REPORT RESUMES

ED 014 331 PS 000 234

A STUDY OF SOME ECOLOGICAL, ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL FACTORS
INFLUENCING FARENTAL PARTICIPATION IN PROJECT HEAD START.
BY- JOHNSON, HENRY S. PALOMARES, UVALOGH.
CALIFORNIA UNIV., RIVERSIDE, EXTENSION DIVISION
REPORT NUMBER OEO-534
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.50 HC-\$3.16
77F.

DESCRIPTORS- *PRESCHOOL EDUCATION, DISADVANTAGED YOUTH, SOCIOECONOMIC INFLUENCES, SPANISH CULTURE, *ECOLOGICAL FACTORS, CITIZEN FARTICIPATION, *PARTICIPANT CHARACTERISTICS, *ATTENDANCE FATTERNS, QUESTIONNAIRES, FAMILY (SOCIOLOGICAL UNIT), *FAMILY INFLUENCE, FAMILY INVOLVEMENT, STUDENT PARTICIPATION, HEADSTART, COACHELLA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA

THE MAJOR PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY WAS TO DETERMINE IF THERE WERE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT RESPONSES TO CERTAIN ECOLOGICAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CIVIC RESPONSIBILITY FACTORS BETWEEN PARENTS WHOSE CHILDREN PARTICIPATED IN THE HEAD START PROJECT AND THOSE WHOSE CHILDREN WERE ELIGIBLE BUT DID NOT PARTICIPATE. ALL PARENTS OF PRE-SCHOOL CHILDREN, 2 1/2 TO 6 YEARS OF AGE, WHO RESIDED IN 3 DESIGNATED SCHOOL DISTRICTS, WERE INCLUDED IN A HOUSE-TO-HOUSE SURVEY. IN ALL 256 PARENTS WERE SURVEYED BY MEANS OF A 50 QUESTION FORM WRITTEN IN BOTH ENGLISH AND SPANISH. FINDINGS GENERALLY SHOW NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES IN RESPONSES. THE MAIN DIFFERENCE IS A MATTER OF COMMUNICATION. PARTICIPANTS WERE INFORMED OF THE HEAD START PROGRAM, NON-PARTICIPANTS WERE NOT. BROUGHT OUT IS THE FACT OF A DEFINITE NEED TO STEP UP COMMUNICATION IN ORDER THAT LOW INCOME FAMILIES CAN BE MADE AWARE OF AVAILABLE EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES FOR THEIR CHILDREN AS WELL AS THE EXISTENT NEED FOR PRE-SCHOOL EDUCATION PROGRAMS THROUGHOUT THE SCHOOL YEAR. ENGLISH AND SPANISH QUESTIONNAIRES ARE INCLUDED. THERE ARE MANY TABLES OF ACCRUED DATA. (EF)

13553

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

OE6-534

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION GRIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Coachella Valley Cyte at my He cotton FACTOR

A STUDY OF SOME ECOLOGICAL, ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL FACTORS

INFLUENCING PARENTAL PARTICIPATION

IN PROJECT HEAD START

by

Henry S. Johnson, Ph.D. and Uvaldo H. Palomares, M.A.

400000

University of California, Riverside Extension Division

August, 1965

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The financial and administrative support of Carole Pina, Office of Research and Evaluation Project Head Start, and the University of California, Riverside Extension Division, to this project is gratefully acknowledged.

Space permits us to extend appreciation to only a few of the many people who made this project possible. Merit of special appreciation is extended to the parents of the Project Head Start children, who so willingly participated in the hour long interview, and who must remain unnamed. These parents warrant more recognition than is possible to express here in words. They were indeed a source of pleasure to all of us concerned with the study. We hope the facts which they helped us obtain will play some role in providing better education for other children as well as their own.

The opportunity to work with the parents was made possible through the efforts of the supervisors, teachers and public officials of Coachella, Indio and Oasis. We would like to extend particular appreciation to Harold Creager, Superintendent of Coachella School District and to the members of the Coachella Board of Trustees. Mr. Creager proved to have unusual understanding of our problems and gave continuing support to the study.

Appreciation is also extended to Dr. Lester Hiebert, Superintendent of Indio School District; and Mr. Robert Luhman, Superintendent of Oasis Elementary District whose time, effort, and support during various phases of the study are gratefully acknowledged.

We likewise welcome the opportunity to acknowledge the critical suggestions and helpful counsel of Dr. Thomas Carter, University of California, Riverside.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	` •	Page
list of :	TABLES	v
figure 1		vii
INTRODUC	TION	1
I.	STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	
II.	QUESTIONS TO BE ANSWERED	
III.	GENERAL METHODS AND PROCEDURES	
	Geographical boundaries	
	Selection of subjects	6
	Construction of the instrument	
	Administration procedures	13
	Statistical procedures	21
. IV.	RESULTS OF THE SURVEY	, 22
	Comparison of Participants and Non-Participants Parental Responses on each item on the Questionnaire	
	Miscellaneous factors	
	Ecological factors	
	Economic factors	
	Social factors	
	Civic responsibility factors	. 39
	Comparison of Certain Selected Variables Assessed in the Survey	
	Income level	
	Family size	
	Family stability	
	Residence status	, 46

: 1,0.00

	Pa	ge
V.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	}
	Summary	3
	Participants	3
	Non-participants	L
	Lack of significant findings	2
	Results based on special kinds of comparisons	
	Conclusions	7
	Education	
	Population characteristics	
	Acculturation process	3
A 701	DENINTY	2

LIST OF TABLES

able	. Pa	age
	Number of Subjects in the Study According to Attendance Status as Compared to Those Actually Enrolled in Project Head Start as of August, 1965	7 ·
	Interviewed in the Survey.	9
3.	Annual Income Level of Parents in the Participating and Non-participating Groups as Compared to the Income Level for the Designated Communities According to the 1960 U.S. Census Tract Data for Indio, Coachella and Oasis Combined.	11
	Comparison of Father's Occupation Status According to 1960 Census Tract Data and the Present Population Sampled in the Survey.	12
	Analysis of Interview Form, Question number, Factors Being Tapped and Research Hypotheses and Rationale	14
6.	Parental Responses of Head Start Participants (N=60) Compared with Those of the Non-Participants (N=196) on Each of the Designated Miscellaneous Factors	25
7.	Most Enjoyable Experiences Reported by Families (N=60) of Head Start Participants	. 27
8.	Least Enjoyable Experiences Reported by Families (N=60) of Head Start Participants	. 28
9.	Advantages of Head Start Attendance as Perceived by Families (N=60) of Head Start Participants	. 29
10.	Parental Responses of Head Start Participants (N=60) Compared with Those of the Non-Participants (N=196) on Each of the Designated Ecological Factors.	. 31
	Parental Responses of Head Start Participants (N=60) Compared with Those of the Non-Participants (N=196) on Each of the Designated Economic Factors.	· 33
	on Each of the Designated Social Factors.	. 37
	Parental Responses of Head Start Participants (N=60) Compared With Those of the Non-Participants (N=196) on Each of the Designated Civic Responsibility Factors.	. 40
14	Comparison of the Effect of Income Level (below \$4,000, N=71, and above \$4,000, N=164) With Each of the Selected Variables.	. 42

,13333

Table		Pa	ıge
15.	Comparison of the Effect of Family Size (3 children or less, N=117, and 4 children or more, N=139) With Each of the Selected Variables	•	45
16.	Comparison of the Effect of Family Stability (intact, N=214, and broken home, N=42) With Each of the Selected Variables.	•	47
17.	Comparison of the Effect of Residence Status (5 years or less, N=85, and 6 years or more, N=170) With Each of the Selected Variables	•	49

ERIC

		Page
FIGU	RE	
1	COACHELLA VALLEY PROJECT HEAD START	. 5
	The Shaded Areas Represent the Designated School Districts Involved in the Study	

. .. •

INTRODUCTION

Research has shown that a child develops responsible attitudes and values toward school and learning very early in life. In addition, previous investigations have shown that the earlier a child is exposed to the background experiences needed prior to his enrollment in kindergarten the higher will his achievement and potential for learning become. Many culturally disadvantaged children, especially those from homes where English is not spoken, miss out on such pre-requisite experiences that most middle-class children obtain from their parents. Consequently, when a culturally disadvantaged child enters school he is already handicapped in many respects.

What then is the reason or reasons for the refusal of parents of culturally disadvantaged children to respond to pre-school educational programs which are initiated for the benefit of their children? Researchers and educators in the past have tended to support the notion that the failure of these parents to allow their children to participate in pre-school experiences may be found within the context of the pupil-parent-school situation and its concomitant psychological aspects. Their conclusions were frequently based on the methods and procedures that are directed in a microscopic-like fashion on such factors as the child's aptitude, attitude and values concerning education. The parent is also studied to determine how he affects the child's aptitudes, attitudes and values toward education. Previous studies have likewise been directed on the effect teachers and other school personnel have on the child's psychological orientation to the school.

Unquestionably such studies have increased the knowledge and understanding of the culturally disadvantaged child and his educational



handicaps. But are there other factors operating in the community or in the family of the culturally disadvantaged child which must also be seriously considered?

To probe further into the implications of this question, the study proposes to scrutinize the underlying community or familiar variables that may give some probable clues as to why parents of culturally disadvantaged children are unresponsive to pre-school opportunities.

The theoretical rationale of this study may be traceable to Maslow's innate hierarchy of needs concepts. According to Maslow these hierarchy of needs are based on certain prepotency factors. For example, physical needs such as those for food and water, must be met before "higher" needs such as intellectual curiosity can be adequately satisfied.

Under the traditional approach it is often assumed that in the study of the child, parent and school most of the subsistence needs of the middle-class child; such as food, clothing and transportation are adequately met to the extent that they do not negatively affect the middle-class child's learning and retention processes.

It is hypothesized, however, that in the case of the culturally disadvantaged child the reason for his attendance or non-attendance may be governed more by the subsistence factors in the community or in the family and less by the child's attitudes and values concerning school or those possessed by his parents.

I. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

On the basis of the theoretical considerations and prior research findings presented in the preceding paragraphs, there is an obvious need for investigating the effect of those subtle socioeconomic variables that underlie the failure of needy recipients to take advantage of the educational services offered by the Head Start Program.

The purpose of this pilot study is to identify those significant ecological, economic and social factors which may influence the attendance or non-attendance status of culturally disadvantaged children in three selected Coachella Valley communities' preschool educational programs.

Specifically the study is designed to determine the extent to which certain non-educational factors outside of the immediate pupil-parent-school triad may affect the parental decisions of culturally disadvantaged children to participate in Project Head Start.

II. QUESTIONS TO BE ANSWERED

This study will attempt to answer the following pertinent questions:

1. Are the parental responses of the Head Start participants significantly different from those of the non-participants on each of the following ecological variables on the questionnaire:

location and length of residence in the valley; previous residence; birthplace; place of education; kind of neighborhood and amount of Spanish spoken at home?

2. Are the parental responses of the Head Start participants significantly different from those of the non-participants on each of the following economic variables on the questionnaire: possession of a phone; larger families; parental education; level of income; occupational status; knowledge of local employment office; tendency to encourage their children to leave school early?

- 3. And the parental responses of the Head Start participants significantly different from those of the non-participants on each of the following social factors on the questionnaire: ethnic choice of first name; degree of Spanish spoken in home, with friends, in neighborhood, at work; frequency in listening to Spanish on radio; intact versus broken home situation; nationality identification; trips to Mexico?
- 4. Are the parental responses of the Head Start participants significantly different from those of the non-participants on each of the following civic responsibility factors on the questionnaire: religious preference; frequency of church attendance; types of civic participation; election participation; knowledge and understanding of May 5, September 16, and July 4?
- 5. Regardless of the individual's attendance status, what are the parental responses of the pre-school culturally disadvantaged pupils on each of the ecological, economic and social variables assessed on the questionnaire?

III. GENERAL METHODS AND PROCEDURES

Geographical boundaries:

The territorial boundaries of Coachella Valley in Riverside County, California encompass an area of approximately 3,693 square



FIGURE I

COACHELLA VALLEY PROJECT HEAD START

The Shaded Areas Represent the Designated School Districts Involved In The Study

San Bernardino County Indio School District Palm Springs Indio Coachella School \District Thermal District Mecca School District Oasis Joint Imperial County School San Diego County District

15000

Much of the area is sparsely inhabited and is largely desert terrain. Although five component elementary school districts are located within the Coachella Valley area, only three of the five school districts (Coachella, 642 square miles; Indio, 752 square miles; and Oasis, 299 square miles) were included in the study. (See Figure I for the geographical proximity of each district) Excluded from the survey were the Head Start programs in Mecca (one class) and Thermal (two classes) elementary school districts. A total of eight out of a possible eleven Head Start programs in the Coachella Valley area were involved in the study. The question of time (the survey by necessity was limited to ten days) and the presence of exceptionally heavy concentrations of minority groups as well as culturally disadvantaged pre-school children in the selected target areas were the primary factors for delimiting the study to the three designated school districts (Indio: 30 per cent Mexican extraction, 5.8 per cent Negro and 1.2 per cent from other ethnic minority groups; Oasis: 60 per cent Mexican extraction and 25 per cent Japanese; Coachella: 70 per cent Mexican extraction, a few Orientals and less than ten Negro pupils).

The target areas within each school district were derived from the following sources; (1) address of children enrolled in the eight Head Start programs; (2) census tract data; (3) recommendations of cfficials from the schools, public and private agencies; and (4) interviewers' general empirical assessments of the dilapidated appearances of the homes in the neighborhood visited.

Selection of Subjects:

All parents of pre-school children, 2 1/2 to 6 years of age inclusive, who resided in one of the three designated school districts were included in the study.

TABLE I

Number of Subjects in the Study According to Attendance Status as Compared to Those Actually Enrolled In Project Head Start as of August, 1965

9
113
7 4
Number of Parents Interviewed in Non-participating Group

The parents in the survey were divided into two populations:

(1) parents whose child participated in the Head Start programs:

and (2) parents whose child was eligible but did not participate.

Table 1 shows the total number of 256 parents for both the participating (N=60) and non-participating (N=196) groups. Significantly more parents in Coachella City were interviewed than in Indio and Oasis. (See Table I)

Table 2 gives the average age group for the children of the participants and the non-participants. The difference of nine months between the groups were significant at the one per cent level. This meant that the children participating in Head Start were older than those who did not. In general over sixty per cent of the children in both groups would not be legally eligible for kindergarten in September. Parents of the six-year-olds were included if their children had no previous schooling.

Parents of the non-participating group were obtained by a house-to-house search rather than by a random sampling technique. These non-participating parents were chosen for the study if they met the same basic requirements as those stipulated by the Office of Economic Opportunity in Washington for parents whose child had participated in the Head Start program. That meant that the family's total annual income was \$3,000 or less for a family of four. (See Table 2)

Table 3 shows the income level for both the participating and non-participating families in the study as compared to the level of income derived for the same communities in the 1960 U. S. Census tract data.

The average annual income of the population sampled in the Coachella Valley is very significantly below the average annual income obtained in 1960.

page 8

Chronological Ages of Children Whose Parents Were Interviewed in the Survey

PARTICIPANTS (N=60)	NON-PARTICIPANTS (N=196)	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=256)
.2%	10%	.8 % (20)
32% (19)	43 \$ (83)	40% (102)
33 % (20)	40 \$ (78)	38 % (98)
30 %	(10) \$\$	118 (28)
3 \$. (3)	2\$ (5)
en ee	1% (3)	1 \$ (3)
4 years 5 months	3 years 10 months	. 4 years 2 months
	PARTICIPANTS (N=60) 28 (1) 328 (19) 338 (20) 39 (18) 4 years 5 months	ω

ooding helpish creed lights in signification of the second second sound in the second second

13300

If the rise in standard of living is taken into consideration, the differences between the 1960 income and 1965 becomes even greater.

It will be shown in a later section that the average family size studied in this survey consisted of six people. By present day standards, the families surveyed are classified as poor.

(See Table 3)

Table 4 further illustrates the low socioeconomic status in line with the low average annual income reported earlier. A significant decrease in unskilled farm labor jobs is very evident. A noticeable shift to the trades and service industries from stoop labor employment can readily be surmised. However, one out of two fathers in this study are still employed in the unskilled or semi-skilled occupations. (See Table 4)

Construction of the Instrument:

The questionnaire was designed to explore systematically the differences in parental responses between the participating and non-participating groups.

In its initial stages, a committee consisting of a psychologist, a sociologist, a teacher, an indigenous Spanish-speaking college student, and a parent met to draw up the preliminary format for the questionnaire. The following six basic guidelines were utilized by the committee in the editing and selecting of items for the questionnaire: (1) to develop an instrument that was easy to administer and score; (2) to include theoretical relevant items in the content; (3) to strive for clarity of meaning; (4) to examine appropriateness of vocabulary and content for culturally disadvantaged parents; (5) to obtain an adequate balance of items between the four selected categories;

TABLE 3

Annual Income Level of Parents in the Participating and Non-participating Groups as Compared to the Income Level for the Designated Communities According to the 1960 U.S. Census Tract Data for Indio, Coachella and Oasis Combined

Average Income	Above \$4,000	\$3,000 %0 \$3,999	\$2,000 to \$2,999	\$1,000 to \$1,999	Uncler \$1,000	Income Level
\$5,395	73% (2,492)	11%	8% (269)	, 4% (123)	(85T) 8th	1960 U.S. Census (N=3400)
\$3,750	(TE) \$44	17% (10)	. 15%	(4)	17% (10)	Families in Participating Group (N=60)
\$4,150	57% (112)	18 \$ (36)	184	5 [‡]	2% (3)	Families in Non- Participating Group (N=196)
\$3,950	54 %	18 % (46)	18%	5 % (13)	5 % (13)	Total Families Sampled (N=256)

. !!

Comparison of Father's Occupation Status According to 1960 Census Tract Data and the Present Population Sampled in the Survey

Occupation	Census Data 1960	Coachella Valley - Participants	1965 Survey Non-Participants
Farm labor-unskilled	22\$!	2*
Service workers	5 8	22%	. 28%
Operators	19%	7%	\$4T
Craftsmen, foremen	22%	22\$	13%
Sales workers	%	28%	26%
Clerical workers	8 4	38	. 18
Managers, office	&	2*	2%
No comment	7%	17%	345
Total	100%	100%	100 %

'indi',

(6) to avoid dyslogistic phraseology or alternatives counter to cultural universals.

The questionnaire items were derived primarily from the significant factors based on the findings uncovered from an extensive review of the literature and deemed pertinent for application to the local conditions.

Each of the 50 items selected were listed into five categories: (1) miscellaneous; (2) ecological; (3) economic; (4) social factors; and (5) civic responsibility. Table 5 shows the items according to their specific categories. (See Table 5)

District superintendents from each of the three designated districts in the study were interviewed for suggestions and comments with respect to the questionnaire. Comments and suggestions for the questionnaire were also solicited from available key community leaders in Coachella, Indio and Oasis.

The rough draft of the questionnaire was likewise submitted to Dr. Thomas Carter, a sociologist at the University of California Riverside for critical additions and revisions. Finally the completed questionnaire was translated into Spanish by Mr. Alfredo Vasquez in consultation with Dr. Carter.

Two editions of the questionnaire were available for the survey; one in English and the other in Spanish. The two editions are found in Appendix A.

Administration Procedures:

Four interviewers and two field investigators were recruited from the local population with the assistance of the Indio Employment Office. Knowledge of the Coachella Valley communities as well as an adequate mastery of the Spanish language were basic pre-requisite for employment.

ERIC

TABLE 5

Analysis of Interview Form,

Question Number, Factors Being Tapped and

Research Hypotheses and Rationale

	Ceneral information.					Person interviewed	7
	General informationof interest to local schools.					Fall enrollment	6
	Information needed for further contacts.					School District	ភ
	A significantly greater proportion of those parents possessing a phone will have children in attendance in Head Start Programs.			Possession or lack of possession of phone	-		ŧ
	No significant differences expected. Included for benefit of further contacts.	·				Local address where individual lives	
nage 1	No significant sex differences anticipatedgeneral information.					sex	છ
11	A significantly greater proportion of the M-A children with Anglo first names will be in Project Head Start classes than children with Spanish first names.		Ethnic choice of first name				1
	Research Hypotheses and Rationale	Civíc Responsi- bility Factors	Social Factors	Economic Factors	Ecologi- cal Factors	Miscel- laneous	Ques- tion No.

The property of the second contract of the second contract of the second contract of the second contract of the second of the se

Like to

TABLE 5 (Continued)

v					
13	12	Ţ	9	&	No.
		Age			Misc.
Where educated			Type of employment, permanent versus seasonal, transient		Ecol.
	Education level .	•	Type of employment, permanent versus seasonal, transsient	Number of persons living at home, being supported by same check	Econ.
- -	<u>.</u>		·	Intact versus broken fanily	Soc.
					Civic
General information.	Parents with more education will have a significantly greater proportion of children in P.H.S. classes than those with less education.	Not to be compared to attendance vs. non-attendance. A chi square analysis will be done to study the relationship between the age of these children and whether or not they come from Texas. The hypothesis is that a significantly greater proportion of the older children will be from Texas as compared to the younger group, which will tend to be from the locale.	A significantly greater proportion of children whose parents have stable positions will be in attendance in Project Head Start classes, as compared to those who are employed in transient, seasonal positions.	A significantly greater proportion of children of those parents with small families will be involved in Project Head Start as compared to those children in larger families. A significantly greater proportion of children of intact families will be in attendance in Project Head Start classes as compared to children in broken families.	Hypotheses and Rationale

social so

5 (Continued)	TABLE	
Ĭ		•
	Ĭ	

					
13	12		9	ω	No.
		Age			Misc.
Where educated			Type of employment, permanent versus seasonal, transisient		Ecol.
	Education level ,	·	Type of employment, permanent versus seasonal, transsient	Number of persons living at home, being supported by same check	Econ.
-		-	·	Intact versus broken family	Soc.
					Civic
General information.	Parents with more education will have a significantly greater proportion of children in P.H.S. classes than those with less education.	Not to be compared to attendance vs. non-attendance. A chi square analysis will be done to study the relationship between the age of these children and whether or not they come from Texas. The hypothesis is that a significantly greater proportion of the older children will be from Texas as compared to the younger group, which will tend to be from the locale.	A significantly greater proportion of children whose parents have stable positions will be in attendance in Project Head Start classes, as compared to those who are employed in transient, seasonal positions.	A significantly greater proportion of children of those parents with small families will be involved in Project Head Start as compared to those children in larger families. A significantly greater proportion of children of intact families will be in attendance in Project Head Start classes as compared to children in broken families.	Hypotheses and Rationale

TABLE
ဌာ
ξ
7
uni
ເອີດ
3

<i>y</i>	•		:					
22	21	20	6T.	18	16 6 17	5T 3 1T	No.	
How did parents hear about P.H.S.	Nature of participation	Partici- pation versus non-partici- pation					Misc.	
			Geogra- phical loca- tion of pre- vious address	Length of residence in valley			Ecol.	
		·	G		Income level	lead of family relationship to child	Econ.	
			·				Soc.	
						·	Civic	
Those parents hearing about P.H.S. from the school will have a significantly greater proportion of children in P.H.S. than will those hearing of the project from other sources.	of #20. Genera	Key variable.	Local families will have a significantly greater proportion of children attending P.H.S. classes than families coming from other parts of the country or Mexico, particularly as compared with those individuals coming from Texas.	Families relatively indigenous to the valley will have a significantly greater proportion of children attending P.H.S. classes than families new to the area.	Parents with higher incomes will have a significantly greater proportion of children attending P.H.S. classes than parents earning less.	Homes headed by females will have a significantly greater proportion of children attending P.H.S. classes than homes headed by males.	Hypotheses and Rationale	1

ŧ

TABLE 5 (Continued)

BOREST CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF THE STATE

32	31	30	.29	28	27	26	.25 .35 .25	23	No.
	-		-			How does P.H.S. help you	What does your child enjoy most & least	Would your send your child to another P.H.S.	Misc.
Spanish spoken in neighbor- hood								·	Ecol.
		-	At what age should a child work	What is legal work age	Would you require your child to drop out	·			Econ.
-	Spanish spoken with friends	Spanish spoken at home					·		Şoc•
									Civic
interaction than those parents of non- attending children.	individual in his daily interaction. The hypothesis is that parents of child- ren attending P.H.S. will speak signifi-	30, 31, 33, 34, and 35 will be combined and tested in the same manner. Together they will give some indication	General information for total population.	General information to be obtained for total groupties in with #29.	Parents of children attending P.H.S. will have a smaller amount of yes answers than parents of non-attending children. Total group reactionalso of interest.	General information.	General information.	General information.	Hypotheses and Rationale

	-
	×
ı,	Þ
۴	•
•	Į
-	
Ē	. '
۶	
L	
C	П
•	
1	_
٠,	_
ť	
•	٠,
5)
•	₹
5	J
	•
c	25 1 2 3
Ĺ	í
,	_
•	≺
•	
	200
٠,	_
•	ก
٠,	·
1	٦
>	-
•	_
	_

•						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3
0th	39	. 38	36 37	35	34	33	32 (Cont'd.)	Nc.
					•			Misc.
					•			Ecol.
		Receive benefits from go- ing there	Knowledge of employ-ment of-fice					Econ.
				No. of hours of Spanish on radio	Listens to Spanish on the radio	Spanish spoken at work		Soc.
What is the 16th of Sept.	What is el cinco de mayo							Civic
classes will be more knowledgeable of American tradition, as compared to the non-attenders, who as a group, will be more knowledgeable of Mexican tradition.	Nos, 39 to 43 inclusive, will be used to get some measure of acceptance of Mexican and American tradition. Parents of children who attend P.H.S.	General information question,	The parents of children in P.H.S. classes will know more about the Employment Office than will the parents of non-participants.		a, 18		Parents of children attending P.H.S. classes will live in neighborhoods where a lesser amount of Spanish is spoken, as compared to the parents of non-attenders who will live predominantly in neighborhoods where Spanish is principally spoken.	Hypotheses and Rationale

: :1:000

CA1. U D			Description of the Party of the	·			1		•
#8	47	9th	#5	##	£#	42	Th	No.	
								Misc.	
					-			Ecol.	
								Econ.	TABLE
What na- tionality would you like to be con- sidered					How often	Do you go to Mexico		Soc.	5 (Continued)
	Did you vote last Nov.	What civic activities do you participate in	How often do you at- tend church	What is your religion	•		What is the 4th of July	Civic).
General informationof interest in terms of the total sample.	A significantly greater proportion of the parents of children attending P.H.S. classes will have voted as contrasted to non-voters whose children are non-attenders.	ב לט זיז עו	A significantly greater proportion of parents of children attending P.H.S. classes will be more involved in attending church than will those parents of children not attending P.H.S. classes.	A significantly greater proportion of parents of children attending P.H.S. will be more involve in the Protestant church, as compared to the parents of non-attenders, who will tend to belong to the Catholic church.	Explanation of Nos. 39 to 43 on previous page of Table 1.			Hypotheses and Rationale	

RABLE 5 (Continued)

50	. 64	No.
		Misc.
How at- tained	Citizen- ship	Ecol.
		Econ•
		Soc.
		Civic
General information.	General information.	Hypotheses and Rationale

Jest Miles

An intensive orientation and training session on the objectives, procedures and rationale of the survey was provided for each interviewer and field investigator prior to his/her field assignment.

In all instances the interviews were conducted under the direct supervision of the field investigators. A special attempt was made to interview as many of the parents who were not available at the initial visitation by the interview team. Whenever possible prior appointments for the interviews were made by phone.

The Spanish edition was used exclusively for Spanish-speaking parents whereas the English edition was used for the Anglo-American, Negro and oriental parents. The entire interview took approximately one hour for each set of parents.

The interviews began on August 2nd and ended on August 13th... a period of ten days, excluding weekends.

Statistical Procedures:

The information on the questionnaire was hand-coded by the project staff for key punching purposes. The coded data was key punched on the appropriate IBM machines at the College of the Desert data processing facilities. The punched data was submitted for computer treatment at the University of California, Los Angeles Health Sciences Computing Facility's 7040 and 7090 machines. The BIMD 02S program was employed to analyze the data. Frequency information, percentages, chi squares and contingency coefficients were obtained from the output. Yate's correction for continuity was applied to any chi square problem with 1 degree of freedom and any cell frequency that was less than 10.

IV. RESULTS OF THE SURVEY

be divided into two major parts. Part I will report the differences in responses between the participants and non-participants on each item of the questionnaire. Part II will be essentially an exploratory study to determine whether or not certain selected variables, other than that of participation, are significantly different from each other.

Part I will be reported under five categories according to the theoretical design of the questionnaire as follows:

- (1) Miscellaneous factors; (2) Ecological factors;
- (3) Economic factors; (4) Social factors; and (5) Civic Responsibility factors.

Part II will be reported in the following sequence:

- (1) Level of income; (2) Family size; (3) Family stability;
- (4) Residence status.

PART I...Comparison of Participant and Non-Participant

Parental Responses on Each Item on the

Questionnaire

Miscellaneous factors. Table 6 shows the statistical results of each of the nine items assessed under the miscellaneous factors category.

Of the nine items, three of the chi square values are significant beyond the one per cent level. These are: school district affiliation; fall enrollment status and informational source concerning the Head Start program.

The findings indicate that contrary to common knowledge, the Head Start program may well continue throughout the school year for the majority of the eligible pre-schoolers.

It is interesting to note that substantial numbers of eligible Head Start parents were not informed about the program. Re-examination of the present recruitment procedures appeared to be indicated by these findings. Surprisingly, only one per cent of the candidates heard about the program from local welfare agencies. However, the main source of information was still the schools.

Results of the study by district residence point to a need for increasing the number of classes to meet the large number of (113) candidates who were not only left out of the program but were also not informed of it.

In addition it should be noted that 88 per cent (225) of the families interviewed had one or more children who would qualify for next year's Head Start program.

The results also showed very conclusively that a large majority 86 per cent (222) favored a program of this type should one become available in the future.

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

In general, families of non participating children resided predominantly in the City of Coachella. Reasons for non-participation stemmed from the lack of adequate information about the project. A majority of the non-participant children were preschoolers (less than five years of age at the time of this survey) but were still eligible for another Head Start program if one were available next year.

The survey also attempted to assess the reactions of the families of the Head Start participants to three pertinent questions. (See Table 6)

Table 7 shows the responses to the question: "What does your child enjoy most about Head Start?"

Three of the six responses referred to personal gains, the other three were directly or indirectly related to the values which were derived from the school program. (See Table 7)

Table 8 shows the responses to the question: "What does your child enjoy <u>least</u> about Head Start?"

Only one response was related to a personal negative reaction, the other two dealt with minor aspects of the Head Start program (snacks and nap time). It appeared apparent the parents criticism were not forthcoming. (See Table 8)

Table 9 shows the responses to the question: "How does Project Head Start help you?"

Parents responded in terms of benefits to their child rather than themselves. Their child's welfare appeared more important than derived gains for themselves. Intellectual growth appeared upper most followed by child improved readiness for school in the fall. (See Table 9)

TABLE 6

Parental Responses of Head Start Participants (N=60) Compared With Those of the Non-Participants (N=196) on Each of the Designated Miscellaneous Factors

Number of fami- 1.183 lies with poten-	Number of fami 4.353 lies with poten- tial H.S. pupil for next year	Age of inter 13,769 viewee	Person inter 0.046 viewed	Contemplated 27.262 enrollment in fall semester	School district 21,672 affliation	Sex differences 0.014 (boys vs. girls)	Questionnaire Chi Items Square
بر	N	æ	ο, ν	ω	2	þ	e d.f.
n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	•01	. 01	n.s.	Level of Confidence
•068	÷129	*314	.228	. 310	.279	800°	Contingency Coefficient
n.s.	.05	10.	.01	10.	.01	n.s.	Level of Confidence
86% (220) had no teen-ager who fell within eligible age 15-21 for the	88% (225) families had one or more children who would qualify for next year's Head Start	average age for both groups fell in the middle twenties range	89% (238) were mothers vs. 7% (18) fathers	61% (157) of eligible pupils will still be pre-schoolers this fall (65-66); 2 36% will be in kindergarten; in the participant group 38% (23) will be pre-schoolers still compared to 55% A (33) in kindergarten	Indio had significantly more pupils 58% (34) in Head Start; Coachella had significantly more qualified pupils 58% (113) who did not participate	sample contained similar proportions of both sexes (48% boys; 52% girls)	Interpretations

TABLE 6 (Continued

Willingness to partici- pate in future H.S. program	Source of information about Head Start	Questionnaire Items
5,269	141.625	Chi Square
. 2	œ	d.f.
n.s.		Level of Confidence
.16H	.597	Contingency Coefficient
· 05	*01	Level of Confidence
86% (222) of the participants and non-participants were interested only 8% (18) replied in the negative	70% (42) of the participants obtained information from school sources contrasted to 73% (143) of non-participants who did not hear about the Head Start program; only 1% (1) receive information from welfare agencies	Interpretations

Most Enjoyable Experiences Reported by Families (N=60) of Head Start Participants

Total	Salute Flag	Acceptance to School	Singing Activities	New Friends	No Comment	Self Progress	Good Program	Responses
\$00t	ພ	ယ	7	10	20	25	32	Per Cent
60	2	2	.	<i>.</i> ත	12	15	19	Number
··	6.5	6. 5	Ch	F	ω	2	ע	Rank Order

The state of the s

nage 27

TABLE 8

Least Enjoyable Experiences Reported by Families (N=60) of Head Start Participants

Responses	Per Cent	Number	Rank Order
None .	68	Th	1
No Comment	22	. 13	2
Snacks	cs	ω	ω.
Didn't Want To Go	ယ	7	t.
Nap Time	· N	P	Ø1
Total	100%	60	

TABLE 9

Advantages of Head Start Attendance As Perceived by Families (N=60) of Head Start Participants

Total	Babysitting	Learned to share	No comment	Prepared child for Kindergarten	Child became smarter	Advantages Reported
\$00£	បា	1	17	17	50	Per Cent
60	ω	7	10	10	30	Number
	σ	F	N 49	2.5	ш	Rank Order

Jihr. T.

ERIC

Ecological factors. Table 10 shows the statistical results of each of the eight items assessed under the ecological factors category.

An analysis of Table 10 shows no significant differences in responses between the participants and non-participating families to each of the ecological variables assessed.

It should be noted that 3 out of 4 families interviewed indicated that they were not only United States citizens but had also lived in the Coachella Valley area for six years or longer.

22 per cent of the families were residents for over twenty years.

In short, the population sampled were predominately natives of the United States and the Coachella Valley and not migrants from Mexico or other foreign country. (See Table 10)

Economic factors. Table 11 shows the statistical results of each of the sixteen items assessed under the economic factors category.

Only three significant chi square values were obtained between the two groups studied. The families participating in Head Start reported fewer access to a phone and a lower level of income. The participants group revealed significantly more adequate knowledge of the local employment office procedures than the non-participant group.

The results in Table 11 show no significant differences between the participating and non-participating group with respect to level of education, occupation, and family size. Yet the two groups differed significantly in reported annual income.

The average annual income for both groups was about \$3,500 for a family of 3.7 children.

TABLE 10

Parental Responses of Head Start Participants (N=60) Compared With Those of the Non-Participants (N=196) on Each of the Designated Ecological Factors

Length of residence in Valley	Mother's place of education	Father's place of education	Mother's birthplace	Father's birthplace	Questionnaire Items
1.614	13.436	13.029	5.552	5,248	Chi Square
co	∞	∞	ω	ω	d.f.
n.s.	ង	n.s.	ກ•ຮ•	n.s.	Level of Confidence
.079	.223	.220	.146	. 142	Contingency Coefficient
n.s.	. 01	10.	•05	•0 5	Level of Confidence
2 out of 3 were residents for 6 or more years; 22% (55) were residents in Valley for 20 years or more	were educated in Mexico than non-participants (23% to 13%) more non-participants pants than Head Start participants did not respond to item (51% to 30%)	1 out of 3 were educated in the U.S. 52% (131) did not of respond to item and 16% (40) were educated in Mexico	3 out of 4 were born in the United States	2 out of 3 were born in the United States	Interpretations

ERIC

TABLE 10 (Continued)

Ecw citizenship acquired	Citizenship status	Residence prior to	Questionnaire Items
0.258	0.914	6.731	Chi Square
ω	ю	· œ	d.f.
n.s.	n,s.	រា • ន	Level of Confidence
.022	.060	.160	Contingency Coefficient
n.s.	n.s.	°05	Level of Confidence
94% by birth; 4% naturali-2	3 out of 4 were U.S. citizens - 22% were Mexicans	more than 83% resided somewhere in U.S. only 15% were from Mexico - most popular state was Texas (27%) and other parts of California (23%)	e Interpretations

TABLE 11

Parental Responses of Head Start Participants (N=60) Compared With Those of the Non-Participants (N=196) on Each of the Designated Economic Factors

in the contract of the contrac						1
Mother's education	Father's education	Mother's occupation	Father's occupation	Family size	Possession of phone	Questionnaire Items
3.183	1.831	1.267	10.062	14,309	4.25	Chi Square
œ	ω	œ	%	. 00	٣	đ.f.
n.s.	ສ • ທ	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	•05	Level of Confidence
.196	#80°	.070	. 195	.230	.128	Contingency Coefficient
.01	n.s.	n.s.	.01		•05	Level of Confidence
4% no schooling; 16% less than fourth; 29% less than eighth grade; 13% did not respond; fewer than 20% finished high school; total 49% (12%) had less than eighth grade education	5% no schooling; 12% less than fourth grade; 27% less than eighth grade; total 42% (101) fathers had less than eighth grade education; 21% (53) did not respond to question; Newer than 20% completed high school	76% housewives; 24% unskilled or semi-skilled positions	55% were employed in unskilled or semi-skilled jobs; 28% skilled jobs; 28 managerial positions	average family contain 4 child- ren; participant group tended to 3 have larger families but diff- erences not significant 22% (80) 8 had 6 to 9 children per family	l out of 2 families did not have a phone; significantly more Head Start participants lacked phone (67% to 51%)	Interpretations

TABLE 11 (Continued)

Parerual conceptions of preference age for children to work full time	Knowledge of legal age to drop out	Reason given for not requesting early "dropout"	Parental desire to request children to "dropout"	Identity of main breadviner	Income Lavel	Questionnaire Items
7.820	10.011	8.625	2.766	7.173	34.02 2	Chi Square
œ	œ ´	ω	&	œ	∞	d.f.
n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	•01	Level of Confidence
.172	.194	.181	.103	.165	£ #£	Contingency Coefficient
.01	.01	10.	n.s.	10.	10.	Level of Confidence
l out of 2 suggested ages 14 to 17 as desirable ages to leave school for full-time work	l out of 2 families possessed inadequate knowledge of legal age for dropping out	75% of responses ranged from desire for better education to opportunities for better jobs - 25% did not respond	92% (235) would not; 6% (15) with indicated affirmatively	5% were on welfare - 78% (200) were fathers; 4% were mothers; 14% (37) did not respond	average income for both groups was about \$3,500; significantly more participant families with incomes below \$2,000 than non-participation families (24% to 7%) - income level slightly less than \$4,000 for participation group	Interpretations

ERIC

TABLE 11 (Continued)

Perceived benefits derived from visitation to local employment office	Quality of reception at local employment office	Knowledge of local employment office procedures once there	Knowledge of location of local employment office	Questionnaire Items
n 1.191	1.216	5.098	3.098	Chi Squa re
N	N	N	20	d.f.
n.s.	n .s.	.05	n. G	Level of Confidence
. 068		.140	•109	Contingency Coefficient
ກ.ຜ.	n.s.	• 05	n. •s.	Level of Confidence
33% stated assistance was adequate; 22% felt it was inadequate; 45% had no comment	31% responded that the reception was satisfactory; 61% offered no comment; 8% indicated reception and unsatisfactory	participants were more informed of employment office procedure (35% to 25%) than non-participants however, 73% from both groups refused to answer question or had no knowledge of the employment office procedures.	8% (23) did not know the location	Interpretations

The Washington criterion of \$3,000 for a family of four is similar to that shown by this survey when the additional children are compensated for on a sliding scale basis.

The findings reveal the fact that the parents for the eligible Head Start programs may be considered for the most part as borderline "functional illiterates" since fewer than 20 per cent had completed eighth grade or more. The obtained low socioeconomic status reflects the low educational level. The higher incidence of school drops and employment in unskilled or semi-skilled far exceeds the state and national figures for these categories (income, education, and occupation).

Ignorance of the legal age for dropping out, in addition to the lack of adequate information with respect to the local employment office services and procedures compounds the deprivation picture inherent within the families interviewed in the survey.

The findings point to the low percentage of satisfaction and benefits derived from one's visitation to the local employment office. The inadequate assistance at the employment office may be suggestive of inadequate communication between the disadvantaged population and an important local public service agency. (See Table 11)

Social factors. Table 12 shows the statistical results for each of the ten items assessed under the social factors category.

Three of the ten comparisons between the two designated groups showed significant chi square values. All three dealt with the use of the Spanish language in the community.

The results show significantly more families in non-participating group who spoke Spanish in the neighborhood in contrast to the fact that more families in the participant group listened page 36

Parental Responses of Head Start Participants (N=60) Compared With Those of the Non-Participants (N=196) on Each of the Designated Social Factors

Fr	Frequency frequency hood	Fre spc	Fre spc	Int who in browho els	Si. හු	
Frequency of Spanish spoken at work	Frequency of Spanish spoken in neighbor-hood	Frequency of Spanish spoken with friends	Frequency of Spanish spoken in home	Intact (families whose father lived in home) versus broken (families whose father lived elsewhere or unknown) homes	Significance of ethnic choice of first name	Questionnaire Items
9,496	23.541	6.895	5.968	0.373	3.826	Chi Square
თ	ნ	တ	თ	ب	ພ	d.f.
n.s.	•01	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Level of Confidence
.189	•290	.162	.151	.038	.121	Contingency Coefficient
•01	10.	•05	•05	n.s.	n.s.	Level of Confidence
l cut of 2 spoke Spanish at work - majority spoke English	Significantly more non-participants spoke some Spanish (79% to 64%) in the neighborhood	Similar findings as those obtained for "in home" question	6% never spoke Spanish at home - 54% spoke it most of the time & 25% at least once in a while	84% (214) of the homes were classified as intact; 16% (42) % were broken up by divorce, esparation or similar symptoms.	45% (115) chose Spanish first E last names compared to 38% (96) who had Anglo first names E Spanish surnames; 6% (17) were Negro E 1% Oriental	Interpretations

TABLE 12 (Continued)

Ethnic identifi- cation	Frequency of trips to Mexico	Knowledge of frequency 15.430 of Spanish programs that may be found on radio	Frequency of list- ening to Spanish programs on radio	Questionnaire Items
2.240	1.721	y 15.430	13.924	Chi Square
ŧ	N	င	က	d.f.
n.s	n.s.	• 05	°05	Level of Confidence
401.0	0.082	•238	.227	Contingency Coefficient
n.s.	n.s.	10.	.01	Level of Confidence
52% (132) preferred to be called where the cans; 23% (80) Spanished 12% (29) Americans; 6% (15) Americans; 6% (15) Americans; 7% (18) Texans	only 36% (92) have been to Mexico one or more times; 47% (112) have never crossed the border; 17% (43) no comment	significantly more non-partici- pants failed to reply to item - one cut of 3 families had no know- ledge that Spanish programs were available on radio	significantly more participants were tuned to Spanish programs on the radio (53% to 43%)	Interpretations

į

ERIC
Full Taxt Provided by ERIC

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

to Spanish on the radio. It is thus not surprising to find that significantly more non-participants than participants possessed inadequate knowledge of the availability of Spanish language programs on the radio.

Almost 80 per cent of the families in both groups spoke some Spanish in the home and with friends in the neighborhood, yet about half of the families have never been across the border to Mexico. This fact is not surprising since it was reported earlier that the majority of the parents were born and educated in the United States. (See Table 12)

What is surprising is the fact that substantial numbers of the parents had stated a preference for retaining their ethnic identity. The choice of the label Mexican-American by 52 per cent of the families are further indications of the parental identification with their former ethnic culture. Ethnic choice of a Spanish first name in line with their Spanish surnames adds to the identification factor with their parental homeland across the border.

Finally Table 12 points conclusively to the fact that a very significant number of the low income families are intact (stable).

16 per cent of the families were considered broken (unstable) by the absence of the father by divorce, separation, or similar problems.

Civic responsibility factors. Table 13 shows the statistical results for each of the seven items assessed under the civic responsibility category.

None of the chi square values are significant beyond the five per cent level. In short, the participants and non-participants appear to give similar responses to each of the questions asked.

Further analysis of Table 13 shows the fact that a significant number of the population sampled possessed inadequate knowledge

TABLE 13

Parental Responses of Head Start Participants (N=60) Compared With Those of the Non-Farticipants (N=196) on Each of the Designated Civic Responsibility Factors

Questionnaire Items Items Incwledge of May th concept Chowledge of September 16th	Chi Square 3.903	2 2 d _{v.f.}	Level of Confidence	Contingency Coefficient .123	Level of Confidence n.s.	Interpretations 67% (171) reported inadequate of what the date meant; 17% (43) had no comment 17% (42) had an adequate knowledge 57% (146) possessed inadequate knowledge 27% (69) had adequate knowledge; and
	0.727	20	n.s.	.053	n.s.	
Incwledge of July	1.091	N	ກ.ຮ.	.065	. n. s.	49% (126) had inadequate knowledge; 41% (104) had adequate knowledge; 10% (26) no comment
Religious pre- Rerence	0.964	· 2	n.s.	.061	n.s.	75% (192) were Catholic; 24% (61) Protestants
frequency of church attendance	0.173	-	n.s.	.026	n.s.	52% (134) never attended church; 48% (122) at least once a year
rrequency of parti- cipation in civic activities	1.933	N	ກຸຮ.	.087	n.s.	61% (155) never took part in any local state or national elections or PTA and similar activities
Participation in iast November slection	0.807	دم	ກ . ຮ	.056	n.s.	65% (166) failed to vote; 35% (90) responded affirmatively

e glisboris i

with respect to accepted annual Spanish festivities as compared to their knowledge of such annual holidays in our country as the fourth of July celebrations.

Although a majority of the respondents are Catholics and Protestants, fewer than half of the interviewees are regular devotees of their stipulated faith. The church as an accepted symbol of communication with the culturally disadvantaged population is not shown in these results.

Lack of participation in local civic activities seem the rule rather than the exception. Inadequate communication again appear to be indicated by the findings. (See Table 13)

Part II...Comparison of Certain Selected Variables
Assessed in the Survey

Past research have shown that certain variables such as income, family size, family stability and residence status are significantly related to education, occupation, ethnic group, religious preference, civic participation and related factors. This section will investigate the relationship between the specified variables to determine whether or not previous findings are valid when culturally disadvantaged populations are sampled.

Income level. Table 14 shows the statistical results for each of the twelve variables investigated.

For statistical purposes income level was dichotomized into two categories: families with annual incomes below \$4,000 (N=71) and those with incomes above \$4,000 (N=164).

Six significant contingency coefficients and five chi square values are seen in Table 14.

It is evident that income level is significantly related to family stability, parental education, father's occupation, amount

TABLE 14

Comparison of the Effect of Income Level (below \$4,000, N=71 and above \$4,000, N=164) With Each of the Selected Variables

						•	ł	1	ł
occupation	Father's	Father's education	Mother's edu- cation	Family stability	Possession of phone	Significance of ethnic choice of first name	Location of residence	Selected Variable	
	37.081	28.461	25.487	41.573	3.691	3.104	8.877	Chi Square	
	œ	ω	ω	ю	Ы	, =	‡	d,f,	m040
	10	•01	10.	.01	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Level of Confidence	- 3000 3 x1 ±0 17
	• 369	. 329	•313	•368 ·	.124	•114	.091	Contingency Coefficient	
	.01	. 01	10.	, OJ	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Level of Confidence	
\$4,000 income were employed in skilled or managerial positions — as income increased socioeconomic level increased	53% of families with above \$4,000 income compared to 30% of families with less than	31% of families with above \$4,000 income compared to 21% of families with less than \$4,000 income had less than an eighth grade; educational level also increased as income increased	48% of families with above \$4,000 income compared to 20% of families with less than \$4,000 income had less than an eighth grade education; as educational level increased level of income increased	28% of families with \$4,000 or less income compared to 4% of families with above \$4,000 indicated some instability in the home; the higher the income the more stable the home	no trends noted	no trends noted	No trends noted	e Interpretations	

ERIC

TABLE 14 (Continued)

Ethnic identification	civic activities	Participation in	Religious preference	Spanish spoken in home	Family size	Selected Variable
3.532	•	6.707	2.124	9,744	4.609	Chi Square
4=		2	N	თ	8	d.f.
n.s.		°05	n °s•	n.s.	n.s.	Level of Confidence
.105	,	.167	.095	.199	•109	Contingency Coefficient
n.s.		10.	n.s.	.01	n.s.	Level of Confidence
no trends noted	kind of civic activities (38%) than those with less than \$4,000 income (21%); as income increased participation in civic activities increased	significantly more families above	no trends noted	as income increased the amount of Spanish spoken in home decreased	no trends noted	f nce Interpretations
page	43			•		1

ERIC

of Spanish spoken in the home and degree of participation in civic activities. As income level increased each of the significantly related variables increased or decreased. For example, Table 14 indicates that there is a direct proportion between income level and education i.e. as income rises level of education does too. Income is also inversely related to the language factor i.e. as income rises families tend to abandon their language asset for the dominant language of the community. (See Table 14)

Family size. Table 15 shows the statistical results for each of the eight variables investigated.

Five significant contingency coefficients and chi square values were obtained.

Education, occupation, ethnic choice of first name, and civic participation are related significantly to family size. Family size was divided into two categories: families with three children or less compared to families with four children or more. The average size of the family in the population sample was used as the criterion for the dichotomy.

Smaller families are related to the increase in years of schooling. Large families are related to lower socioeconomic levels, increase participation in civic activities and likelihood of choosing an Anglo instead of a Spanish first name in line with a Spanish surname. (See Table 15)

Family stability. Table 16 shows the statistical results for each of the eleven variables investigated.

Four significant contingency coefficients and three chi square values were obtained.

If the father resided in the home and was also considered the main breadwinner the home was considered a stable one.

page 44

TABLE 15

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

Comparison of the Effect of Family Size (3 children or less, N=117, and 4 children or more N=139) With Each of the Selected Variables

Add Sign	Participation in civic activities	Religious preference	Mother's education	Father's education	Father's occupation	Possession of phone	family residence	Significance of ethnic choice of first name	Selected Variable
,	6.667	œ 4.157	21.990	18.076	16.143	0.155	5.642	12.132	Chi Square
	8	2	ഗ	တ	ω	Н	ŧ	#	d.f.
•	.05	n.s.	•01	.01	• 05	n.s.	n.s.	•05	Level of Confidence
	.159	.086	.281	.257	•244	•025	.097	•213	Contingency Coefficient
•	.05	n.s.	10.	.01	.01	n.s.	n.s.	.01	Level of Confidence
ipation in civic affairs incrember of children in the family sed	large families tended significantly to attend school activities or to vote in local, state or national elections - as	no trends noted	similar findings as those obtained for father's education	father's with small families completed significantly more years of schooling; the higher the educational level the smaller the family size	significantly more father's in unskilled a jobs also had larger families; the lower the socioeconomic level the larger the family	no trends noted	no trends noted	significantly more large size families have Anglo first names & Spanish surnames (44% to 30%) - the trend was for smaller size families to use Spanish first names more frequently (50% to 41%) as family size increases the likelihood in use of Anglo first name, increases	f nce Interpretations

Linds

If the father was absent from the home or if someone other than the father was the main breadwinner of the home for purposes of this study the status of the home was considered unstable or broken.

Under this criterion one out of five homes were considered unstable. Nationwide the figure for broken homes is less than ten per cent. As a direct result roughly one Mexican-American in five is fatherless -- more than double the nationwide total.

The results indicate that large size families, local socioeconomic status and low level of educational attainment are all very significantly related to the family instability condition.

The amount of Spanish spoken in the home, one's religious preference or citizenship or ethnic identification do not appear to be significantly contributing factors to "broken home situations". (See Table 16)

Residence status. Table 17 shows the statistical results for each of the ten variables investigated.

Nine out of ten significant contingency coefficients and seven chi square values were obtained.

The residence variables was divided into two categories for analysis purposes. In one group were families with five years or less residence status (N=85) in the second group were those who resided six years or more (N=170). The dichotomy revealed twice as many families in the second than in the first grouping. Coachella City contained significantly more "old timers" than Indio or Oasis, signifying a "slow to change" community compared to its neighboring cities.

Families with tenure of six years or more compared to recent migrant families (five years or less) have significantly higher socioeconomic status, more likelihood to possess a phone, more years of schooling (parents), higher income, greater participation page 46

Comparison of the Effect of Family Stability (intact, N=214, and Broken Home 42) With Each of the Selected Variables

TABLE 16

Selected Variable	Chi Square	đ, f,	Level of Confidence	Contingency Coeïficient	Level of Confidence	e Interpretations
Significance of ethnic choice of first name	1.166	ŧ	n.s.	.067	n.s.	no obvious trends noted
Location of family residence	1.816	ŧ	n.s.	.084	n.s.	no obvious trends noted
Family size	22.473	ω	. 01	. 284	to.	the larger the family the less stable the home - intact homes had significantly fewer children in home
Possession of a phone	0.002	Н		•003	ກ .ຮ.	no trends noted e e pa
Father's occupation	84.118	æ	.01	.497	.01	significantly more families from broken home refused to respond to questionnaire item (60% to 6%)
Father's education	42. 121	œ	•01	.376	.01	similar comments as those obtained for father's occupation (55% to 16%)
Mother's education	864.6	œ	n.s.	.189	.01	as educational level increased the inci- dent of a broken home situation decreased
Spanish spoken in home	2.089	တ	n.s.	•090	n.s.	no trends noted
Religious preferer	preference 0.716	2	n.s.	.053	n.s.	no trends noted
Citizenship	5.311	8	n.s.	.043	n.s.	no trends noted
Ethnic identification	3.833	, 	n.s.	•035	n.s.	no trends noted

· 11:11 77 T

الرياية

in civic activities, possess U.S. citizenship by birth less likely to speak Spanish in the home and are more apt to use an Anglo first name with their Spanish surname. (See Table 17)

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The major purpose of this study was to determine whether or not significant differences in responses to certain ecological, economic, social and civic responsibility factors existed between parents whose children participated on the Head Start project and those whose children were eligible but did not participate for one reason or another.

A questionnaire containing 50 specific questions were systematically categorized for investigation. Each selected question attempted to sample a given ecological, economic, social or civic responsibility factor. Both a Spanish and an English edition of the questionnaire was available for the survey.

The instrument was administered to 256 Coachella Valley (Indio, Coachella, and Oasis) parents during a two-week period in early August. A house-to-house rather than a random sample approach was employed in the selection of eligible non-participants (N=196) for the study. Addresses of the participants (N=60) were obtained from the designated Head Start schools.

The recorded information was coded and submitted for computer treatment on the BIMD 02S program. Yate's correction formula was utilized whenever a cell frequency was less than 10.

I. SUMMARY

Participants. The following significant chi square values beyond the five and one per cent levels in favor of the participant page 48

TABLE 17

Comparison of the Effect of Residence Status (5 years or less, N=85; and 6 years or more, N=170,) With Each of the Selected Variables

Selected Variable	Chi Square	d.f.	Level of Confidence	Contingency Ccefficient	Level of Confidence	e Interpretations
Significance of ethnic choice of first name	14.315	#	.01	.231	.01	Significantly more residents (5 years or less) had first and last names in Spanish contrasted to residents (6 years or more) whose first names were Anglo but whose last names were in Spanish - as length of residence increase the likelihood of the choice of an Anglo first name increased
Location of family residence	14.462	ŧ	.01	,00 2	n.s.	Significantly more people had resided in Coachella City 6 years or more (59%) than in Indio or Oasis
Possession of a phone	12.671	ш	•01	.218	.01	Significantly more people had fewer phones if their residence in the Valley was 5 years or less compared with those who stayed 6 years or more (71% to 47%) as length of residence increased the possession of a phone increased
Father's occupation	8.230	œ	n.	.177	.01	As length of residence increased the socioeconomic level increased
Father's birthplace	15.119	∞	•05	.237	10.	Significantly more people (75%) with less than 5 years residence were born outside California compared to those with 6 or more years of residence (59%) the chances of being born in the U.S. increased as residence status increased
Father's education	7.732	æ	n.s.	.172	.01	As educational level increased length of residence increased
riotiler's education	9.581	œ	n.s.	.190	tů.	Similar findings as those obtained for father's education

Mission of the state of the sta

TABLE 17 (Continued)

Selected Variable	Chi Square	d.f.	Level of Confidence	Contingency Coefficient	Level of Confidence	e Interpretations
Income level	30.133	. œ	•01	• 325		Those in residence 6 years or more earned significantly higher income than those with 5 or less years of residence (40% to 12% earned \$5,000 or more income) as income increased as length of residence increased
Spanish spoken in home	17.585	თ		•25 4	. 0.	39% of those with 6 years or more residence compared to 17% of those with 5 years or less used Spanish infrequently in home; the use of Spanish in home decrease as length of residence increases
Participation in civic activities	in 10.948 es	N		. 203	±0°	37% of those with 6 years or more resi- S dence compared to 21% of those with 5 years or less participation in civic when the second se

ERIC "
A Tell Reat Provided by ERIC

group were revealed by the study:

A. Miscellaneous Factors

- Average chronological age (4 years and 5 months to
 3 years and 10 months for the non-participants)
- 2. Source of information about Head Start (70% from the schools compared to 5% for the non-participants)
- B. Ecological Factors

None: greater similarities than differences on all 8 items sampled.

- C. Economic Factors
 - Knowledge of employment office procedures (35% to 25% of non-participants had adequate knowledge)
- D. Social Factors
 - 1. Frequency of listening to Spanish programs on radio (53% to 43% of non-participants)
- E. Civic Responsibility Factors

None: greater similarities than differences on all 8 items sampled.

<u>Mon-Participants</u>. Parental responses for the following questionnaire items showed significant chi square values at the five and one per cent levels in favor of the non-participant group in the study:

A. Miscellaneous Factors

- 1. Number of subjects interviewed (pagents of non-participants outnumbered those of the participants by a ratio of 3 to 1)
- 2. School district affiliation (Coachella City had larger numbers of non-participants by ratio of 3 to 1)
- 3. Enrollment in fall semester (61% were pre-schoolers to 38% for participants) 51



Source of information about Head Start (73% did not hear about program at all to 10% for non-participants)

B. Ecological Factors

None: greater similarities than differences in all 8 items sampled.

- C. Economic Factors
 - Possession of a phone (61% to 51% of participants did not have a phone)
 - 2. Annual income level (\$4,150 to \$3,750 for participants)
- D. Social Factors
 - 1. Frequency of Spanish spoken in neighborhood (79% to 64% for participants)
 - 2. Knowledge of frequency of Spanish programs that may be found on radio (3 to 1 ratio)
- E. Civic Responsibility Factors

None: greater similarities than differences in all 8 items sampled.

Lack of Significant Findings. No significance difference beyond the five per cent level were obtained for each of the following parental responses between the participants (P) and non-participants (NP):

- A. <u>Miscellaneous Factors</u> (6 out of 9 had no significant differences)
 - 1. Sex differences (boys 48% to girls 52%)
 - 2. Person interviewed (P 82% to NP 92%)
 - 3. Age of interviewee (P32% to NP 28% within 25 to 29 age range)
 - Number of families with potential Head Start (P 82% to NP 90% had one or more)
 - 5. Number of families with potential Youth Corps candidates



(P 82% to NP 87% had none)

- (P 85% to 87% said yes)
- B. Ecological Factors (8 out of 8 had no significant differences)
 - 1. Father's birthplace (P 62% to NP 64% within U.S.A.)
 - 2. Mother's birthplace (P 67% to NP 74% within U.S.A.)
 - 3. Father's place of education (P 40% to NP 55% no comment; P36% to NP 33% U.S.A.)
 - 4. Mother's place of education (P 30% to NP 51% no comment;
 P 47% to NP 37% U.S.A.)
 - 5. Length of residence in Valley (P 63% to NP 67% lived 6 or more years in Valley)
 - 6. Residence prior to coming to Valley (P 80% to NP 84% within U.S.A.)
 - 7. Citizenship status (P 75% to NP 78% U.S.A.; P 25% to NP 21% Mexico)
 - 8. How citizenship obtained (P 70% to NF 75% by birth in U.S.A.)
 - C. Economic Factors (13 out of 16 had no significant differences)
 - 1. Family size (P 55% to NP 55% had four children or more)
 - 2. Father's occupation (P 53% to NP 57% in unskilled or semi-skilled jobs)
 - 3. Mother's occupation (P 77% to NP 76% housewife; P 21% NP 21% unskilled or semi-skilled)
 - 4. Father's education (P 18% to NP 17% less than fourth grade; P 46% to NP 44% less than eighth grade)
 - 5. Mother's education (P 27% to NP 16% less than fourth grade; P 56% to NP 47% less than eighth grade)
 - 6. Identity of main breadwinner (P 76% to NP 76% father;
 P 16% to NP 15% no comment)
 page 53



- 7. Parental degine to request child's early chap out from schooling (P 95% to NP 91% said No)
- 8. Reason given for not requesting drop out (P 73% to 66% better education)
- 9. Knowledge of legal age to drop out (P 85% to NP 78% inadequate)
- 10. Parental preference of age to work (P 80% to NP 74% before 18 years of age)
- 11. Knowledge of employment office location (P.93% to NP 86% adequate)
- 12. Quality of reception at employment office (P 55% to NP 63% no comment; P 37% to NP 30% adequate)
- 13. Perceived benefits from visitation to employment office (P 43% to NP 45% no comment; P 38% to NP 32% adequate help)
- D. Social Factors (7 out of 10 had no significant differences)
 - Significance of ethnic choice of first name (P 42% to NP 46% first names were Spanish; P 38% to NP 37% first names were Anglo)
 - 2. Family stability (P 83% to 84% intact; P 17% to NP 16% broken)
 - 3. Frequency of Spanish spoken in home (P 57% to NP 64% frequently; P 25% to NP 23% seldom or never)
 - 4. Frequency of speaking Spanish to friends (P 57% to NP 54% frequently; P 22% to NP 31% seldom or never)
 - 5. Frequency of speaking Spanish at work (P 32% to NP 25% frequently; P 47% to NP 50% no comment)
 - 6. Frequency of trips to Mexico (P 45% to NP 48% never; P 37% to NP 35% at least once a year)

7. Ethnic identification (P 48% to NP 53% liked to be called Mexican-American; P 12% to NP 12% to be called American; P 10% to NP 5% to be called Mexican)

- E. <u>Civic Responsibility Factors</u> (7 out of 7 had no significant differences)
 - 1. Knowledge of May the 5th concept (P 75% to NP 64% inadequate)
 - 2. Knowledge of Sept. 16th concept (P 62% to NP 56% inadequate)
 - 3. Knowledge of July 4th concept (P 50% to NP 49% in-adequate)
 - 4. Religious preference (P 75% to NP 75% Catholic)
 - 5. Frequency of church attendance (P 50% to NP 53% never)
 - 6. Frequency of civic activities participation (P 54% to NP 63% never)
 - 7. Frequency of November election vote (P 60% to NP 66% never)

Results Based on Special Kinds of Comparisons

Income Level. Five of the twelve variables investigated revealed significant chi square values and contingency coefficients when compared to the level of income at the five per cent level.

Group I consisted of families with \$3,999 or less income compared with Group II whose income was \$4,000 or more.

- 1. Family stability (Group I 28% to Group II 4%; with home situations)
- 2. Mother's education (Group I 48% to Group II 20%; with less than eighth grade education)
- 3. Father's education (Group I 21% to Group II 41%; obtained more than eighth grade education)

 page 55



e. Triples

- 7. Ethnic identification (P 48% to NP 53% liked to be called Mexican-American; P 12% to NP 12% to be called American; P 10% to NP 5% to be called Mexican)
- E. <u>Civic Responsibility Factors</u> (7 out of 7 had no significant differences)
 - 1. Knowledge of May the 5th concept (P 75% to NP 64% inadequate)
 - 2. Knowledge of Sept. 16th concept (P 62% to NP 56% inadequate)
 - 3. Knowledge of July 4th concept (P 50% to NP 49% in-adequate)
 - 4. Religious preference (P 75% to NP 75% Catholic)
 - 5. Frequency of church attendance (P 50% to NP 53% never)
 - 6. Frequency of civic activities participation (P 54% to NP 63% never)
 - 7. Frequency of November election vote (P 60% to NP 66% never)

Results Based on Special Kinds of Comparisons

Income Level. Five of the twelve variables investigated revealed significant chi square values and contingency coefficients when compared to the level of income at the five per cent level.

Group I consisted of families with \$3,999 or less income compared with Group II whose income was \$4,000 or more.

- 1. Family stability (Group I 28% to Group II 4%; with home situations)
- 2. Mother's education (Group I 48% to Group II 20%; with less than eighth grade education)
- 3. Father's education (Group I 21% to Group II 41%; obtained more than eighth grade education)

 page 55



L'allego.

- 4. Father's occupation (Group I 53% to Group II 30%; employed in unskilled and semi-skilled jobs)
- Participation in civic activities (Group I 38% to Group I 21%; involved in some kind of activity)

Family size. Five of the eight variables investigated revealed chi square values and contingency coefficients when compared with the family size variable at the five per cent level. Group I consisted of families with 3 or less children; Group II of families with 4 or more children.

- 1. Significance of ethnic choice of first name (Group I 44% to Group II 30%; chose Anglo first name and Spanish surnames)
- 2. Father's occupation (Group I 31% to Group II 48% employed in unskilled jobs)
- 3. Father's education (Group I 33% to Group II 52% less than eighth grade schooling)
- 4. Mother's education (Group I 33% to Group II 60% less than eighth grade schooling)
- 5. Participation in civic activities (Group I 27% to Group II 35% involved in some kind of activity)

Family stability. Three of the eleven variables investigated revealed significant chi square values and contingency coefficients when compared with the family stability factor at the five per cent level. Group I was labeled as intact if the real father lived in the home and was also the main breadwinner. Group II was considered a broken home if the father was absent from the home and was not considered the main breadwinner (separated, divorced, death and other similar reasons).

1. Family size (Group I had 3.1 children to Group II with 3.7 children)

page 56

2. Father's education (Group I 16% to Group II 55% no comment; Group I 47% to Group II 30% with less than eighth grade schooling)

3. Father's occupation (Group I 6% to Group II 60% no comment; Group I 45% to Group II 19% employed in unskilled jobs)

Residence status. Seven of the ten variables investigated revealed significant chi squares and contingency coefficients when compared with the length of residence in the Coachella Valley region at the five per cent level. Group I consisted of parents who had lived in the Valley 5 years or less. Group II are those who resided in the area 6 years or more.

- Significance of ethnic choice of first name (Group I 55% to Group II 39% chose Spanish first and last names)
- 2. Location of family residence (Group I 37% to Group II 59% lived in Coachella)
- 3. Possession of a phone (Group I 29% to Group II 53% had phones)
- 4. Father's birthplace (Group I 57% to Group II 67% native born)
- 5. Income level (Group I 40% to Group II 12% earned more than \$5,000)
- 6. Spanish spoken in home (Group I 17% to Group II 39% spoke it seldom or never)
- 7. Participation in civic activities (Group I 21% to Group II 37% involved in some kind of activity)

II. CONCLUSIONS

The results of the survey study indicated that more similarities than differences in parental responses to the questionnaire existed between the families of children who attended the page 57



Head Start projects and those families whose children were cligible but did not participate in the pre-school program. It was apparent that the main difference between the two groups was one of communication. The participants were informed of the Head Start program and the non-participants were not.

The following conclusions were significantly illustrated by the study's findings.

A. Education. In a democratic society, one of the educational objectives of the school is to provide for individual differences as far as it is practicable and reasonable. This study showed that the adequate fulfillment of this objective has not been satisfactorily met. The following facts stand out to support this contention.

- 1. Pre-school education programs are needed throughout the school year: (a) to provide continuity to the summer Head Start programs; (b) to meet the needs of large numbers of eligible pre-schoolers from low income families who were enrolled in the program and who are now unable because of age to attend kindergarten or any other community educational program. Parental attitude and interest for future participation was found to be exceptionally high.
- 2. There is a definite need to "step up" the communication before the school and the community in order that more low income families can be made aware of available educational opportunities for their children as well as to increase an adequate understanding of school policies and regulations relating to work permit requirements and other related school curricula activities.

The neglible interest of these low income parents to participate in any school sponsored activities (PTA, tax over-rides, open house and similar activities) in addition to the large



 \bigcirc

number of parents who were not contacted with respect to Operation
Head Start are certainly indicative of the need for better and more
effective school-community public information services.

- B. <u>Population characteristics</u>. One's economic competency and personal well being are often determined by at least five pertinent factors: occupation, income, education, mobility, and family stability. If these premises are accepted then the average low income family sampled in this study is seriously handicapped (disadvantaged) in the designated Coachella Valley communities surveyed.
- 1. This study showed that more than one out of every two fathers were employed in unskilled or semi-skilled occupations.

 More fathers in the Coachella Valley were presently employed in unskilled or semi-skilled occupations than were reported in the 1960 Census survey for the Valley as a whole and for Riverside County in general. In short, the occupational status of the low income families have decreased during the past five years.
- 2. The median income for the study sample, however, was slightly higher than that reported in California for persons of Spanish surnames in 1960 (\$3,849). It is hypothesized that if all persons of Spanish surname in the Valley were included in the study, the average annual income would approach the average for Riverside County.
- 3. The remarkably low per cent of Mexican-American families on welfare point to the fact that a person with a Spanish surname does not as a rule look to the government for financial support regardless of his reported economically deprived condition.
- 4. One out of every five homes sampled were reported as a "broken home" in this study.

Jan 2011

This finding is similar to that reported in a recent nationwide survey which indicated that "broken homes" were generally as a rule quite common in low income families.

- "drop-outs". The average educational level was much lower than that for the Valley area as a whole and substantially lower than the State of California average according to the 1960 Census Report. Noteworthy implications for adult extension or education programs are inherent in this finding.
- "established" residents in the Coachella Valley area. Most of the parents were native born and reportedly received much of their education in the U.S.A. In short, the findings showed that these low income families were not highly mobile transients from across the border or other States in the Union.
- 7. The size of the low income families (6 or more per family) were larger than those from average or higher income groups (4 or less per family). This means that large numbers of culturally disadvantaged children are enrolled or will be enrolled in the Coachella Valley schools.
- C. Acculturation Process. If the term acculturation is defined as a process which occurs within the individual as he makes an attempt to learn the content of another (different) culture and to adapt to the newer culture's practices and values, then it is apparent from the present findings that the acculturation process is indeed occuring slowly but significantly in the Coachella Valley area. The following facts support this conclusion.
- 1. The desire to speak a second language was seen to decrease significantly as the length of residence or citizenship page 60



status increased. English was spoken predominately.

- 2. As one's residence in the Valley increased the tendency to use an Anglo first name with respect to a Spanish surname increased significantly.
- 3. A majority of the people with Spanish surnames sampled preferred to be called Mexican-American. The label of "Mexican" or "American" was mentioned infrequently.
- 4. Adequate communication was found between the low income families who turned out for local civic activities and those who were most informed of the local employment office's. However, this statement did not imply that the communication between local government agencies (City Hall, employment offices and similar agencies) were adequate. Far from it. The facts showed a definite need for improving the channels of communication between local officials the low income parents in the community.

APPENDIX

1.6.1/1.2.5

Coachella Valley HEAD START Project

Special Evaluation Phase

Questionnaire Survey

(1)	NAME				(2) SEX M	F	DATE	
マナル	בויאשו.	rst	Middle	Last					
(3)	ADDRESS	3			_(4)]	PHONE		5) BIRTHDATE	
(6)	SCH00L	DISTRIC	T	·	<u>(</u> †) :	FALL ENR (What	OLLMENT grade-ki	ndergarten, firs	it)
(8)	NAME O	F PERSON	INTERVIEWED				Sex M	F Age	
					. aus 449 4				
	Names o	of perso	ons living in	your home					
	(9) NAME	Occupat (Type, seasona		(11) Birthpla	<u>ce</u>	(12) <u>Age</u>	Educa	(14) ation - Where completed)	
Moth	er		· 						
					•	,			
Ster	mother								
occ							·		
Fath	ion		·						
tan						•			
Step	ofather_								
· · ·					·			,	
Chal	ldren								
							_		



ħildre	en (Continued)		
-			
×			
 			•
•		•	
Aunts_			
			•
** -7			
uncles		•	
Cousir	ns		
	•		u san
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Others	5		
0 (3.02			
The second second second			
	Who is the head of the family?	(16)	Relationship to the
	child	•	
(17)	About how much do you earn a year? (e.g., inc	omes of a	myone supporting the
	family)		
(18)	Who is the main financial supporter of the fam	ily?	•
(19)	How long have you lived in the Valley?		W
	Where did you live before you came to the Val		
(20)	Is child a participant in Head Start? Yes	No_	(22) If
(21)	•		
	not, give reason and continue with below:	nse	: Began
	Responded negatively; No respon	195	. Other
	program but dropped out after one or two weel	KS	, Outer
	reasons		



•	
(23)	How did you hear about Project Head Start?
(24)	
. (25)	suggest: the class, the teacher, friendiness)
(26)	What does your child like least about Project Head Start? (If no response, suggest: the class, the teacher, attitudes)
(27)	How does Project Head Start help you? (If no response, suggest: The child seems brighter ; It provides babysitting for me ; Other
(28)	Would you require your son/daughter to drop out of school to work when he is old enough to work? (reason)
(29)	do you think your child should go to work?
· (31)	How often is Spanish spoken in your home?: All of the time; Most
	of the time; Once in a while; Seldom; Never
(32)	How often do you speak Spanish with friends?: All of the time; Most
	of the time; Once in a while; Seldom; Never
(33)	How often is Spanish spoken in your neighborhood?: All of the time;
,	Most of the time; Once in a while; Seldom; Never
(34)	How often do you speak Spanish at work?: All of the time; Most of
	the time; Once in a while; Seldom; Never
(35)	How often do you listen to Spanish on the radio?: All of the time;
	Most of the time ; Once in a while ; Seldom ; Never
	How many hours can you get Spanish on the radio?
_ (37)	Do you know where the employment office is? Yes No (38) What do
,	you do when you get there?(How are you treated)
	(39) Do you get any benefit from going
	there?
(40)	What is El cinco de Mayo; (41) The 16th of September; (42) The 4th of
•	July?
(43)	Do you go to Mexico? Yes No (44) If so, how often?



(45)	What religion are you? (Catholic, Protestant, etc.)
(46)	How often do you go to religious services? If no
	response, Once a week ; Once a month ; Once a year ; On
	holidays; (Easter; Christmas;) Never
(47)	What city activities do you participate in? If no response: School activities ; School Board elections ; Others
(48)	Did you vote last November (the last election)? YesNo
(1;9)	What nationality would you like to be considered?(Latin, Spanish, Mexican-American)
(50)	What country are you a citizen of: U.S.A; Mexico Other
(51)	By birth; By naturalization; Other

INTERVIEWERS COMMENTS:

ERIC Frontigon by ERIC

PROYECTO ADELANTAMIENTO DEL VALLE DE COACHELLA

Fase De Avaluacion

Reconocimiento - Inquiridor

(1)	NOMBRE	mer Nombre	Segundo	Apellido	FECHA
(2)		: F	•	FECHA DE NACI	MIENTO
(3)	DOMICILIO			(5)	TELE:FONO
(6)	DISTRICTO	ESCOLAR	(DE INVIERNO Que Clasificacion)
(8)	NOMBRE DE	PERSONA ENTE	REVISTADA	SEXO M	F EDAD
Nomb	re de pers	sonas que vive	n en su casa:		•
	(9) Nombre	(10) Ocupacion (Clase, estable temporal, do			(13) (14) Educacion-Donde (Anos completados)
Madr	e	· · · ·			
Madr	astra				
		·.			
Padr	e				
Padr	astro				,
		,		,	
Nino	s ,				
			• •	,	
Tias		***************************************			
TTOS					
Tios					
			age og en state og gjende setter størrette størrette størrette størrette størrette størrette størrette størret		



Primo	s
	The state of the s
Otros	
•	
	····
(25)	Quien es el encabezado de la familia? (16)
	Relacion del nino
(17)	Cuanto gana por ano? (Salario por ano del encabezado de la familia)
(18)	Quien mantiene la familia?
(19)	Que tanto tiempo a vivido en el Valle?(20) Donde
	vivio antes de venir al Valle?
(21)	Esta el nino partecipando en el Proyecto Adelantamiento? SiNo
(22)	Si no, de razon y continue abajo: Respuesta negativa ; Ninguna respuesta ; Comenso el
	programa pero lo descontinuo despues de una o dos semanas;
	Otras razones。
(23)	Como recibio informacion de el Proyecto Adelantamiento?
(24)	Si hay otro Proyecto Adelantamiento enviara su nino? Si; No
(25)	Que le gusta mas a su nino de el Proyecto Adelantamiento? (Si no hay respuesta, sugiera: (La clase, la profesora, amigable)
(26)	Que le gusta menos a su nino de el Proyecto Adelantamiento? (Si no hay respuesta, sugiera: (La clase, la profesora, actitud)
(27)	De que manera es ayuda el Proyecto Adelantamiento para usted: (Si no hay respuesta, sugiera: El nino esta mas listo; Sirve
	el Proyecto de cuida ninos; Otra razons)
(28)	Obligaria usted a su hijo o hija que dejara la escuela para trabajar
	cuando tenga la edad? (de razon)
(29)	Que edad sera legal para que trabaje su hijo o hija?
(30)	A que edad piensa usted que debe de trabajar un joven o una joven?
(31)	Que tanto Espanol se habla en su casa? Todo el tiempo ; Casi
	todo el tiempo ; De vez en cuando ; Ocasional



ERIC Prul Text Provided by Effic

(31)	Nunca
(32)	Que tan seguido hable usted Espanol con sus amigos? Todo el tiempo;
	Casi todo el tiempo ; De vez en cuando ; Ocasional ;
	Nunca
(33)	Que tanto Espanol se habla en su vecindad? Todo el tiempo ; Casi
	todo el tiempo ; De vez en cuando ; Ocasional ; Nunca
(34)	Que tanto habla usted Espanol en su trabajo? Todo el tiempo ; Casi
	todo el tiempo; De vez en cuando; Ocasional; Nunca
(35)	Que tan seguido escucha usted programas de Espanol en la radio? Todo
	el tiempo; De vez en cuando; Ocasional; Nunca
(36)	Cuantas horas puede usted recibir programas en Espanol en la radio?
(37)	Sabe usted donde esta la oficina de empleos? Si; No (38) Que
	hace cuando va usted alli? (Como es usted recibido
	alli?) (39) Recibe usted algun beneficio con ir alli?
(40)	Que significa el cinco de Mayo; (41) Diez y seis de Septiembre; (42)
	Cuatro de Julio?
(43)	Va usted a Mejico? Si; No (44) Si va, que tan seguido?
(45)	Cual es su religion? (Catolica, Protestante et cetera)
(46)	Que tan seguido va usted a servicios religiosos?
	Si no hay respuesta: Una vez por semana ; Una vez por mes ;
	Una vez por ano; Dias de fiesta; (Durante la Pascua;
	En Navidad Nunca
(47)	En cuales actividades de la ciudad toma usted participacion?
	Si no hay respuesta, pregunte: Actividades de escuela; Eleciones
•	de escuela; Eleciones de la ciudad; Otras
(48)	Voto usted en la elecion de Noviembre (la ultima elecion)? SiNo