revised by their authors following the conference for this publication. The discussions of all papers have been summarized from the tape recordings and regrouped into four major topics to correspond to the subjects of the four sections. The two papers for each section, therefore, are followed by a summary of all pertinent discussions on that subject.



The final section of the volume includes the two summaries of the conference by Kendler and Morrisett, along with a concluding statement by the editors. At the end of the book all references have been organized into a single bibliography followed by an Appendix.

An Evaluation of the Conference

There will probably not be complete consensus among the conference members regarding the contributions of the conference. The question of "Learning by Discovery," as much as any other issue, deals with the heart of education. This centrality is reflected in the fact that included in the discussion were a host of fundamental questions such as: the nature of knowledge, epistemological issues, the relation of the individual to society, the concept of free will, moral questions involved in the control of human behavior, and the ultimate goals of education. Among the many important outcomes of the conference are the following:

The conference brought the complexity of the problem clearly into focus. It became evident that the phrase "Learning by Discovery" had a variety of meanings. Some participants distinguished between two classes of phenomena which have been described by the work "discovery." They distinguished between small discoveries, "little d," such as the discovery of a rule, and large discoveries, "big D," involving a fundamental recorganization of cognitive structure.

One speaker indicated that discovery was involved in many kinds of learnings. For example, a student in a golf class may discover how to hit a golf ball. He may also master a list of paired-associate words by discovering appropriate mediators. Others pointed out that the word "discovery" may refer to the independent variables (e.g., the environmental conditions), to the dependent variables (i.e., the outcomes), or lastly, to mediating variables or intervening events (the covert behavior of the subject presumably involved in the very act of discovery).

Because of this confusion regarding the meaning of the term, a large number of the participants agreed with Howard Kendler in his final summary statement in which he expressed the view that the term "discovery" is a useless concept and should be abandoned in psychological research; far more valuable terms are available for research to describe these phenomena.

Others felt, however, that "Learning by Discovery", as an expression, seemed to be useful for curriculum and instruction. While they agreed that the term needed clarification, they argued that its use by teachers would lead to superior teaching. Some participants felt that, although further clarification was desirable, a completely precise definition of the term would be unattainable; the very concept of "discovery" suggests the unknown and hence undefinable.



A major contribution of the conference consisted of a comprehensive review of the research. This critical survey, with over 200 references was carried out in a more comprehensive fashion than has ever been done before. Furthermore, the quality of these various studies was critically analyzed and discussed for the first time.

This careful review of the literature demonstrated that, although much has been written on the topic, no evidence has been accumulated to support the hypothesis that teaching through the use of a method of "learning by discovery" or the use of "inductive teaching" is more effective than direct, wellorganized instruction. There is no dependable evidence, for example, that having students discover a principle for themselves is superior to direct instruction, either for acquiring a thorough understanding of that principle or for long-term Certain initial findings that learning by discovery resulted in increased motivation have subsequently been reversed and have been found to be ascribable to a short-term novelty Even as a means of teaching students how to discover, direct instruction on specific strategies was not less effective than having children engage in "discovery" activities.

These negative findings must be interpreted in view of the fact that many of the best studies in the field involve some form of "guided discovery." All Conference participants agreed that, as one speaker put it, "Pure discovery does not exist." The learner must be given some assistance, either in the form of preparation prior to the learning in question, or in the form of guidance during instruction. From the point of view of educational research, therefore, the critical question appeared to be "How much and what kind of guidance should teachers give?" When the question is posed this way, it offers clear direction for research questions.

A number of interesting suggestions were presented to the conference regarding the ways in which the discovery process may be guided. These ranged from highly specific techniques to general approaches. One set of suggestions consisted of a number of principles of teaching mathematics in which, for example, children are led to formulate hypotheses and then are presented with situations where these hypotheses are "torpedoed;" i.e., that is, where they no longer are appropriate. While no evidence was presented to show how effectively these techniques work when used by other teachers, these practical teaching suggestions may prove helpful to future researchers who are seeking fruitful hypotheses for research investigations.

One speaker suggested that research questions should be posed in a far more restricted fashion, rather than attempting to evaluate "learning by discovery" as a general method. Future studies should seek to determine, for a particular subject field and for a specified population of students, the value of



a certain kind and amount of inductive activity. Research would be greatly improved if a larger variety of criteria were adopted; the outcomes to be measured should include, for example, retention and transfer, attitude toward the subject, and effects on originality and independence.

It was pointed out that research studies require far better control of variables during instruction; for example, it is important to deal carefully with the time factor, since experimental groups frequently differ materially in terms of the time they take to complete the treatment. Furthermore, the task assigned the subjects should not be purely arbitrary like the learning of some alphabetic code; it should constitute, in its own right, an important part of classroom learning.

Was it a mistake to invite scholars with such different points of view to this conference? It is true that a good deal of time was spent on broad fundamental educational questions, rather than on the specific problems of research. A large part of the discussions was carried on at more than one level. ever, in retrospect, it seems essential to have occasional research conferences of this type. Of course, it is important to bring together scholars with common assumptions and agreedupon strategies. Such a task force may accomplish far more in clarifying a field and formulating research discussions with-On the other hand, in a conference like the one on "Learning by Discovery," where scholars represented a wide diversity of backgrounds, many issues which seem hazy and beyond the pale of science to one scholar may stimulate another investigator to formulate fruitful hypotheses in a testable form. Opportunities for this kind of dialogue may result in bringing new areas of inquiry within the scope of scientific research.

The published proceedings of the conference should provide a permanent contribution to the field of education. in the pages of one book appear, for the first time, the original papers of leading scholars who have been identified with different approaches to this problem. The report of the discussions and the concluding statements present a summary of the stimulating interchange and reveal some of the issues in this area. Readers of the volume, therefore, will find for their scrutiny the contributions of these thinkers as well as a report of the interactions. It seems assured that the kind of questions which will be raised in future educational research on this topic and the procedures adopted to find the answers will be inevitably influenced by the proceedings of this conference.



APPENDIX A

List of Participants for Research Conference on "Learning by Discovery" New York City, January 28, 29, 1965

- Richard Atkinson School of Education Stanford University
- 2. Jerome Bruner Center for Cognitive Studies Harvard University
- 3. Lee J. Cronbach School of Education Stanford University
- 4. Robert Davis
 The Madison Project
 Webster College
 St. Louis, Missouri
- 5. Robert Gagné American Institute for Research Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
- 6. Eleanor J. Gibson
 Department of Psychology
 Cornell University
- 7. Robert Glaser
 Learning Research and
 Development Center
 University of Pittsburgh
- 8. David Hawkins
 Educational Services, Inc., and
 Department of Philosophy
 University of Colorado
- 9. Jerome Kagan
 Department of Social Relations
 Harvard University

Berkeley

10. Robert Karplus
Elementary Science Curriculum Project
University of California 19.

- 11. Evan R. Keislar
 Department of Education
 University of California
 Los Angeles
- 12. Howard H. Kendler
 Department of Psychology
 University of California
 Santa Barbara
- 13. Bert Y. Kersh
 Center for Teaching
 Research
 Oregon State System of
 Higher Education
 Monmouth, Oregon
- 14. George Miller
 Department of Psychology
 Harvard University
- 15. Lloyd Morrisett
 Carnegie Corporation of
 New York
- 16. Sonia Osler
 Children's Psychiatric
 Services
 The Johns Hopkins Hospital
- 17. Walter R. Reitman
 Department of Psychology
 Carnegie Institute of
 Technology
 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
- 18. Lee Shulman
 College of Education
 Michigan State University
 - Michael Wallach Department of Psychology Duke University



Appendix A (Cont.)

Conference on "Learning by Discovery"

- 20. Sheldon H. White Department of Psychology University of Chicago
- 21. David Wiley
 Department of Education
 University of California
 Los Angeles
- 22. Merlin Wittrock
 School of Education
 University of California
 Los Angeles

<u>Staff</u>

23. Rowland Mitchell
Social Science Research Council
New York

<u>Observers</u>

- 24. Richard Suchman
 Cooperative Research
 Branch
 U. S. Office of Education
 Washington, D. C.
- 25. _narles Whitmer
 Course Content Improvement Section
 National Science Foundation
 Washington, D. C.
- 26. Rosslyn G. Suchman
 Office of Naval Research
 and
 Gallaudet College



APPENDIX B

OUTLINE FOR PUBLICATION OF CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

TITLE: Learning by Discovery; A Critical Appraisal

EDITORS: Lee S. Shulman and Evan R. Keislar

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

- 1. Preface
- 2. Introduction

Part I: THE ISSUE

3. Learning the Unteachable

David Hawkins

4. Variables in Discovery Learning

Robert Glaser

5. Discussion: The Meaning of Discovery in Learning

Part II: THE RESEARCH

6. The Learning by Discovery Hypothesis: A Review of Literature

M. C. Wittrock

7. The Logic of Experiments on Discovery

Lee J. Cronbach

8. Discussion: Educational Objectives

Part III: THE CURRICULUM

9. Some Elements of Discovery

Jerome S. Bruner

10. Discovery in the Teaching of Mathematics

Robert B. Davis

11. Discussion: Teaching and Discovery

Part IV: PSYCHOLOGICAL INSIGHTS

- 12. Varieties of Learning and the Concept of Discovery Robert M. Gagné
- 13. Learning, Attention and the Issue of Discovery
 Jerome Kagan
- 14. Discussion: Psychological Inquiry and Educational Practice

Part V: THE CONFERENCE: RETROSPECT AND PROSPECT

15. Reflections on the Conference

Howard H. Kendler

16. Further Reflections

Lloyd N. Morrisett



- 17. The Problem of Discovery: Conference in Retrospect Evan R. Keislar Lee S. Shulman
- 18. Bibliography and Author Index 19. Subject Index